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# A NATURAL BOUNDARY FOR THE DYNAMICAL ZETA FUNCTION FOR COMMUTING GROUP AUTOMORPHISMS 

RICHARD MILES


#### Abstract

For an action $\alpha$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ by homeomorphisms of a compact metric space, D. Lind introduced a dynamical zeta function and conjectured that this function has a natural boundary when $d \geqslant 2$. In this note, under the assumption that $\alpha$ is a mixing action by continuous automorphisms of a compact connected abelian group of finite topological dimension, it is shown that the upper growth rate of periodic points is zero and that the unit circle is a natural boundary for the dynamical zeta function.


## 1. Introduction

Since the definitive work of Artin and Mazur [1], the dynamical zeta function $\zeta_{T}$ of a single transformation $T$ has been studied extensively in various contexts. For example, if $T$ is a smooth map with sufficiently uniform hyperbolic behaviour, Manning [10] shows that $\zeta_{T}$ is rational. In contrast, Everest, Stangoe and Ward [7] give a simple example of a compact group automorphism $T$ for which $\zeta_{T}$ has a natural boundary at the circle of convergence. For an ergodic automorphism $T$ of a compact connected abelian group of finite topological dimension, there is strong evidence [2] to suggest that $\zeta_{T}$ is either rational or admits a natural boundary.

For a $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-action $\alpha$ generated by $d$ commuting homeomorphisms of a compact metric space $X$, a dynamical zeta function was introduced by Lind [9]. For $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$, let $\alpha^{\mathbf{n}}$ denote the element of the action corresponding to $\mathbf{n}$. Denote the set of finite index subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ by $\mathcal{L}$. For any $L \in \mathcal{L}$, let $[L]=\left|\mathbb{Z}^{d} / L\right|$ and let $\mathrm{F}(L)$ denote the cardinality of the set of points $x \in X$ for which $\alpha^{\mathbf{n}}(x)=x$ for all $\mathbf{n} \in L$. The dynamical zeta function of $\alpha$ is defined formally as

$$
\zeta_{\alpha}(z)=\exp \left(\sum_{L \in \mathcal{L}} \frac{\mathrm{~F}(L)}{[L]} z^{[L]}\right)
$$

If $d=1, \mathcal{L}=\{n \mathbb{Z}: n \geqslant 1\}$ and the definition of $\zeta_{\alpha}$ agrees with the one given by Artin and Mazur for a single transformation. Lind studies several key

[^0]examples and, assuming that $\mathrm{F}(L)$ is finite for all $L \in \mathcal{L}$, shows [9, Th. 5.3] that $\zeta_{\alpha}$ has radius of convergence $e^{-\mathrm{g}(\alpha)}$, where $\mathrm{g}(\alpha)$ is the upper growth rate of periodic points, given by
$$
\mathrm{g}(\alpha)=\limsup _{[L] \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{[L]} \log \mathrm{F}(L)
$$

It is important to note that the upper growth rate of periodic points $\mathrm{g}(\alpha)$ need not coincide with the growth rate of periodic points obtained by replacing $[L] \rightarrow \infty$ with $\min \{\|\mathbf{n}\|: \mathbf{n} \in L \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}\} \rightarrow \infty$ in the definition above. In many natural situations, the latter limit $\mathrm{h}(\alpha)$ coincides with the topological entropy of the system [8, Sec. 7]. In general, $0 \leqslant \mathrm{~h}(\alpha) \leqslant \mathrm{g}(\alpha)$, and Lind gives an example [9, Ex. 6.2(a)] with $\mathrm{h}(\alpha)=\log 3$ and $\mathrm{g}(\alpha)=\log 4$. Furthermore, Lind conjectures [9, Sec. 7] that for a $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-action with $d \geqslant 2$, the circle $|z|=e^{-\mathrm{h}(\alpha)}$ is a natural boundary for $\zeta_{\alpha}$ and that $\zeta_{\alpha}$ is meromorphic inside this circle. The main result of this note is the following.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose $X$ is a compact connected abelian group of finite topological dimension and $\alpha$ is a mixing $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-action by continuous automorphisms of $X$. If $d \geqslant 2$, then $\mathrm{g}(\alpha)=0$ and the unit circle is a natural boundary for $\zeta_{\alpha}$.

This confirms Lind's conjecture in a setting which includes, for example, the well-known $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-action generated by multiplication by 2 and by 3 on the solenoid dual to $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{6}\right]$, any mixing action generated by finitely many commuting toral automorphisms, and all of the mixing entropy rank one actions on connected groups considered in [6]. In addition, and somewhat surprisingly, Theorem 1.1 also shows that $\mathrm{g}(\alpha)=0$ in our setting. This result is obtained via an upper estimate for $\mathrm{F}(L)$ obtained using techniques from [12] and a theorem of Corvaja and Zannier [5] concerning bounds on quantities related to greatest common divisors for rings of $S$-integers. Hence, we obtain the stronger result that the circle of convergence for $\zeta_{\alpha}$ is actually a natural boundary for the function. The other main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the fundamental theorem of Pólya and Carlson which states that a power series with integer coefficients and radius of convergence 1 is either rational or has the unit circle as a natural boundary (see [3], [14] and [16]). Before turning to the proof of the main result, we consider a familiar example.

## 2. PERIODIC POINT COUNTS FOR THE $\times 2 \times 3$ EXAMPLE

Since any abelian group is a $\mathbb{Z}$-module, the maps $x \mapsto 2 x$ and $x \mapsto 3 x$ are homomorphisms of the torus $\mathbb{T}$. The most natural compact abelian group $X$ for which both these maps are automorphisms, and for which there is a projection $X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ that commutes with both the maps, is the Pontryagin dual of $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{6}\right]$. Hence, the two maps generate a $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-action on this one-dimensional solenoid.

| $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{a, b, c}$ | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{a, b, c}$ | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{a, b, c}$ | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{a, b, c}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 8 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 9 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 1 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 7 |
| 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 7 |
| 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| 1 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 23 | 12 | 8 | 1 | 13 |
| 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 9 | 1 | 5 |
| 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 10 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 11 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 7 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |

Table 1. Periodic point counts $\mathrm{F}_{a, b, c}$ for subgroups parameterized by integers $a, b$ and $c$ for the $\times 2 \times 3$ example.

The subgroups of index $n$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ may be parameterized using non-negative integers $a, b, c$, where $a c=n, 0 \leqslant b \leqslant a-1$, and

$$
L=L(a, b, c)=\langle(a, 0),(b, c)\rangle
$$

is the corresponding subgroup of index $n$ (for a more thorough explanation of this form originally due to Hermite, see the next section). For this example, the number of periodic points for rectangular subgroups (that is, those
with $b=0$ ) was considered by Ward [17], whose focus was periodic point distribution. In general, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F}_{a, b, c} & =\mathrm{F}(L(a, b, c)) \\
& =\mid\left\{x \in X: 2^{a} x=x \text { and } 2^{b} 3^{c} x=x\right\} \mid \\
& =\left|\operatorname{ker}\left(x \mapsto\left(2^{a}-1\right) x\right) \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(x \mapsto\left(2^{b} 3^{c}-1\right) x\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the intersection of the kernels in the expression on the right is a finite closed subgroup of $X$, by Pontryagin duality (see [8, Sec. 7]), it follows that

$$
\mathrm{F}_{a, b, c}=\left|\frac{\mathbb{Z}[1 / 6]}{\left(2^{a}-1,2^{b} 3^{c}-1\right)}\right|=\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{a}-1,2^{b} 3^{c}-1\right) .
$$

Using the resulting greatest common divisor, $\mathrm{F}_{a, b, c}$ has been calculated for all subgroups of index at most 12 in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ in Table 1. Heuristically, potential growth in $\mathrm{F}_{a, b, c}$ appears to be slow in relation to $[L]=[L(a, b, c)]=a c$. In particular, in the next section, we will show that

$$
\limsup _{[L] \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{[L]} \log \mathrm{F}(L)=0
$$

Thus, the dynamical zeta function for this action has radius of convergence 1. This also means that the unit circle is a natural boundary for the function (see Lemma 3.3).

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

The standard tools from commutative algebra used to study an action $\alpha$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ by continuous automorphisms of a compact abelian group $X$ are described in Schmidt's monograph [15] (see also Einsiedler and Lind's paper [6] which is useful here). A key observation is that the Pontryagin dual of $X$, denoted $M=\widehat{X}$, becomes a module over the Laurent polynomial ring $R_{d}=\mathbb{Z}\left[u_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, u_{d}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ by identifying application of the dual automorphism $\widehat{\alpha}^{\mathbf{n}}$ with multiplication by $u^{\mathbf{n}}=u_{1}^{n_{1}} \cdots u_{d}^{n_{d}}$, and extending this in a natural way to polynomials.

When $X$ is connected and has finite topological dimension (that is, $X$ is a solenoid), $M$ is a subgroup of a finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$ and we may use the method of canonical filtration described in Method 4.4 of [12]. Doing so, we obtain a chain of submodules

$$
\{0\}=M_{0} \subset M_{1} \subset \cdots \subset M_{r}=M
$$

and natural module embeddings

$$
R_{d} / \mathfrak{p}_{i} \hookrightarrow M_{i} / M_{i-1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{K}_{i},
$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_{i} \subset R_{d}$ is a prime ideal, and $\mathbb{K}_{i}$ is an algebraic number field which is the field of fractions of $R_{d} / \mathfrak{p}_{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$. The list $\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_{r}$ comprises all associated primes of $M$ (note that repetitions are permitted in the list). Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{K})$ denote the set of all places (both finite and infinite) of an algebraic number field $\mathbb{K}$, and let $\mathcal{P}_{0}(\mathbb{K})$ be the set of finite places. We always assume
that the places of an algebraic number field are normalized so that the Artin product formula holds. For each $i$, let

$$
S_{i}=\left\{v \in \mathcal{P}_{0}\left(\mathbb{K}_{i}\right):|\cdot|_{v} \text { is unbounded on } R_{d} / \mathfrak{p}_{i}\right\}
$$

and note that $S_{i}$ is finite as $R_{d} / \mathfrak{p}_{i}$ is finitely generated as a ring over $\mathbb{Z}$. Define a homomorphism $\chi_{i}: \mathbb{Z}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{i}^{\times}$by the composition of $\mathbf{n} \mapsto u^{\mathbf{n}}$ and the natural quotient $\operatorname{map} R_{d} \rightarrow R_{d} / \mathfrak{p}_{i}$. Then $\chi_{i}(\mathbf{n})$ is an $S_{i}$-unit for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ and the mixing hypothesis implies that $\chi_{i}$ is injective (see [15, Th. 6.5]). To proceed, we shall make use of the following result due to Corvaja and Zannier [5].
Theorem 3.1 (Corvaja and Zannier [5]). Let $S$ be a finite set of finite places of an algebraic number field $\mathbb{K}$. Then given any $\varepsilon>0$, there are only finitely many pairs of multiplicatively independent $S$-units $\xi$, $\eta$ that do not satisfy the inequality

$$
\prod_{v \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{K})} \max \left\{1, \min \left\{|\xi-1|_{v}^{-1},|\eta-1|_{v}^{-1}\right\}\right\}<\max \{H(\xi), H(\eta)\}^{\varepsilon}
$$

where $H(\cdot)=\prod_{v \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{K})} \max \left\{1,|\cdot|_{v}\right\}$ denotes the absolute Weil height.
Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given and let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the supremum norm. Since each $\chi_{i}$ is injective, applying the theorem above, there exists a constant $A=A(\varepsilon)$ such that for any linearly independent $\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ with $\max \{\|\mathbf{j}\|,\|\mathbf{k}\|\}>A$, and all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$, we have
$\prod_{v \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{K}_{i}\right)} \max \left\{1, \min \left\{\left|\chi_{i}(\mathbf{j})-1\right|_{v}^{-1},\left|\chi_{i}(\mathbf{k})-1\right|_{v}^{-1}\right\}\right\}<\max \left\{H\left(\chi_{i}(\mathbf{j})\right) H\left(\chi_{i}(\mathbf{k})\right)\right\}^{\varepsilon}$
and hence also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{v \in P_{i}} \min \left\{\left|\chi_{i}(\mathbf{j})-1\right|_{v}^{-1},\left|\chi_{i}(\mathbf{k})-1\right|_{v}^{-1}\right\}<\max \left\{H\left(\chi_{i}(\mathbf{j})\right), H\left(\chi_{i}(\mathbf{k})\right)\right\}^{\varepsilon} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $P_{i} \subset \mathcal{P}_{0}\left(\mathbb{K}_{i}\right)$ with $\left|\chi_{i}(\mathbf{j})\right|_{v}=\left|\chi_{i}(\mathbf{k})\right|_{v}=1$ for all $v \in P_{i}$. For each $i$, define

$$
P_{i}=\left\{v \in \mathcal{P}_{0}\left(\mathbb{K}_{i}\right):|\cdot|_{v} \text { is bounded on } M_{i} / M_{i-1}\right\}
$$

and note that since $\left\{\chi_{i}(\mathbf{n}): \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}\right\} \hookrightarrow M_{i} / M_{i-1}$, we have $\left|\chi_{i}(\mathbf{n})\right|_{v}=1$ for all $v \in P_{i}$ and all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ (so (1) holds with $P_{i}$ defined in this way). A straightforward adaption of [12, Lem. 4.5] also gives the following.

Lemma 3.2. Let $L \in \mathcal{L}$. Then for any non-zero $\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k} \in L$

$$
\mathrm{F}(L) \leqslant \mathrm{F}(\langle\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\rangle) \leqslant C \prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{v \in P_{i}} \min \left\{\left|\chi_{i}(\mathbf{j})-1\right|_{v}^{-1},\left|\chi_{i}(\mathbf{k})-1\right|_{v}^{-1}\right\}
$$

where $C$ is a constant depending only on $M$.
Before attempting to combine the lemma above with (1), we need a more concrete description of the elements of $\mathcal{L}$. This is provided by the Hermite
normal form of an integer matrix [9, Th. 4.1]. Any $L \in \mathcal{L}$ has a unique representation as the image of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ under a matrix of the form

$$
T_{L}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{1} & b_{12} & b_{13} & \ldots & b_{1 d} \\
0 & a_{2} & b_{22} & \ldots & b_{2 d} \\
0 & 0 & a_{3} & \ldots & b_{3 d} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & a_{d}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $a_{m} \geqslant 1$ for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant d, 0 \leqslant b_{m n} \leqslant a_{m}-1$ for $m+1 \leqslant n \leqslant d$ and $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{d}=[L]$. Whenever $[L]>A^{d}$, we have $\max \left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}\right\}>A$, and by taking two appropriate basis vectors in $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ and multiplying these by $T_{L}$, it follows that there exist linearly independent $\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k} \in L$ such that

$$
A<\max \{\|\mathbf{j}\|,\|\mathbf{k}\|\} \leqslant[L]
$$

Furthermore, since the Weil height is submultiplicative, Lemma 3.2 and (1) can be combined to show that there is a constant $B>1$, depending only on the homomorphisms $\chi_{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$, such that

$$
\mathrm{F}(L)<C B^{\varepsilon \max \{\|\mathbf{j}\|,\|\mathbf{k}\|\}} \leqslant C B^{\varepsilon[L]}
$$

whenever $[L]>A^{d}$. Therefore,

$$
\mathrm{g}(\alpha)=\limsup _{[L] \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{[L]} \log \mathrm{F}(L)<\varepsilon \log B
$$

and since $\varepsilon$ was arbitrary, it follows that $\mathrm{g}(\alpha)=0$. Hence, $\zeta_{\alpha}$ has radius of convergence 1. To show that the circle of convergence is a natural boundary for $\zeta_{\alpha}$, we use the following application of the Pólya-Carlson theorem.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose $\zeta_{\alpha}$ has radius of convergence 1 and $d \geqslant 2$. Then $\zeta_{\alpha}$ admits the unit circle as a natural boundary.
Proof. We proceed similarly to the conclusion of [9, Ex. 3.4], with some slight modifications, as follows. Suppose for a contradiction that $\zeta_{\alpha}$ is rational, that is

$$
\zeta_{\alpha}(z)=\frac{\omega \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(1-\lambda_{i} z\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{l}\left(1-\mu_{j} z\right)}
$$

for some $\omega, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{l} \in \mathbb{C}$. Some straightforward calculus then shows $\omega=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{L \in \mathcal{L}:[L]=n} \mathrm{~F}(L)=\sum_{j=1}^{l} \mu_{j}^{n}-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}^{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\zeta_{\alpha}$ is analytic inside the unit disk, we must have $\left|\mu_{j}\right| \leqslant 1$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant l$. Furthermore, as $\zeta_{\alpha}$ is the exponential of a convergent power series inside the unit disk, we must also have $\left|\lambda_{i}\right| \leqslant 1$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. Hence, (2) gives $\sum_{L \in \mathcal{L}:[L]=n} \mathrm{~F}(L) \leqslant k+l$. Since $\mathrm{F}(L) \geqslant 1$ for all $L \in \mathcal{L}$, this implies that the number of subgroups $L \in \mathcal{L}$ with $[L]=n$ is at most $k+l$ for all $n \geqslant 1$.

Since this is obviously not the case when $d \geqslant 2$, this gives the required contradiction.

Hence, $\zeta_{\alpha}$ is irrational with radius of convergence 1 and since the Taylor series for $\zeta_{\alpha}$ has integer coefficients [9, Cor. 5.5], the result now follows from the theorem of Pólya and Carlson.

## 4. Concluding REmarks

Clearly, the generality of Theorem 1.1 is far from that of Lind's Conjecture described in the introduction. In particular, the algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-actions considered here all have zero entropy. Without classical results such as the Polya-Carlson theorem, which requires $\mathrm{g}(\alpha)=0$, or gap theorems, establishing the existence of a natural boundary can be difficult. For a single automorphism $T$ with $\zeta_{T}$ irrational, this problem is explored in detail in [2].

It is also interesting to see that, together with Rudnick, Corvaja and Zannier themselves applied their result [4, Th. 2] in a way related to our calculations here, motivated by problems in quantum dynamics.

Finally, note that for algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-actions on solenoids, other perspectives involving dynamical zeta functions can be fruitful. For example, the zeta functions of individual elements of the algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-actions considered here are shown to be intimately linked with expansive subdynamics in [11] and [13].
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