



Leslie, Andrew, Mencuccini, Maurizio and Perks, Mike P. (2012) The potential for Eucalyptus as a wood fuel in the UK. *Applied Energy*, 89 (1). pp. 176-182.

Downloaded from: <http://insight.cumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/3543/>

Usage of any items from the University of Cumbria's institutional repository 'Insight' must conform to the following fair usage guidelines.

Any item and its associated metadata held in the University of Cumbria's institutional repository Insight (unless stated otherwise on the metadata record) may be copied, displayed or performed, and stored in line with the JISC fair dealing guidelines (available [here](#)) for educational and not-for-profit activities

provided that

- the authors, title and full bibliographic details of the item are cited clearly when any part of the work is referred to verbally or in the written form
 - a hyperlink/URL to the original Insight record of that item is included in any citations of the work
- the content is not changed in any way
- all files required for usage of the item are kept together with the main item file.

You may not

- sell any part of an item
- refer to any part of an item without citation
- amend any item or contextualise it in a way that will impugn the creator's reputation
- remove or alter the copyright statement on an item.

The full policy can be found [here](#).

Alternatively contact the University of Cumbria Repository Editor by emailing insight@cumbria.ac.uk.

Manuscript Number: APEN-D-10-01239R1

Title: The potential for Eucalyptus as a wood fuel in Great Britain.

Article Type: Review Article

Keywords: Eucalyptus; Eucalyptus gunnii; Eucalyptus nitens; Great Britain; biomass; short rotation forestry

Corresponding Author: Mr Andrew Dunbar Leslie, MSc, MBA, BSc

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of Cumbria

First Author: Andrew Dunbar Leslie, MSc, MBA, BSc

Order of Authors: Andrew Dunbar Leslie, MSc, MBA, BSc; Maurizio Mencuccini, PhD; Mike Perks, PhD

Abstract: Considerable potential exists in the UK for utilising woody biomass, grown under short rotation forestry management systems, to produce electricity or heat. There are benefits to using biomass in generating heat and power the main environmental benefit being from substituting for fossil fuel combustion and consequent carbon emissions. Woody biomass production in short rotation forestry involves growing single stemmed trees rather than coppice over rotations of between 10 and 15 years. Eucalypts are particularly suited to such biomass production as they exhibit relatively high wood density, have suitable chemical characteristics, exhibit low moisture content and can be easily harvested all year around using conventional machinery if single-stemmed growth form is maintained.

The UK has a climate that is not well suited to the majority of eucalypts. However, there is a small number of eucalypt species that can withstand the stresses caused by frozen ground and desiccating winds or sub-zero temperatures that can occur. These species are from more southern latitudes and high altitude areas of Australia. However, even the most cold resistant species can be damaged by UK winter climate extremes and therefore careful matching of species to site environmental constraints is critical. Informed decision making is made problematic by the small area and limited distribution of current planting, although it is clear that particularly cold areas and for most species, sites with poor drainage should be generally avoided. This article provides a discussion of the potential of, and constraints to, using eucalypts for biomass in the UK and provides a tentative list of recommended species, their potential growth rates and their advantages and disadvantages.

1 The potential for Eucalyptus as a wood fuel in the UK.

2
3 Leslie, A.D.¹, Mencuccini, M². and Perks, M³.

4 5 Abstract

6
7 Considerable potential exists in the UK for utilising woody biomass, grown under
8 short rotation forestry management systems, to produce electricity or heat. There
9 are benefits to using biomass in generating heat and power the main environmental
10 benefit being from substituting for fossil fuel combustion and consequent carbon
11 emissions. Woody biomass production in short rotation forestry involves growing
12 single stemmed trees rather than coppice over rotations of between 10 and 15 years.
13 Eucalypts are particularly suited to such biomass production as they exhibit relatively
14 high wood density, have suitable chemical characteristics, exhibit low moisture
15 content and can be easily harvested all year around using conventional machinery if
16 single-stemmed growth form is maintained.

17
18 The UK has a climate that is not well suited to the majority of eucalypts. However,
19 there is a small number of eucalypt species that can withstand the stresses caused
20 by frozen ground and desiccating winds or sub-zero temperatures that can occur.
21 These species are from more southern latitudes and high altitude areas of Australia.
22 However, even the most cold resistant species can be damaged by UK winter climate
23 extremes and therefore careful matching of species to site environmental constraints
24 is critical. Informed decision making is made problematic by the small area and
25 limited distribution of current planting, although it is clear that particularly cold areas
26 and for most species, sites with poor drainage should be generally avoided. This
27 article provides a discussion of the potential of, and constraints to, using eucalypts
28 for biomass in the UK and provides a tentative list of recommended species, their
29 potential growth rates and their advantages and disadvantages.

30 31 Key words:

32
33 *Eucalyptus*, *Eucalyptus gunnii*, *Eucalyptus nitens*, Great Britain, biomass, short
34 rotation forestry
35

36
37 ¹ Corresponding author - Senior Lecturer, National School of Forestry, University Of
38 Cumbria, Penrith Campus at Newton Rigg, Penrith, CA11 0AH. Telephone: +44 1768
39 893683. Fax: +144 1768 893432. E-mail: Andrew.leslie@cumbria.ac.uk

40
41 ²Reader, School of Geosciences, University of Edinburgh, Crew Building, The King's
42 Buildings, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JN. Telephone +44 131 650 5432.
43 Fax: +44 131 662 0478. E-mail: m.mencuccini@ed.ac.uk

44
45 ³Senior Scientist, Centre for Forestry and Climate Change, Forest Research Northern
46 Research Station Roslin Midlothian EH25 9SY. Telephone: +44 131 445 6935. Fax:
47 +44 131 445 5124. E-mail: mike.perks@forestry.gsi.gov.uk

48
49
50
51 Manuscript of 16 June 2011

52 53 Introduction

54

55 In order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and improve energy security the UK
56 Government has made a commitment to source fifteen percent of the country's
57 energy from renewable sources by 2020 [1]. The lead scenario in the UK renewable
58 energy strategy suggests that 30% of electricity and 12% of heat could be provided
59 through use of renewable sources of energy. Woody biomass is predicted to provide
60 about 2% of the electricity generated in the UK by 2020 [1], but it is through the
61 provision of heat that wood fuel is likely to have the greatest impact [2].
62

63 Thinnings and fellings from present sources and from bringing neglected woodlands
64 back into management is unlikely to provide sufficient wood fuel to support the
65 Government's aims and the resource is dispersed with variable ease of access and
66 quality. A complementary approach is to develop sources of woody biomass which
67 aim to produce quality fuel and can established close to the biomass demand,
68 reducing both transportation costs and fossil fuel consumption. Previously the focus
69 on woody energy crops in the UK was directed at short rotation coppice (SRC) but
70 the material produced is of low density, high bark content and high moisture content,
71 making it a less than ideal fuel. [3]. A more recent development is short rotation
72 forestry (SRF), where single stemmed trees are grown over a rotation of more than
73 ten years, producing material of between 10 and 20 cm diameter at breast height
74 (dbh) and able to be harvested using conventional forestry machinery [4]. A suite of
75 species is under consideration for short rotation forestry. One genus that has
76 attracted attention is *Eucalyptus* due to rapid early growth compared with other tree
77 genera [5] and the potential to use singled coppice in subsequent rotations. However,
78 only a few *Eucalyptus* species are sufficiently cold tolerant to survive and grow well in
79 the UK. This article presents a review of the information on cold-tolerant eucalypts
80 and highlights their potential for commercial cultivation in Great Britain and assesses
81 the potential for using eucalypts as a woody biomass fuel source.
82

83 **Eucalypts as a productive wood fuel resource**

84

85 To be economic in producing wood fuel, a species should exhibit the following
86 characteristics [3]:
87

- 88 • Produce (moderately) high density wood
 - 89 • Have suitable chemical characteristics
 - 90 • Produce wood that easily dries
 - 91 • Be easily harvested
 - 92 • Harvestable using conventional machinery
 - 93 • Harvestable all year around
- 94

95
96 Eucalypts can largely meet these criteria: they have potential for high productivity
97 over short rotations, they tolerate a wide range of soils and they commonly exhibit
98 straight stem form in species utilised in production forestry. Furthermore, eucalypts,
99 unlike many trees, do not have a true dormant period and retain foliage which
100 enables growth during warm winter periods. The threshold for growth and
101 photosynthesis in their native climate is around 8°C [6], whilst general limitations of
102 growth in the UK have been reported for *E. gunni* at 5°C and 8°C for *E. nitens* [4].
103 Eucalypts are one of the most productive plantation species, with temperate forestry
104 reporting yields of 18 m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ over a twelve year rotation with single species
105 clones [7] and up to 35 m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ with hybrid clones [8], in France. Estimates of
106 mean annual increment (stem growth rate) vary with site (soil, climate and biotic
107 influences) and genetic (species and origin) factors. Generally there is a trade off
108 between cold hardiness and growth rates, and also the most cold tolerant species

109 tend to have poor form, which although less important for biomass than for sawn
110 timber will still influence the cost of harvesting, transport and processing. The slower
111 growing, but more cold-tolerant species like *E. gunnii* have yielded mean annual
112 increments of around 10-15 m³ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ on a 10-12 year rotation across a series of
113 trials in the UK [9] with one report of 25 m³ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ at 11 years old [10]. Faster
114 growing species such as *E. nitens* may yield mean annual increments of over 25 m³
115 ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ [11]. A comparison of the growth rates and rotations of tree species
116 commonly used in production forestry in Great Britain plus those estimated for
117 eucalypts are given in Table 1.

118

119 TABLE 1 HERE

120

121 Wood density is also important as it largely determines the calorific value per unit
122 volume [11] and eucalypts have denser wood than other species utilised for biomass
123 production over short rotations: SRC willow has a wood density of 0.4 Mg/m³ [13],
124 whereas *E. nitens* grown in Australia on two sites had a density of 0.471 Mg/m³ and
125 0.541 Mg/m³ [14] and *E. gunnii* grown in the Midi Pyrenees in France, a density of
126 0.5 Mg/m³ [7]

127

128 **Eucalypts for short rotation forestry based on current knowledge**

129

130 The lack of widespread plantings of a range of eucalypt species in the UK makes it
131 difficult to identify species potential across varied site types. However, several
132 sources of information are available to attempt a preliminary characterisation of their
133 biomass potential in relation, particularly, to their cold tolerance. In addition to Evans'
134 [15] findings anecdotal guidance on climatic tolerances, comes from Eucalyptus
135 Nurseries [16], Eucalyptus Passion [17] and Primabio [18]. These findings plus notes
136 from Purse [19, 20, 21] and personal observations have been used to compile Table
137 2. Neilan and Thompson [11] have produced a review of the findings from trials in the
138 Republic of Ireland, but some of their findings are applicable only to those parts of
139 the UK with a comparable (mild) climate. The compilation of information presented in
140 Table 2 has focused on species that have rapid growth and achieve dimensions
141 appropriate for wood fuel in northern temperate forestry. We categorise species by
142 the minimum winter temperatures that they can survive, after hardening, but
143 unseasonal frosts must be considered as they pose a particular risk. Some species
144 have been omitted due to slow growth and/or poor stem form, including *E. pauciflora*
145 and *Eucalyptus perriniana*.

146

147 TABLE 2 HERE

148

149 Booth and Pryor [31] describe the climatic requirements of 22 eucalypt species
150 suitable for plantation forestry, six of which can be considered cold-tolerant.
151 Comparing the requirements with the climate of Britain, it is clear that two main
152 constraints exist to planting eucalypts widely; the most important is low temperature
153 and a secondary consideration is adequate soil moisture. Additionally, the
154 importance of such constraints is likely to change in the future as a result of climate
155 change. Evans [5] recommends caution when using generalised measures such as
156 minimum temperature data to assess site suitability. He asserts that it is rapid cooling
157 following warm periods that presents the main danger to eucalypts. This is
158 supported by the work of Davidson and Reid [36] who have shown that unhardened
159 eucalypts can be killed by relatively mild frosts. In addition Purse and Richardson
160 [10] note that the most damaging situations arise when polar air masses are over the
161 UK, as the resultant prolonged severe cold is capable of killing even hardened,
162 mature eucalypts. The more common occurrence of radiation frosts tend to kill only
163 unhardened, young trees and affect air temperature close to ground level more. Work

164 linking metabolic activity to temperature of eucalypts by Anekonda *et al* [37] also
165 supports the assertion that, in general, using latitude and altitude and broad climatic
166 characters is useful in matching exotic species or origins to site. However, the
167 authors also note that this does not characterise a climate sufficiently and that
168 temperature fluctuations on a monthly or daily time scale are also important and a
169 more sophisticated approach is needed. Even in areas that are sufficiently warm,
170 care should be taken to avoid frost hollows and soils that are waterlogged, as this
171 reduces the resistance of some eucalypts to frost. A further factor determining the
172 influence of climate is the origin of the planting material used with variation observed
173 in cold tolerance between and within provenances of species such as *E. gunnii* [15;
174 25] and *E. nitens* [15, 33].
175

176 There are opportunities for the development of hybrid clones as they can provide a
177 more favourable mix of traits than each parent alone [38] and may offer potential for
178 boosting productivity. Eucalypts suited to the UK climate, such as *E. gunnii* have
179 been shown to hybridise readily, with most success being with closely related
180 species [39]. For *E. gunnii*, species capable of hybridisation include *E. nitens*, *E.*
181 *dalrympleana* and *E. viminalis*. Evans [5] suggests that a hybrid of *E. gunnii* and *E.*
182 *nitens* might be particularly suited to the needs of British forestry, combining good
183 form, fast growth and cold-tolerance. However, experience has shown that obtaining
184 rootable hybrids from these parents is challenging. Hybrid clones of *E.gunnii* x *E.*
185 *dalrympleana* in France showed excellent growth of around 35 m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ at age
186 eight years and continued to grow rapidly thereafter [11]. However, planting of these
187 hybrids in France ceased due to high mortality following an exceptionally severe frost
188 of -21°C in 1985 [8] but trials have started again [40]. Experience with eucalypt
189 hybrids has shown that crosses do not exhibit hybrid vigour, with F1 offspring tending
190 to show characteristics intermediate with those of their parents [41]. While this can
191 allow attractive aspects of two species to be combined, single species clones might
192 also have potential. For example, clones of particularly cold-tolerant individuals of *E.*
193 *gunnii* may extend the suitability of this species to colder locations as individuals
194 have been reported to survive temperatures of below -19°C [15].
195

196 Under future climate scenarios temperatures are predicted to rise across the country
197 with increases of between 1.5 to 3°C in winter and a higher rise of between 2.5 and
198 more than 4.5°C in summer for a medium-high emissions scenario by the 2080s.
199 Rises in temperature will generally be greatest in the South East and least in the
200 North West [42]. While higher overall temperatures should favour the planting of
201 eucalypts, other factors, such as enhanced atmospheric carbon dioxide levels may
202 increase the risk of frost damage in evergreens like eucalypts [43] and this has been
203 shown in experiments with *E. pauciflora* [44]. This observation is supported by other
204 studies, which have shown that increased atmospheric CO₂ delays acclimation in
205 autumn (Coreys *et al.* 2006 in [42]) and accelerates the loss of cold-hardiness in
206 spring [42]. In addition to periods of winter cold, unseasonal frosts can be particularly
207 damaging. Booth and Pryor [31] note that autumn frosts are likely to be the most
208 damaging type of frosts for eucalypts grown in the UK and damage in these
209 circumstances is also likely to increase with elevated levels of atmospheric CO₂.
210

211 The limitation of cold is illustrated through an examination of the climatic conditions
212 suitable for *E. gunnii*, a very cold tolerant species and *E. nitens*, one which is less so;
213 *E. gunnii* is known to withstand freezing temperatures of down to -18°C and *E. nitens*
214 of -12°C [45, 31]. If the extent of areas in Britain that experience -18°C and -12°C
215 minimum temperatures are examined on maps showing 40 year climatic averages
216 from 1960-1999 [46], it is only coastal areas in Britain where absolute minimum
217 temperature did not fall below -12°C. During the same forty year period considerable
218 areas in eastern Scotland and in southern central England exhibit absolute minimum

219 temperatures of below -18°C. This highlight that there are considerably greater risks
220 from damage by cold in planting *E. nitens* than *E. gunnii*. Predictions of climate
221 change developed by the UKCIP02 [42] for a scenario of medium-high emissions
222 show a rise of up to 3°C in mean winter temperatures and greater increase in
223 summer. Increases in maximum temperatures during summer in southern England
224 may be as high as 5°C in a medium emissions scenario [42]. Provided sufficient soil
225 moisture is available, more extensive areas of Britain should become suited to
226 growing eucalypts. Figure 1 illustrates changes in accumulated temperature at the
227 threshold temperature above 5°C (AT5) generated with the Ecological Site
228 Classification system (ESC) using UKCIP02 climate change projections for 2050 low
229 emission scenarios.

230

231 FIGURE 1 HERE

232

233

234 A further climatic constraint to planting eucalypts is available soil moisture. *E. gunnii*
235 is adapted to temperate climates with mean annual rainfall of 800-2400 mm and *E.*
236 *nitens* of 750-1500 mm [31]. Long term mean annual rainfall of less than 750 mm is
237 experienced over much of eastern England [48] with warm temperatures this results
238 in high soil moisture deficits, which may limit growth. Recent predictions of climate
239 change [42] show that while overall mean annual rainfall will stay relatively constant a
240 variation in seasonal precipitation is predicted: in summer, during the growing season
241 rainfall will be reduced, while winter rainfall will increase. This summer rainfall
242 reduction is projected to be particularly pronounced in the south east of the England,
243 with this region only receiving around 40% to 50% of current rainfall by the 2080s for
244 the high emissions scenario or 60 to 80% under the low emissions scenario [42].
245 Increased summer temperatures coupled with a reduction in rainfall will lead to
246 greater moisture deficits. Figure 2, generated through ESC using UKCIP02 climate
247 projections shows predicted future moisture deficit in 2050 across Great Britain for
248 high and low emissions scenarios. Yields are likely to be slightly reduced by climate
249 change in these drier areas and caution is warranted regarding planting *Eucalyptus*
250 on freely draining soils with low moisture retaining capacity.

251

252 FIGURE 2 HERE

253

254 Using ESC, provisional areas have been identified that are suitable for planting in
255 Britain with another frost-sensitive, southern tree, *Nothofagus nervosa*. This has
256 been achieved by defining suitable areas from accumulated temperature and
257 moisture deficit data. Areas in Britain with a minimum temperature of -16°C every 50
258 years were rejected as being unsuitable due to the risk of failure due to cold [4].
259 These areas have been identified using work undertaken by Murray, Cannell and
260 Sheppard [49] on incidence and severity of frost in Britain And it would be worthwhile
261 taking a similar approach to eucalypts.

262

263 **Impact on the environment**

264

265 With interest in eucalypt planting rising, there has been increasing concern regarding
266 potential negative environmental impacts. In 1985 a literature review detailed
267 evidence of impacts by eucalypt plantations on water supply, erosion, availability of
268 nutrients, competition with other vegetation and displacement of ecosystems [50].
269 However, these impacts related to specific cases and no generalisations could be
270 made. In France, over 1000 ha of generally small-sized plantations have been
271 established in the Mid-Pyrenees of species that are similar to those suited to the
272 climate of Britain [51]. While water use was a concern raised in France, eucalypts
273 use water efficiently but consume more water than some tree species due to their

274 higher productivity. Concerns about adverse environmental effects of SRF, including
275 eucalypts, led to a further study focused on the UK [4]. The study gathered expert
276 opinion and predicted the impacts of SRF with different species and in comparison
277 with other land use, such as pasture, arable cropping and SRC. It was concluded
278 that guidelines should be followed to avoid adverse impacts on soils, hydrology,
279 biodiversity or increase the damage by pests and diseases caused by SRF [4].

280

281 Of the two eucalypts examined in the study, *E. nitens* was considered to have greater
282 potential negative impacts on the environment than *E. gunnii*, particularly in aspects
283 such as biodiversity and hydrology. This is because *E. nitens* has certain
284 characteristics; the dense shade of its canopy, the slower rate of decomposition of its
285 leaf litter and its fast growth and high water requirements [4]. However, Hardcastle
286 [4] concluded that more widespread planting of eucalypts should be considered,
287 provided certain restrictions be put in place to minimise environmental impacts and
288 that monitoring of activities be carried out by the relevant body.

289

290 **Socio-political and economic factors**

291

292 Policy developments directed at energy and land use, including forestry, can
293 influence the uptake of SRF in the UK. Current land use strategy has largely been
294 determined by the policy set by the UK Government and the European Union. To
295 date uptake has been slow, one factor being that SRF does not meet the
296 requirements of Forestry Commission woodland grants nor does it use species that
297 attract grant support under the Energy Crops Scheme. A recent change likely to
298 promote short rotation forestry has arisen from a consultation undertaken by DECC
299 (Department for Energy and Climate Change) in late 2008, for England and Wales,
300 which proposed dedicated biomass crops should attract additional payments [52].
301 An incentive now supports power generation from biomass crops, including woody
302 ones such as SRF. Recently, it was announced that heat generated from renewable
303 energy would also attract support by 2011, through the Renewable Heat Incentive
304 (RHI) [53].

305

306 The Woodfuel Strategy for England is aimed at improving the management of the
307 60% of woodland that is neglected in order to provide a supply of forest biomass [2].
308 In Scotland, a study investigating supply of wood fuel recommended, amongst other
309 things, that trials of short rotation forestry be a priority activity [52]. The impacts of
310 short rotation forestry on soils and hydrology, and net site carbon benefit are being
311 assessed in a series of research and demonstration trials of several species,
312 established in 2009 by Forest Research, in both Scotland and England [53].

313

314 Compared with other land uses, biomass forestry has two main attractions in terms of
315 reducing greenhouse gases [56]. Firstly, it requires low fossil fuel-derived inputs,
316 such as inorganic fertilisers, pesticides and fuel for farm machinery. Secondly, the
317 wood grown under SRF provides a substitute source of energy replacing fossil fuels
318 which, with sustainably managed afforestation, could reduce atmospheric CO₂. An
319 additional potential benefit of a change from arable crop production to plantation is
320 increased soil carbon storage. Vanguelova & Pitman [57] identified that “soil carbon
321 sequestration by SRF is highest on arable soils previously having very low soil
322 carbon....(whilst) impact of SRF on the higher carbon stocks of grassland soils is less
323 certain, although any reductions are likely to be outweighed by the carbon gain in
324 woody biomass”. Matthews and Broadmeadow [58] presented different woodland
325 management scenarios and modelled direct and indirect substitution and carbon
326 sequestration in trees and soil. The amount of CO₂ saved through substitution of
327 fossil fuels was calculated in comparison with a “business as usual” scenario, based
328 on current energy use. Matthews and Broadmeadow [58] identified that fast growing

329 woody biomass crops on short rotations, such as eucalypt SRF are an attractive
330 option, especially their relatively low cost of emissions abatement and the short term
331 benefits they yield. It is important to acknowledge the limitations of these analyses:
332 reliable data is available for CO₂ balance of conventional forestry, but there is little or
333 no evidence for hardwoods, including eucalypts, under SRF management in the UK.
334 Kerr [59] lists four areas that make estimating yields imprecise: the shorter rotations,
335 the potential for using 'novel' tree species, the intensive silvicultural approach and the
336 type of sites that would be planted under short rotation forestry. Therefore modelled
337 estimates need to be considered as being preliminary, which highlights the need for
338 more underpinning information. The current 'best estimates' are from Kerr [59], using
339 published data, which show that over a ten year rotation, yields of 1.5 to 8.2 odt ha⁻¹
340 y⁻¹ are possible from *E. gunnii* and 2.5 to 7.6 odt ha⁻¹ y⁻¹ from *E. glaucescens*.

341
342

343 **Conclusions**

344

345 The interest in using biomass as a source of energy has provided a catalyst for the
346 re-examination of the potential role of eucalypts in short rotation forestry in Britain.
347 Their high productivity can provide substantial yields of biomass, reduce greenhouse
348 gas emissions from fossil fuel consumption and can also reduce operational fossil
349 fuel use by replacement of more energy intensive forms of land use. Existing trials
350 and small plantations of eucalypts have shown that there are a limited range of
351 species of eucalypts that can survive and thrive in the relatively low temperatures
352 prevalent in the UK. The limited distribution and extent of plantings make detailed
353 matching of species to site currently imperfect. A sensible approach is, therefore, to
354 attempt to identify species and provenances that will perform well over a wide range
355 of sites and avoid areas that are particularly cold, have low rainfall and for most
356 species, have poor drainage.

357

358 **Acknowledgements**

359

360 The authors would like to thank the University of Cumbria for providing funding to
361 Andrew Leslie and John Purse of Primabio for providing a considerable amount of
362 information on the performance of eucalypts in the UK. The maps of accumulated
363 temperature and moisture deficit were provided by Michal Petr (GIS Analyst at Forest
364 Research) and the authors would like to thank him and Duncan Ray (Forest
365 Research), for their assistance. Finally the authors would like to acknowledge the
366 constructive comments provided by James Morison and Robert Matthews of Forest
367 Research and the two journal referees, Dr Christine Cahalan of Bangor University
368 and Dr Frits Mohren of Wageningen Agricultural University.

369

370 **References**

371

372 [1] DECC (2009) The UK Renewable Energy Strategy 2009 [online] Last accessed
373 on 27 November 2010 at:
374 [http://www.decc.gov.uk/assets/decc/What%20we%20do/UK%20energy%20supply/E](http://www.decc.gov.uk/assets/decc/What%20we%20do/UK%20energy%20supply/Energy%20mix/Renewable%20energy/Renewable%20Energy%20Strategy/1_20090717120647_e_@@_TheUKRenewableEnergyStrategy2009.pdf)
375 [nergy%20mix/Renewable%20energy/Renewable%20Energy%20Strategy/1_200907](http://www.decc.gov.uk/assets/decc/What%20we%20do/UK%20energy%20supply/Energy%20mix/Renewable%20energy/Renewable%20Energy%20Strategy/1_20090717120647_e_@@_TheUKRenewableEnergyStrategy2009.pdf)
376 [17120647_e_@@_TheUKRenewableEnergyStrategy2009.pdf](http://www.decc.gov.uk/assets/decc/What%20we%20do/UK%20energy%20supply/Energy%20mix/Renewable%20energy/Renewable%20Energy%20Strategy/1_20090717120647_e_@@_TheUKRenewableEnergyStrategy2009.pdf)

377

378 [2] Forestry Commission England (2007) A Woodfuel Strategy for England. Forestry
379 Commission England. 27p.

380

381 [3] Ramsay, P. (2004) Viable alternatives. For. Mach. J. Vol 9, No 4, p18-19

382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436

[4] Hardcastle, P.D. (2006) A Review of the impacts of short-rotation forestry. LTS International [online] Last accessed on 27 June 2007

[5] Evans, J (1980) Prospects for Eucalypts as forest trees in Great Britain. Forestry Vol 53, No2, p129-143.

[6] Sands, P.J and Landsberg, J.J. (2002) Parameterisation of 3-PG for plantation grown *Eucalyptus globulus*. For Ecol and Man, vol 163. p273-292.

[7] AFOCEL (2003b) Itineraire technique et production. Lettre d'information semestrielle eucalyptus. Numero 2 Avril 2003, AFOCEL, France. 4p

[8] AFOCEL (2006) Fiches clonales Eucalyptus, Eucalyptus gundal AFOCEL, France. 4p

[9] Evans, J (1983) Choice of eucalypt species and provenances in cold, temperate, atlantic climates. Collogue International sur les eucalypts au froid. AFOCEL. Nangis. p255-274

[10] Purse, J.G. and Richardson, K.F. (2001) Short rotation single stem tree crops for energy in the UK- an examination with Eucalyptus. Aspects of Applied Biology, No.65, 2001, Biomass and Energy Crops II, p.1-8

[11] Neilan, J. and Thompson, D. (2008) Eucalyptus as a potential biomass species for Ireland. COFORD Connects, Reproductive Material No.15. COFORD, Ireland.8pp.

[12] FICGB (1998) A Reference for the forest industry. Forestry Industry Council of Great Britain, Stirling [online] Last accessed on 21 December 2010 at URL: [http://www.forestry.gov.uk/pdf/ficgb.pdf/\\$FILE/ficgb.pdf](http://www.forestry.gov.uk/pdf/ficgb.pdf/$FILE/ficgb.pdf)

[13] Nurmi, J. and Hytönen, J. (1994) The Effect of Whole Tree Harvesting on Fuel Quality and Coppicing Ability of SRC Willow Crops. Paper presented at the Mechanization in Short Rotation, Intensive Culture Forestry Conference, Mobile, AL, March 1-3, 1994. [Online] Last accessed on 10 April 2003 at URL: <http://www.woodycrops.org/mechconf/nurmi.html>

[14] Greaves, B.L.; Borralho, N. M. G.; Raymond, C. A.; Evans, R. and Whiteman, P.H. (1997) Age-age Correlations in, and Relationships between Basic Density and Growth in *Eucalyptus nitens*. Silvae Genetica 46 (5) p264-270.

[15] Evans, J (1986) A re-assessment of cold-hardy eucalypts in Great Britain. Forestry Vol 59, No2, p223-242.

[16] Eucalyptus Nurseries (no date) Exposure tolerant eucalypts [online]. Last accessed on 6 May 2009 at URL: http://www.blueram.net/eucalyptus/indepth_cat.asp?planttype=exp

[17] Eucalyptus Passion (2009) Detailed Species List [online] Last accessed on 6 May 2009 at URL: http://eucalyptus-passion.com/index.php?option=com_fabrik&Itemid=91

[18] Prima Bio (no date) Prima Bio. Last accessed on 26 July 2010 at URL: <http://www.primabio.co.uk/>

437
438 [19] Purse, J.G. (no date) Eucalyptus species with biomass potential for the British
439 Isles [online] Last accessed on 1 July at URL:
440 http://www.primabio.co.uk/bm_sppbiomasspotential.htm
441
442 [20] Purse, J.G. (2009a) Notes on visit to FR St Clement 1 p53 eucalyptus trial,
443 Truro, 12th March 2009, dated 20 March 2009. unpublished report. 5pp.
444
445 [21] Purse, J.G. (2009b) Notes on visit to FR New Forest 38 p80 eucalyptus trial,
446 13th March 2009, dated 19 March 2009. unpublished report. 6pp
447
448 [22] Booker, M.I.H and Evans, J (1983) A key to the Eucalypts in Britain and Ireland
449 with notes on growing eucalypts in Britain. Forestry Commission Booklet 50. HMSO,
450 London.
451
452 [23] Potts, B.M. (1983) Phenetic variation in the *Eucalyptus gunnii-archeri* complex.in
453 Coloque International Sur Les *Eucalyptus* Resistants au Froid. AFOCEL, Nangis,
454 France, pp 107-125.
455
456 [24] Potts, B.M and Reid, J.B (1985) Variation in the *Eucalyptus gunnii-archeri*
457 complex, I Variation in the adult phenotype. Aust J Bot 33 p337-359.
458
459 [25] Cope, M.; Leslie, A.D. and Weatherall, A (2008) The potential suitability of
460 provenances of *Eucalyptus gunnii* for short rotation forestry in the UK. Quarterly
461 Journal of Forestry, Volume 102, Number 3. p185-194
462
463 [26] Potts, B.M (1985) Variation in the *Eucalyptus gunnii-archeri* complex, III
464 Reciprocal transplant trials. Aust J Bot 33, p687-704.
465
466 [27] Mitchell, C.P; Ford-Robertson, J.B. and Waters, M.P. (1993) Establishment and
467 Monitoring of Large Scale Trials of Short Rotation Coppice for Energy. Wood Supply
468 Research Group, Department of Forestry, University of Aberdeen. 76p & Appcs.
469
470 [28] Forrest, M and Moore, T (2008) *Eucalyptus gunnii*: A possible source of
471 bioenergy? Short communication. Biomass and Bioenergy, Vol 32, Issue 10, p 978-
472 980
473
474 [29] Williams and Potts (1996) The natural distribution of *Eucalyptus* in Tasmania.
475 TAsForests 8. p39-149.
476
477 [30] Beadle, C.L.; Honeysett, J.L.; Turnbull, C.R.A. and White, D.A. (1995) Site
478 Limits to Achieving Genetic Potential. Eucalypt Plantations: Improving Fibre Yield
479 and Quality. CRC for Temperate Hardwood Forestry – IUFRO, Hobart Tasmania.
480 p325-330.
481
482 [31] Booth, T and Pryor, L (1991) Climatic requirements of some commercially
483 important eucalypt species. For. Ecol. and Man. 43, p47-60.
484
485 [32] Bennett, C.J. and Leslie, A.D. (2003) Assessment of a *Eucalyptus* provenance
486 trial at Thetford and implications for *Eucalyptus* as a biomass crop in lowland Britain.
487 Q. J. For. Vol 97 No 4 p257 -264
488
489 [33] Tibbits, W.N and Hodge, G.R (2003) Genetic parameters for cold hardiness in
490 *Eucalyptus nitens* (Deane & Maiden) Maiden. Silvae Genetica 52, 3-4, p89-97.
491

- 492 [34] Beadle, C.L.; McLoed, D.E.; Turnbull, C.R.A.; Ratkowski, D.A., and McLoed,
493 R.(1989) Juvenile/total foliage ratios in *Eucalyptus nitens*, Trees - Structure and
494 Function Vol 3, No 2, p117-124
495
- 496 [35] Purse, J.G. (2005) Brightlingsea's eucalypts - A brief summary by John Purse
497 [online] Last accessed on 6 May 2009 at URL:
498
- 499 [36] Davidson, N.J. and Reid, (1987) The influence of hardening and waterlogging on
500 the frost resistance of subalpine eucalypts. *Aust. J. Bot.* 35 p91-101,
501
- 502 [37] Anekonda, T.S.; Hansen, L.D., Bacca, M. and Criddle, R.S. (1996) Selection for
503 biomass production based on respiration parameters in eucalypts: effects of origin
504 and growth on climates and growth rates. *Can. J. For. Res.* 26 p1556-1568.
505
- 506 [38] Poke, F.S., Vaillancourt, R.E., Potts, B.M. and Reid, J.B. (2005) Genomic
507 research in *Eucalyptus*. *Genetica* 125. p79-101
508
- 509 [39] Potts, B.M; Potts, W.C and Cauvin, B (1987) Inbreeding and interspecific
510 hybridization in *Eucalyptus gunnii*. *Silvae Genetica* 36 5-6, p194-199.
511
- 512 [40] Melun, F. (2011) *Eucalyptus Gundaal*: une espèce remarquable pour la
513 production de biomasse. FCBA Institut Technologique [online] Last accessed on 22
514 March 2011 at URL:
515 http://www.fcbainfo.fr/ihtm/medias/office/Gundaal_FCBA_INFO.pdf
516
- 517 [41] Potts, B.M. and Dungey, H.S. (2004) Interspecific hybridisation of *Eucalyptus*:
518 key issues for breeders and geneticists. *New Forests* 27 pp115-138
519
- 520 [42] DEFRA (2002) Climate Change Scenarios for the United Kingdom
521 The UKCIP02, Technical Report Chapter 4: Future Changes in UK Seasonal Climate
522 [online] last accessed 12 June 2011 at URL: [http://www.ukcip.org.uk/wordpress/wp-](http://www.ukcip.org.uk/wordpress/wp-content/PDFs/UKCIP02_Tech_sections/UKCIP02_Chapter4.pdf)
523 [content/PDFs/UKCIP02_Tech_sections/UKCIP02_Chapter4.pdf](http://www.ukcip.org.uk/wordpress/wp-content/PDFs/UKCIP02_Tech_sections/UKCIP02_Chapter4.pdf)
524
- 525 [43] Lutze, J.L; Roden, J.S; Holly, C.J; Wolfe, J; Egerton, J.J.G and Ball, M.C (1998)
526 Elevated atmospheric [CO₂] promotes frost damage in evergreen seedlings. *Plant,*
527 *Cell and Environment* 21, p631-635.
528
- 529 [44] Woldendorp, G; Hill, M.J, Doran, R and Ball, M.C (2008) Frost in a future
530 climate: modelling interactive effects of warmer temperatures and rising [CO₂] on the
531 incidence and severity of frost damage in a temperate evergreen (*Eucalyptus*
532 *pauciflora*). *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 14, p294-308.
533
- 534 [45] Sheppard, L.J. and Cannell, G.R. (1987) Frost Hardiness of Subalpine Eucalypts
535 in Britain. *Forestry* Vol. 60, No.2, p239-248
536
- 537 [46] Met Office (1999) Minimum temperature monthly lowest [deg C] January 1961-
538 1990 [online] Last accessed 12 June 2009 at URL:
539 <http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/climate/uk/averages/19611990/exn/1.gif>
- 540 [47] Broadmeadow, M., Webber, J., Ray, D. and Berry, P. (2009). An assessment of
541 likely future impacts of climate change on UK forests. In *Combating climate change -*
542 *a role for UK forests. An assessment of the potential of the UK's trees and woodlands*
543 *to mitigate and adapt to climate change* (ed Freer-Smith, P Read DJ, Morison JIL,
544 Hanley N, West CC, Snowdon P). The Stationery Office, Edinburgh.

545 [48] Met Office (no date) Rainfall Amount (mm) Annual Average 1971-2000 [online]
546 Last accessed on 12 June 2009 at URL:
547 <http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/climate/uk/averages/19712000/rr/17.gif>
548

549 [49] Murray, M.B.; Cannell, M.G.R and Sheppard, L.J. (1986) Frost Hardiness of
550 *Nothofagus procera* and *Nothofagus obliqua* in Britain. *Forestry* Vol 59 No 2 p209-
551 222.
552

553 [50] Poore, M.E.D. and Fries, C. (1985) The ecological effects of eucalyptus. FAO
554 Forestry Paper 59. FAO, Rome. 87pp
555

556 [51] AFOCEL (2004) Information Eucalyptus, Eucalyptus et environnement. Lettre
557 d'information semestrielle eucalyptus. Numero 3, Vol. 2 Juillet 2004, AFOCEL,
558 France 4p
559

560 [52] DEFRA (2006) The Government's Response to the Biomass Energy Task Force
561 Report, April 2006 [online] Last accessed on 26 March 2009 at URL:
562 [http://archive.defra.gov.uk/foodfarm/growing/crops/industrial/energy/biomass-](http://archive.defra.gov.uk/foodfarm/growing/crops/industrial/energy/biomass-taskforce/pdf/btfreport-govresp-execsumm.pdf)
563 [taskforce/pdf/btfreport-govresp-execsumm.pdf](http://archive.defra.gov.uk/foodfarm/growing/crops/industrial/energy/biomass-taskforce/pdf/btfreport-govresp-execsumm.pdf)
564

565 [53] DEFRA (2008) Cash boost for biomass fuel for heat and electricity generation.
566 Ref: 181/08. Date: 6 June 2008 [online] Last accessed 26 March 2009 at URL:
567 <http://www.defra.gov.uk/news/2008/080606c.htm>
568

569 [54] Woodfuel Task Force (2008) Increasing the Supply of Wood for Renewable
570 Energy Production in Scotland. Woodfuel Task Force [online]. Last accessed on 5
571 September 2010 at URL:
572 [http://www.forestry.gov.uk/pdf/WFTFfinalreport.pdf/\\$FILE/WFTFfinalreport.pdf](http://www.forestry.gov.uk/pdf/WFTFfinalreport.pdf/$FILE/WFTFfinalreport.pdf)
573

574 [55] Harrison, A. (2010) Eucalypts – Proceed with caution? *Forestry and Timber*
575 *News*, October 2010. p15.
576

577 [56] St Clair, S; Hillier, J. and Smith, P. (2008) Estimating the pre-harvest
578 greenhouse gas costs of energy crop production. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 32. p442
579 -452
580

581 [57] Vanguelova, E and Pitman, R. (2011) Impacts of Short Rotation Forestry on Soil
582 Sustainability, p37-79 in McKay, H. (ed.) (2011) Short Rotation Forestry: review of
583 growth and environmental impacts. *Forest Research Monograph*, 2, Forest
584 Research, Surrey, 212pp
585

586 [58] Matthews, R.W. and Broadmeadow, M.S.J. (2009) The potential of UK forestry
587 to contribute to Government's emissions reduction commitments, p139-161 in Read,
588 D.J., Freer-Smith, P.H., Morison, J.I.L, Hanley, N., West, C.C. and Snowdon, P. (eds)
589 (2009) Combating climate change - A role for UK forests. An assessment of the
590 potential of the UK's trees and woodlands to mitigate and adapt to climate change.
591 The Stationery Office, Edinburgh. 222pp.
592


593 [59] Kerr, G. (2011) A Review of the growth, yield and biomass distribution of species
594 planted in the English network trials of Short Rotation Forestry, p135-164 in McKay,
595 H. (ed.) (2011) Short Rotation Forestry: review of growth and environmental impacts.
596 *Forest Research Monograph*, 2, Forest Research, Surrey, 212pp.

Figure 1: Maps of baseline accumulated temperature and projections to 2050 under low and high greenhouse gas emissions based on UKCIP02 predictions [47]

Figure 2: Maps of baseline moisture deficit and projections to 2050 under low and high greenhouse gas emissions based on UKCIP02 predictions [47]


Figure

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)



Figure

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)



Figure

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Table 1 Growth rates and rotations of trees when used in production forestry in Great Britain [12] with estimates of growth of *E. gunnii* and *E. nitens*[4] converted from oven dry tonnes to m³ using a density of 0.5 tonnes per m³.

Tree species	Potential yield (m ³ /ha/yr)	Average yield (m ³ /ha/yr)	Rotation (years)
Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>)	4-14	9	55-76
Corsican pine (<i>Pinus nigra</i> var <i>maritima</i>)	6-20	13	45-60
Lodgepole pine (<i>Pinus contorta</i>)	4-14	7	50-60
Japanese larch (<i>Larix kaempferi</i>)	4-16	9	45-55
Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga taxifolia</i>)	8-24	14	45-60
Norway spruce (<i>Picea abies</i>)	6-22	12	50-70
Sitka spruce (<i>Picea sitchensis</i>)	6-24	13	40-60
Oak (<i>Quercus robur</i> / <i>Quercus petraea</i>)	2-8	5	120-160
Beech (<i>Fagus sylvatica</i>)	4-10	6	100-130
Ash (<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>)	4-10	5	60-80
Birch (<i>Betula pendula</i> / <i>Betula pubescens</i>)	2-10	5	40-60
<i>Eucalyptus gunnii</i>		18	12
<i>Eucalyptus nitens</i>		30	8

Table 2 The potential and constraints of the eucalypt species showing potential for biomass production under UK conditions. All species are categorised by their hardiness to cold events. (hardiness based on [22])

Very hardy – likely to survive long periods of –10 to –14°C and short periods of –18°C

Species	Growth rate & form/ Max height	Potential	Disadvantages
---------	-----------------------------------	-----------	---------------

<p><i>E. gunnii</i></p>	<p>Fast - 1.5 – 2m height growth per year [22] and above 15m³ha⁻¹ y⁻¹[9]</p> <p>Wide range of growth forms [23, 24] means careful selection of material is necessary. Select forest tree forms and avoid shrubby sub species such as <i>E. gunnii</i> ssp <i>archeri</i></p>	<p>One of the most frost tolerant eucalypts, can be established over a wider range of sites than others being suited to sites where Yield Class 10-14 m³/ha/yr conifers can be grown. Provenances that can tolerate the climate of colder areas of Britain have been identified, such as those from Lake McKenzie in central Tasmania [17,25] and observations show no decline in growth rates with frost tolerance between provenances [15].</p> <p>Resistant to waterlogged soils in its natural habitat. Considerable variation in the phenotype of different provenances and sub-species (which is reflected in their frost tolerance [26, 17, 25]).</p> <p>Some stands show good form, such as the one planted in 1966 at Glenbranter and form could be improved through selection of provenance and superior individuals. It will coppice successfully and has been used in short rotation coppice trials where productivity was high [27, 28].</p> <p>A light crowned species, allows light to penetrate to the forest floor and results in less impact on ground flora [4]</p>	<p>Poor form of many trees, could make transport and processing more costly as a source of biomass. A further disadvantage for this use is a wood that is less dense than some species [11]. Also high moisture content of wood means that it needs a long period of drying of one year for firewood [22]. Evans [15] stated that it could have potential for pulp but unpredictable grain makes the wood unsuitable for timber.</p> <p>Unlike most eucalypts the leaves are palatable to deer, rabbits and hares and so it is susceptible to browsing [12, 19]</p>
-------------------------	---	---	---

Hardy – as above but unlikely to survive periods of colder than –16°C

Species	Growth rate & form/ Max height	Potential	Disadvantages
<i>E. glaucescens</i>	Fast - 1.5 – 2m height growth per year [22]	More cold tolerant than <i>E. nitens</i> and almost as resistant to frost as <i>E. gunnii</i> . Considerable potential for production forestry showing excellent stem form. Observations of block planting at the New Forest showed faster growth than <i>E. gunnii</i> and excellent self pruning, characteristics which could make it a timber species [21] Found to be highly unpalatable to deer in a planting in West Sussex in 2007 [19, 21]	Evans [15] noted that only one origin exhibited sufficient cold tolerance in the Forestry Commission trials to be planted more widely.

Moderately hardy – likely to survive long periods of –6 to –9°C and short ones of – 14°C

Species	Growth rate & form/ Max height	Potential	Disadvantages
<i>E. coccifera</i>	Moderate to fast - 1.0 – 2m height growth per year [22]. A recent assessment of a trial at Exeter of trees 29 years old gave a mean annual increment of 9m ³ ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹ [unpubl. data]	Observations by Purse (20) of trials at Thetford, Glenbranter and an older planting attributed to <i>Eucalyptus nitida</i> but probably <i>E. coccifera</i> at Bishop's Wood, Truro show promising growth and good stem form.	Slower growing than other species at a trial at Exeter [unpubl. data]
<i>E. dalrympleana</i>	Fast - 1.5 – 2m height growth per year [22]	A close relative of <i>E. gunnii</i> which is more frost tolerant than <i>E. nitens</i> and exhibits faster growth and better form than <i>E.gunnii</i> . occupies a wide range of altitude [29] Considered suited to alkaline soils [11], and observed growing well on brown earths overlaying limestone pavement at Dalton, Cumbria. Gundal hybrid clones (<i>E. gunnii</i> X <i>E. dalrympleana</i>) produced in France showed promise, having better form and being less palatable than <i>E. gunnii</i> but more cold tolerant than <i>E. dalrympleana</i> [8]	Self pruning and vigorous when coppiced [11] Gundal clones proved to be less hardy than <i>E. gunnii</i> and were abandoned from planting programmes in France [8].
<i>E. delegatensis</i>	Moderate to fast - 1.0 – 2m height growth per year [22]. Growth at a trial at Exeter at 29 years old averaged 11m ³ ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹ with one origin exceeding 30m ³ /ha/y [unpubl. data]	An important source of wood in Australia for construction timber and pulp [30]. Good growth but poorer survival in more southerly Forestry Commission trials in Britain [21] and at a small trial in Cumbria. Exhibits promising growth and survival in the milder climate of Southern Ireland, being faster growing than some origins of <i>E. gunnii</i> in a planting at Bree [11]. Found at a wide range of altitudes [29]. Evans [15] recommends high altitude provenances from New South Wales.	Some provenances do not coppice and has a relatively low wood density, which makes it less suited as a species for biomass production [11]
<i>E. urnigera</i>	Fast - 1.5 – 2m height growth per year [22]	Another close relative to <i>E. gunnii</i> and similar in its tolerances [22]. However, it has the advantage of being less palatable than <i>E. gunnii</i> and often displaying better form. Some trees of this species planted in the UK would appear to be natural hybrids with <i>E. gunnii</i> [19]. Considered by Neilan and Thompson [11] as one of three species with particular potential across a range of sites in Ireland.	Lower productivity than some other eucalypts [11]

Less hardy – likely to survive long cold periods of less than -6°C and shorter ones down to -9°C

Species	Growth rate & form/ Max height	Potential	Disadvantages
<i>E. johnstonii</i>	Fast - 1.5 – 2m height growth per year [22].	<i>E. johnstonii</i> has shown encouraging growth and survival across a variety of sites in Ireland [11] Coppices vigorous but not particularly fast growing as a single-stemmed tree, although exhibits good stem form. Some seed origins seem hardier than <i>E.nitens</i> or <i>E. delegatensis</i> , being similar to <i>E. gunnii</i> and <i>E. pauciflora</i> [5], which could make this a suitable species for biomass in Great Britain.	Poor survival of most origins of <i>E. johnstonii</i> at a trial at Exeter after 29 years [unpubl. data]
<i>E. subcrenulata</i>	Fast – 1.5-2m height growth per year [22]. Estimated growth of $14\text{m}^3\text{ha}^{-1}\text{yr}^{-1}$ over 29 years at a trial at Exeter [unpubl. data]	Evans [15] described central or southern Tasmanian origins of this species as having the greatest potential for growing high quality timber in the British Isles. Survival of 68% and excellent growth and stem form at a trial at Exeter [unpubl. data].	Planting should be restricted to warmer, western parts of Britain.
<i>E. nitens</i>	Very fast - over 2m height growth per year [22] and potentially over $30\text{m}^3\text{ha}^{-1}\text{yr}^{-1}$ [10]	Not particularly frost tolerant, but possibly a “moderately hardy” species, surviving down to -14°C [31] or -12°C [11]. There are differences in frost resistance between provenances and those from higher altitude areas in Victoria seem best adapted to the British climate [15] and careful matching of this species to site is crucial. It has failed completely in several Forestry Commission trials, such as at Thetford [31] and in one in Ireland in 2000 [11]. Considerable variation in frost tolerance by provenance and individuals within provenance [33]. Fast growing, with those at Kilmun Arboretum being possibly the fastest growing tree in Britain [5]. Widely planted in countries other than Great Britain, so its silviculture is well-understood. If pruned it can provide sawn timber.	Dense crowns shade out ground vegetation which reduced impact of rain and binds soil, so may not be appropriate under certain circumstances, such as where there is potential for soil erosion. Does not coppice very successfully and known as a shy flower producer, which can make seed supply problematic. A closely related species, <i>Eucalyptus denticulata</i> formerly known as the Errinundra provenance of <i>E. nitens</i> may have potential, as although slower growing [34] it coppices [35].