#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# Understanding *Pseudomonas* status among adults with cystic fibrosis: a real-world comparison of the Leeds criteria against clinicians' decision

Zhe Hui Hoo<sup>1,2</sup> · Frank Peter Edenborough<sup>2</sup> · Rachael Curley<sup>1,2</sup> · Laura Prtak<sup>2</sup> · Jane Dewar<sup>3</sup> · Mark Ivan Allenby<sup>4</sup> · Julia Anne Nightingale<sup>4</sup> · Martin James Wildman<sup>1,2</sup>

Received: 6 November 2017 / Accepted: 14 December 2017 © The Author(s) 2018. This article is an open access publication

#### Abstract

Pseudomonas aeruginosa status influences cystic fibrosis (CF) clinical management but no 'gold standard' definition exists. The Leeds criteria are commonly used but may lack sensitivity for chronic P. aeruginosa. We compared clinicians' decision with the Leeds criteria in three adult CF centres. Two independent prospective datasets (Sheffield dataset, n = 185 adults; ACtiF pilot dataset, n = 62 adults from two different centres) were analysed. Clinicians involved in deciding P. aeruginosa status were blinded to the study objectives. Clinicians considered more adults with CF to have chronic P. aeruginosa infection compared to the Leeds criteria. This was more so for the Sheffield dataset (106/185, 57.3% with clinicians' decision vs. 80/185, 43.2% with the Leeds criteria; kappa coefficient between these two methods 0.72) compared to the ACtiF pilot dataset (34/62, 54.8% with clinicians' decision vs. 30/62, 48.4% with the Leeds criteria; kappa coefficient between these two methods 0.82). However, clinicians across different centres were relatively consistent once age and severity of lung disease, as indicated by the type of respiratory samples provided, were taken into account. Agreement in P. aeruginosa status was similar for both datasets among adults who predominantly provided sputum samples (kappa coefficient 0.78) or adults > 25 years old (kappa coefficient 0.82). Across three different centres, clinicians did not always agree with the Leeds criteria and tended to consider the Leeds criteria to lack sensitivity. Where disagreement occurred, clinicians tended to diagnose chronic P. aeruginosa infection because other relevant information was considered. These results suggest that a better definition for chronic *P. aeruginosa* might be developed by using consensus methods to move beyond a definition wholly dependent on standard microbiological results.

Zhe Hui Hoo z.hoo@sheffield.ac.uk

- <sup>1</sup> School of Health and Related Research (ScHARR), University of Sheffield, Regent Court, 30 Regent Street, Sheffield S1 4DA, UK
- <sup>2</sup> Sheffield Adult Cystic Fibrosis Centre, Brearley Outpatient, Northern General Hospital, Herries Road, S5 7AU Sheffield, UK
- <sup>3</sup> Wolfson Cystic Fibrosis Centre, City Hospital Campus NUH, Hucknall Road, Nottingham NG5 1PB, UK
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Adult Cystic Fibrosis, University Hospital Southampton NHS Trust, Southampton General Hospital, Tremona Road, Southampton SO16 6YD, UK

# Introduction

Cystic fibrosis (CF), an autosomal recessive genetic condition, affects around 10,000 people in the UK [1]. It is a multisystem condition; lungs (resulting in recurrent infections and respiratory failure) and the gastrointestinal tract (resulting in malabsorption of fat and poor growth) are the two main affected organs.

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* remains the most common chronic lung pathogen among adults with CF, despite changes in pathogen epidemiology [1, 2]. Once acquired, *P. aeruginosa* infection is associated with increased risk of pulmonary exacerbations and accelerated lung function decline [3]. Early eradication therapy mitigates lung function decline and delays onset of chronic infection [4], while longterm inhaled antibiotics reduce the risk of exacerbation and improves lung function in those with chronic *P. aeruginosa* infection [5]. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* status influences various clinical decisions in CF [5–7]. During clinic reviews and in-patient stays, adults with CF not infected by *P. aeruginosa* are segregated from those with *P. aeruginosa* infection to prevent cross-infection [7]. Adults with CF deemed to have chronic *P. aeruginosa* infection should be treated with long-term inhaled anti-pseudomonal antibiotics [5], because chronic *P. aeruginosa* infection is associated with accelerated lung function decline. They should also be treated with appropriately broad spectrum intravenous antibiotics during pulmonary exacerbations [7, 8], due to the resistance pattern of *P. aeruginosa*. Careful monitoring of *P. aeruginosa* status is, hence, an important standard of care in CF [8].

However, there is no 'gold standard' to define P. aeruginosa status among adults with CF. One of the most commonly used definitions in CF research are the Leeds criteria [7]. These criteria define "chronic P. aeruginosa infection" as > 50% of months with cough swabs/sputum cultures in the preceding 12 months that were positive for P. aeruginosa [7]. For example, if an adult provided nine sputum samples over seven months in the last year and P. aeruginosa was cultured in four of those months, that would be considered as chronic P. aeruginosa. "Intermittent *P. aeruginosa* infection" was defined as  $\leq$  50% of months with positive P. aeruginosa culture. In the above example, if only three of those months have at least one positive P. aeruginosa culture, that would be considered as intermittent P. aeruginosa, even if majority of the samples (e.g. five of nine) were positive for P. aeruginosa. Therein lies the advantage of the Leeds criteria over other definitions, e.g. Ballmann et al.'s definition of chronic *P. aeruginosa* as > 50% of cough swabs/sputum cultures being P. aeruginosa positive in a 12month period [9]. If there is a new positive culture for P. aeruginosa, more samples might be taken within a short interval, especially if there was admission for intravenous antibiotics as part of the eradication regime. More intensive monitoring could bias towards misclassifying intermittent P. aeruginosa as chronic if the timings of samples taken were not considered.

Whilst the Leeds criteria are very specific for chronic *P. aeruginosa* infection, recent studies using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) techniques have shown that the Leeds criteria are insensitive, with a tendency to under-diagnose chronic *P. aeruginosa* as intermittent infection [10, 11]. A particular issue is the equal weighting given to cough swabs and sputum samples, even though a cough swab is less sensitive in culturing *P. aeruginosa* [12, 13]. In addition, the Leeds criteria do not consider other key information, such as *P. aeruginosa* strain typing or results of other investigations, e.g. serum *P. aeruginosa* antibody levels [14]. For example, resistant epidemic strains are less likely to be cleared than unique environmental strains [15]. In their day-to-day work, it is likely that clinicians assimilate all of this relevant information in making a decision about *P. aeruginosa* status.

However, how clinicians reach a decision on *P. aeruginosa* status has not been formally investigated. It is also important to understand how different approaches to the definition of *P. aeruginosa* status will affect reported prevalence within routine datasets, such as the UK CF Registry. Using two independent prospective datasets, we set out to compare the distribution of *P. aeruginosa* status as judged by clinicians with the distribution defined by the Leeds criteria. We also systematically compared clinicians' decisions against the Leeds criteria to identify subgroups of adults where clinicians are more likely to disagree with the Leeds criteria.

# **Materials and methods**

## **Design and setting**

This is a cross-sectional analysis of prospectively collected data from two independent datasets and includes clinicians from three adult CF centres.

The first dataset included every eligible adult receiving care at the Sheffield Adult Cystic Fibrosis Centre in 2015 (n = 185). Regulatory approval to analyse data from this dataset was obtained from NHS Health Research Authority (IRAS number 210313).

The second dataset included every eligible participant in the ACtiF pilot study. Participants were recruited from the East Midlands Wolfson Cystic Fibrosis Centre (n = 31) and the Wessex Adult Cystic Fibrosis Centre (n = 31). This is a randomised controlled external pilot trial to evaluate the feasibility for a full-scale randomized controlled trial (RCT) of a theory-based complex intervention to support self-care and adherence with inhaled therapies (ISRCTN13076797). Regulatory approval for the pilot was obtained from Brent Research Ethics Committee (REC reference 16/LO/0356).

Patients with lung transplantation or who were on ivacaftor were excluded. Lung transplantation changes lung microbiome, which complicates the interpretation of *P. aeruginosa* status [16]. Ivacaftor reduces the likelihood of culturing *P. aeruginosa*, which may affect the diagnostic properties of the Leeds criteria [17].

## **Data collection**

For the Sheffield dataset, two experienced CF physicians (FPE, RC) independently reviewed all relevant patient data up to December 2015 to decide on the *P. aeruginosa* status ('no *P. aeruginosa*', 'intermittent', 'chronic'). If agreement was achieved at this stage, it was accepted as the clinicians' status. If agreement was not achieved, the *P. aeruginosa* status was decided in a consensus meeting by both physicians and a microbiologist with CF experience (LP), whereby all three

clinicians agreed on the final clinicians' status. The following data for 2015 were obtained from electronic patient records:

- Microbiology: every cough swab and sputum sample in 2015
- Demographics: age, gender, pancreatic status, presence of CF-related diabetes, socioeconomic status (postcodes were used to derive the Index of Multiple Deprivation, IMD [18])
- Body mass index, BMI (in kg/m<sup>2</sup>)
- %FEV<sub>1</sub> (calculated with the Knudson equation [19])
- Annual intravenous antibiotic days (in number of days)

For the ACtiF pilot dataset, an experienced CF physician at each centre (JD at the East Midlands Wolfson Cystic Fibrosis Centre; MIA or JAN at the Wessex Adult Cystic Fibrosis Centre) reviewed all relevant patient data up to the point of participant recruitment to decide on *P. aeruginosa* status. A similar set of data to the Sheffield dataset was collected at the point of recruitment from June to September 2016. Participants' %FEV<sub>1</sub> at baseline was calculated using the Global Lung Function Initiative (GLI) equation [20].

All clinicians involved in deciding the *P. aeruginosa* status were blinded to the study objectives and analyses plan to minimise bias. Across all sites, microbiology samples were collected during every clinical review, and care standards dictate that the interval between reviews should be  $\leq 3$  months. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was isolated from samples in the respective nationally accredited microbiology laboratories in Sheffield, Nottingham, Southampton and Poole using standard methods in accordance to national guidelines [21].

## **Data analysis**

In this analysis, the Leeds criteria categories of 'free from infection' and 'never' were combined into a single category ('no *P. aeruginosa'*) because both these categories are typically treated in the same manner among adults with CF. This produced three categories of *P. aeruginosa* status, i.e. 'no *P. aeruginosa'* if no growth of *P. aeruginosa* in cough swabs/sputum cultures during the previous 12 months; 'intermittent' if *P. aeruginosa* positive in  $\leq$  50% of months when cough swabs/sputum cultures had been taken; and 'chronic' if *P. aeruginosa* positive in > 50% of months when cough swabs/sputum cultures had been taken.

Data from the Sheffield and ACtiF pilot datasets were analysed separately. Reasons for every clinicians' decision in the Sheffield dataset were recorded and thematically analysed to understand the basis of clinicians' decision-making.

For both datasets, appropriate descriptive statistics were generated, including cross-tabulation of the Leeds criteria *P. aeruginosa* categories against clinicians' decision. Agreement between clinicians' decision and the Leeds criteria were determined using kappa statistics [22]. Sensitivity, specificity and likelihood ratios of the Leeds criteria in diagnosing chronic *P. aeruginosa* were calculated using clinicians' decision as the reference. Likelihood ratios were calculated instead of predictive values because likelihood ratios are independent of prevalence, hence comparable between the two datasets [23].

Clinical characteristics for the group in which the *P. aeruginosa* status differed between clinicians' decision and the Leeds criteria were compared using non-parametric methods against the group where there was agreement, to identify the subgroups of adults whereby the *P. aeruginosa* status is more contentious.

All analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics v22 (IBM Corp.), with *p*-values < 0.05 considered statistically significant.

# Results

For the Sheffield dataset, 185 adults were included, with median age of 27 years [interquartile range (IQR) 20-34 years]. A total of 1211 relevant respiratory samples were collected during 2015 (750 sputum samples, 461 cough swabs). For the ACtiF pilot dataset, 62 adults were included, with median age of 28 years (IQR 22-37 years). A total of 528 relevant respiratory samples were collected in a one-year period prior to recruitment (491 sputum samples, 37 cough swabs). The ACtiF pilot participants have more severe lung disease, even after allowing for the difference in %FEV1 measurements, which is, in part, due to the eligibility criteria of the ACtiF pilot. To be eligible, participants must be on long-term inhaled therapies and this selected for adults with more severe lung disease. Not surprisingly, the average number of respiratory samples collected from the ACtiF pilot was higher and predominantly sputum, whereas the Sheffield study subjects with less severe lung disease produced far more cough swabs (see Table 1).

In both datasets, more adults have chronic *P. aeruginosa* infection according to clinicians' decision compared to the Leeds criteria. This was more so for the Sheffield dataset (106/185, 57.3% with clinicians' decision vs. 80/185, 43.2% with the Leeds criteria) compared to the ACtiF pilot dataset (34/62, 54.8% with clinicians' decision vs. 30/62, 48.4% with the Leeds criteria). Where there was disagreement in *P. aeruginosa* status, the clinicians tended to diagnose chronic *P. aeruginosa* infection, but the Leeds criteria diagnosed no or intermittent *P. aeruginosa* (see Tables 2 and 3). For example, 21 adults in the Sheffield dataset fulfilled the Leeds criteria for 'intermittent *P. aeruginosa*' but were deemed by clinicians to have 'chronic *P. aeruginosa*'. This disagreement was, in part, driven by clinicians placing different weighting on cough swabs compared to sputum samples, and clinicians diagnosed

	Sheffield dataset <sup>a</sup> $(n = 185)$	ACtiF pilot dataset ( $n = 62$ )	
Age in years, median (IQR)	27 (20–34)	28 (22–37)	
Females (%)	87 (47.0)	26 (41.9)	
Pancreatic insufficient (%)	142 (76.8)	54 (87.1)	
CF-related diabetes (%)	42 (22.7)	28 (45.2)	
Social deprivation (IMD quintile)			
1 (least deprived) (%)	28 (15.1)	15 (24.2)	
2 (%)	16 (8.6)	14 (22.6)	
3 (%)	43 (23.2)	15 (24.2)	
4 (%)	44 (23.8)	11 (17.7)	
5 (most deprived) (%)	54 (29.3)	7 (11.3)	
Number of relevant microbiological samples			
Cough swabs, median (IQR)	2 (0-4)	0 (0–0)	
Sputum samples, median (IQR)	3 (1–7)	8 (5–10)	
Total, median (IQR)	6 (4–9)	8 (6–10)	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa status (Leeds criteria)			
Chronic P. aeruginosa (%)	80 (43.2)	30 (48.4)	
Intermittent P. aeruginosa (%)	31 (16.8)	8 (12.9)	
No P. aeruginosa (%)	74 (40.0)	24 (38.7)	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa status (clinicians' decision)			
Chronic P. aeruginosa (%)	106 (57.3)	34 (54.8)	
Intermittent P. aeruginosa (%)	15 (8.1)	6 (9.7)	
No P. aeruginosa (%)	64 (34.6)	22 (35.5)	
BMI in kg/m <sup>2</sup> , median (IQR)	23.0 (20.3–26.0)	22.2 (19.6–25.4)	
% predicted FEV <sub>1</sub> , median (IQR)	81 (63–93) <sup>b</sup>	51.2 (42.9–77.9) <sup>c</sup>	
Annual intravenous antibiotic days, median (IQR)	14 (0–35)	17 (5–44)	

<sup>a</sup> Complete data were available for every clinical variable in the Sheffield dataset, except for one study subject who did not have any  $FEV_1$  readings in 2015 due to inability to perform spirometry testing. Complete data were available for every clinical variable in the ACtiF pilot dataset

<sup>b</sup> This is the highest FEV<sub>1</sub> obtained in 2015. % predicted is calculated using the Knudson equation

<sup>c</sup> This is the baseline FEV<sub>1</sub> at recruitment to the ACtiF pilot. % predicted is calculated using the GLI equation

chronic *P. aeruginosa* infection even if *P. aeruginosa* was less frequently cultured on cough swabs, i.e. cough swabs with no growths tended to be ignored (see the reasons provided by Sheffield clinicians for diagnosing chronic *P. aeruginosa* in Table 4).

In the Sheffield dataset, the most obvious differences between adults with discordant and concordant *P. aeruginosa* status were the numbers of cough swabs and sputum samples. The discordant group were also younger (see Table 5). This would account for the minor differences in BMI and %FEV<sub>1</sub>

Leeds criteria <i>P. aeruginosa</i> status, number of adults	Sheffield dataset <sup>a</sup> ( $n = 185$ ) <i>P. aeruginosa</i> status according to clinicians' decision, number of adults			ACtiF pilot dataset <sup>b</sup> ( $n = 62$ ) <i>P. aeruginosa</i> status according to clinicians' decision, number of adults		
	No P. aeruginosa	Intermittent P. aeruginosa	Chronic P. aeruginosa	No P. aeruginosa	Intermittent P. aeruginosa	Chronic P. aeruginosa
No P. aeruginosa	64	5	5	21	1	2
Intermittent P. aeruginosa	0	10	21	1	4	3
Chronic P. aeruginosa	0	0	80	0	1	29

<sup>a</sup> Cohen's kappa coefficient of 0.72 [95% confidence interval (CI) 0.64–0.80] between clinicians' decision and the Leeds criteria for the Sheffield dataset <sup>b</sup> Cohen's kappa coefficient of 0.82 (95% CI 0.74–0.89) between clinicians' decision and the Leeds criteria for the ACtiF pilot dataset  
 Table 3
 Diagnostic properties of the Leeds criteria for 'chronic *P. aeruginosa* infection', in comparison to clinicians' decision

	Sheffield dataset $(n = 185)$	ACtiF pilot dataset $(n = 62)$
Sensitivity (95% CI)	0.75 (0.66–0.83)	0.85 (0.69–0.95)
Specificity (95% CI)	1.00 (0.94–1.00)	0.96 (0.82-1.00)
Positive likelihood ratio (95% CI)	Infinity	23.88 (3.47–164.49)
Negative likelihood ratio (95% CI)	0.25 (0.18-0.34)	0.15 (0.07–0.34)

between these two groups. The smaller sample size and more homogenous nature of the ACtiF pilot dataset made it more difficult to detect differences between adults with discordant versus concordant *P. aeruginosa* status. Nonetheless, the differences in age and %FEV<sub>1</sub> were in the same direction as the Sheffield dataset, suggesting some consistency in the decision-making by different clinicians across three CF centres. These differences allowed the identification of two broad subgroups in which clinicians were more likely to disagree with the Leeds criteria: (1) those who provided more cough swabs than sputum samples and (2) younger adults ( $\leq 25$  years).

Most of the discrepancies between clinicians and the Leeds criteria in both datasets were driven by these 'more difficult to agree' subgroups (see Table 6). The extent by which clinicians diagnosed more chronic *P. aeruginosa* in relation to the Leeds criteria were actually very similar across both datasets among adults who provided at least an equal number of sputum samples as cough swabs, or older adults (i.e. the 'easier to agree' subgroups). Among adults > 25 years old who provided at

 Table 4
 Reasons provided by Sheffield clinicians for deciding when an adult with cystic fibrosis (CF) has chronic *P. aeruginosa* infection

Clinicians' basis for chronic P. aeruginosa infection

Multiple positive samples ( $\geq$  3 months with positive samples in a year) If cough swab only, at least two positive cough swabs in a year

- Accept as chronic *P. aeruginosa* infection even with negative samples, if poor quality respiratory sample<sup>a</sup> and high serum *P. aeruginosa* antibody levels
- Accept as chronic *P. aeruginosa* infection even with negative samples, if high adherence to inhaled antibiotics
- Accept as chronic *P. aeruginosa* infection even with negative samples, if clinically deteriorates with cessation of inhaled antibiotics
- Transmissible strain difficult to eradicate, so accept as chronic *P. aeruginosa* infection if cultured within the last 12–18 months
- Mucoid *Pseudomonas* difficult to eradicate, so accept as chronic *P. aeruginosa* infection if cultured within the last 12–18 months
- Two separate positive cultures of *P. aeruginosa* > 1 year apart of the same strain

Long previous history of *P. aeruginosa* infection (first positive culture > 5 years ago), with  $\geq 2$  positive *P. aeruginosa* cultures > 1 year apart

least an equal number of sputum samples as cough swabs, the difference in the proportion of adults with chronic *P. aeruginosa* according to clinicians versus the Leeds criteria were similar for both datasets (Sheffield: 59/74, 79.7% with clinicians' decision vs. 54/74, 73.0% with the Leeds criteria; ACtiF pilot: 22/36, 61.1% with clinicians' decision vs. 20/36, 55.6% with the Leeds criteria).

# Discussion

Although *P. aeruginosa* status and management decisions related to *P. aeruginosa* status are often decided by clinicians in routine clinical practice, this is the first study that formally evaluates clinicians' diagnosis of *P. aeruginosa* status among adults with CF. This study demonstrated that clinicians diagnosed chronic *P. aeruginosa* when the Leeds criteria did not, partly because clinicians placed less importance on negative cough swabs, and, in the face of negative cough swabs, integrated other relevant information to decide on *P. aeruginosa* status. On the other hand, the Leeds criteria depend solely on standard microbiological results and ignore other key information, such as *P. aeruginosa* strain typing.

This finding is consistent with previous studies which found cough swabs less sensitive in culturing *P. aeruginosa* [12, 13] and the Leeds criteria lacking in sensitivity for chronic *P. aeruginosa* when compared against PCR methods [10, 11]. Indeed, quantitative PCR assays often detect *P. aeruginosa* when bacterial cultures are negative [24]; hence, a negative culture does not always imply the absence of *P. aeruginosa* infection and intermittent positive *P. aeruginosa* cultures do not always imply intermittent infection.

It may appear that Sheffield clinicians diagnosed far more chronic *P. aeruginosa* cases versus the Leeds criteria in comparison to the ACtiF pilot clinicians. However, the observed discrepancy was largely driven by the differences in the case mix (age and severity of lung disease, as indicated by the type of respiratory samples provided) between the two datasets. Among the subgroups in which agreement between clinicians' decision and the Leeds criteria were more likely (i.e. older adults or adults who provided at least equal numbers of sputum samples to cough swabs), the discrepancies between the Leeds criteria and clinicians' decision for both datasets were

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> A particular respiratory sample (cough swab/sputum sample) was deemed as possibly 'poor quality' if no other known CF pathogens, e.g. *Haemophilus influenzae*, was cultured from that sample

Table 5	Demographic and clinical characteristics for study subjects with discordant vs. concordant P. aeruginosa status between clinicians' decision
and the I	Leeds criteria

	Sheffield dataset			ACtiF pilot dataset		
	Discordant <i>P. aeruginosa</i> status $(n = 31)$	Concordant <i>P. aeruginosa</i> status ( $n = 154$ )	<i>p</i> -Value	Discordant <i>P.</i> <i>aeruginosa</i> status $(n = 8)$	Concordant <i>P.</i> <i>aeruginosa</i> status ( $n = 54$ )	p-Value
Age in years, median (IQR)	20 (18–26)	27 (22–34)	0.001*	25 (22–31)	28 (22–38)	0.266*
Females (%)	15 (48.4)	72 (46.8)	0.868***	3 (37.5)	23 (42.6)	1.000****
Pancreatic insufficient (%)	30 (96.8)	112 (72.7)	0.004***	8 (100.0)	46 (85.2)	0.581****
CF-related diabetes (%)	5 (16.1)	37 (24.0)	0.338***	3 (37.5)	25 (46.3)	0.719****
Social deprivation (IMD quintile)						
1 (least deprived) (%)	7 (22.6)	22 (14.3)	0.265**	1 (12.5)	14 (25.9)	0.875**
2 (%)	1 (3.1)	15 (9.7)		2 (25.0)	12 (22.2)	
3 (%)	10 (32.3)	33 (21.4)		4 (50.0)	11 (20.4)	
4 (%)	6 (19.4)	37 (24.1)		1 (25.0)	10 (18.5)	
5 (most deprived) (%)	7 (22.6)	47 (30.5)		0	7 (13.0)	
Number of microbiological sample	s					
Cough swabs, median (IQR)	4 (2–7)	1 (0-4)	< 0.001*	0 (0–2)	0 (0-0)	0.796*
Sputum samples, median (IQR)	1 (1–3)	4 (1–7)	0.002*	8 (3–10)	8 (5–10)	0.613*
Total, median (IQR)	6 (4-8)	6 (4–9)	0.987*	9 (5–10)	8 (6–10)	0.728*
Sputum producers <sup>a</sup> (%)	21 (67.7)	118 (76.6)	0.297***	8 (100)	51 (94.4)	1.000****
BMI in kg/m <sup>2</sup> , median (IQR)	23.0 (21.3-26.0)	22.9 (20.1-30.3)	0.631*	20.8 (17.7-23.7)	22.2 (19.9–25.7)	0.163*
% predicted $FEV_1$ , median (IQR)	87 (75–94) <sup>b</sup>	80 (61–93) <sup>b</sup>	0.292*	55.6 (40.6–81.7) <sup>c</sup>	49.6 (42.9–76.2) <sup>c</sup>	0.600*
Annual intravenous antibiotic days, median (IQR)	12 (0–37)	14 (0–34)	0.460*	14 (4–35)	21 (5-45)	0.575*

<sup>a</sup> A person was considered a 'sputum producer' if he/she provided at least one sputum sample over a one-year period

<sup>b</sup> This is the highest FEV<sub>1</sub> in 2015, with % predicted calculated using the Knudson equation

<sup>c</sup> This is the baseline FEV<sub>1</sub> at recruitment, with % predicted calculated using the GLI equation

\*Mann-Whitney test; \*\*Chi-squared test for trend; \*\*\*Chi-squared test; \*\*\*\* Fisher's exact test

very similar, suggesting a degree of consistent decisionmaking by clinicians from three different specialist adult CF centres in the UK. Clinicians involved in deciding *P. aeruginosa* status were blinded to the study objectives and analyses plan to minimise bias. The results from three centres were compared in this study, helping us to gain insight into patterns across adult UK centres rather than the peculiarities specific to a single centre.

Limitations of this study should be acknowledged. The limited number of study subjects with 'discordant *P. aeruginosa* status' meant that multivariate logistic regression could not be used to analyse differences in clinical characteristics between the discordant and concordant groups. Data on whether respiratory samples were collected during periods on or off anti-pseudomonal antibiotics were not collected, and, therefore, we could not explore whether this is a specific factor that causes discordance between clinicians' decision and the Leeds criteria. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was isolated from respiratory samples using standard

Pseudomonas isolation agar, as recommended by national guidelines [21], but more sensitive culture methods, e.g. quantitative cultures, may well increase the proportion of adults diagnosed with chronic *P. aeruginosa* infection according to the Leeds criteria. However, evaluation of novel culture methods that are not routinely available is beyond the scope of this pragmatic study. In the two ACtiF pilot centres, a single clinician decided on the P. aeruginosa status of participants recruited to the study after considering relevant data without a consensus process involving other clinicians, whereas Sheffield clinicians made decisions across the whole centre population with a relatively structured process involving independent consideration by two clinicians, followed by final consensus involving a third clinician. The Sheffield process allowed more detailed exploration of the challenge of determining P. aeruginosa status in the real world. For example, clinical deterioration after cessation of inhaled antibiotics despite negative samples. However, these 'subjective' reasons were only applied to a small minority of study subjects and

	Sheffield dataset ( $n = 185$ )			ACtiF pilot dataset ( $n = 62$ )		
	Number of adults in each subgroup (%)	Agreement between the Leeds criteria and clinicians' decision, Cohen's kappa coefficient (95% CI)	'Sensitivity' of the Leeds criteria in diagnosing chronic <i>P. aeruginosa</i> compared to clinicians' decision (95% CI)	Number of adults in each subgroup (%)	Agreement between the Leeds criteria and clinicians' decision, Cohen's kappa coefficient (95% CI)	'Sensitivity' of the Leeds criteria in diagnosing chronic <i>P. aeruginosa</i> compared to clinicians' decision (95% CI)
Overall	185	0.72 (0.64–0.80)	0.75 (0.66–0.83)	62	0.82 (0.74–0.89)	0.85 (0.69–0.95)
Subgroups based of microbiology sat						
Cough swabs > sputum samples (the 'more difficult to agree' subgroup)	77 (41.6)	0.54 (0.40–0.69)	0.38 (0.20–0.59)	5 (8.1)	N/A (all 5 adults were 'no <i>P. aeruginosa</i> ' according to the Leeds criteria)	N/A (all 5 adults were non-chronic <i>P. aeruginosa</i> )
Sputum samples ≥ cough swabs (the 'easier to agree' subgroup)		0.78 (0.67–0.90)	0.88 (0.78–0.94)	57 (91.9)	0.78 (0.64–0.93)	0.85 (0.69–0.95)
Subgroups based o Age ≤ 25 years (the 'more difficult to agree' subgroup)	n age 85 (45.9)	0.60 (0.47–0.72)	0.53 (0.34-0.69)	24 (38.7)	0.72 (0.48–0.95)	0.75 (0.43–0.95)
Age > 25 years (the 'easier to agree' subgroup)	100 (54.1)	0.82 (0.72–0.93)	0.88 (0.78–0.95)	38 (61.3)	0.82 (0.65–0.98)	0.91 (0.71–0.99)

Table 6 Comparison of the *P. aeruginosa* status according to the Leeds criteria vs. clinicians' decision, stratified according to different subgroups

such reasons were often triangulated with other 'more objective' data (e.g. high serum *P. aeruginosa* antibody levels) before a consensus 'chronic *P. aeruginosa*' decision was reached. Given the challenging nature of determining *P. aeruginosa* status, these data are valuable in making the case for further work to create an approach to improve diagnostic consistency. Clinicians across three different specialist adult CF centres displayed some consistency in their decisionmaking but they did not always agree with the Leeds criteria in diagnosing *P. aeruginosa* status, and the extent of this disagreement was influenced by the case mix.

The lack of a 'gold standard' for *P. aeruginosa* status means that different clinical trials evaluating treatments for people with chronic *P. aeruginosa* (e.g. nebulised levofloxacin and tobramycin inhalation powder) used different eligibility criteria [25, 26]. The exact definition of *P. aeruginosa* status is, perhaps, not crucial in a drug trial whereby people with uncertain *P. aeruginosa* status would be distributed randomly across different arms of the trial. However, an accurate *P. aeruginosa* status is crucial for a nebuliser adherence trial such as ACtiF because *P. aeruginosa* status influences the prescription

of inhaled therapies and determines normative adherence (which is an outcome measure in the ACtiF pilot) [6, 27]. The challenge of determining P. aeruginosa status among adults with CF has clear parallels with the problem posed by exacerbation and identifies the need for a pragmatic set of guidelines that can be easily applied in clinical trials, in a similar way in which the Fuchs or EPIC criteria have been used to determine the presence of pulmonary exacerbations [28]. Both the Fuchs and EPIC criteria emerged out of the necessity to standardise the definition of exacerbations in multi-centre trials. Neither the Fuchs nor EPIC criteria would constitute the 'gold standard', but, nevertheless, provide an agreed definition that allows exacerbation to be diagnosed as a valid outcome in multi-centre clinical trials. Likewise, a standardised P. aeruginosa status definition will be important in a multi-centre nebuliser adherence trial such as the ACtiF study, which uses 'normative adherence' [6] as one of the secondary outcomes. Of note, both the Fuchs and EPIC criteria consist of 'objective' evidence, e.g. acute FEV1 decline, and more 'subjective' evidence, e.g. change in symptoms because

exacerbations do not always present in the same way [28]. A similar approach might enable a consensus group to add additional parameters to the Leeds criteria.

Our findings may also have clinical implications. Preventative inhaled therapies are crucial to maintain health among people with CF [5], and the most potent of these are inhaled antibiotics. The decision to initiate inhaled antibiotics is predominantly driven by P. aeruginosa status. The results of this study suggest that P. aeruginosa status may be more difficult to define among younger adults who are particularly vulnerable to lung function decline [29]. Inadequate prescription of treatment ('therapeutic inertia') is the second biggest cause of treatment under-utilisation after low adherence [30]. It is crucial to ensure that a diagnosis of chronic P. aeruginosa is not inadvertently missed among younger adults who are likely to have accumulated less lung damage, have lower P. aeruginosa density in their lungs and reduced likelihood of culturing P. aeruginosa with standard respiratory samples. A consensus approach to the challenge of diagnosing chronic P. aeruginosa in this age group might prompt helpful approaches; for example, perhaps younger adults with CF should be monitored more intensively (e.g. supplementing standard respiratory samples with regular serum P. aeruginosa antibodies).

The next step of this research is to use formal consensus methods to integrate the expertise of clinicians from a greater number of adult CF centres in order to explicitly develop a pragmatic definition of chronic *P. aeruginosa* infection that moves beyond solely depending on standard microbiological results. Such a consensus exercise has been successfully completed, and a paper describing the results is in preparation.

Given that a perfectly sensitive and specific test for chronic *P. aeruginosa* is unlikely to become routinely available in the near future, we anticipate that a carefully developed set of consensus criteria to define chronic *P. aeruginosa* infection has the potential to contribute to clinical practice and bring similar benefits to those brought by the Fuchs criteria as a tool for structuring investigations around exacerbations.

**Funding** This report is independent research arising from a Doctoral Research Fellowship (Zhe Hui Hoo, DRF-2014-07-092) supported by the National Institute for Health Research. The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the National Institute for Health Research or the Department of Health.

#### Compliance with ethical standards

**Conflict of interest** Zhe Hui Hoo, Frank Edenborough, Rachael Curley, Laura Prtak, Jane Dewar, Mark Allenby, Julia Nightingale and Martin Wildman all have no conflicts of interest to declare.

**Ethical approval** Regulatory approval to analyse data from the Sheffield dataset was obtained from the NHS Health Research Authority (IRAS number 210313). Regulatory approval for the ACtiF pilot dataset was obtained from Brent Research Ethics Committee (REC reference 16/LO/0356).

**Informed consent** Participants of the Sheffield study all provided written consent for analysis of their routinely collected clinical data. Participants of the ACtiF pilot all provided written consent prior to participating in the pilot trial.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

## References

- The UK CF Registry Steering Committee (2016) UK Cystic Fibrosis Registry. 2015 Annual Data Report. Available online at: https://www.cysticfibrosis.org.uk/the-work-we-do/uk-cf-registry/ reporting-and-resources. Accessed 8 Jul 2017
- Salsgiver EL, Fink AK, Knapp EA, LiPuma JJ, Olivier KN, Marshall BC, Saiman L (2016) Changing epidemiology of the respiratory bacteriology of patients with cystic fibrosis. Chest 149: 390–400. https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.15-0676
- Zemanick ET, Emerson J, Thompson V, McNamara S, Morgan W, Gibson RL, Rosenfeld M; EPIC Study Group (2015) Clinical outcomes after initial *Pseudomonas* acquisition in cystic fibrosis. Pediatr Pulmonol 50:42–48. https://doi.org/10.1002/ppul.23036
- 4. Mogayzel PJ Jr, Naureckas ET, Robinson KA, Brady C, Guill M, Lahiri T, Lubsch L, Matsui J, Oermann CM, Ratjen F, Rosenfeld M, Simon RH, Hazle L, Sabadosa K, Marshall BC; Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Pulmonary Clinical Practice Guidelines Committee (2014) Cystic Fibrosis Foundation pulmonary guideline. Pharmacologic approaches to prevention and eradication of initial *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection. Ann Am Thorac Soc 11:1640– 1650. https://doi.org/10.1513/AnnalsATS.201404-1660C
- Mogayzel PJ Jr, Naureckas ET, Robinson KA, Mueller G, Hadjiliadis D, Hoag JB, Lubsch L, Hazle L, Sabadosa K, Marshall B; Pulmonary Clinical Practice Guidelines Committee (2013) Cystic fibrosis pulmonary guidelines. Chronic medications for maintenance of lung health. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 187: 680–689. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201207-11600E
- Hoo ZH, Curley R, Campbell MJ, Walters SJ, Hind D, Wildman MJ (2016) Accurate reporting of adherence to inhaled therapies in adults with cystic fibrosis: methods to calculate "normative adherence". Patient Prefer Adherence 10:887–900. https://doi.org/ 10.2147/PPA.S105530
- Lee TW, Brownlee KG, Conway SP, Denton M, Littlewood JM (2003) Evaluation of a new definition for chronic *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in cystic fibrosis patients. J Cyst Fibros 2: 29–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1569-1993(02)00141-8
- Elborn JS, Hodson M, Bertram C (2009) Implementation of European standards of care for cystic fibrosis—control and treatment of infection. J Cyst Fibros 8:211–217. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jcf.2009.03.001
- Ballmann M, Rabsch P, von der Hardt H (1998) Long term follow up of changes in FEV1 and treatment intensity during *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* colonisation in patients with cystic fibrosis. Thorax 53:732–737. https://doi.org/10.1136/thx.53.9.732
- da Silva Filho LV, Tateno AF, Martins KM, Azzuz Chernishev AC, de Oliveira Garcia O, Haug M, Meisner C, Rodrigues JC, Döring G (2007) The combination of PCR and serology increases the diagnosis of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* colonization/infection in cystic

fibrosis. Pediatr Pulmonol 42:938–944. https://doi.org/10.1002/ ppul.20686

- Kalferstova L, Vilimovska Dedeckova K, Antuskova M, Melter O, Drevinek P (2016) How and why to monitor *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections in the long term at a cystic fibrosis centre. J Hosp Infect 92:54–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2015.09.010
- Equi AC, Pike SE, Davies J, Bush A (2001) Use of cough swabs in a cystic fibrosis clinic. Arch Dis Child 85:438–439. https://doi.org/ 10.1136/adc.85.5.438
- Zampoli M, Pillay K, Carrara H, Zar HJ, Morrow B (2016) Microbiological yield from induced sputum compared to oropharyngeal swab in young children with cystic fibrosis. J Cyst Fibros 15:605–610. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcf.2016.01.001
- Mauch RM, Levy CE (2014) Serum antibodies to *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa in cystic fibrosis as a diagnostic tool: a systematic review. J Cyst Fibros 13:499–507. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcf.2014. 01.005
- Gilchrist FJ, France M, Bright-Thomas R, Doherty CJ, Govan JR, Webb AK, Jones AM (2011) Can transmissible strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* be successfully eradicated? Eur Respir J 38:1483–1486. https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00048611
- Dickson RP, Erb-Downward JR, Freeman CM, Walker N, Scales BS, Beck JM, Martinez FJ, Curtis JL, Lama VN, Huffnagle GB (2014) Changes in the lung microbiome following lung transplantation include the emergence of two distinct *Pseudomonas* species with distinct clinical associations. PLoS One 9:e97214. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0097214
- 17. Heltshe SL, Mayer-Hamblett N, Burns JL, Khan U, Baines A, Ramsey BW, Rowe SM; GOAL (the G551D Observation-AL) Investigators of the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Therapeutics Development Network (2015) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis patients with G551D-CFTR treated with ivacaftor. Clin Infect Dis 60:703-712. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciu944
- Taylor-Robinson DC, Smyth RL, Diggle PJ, Whitehead M (2013) The effect of social deprivation on clinical outcomes and the use of treatments in the UK cystic fibrosis population: a longitudinal study. Lancet Respir Med 1:121–128. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2213-2600(13)70002-X
- Knudson RJ, Lebowitz MD, Holberg CJ, Burrows B (1983) Changes in the normal maximal expiratory flow-volume curve with growth and aging. Am Rev Respir Dis 127:725–734. https://doi. org/10.1164/arrd.1983.127.6.725
- Quanjer PH, Stanojevic S, Cole TJ, Baur X, Hall GL, Culver BH, Enright PL, Hankinson JL, Ip MS, Zheng J, Stocks J; ERS Global Lung Function Initiative (2012) Multi-ethnic reference values for spirometry for the 3–95-yr age range: the global lung function 2012

equations. Eur Respir J 40:1324–1343. https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00080312

- The UK Cystic Fibrosis Trust Microbiology Laboratory Standards Working Group (2010) Laboratory standards for processing microbiological samples from people with cystic fibrosis. First edition. September 2010. Available online at: https://www.cysticfibrosis. org.uk/the-work-we-do/clinical-care/consensus-documents. Accessed 8 Jul 2017
- McHugh ML (2012) Interrater reliability: the kappa statistic. Biochem Med (Zagreb) 22:276–282. https://doi.org/10.11613/ BM.2012.031
- Deeks JJ, Altman DG (2004) Diagnostic tests 4: likelihood ratios. BMJ 329:168–169. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.329.7458.168
- Billard-Pomares T, Herwegh S, Wizla-Derambure N, Turck D, Courcol R, Husson MO (2011) Application of quantitative PCR to the diagnosis and monitoring of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* colonization in 5–18-year-old cystic fibrosis patients. J Med Microbiol 60(Pt 2):157–161. https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.023838-0
- 25. Konstan MW, Flume PA, Kappler M, Chiron R, Higgins M, Brockhaus F, Zhang J, Angyalosi G, He E, Geller DE (2011) Safety, efficacy and convenience of tobramycin inhalation powder in cystic fibrosis patients: the EAGER trial. J Cyst Fibros 10:54–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcf.2010.10.003
- 26. Stuart Elborn J, Geller DE, Conrad D, Aaron SD, Smyth AR, Fischer R, Kerem E, Bell SC, Loutit JS, Dudley MN, Morgan EE, VanDevanter DR, Flume PA (2015) A phase 3, open-label, randomized trial to evaluate the safety and efficacy of levofloxacin inhalation solution (APT-1026) versus tobramycin inhalation solution in stable cystic fibrosis patients. J Cyst Fibros 14:507–514. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcf.2014.12.013
- Wildman MJ (2015) Development and evaluation of an intervention to support Adherence to treatment in adults with Cystic Fibrosis (ACtiF). Available online at: http://www.sheffield.ac.uk/ scharr/sections/hsr/mcru/actif. Accessed 8 Jul 2017
- Bilton D, Canny G, Conway S, Dumcius S, Hjelte L, Proesmans M, Tümmler B, Vavrova V, De Boeck K (2011) Pulmonary exacerbation: towards a definition for use in clinical trials. Report from the EuroCareCF Working Group on outcome parameters in clinical trials. J Cyst Fibros 10(Suppl 2):S79–S81. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S1569-1993(11)60012-X
- Liou TG, Elkin EP, Pasta DJ, Jacobs JR, Konstan MW, Morgan WJ, Wagener JS (2010) Year-to-year changes in lung function in individuals with cystic fibrosis. J Cyst Fibros 9:250–256. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jcf.2010.04.002
- Allen JD, Curtiss FR, Fairman KA (2009) Nonadherence, clinical inertia, or therapeutic inertia? J Manag Care Pharm 15:690–695. https://doi.org/10.18553/jmcp.2009.15.8.690