



BMJ 2013;347:f7140 doi: 10.1136/bmj.f7140 (Published 9 December 2013)

Page 1 of 5

ANALYSIS

TOO MUCH MEDICINE

Medicalising unhappiness: new classification of depression risks more patients being put on drug treatment from which they will not benefit

Christopher Dowrick *professor of primary medical care*¹, Allen Frances *emeritus professor of psychiatry*²

¹University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3GL, UK; ²Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina, USA

doi:10.1136/bmj.f7225

This article is part of a series on overdiagnosis looking at the risks and harms to patients of expanding definitions of disease and increasing use of new diagnostic technologies

Many patients report sadness or distress during consultations with primary care doctors. Such emotions may be related to grief and other life stresses, including the stress of physical illness. Sometimes sadness appears out of the blue, without obvious relation to external causes. Over recent decades there has been an increasing tendency, especially in primary care, to diagnose depression (commonly major depressive disorder) in patients presenting with sadness or distress and offer them antidepressant medication. ¹⁻³

In this paper we offer a critical review of the diagnosis of major depressive disorder, show how and why this broad diagnostic label has resulted in overdiagnosis and overtreatment, and suggest how the approach to diagnosis and management of depression should change to reduce stigmatising the sad and provide better help for those who most need vigilant care and medical treatment.

Evolving views of what constitutes depression

Descriptions of depression can be found in the Bible and Shakespeare, but no formal definition existed until the third version of the American Psychiatric Association's classification systems for mental disorders was published in 1980 (DSM-III). The manual set out clear operational criteria to aid clinicians in diagnosing mental disorders (see box 1) and introduced the term major depressive disorder.

Since then major depressive disorder has received more research attention than any other diagnosis in psychiatry but has created many problems. The criteria, which have not changed since 1980, capture too heterogeneous a population for research

studies and are so loose that, in everyday clinical practice, ordinary sadness can be easily confused with clinical depression.⁵

Unhelpful classifications of mental disorders

Under DSM-III the term major depressive disorder combined what had formerly been described as

"melancholia"—characterised by severe, disabling, and sometimes life threatening depression, often coming out of the blue and characterised by marked diurnal variation, suicidal thoughts, and somatic symptoms—with "reactive depression." Reactive depression contrasted in almost every way with melancholia, with onset closely linked to a definable life event and with symptoms that were milder and typically including sadness, loss of interest, and feelings of guilt and unworthiness. Somatic changes, including difficulty sleeping and loss of appetite, were less profound and enduring in reactive depression than in melancholia. Those affected retained the capacity to feel pleasure. Symptoms were usually least troubling in the morning and patients tended to get better over time and respond well to placebo and psychotherapy. Those with melancholia, by contrast, were more likely to have disturbed sleep and abnormal dexamethasone suppression test results⁶⁷ and to respond to drug treatment or electroconvulsive therapy.8

Although the DSM-III definition of major depressive disorder was meant to provide simplicity and increase reliability of diagnosis, from the beginning it was recognised that it would capture a heterogeneous population of patients. The definition therefore provided severity ratings and different subtypes (box 1). Unfortunately, however, the valuable distinctions offered by severity and subtype ratings were generally ignored in both clinical practice and research. Major depressive disorder became homogenised to include "mild" major depression—arguably a

Subscribe: http://www.bmj.com/subscribe

Correspondence to: C Dowrick cfd@liv.ac.uk

Summary box

Clinical context—Diagnoses of major depressive disorder and treatment with antidepressant drugs are increasing

Diagnostic change—DSM-III homogenised the diagnosis of depression and the new DSM-5 classification broadens the definition further, allowing the diagnosis of major depressive disorder just two weeks after bereavement

Rationale for change—To provide more patients with access to effective treatments

Leap of faith—Accurate diagnosis of mild depression is possible; treatment is necessary and leads to better outcomes

Increase in disease—Although community prevalence of major depressive disorder has remained static, diagnoses doubled among Medicare recipients in the US between 1992-95 and 2002-05

Evidence of overdiagnosis—Depression is now more likely to be overdiagnosed than underdiagnosed in primary care. Rates of prescribing of antidepressant medication doubled in the UK between 1998 and 2010 and in the US 11% of the population aged over 11 now takes an antidepressant. People without evidence of major depressive disorder are being prescribed drug treatment

Harms from overdiagnosis—Turning grief and other life stresses into mental disorders represents medical intrusion on personal emotions. It adds unnecessary medication and costs, and distracts attention and resources from those who really need them

Limitations-We do not know whether clinicians will follow the DSM-5 proposals

Conclusions—Patients with mild depression or uncomplicated grief reaction usually have a good prognosis and don't need drug treatment. Clinicians should focus on identifying people with moderate to severe depressions and sufficient impairment to require treatment.

Box 1: Diagnostic classification of mental disorders

Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) III (1980) introduced a unitary diagnosis of major depressive disorder (MDD) with nine symptoms (mood, interest, activity, fatigue, weight/appetite, sleep, guilt, concentration, and suicidality).

The main emphasis of DSM-III was on severity ratings:

- · Mild MDD was defined as five or six symptoms of mild severity, including either low mood or loss of interest
- · Moderate MDD was defined as seven to eight symptoms with moderate impairment
- · Severe MDD was defined as six or more symptoms with severe impairment or psychotic features and strong suicidal intent

DSM III also included depressive subtypes:

- · Secondary depression arose from a clear external cause
- · Psychotic depression had associated psychotic symptoms
- Melancholic depression involved lack of pleasure or lack of mood reactivity plus three of the following: subjective mood qualitatively
 different from grief or loss; severe loss of appetite or weight; psychomotor agitation or retardation; early morning waking, excessive
 guilt; and mood worse in the morning.

DSM-IV (1994) used a similar classification system.⁴ DSM-5 (2013) now allows grief reaction to be classified as major depressive disorder (MDD) after two weeks.

The International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD), produced by the World Health Organization, also includes criteria for mental disorders. This is used more widely in Europe and other parts of the world. The coding systems of DSM and ICD are designed to correspond with each other

contradiction in terms for it is not major, nor really depressive or a disorder.

DSM-IIIR and DSM-IV carried forward the DSM-III definition, and the recently published DSM-5 broadens the diagnosis of major depressive disorder still further. It allows major depressive disorder to be diagnosed just two weeks after a bereavement. The change in the diagnostic status of grief from bereavement (not a mental illness) to depressive episode (a mental illness) introduced by DSM-5 was designed to provide more patients with access to effective treatments. ¹⁰ This is particularly relevant in insurance based health systems such as the US, where a specific diagnosis is needed before funders will agree to pay the costs of treatment. It has, however, provoked both controversy and concern focused on the medicalisation of the normal human experiences of loss. ^{5 11} 12

Homogenisation of depression has been a mistake

People with uncomplicated episodes of major depressive disorder (lasting no longer than two months and not including suicidal ideation, psychotic ideation, psychomotor retardation, or feelings of worthlessness) are hardly more likely to have a further episode within 12 months than people with no history of major depressive disorder (3.7% v 3.0%). These episodes, along with mild and non-melancholic episodes, may be better understood as normal intense sadness. An Australian primary care study of 789 patients with depressive disorders found four different trajectories: most patients (n=532) had a mild and static

symptom trajectory, very different from the experience of the small minority of people (n=69) with severe persistent depression, who had high levels of disadvantage, abuse, morbidity, and disability.¹⁴

Including people, as the DSM- 5 classification does, who are experiencing grief only two weeks after the loss of a loved one is a mistake. Bereaved people follow a course very different from those with recurrent major depressive disorder. A study of over 30 000 US citizens found that single bereavement related brief depressive episodes have distinct demographic and symptom profiles that differ from those of other types of depressive episodes and are not associated with increased risk of future depression. ¹⁵ Uncomplicated bereavement is not associated with an increase in suicidality. ¹⁶

Increase in diagnosis of depression and antidepressant drug prescriptions

The prevalence of depressive disorders in the community is stable. In the United States two national comorbidity surveys a decade apart found prevalences of major depressive disorder of 6.1% and 6.6%. 17 18 In England the one week prevalence of depressive episodes among adults decreased from 2.6% in 2000 to 2.3% in 2007. 19 20

Meanwhile rates of diagnosis have increased considerably. Although community surveys that use lay interviewers have shown little change in prevalence over time, diagnoses of depression among Medicare beneficiaries doubled between 1992-95 and 2002-05. This is not because primary care doctors

are getting better at identifying major depressive disorder; overdiagnosis is now more common than underdiagnosis. A meta-analysis of 41 studies, including 50 371 patients, estimated that for every 100 unselected cases seen in primary care, there were more false positive cases (n=15) than either missed (n=10) or identified cases (n=10) of depressive disorder as judged by standard diagnostic criteria. In a study of 5639 participants from the 2009-2010 US National Survey of Drug Use and Health, clinician identified depression was compared with assessments for major depressive episodes using a structured interview. Only 38% of adults (including only 9% of those aged 65 and over) with clinician identified depression met diagnostic criteria for depression during the previous year; nevertheless, most participants were taking psychiatric drugs. The trend to overdiagnosis may increase as DSM-5 diagnostic criteria loosen.

Rates of prescribing of antidepressants to patients having no evidence of major depressive disorder, or fewer symptoms than DSM would advise, are also increasing in primary care. The proportion of visits to non-psychiatrists at which antidepressants were prescribed but no psychiatric diagnoses were noted increased in the US from 60% to 73% between 1996 and 2007.²²

About 11% of the US population aged over 11 now take an antidepressant, including 23% of women in their 40s and 50s.³ In England, antidepressant prescribing increased at over 10% each year between 1998 and 2010,²³ a rise far greater than for any other psychiatric medication. This is explained mainly by an increase in long term prescriptions.²⁴ Similar rises have been described in other Western nations including Australia,²⁵ Canada,²⁶ and Denmark.²⁷

Drivers of overdiagnosis

The homogenisation of major depressive disorder has been in part a consequence of heavy drug company marketing and an overstrong focus among many psychiatrists on the biological correlates of psychiatric symptoms rather than the psychological, social, and cultural.²⁸ The rate of diagnosis of depression has increased substantially since the development and marketing of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors,²⁹ a trend assisted by drug companies' financial support for prominent academic psychiatric units¹² and direct to consumer advertising in the US.

General practitioners and the public are complicit in this. For GPs a diagnosis of depression may be an attractive instrument for managing uncertainty in the consulting room,³⁰ especially as its commonest treatment comes in the form of a once daily pill and is encouraged by clinical guidelines and indicators. Patients often request treatment for symptoms of sadness, and doctors and patients can feel obliged to offer and accept a diagnosis of major depressive disorder.³¹

In addition, there is a trend in Western societies to expect the right to happiness and a need to restrict the range of negative emotions that are considered "acceptable and normal." Pharmaceutical companies and psychiatric nosologists derive their positions on depression diagnoses from a set of common but implicit value judgments. 33

What the evidence shows

The weight of evidence from meta-analyses of placebo controlled trials shows that antidepressant drugs have little or no effect in mild depression. Although there is some evidence that the benefits of treatment compared with placebo are not related to baseline severity, there are continued concerns about publication bias in data provided by drug companies.

The placebo effect of antidepressant drugs is substantial and increasing, partly because less severely depressed people now take part in drug trials.⁴⁰ The role of regression to the mean in assessing the effects of antidepressants is also important since many people with reactive depression get better with time, regardless of treatment. Watchful waiting can have a stronger effect than antidepressants.⁴¹

There is no substantive evidence that people with uncomplicated bereavement benefit from antidepressants, and a dearth of clinical trial evidence of response to medication in those with complicated grief reactions. ¹³ Many conditions currently diagnosed as major depressive disorder, especially those related to other forms of loss, are better understood within a model of grief that does not assume drug treatment. ⁴²

Harms from overdiagnosis

Turning grief and other responses to loss into a mental disorder is a medical intrusion into private emotions.⁴³ It substitutes a superficial medical ritual for deep and time honoured cultural ones and stigmatises the experience.³³ It leads people to act under the description of a psychiatric diagnosis, believing themselves to be and behaving as if they are someone with a mental illness and compromising their sense of agency.³³ ⁴⁴ By putting a simplistic time frame on recovery from grief, DSM-5 is stepping further away from the personal interaction that should be the basis of healthcare.

These problems are greater in cross-cultural consultations with patients for whom depression may be an alien concept. Recent asylum seekers, for example, have often experienced severe and traumatic losses. Subsuming the consequent distress within a diagnosis of depressive disorder replaces loss with illness and individualises previously social problems.⁴⁵

Bringing grief within the category of major depressive disorder adds unnecessary medication, with its inevitable side effects and carries added risks including increased suicidal thinking in children and young people. ⁴⁶ and risks of interaction with drugs prescribed for other health problems. ⁴⁷ Unjustified use of antidepressants increases the costs of healthcare. The excess cost of care associated with prescribing antidepressants to older Canadians without depression was estimated as \$C1800 (£1000; £1250; \$1700) per person. ⁴⁸ An expanded focus on grief will also affect existing psychiatric diagnosis, distracting attention and resources from those who have severe mental health problems.

How to do better

Diagnostic criteria should be tightened. Milder symptoms must be persistent throughout the day, be present for at least a month or two and cause significant distress or impairment before a diagnosis of mild major depression is made. For moderate and severe major depression, existing diagnostic criteria should be accurately applied, so that diagnoses are made only in the presence of substantive symptoms and clear associated impairment. Patients presenting with milder or loss related symptoms should not be dismissed, but more attention should be given to benefits of time, support, advice, social networks, and psychological interventions.⁴⁹ There are opportunities to avoid the mistakes of DSM-5 in ICD-11, which is now in preparation.

GPs should focus on identifying patients with severe depression⁵⁰ and provide them with better access to adequate evidence based care.⁵¹ These include two main groups of patients: those with symptoms of melancholia and those with severe persistent

symptoms associated with socioeconomic disadvantage and disability (box 1).¹⁴

Drug companies should be stopped from marketing antidepressant medication to physicians and the public and from supporting professional organisations and consumer groups.

What to discuss with patients

High rates of placebo response account for much of the seeming beneficial effects of medication and this should be discussed sensitively with patients, who also need to be made aware of the side effects, risks and costs associated with antidepressants. Informing them of the way that drug companies have acted to boost sales of their drugs may also be appropriate. There is still a widely held view that all depression is "brain disease" caused by chemical imbalance which can be "corrected" by pills, and countering it is important by noting the relevance of life circumstances.

Patients can be helped by listening carefully to their story, promoting the value of time as a healer and encouraging them to build resilience through exercise, support, and (where possible) making changes to their circumstances in dealing with everyday life problems. ⁵² A diagnosis of depression may not be necessary (box 2). A shared approach to decision making is essential. Patients should also be encouraged to share experiences and learn from others through organisations such as Healthtalkonline (www.healthtalkonline.org). Watchful waiting over multiple visits can enable doctors to see if the problems will resolve without intervention, an approach that plays to the strengths of experienced primary care doctors.

We thank Tessa Richards, Josie Billington, Bernard Carroll, Jane Gunn, Iona Heath, Tony Kendrick, Peter Lucassen, Don Nease, Gordon Parker, Greg Simon, Don Stein, Brett Thombs, Jerry Wakefield, Chris van Weel, and Evelyn van Weel-Baumgarten for advice and comment on earlier versions of this paper:

Competing interests: We have read and understood the BMJ policy on declaration of interests and declare the following interests: AF was chair of the DSM-IV Task Force and has written two books related to DSM-5. Provenance and peer review: Commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

- 1 Akincigil A, Olfson M, Walkup JT, Siegel MJ, Kalay E, Amin S, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of depression in older community-dwelling adults: 1992-2005. J Am Geriatr Soc 2011;59:1042-51.
- 2 Mitchell AJ, Vaze A, Rao S. Clinical diagnosis of depression in primary care: a meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2009;374:609-19.
- 3 Pratt L, Brody D, Gu Q. Antidepressant use in persons aged 12 and over: United States, 2005-2008. NCHS Data Brief 2011. www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/databriefs/db76.htm.
- 4 Diagnostic criteria for major depressive disorder and depressive episodes. www. psnpaloalto.com/wp/wp-content/uploads/2010/12/Depression-Diagnostic-Criteria-and-Severity-Rating.pdf.
- 5 Frances A. Saving normal. Harper Collins, 2013.
- 6 Feinberg M, Carroll B. Biological markers for endogenous depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1984;41:1080-5.
- 7 Gold P, Chrousos G. Melancholic and atypical subtypes of depression represent distinct pathophysiological entities: CRH, neural circuits, and the diathesis for anxiety and depression. *Molec Psychiatry* 2013;18:632-4.
- Brown WA. Treatment response in melancholia. Acta Psychiatr Scand 2007;433(suppl):125-9.
- 9 Kirk S, Kutchins H. The myth of the reliability of DSM. J Mind Behavior 1994;15:1-2.
- 10 Kendler KS, Myers J, Zisook S. Does bereavement-related major depression differ from major depression associated with other stressful life events? Am J Psychiatry 2008;165:1449-55.
- 11 Friedman R. Grief, depression, and the DSM-5. *N Engl J Med* 2012;366:1855-7.
- Gøtzsche PC. Deadly medicines and organised crime: how big pharma has corrupted health care. Radoliffe, 2013.
- 13 Wakefield JC, Schmitz MF. When does depression become a disorder? Using recurrence rates to evaluate the validity of proposed changes in major depression diagnostic thresholds. World Psychiatry 2013;12:44-52.
- 14 Gunn J, Elliott P, Densley K, Middleton A, Ambresin G, Dowrick C, et al. A trajectory-based approach to understand the factors associated with persistent depressive symptoms in primary care. J Affect Disord 2013;148:338-46.
- 15 Wakefield JC, Schmitz MF. Normal vs disordered bereavement-related depression: are the differences real or tautological? *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2013;127:159-68.

- 16 Bui E, Nadal-Vicens M, Simon NM. Pharmacological approaches to the treatment of complicated grief: rationale and a brief review of the literature. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci* 2012;14:149-57
- 17 Blazer D, Kessler R, McGonagle K, Swartz M. The prevalence and distribution of major depression in a national community sample: the national comorbidity survey. Am J Psychiatry 1994;151:979-86.
- 18 Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Koretz D, Merikangas KR, et al. The epidemiology of major depressive disorder: results from the national comorbidity survey replication (NCS-R). JAMA 2003;289:3095-105.
- 19 McManus S, Meltzer H, Brugha T, Bebbington P, Jenkins R. Adult psychiatric morbidity in England 2007: results of a household survey. National Centre for Social Research, 2009
- 20 Singleton N, Bumpstead R, O'Brien M, Lee A, Meltzer H. Psychiatric morbidity amongst adults living in private households 2000. Office for National Statistics Office, 2001.
- 21 Mojtabai R. Clinician-identified depression in community settings: concordance with structured-interview diagnoses. *Psychother Psychosom* 2013;82:161-9.
- Mojtabai R, Olfson M. Proportion of antidepressants prescribed without a psychiatric diagnosis is growing. Health Aff 2011;30:1434-42.
- 23 Ilyas S, Moncrieff J. Trends in prescriptions and costs of drugs for mental disorders in England, 1998-2010. Br J Psychiatry 2012;200:393-8.
- 24 Moore M, Yuen HM, Dunn N, Mullee MA, Maskell J, Kendrick T. Explaining the rise in antidepressant prescribing: a descriptive study using the general practice research database. *BMJ* 2009;339:b3999.
- McManus P, Mant A, Mitchell PB, Montgomery WS, Marley J, Auland ME. Recent trends in the use of antidepressant drugs in Australia, 1990-1998. Med J Aust 2000;173:458-61.
- 26 Hemels ME, Koren G, Einarson TR. Increased use of antidepressants in Canada: 1981-2000. Ann Pharmacother 2002;36:1375-9.
- 28 Callahan C, Berrios G. Reinventing depression . Oxford University Press, 2005
- 29 Healy D. Let them eat Prozac . New York University Press, 2004.
- 30 Dowrick C, Gask L, Perry R, Dixon C, Usherwood T. Do general practitioners' attitudes towards depression predict their clinical behaviour? *Psychol Med* 2000;30:413-9.
- 31 Maxwell M. Women's and doctors' accounts of their experiences of depression in primary care: the influence of social and moral reasoning on patients' and doctors' decisions. Chronic Illn 2005;1:61-71.
- 32 Wierzbicka A. Emotion and culture: arguing with Martha Nussbaum. Ethos 2003;31:577-600.
- Dowrick C. Beyond depression. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press, 2009
- 34 Kirsch I, Deacon BJ, Huedo-Medina TB, Scoboria A, Moore TJ, Johnson BT. Initial severity and antidepressant benefits: a meta-analysis of data submitted to the Food and Drug Administration. PLoS Med 2008;5:e45.
- Fournier J, DeRubeis RJ, Hollon SD, Dimidjian S, Amsterdam JD, Shelton RC, et al. Antidepressant drug effects and depression severity: a patient-level meta-analysis. JAMA 2010;303:47-53.
- 36 Khin NA, Chen YF, Yang Y, Yang P, Laughren TP. Exploratory analyses of efficacy data from major depressive disorder trials submitted to the US Food and Drug Administration in support of new drug applications. J Clin Psychiatry 2011;72:464-72.
- Barbui C, Cipriani A, Patel V, Ayuso-Mateos JL, van Ommeren M. Efficacy of antidepressants and benzodiazepines in minor depression: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry* 2011;198(supp 1):11-6.
- 38 Gibbons RD, Hur K, Brown CH, Davis JM, Mann JJ. Benefits from antidepressants: synthesis of 6-week patient-level outcomes from double-blind placebo-controlled randomized trials of fluoxetine and venlafaxine. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2012;69:572-9.
- 39 Turner EH, Matthews AM, Linardatos E, Tell RA, Rosenthal R. Selective publication of antidepressant trials and its influence on apparent efficacy. N Engl J Med 2008;358:252-60.
- 40 Walsh BT, Seidman SN, Sysko R, Gould M. Placebo response in studies of major depression. JAMA 2002;287:1840-7.
- 41 Berkman LF, Blumenthal J, Burg M, Carney RM, Catellier D, Cowan MJ, et al. Effects of treating depression and low perceived social support on clinical events after myocardial infarction: the Enhancing Recovery in Coronary Heart Disease Patients (ENRICHD) Randomized Trial. JAMA 2003;289:3106-16.
- 42 Parker G. Opening Pandora's box: how DSM-5 is coming to grief. Acta Psychiatr Scand 2013;128:88-91.
- 43 Horwitz AV, Wakefield JC. The loss of sadness: how psychiatry transformed normal sorrow into depressive disorder. Oxford University Press, 2007.
- 44 Hacking I. The social construction of what? Harvard University Press, 1999
- Kokanovic R, May C, Dowrick C, Furler J, Newton D, Gunn J. Negotiations of distress between East Timorese and Vietnamese refugees and their family doctors in Melbourne. Sociol Health Illin 2010:32:511-27.
- 46 Hetrick SE, McKenzie JE, Cox GR, Simmons MB, Merry SN. Newer generation antidepressants for depressive disorders in children and adolescents. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;11:CD004851
- 47 Nemeroff CB, Preskorn SH, Devane CL. Antidepressant drug-drug interactions: clinical relevance and risk management. CNS Spectr 2007;12(suppl 7):1-13.
- 8 Vasiliadis HM, Latimer E, Dionne PA, Préville M. The costs associated with antidepressant use in depression and anxiety in community-living older adults. Can J Psychiatry 2013;58:201-9.
- 49 Bower P, Kontopantelis E, Sutton A, Kendrick T, Richards DA, Gilbody S, et al. Influence of initial severity of depression on effectiveness of low intensity interventions: meta-analysis of individual patient data. BMJ 2013;346:f540.
- 50 Thompson C, Ostler K, Peveler RC, Baker N, Kinmonth AL. Dimensional perspective on the recognition of depressive symptoms in primary care. Br J Psychiatry 2001;179:317-23.
- Dowrick C, Chew-Graham C, Lovell K, Lamb J, Aseem S, Beatty S, et al. Increasing equity of access to high quality mental health services in primary care: a mixed-methods study. *Programme Grants Appl Res* 2013;1(2):1-184.
- 52 Van Avendonk M, van Weel-Baumgarten E, van der Weele G, Wiersma T, Burgers JS. . Summary of the Dutch College of General Practitioners' practice guideline on depression. Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd 2012;156:A5101.

Cite this as: BMJ 2013;347:f7140

© BMJ Publishing Group Ltd 2013

Box 2: Is my patient really depressed?

- Before diagnosing depression, listen carefully to the patient's story and consider the context: Has the patient experienced grief or other life problems?
- Are symptoms mild and recent?
- Is this a first episode?
- Mild symptoms, or symptoms related to grief or other life problems, usually do not become more severe over time and a diagnosis of depression should be avoided whenever possible
- · Patients with mild depressive symptoms don't need antidepressants—any benefit is likely to be due to the high placebo effect
- Mild symptoms, or symptoms related to grief or life problems, are likely to resolve with time, psychological support, and environmental manipulation