New combinations in Atractylocarpus and Metzleria

Tropical Bryology 18: 115-117, 2000

115

## New combinations in the genera *Atractylocarpus* and *Metzleria*Jan-Peter Frahm

Botanisches Institut der Universität, Meckenheimer Allee 170, D 53115 Bonn, Germany

**Abstract:** The type species of *Atractylocarpus* has revealed to be a species of a genus which was later introduced as *Camylopodiella*. To avoid taxonomic confusions, it was proposed to keep the current use of *Atractylocarpus* and *Campylopodiella* by amending the genus *Atractylocarpus*. This proposal was rejected by the ICBN committee. Therefore the valid genus for all species so far comprised in *Atractylocarpus* is *Metzleria* and all species so far included in *Campylopodiella* must be transferred to *Atractylocarpus*. Accoringly, all necessary new combinations are introduced here: *Atractylocarpus himalayanus* (Broth.) J.-P. Frahm, *Atractylocarpus malagensis* (Herz.) J.-P. Frahm *Metzleria comosa* (Dix.) J.-P. Frahm, *Metzleria madagascariensis* (Thér.) J.-P. Frahm, *Metzleria nana* (Williams) J.-P. Frahm, *Metzleria patagonica* (Herz. et Thér.) J.-P. Frahm

The genera Atractylocarpus Mitt. and Metzleria Schimp. were both described in the same year 1869. Both genera revealed later as synonymous. For that reason, Limpricht introduced the new name Metzleriella for the species so far included in Metzleria. In addition, the orthographic incorrect versions of *Metzleria* and *Metzleriella*, Metzlera and Metzlerella were used, which all resulted in continuous confusions. The name Atractylocarpus was later proposed for conservation by H.N. Dixon and conserved against Metzleria in the Cambridge Rules (Briquet et al. 1935). The conservation was, however, unnecessary, because Atractylocarpus Mitt published in June 1869 predates Metzleria, published in August-September 1869 (cf. Frahm & Isovita 1988). Due to this conservation, Atractylocarpus has been used since for the genus.

Accordingly, the genus was monographed by Padberg & Frahm (1985) under this name.

The type of the genus was usually indicated with Atractylocarpus costaricensis (C. Müll.) Williams (Leptotrichum costaricense C. Müll. 1858), however, in the monograph of Atractylocarpus (Padberg & Frahm 1985) an earlier synonym was overlooked, Dicranum flagellaceum C. Müll. 1851. The necessary new combination was not made, because in a revision of the Paraleucobryoideae (Müller & Frahm 1987) it turned out, that the type species differs from all other species included in the genus by a different transverse section of the costa, longer capsules, and the presence of an annulus, thus showing all characters of the genus Campylopodiella Card. 1908.

As a consequence, all species of *Atractylocarpus* except for the type species should be excluded

TROPICAL BRYOLOGY 18 (2000)

116 Frahm

from the genus and the species formerly included in *Campylopodiella* should have been newly combined to *Atractylocarpus*. Thus the circumscription of the two genera had to be changed in opposite, which would have caused an enormous confusion.

Frahm & Isoviita (1988) proposed two solutions: (1) to transfer all species of *Atractylocarpus* except for the type species to *Metzleria* and to keep the genus for the species so far included in *Campylopodiella*. (2) to keep the current use of *Atractylocarpus* and *Campylopodiella* and to amend the circumscription of *Atractylocarpus*. The second proposal was favoured, because it (a) would have stabilized the nomenclature by protecting names as they are used now and taxonomic concepts as were used over the past 60 years,

- (b) because it would supersede a well-stabilized name (*Camyplopodiella*),
- (c) *Metzleria* has not been used at all during the past 60 years, and
- (d) it required only one new combination (*Campylopodiella flagellacea* (C. Müll.) Frahm & Isoviita).
- (e) the generic circumscription of one genus and not of two genera have to be changed.

However, at the ICBN in Tokyo, the proposal to amend the moss Atractylocarpus was rejected by hepaticologists, the majority in the committee (Zijlstra 1993), although Art. 14.2 ICBN recommends conservation as an aim to serve stability of nomenclature. Instead, the mechanical solution fas favoured, which causes to use Atractylocarpus/Metzleria and Atractylocarpus/Campylopodiella in different senses and to use the name Atractylocarpus now even for a genus of a different subfamily, resulting in a total nomenclatural confusion. Therefore Atractylocarpus becomes now the correct name for the species currently placed in Campylopodiella whereas Metzleria has to be used for the species currently placed in Atractylocarpus. Atractylocarpus Mitt. remains conserved in App. III ICBN, although now totally unnecessarily.

Since this decision none of the necessary new combinations have been made. Current new projects such as the generic mossfloras of the Neotropics and tropical Africa require a solid taxonomic base. To clear this situation, all necessary new combinations are formally made here, following the rejection of the proposal (Frahm & Isoviita 1988), resulting in totally unnecessary confusions and procedure against the spirit of the ICBN:

Atractylocarpus Mitt., J. Linn. Soc. Bot. 12: 13, 1869 [Campylopodiella Card. sensu Chopra 1975, Frahm 1984 (Bryologist 87: 249-250), Gangulee 1971, Kumar & Verma 1979 (Bryologist 82: 585), Müller & Frahm 1987 (Nova Hedwigia 45: 286-292), Noguchi 1964 (Candollea 19: 170-171), Robinson 1966 (Bryologist 69: 105-107), Takaki 1971 (Misc. Bryol. Lich. 5: 135-137), Takaki 1973 (J. Hattori Bot. Lab. 37: 511-517)].

Type: A mexicanus (= flagellaceus)

Atractylocarpus flagellaceus (C. Müll.) Williams, Bryologist 31 : 110, 1928

Dicranum flagellaceum C. Müll., Syn. Musc. Frond. 2: 579, 1851
Atractylocarpus stenocarpus (Wils. In Seem.) Zander, Bryologist 85: 128, 1982.
Atractylocarpus costaricensis (C. Müll.) Williams, Bryologist 31: 110, 1928
Atractylocarpus mexicanus Mitt., J. Linn. Soc. Bot. 12: 13, 1869

Atractylocarpus himalayanus (Broth.) J.-P. Frahm, comb. nov.

*Brothera himalayana* Broth., Nat. Pfl. Ed. 1: 330, 1901

*Campylopodiella himalayana* (Broth.) J.-P. Frahm, Bryologist 87: 249, 1984.

Atractylocarpus malagensis (Herz.) J.-P. Frahm comb. nov.

*Campylopus malagensis* Herz., Bibl. Bot. 87: 23, 1916

*Campylopodiella malagensis* (Herz.) J.-P. Frahm, Bryologist 87: 250, 1984.

*Metzleria* Schimp. ex Milde, Bryol. Siles. 75, 1869 [*Atractylocarpus* Mitt. sensu Augier 1966, Chopra 1975 (Taxon. Indian Mosses), Corley et

al. 1982 (Mosses of Europe), Corley & Crundwell, J. Bryol. 16: 338, 1991), De Sloover 1973 (Not. Bryol. Afr. 1), Düll 1984 (Distr. Eur. Macar. Mosses), Eddy 1988 (Handb. Malesian Mosses, Frahm et al. 1985 (Acta Bot. Fennica 131: 66), Miller et al. 1985 (Prodr. Fl. Musc. Polynesiae), Norris & Koponen (Acta Bot. Fenn. 139: 21), Nyholm 1986 (Ill. Fl. Nordic Mosses), Ochyra & Sharp (J. Hattori Bot. Lab. 65: 339), Podpera 1954, Potier de la Varde (Ark. Bot. ser. 2,3: 137), Schultze-Motel & Menzel (Laubmoose Bryotrop Exp. Peru)].

Type: M. alpina

*Metzleria alpina* Schimp. ex Milde, Bryol. Siles. 75, 1869

*Metzleria alticaulis* Broth. In Mildbr., Wiss. Erg. Deut. Zentr. Afr. Exp., Bot. 142. 1910

*Metzleria brasiliensis* (Broth.) Par., Ind. Bryol. Suppl. 244, 1900

Metzleria comosa (Dix.) J.-P. Frahm comb. nov. Atractylocarpus comosus Dix., Ann. Bryol. 7: 22, 1934

*Metzleria longiseta* (Hook.) Broth., Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 56: 5, 1920

*Metzleria madagascariensis* (Thér.) J.-P. Frahm comb. vov.

*Metzlerella madagascariensis* Thér., Recueil Publ. Soc. Havraise Etudes Div. 1925: 132, 1926

Metzleria nana (Williams) J.-P. Frahm comb. nov.

Atractylocarpus nanus Williams, The Bryologist 31: 109, 1928.

*Metzleria patagonica* (Herz. et Thér.) J.-P. Frahm comb. nov.

Atractylocarpus patagonicus Herz. et Thér., Ann. Bryol. 6 : 124, 1933.

## **Acknowledgements:**

The list of publications using the names *Campylopodiella* and *Atractylocarpus* were obtained from Pekka Isoviita.

## References:

- **Allen, B. 1994.** Moss Flora of Central America. Part 1. Sphagnaceae-Calymperaceae. Monographs in Systematic Botany viol. 49: 1-242.
- **Briquet, J. et al.** (eds.) 1935. International rules of botanical nomenclature. 3<sup>rd</sup>. ed., Jena.
- Frahm, J.-P. & Isoviita, P. 1988. Proposal to amend *Atractylocarpus*, nom. cons. (Musci, Dicranaceae). Taxon 37:967-969.
- **Müller, P., Frahm, J.-P. 1987.** A review of the Paraleucobryoideae (Dicranaceae). Nova Hedwigia 45: 283-314.
- Padberg, M. & Frahm, J.-P. 1985. Monographie der Gattung Atractylocarpus Mitt. (Dicranaceae). Cryptogamie, Bryologie. Lichénologie 6: 315-341.
- Williams, R.S. 1913. Dicranaceae, Leucobryaceae (Bryales). North American Flora 15(2): 77-166.
- **Ziljstra, G. 1993.** Proposals to conserve or reject. Report of the Committee for Bryophyta: 2. Taxon 42: 683-686.

Frahm