

# **University of New England DUNE: DigitalUNE**

**Assessment Reports** 

**Program Review** 

1-1-2008

# Technical Services Student Learning Outcomes Assessment 2007-2008

**UNE Library Services** 

Follow this and additional works at: http://dune.une.edu/libserv assessreports

Part of the Higher Education Administration Commons, and the Library and Information Science Commons

## Recommended Citation

UNE Library Services, "Technical Services Student Learning Outcomes Assessment 2007-2008" (2008). Assessment Reports. Paper 8. http://dune.une.edu/libserv\_assessreports/8

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Program Review at DUNE: DigitalUNE. It has been accepted for inclusion in Assessment Reports by an authorized administrator of DUNE: DigitalUNE. For more information, please contact bkenyon@une.edu.

#### STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES ASSESSMENT REPORT: ACADEMIC AND CO-CURRICULAR PROGRAMS

College/Unit: Library Services	Department:	Library Technic	cal Services		
Major/Program Being Assessed:		Submitted by:	Sharon Eckert	Date Submitted:	6/30/08 (2007-2008)

Pg. 1.

#### **INSTRUCTIONS:** (Complete the Student Learning Outcomes Assessment Report form electronically.)

- 1. Provide department/program <u>Mission Statement</u>. A mission statement is a "philosophical expression of why the department/program exists and what it hopes to accomplish. A brief summary of what the department or program does to meet the needs of all of its stakeholders." Adapted from: Component Strategic Planning: Recommendations for Component Strategic Planning. American Physical Therapy Association (2002).
- 2. State Student Learning Outcome in terms of what students should know and be able to do, i.e., students will be able to ...
- 3. Determine which of the Common Institutional Student Learning Outcome(s) (if any) relate to your stated Student Learning Outcome. Common Institutional Student Learning Outcomes were derived from materials previously submitted by academic units through this reporting process and are:
  - Ability to Engage in Critical Thinking,
  - Commitment to Lifelong Learning,
  - Understanding of Human Relations,
  - Acquisition of Research Skills,
  - Use of Ethical Principles,
  - Ability to Understand and Apply Technology,
  - Acquisition of Discipline-Specific Knowledge,
  - Practical Application of Knowledge,
  - Communication Skills,
  - Community Involvement,
  - Concepts of Health and Wellness,
  - Global Awareness.
- 4. Identify the Method(s) Used to Assess the stated Student Learning Outcome, include multiple measures when appropriate, i.e., surveys, portfolios, interviews, examinations, etc. Refer to Methods of Assessment Worksheet for examples
- 5. Summarize Findings. Use quantitative/qualitative data as appropriate.
- 6. Interpret Findings.
- 7. State Recommended Actions/Changes based on findings. Describe how the department/unit is using or will use the findings to develop recommended improvements.
- 8. Describe Plans for Follow up Assessment.

#### **Mission Statement:**

The University of New England Libraries are central to the intellectual life of the University community. They cultivate a vibrant learning environment by providing access to scholarly collections and resources; by offering services that foster inquiry and independent, life-long learning; by providing welcoming, interactive spaces and infrastructure that enhance the educational experience and support the information needs of the University; and by preserving specific historical collections.

### **State Student Learning Outcome #1:**

The Libraries should contribute to the overall quality of learning, teaching, and research by acquiring or providing access to resources that most directly and cost-effectively support the University's academic programs and meet the informational needs of students, faculty, staff, and administration.

List related Common	Identify Method(s) of	Summarize Findings:	Interpret Findings:	State Recommended	Describe Plan for Follow
<b>Institutional Outcome(s):</b>	Assessment:			Action(s) based on Findings:	up Assessment:
			Comparing subject areas		<b>D H 1 T 1 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3</b>
-Quality of service to	-(Beginning June 1, 2004	Data collected June 1,	of 2007/2008 acquisitions	1)Incorporate	Data collected June 1, 2008
University constituents	to present)	2007 through May 31,	budget with <u>2007/2008</u>	classification/subject areas in	through May 31, 2009 will
-Institutional and fiscal	***	2008 was analyzed as	<u>circulation</u> statistics, the	the collection of electronic	be analyzed and interpreted,
viability	-Libraries' data:	follows:	subject/classification	book usage statistics to	incorporating 2007-2008
-Cost-effectiveness of	percentage of acquisitions		areas common in both	determine the	recommended actions.
operations	firm order budget spent	Compared % of Libraries'	were: PS (American	classification/subject areas	Follow-up
	on specific curriculum	acquisitions firm order	literature); PN (general	most used in the electronic	recommendations will be
	subject areas	budget spent on specific	literature); WM	format.	based on findings.
	T.11	curriculum subject areas	(psychiatry); HV (social	2) I	
	-Libraries' data:	with the % of UNE	work, welfare); QE-QH	2) Incorporate	
	percentage of circulation	Libraries' circulation	(biology, natural history);	classification/subject areas in	
	statistics by specific	statistics and the % of	QK-QL (botany, zoology)	the collection of media usage	
	curriculum subject areas	MaineCat (formerly	Campaning	statistics (DVDS & videos) to	
	Tibuasias? data.	Maine InfoNet) and	Comparing subject areas	determine the	
	-Libraries' data:	Interlibrary Loan	of 2006/2007 acquisitions	classification/subject areas	
	percentage of borrowing	borrower requests of	budget with 2007/2008	most used in these formats.	
	statistics by specific	specific curriculum	<u>circulation</u> statistics (see	2) Continue to work toward	
	curriculum subject areas	subject areas.	2006/2007 report) the highest % of	3) Continue to work toward	
		Acquisitions budgets		compiling circulation statistics for circulating editions of	
		Acquisitions budget: highest % of *firm order	subject/classification areas common in both	standing order titles to identify	
		budget spent, in order of	were: WB (practice of	titles that have not circulated;	
		expenditure amount in	medicine); WM	assess those titles for potential	
		each subject/classification	(psychiatry); QE-QH	reallocation of funds to firm	
		area: WA; WM; WB;	(biology, natural history);	order funds or alternative	
		QK-QL; HQ; QC-QD;	QK-QL (botany,	standing order titles.	
		PN; HV; QE-QH; Q; QV;	zoology); HQ (family,	standing order titles.	
		PS *[2007-2008 firm	marriage)	4) Conduct an historical	
		order budget included	marriage)	comparative analysis of the	
		separate account for	[Comparing circulation	data collected + associated	
		Rittenhouse R2 electronic	statistics to acquisitions	assessment reports from 2004-	
		books which are not	expenditures of the	2005 to the present, to identify	
		classified]	previous year provides	key elements that will guide	
		ciassifica	meaningful comparison.	decision-making in our efforts	
		UNE Libraries'	Highest % of	to provide access to resources	
		circulation statistics:	subject/classification	that cost-effectively support	
		highest % in order of	areas common in this	the University's academic	
		frequency of circulated	2007/2008 report and the	programs.	
		subject/classification area:	2006/2007 report were:	r - 8	
		PN; WB; L-LC; WM; PS;	WB; WM;QK-QL; HQ]		
		QE-QH; E; QK-QL; BF;	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
		PZ; HQ			
			Comparing subject areas		

	MaineCat and Interlibrary	of 2007/2008 acquisitions	
	Loan: highest % of	budget with <u>2007/2008</u>	
	combined *borrower	borrower requests, the	
	requests, in order of	highest % of	
	frequency of requested	subject/classification	
	subject/classification area:	areas common in both	
	PS; HQ QK-QL; HV; DS;	were: PS (American	
	QE-QH; WM	literature); PN (general	
	Q2 Q11, W111	literature); WM	
		(psychiatry); HV (social	
		work, welfare); QE-QH	
		(biology, natural history);	
		QK-QL (botany, zoology)	
		QK-QL (botally, zoology)	
		Comparing subject areas	
		Comparing subject areas	
		of 2007/2008 acquisitions	
		budget expenditures with	
		2006/2007 borrower	
		requests, the highest % of	
		subject/classification	
		areas common in both	
		were: QK-QL (botany,	
		zoology); HQ (family,	
		marriage); HV (social	
		work, welfare); PS	
		(American literature)	
		[Comparing acquisitions	
		expenditures to borrower	
		requests of previous year	
		provides meaningful	
		comparison. Highest % of	
		subject/classification	
		areas common in this	
		2007/2008 report and the	
		2006/2007 report were:	
		PS; QK-Ql; HQ]	
		[Subject/classification	
		areas consistently high %	
		of borrower requests in	
		2004-2005; 2005-2006;	
		2006-2007; 2007-2008 =	
		PS (American literature);	
		QK-QL (botany, zoology);	
		HQ (family, marriage)	
<u> </u>	I.	20	

STUDENT LEARNIN	G OUTCOMES ASSES	SSMENT REPORT: A	CADEMIC AND CO-C	CURRICULAR PROGRAM	AS Pg. 2.
State Student Learning Ou	tcome # 2:				
List related Common Institutional Outcome(s):	Identify Method(s) of Assessment:	Summarize Findings:	Interpret Findings:	State Recommended Action(s) based on Findings:	Describe Plan for Follow up Assessment:
State Student Learning Ou	tcome #3:				
List related Common Institutional Outcome(s):	Identify Method(s) of Assessment:	Summarize Findings:	Interpret Findings:	State Recommended Action(s) based on Findings:	Describe Plan for Follow up Assessment:

						1
						1
2005						1
2007						i