



Amy Litterini, PT, DPT,<sup>1</sup> Vickie Fieler, RN, PhD,<sup>2</sup> James Cavanaugh, PT, PhD,<sup>1</sup> Jeannette Lee, PT, PhD<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physical Therapy, University of New England, Portland, ME; <sup>2</sup>St. Joseph Hospital, Nashua, NH; <sup>3</sup>University of California San Francisco/San Francisco State University Graduate Program in Physical Therapy, San Francisco, CA.

 $3.76 \pm 0.64$ 

 $3.79 \pm 0.62$ 

 $2.90 \pm 1.14$ 

 $1.08 \pm .28$ 

**Objective:** To compare the effects of resistance and cardiovascular exercise on functional mobility in individuals with advanced cancer. **Design:** Prospective, 2-group pretestposttest pilot study with randomization to either resistance or cardiovascular exercise mode. Setting: Comprehensive community cancer center and a hospital-based fitness facility. **Participants:** Other Primary Diagnosis Breast 26% Lymphoma/. HD 7% Lung Colorectal Gynecologic\_ 14% 6% Prostate 9% Metastatic Site Multiple\_ 18% CNS 3% Visceral Skeletal 55% 24% Current Treatment Regimen None Other. 9% Combination

29%

Radiation\_

9%

Chemo

36%

# **Differential Effects of Cardiovascular and Resistance Exercise on Functional Mobility in Individuals with Advanced Cancer:** A Randomized Trial



 $3.58 \pm 0.72$ 

 $3.71 \pm 0.69$ 

 $2.52 \pm 1.26$ 

 $1.03 \pm 0.22$ 

score 12)

score 4)

score 4)

Chair

score 4)

stands(max.

Gait speed (m/s)

Balance (max.

Gait (max.

| Group                    |                      |               |
|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| Cardiovascular<br>(n=32) | Resistance<br>(n=34) | Total (n=66)  |
| 62.53±12.83              | $62.18 \pm 14.28$    | 62.35 ± 13.49 |
| <br>14 M, 18 F           | 16 M, 18 F           | 30 M, 36 F    |
| <br>31                   | 33                   | 64            |
| <br>1                    | 1                    | 2             |

**Outcome Measures:** Functional mobility was assessed using the Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB); self-reported pain and fatigue were assessed secondarily using visual analog scales. Data were analyzed using a split plot 2x2 analysis of variance ( $\alpha$ =.05).

## Interventions: Ten weeks of

individualized resistance or cardiovascular exercise, prescribed and monitored by oncologytrained physical therapists and exercise personnel.



| up                |                   |                 |                  |  |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|--|
| Resis             | tance             | Total           |                  |  |
| Baseline          | 10 weeks          | Baseline        | 10 weeks         |  |
| $42.62 \pm 29.96$ | $31.35\pm24.35$   | $37.02 \pm$     | $28.46 \pm$      |  |
|                   |                   | 28.93           | 22.88            |  |
| $17.65 \pm 19.46$ | $15.83 \pm 20.69$ | $15.67 \pm$     | $13.98 \pm$      |  |
|                   |                   | 18.46           | 18.08            |  |
| $9.38\pm2.10$     | $9.91 \pm 1.95$   | $9.55 \pm 2.16$ | $10.33 \pm 1.82$ |  |
|                   |                   |                 |                  |  |
| $3.68 \pm .64$    | $3.83 \pm 0.49$   | $3.67 \pm 0.65$ | $3.82 \pm 0.52$  |  |
|                   |                   |                 |                  |  |
| $3.76\pm0.70$     | $3.91\pm0.42$     | $3.71\pm0.70$   | $3.88 \pm 0.48$  |  |
|                   |                   |                 |                  |  |
| $1.97 \pm 1.31$   | $2.17 \pm 1.53$   | $2.20\pm1.32$   | $2.63 \pm 1.33$  |  |
|                   |                   |                 |                  |  |
|                   |                   |                 |                  |  |
| $1.01 \pm 0.26$   | $1.14 \pm 0.45$   | $1.02 \pm 0.25$ | $1.12 \pm 0.35$  |  |
|                   |                   |                 |                  |  |

**Results:** Fifty-two patients (78.8%) completed the study: 23 (67.7%) of 34 patients in the resistance arm and 29 (90.6%) of 32 patients in the cardiovascular arm. No participant withdrew because of study adverse events. Ten-week outcomes (n=52) included a significant increase in SPPB total score (P<.001), increase in gait speed (P=.001), and reduction in fatigue (P=.05). Although cardiovascular exercise participants had a modestly greater improvement in SPPB total score than resistance training participants (F1,49=4.21, P=.045), the difference was not confirmed in a subsequent intention-to-treat analysis (N=66).

## **Conclusions:** Individuals with

advanced cancer appear to benefit from exercise for improving functional mobility. Neither resistance nor cardiovascular exercise appeared to have a strong differential effect on outcome.

Cancer Well-fit study staff from top L: Tammy Mazur, Amy Litterini, Christine Buco; Center: Patti Bartlett; Bottom: Chuck Memmesheimer. Absent Cancer Well-fit staff: Michele Tillson, Shannon Ward, Eddie DiMuzio, Sue Goodreau and Larina Perry

**Acknowledgements:** This study would not have been possible without the dedication of our inspirational cancer survivors. We wish to thank the staff of the Exeter Hospital Center for Cancer Care and the Cancer Well-fit Program for the help conducting this study. We also wish to thank the Northern New England Clinical Oncology Society for their generous support.



