

Original citation:

Toye, Francine, Seers, Kate and Barker, Karen L.(2017) Meta-ethnography to understand healthcare professionals' experience of treating adults with chronic non-malignant pain. BMJ Open, 7 (12). e018411.

Permanent WRAP URL:

http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/97653

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work of researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC BY 4.0) and may be reused according to the conditions of the license. For more details see: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

A note on versions:

The version presented in WRAP is the published version, or, version of record, and may be cited as it appears here.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk

BMJ Open Meta-ethnography to understand healthcare professionals' experience of treating adults with chronic nonmalignant pain

Francine Toye,¹ Kate Seers,² Karen L Barker³

ABSTRACT

objectives We aimed to explore healthcare professionals' experience of treating chronic non-malignant pain by conducting a qualitative evidence synthesis. Understanding this experience from the perspective of healthcare professionals will contribute to improvements in the provision of care.

Design Qualitative evidence synthesis using metaethnography. We searched five electronic bibliographic databases from inception to November 2016. We included studies that explore healthcare professionals' experience of treating adults with chronic non-malignant pain. We used the GRADE-CERQual framework to rate confidence in review findings.

Results We screened the 954 abstracts and 184 full texts and included 77 published studies reporting the experiences of over 1551 international healthcare professionals including doctors, nurses and other health professionals. We abstracted six themes: (1) a sceptical cultural lens, (2) navigating juxtaposed models of medicine, (3) navigating the geography between patient and clinician, (4) challenge of dual advocacy, (5) personal costs and (6) the craft of pain management. We rated confidence in review findings as moderate to high. Conclusions This is the first qualitative evidence synthesis of healthcare professionals' experiences of treating people with chronic non-malignant pain. We have presented a model that we developed to help healthcare professionals to understand, think about and modify their experiences of treating patients with chronic pain. Our findings highlight scepticism about chronic pain that might explain why patients feel they are not believed. Findings also indicate a dualism in the biopsychosocial model and the complexity of navigating therapeutic relationships. Our model may be transferable to other patient groups or situations.

BACKGROUND

Chronic pain is defined as pain that persists beyond the 3months expected time of healing.¹ In 2009, an estimated 5 million people in the UK developed chronic pain,² and a recent systematic review suggests that this may underestimate the problem.³ Around 20% of adults in Europe have

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This study brings together, for the first time, a large number of qualitative studies (n=77) that explore the experience of healthcare professionals' experience of treating people with chronic non-malignant pain.
- Meta-ethnography provides the reviewers' interpretation of qualitative findings abstracted into a line of argument with the aim of providing food for thought.
- There is no consensus on how to assess the quality of primary qualitative studies.
- Although the GRADE-CERQual provides a useful framework for determining confidence in qualitative syntheses, there is currently no consensus on how to do this.

chronic pain,⁴ and in the USA, more than 25 million adults (11%) experience chronic pain.⁵ Chronic pain is challenging, because it persists beyond healing time and is not easy to explain or treat. A range of clinical staff are involved in caring for people with chronic pain, and in the UK, there is a wide range in the provision of specialist care.⁶ Not all patients with chronic pain have access to specialist services, and a national UK audit in 2012 indicated that only 40% of pain clinics met the minimum standard of having a psychologist, physiotherapist and physician.⁶ The audit suggests that as many as 20% of patients with chronic pain visit accident and emergency departments even after visiting their general practitioner (GP), and as many as 66% visit a clinician three times within a 6-month period. A survey of undergraduate pain curricula for healthcare professionals (HCPs) in the UK⁷ indicates that although these curricula are available, pain education is highly variable and 'woefully inadequate given the prevalence and burden of pain⁷ (p. 78).

To cite: Toye F, Seers K, Barker KL. Meta-ethnography to understand healthcare professionals' experience of treating adults with chronic non-malignant pain. *BMJ Open* 2017;7:e018411. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2017-018411

Prepublication history and additional material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018411).

Received 27 June 2017 Revised 20 September 2017 Accepted 5 October 2017



¹Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford, UK ²Royal College of Nursing Research Institute, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK ³Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

Correspondence to Dr Francine Toye; francine.toye@ouh.nhs

The Cochrane Qualitative Research Methods Group acknowledges the importance of including qualitative findings within evidence-based healthcare.⁸ Oualitative evidence synthesis (QES) aims to bring together qualitative research findings to make them accessible for public, policy, practice and education. A recent synthesis of 11 QES has highlighted the personal challenge of living with chronic non-malignant pain and the loss of personal credibility that is integral to this experience.⁹ Findings from a QES of 77 qualitative studies exploring patients experience of living with chronic non-malignant pain also demonstrate that patients can experience healthcare as an adversarial battle.¹⁰ Understanding this from the perspective of HCPs will help us to unpick this experience and thus contribute to improvements in care provision. Although there is a large body of qualitative research exploring HCPs experience of treating chronic non-malignant pain, there has been no attempt to systematically search for and integrate this knowledge into a QES. We aimed to conduct a QES using the methods of meta-ethnography.¹¹ Meta-ethnography is widely used and has provided insight into healthcare experiences such as medicine taking,¹² diabetes¹³ antidepressants,¹⁴ osteo-porosis,¹⁵ chronic musculoskeletal pain^{10 16} and chronic pelvic pain.¹⁷

METHODS

Meta-ethnography is a method developed by Noblit and Hare that aims to synthesise qualitative research findings into a whole that is greater than the sum of its original parts.¹¹ We used the methods of meta-ethnography developed, refined and reported by Toye and colleagues.^{10 18} There are various methods for synthesising qualitative research.^{19–23} An important distinction is between (A) those that *describe* findings and (B) those, like meta-ethnography, that develop *conceptual* understandings through a process of constant comparison and abstraction.¹¹ There are seven stages to meta-ethnography: getting started, deciding what is relevant, reading the studies, determining how studies are related, translating studies into each other, synthesising translations and expressing the synthesis.¹¹

In their original text, Noblit and Hare do not advocate an exhaustive search,¹¹ and the number of studies included in meta-ethnographies ranges.²⁰ ²² ²⁴ Unlike quantitative syntheses, qualitative syntheses do not aim to summarise the entire body of available knowledge or make statistical inference. We searched five electronic bibliographic databases (MEDLINE, Embase, Cinahl, PsycINFO and Amed) using terms adapted from the InterTASC Information Specialists' Sub-Group Search Filter Resources.^{25–28} We used subject headings and freetext terms for *qualitative research*, combined with subject heading and free text terms for *pain* (table 1). We did not include citation checks, hand searching, grey literature or PhDs as, in our previous QES, 95% of the included studies were identified in the first three databases searched.¹⁰ We included studies that explored the experience of all clinical healthcare staff involved in the care of patients with chronic pain. We excluded: acute pain, head pain and arthritic conditions. FT and KLB screened the titles, abstracts and full text of potential studies.

There is currently no consensus on what makes a qualitative study good enough for QES.^{24 29} However, a growing number of reviewers are appraising studies for OES.²² We did not intend to use rigid guidelines but felt it important to seriously consider quality. We used three methods of appraisal: (A) The Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) questions for qualitative research³⁰; (B) constructs from a qualitative study in a previous meta-ethnography³¹ and (C) a global appraisal of whether the study was: 'key' (conceptually rich), 'satisfactory', 'irrelevant' or 'fatally flawed'.²⁹ As some journals are not explicit about ethical approval, we screened potential studies for ethical standards (CASP question 7: have ethical issues been taken into consideration?³⁰). If FT and KLB did not agree about inclusion, they consulted KS for a final decision. We used the GRADE-CERQual framework,³² which aims to rate how much confidence readers can place in review findings. GRADE-CERQual suggest four domains: (1) 'Methodological limitations'; (2) 'Relevance'; (3) 'Adequacy of data' (the 'degree of richness and quantity of data supporting a review finding'); (4) 'Coherence' (consistency across primary studies), and finally, an overall rating of confidence (high, moderate, low and very low).

We planned to develop a line of argument synthesis, which involves 'making a whole into something more than the parts alone imply¹¹ (p. 28). Analysis in large QES involves a process of: identifying concepts from qualitative studies, abstracting these concepts into conceptual categories, further abstracting categories into themes and finally developing a line of argument that makes sense of the themes. We read studies in batches of topic or professional grouping. We did not use an index paper to orientate the synthesis,³³ as we felt that this choice can have a dramatic impact on the interpretation.¹⁸ Two reviewers read each paper to identify, describe and list concepts. If they agreed that there was no clear concept, then it was excluded. Through constantly comparing and discussing concepts, three reviewers abstracted concepts into conceptual categories, using NVivo V.11 software for qualitative analysis to keep track of our analytical decisions.³⁴ NVivo is particularly useful for collaborative analysis as it allows the team to keep a record and compare interpretations. Once we had agreed and defined conceptual categories, these were printed onto cards and sent to our advisory group to read and sort into thematic groups. This group consisted of patients, allied health professionals, nursing professionals, doctors and managers. Then, during advisory meeting, the reviewers worked alongside the advisory group to finalise the themes that would be included in the line of argument. In this way, we were able to challenge our own interpretations. Some reviewers do not present a line of argument as part of their QES findings. Frost and colleagues indicate that there has been a move away from Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on January 17, 2018 - Published by group.bmj.com

Open Access

Table 1 Example search synta	x for MEDLINE
(I) Qualitative subject headings	EXP QUALITATIVE RESEARCH EXP INTERVIEWS AS TOPIC EXP FOCUS GROUPS NURSING METHODOLOGY RESEARCH ATTITUDE TO HEALTH
(II) Qualitative free-text terms	<pre>qualitative adj5 (theor* or study or studies or research or analysis) ethno.ti,ab emic or etic. ti,ab phenomenolog*.ti,ab hermeneutic*.ti,ab heidegger* or husserl* or colaizzi* or giorgi* or glaser or strauss or (van and kaam*) or (van and manen) or ricoeur or spiegelberg* or merleau).ti,ab constant adj3 compar*.ti,ab focus adj3 group*.ti,ab grounded adj3 (theor* or study or studies or research or analysis).ti,ab narrative adj3 analysis.ti,ab discourse adj3 analysis.ti,ab (lived or life) adj3 experience*.ti,ab (field adj note*) or (field adj record*) or fieldnote*.ti,ab participant* adj3 observ*.ti,ab action adj research.ti,ab (digital adj record) or audiorecord* or taperecord* or videorecord* or videotap*).ti,ab (semi-structured or semistructured or unstructured or structured) adj3 interview*.ti,ab (fiede-to-face* or 'face to face*) adj3 interview*.ti,ab 'ipa' or 'interpretative phenomenological analysis'.ti,ab 'appreciative inquiry*).ti,ab (social and construct*) or (post modern* or post-structural*) or (post structural* or poststructural*) or (post modern* or post-modern* or feminis*.).ti,ab humanistic or existential or experiential.ti,ab</pre>
(III) Pain subject headings	EXP BACK PAIN/OR EXP CHRONIC PAIN/OR EXP LOW BACK PAIN/OR EXP MUSCULOSKELETAL PAIN/OR EXP PAIN/OR EXP PAIN CLINICS/. EXP FIBROMYALGIA/ EXP PAIN MANAGEMENT/
(IV) Pain free-text terms	(chronic* or persistent* or long-stand* or longstand* or unexplain* or un-explain*) fibromyalgia 'back ache' or back-ache or backache 'pain clinic' or pain-clinic* pain adj5 syndrome*

interpretation and theory development in QES towards aggregative forms of synthesis.³⁵ The final analytic stage, 'synthesising translations', involved the three reviewers working together alongside the advisory group to craft the final themes into a 'line of argument' to build up a picture of the whole, grounded in the themes.

FINDINGS

We retrieved 184 full texts and excluded 101 studies (figure 1). We excluded 16 studies that were not qualitative or that included limited qualitative data.^{36–51} We agreed that 85 studies were out of scope^{52–136} (eg, they did not present the HCP voice or they did not explore the experience of chronic pain). Of the 83 studies remaining, we unanimously excluded six on the grounds of methodological report.^{137–142} FT and KLB unanimously appraised

experiences of over 1551 HCPs from USA (20 studies), UK (18 studies), Canada (10 studies), Sweden (10 studies), the Netherlands (4 studies), Norway (4 studies), Australia (4 studies), France (4 studies), Germany (1 study), Hong Kong (1 study), Ireland (1 study), Israel (1 study), Italy (1 study) and Spain (1 study) (table 2). We agreed that ethical issues had been satisfactorily considered in the study design of all 77 studies, and none were excluded on ethical grounds. Six studies were published before 2000, 37 were published between 2000 and 2010 and 34 were published from 2011 onwards. HCPs included

five studies as 'key papers'^{143–147} and the remaining studies

were appraised as 'satisfactory'. They did not agree about

four studies $^{148-151}$ that were subsequently included. We included 77 published studies . 143 144 $^{146-219}$ THIS

SHOULD BE REFS 143-219 please add 145 reporting the





Figure 1 Flow diagram of records identified and studies removed and included.

doctors, nurses and other health professionals in various contexts and geographical locations. Not all of the studies reported the number of participants from specific professional groups, which means that it was not possible to give the exact number of participants from each profession. Table 2 shows the author, year of publication, country, sample size, data collection, analytic approach, professional group/context, participants and study focus. The studies explored the experience of: GPs (10 studies); mixed HCPs in diverse contexts (4 studies); physiotherapists (3 studies); physiotherapists with a specialty in chronic pain (3 studies); mixed HCPs in fibromyalgia (5 studies); mixed HCPs in chronic pain services (11 studies); mixed HCPs in pain management related to employment (5 studies); mixed HCPs prescribing opioids to patients with chronic pain (12 studies); mixed HCPs using guidelines for chronic pain (6 studies); mixed HCPs working with older adults with chronic pain (3 studies); mixed HCPs working in long term care facilities (13 studies); and nurses (2 studies).

Two reviewers identified 371 concepts from the 77 studies included. They organised the 371 concepts into 42 conceptual categories and then into six themes: 15 out of 371 concepts did not fit our analysis (supplementary appendix 1). There were several topics with insufficient weight to develop robust themes: ethnicity, ^{172 201 202 211 212} gender²⁰³ and older people. ^{160 161 163 166 176 186 193 197 205} These may indicate useful areas of further research. Experience specific to opioid prescribing is reported elsewhere. A short

film presenting the key themes is available on YouTube (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=477yTJPg10o) and a report giving further details of analytical decisions is being published by the NIHR Journals library https://www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/hsdr/1419807/#/.

The six final themes were: (1) a sceptical cultural lens; (2) navigating juxtaposed models of medicine; (3) navigating the geography between patient and clinician; (4) the challenge of dual advocacy; (5) personal cost; and (6) the craft of pain management. These themes are illustrated below with narrative exemplars. Indicators of confidence in each review findings are shown in table 3, which shows: the number of studies rated as key/valuable or satisfactory (methodological limitations); the number of concepts (adequacy); the number of studies out of 77 (coherence); an assessment of study relevance; and our overall assessment of confidence. We rated our confidence in the review finding as high when it was supported by more than half of the studies $(n\geq 39)$. However, there is currently no agreed way of making an assessment of confidence for QES. We aimed to explore HCPs' experience of treating chronic non-malignant pain. We found that studies explored the experiences of diagnosing and treating chronic pain and that these experiences were inextricably linked. The studies supporting each theme are shown in table 3. The themes are drawn from a wide range of HCPs, including those specialising in chronic pain management

Open Access

	~
	ð
	t
	S S
	p
	ສ
	ts
	E
	b
	<u>.</u>
	Ē
	Sa
	X
	Ite
	5
	Õ
	p
	ar
	D
	D D
	ž
	С С
	. <u></u>
	SS
	ĕ
	ğ
	đ
	p
	au
	S
	'Si
	E
	ũ
	fa
	Ö
	e
	p
	0
	Ļ,
	ac
	ĝ
	ā
	ap
	ö
	Ţ
	ੰਡੇ
	Ľ
	p
	Ĕ
	et
	E
	Ę
	Ę
	Ö
	₩
	8
	g
	at
	0
	≥ ⊂
	LT
	nc
	ŭ
	Ĺ,
ļ	.0
ļ	ä
ļ	ili
ļ	qr
	ğ
	of
	L.
	leo
	7
	u u
	g

Table 2 Authc focus	or and year c	of publication, countr	y, data collection methoo	d, analytic approach	, order of analysis and profession	al group and context, participants and study
Author, year	Country	Data collection	Analytical approach	Order of analysis and professional group/context	Participants	Study focus
Afrell, 2010 ¹⁴⁶	Norway	Focus groups	Phenomenology	 Specialist physiotherapists 	6 Physiotherapists with 10– 15 years' experience (three pain management, two primary care, one private practise)	To explore physiotherapists experiences of using key questions when assesing patients with long- standing pain
Allegretti, 2010 ¹⁵²	DSA	Semistructured interview	Immersion-crystallisation	1. Primary care physicians/GPs	13 Physicians (five residents eight attending)	To explore shared experiences among chronic LBP patients and their physicians
Asbring, 2003 ¹⁵³	Sweden	Semistructured interview	Grounded theory	5. Fibromyalgia	26 Physicians (GP, rheumatology, infectious diseases, rehabilitation, internal medicine and neurology)	To explore: (1) how physicians describe patients with chronic fatigue and fibromyalgia; (2) what the conditions mean to physicians; (3) strategies used
Baldacchino, 2010 ¹⁵⁴	Scotland	Focus groups and interviews	Framework analysis	8. Opioid prescription	29 Physicians (primary care, addiction specialists, pain specialist, rheumatologist)	To explore physicians' attitudes and experience of prescribing opioids for chronic non-cancer pain with a history of substance abuse
Barker, 2015 ¹⁵⁵	Х	Semistructured interviews	Action research	4. Specialist physiotherapists	7 Physiotherapists (one clinical lead, three advanced practitioners, two senior physiotherapists, one assistant practitioner)	To explore the implementation of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy to physiotherapy-led pain rehabilitation programme
Barry, 2010 ¹⁵⁶	NSA	Semistructured interview	Grounded theory	8. Opioid prescription	23 Physicians	To explore physicians' attitudes and experiences about treating chronic non-cancer pain
Baszanger, 1992 ¹⁵⁷	France	Ethnography	Grounded theory	6. Chronic pain services	NK physicians: 326 consultations of pain medicine specialists observed	To explore how physicians specialising in pain medicine work at deciphering chronic pain
Berg, 2009 ¹⁵⁸	NSA	Semistructured interview	Thematic analysis	8. Opioid prescription	12 Physicians 4 'Physician assistants'	To explore providers' perceptions of ambiguity and examine strategies for making diagnostic and treatment decisions to manage chronic pain (methadone maintenance therapy)
Bergman, 2013 ^{15,}	P USA	Interviews	Thematic analysis	1. Primary care physicians/GPs	14 GPs	To explore the experiences of patients and HCPs communicating with each other about pain management in the primary care setting
Blomberg, 2008 ¹⁶⁰	Sweden	Focus groups	Grounded theory	11. Nursing	20 District nurses (10 with pain management training)	To explore and explain district nurses care of chronic pain sufferers
Blomqvist, 2003 ¹⁶¹	Sweden	Interviews	Content analysis	9. Older adults	52 Mixed HCPs (35 auxiliary nurses, 13 registered nurses, 4 PT/OTs	To explore HCPs' perceptions of older people in persistent pain
						Continued

pe	n Acce	ess										6
	Study focus	To explore experiences of fibromyalgia management, diagnostic approach, therapeutic management and the health professional-patient relationship	To explore attitudes and approaches to pain management of older adults, from the perspectives of HCPs' representing multidisciplinary teams	To explore the experience of transition from an interdisciplinary team to a transdisciplinary model of care in a functional restoration programme for chronic MSK pain	To explore how GPs understand chronic low back pain, how they approach the consultation and how they conceptualise the management of this problem	To explore nursing home staff's pain assessments in nursing home residents	To explore the perceptions of a nursing home staff who participated in a study to develop and evaluate a multifaceted pain management intervention	To explore concept of timely return-to-work with musculoskeletal injuries, approaches to treatment of injured workers and perspectives on the barriers and facilitators of successful return-to-work	To explore differences between clinical judgement, workers' representations about their disability and clinicians' interpretations of these representations	To explore barriers and facilitators for the implementation of low back pain guidelines from GPs' perspective	To explore physiotherapists' pain beliefs and the role they played within their management of chronic low back pain	Continued
	Participants	9 Mixed HCPs (GPs, occupational health physicians, physiotherapists, rheumatologists, psychologists, psychiatrist)	13 Mixed HCPs (GPs, anaesthetist, elderly care physician, OT/PT, nurse, psychologist)	10 Mixed HCPs (OT/PT, kinesiology, cognitive behavioural, psychology, work, resource specialty, customer service)	20 GPs	NK mixed (licenced and unlicenced care nurses and other workers)	 103 Mixed HCPs (9 administrators, 38 registered nurses, 26 licenced practical nurses, 22 certified nursing assistants, 2 rehab therapists, 3 social workers, 3 directors/ assistants) 	30 Chiropractors (involved in return to work)	5 Occupational therapy/ kinesiology	38 GPs	6 Physiotherapists	
	Order of analysis and professional group/context	5. fibromyalgia	9. Older adults	6. Chronic pain services	1. Primary care physicians/GPs	10. Pain in age care facilities	10. Pain in age care facilities	7. Pain-related work disability	7. Pain-related work disability	9. Guidelines	3. Physiotherapists	
	Analytical approach	Discourse analysis	Thematic analysis	Grounded theory	Grounded theory	Ethnography	Content analysis	Thematic analysis	Thematic analysis	Immersion-crystallisation	Grounded theory	
	Data collection	Semistructured interview	Semistructured telephone interviews	Semistructured interview	Semistructured interview	Focus groups	Semistructured interviews	Focus groups	Semistructured interviews	Focus groups	Semistructured interviews	
ned	Country	Spain	Scotland	Canada	ž	NSA	NSA	Canada	Canada	Israel	ž	
Table 2 Conti	Author, year	Briones- Vozmediano, 2013 ¹⁶²	Cameron, 2015 ^{16.}	Cartmill, 2011 ¹⁶⁴	Chew-Graham, 1999 ¹⁶⁵	Clark, 2004 ¹⁶⁶	Clark, 2006 ¹⁶⁷	Côté, 2001 ¹⁶⁸	Coutu, 2013 ¹⁶⁹	Dahan, 2007 ¹⁷⁰	Daykin, 2004 ¹⁷¹	

Table 2 Conti	nued					
Author, year	Country	Data collection	Analytical approach	Order of analysis and professional group/context	Participants	Study focus
Dobbs, 2014 ¹⁷²	NSA	Focus groups	Content analysis	10. Pain in age care facilities	28 Nursing assistants	To explore: (1) communication about pain between nursing home residents and nursing assistants; (2) how race and ethnicity influence experiences; and (3) assistants' pain experiences that affect their empathy
Eccleston, 1997 ¹⁵¹	Хn	Q-analysis	Q-analysis	2. Mixed HCPs	11 Mixed HCPs (five anaesthetists, four psychologists, one nurse, one physiotherapist)	To explore how sense is made of the causes of chronic pain
Espeland, 2003 ¹⁷³	Norway	Focus groups	Phenomenology	9. Guidelines	13 GPs	To explore: (A) that affect GPs' decisions about ordering X-rays for back pain and (B) barriers to guideline adherence
Esquibel, 2014 ¹⁷⁴	NSA	Interviews	Immersion-crystallisation	8. Opioid prescription	21 Family practitioners (10 residents, 6 attending)	To explore the experiences of adults receiving opioid therapy for relief of chronic non-cancer pain and that of their physicians
Fontana, 2008 ¹⁷⁵	NSA	Semistructured interview	Emancipatory research	8. Opioid prescription	9 Advanced practice nurses	To explore factors that influence the prescribing practices of advanced practice nurses for patients with chronic non-malignant pain
Fox, 2004 ¹⁷⁶	Canada	Focus groups	Thematic analysis	10. Pain in age care facilities	54 Mixed HCPs (13 healthcare aides, 8 registered practice nurses, 19 registered nurses, 6 physicians, 8 OTs/PTs)	To explore barriers to the management of pain in long-term care institutions
Gooberman-Hill, 2011 ¹⁷⁷	UK	Semistructured interview	Thematic analysis	8. Opioid prescription	27 GPs	To explore GPs' opinions about opioids and decision-making processes when prescribing 'strong' opioids for chronic joint pain
Gropelli, 2013 ¹⁵⁰	USA	Semistructured interviews	Content analysis	10. Pain in age care facilities	16 Nurses (registered and licenced practical nurses)	To explore nurses' perceptions of pain management in older adults in long-term care
Hansson, 2001 ¹⁷⁶	Sweden	Interviews	Grounded theory	7. Pain-related work disability	4 Physicians	To explore life lived with recurrent, spine-related pain and to explore the development from work to disability pension
Harting, 2009 ¹⁷⁹	The Netherlands	Focus groups	Content analysis	9. Guidelines	30 Physiotherapists	To explore the determinants of guideline adherence among physical therapists
Hayes, 2010 ¹⁸⁰	Canada	Focus groups and interviews	Grounded theory	5. Fibromyalgia	32 Physicians (GPs, rheumatologists, psychiatrists, neurologists, anaesthesiologists)	To explore knowledge and attitudinal challenges affecting optimal care in fibromyalgia
Hellman, 2015 ¹⁸¹	Sweden	Semistructured interviews	Thematic analysis	7. Pain-related work disability	15 Mixed HCPs (four OTs, four PTs, two social workers, three physicians, two psychologists)	To explore health professionals' experience of working with return to work (RTW) in multimodal rehabilitation for people with non-specific back pain
						Continued

en Acc	ess											5
Study focus	To explore the clinical experiences of doctors when meeting patients with fibromyalgia	To explore the experiences of nursing assistants who work with older people in residential aged care facilities (chronic pain example)	To explore the experiences of nursing assistants who work with older people in residential aged care facilities (chronic pain example)	To explore person-centred care from the perspectives of people with chronic back pain and the interprofessional teams who care for them	To explore the decision-making process of pain management of physicians/nurses and how their attitudes about pain affect their decisions about prescribing among older adults in long-term care	To explore the perceptions of healthcare team members who provide care for residents and nurse managers views regarding the nurse practitioner role in pain management in long-term care	To explore barriers to pain management in long- term care and develop an interprofessional approach to improve pain management	To explore themes regarding emergency physicians' definition, awareness, use and opinions of opioid-prescribing guidelines	To explore physicians' and patients' perspectives on recommended opioid management practices and to identify potential barriers/facilitators of guideline-concordant opioid management in primary care	To explore GPs' experience in contact with chronic pain patients and what works and does not work in these consultations	To explore nursing assistants' roles during the process of pain management for residents	Continued
Participants	20 Physicians (10 rheumatologists, 10 GPs)	6 Nursing assistants	6 Nursing assistants	9 Mixed HCPs (consultant nurse, physiotherapist, two consultant psychologists, two pain nurses, three anaesthetists)	66 Mixed HCPs (physicians (n=9), registered practical nurses)	NK Mixed HCPs (registered nurses, personal support workers, nurse practitioners, physicians, pharmacist, PTs, clinical nurse specialists)	53 Mixed HCPs (15 registered nurses, 6 registered practical nurses, 4 physicians, 20 unlicenced care practitioners, 2 pharmacists, 2 PTs, 4 administrators)	61 Emergency physicians	14 Primary care physicians	5 GPs	49 Nursing assistants	
Order of analysis and professional group/context	5. Fibromyalgia	10. Pain in age care facilities	10. Pain in age care facilities	6. Chronic pain services	10. Pain in age care facilities	10. Pain in age care facilities	10. Pain in age care facilities	8. Opioid prescription	8. Opioid prescription	1. Primary care physicians/gps	10. Pain in age care facilities	
Analytical approach	Phenomenology	Constant comparison	Constant comparison	Grounded theory	Grounded theory	Thematic analysis	Case-study analysis	Grounded theory	Immersion-crystallisation	Narrative analysis	Content analysis	
Data collection	Interviews	Semistructured interviews	Semistructured interviews	Interviews and focus groups	Interviews and 8 focus groups	Interviews and focus groups	Interviews and focus groups	Semistructured interview	Semistructured interview	Interviews	Interviews and focus groups	
Country	Sweden	Australia	Australia	NK	Canada	Canada	Canada	NSA	USA	Sweden	Hong Kong	
Author, year	Hellström, 1998 ¹⁸²	Holloway, 2009 ¹⁸³	Holloway, 2009* ¹⁸⁴	Howarth, 2012 ¹⁸⁵	Kaasalainen, 2007¹ ⁸⁶	Kaasalainen, 2010 ¹⁸⁷	Kaasalainen, 2010 ¹⁸⁸	Kilaru, 2014 ¹⁸⁹	Krebs, 2014 ¹⁹⁰	Kristiansson, 2011 ¹⁹¹	Liu, 2014 ¹⁹²	

Table 2 Contir	pant					
Author, year	Country	Data collection	Analytical approach	Order of analysis and professional group/context	Participants	Study focus
Löckenhoff, 2013 ¹⁹³	USA	Focus groups	Content analysis	2. Mixed HCPS	44 Mixed HCPs (21 physicians, 23 physical therapists)	To explore how perceptions of chronological time influence the management of chronic non-cancer pain in middle-aged and older patients
Lundh, 2004 ¹⁹⁴	Sweden	Focus groups	Constant comparison	 Primary care physicians/gps 	14 GPs	To explore what it means to be a GP meeting patients with non-specific muscular pain
Macneela, 2010 ¹⁴⁹	Ireland	Critical incident interview	Thematic analysis	 Primary care physicians/gps 	12 GPs	To explore how GPs represent chronic low back pain especially in relation to psychosocial care
McConigley, 2008 ¹⁹⁵	Australia	Interviews and focus groups	Thematic analysis	10. Pain in age care facilities	34 Mixed HCPs (7 GPs, 11 registered nurses, 4 enrolled nurses, 8 allied health professionals, 4 facility managers)	To develop recommendations and a 'toolkit' to facilitate implementation of pain management strategies in Australian Residential Aged Care Facilities
McCrorie, 2015 ¹⁹⁶	ž	Focus groups	Grounded theory	8. Opioid prescription	15 GPs	To explore the processes that bring about and perpetuate long-term prescribing of opioids for chronic, non-cancer pain
Mentes, 2004 ¹⁹⁷	NSA	Semistructured interviews	Thematic analysis	10. Pain in age care facilities	11 Certified nursing assistants	To evaluate pain information from formal direct caregivers who cared for cognitively impaired residents
0'Connor, 2015 ¹⁹⁸	USA	Ethnography	Constant comparison	6. Chronic pain services	NK Mixed HCPs (psychiatrist, chiropractor acupuncturist, yoga/massage/ craniosacral/ movement/ massage/ occupational therapists, medical director, health coach, nutritionist)	To explore patterns of communication and decision making among clinicians collaborating in the care of challenging patients with chronic low back pain
Øien, 2011 ¹⁴⁸	Norway	Interviews, focus groups, observation	Case study	3. Physiotherapists	6 Physiotherapists	To explore communicative patterns about change in demanding physiotherapy treatment situations
Oosterhof, 2014 ¹⁹⁹	The Netherlands	Interviews and observation	Thematic analysis	6. Chronic pain services	10 Mixed HCPs (three OTs, onr rehabilitation physician, three physiotherapists, two psychologists, one social worker)	To explore which factors are associated with a successful treatment outcome in chronic pain patients and professionals participating in a multidisciplinary rehabilitation programme
Parsons, 2012 ²⁰⁰	UK	Semistructured interviews	Framework analysis	2. Mixed HCPs	19 Mixed HCPs (5 osteopaths, 4 chiropractors, 10 physiotherapists)	To explore beliefs about chronic muscular pain and its treatment and how these beliefs influenced care seeking and process of care
Patel, 2008 ²⁰¹	NK	Semistructured interview	Thematic analysis	1. Primary care physicians/GPs	18 GPs (11 South Asian/7 white British)	To explore GPs' experiences of managing patients with chronic pain from a South Asian community
Patel, 2009* ²⁰²	Х	Semistructured interview	Thematic analysis	1. Primary care physicians/gps	18 GPs (11 South Asian/7 white British)	To explore the experiences and needs for management of people from a South Asian community who have chronic pain
						Continued

Table 2 Contir	penu					
Author, year	Country	Data collection	Analytical approach	Order of analysis and professional group/context	Participants	Study focus
Paulson, 1999 ²⁰³	Sweden	Interviews	Phenomenology	5. Fibromyalgia	21 Mixed HCPs (17 nurses, 4 physicians)	To explore the experiences of nurses and physicians in their encounter with men with fibromyalgia
Poitras, 2011 ²⁰⁴	Canada	Semistructured interviews	Thematic analysis	9. Guidelines	9 Occupational therapists	To identify barriers and facilitators related to the use of low-back pain guidelines as perceived by OTs
Ruiz, 2010 ²⁰⁵	USA	Focus groups and interviews	Grounded theory	9. Older adults	 Mixed HCPs (14 primary care physicians, 5 nurse practitioners) 	To explore the attitudes of primary care clinicians towards chronic non-malignant pain management in older people
Schulte, 2010 ²⁰⁶	Germany	Semistructured interview	Thematic analysis	1. Primary care physicians/gps	10 GPs	To explore the factors that influence whether referrals from GPs are made, and at what stage, to specialised pain centres
Scott-Dempster 2014 ²⁰⁷	N	Semistructured interviews	IPA	 Specialist physiotherapists 	6 Physiotherapists	To explore physiotherapists' experiences of using activity pacing with people with chronic musculoskeletal pain
Seamark, 2013 ²⁰⁸	NK	Interviews and focus groups	Thematic analysis	8. Opioid prescription	22 GPs	To explore factors influencing GPs' prescribing of strong opioid drugs for chronic non-malignant pain
Shye, 1998 ²⁰⁹	NSA	Focus groups	Immersion-crystallisation	9. Guidelines	22 Primary care physicians	To explore why an intervention to reduce variability in imaging rates for low back pain was ineffective among physicians
Siedlecki, 2014 ²¹⁰	NSA	Interviews	Grounded theory	11. Nursing	48 Nurses	To explore nurses' assessment and decision- making behaviours related to the care of patients with chronic pain in the acute care setting
Slade, 2012 ¹⁴⁴	Australia	Focus groups	Grounded theory	3. Physiotherapists	23 Physiotherapists	To explore how physiotherapists prescribe exercise for people with non-specific chronic low back pain in the absence of definitive or differential diagnoses
Sloots, 2009 ²¹¹	The Netherlands	Semistructured interviews	Thematic analysis	6. Chronic pain services	4 Rehabilitation physicians	To explore factors lead to tension in the patient- physician interaction in the first consultation by rehabilitation physicians of patients with chronic non-specific low back pain of Turkish and Moroccan origin
Sloots, 2010 ²¹²	The Netherlands	Semistructured interviews	Thematic analysis	6. Chronic pain services	10 Mixed HCPs (8 rehabilitation physicians, 1 PT, 1 OT)	To explore which factors led to drop-out in patients of Turkish and Moroccan origin with chronic non-specific low back pain in a rehabilitation programme
Spitz, 2011 ²¹³	NSA	Focus groups	Thematic analyis	8. Opioid prescription	26 Mixed HCPs (23 physicians, 3 nurse practitioners)	To explore primary care providers' experiences and attitudes towards prescribing opioids as a treatment for chronic pain among older adults
Starrels, 2014 ²¹⁴	USA	Telephone interview	Grounded theory	8. Opioid prescription	28 Physicians	To explore primary care providers' experiences, beliefs and attitudes about using opioid treatment agreements for patients with chronic pain
						Continued

		tion and service needs hronic pain to inform the -based chronic pain self- me	2Ps perceive chronic pain	on HCPs of watching and about patients' experience	e between the health patient at a pain clinic	a of treating medically lisorders	g of the guideline and the ssociated with it	hysiotherapists' perceptions in in patients with ems	at are important to address to build a partnership with bain	
	Study focus	To explore the informa of young adults with cl development of a web management program	To explore the way HC	To explore the impact discussing a short film of chronic MSK pain	To explore the dialogu professionals and the	To explore the dilemm explained upper limb o	To explore the meaning sociopolitical events a	To explore GPs' and p of sickness certificatio musculoskeletal proble	To explore aspects tha during a consultation t patients with chronic p	
	Participants	17 Mixed HCPs (6 physicians, 4 registered nurses, 3 physiotherapists, 1 pharmacist, 1 chiropractor, 1 marriage and family therapist, 1 OT)	22 Mixed HCPs (seven physicians, three psychologist, two physiotherapists, eight nurses, two social workers)	19 Mixed HCPs(11 GPs, 3 nurses, 3 pharmacists, 1 physiotherapist, 1 psychiatrist)	5 mixed HCPs (medicine, nursing physiotherapy)	14 GPs	NK Mixed HCPs (involved in the debate)	17 Mixed HCPs (11 GP, 6 physiotherapists)	17 Physicians (12 rheumatology, 2 neurology, 1 immunology, 1 psychiatry, 1 'nervous and mental disease')	
	Order of analysis and professional group/context	6. Chronic pain services	6. Chronic pain services	2. Mixed HCPs	6. Chronic pain services	 Primary care physicians/GPs 	9. Guidelines	7. Pain-related work disability	6. Chronic pain services	
	Analytical approach	Thematic analysis	Grounded theory	Grounded theory	Content analysis	Thematic analysis	Ethnography	Constant comparison	Thematic analysis	
	Data collection	Focus groups	Interviews	Focus groups	Focus groups	Interviews	Interviews, letters, documents	Semistructured interviews	Semistructured interviews	
nued	Country	Canada	Sweden	UK	Norway	UK	ЯN	UK	Italy	
Table 2 Conti	Author, year	Stinson, 2013 ²¹⁵	Thunberg, 2001 ¹⁴⁷	Toye, 2015 ²¹⁶	Tveiten, 2009 ²¹⁷	Wainwright, 2006 ¹⁴⁵	Wilson, 2014 ¹⁴³	Wynne-Jones, 2014 ²¹⁸	Zanini, 2014 ²¹⁹	

*Sample reported in two papers. GPs, general practitioners; HCPs, healthcare professionals; IPA, interpretative phenomenological analysis; LBP, low back pain; MSK, musculoskeletal; NK, not known; OTs, occupational therapists; PT, physiotherapist.

Table 3 Con	fidence in rev	view findings: GRADE-CERQual assessment			
Review finding	Adequacy number of concepts	Coherence* number of studies/77	Methodological limitations n=satisfactory (n=key)	Relevance	Overall assessment of confidence
Sceptical cultura lens	1 43	29 ^{147 149} 151 153 156 159 161 166-168 170-174 180 182 183 180 191 194 196 200 210 213-216	29 (0)	22 direct, 4 indirect, 2 partial, 1 uncertain	Moderate
Navigating juxtaposed models of medicine	77	44 144-149 152 153 155-158 162 165 168-170 173 174 182 191 193 194 196 199 204 206 207 209 210 212 215-219	42 (Z)	37 direct, 4 indirect, 2 partial, 1 uncertain	High
Navigating the geography between patient and HCP	92	36 144-149 152 153 155-158 162 165 168-170 173 174 182 191 183 194 196 199 204 206 207 208 210 212 215-219	34 (2)	29 direct, 3 indirect, 3 partial, 1 uncertain	Moderate
The craft of pain management	60	31 143 144 149 150 153-156 158 159 158 170-173 175-177 179 185 188 194 195 199 200 204 205 208 210 213 218	29 (Z)	27 direct, 2 indirect, 1 partial, 1 uncertain	Moderate
Challenge of dual advocacy	20	36 144 147 149 150 156 159 150 152-154 156-158 170 175 178 151 153-156 158 151 152 155-158 202 204-206 209 210 215 218	35 (1)	26 direct, 4 indirect, 5 partial, 1 uncertain	Moderate
Personal cost	71	33 146 148 152 153 155 156 158 159 161 162 165 166 170-172 176 182-184 186 191 192 194 196 197 201 207 210 215-219	32 (1)	28 direct, 4 indirect, 1 partial	Moderate
*15/371 concept: HCPs, healthcare	s did not fit cor e practitioners.	iceptual categories.			

who may be more likely to adopt a biopsychosocial approach.¹⁴⁶ ¹⁴⁷ ¹⁵⁵ ¹⁵⁷ ¹⁶⁴ ¹⁸⁵ ¹⁹⁸ ¹⁹⁹ ²¹¹ ²¹² ²¹⁵ ²¹⁷ ²¹⁹

A sceptical cultural lens

This theme describes a culturally entrenched sceptical view of chronic non-malignant pain from which HCPs did not always trust patients' reports of pain. This lack of trust meant that clinical work involved determining whether the pain was *something* or *nothing*. HCPs found themselves making judgements, based on personal factors rather than clinical findings, about whether the pain was *real* or *imagined*. They pondered dissonance between what the patient said and what the HCP could see.

Sometimes I could have a patient sitting there and saying that they are hurting, 10 out of 10, and they are sitting like you and I.¹⁵⁹ (Bergman, 2013, primary care, GP, USA)

Some people say 'This is the worst pain I've had in my whole life' without any real sort of physical signs of pain so it's really tough; we have a complex job in assessing that.¹⁸⁸ (Kaasalainen, 2010, aged care facilities, unspecified HCP, Canada)

There was a sense that HCPs were 'on guard'¹⁴⁹ against exploitation from fraudulent claims. For example, the following family practitioners felt concerned about being 'manipulated' or 'exploited' by patients:

It is not clear to me why he is the way he is... this catastrophic pain and what he is telling himself about it... but there is always a little bit... of concern; am I being manipulated, is this really real?¹⁷⁴ (Esquibel, 2014, opioid prescription, family practitioner, USA)

Such people... ones whose wishes you cannot fathom – provoke anger and frustration because at some point, you don't always know how to verify their complaints. You feel somewhat exploited. It is a very unpleasant feeling.¹⁷⁰ (Dahan, 2007, guidelines, GP, Israel)

HCPs engaged in a process of categorisation to decipher patients' truth claims. This categorisation hinged on deciphering a multiplicity of dualities that were superimposed on a polarity of 'good' and 'bad'. HCP described these dualities as follows: easy/difficult, explained/not explained, local/diffuse pain, adherent/non-adherent, stoical/weak, motivated/unmotivated, accepting/ resisting, non-complaining/complaining and deserving/ non-deserving. Some recognised that this categorisation was flawed and advocated trust as the basis of the therapeutic relationship. For example, the following HCPs describe how at times they had made the wrong judgement about patients who were truly in pain:

Sometimes we say 'oh she came in with back pain but I don't think she's really in pain'... but really even if somebody is in pain and distress, [it] doesn't always have to be in how they present themselves... that doesn't mean she is not in pain.²¹⁶ (Toye, 2015, mixed HCPs on a pain education course, unspecified HCP, UK)

I hate to say it... but I used to be one of the people that used to say, 'Oh, well, they are probably just wanting attention.' But I've changed in that matter. People are in pain, and it's not just to get attention.¹⁶⁷ (Clark, 2006, age care facilities, unspecified HCP, USA)

Navigating juxtaposed models of medicine

This describes the challenge of navigating juxtaposed models of medicine: the biomedical and the biopsychosocial. The biomedical model takes disease to be an objective biomedical category not influenced by psychosocial factors, whereas the biopsychosocial model incorporates psychosocial factors influencing the pain experience.²²⁰ There was a culturally entrenched pull towards the biomedical *siren song* of diagnosis.¹⁴⁴

Being able to track something gives me more comfort than going by what you're telling me... because I like to see proof... You [want to]... be convinced that you're treating *something* and that what you're treating is real.¹⁵⁸ (Berg, 2009, opioid prescription, physician, USA)

I will listen to their story, I will examine them and I always say you have got to exclude the physical first that is your job... we have an obligation to exclude the physical first and not jump into [psychosocial explanations] because it reduces the patient to being an un-necessary complainer and I don't believe that they really are.¹⁴⁵ (Wainwright, 2006, primary care, GP, UK)

The following GP describes how chronic pain can obscure 'real' tangible health problems (such as high cholesterol) with the implication that chronic pain is less real:

They don't seem to worry about issues that might be real... like his cholesterol is high... there are some other issues that he needs to attend to... his father died when he was fifty two. He's not worried.¹⁵⁹ (Bergman, 2013, primary care, GP, USA)

Some HCPs used a dualistic biopsychosocial model, whereby once *something* biomedical has been excluded, they made an abrupt shift towards psychosocial explanations. Here clinical work shifted away from diagnosis towards persuading patients that psychosocial factors influenced pain. This abrupt shift could threaten the therapeutic relationship, and HCPs described how psychological explanations came with a stigma attached.

The terminology... psychiatric and psychological... have a stigma attached to them that is not intended... we accept that patients with long term pain will have a psychological component to it but actually labelling it as that.²¹⁶ (Toye, 2015, mixed HCPs on a pain education course, unspecified HCP, UK)

<u>6</u>

HCPs therefore tended to default to physical explanations or used 'bridging' strategies to keep the patient on board. The following HCPs described the importance of approaching psychological explanations in a very careful way:

It is a subtlety and if you present [the explanation for pain] as a completely airy fairy psychological, it is up to you, then they are going to go away dissatisfied, so you have got to lead them in gently.¹⁴⁵ (Wainwright, 2006, primary care, GP, UK)

If you start from the body and if you ask a little carefully how things work when you are physically like that, then it is not threatening, and you can approach things, like, through the body.¹⁴⁶ (Afrell, 2010, pain specialist, physiotherapist, Norway)

Some felt that a diagnosis could help a patient to move forward or give a sense of relief. One HCP described how they might 'feign diagnostic certainty' to achieve this goal.¹⁴⁵ Another HCP voiced ethical concerns about the deception of *feigning* diagnostic certainty.

I think giving it a label that actually has no justification I think is misleading to the patient and I actually feel quite strongly about that.¹⁴⁵ (Wainwright, 2006, primary care, GP, UK)

Not all HCPs used a dualistic biopsychosocial model and did not make this abrupt shift towards psychosocial explanations. Rather, they used an embodied biopsychosocial approach with 'no breaking point where the physical becomes psychological'.¹⁵⁷ There was a sense that pain is multidimensional and that the 'physician gaze'²²¹ is multifocal. Here clinical work involved understanding person's suffering from the outset of care. The following HCPs working in specialist pain services describe feelings of empathy and understanding:

While we talked... many losses came up and I began myself to think about what all this was about in fact, what is this pain? Where it came out that there was a lot of disappointment, where there was divorce and... yes, it can't be purely physiological.¹⁴⁶ (Afrell, 2010, pain specialist, physiotherapist, Norway)

Once a person's life has fallen apart it's not so much about the pain and the injury anymore. It's about all these other things in their life and it's all these other things that need to be addressed in order to get them better and get them back on track.¹⁶⁴ (Cartmill, 2011, chronic pain services, unspecified HCP, Canada)

Those who used a more embodied psychosocial model recognised that sitting alongside and supporting patients, rather trying to 'fix' them, could be rewarding for both the patient and their HCP.

I think the sort of traditional model of treatment doesn't allow people to express how pain has affected their whole life, it is very homed in to the particular area of the body and trying to fix it, and I just find it more satisfying to work in a way that acknowledges and discusses the impact.²⁰⁷ (Scott-Dempster, 2014 pain specialist, physiotherapist, UK)

HCPs also described how time restrictions could encourage HCPs to focus on the physical body and were perceived as a barrier to an embodied approach.

We are limited by the amount of time with the patient. I know this sounds bad, but [talking about pain] opens a can of worms.²¹⁰ (Siedlecki, 2014, acute care, nursing, USA)

Navigating the geography between patient and clinician

This describes the complexity of navigating the geography between patient and HCP. The metaphor of geography is used to portray a sense that the terrain could prove treacherous. The following HCP describes patients feeling dissatisfied by the health encounter:

People feel let down by their doctors... The degree of satisfaction is very low... basically because we don't solve their problem... They go from one to the other, they find a doctor who gives them hope and they go to him.¹⁶² (Briones-Vozmediano, 2013, fibromyalgia, occupational health doctor, Spain)

HCPs therefore made concessions in order to navigate the geography between patient and clinician. For example, they might make choices of doubtful medical utility, such as prescribing pain killers or referring for an investigation, in order to maintain relationships. Concessions were sometimes necessary to balance long-term and short-term gains. The following HCP describes referring a patient for a test in order to show the patient that they are listening to them:

Sometimes patients refuse to believe that their condition cannot be treated... and insistently ask for a series of medical investigations that you, as a doctor, would not perform. In such cases, a medical investigation can work as a therapy because it... shows that you listened to them.²¹⁹ (Zanini, 2014, chronic pain services, neurology physician, USA)

HCPs also described the personal challenge that accompanied a need to balance professional expertise and patient empowerment. The following HCPs described how they could find it difficult to stand back and let patients make what they felt was a '*wrong*' decision. The following examples highlight HCPs personal struggle with this challenge:

Trying to allow myself to listen objectively and to... sit with the fact that actually [the patient] might want to do something which is wholly *unsensible*, but allowing that to happen if that truly is what they want.²⁰⁷ (Scott-Dempster, 2014, pain specialist, physiotherapist, UK)

I recognise that... we are trying to promote learning by giving choice and allowing people to get it wrong... we learn by *doing* not by being told what to do. I get

that, although it is still hard... not to give advice when I see... that the advice can be really helpful.¹⁵⁵ (Barker, 2015, pain specialist, physiotherapist, UK)

If a conflict arose, the 'short-circuit'²¹⁷ could be to take control, but there remained a sense that discussion rather than enforcement was more effective in the long term. Empowering patients involved helping them to make decisions for themselves with HCP support.

[If we think] 'I know that this is the correct answer'... then you do not allow the patient to participate. He then becomes a receiver. But if you share your knowledge... then you offer the patient an opportunity to think and decide by himself.²¹⁷ (Tveiten, 2009, chronic pain services, unspecified HCP, Norway)

Patients have to embrace our suggestion because they are convinced that it is the right one and not because we want them to choose a particular option. If you propose something that is inconsistent with their experience or knowledge, there is a risk that they will not listen to you.²¹⁹ (Zanini, 2014, chronic pain services, rheumatology physician, USA)

The challenge of dual advocacy

This theme describes the HCP as being simultaneously an advocate of the patient and an advocate of the healthcare system. While representing the patients' interests, at the same time, HCPs represented the healthcare system and made important decisions as representatives of that system. This resonates with the challenge of making decisions based on what is best for the individual patient alongside utilitarian decisions for the greatest number. At times, this sense of dual advocacy could create an uncomfortable feeling that healthcare colleagues were not working on the same side as each other or the patient. At times, it could feel like the experience was spiralling of control ('a ship without a rudder').

It all ends up on our doorstep. It is not only we who face the system – we are mediators of sorts between the patient and the system. Not only must we work with the patient against the system, but with the system as well.¹⁷⁰ (Dahan, 2007, guidelines, GP, Israel)

As soon as someone gets sort of uncomfortable they will shift to a different prescriber and they will push them along a certain course... and I honestly think it's like a ship without a rudder and it's just going round and round in circles.¹⁹⁶ (McCrorie, 2015, opioid prescription, GP, UK)

HCPs also described how it could prove difficult to access specialist pain services and that it could feel like there was a mismatch between what the HCP expected and what they received.

There is a really big access issue with the pain clinics right now... while I can refer them, their likelihood of getting an appointment, even with strong advocacy from me, is very low... Often I find that they are not accomplishing any more than I was and [patients] are often sent back to me with them essentially saying, 'we did our best.' It's very frustrating, because if they were easy... they wouldn't have been referred.¹⁵⁶ (Barry, 2010, opioid prescription, physician, USA)

HCPs recognised the benefits of a healthcare system where the cogs worked smoothly: the benefits of reciprocity and collaboration, being confident in the capabilities of colleagues and reciprocal respect.

We get a lot of mileage about slapping each other on the back a little bit. And increasing other members of the team's confidence by respecting other members of the team, their profile is improved.¹⁸⁵ (Howarth, 2012, chronic pain services, physician, UK)

If the team sort of echoes the same message and provides richness in terms of their different perspective on it... then I think there's less confusion for the poor clients and they're able to follow through on a unified evidence-based recommendation.¹⁶⁴ (Cartmill, 2011, chronic pain services, unspecified HCP, Canada)

Personal costs

This theme describes the emotional costs of treating patients with chronic pain. First, the biomedical model could create a sense of professional failure for not being able to *fix*; 'how did we fail them?'.²¹⁰ This sense of failure could be demoralising and undermine HCPs sense of professionalism.

You become a doctor not to tell people I can't do anything, I can't find anything, you have this perception of yourself as well that you're going to sort it out and if you can't sort it out, it's frustrating. What's the point of you being there?²⁰¹ (Patel, 2008, primary care, GP, UK)

It's awful, and I think it's demoralizing when you leave people in pain. That's just so disrespectful. I mean you're supposed to be a doctor, you're supposed to relieve pain and suffering, and you ignore the pain.¹⁵⁶ (Barry, 2010, opioid prescription, physician, USA)

However, an embodied biopsychosocial approach that hinged on recognising human losses could incur a deep sense of personal loss. HCPs described how they had to manage the tension between proximity and distance.

We forget how much chronic pain affects the patient. They lose their jobs, they have emotional stress and depression and the depression itself is a big loss of productivity to the patient but also to the entire family and to the community.²¹⁰ (Siedlecki, 2014, acute care, nurse, USA)

Trying to listen to the person... sort of empathise... [but] almost protected professionally... trying to see where that person was coming from but not letting it become too personal... I've used the phrase detached

empathy.²¹⁶ (Toye, 2015, mixed HCPs on a pain education course, unspecified HCP, UK)

The craft of pain management

This describes clinical work as an experience-based competence or 'craft'¹⁷¹ gained from experience rather than didactic education. At times, HCPs felt underskilled in chronic pain management.

The problem is, we don't know how to treat pain. And so everybody is telling me I'm not treating pain well, but nobody is helping me figure out how to treat the pain.²¹³ (Spitz, 2011, opioid prescription, physician, USA)

Personal experience or maturity, amount of experience treating patients with chronic pain and learning from colleagues underpinned craft knowledge.

One becomes more stable as a person [with age], and does not really have the same demands and does not believe that one can do everything, that one is able to solve everything... Young doctors can have in them, that they believe that they will solve everything.¹⁵³ (Asbring, 2003, fibromyalgia, physician, USA)

New grads can't learn all of this, they need a certain number of years, you can't teach them all of this.¹⁴⁴ (Slade, 2012, physiotherapists, Australia)

Although some HCPs felt that clinical guidelines could support a more patient-centred approach,^{179 204} there was

a stronger sense that they constrained craft knowledge. HCPs therefore used guidelines pragmatically within the remit of their own knowledge.

Treatment has to be tailored to patient's needs and prescriptive guidelines promoting 'one size fits all' is not acceptable.¹⁴³ (Wilson, 2014, guidelines, unspecified HCP, UK)

If you work according to the guidelines, you are constrained in your performance... what would be left of your independence, your own competence, your own practical experience... Am I to conclude then that my training was useless... I'm free to take or leave these things, to look at whether they suit my own ideas of how to approach my patients.¹⁷⁹ (Harting, 2009, guidelines, physiotherapist, Netherlands)

Line of argument

The final phase of meta-ethnographic analysis is to develop a model or *line of argument* that is abstracted from, *but more than the sum of*, the themes (figure 2).¹¹ Through discussion with each other, and the advisory group, the reviewers developed a model that made sense of the final themes. The model is underpinned by a series of tensions that can help us to understand and reflect on the experience of treating patients with chronic non-malignant pain: (A) between a dualistic biomedical model and an embodied psychosocial model; (B) between professional distance and proximity; (C) between professional expertise and patient



Figure 2 Line of argument.

empowerment; (D) between a need to make concessions in order to maintain relationships and known biomedical utility; and (E) between patient and healthcare system advocacy. We conceptualise these tensions, on a mixing console, as underpinning the craft of chronic non-malignant pain management. The poles are neither inherently good nor bad; just as bass and treble are neither inherently good nor bad. It is the correct mix within a context that contributes to the quality of music. The levels indicated in figure 2 are an example and do not indicate any sense of 'correct' balance. Different HCPs may adjust the balance differently for each individual and context. Our console also incorporates the pitch or level of loss, both professional and personal, that can contribute to the harmony or dissonance of a therapeutic encounter. The siren song of diagnosis, reflecting the cultural pull of the biomedical model, is also shown as a factor that can have an important impact on the balance between poles.

DISCUSSION

Our innovation is to present the first internationally relevant QES of HCPs' experiences of treating chronic non-malignant pain. Already we know that, from the patient perspective, this experience can be adversarial.¹⁰ Patients with chronic pain struggle to affirm their sense of self, and their present and future appears unpredictable; they search for a credible explanation for their pain; they do not always feel heard, believed or valued by HCPs; they struggle to prove themselves in the face of scepticism. We present our line of argument as a mixing console that can help HCPs to understand, think about and modify their experience of treating patients with chronic pain. For example, an HCP could consider: am I making a sudden shift to psychosocial explanations when I can find nothing biomedical or am I considering psychosocial factors alongside medical investigations?; do I understand this patient's experience or am I too distant?; have I discussed and negotiated the various options or am I trying to instruct and enforce?; am I considering medical utility or am I making a concession (and is this concession for my benefit or my patient's benefit)?; am I effectively balancing my role as dual advocate? Beyond these dualities, our model encourages HCPs to consider the personal impact of treating patients with chronic non-malignant pain. How often do you find yourself wondering whether you have failed as a professional? (professional loss); are you feeling bombarded by despair? (personal loss). If the answer is yes to either of these, what measures are there in place to tackle this?

Culture has been described as the 'inherited lens' through which individuals understand the world and learn how to live in it.²²² Both patients and their HCPs are embedded in a wider culture where biomedical explanations have the power to bestow credibility. The studies included explore

the experience of both diagnosing and treating pain and demonstrate that these are inextricably linked. Our findings highlight the cultural scepticism that underpins the siren song of diagnosis, where HCPs and patients can be driven by the need for a diagnosis. This may help us to understand why patients with chronic pain often experience a strong sense of not being believed. They also demonstrate how the biopsychosocial model can hide a continuing dualism, where HCPs prioritise biomedical findings and make an abrupt switch to psychosocial explanations when no diagnosis is found. This abrupt shift may explain patients' feeling of lost credibility. A more embodied non-dualistic biopsychosocial approach at the outset would help HCPs to support patients with chronic pain. Our findings also demonstrate the complexity of navigating the geography between patients and HCPs. In this borderland, HCPs sometimes make concessions that are not evidence-based in order to maintain effective relationships. These concessions have policy and practice implications, for example, in the context of recent USA²²³ and UK²²⁴ guidelines on opioid prescription for chronic non-malignant pain, it might help to explain why an increasing number of HCPs are prescribing opioids despite very limited evidence for long-term opioid therapy for chronic pain outside of end-of-life care.²²⁵ Our findings also have educational implications: for example, navigating relationships requires skills to finely balance the tensions inherent in the model while managing potential personal and professional losses. HCPs included in this review did not discuss their own personal life context that intuitively might contribute to a sense of loss. This might indicate that there were topics that were not explored in the initial interview studies and further research might explore the impact of this on HCPs' resilience to challenges of treating people with chronic pain and other conditions. HCPs described experience of treating chronic non-malignant pain that was not boundaried to a particular body system but was a summative experience cutting across conditions. Further research might focus on specific diagnosis (such as neuropathic, visceral, pelvic or phantom pain and arthritis) in order to explore potential similarities and difference in HCP experiences of treating these conditions.

Although we used the GRADE-CERQual approach, there is currently no agreed way to determine confidence in QES findings. It would be useful for future studies to consider the following issues: first, although GRADE-CERQual considers methodological limitations as having an impact on confidence in reviews, there is limited agreement about what a good qualitative study is.^{29 31} Indeed, a significant number of QES reviewers choose not to appraise studies.²⁴ Although quality appraisal might highlight methodological flaws, it does not necessarily help us to appraise the usefulness of findings for the purposes of QES. It could be argued that good studies are excluded if our primary concern is methodology rather than conceptual insight.^{24 31} It would be useful for future studies to address how reviewers can be more discerning about the value of particular studies and the influence on analytical decision. This issue will become more important as the number of primary qualitative

ⁱ Idea for image of a mixing console from Cathy Jenkins, OUH NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford bmj open supplementary appendix 1 1march17.pdf

research studies grows. Although our reviewers agreed about which studies were 'key', 'fatally flawed' or 'irrelevant',²¹ the majority of studies were appraised as 'satisfactory'. As only five studies were appraised as 'key', this status did not influence data analysis. Second, GRADE-CERQual considers adequacy (weight) and coherence (consistency) of data as important factors that can contribute to confidence in a review finding. However, do these necessarily equate to validity and how do we know what is adequate? The issue of determining adequacy resonates with the unresolved question 'how many qualitative interviews is enough?'.²²⁶ We chose to rate our confidence in a finding as high when a theme was supported by a least half of the studies $(n \ge 39)$. However, although you could argue that weight and consistency³² of findings contribute to the persuasiveness of a finding, it is important to consider that a unique idea can exert a significant pull. It is thus important not to ignore unique or inconsistent findings. We have found that confidence in QES findings can grow when you incorporate a large number of studies. However, QES reviewers can be caught between a rock and a hard place as they face criticisms for undertaking reviews that are 'too small' (and thus anecdotal) or 'too large' (not in-depth). Another potential criticism of a QES that includes a large number of studies is that it is possible to lose sight of the nuances of the primary studies. We found that using NVivo qualitative analysis software allowed us to keep track of our analytical decisions while being able to continually refer back to the primary studies. This helped us to ensure that our findings remained grounded in these primary studies.

Findings from QES in health aim to provide ideas that can help to improve the experience of healthcare. We have presented a novel line of argument that helps us to understand, think about and modify our experience of diagnosing and treating patients with chronic non-malignant pain. Our line of argument may be transferable to other patient groups or situations. We conceptualise dualities, on a mixing console, as a useful way to frame the patient-clinician relationship. It would be useful for HCPs to consider their individual mix and contemplate a *re-mix* if necessary in order to successfully support people with chronic pain. Now we have a body of qualitative knowledge exploring patients' experiences of chronic pain⁹ and HCPs' experiences; the next challenge in practice is to bring these two bodies of knowledge together and look at how HCPs and patients can work together in managing pain.

Contributors All authors made a substantial contribution to the design, acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data. FT drafted the first, subsequent and final versions. KS and KLB revised all versions for important intellectual content and approved the final version. All authors agree to be accountable for the accuracy and integrity of the work.

Funding This study was funded by the National Institute for Health Research Health Services and Delivery Research Programme (14/198/07).

Disclaimer The views and opinions expressed therein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the HS&DR Programme, NIHR, NHS or the Department of Health. KLB and FT authored 2 of the 77 studies included in this review.

Competing interests None declared.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement The original protocol, full monograph and supporting material can be found at: http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/projectsOld/hsdr/1419807.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt and build upon this work, for commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited. See: http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2017. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

- 1. IASP. Classification of chronic pain. Seattle, USA: International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) Press, 1994.
- Fayaz A, Croft P, Langford RM, et al. Prevalence of chronic pain in the UK: a systematic review and meta-analysis of population studies. *BMJ Open* 2016;6:e010364.
- Donaldson L. 2009 Annual Report of the Chief Medical Office (Department of Health. 2009 http://www.sthc.co.uk/Documents/ CMO_Report_2009.pdf (accessed 11 Sep 12).
- Breivik H, Collett B, Ventafridda V, et al. Survey of chronic pain in Europe: prevalence, impact on daily life, and treatment. *Eur J Pain* 2006;10:287–333.
- Nahin RL. Estimates of pain prevalence and severity in adults: United States. J Pain 2015;16:769–80.
- Price C, Hoggart B, Olukoga O, et al. National pain audit: British Pain Society, 2012.
- Briggs EV, Carr EC, Whittaker MS. Survey of undergraduate pain curricula for healthcare professionals in the United Kingdom. *Eur J Pain* 2011;15:789–95.
- Noyes J, Popay J, Pearson A, et al. Qualitative research and cochrane reviews. In: Higgins J, Chichester GS, eds. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews. UK: John Wiley & Sons, 2008.
- Toye F, Seers K, Hannink E, et al. A mega-ethnography of eleven qualitative evidence syntheses exploring the experience of living with chronic non-malignant pain. BMC Med Res Methodol 2017;17:116 https://bmcmedresmethodol.biomedcentral. com/track/pdf/10.1186/s12874-12017-10392-12877?site= bmcmedresmethodol.biomedcentral.com.
- Toye F, Seers K, Allcock N, et al. A meta-ethnography of patients' experience of chronic non-malignant musculoskeletal pain. *Health* Services and Delivery Research 2013;1:1–190.
- 11. Noblit G, Hare R. *Meta-ethnography: synthesising qualitative studies*. California: Sage Publications, 1988.
- Britten N, Campbell R, Pope C, et al. Using meta ethnography to synthesise qualitative research: a worked example. J Health Serv Res Policy 2002;7:209–15.
- Campbell R, Pound P, Pope C, et al. Evaluating meta-ethnography: a synthesis of qualitative research on lay experiences of diabetes and diabetes care. Soc Sci Med 2003;56:671–84.
- Malpass A, Shaw A, Sharp D, et al. "Medication career" or "moral career"? The two sides of managing antidepressants: a metaethnography of patients' experience of antidepressants. Soc Sci Med 2009;68:154–68.
- Barker KL, Toye F, Lowe CJ. A qualitative systematic review of patients' experience of osteoporosis using meta-ethnography. *Arch Osteoporos* 2016;11.
- Toye F, Seers K, Allcock N, et al. A synthesis of qualitative research exploring the barriers to staying in work with chronic musculoskeletal pain. *Disabil Rehabil* 2016;38:566–72.
- Toye F, Seers K, Barker K. A meta-ethnography of patients' experiences of chronic pelvic pain: struggling to construct chronic pelvic pain as 'real'. *J Adv Nurs* 2014;70:2713–27.
- Toye F, Seers K, Allcock N, et al. Meta-ethnography 25 years on: challenges and insights for synthesising a large number of qualitative studies. BMC Med Res Methodol 2014;14:80.
- Sandelowski M, Barrosso J. Handbook for synthesising qualitative research. New York: Springer Publishing Company, 2007.
- Dixon-Woods M, Booth A, Sutton AJ. Synthesizing qualitative research: a review of published reports. *Qual Res* 2007;7:375–422.
- 21. Dixon-Woods M, Agarwal S, Jones D, *et al.* Synthesising qualitative and quantitative evidence: a review of possible methods. *J Health Serv Res Policy* 2005;10:45–53.

- Hannes K, Macaitis K. A move to more systematic and transparent approaches in qualitative evidence synthesis: update on a review of published papers. *Qual Res* 2012;12:402–42.
- 23. Barnett-Page E, Thomas J. National Centre for Research Methods Working Paper Series(01/09). Methods for synthesis of qualitative research: a critical review. Economic and Social Research Council Research Methods, 2009.
- 24. Campbell R, Pound P, Morgan M, *et al.* Evaluating metaethnography: systematic analysis and synthesis of qualitative research. *Health Technol Assess* 2011;15:1-164.
- Wong SS, Wilczynski NL, Haynes RB. Hedges Team. Developing optimal search strategies for detecting clinically relevant qualitative studies in MEDLINE. *Stud Health Technol Inform* 2004;107:311–6.
- Wilczynski NL, Marks S, Haynes RB. Search strategies for identifying qualitative studies in CINAHL. *Qual Health Res* 2007;17:705–10.
- McKibbon KA, Wilczynski NL, Haynes RB. Developing optimal search strategies for retrieving qualitative studies in PsycINFO. *Eval Health Prof* 2006;29:440–54.
- Walters LA, Wilczynski NL, Haynes RB. Hedges Team. Developing optimal search strategies for retrieving clinically relevant qualitative studies in EMBASE. *Qual Health Res* 2006;16:162–8.
- Dixon-Woods M, Sutton A, Shaw R, et al. Appraising qualitative research for inclusion in systematic reviews: a quantitative and qualitative comparison of three methods. J Health Serv Res Policy 2007;12:42–7.
- CASP. Critical Appraisal Skills Programme: making sense of evidence about clinical effectiveness: 10 questions to help you make sense of qualitative research. 2010 http://www.casp-uknet/ wp-content/uploads/2011/11/CASP_Qualitative_Appraisal_ Checklist_14oct10pdf
- Toye F, Seers K, Alcock N, et al. 'Trying to pin down jelly' exploring intuitive processes in quality assessment for metaethnography. BMC Med Res Methodol 2013;13:46.
- Lewin S, Glenton C, Munthe-Kaas H, et al. Using qualitative evidence in decision making for health and social interventions: an approach to assess confidence in findings from qualitative evidence syntheses (GRADE-CERQual). PLOS Medicine 2016;12:e1001895.
- Pope C, Mays N, Popay J. Synthesizing qualitative and quantitative health research: a guide to methods. Berkshire, UK: Open University Press, 2007.
- Nvivo. Nvivo qualitatitve data analysis and software. Software for qualitative data analysis. 9 edn: QSR International Pty Ltd, 2010.
- Frost J, Garside R, Cooper C, *et al.* Meta-study as diagnostic: toward content over form in qualitative synthesis. *Qual Health Res* 2016;26:307–19.
- Chancellor J, Martin M, Liedgens H, et al. Stated preferences of physicians and chronic pain sufferers in the use of classic strong opioids. *Value Health* 2012;15:106–17.
- Coutu MF, Légaré F, Stacey D, et al. Occupational therapists' shared decision-making behaviors with patients having persistent pain in a work rehabilitation context: A cross-sectional study. *Patient Educ Couns* 2015;98:864–70.
- Al-Shaer D, Hill PD, Anderson MA. Nurses' knowledge and attitudes regarding pain assessment and intervention. *Medsurg Nurs* 2011:20:7–11.
- Cedraschi C, Robert J, Perrin E, et al. The role of congruence between patient and therapist in chronic low back pain patients. J Manipulative Physiol Ther 1996;19:244–9.
- Cedraschi C, Robert J, Goerg D, *et al.* Is chronic non-specific low back pain chronic? Definitions of a problem and problems of a definition. *Br J Gen Pract* 1999;49:358.
- Ferrell B. Ethical perspectives on pain and suffering. *Pain Manag Nurs* 2005;6:83–90.
- Gillmore MR, Hill CT. Reactions to Patients who Complain of Pain: Effects of Ambiguous Diagnosis1. J Appl Soc Psychol 1981;11:14–22.
- Haq I, Fuller J, Dacre J. The use of patient partners with back pain to teach undergraduate medical students. *Rheumatology* 2006;45:430–4.
- Kotarba JA. Perceptions of death, belief systems and the process of coping with chronic pain. Soc Sci Med 1983;17:681–9.
- Sloan TJ, Walsh DA. Explanatory and diagnostic labels and perceived prognosis in chronic low back pain. *Spine* 2010;35:E112 0–E1125.
- Valjakka AL, Salanterä S, Laitila A, et al. The association between physicians' attitudes to psychosocial aspects of low back pain and reported clinical behaviour: A complex issue. Scand J Pain 2013;4:25–30.

- Walker JM, Akinsanya JA, Davis BD, et al. The nursing management of elderly patients with pain in the community: study and recommendations. J Adv Nurs 1990;15:1154–61.
- Kaasalainen S, Agarwal G, Dolovich L, *et al.* Managing pain medications in long-term care: nurses' views. *Br J Nurs* 2015;24:484–9.
- Parsons JA, Mamdani M, Bhattacharyya O, et al. Narcotic analgesic utilization amongst injured workers: using concept mapping to understand current issues from the perspectives of physicians and pharmacists. BMC Health Serv Res 2011;11:280.
- Tousignant-Laflamme Y, Tousignant M, Lussier D, et al. Educational needs of health care providers working in long-term care facilities with regard to pain management. *Pain Res Manag* 2012;17:341–6.
- Walker JM. Caring for elderly people with persistent pain in the community: a qualitative perspective on the attitudes of patients and nurses. *Health Soc Care Community* 1994;2:221–8.
- Askew R, Kibelstis C, Overbaugh S, et al. Physical therapists' perception of patients' pain and its effect on management. *Physiother Res Int* 1998;3:37–57.
- Baker SC, Gallois C, Driedger SM, et al. Communication accommodation and managing musculoskeletal disorders: doctors' and patients' perspectives. *Health Commun* 2011;26:379–88.
- Barker KL, Reid M, Minns Lowe CJ. Divided by a lack of common language? A qualitative study exploring the use of language by health professionals treating back pain. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2009;10:123.
- Beattie A, Shaw A, Yardley L, *et al.* Participating in and delivering the ATEAM trial (Alexander technique lessons, exercise, and massage) interventions for chronic back pain: A qualitative study of professional perspectives. *Complement Ther Med* 2010;18(3-4):119–27.
- Billis EV, McCarthy CJ, Stathopoulos I, *et al.* The clinical and cultural factors in classifying low back pain patients within Greece: a qualitative exploration of Greek health professionals. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2007;13:337–45.
- Bjorner T, Kjolsrod L. How GPs understand patients' stories: a qualitative study of benzodiazepine and minor opiate prescribing in Norway. *Eur J Gen Pract* 2002;8:25–30.
- Blondal K, Halldorsdottir S. The challenge of caring for patients in pain: from the nurse's perspective. J Clin Nurs 2009;18:2897–906.
- Breen A, Austin H, Campion-Smith C, et al. "You feel so hopeless": a qualitative study of GP management of acute back pain. *Eur J Pain* 2007;11:21–9.
- Buchbinder M, Wilbur R, McLean S, et al. "Is there any way I can get something for my pain?" Patient strategies for requesting analgesics. *Patient Educ Couns* 2015;98:137–43.
- Buijs PC, Lambeek LC, Koppenrade V, et al. Can workers with chronic back pain shift from pain elimination to function restore at work? Qualitative evaluation of an innovative work related multidisciplinary programme. J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil 2009;22:65–73.
- Bullington J, Nordemar R, Nordemar K, et al. Meaning out of chaos: a way to understand chronic pain. Scand J Caring Sci 2003;17:325–31.
- 63. Bussières AE, Patey AM, Francis JJ, *et al.* Identifying factors likely to influence compliance with diagnostic imaging guideline recommendations for spine disorders among chiropractors in North America: a focus group study using the Theoretical Domains Framework. *Implement Sci* 2012;7:82.
- Carr EC, Worswick L, Wilcock PM, et al. Improving services for back pain: putting the patient at the centre of interprofessional education. *Qual Prim Care* 2012;20:345.
- Corrigan C, Desnick L, Marshall S, *et al*. What can we learn from first-year medical students' perceptions of pain in the primary care setting? *Pain Med* 2011;12:1216–22.
- Costa-Black KM, Durand MJ, Imbeau D, et al. Interdisciplinary team discussion on work environment issues related to low back disability: a multiple case study. Work 2007;28:249–66.
- Cruz EB, Moore A, Cross V. Clinical reasoning and patient-centred care in musculoskeletal physiotherapy in Portugal-a qualitative study. *Man Ther* 2012;17:246–50.
- Dean SG, Smith JA, Payne S, *et al*. Managing time: an interpretative phenomenological analysis of patients' and physiotherapists' perceptions of adherence to therapeutic exercise for low back pain. *Disabil Rehabil* 2005;27:625–36.
- Donovan J. Learning disability nurses' experiences of being with clients who may be in pain. J Adv Nurs 2002;38:458–66.
- Doran NJ. Experiencing Wellness Within Illness: Exploring a Mindfulness-Based Approach to Chronic Back Pain. *Qual Health Res* 2014;24:749–61.

- 71. Droes NS. Role of the nurse practitioner in managing patients with pain. Internet Journal of Advanced Nursing Practice 2004;6:12p.
- 72. Dysvik E, Furnes B. Nursing leadership in a chronic pain management group approach. J Nurs Manag 2012;20:187-95.
- 73. Eifried S. Bearing witness to suffering: the lived experience of nursing students. J Nurs Educ 2003;42:59.
- 74. Elder N, Ricer R, Tobias B. How respected family physicians manage difficult patient encounters. J Am Board Fam Med 2006;19:533-41.
- Espeland A, Baerheim A. General practitioners' views on radiology 75. reports of plain radiography for back pain. Scand J Prim Health Care 2007;25:15-19.
- Francke AL, Lemmens AB, Abu-Saad HH, et al. Nurses' perceptions 76. of factors influencing the use of a pain program. J Pain Symptom Manage 1997;14:300-10.
- Gunby SS. The lived experience of nursing students in caring for 77. suffering individuals. Holist Nurs Pract 1996:10:63-73.
- Haskins R, Osmotherly PG, Southgate E, et al. Physiotherapists' 78 knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding clinical prediction rules for low back pain. Man Ther 2014;19:142-51.
- Hildebran C, Cohen DJ, Irvine JM, et al. How clinicians use 79. prescription drug monitoring programs: a qualitative inquiry. Pain Med 2014;15:1179-86.
- 80. Hooten WM, Vickers KS, Shi Y, et al. Smoking cessation and chronic pain: patient and pain medicine physician attitudes. Pain Pract 2011;11:552-63.
- Howarth M, Warne T, Haigh C. Pain from the inside: understanding 81. the theoretical underpinning of person-centered care delivered by pain teams. Pain Manag Nurs 2014;15:340-8.
- Jeffrey JE, Foster NE. A qualitative investigation of physical 82. therapists' experiences and feelings of managing patients with nonspecific low back pain. Phys Ther 2012;92:266-78.
- 83 Josephson I, Bülow P, Hedberg B. Physiotherapists' clinical reasoning about patients with non-specific low back pain, as described by the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health. *Disabil Rehabil* 2011;33:2217–28. Josephson I, Hedberg B, Bülow P. Problem-solving in
- 84. physiotherapy--physiotherapists' talk about encounters with patients with non-specific low back pain. Disabil Rehabil 2013;35:668-77.
- Karstens S, Joos S, Hill JC, et al. General practitioners views of 85. implementing a stratified treatment approach for low back pain in Germany: a gualitative study. PLoS One 2015;10:e0136119.
- Kee CC, Epps CD. Pain management practices of nurses 86. caring for older patients with osteoarthritis. West J Nurs Res 2001;23:195-210.
- 87. Ladak SS, McPhee C, Muscat M, et al. The journey of the pain resource nurse in improving pain management practices: understanding role implementation. Pain Manag Nurs 2013;14:68-73.
- 88. Laerum E, Indahl A, Skouen JS. What is "the good back-consultation"? A combined qualitative and quantitative study of chronic low back pain patients interaction with and perceptions of consultations with specialists. J Rehabil Med 2006;38:255-62
- Larsson H, Blomqvist K. From a diagnostic and particular approach 89. to a person-centred approach: a development project. J Clin Nurs 2015;24:465-74
- 90. Mackintosh-Franklin C. The impact of experience on undergraduate preregistration student nurses' responses to patients in pain: a 2-year qualitative longitudinal study. Pain Manag Nurs 2014;15:199-207.
- 91. Magnusson JE, Fennell JA. Understanding the role of culture in pain: Maori practitioner perspectives relating to the experience of pain. N Z Med J 2011;124:41-51.
- 92. Manias E. Complexities of pain assessment and management in hospitalised older people: a qualitative observation and interview study. Int J Nurs Stud 2012;49:1243-54.
- 93. May C, Allison G, Chapple A, et al. Framing the doctor-patient relationship in chronic illness: a comparative study of general practitioners' accounts. Sociol Health Illn 2004;26:135-58.
- May S, Greasley A, Reeve S, et al. Expert therapists use specific 94 clinical reasoning processes in the assessment and management of patients with shoulder pain: a qualitative study. Aust J Physiother 2008:54:261-6.
- McDonald DD. Trialing to pain control: a grounded theory. Res Nurs 95. Health 2014;37:107-16.
- McGee SJ, Kaylor BD, Emmott H, et al. Defining chronic pain 96. ethics. Pain Med 2011;12:1376-84.
- 97. McIntosh A, Shaw CF. Barriers to patient information provision in primary care: patients' and general practitioners' experiences and expectations of information for low back pain. Health Expect 2003;6:19-29.

- 98. Miller WL, Yanoshik MK, Crabtree BF, et al. Patients, family physicians, and pain: visions from interview narratives. Fam Med 1994;26:179.
- 99. Miller JS, Pinnington MA. Straightforward consultation or complicated condition? General practitioners' perceptions of low back pain. Eur J Gen Pract 2003;9:3.
- 100. Montali L, Monica C, Riva P, et al. Conflicting representations of pain: a qualitative analysis of health care professionals' discourse. Pain Med 2011;12:1585–93.
- 101. Nielsen M, Keefe FJ, Bennell K, et al. Physical therapistdelivered cognitive-behavioral therapy: a qualitative study of physical therapists' perceptions and experiences. Phys Ther 2014;94:197-209.
- 102. Noll E, Key A, Jensen G. Clinical reasoning of an experienced physiotherapist: insight into clinician decision-making regarding low back pain. Physiother Res Int 2001;6:40-51.
- 103. Marie Øien A, Iversen S, Stensland P. Narratives of embodied experiences - Therapy processes in Norwegian psychomotor physiotherapy. Adv Physiother 2007;9:31-9.
- Øien AM, Råheim M, Iversen S, et al. Self-perception as embodied 104 knowledge - changing processes for patients with chronic pain. Adv Physiother 2009;11:121-9.
- 105. Ong BN, Hooper H. Involving users in low back pain research. Health Expect 2003;6:332-41.
- 106. Ong BN, Hooper H. Comparing clinical and lay accounts of the diagnosis and treatment of back pain. Sociol Health Illn 2006:28:203-22
- 107. Ottenheijm RP, Hesselmans NJ, Kemper A, et al. GPs' perspectives on the diagnostic work-up in patients with shoulder pain: a qualitative study. J Eval Clin Pract 2014;20:239-45.
- 108 Parke B. Gerontological nurses' ways of knowing. Realizing the presence of pain in cognitively impaired older adults. J Gerontol . Nurs 1998;24:21.
- 109. Peiris D, Williams C, Holbrook R, et al. A web-based clinical decision support tool for primary health care management of back pain: development and mixed methods evaluation. JMIR Res Protoc 2014;3:e17.
- 110. Perreault K, Dionne CE, Rossignol M, et al. I. Interprofessional practices of physiotherapists working with adults with low back pain in Québec's private sector: results of a qualitative study. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2014;15:160.
- 111. Pincus T, Vogel S, Breen A, et al. Persistent back pain--why do physical therapy clinicians continue treatment? A mixed methods study of chiropractors, osteopaths and physiotherapists. Eur J Pain 2006:10:67-76.
- 112. Roberts C, Adebajo AO, Long S. Improving the quality of care of musculoskeletal conditions in primary care. Rheumatology 2002:41:503-508.
- 113. Rogers WA. Whose autonomy? Which choice? A study of GPs' attitudes towards patient autonomy in the management of low back pain. Fam Pract 2002;19:140-5.
- 114. Rutledge N, Cantero P, Ruiz J. Managing chronic pain in low income overweight Latinos: provider perceptions. Commun Nurs Res 2013;46:639-40.
- Samuels JG, Leveille DM. Senior nursing students' clinical 115. judgments in pain management. Nurse Educ 2010;35:220-4.
- Sanders T, Foster NE, Ong BN. Perceptions of general practitioners 116. towards the use of a new system for treating back pain: a qualitative interview study. BMC Med 2011;9:49.
- 117. Sanders T, Foster NE, Bishop A, et al. Biopsychosocial care and the physiotherapy encounter: physiotherapists' accounts of back pain consultations. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2013;14:65.
- 118. Schers H, Wensing M, Huijsmans Z, et al. Implementation barriers for general practice guidelines on low back pain a gualitative study. Spine 2001;26:E348-E353.
- Skelton AM, Murphy EA, Murphy RJ, et al. Patient education 119. for low back pain in general practice. Patient Educ Couns 1995:25:329-34
- 120. Skelton AM, Murphy EA, Murphy RJ, et al. General practitioner perceptions of low back pain patients. Fam Pract 1995;12:44-8.
- 121. Skjutar A, Schult ML, Christensson K, et al. Indicators of need for occupational therapy in patients with chronic pain: occupational therapists' focus groups. Occup Ther Int 2010;17:93. Smart K, Doody C. The clinical reasoning of pain by experienced
- 122. musculoskeletal physiotherapists. Man Ther 2007;12:40-9.
- 123. Spiers J. Expressing and responding to pain and stoicism in home-care nurse-patient interactions. Scand J Caring Sci 2006;20:293-301.
- 124 Steihaug S, Malterud K. Part process analysis: a qualitative method for studying provider-patient interaction. Scand J Public Health 2003;31:107-12.

- Stenner K, Courtenay M. Benefits of nurse prescribing for patients in pain: nurses' views. J Adv Nurs 2008;63:27–35.
- Stenner K, Courtenay M. The role of inter-professional relationships and support for nurse prescribing in acute and chronic pain. J Adv Nurs 2008;63:276–83.
- Strand LI, Kvale A, Råheim M, et al. Do Norwegian manual therapists provide management for patients with acute low back pain in accordance with clinical guidelines? *Man Ther* 2005;10:38–43.
- 128. Tellier PP, Bélanger E, Rodríguez C, et al. Improving undergraduate medical education about pain assessment and management: a qualitative descriptive study of stakeholders' perceptions. *Pain Res Manag* 2013;18:259–65.
- 129. Thomson D. An ethnographic study of physiotherapists' perceptions of their interactions with patients on a chronic pain unit. *Physiother Theory Pract* 2008;24:408–22.
- 130. Thunberg KA, Hallberg LR. The need for organizational development in pain clinics: a case study. *Disabil Rehabil* 2002;24:755–62.
- Undeland M, Malterud K. Diagnostic interaction: the patient as a source of knowledge? Scand J Prim Health Care 2008;26:222–7.
- 132. van Wilgen P, Beetsma A, Neels H, et al. Physical therapists should integrate illness perceptions in their assessment in patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain; a qualitative analysis. *Man Ther* 2014;19:229–34.
- Vegni E, Mauri E, Moja EA. Stories from doctors of patients with pain. A qualitative research on the physicians' perspective. *Support Care Cancer* 2005;13:18–25.
- Wainwright D, Boichat C, McCracken LM. Competing patient and professional agendas in service development. J Health Organ Manag 2014;28:777–94.
- Wermeling M, Scherer M, Himmel W. GPs' experiences of managing non-specific neck pain--a qualitative study. *Fam Pract* 2011;28:300–6.
- 136. Wootton IM, Wood V, Cook F. Who wants expert patient programmes for chronic mechanical spinal pain? An investigation into the value of, and recruitment to, an expert patient programme as part of the physiotherapy management of chronic spinal pain. *Physiotherapy* 2008;94:78–84.
- 137. Schofield P. Talking to older people in care homes: Perceptions of their pain and their preferred management strategies. Results of a pilot study. *Int J Disabil Hum Dev* 2006;5:53.
- Corbett M, Foster N, Ong BN. GP attitudes and self-reported behaviour in primary care consultations for low back pain. *Fam Pract* 2009;26:359–64.
- Crowe M, Whitehead L, Jo Gagan M, et al. Self-management and chronic low back pain: a qualitative study. J Adv Nurs 2010;66:1478–86.
- Hadker N, Garg S, Chandran AB, et al. Efficient practices associated with diagnosis, treatment and management of fibromyalgia among primary care physicians. *Pain Res Manag* 2011;16:440.
- 141. Dysvik E, Stephens P. Conducting rehabilitation groups for people suffering from chronic pain. *Int J Nurs Pract* 2010;16:233–40.
- Kotarba JA, Seidel JV. Managing the problem pain patient: compliance or social control? Soc Sci Med 1984;19:1393–400.
- Wilson N, Pope C, Roberts L, et al. Governing healthcare: finding meaning in a clinical practice guideline for the management of nonspecific low back pain. Soc Sci Med 2014;102:138–45.
- 144. Slade SC, Molloy E, Keating JL. The dilemma of diagnostic uncertainty when treating people with chronic low back pain: a qualitative study. *Clin Rehabil* 2012;26:558–69.
- 145. Wainwright D, Ćalnan M, O'Neil C, *et al*. When pain in the arm is 'all in the head': The management of medically unexplained suffering in primary care. *Health Risk Soc* 2006;8:71–88.
- 146. Afrell M, Rudebeck CE. 'We got the whole story all at once': physiotherapists' use of key questions when meeting patients with long-standing pain. Scand J Caring Sci 2010;24:281–9.
- 147. Thunberg KA, Carlsson SG, Hallberg LR-M. Health care professionals' understanding of chronic pain: a grounded theory study. *Scand J Caring Sci* 2001;15:99–105.
- 148. Øien AM, Steihaug S, Iversen S, *et al*. Communication as negotiation processes in long-term physiotherapy: a qualitative study. *Scand J Caring Sci* 2011;25:53–61.
- Macneela P, Gibbons A, McGuire B, et al. "We need to get you focused": general practitioners' representations of chronic low back pain patients. Qual Health Res 2010;20:977–86.
- 150. Gropelli T, Sharer J. Nurses' perceptions of pain management in older adults. *Medsurg Nurs* 2013;22:375–83.
- Eccleston C, Williams AC, Rogers WS. Patients' and professionals' understandings of the causes of chronic pain: blame, responsibility and identity protection. *Soc Sci Med* 1997;45:699–709.

- 152. Allegretti A, Borkan J, Reis S, et al. Paired interviews of shared experiences around chronic low back pain: classic mismatch between patients and their doctors. Fam Pract 2010;27:676–83.
- 153. Asbring P, Närvänen AL. Ideal versus reality: physicians perspectives on patients with chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS) and fibromyalgia. Soc Sci Med 2003;57:711–20.
- 154. Baldacchino A, Gilchrist G, Fleming R, *et al*. Guilty until proven innocent: a qualitative study of the management of chronic non-cancer pain among patients with a history of substance abuse. *Addict Behav* 2010;35:270–2.
- Barker KL, Heelas L, Toye F. Introducing acceptance and commitment therapy to a physiotherapy-led pain rehabilitation programme: an action research study. *Br J Pain* 2016;10:22–8.
- 156. Barry DT, Irwin KS, Jones ES, *et al.* Opioids, chronic pain, and addiction in primary care. *J Pain* 2010;11:1442–50.
- 157. Baszanger I. Deciphering chronic pain. Sociology of Health and Illness 1992;14:181–215.
- Berg KM, Arnsten JH, Sacajiu G, et al. Providers' experiences treating chronic pain among opioid-dependent drug users. J Gen Intern Med 2009;24:482–8.
- Bergman AA, Matthias MS, Coffing JM, et al. Contrasting tensions between patients and PCPs in chronic pain management: a qualitative study. *Pain Med* 2013;14:1689–97.
- Blomberg AM, Hylander I, Törnkvist L. District nurses' involvement in pain care: a theoretical model. *J Clin Nurs* 2008;17:2022–31.
- Blomqvist K. Older people in persistent pain: nursing and paramedical staff perceptions and pain management. *J Adv Nurs* 2003;41:575–84.
- 162. Briones-Vozmediano E, Vives-Cases C, Ronda-Pérez E, et al. Patients' and professionals' views on managing fibromyalgia. *Pain Res Manag* 2013;18:19–24.
- Cameron A, Smith H, Schofield A. Health care professionals' accounts of chronic pain management for older adults. *J Physio Pain Assoc* 2015;38:17–28.
- Cartmill C, Soklaridis S, David Cassidy J. Transdisciplinary teamwork: the experience of clinicians at a functional restoration program. *J Occup Rehabil* 2011;21:1–8.
- Chew-Graham C, May C. Chronic low back pain in general practice: the challenge of the consultation. *Fam Pract* 1999;16:46–9.
- Clark L, Jones K, Pennington K. Pain assessment practices with nursing home residents. West J Nurs Res 2004;26:733–50.
- Clark L, Fink R, Pennington K, et al. Nurses' reflections on pain management in a nursing home setting. *Pain Manag Nurs* 2006;7:71–7.
- Côté P, Clarke J, Deguire S, et al. Chiropractors and return-to-work: the experiences of three Canadian focus groups. J Manipulative Physiol Ther 2001;24:309–16.
- 169. Coutu MF, Baril R, Durand MJ, *et al.* Clinician-patient agreement about the work disability problem of patients having persistent pain: why it matters. *J Occup Rehabil* 2013;23:82–92.
- 170. Dahan R, Borkan J, Brown JB, *et al.* The challenge of using the low back pain guidelines: a qualitative research. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2007;13:616–20.
- Daykin AR, Richardson B. Physiotherapists' pain beliefs and their influence on the management of patients with chronic low back pain. *Spine* 2004;29:783–95.
- 172. Dobbs D, Baker T, Carrion IV, *et al*. Certified nursing assistants' perspectives of nursing home residents' pain experience: communication patterns, cultural context, and the role of empathy. *Pain Manag Nurs* 2014;15:87–96.
- 173. Espeland Ă, Baerheim Á. Factors affecting general practitioners' decisions about plain radiography for back pain: implications for classification of guideline barriers-a qualitative study. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2003;3:8.
- 174. Esquibel AY, Borkan J. Doctors and patients in pain: conflict and collaboration in opioid prescription in primary care. *Pain* 2014;155:2575–82.
- Fontana JS, Js: F. The social and political forces affecting prescribing practices for chronic pain. J Prof Nurs 2008;24:30–6.
- Fox P, Solomon P, Raina P, et al. Barriers and facilitators in pain management in long-term care institutions: a qualitative study. Can J Aging 2004;23:267–78.
- 177. Gooberman-Hill R, Heathcote C, Reid CM, *et al.* Professional experience guides opioid prescribing for chronic joint pain in primary care. *Fam Pract* 2011;28:102–9.
- Hansson M, Boström C, Harms-Ringdahl K. Living with spinerelated pain in a changing society-a qualitative study. *Disabil Rehabil* 2001;23:286–95.
- 179. Harting J, Rutten GM, Rutten ST, et al. A qualitative application of the diffusion of innovations theory to examine determinants

of guideline adherence among physical therapists. *Phys Ther* 2009;89:221–32.

- Hayes SM, Myhal GC, Thornton JF, et al. Fibromyalgia and the therapeutic relationship: where uncertainty meets attitude. *Pain Res Manag* 2010;15:385–91.
- Hellman T, Jensen I, Bergström G, et al. Returning to work a longterm process reaching beyond the time frames of multimodal nonspecific back pain rehabilitation. *Disabil Rehabil* 2015;37:499–505.
- Hellström O, Bullington J, Karlsson G, et al. Doctors' attitudes to fibromyalgia: a phenomenological study. Scand J Soc Med 1998;26:232–7.
- Holloway K, McConigley R. Descriptive, exploratory study of the role of nursing assistants in Australian residential aged care facilities: the example of pain management. *Australas J Ageing* 2009;28:70–4.
- 184. Holloway K, McConigley R. Understanding nursing assistants' experiences of caring for older people in pain: the Australian experience [corrected] [published erratum appears in PAIN MANAGE NURS 2009 Sep;10(3):115]. *Pain Manag Nurs* 2009;10:99–107.
- Howarth M, Warne T, Haigh C. "Let's stick together"-a grounded theory exploration of interprofessional working used to provide person centered chronic back pain services. *J Interprof Care* 2012;26:491–6.
- Kaasalainen S, Coker E, Dolovich L, et al. Pain management decision making among long-term care physicians and nurses. West J Nurs Res 2007;29:561–80.
- Kaasalainen S, Martin-Misener R, Carter N, *et al.* The nurse practitioner role in pain management in long-term care. *J Adv Nurs* 2010;66:542–51.
- Kaasalainen S, Brazil K, Coker E, et al. An action-based approach to improving pain management in long-term care. Can J Aging 2010;29:503–17.
- Kilaru AS, Gadsden SM, Perrone J, et al. How do physicians adopt and apply opioid prescription guidelines in the emergency department? A qualitative study. Ann Emerg Med 2014;64:482–9.
- Krebs EE, Bergman AA, Coffing JM, et al. Barriers to guidelineconcordant opioid management in primary care--a qualitative study. J Pain 2014;15:1148–55.
- 191. Kristiansson MH, Brorsson A, Wachtler C, *et al*. Pain, power and patience-a narrative study of general practitioners' relations with chronic pain patients. *BMC Fam Pract* 2011;12:31.
- Liu JY. Exploring nursing assistants' roles in the process of pain management for cognitively impaired nursing home residents: a qualitative study. J Adv Nurs 2014;70:1065–77.
- 193. Löckenhoff CE, Laucks SS, Port AD, et al. Temporal horizons in pain management: understanding the perspectives of physicians, physical therapists, and their middle-aged and older adult patients. Gerontologist 2013;53:850–60.
- 194. Lundh C, Segesten K, Björkelund C. To be a helpless helpoholic-GPs' experiences of women patients with non-specific muscular pain. Scand J Prim Health Care 2004;22:244–7.
- 195. McConigley R, Toye C, Goucke R, et al. Developing recommendations for implementing the Australian Pain Society's pain management strategies in residential aged care. Australas J Ageing 2008;27:45–9.
- 196. McCrorie C, Closs SJ, House A, *et al*. Understanding long-term opioid prescribing for non-cancer pain in primary care: a qualitative study. *BMC Fam Pract* 2015;16:121.
- 197. Mentes JC, Teer J, Cadogan MP. The pain experience of cognitively impaired nursing home residents: perceptions of family members and certified nursing assistants. *Pain Manag Nurs* 2004;5:118–25.
- 198. O'Connor BB, Eisenberg DM, Buring JE, et al. Within-team patterns of communication and referral in multimodal treatment of chronic low back pain patients by an integrative care team. *Glob Adv Health Med* 2015;4:36–45.
- Oosterhof B, Dekker JH, Sloots M, et al. Success or failure of chronic pain rehabilitation: the importance of good interaction - a qualitative study under patients and professionals. *Disabil Rehabil* 2014;36:1903–10.
- 200. Parsons S, Harding G, Breen A, *et al*. Will shared decision making between patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain and physiotherapists, osteopaths and chiropractors improve patient care? *Fam Pract* 2012;29:203–12.
- Patel S, Peacock S, McKinley R, et al. GPs' experience of managing chronic pain in a South Asian community--a qualitative study of the consultation process. *Fam Pract* 2008;25:71–7.

- 202. Patel S, Peacock SM, McKinley RK, *et al.* GPs' perceptions of the service needs of South Asian people with chronic pain: a qualitative enquiry. *J Health Psychol* 2009;14:909–18.
- Paulson M, Danielson E, Norberg A. Nurses' and physicians' narratives about long-term non-malignant pain among men. *J Adv Nurs* 1999;30:1097–105.
- Poitras S, Durand MJ, Côté AM, et al. Use of low-back pain guidelines by occupational therapists: a qualitative study of barriers and facilitators. *Work* 2011;39:465.
- Ruiz JG, Qadri SS, Nader S, et al. Primary Care Management of Chronic Nonmalignant Pain in Veterans: A Qualitative Study. Educ Gerontol 2010;36:372–93.
- Schulte E, Heift A, Welke J, et al. Specialised pain therapy from the general practitioners' perspective: a qualitative assessment. J Pain Manag 2010;3:263.
- 207. Scott-Dempster C, Toye F, Truman J, *et al.* Physiotherapists' experiences of activity pacing with people with chronic musculoskeletal pain: an interpretative phenomenological analysis. *Physiother Theory Pract* 2014;30:319–28.
- Seamark D, Seamark C, Greaves C, et al. GPs prescribing of strong opioid drugs for patients with chronic non-cancer pain: a qualitative study. Br J Gen Pract 2013;63:821–8.
- Shye D, Freeborn DK, Romeo J, et al. Understanding physicians' imaging test use in low back pain care: the role of focus groups. Int J Qual Health Care 1998;10:83–91.
- Siedlecki SL, Modic MB, Bernhofer E, *et al.* Exploring how bedside nurses care for patients with chronic pain: a grounded theory study. *Pain Manag Nurs* 2014;15:565–73.
- Sloots M, Scheppers EF, Bartels EA, et al. First rehabilitation consultation in patients of non-native origin: factors that lead to tension in the patient-physician interaction. *Disabil Rehabil* 2009;31:1853–61.
- 212. Sloots M, Dekker JH, Pont M, *et al.* Reasons of drop-out from rehabilitation in patients of Turkish and Moroccan origin with chronic low back pain in The Netherlands: a qualitative study. *J Rehabil Med* 2010;42:566–73.
- 213. Spitz A, Moore AA, Papaleontiou M, *et al*. Primary care providers' perspective on prescribing opioids to older adults with chronic non-cancer pain: a qualitative study. *BMC Geriatr* 2011;11:35.
- 214. Starrels JL, Wu B, Peyser D, *et al.* It made my life a little easier: primary care providers' beliefs and attitudes about using opioid treatment agreements. *J Opioid Manag* 2014;10:95–102.
- 215. Stinson J, White M, Isaac L, *et al*. Understanding the information and service needs of young adults with chronic pain: perspectives of young adults and their providers. *Clin J Pain* 2013;29:600.
- 216. Toye F, Jenkins S, Seers K, *et al.* Exploring the value of qualitative research films in clinical education. *BMC Med Educ* 2015;15:214 http://bmcmededuc.biomedcentral.com/articles/
- Tveiten S, Meyer I. 'Easier said than done': empowering dialogues with patients at the pain clinic - the health professionals' perspective. *J Nurs Manag* 2009;17:804–12.
- Wynne-Jones G, van der Windt D, Ong BN, et al. Perceptions of health professionals towards the management of back pain in the context of work: a qualitative study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2014;15:210.
- 219. Zanini C, Sarzi-Puttini P, Atzeni F, *et al.* Doctors' insights into the patient perspective: a qualitative study in the field of chronic pain. *Biomed Res Int* 2014;2014:1–6.
- Engel GL. The need for a new medical model: a challenge for biomedicine. *Science* 1977;196:129–36.
- 221. Foucault M. *The birth of the clinic*. Abingdon, Oxon, UK: Routledge Classics, 2003.
- 222. Helman C. *Culture Health and Illness*. 4th edn: Butterworth Heinemann, 2007.
- Dowell D, Haegerich TM, Chou R. CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention) Guideline for Prescribing Opioids for Chronic Pain — United States, 2016. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2016;15:1–49.
- 224. Faculty of Pain Medicine. Opioids Aware. A resource for patients and healthcare professionals to support prescribing of opioid medicines for pain: Faculty of Pain Medicine.
- 225. Chou R, Turner JA, Devine EB, *et al.* The effectiveness and risks of long-term opioid therapy for chronic pain: a systematic review for a National Institutes of Health Pathways to Prevention Workshop. *Ann Intern Med* 2015;162:276–20.
- 226. Baker SE, Edwards R. How many qualitative interviews is enough? National Centre for Research Methods Review Paper: Expert voices and early career reflections on sampling and cases in qualitative research. 2012 http://eprints.ncrm.ac.uk/2273/ (accessed 12 Jul 2013).



Meta-ethnography to understand healthcare professionals' experience of treating adults with chronic non-malignant pain

Francine Toye, Kate Seers and Karen L Barker

*BMJ Open*2017 7: doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018411

Updated information and services can be found at: http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/7/12/e018411

These	incl	lud	ŀe'
111030	11101	uu	с.

Topic Collections	Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections Qualitative research (726)
Email alerting service	Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.
Open Access	This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt and build upon this work, for commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/
References	This article cites 211 articles, 7 of which you can access for free at: http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/7/12/e018411#ref-list-1

Notes

To request permissions go to: http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to: http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to: http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/