



$g(x)$ -FULL CLEAN RINGS

N. ASHRAFI AND Z. AHMADI

Received 24 September, 2013

Abstract. Let $C(R)$ denote the center of a ring R and $g(x)$ be a polynomial of ring $C(R)[x]$. An element $r \in R$ is called “ $g(x)$ -clean” if $r = s + u$ where $g(s) = 0$ and u is a unit of R and R is $g(x)$ -clean if every element is $g(x)$ -clean. In this paper, we introduce the concept of $g(x)$ -full clean rings and study various properties of them.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 16U60; 16U99; 13A99

Keywords: clean ring, $g(x)$ -clean ring, $g(x)$ -full clean ring.

1. INTRODUCTION

Clean rings were introduced by Nicholson [4]. A ring R is called clean if for every element $a \in R$, there exist an idempotent e and a unit u in R such that $a = e + u$. Let $C(R)$ denote the center of a ring R and $g(x)$ be a polynomial in $C(R)[x]$. Following Camillo and Simon [2], an element $r \in R$ is called $g(x)$ -clean if $r = u + s$ where $g(s) = 0$ and u is a unit of R , and R is $g(x)$ -clean if every element is $g(x)$ -clean. Moreover, Fan and Yang have studied $g(x)$ -clean rings and their generalizations in [3]. Ashrafi and Ahmadi also studied weakly $g(x)$ -clean rings [1].

In this paper, we extend $g(x)$ -clean rings and introduce the concept of $g(x)$ -full clean rings and study various properties of them. Also we prove that $M_n(R)$ is $g(x)$ -full clean rings for any $g(x)$ -full clean rings R and get a condition under which the definitions of $g(x)$ -cleanness and $g(x)$ -full cleanness are equivalent.

Throughout this paper all rings are assumed to be associative with identity and modules are unitary. $J(R)$ always stands for the Jacobson radical of a ring R , $U(R)$ is the set of all invertible elements of a ring R , $M_n(R)$ denotes the $n \times n$ matrix ring over the ring R and $\mathbb{T}_n(R)$ stands for $n \times n$ upper triangular matrix ring. Recall that:

Definition 1. Let I be an ideal of a ring R , we say that:

- (1) Idempotents can be lifted modulo I if, whenever $a^2 - a \in I$, there exists $e^2 = e \in R$ such that $e - a \in I$.
- (2) The root \bar{s} of the polynomial $\bar{g}(x) \in (R/I)[X]$ can be lifted modulo I , if there exists $a \in R$ such that $g(a) = 0$ and $s - a \in I$.

Definition 2. An element $x \in R$ is said to be a full element if there exist $s, t \in R$ such that $sxt = 1$. The set of all full elements of a ring R will be denoted by $K(R)$. Obviously, invertible elements and one-sided invertible elements are all in $K(R)$.

Definition 3. A ring R is called full-clean if every element of R is a sum of a full element and an idempotent.

Definition 4. Let $C(R)$ denote the center of a ring R and $g(x)$ be a polynomial of ring $C(R)[x]$. An element in R is said to be $g(x)$ -full clean if it can be written as the sum a root of $g(x)$ and a full element. A ring R is called a $g(x)$ -full clean ring if each element in R is a $g(x)$ -full clean element.

2. $g(x)$ -FULL CLEAN RINGS

Firstly, we get some basic properties of $g(x)$ -full clean rings.

Let R and S be rings and $\theta : C(R) \rightarrow C(S)$ be a ring homomorphism with $\theta(1) =$

1. Then θ induces a map θ' from $C(R)[x]$ to $C(S)[x]$ such that for $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in$

$C(R)[x]$, $\theta'(g(x)) := \sum_{i=0}^n \theta(a_i)x^i \in C(S)[x]$. We should note that if $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\theta(n) = \theta(1 + \dots + 1) = n\theta(1) = n$. So if $g(x)$ is a polynomial with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} , then clearly $\theta'(g(x)) = g(x)$.

Here we give some properties of $g(x)$ -full clean rings which are similar to those of $g(x)$ -clean rings.

Proposition 1. Let $\theta : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring epimorphism. If R is $g(x)$ -full clean, then S is $\theta'(g(x))$ -full clean.

Proof. Let $g(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \in C(R)[x]$. Then $\theta'(g(x)) = \theta(a_0) + \theta(a_1)x + \dots + \theta(a_n)x^n \in C(S)[x]$. As θ is a ring epimorphism so for any $s \in S$, there exist $r \in R$ such that $\theta(r) = s$. Since R is $g(x)$ -full clean, there exist $w \in K(R)$ and $s_0 \in R$ such that $r = w + s_0$ where $g(s_0) = 0$ and $swt = 1$ for some $s, t \in R$. Then $s = \theta(r) = \theta(w + s_0) = \theta(w) + \theta(s_0)$. But as $swt = 1$ we have $\theta(s)\theta(w)\theta(t) = \theta(swt) = \theta(1) = 1$. Therefore $\theta(w) \in K(S)$. But $\theta'(g(\theta(s_0))) = \theta(a_0) + \theta(a_1)\theta(s_0) + \dots + \theta(a_n)\theta(s_0^n) = \theta(a_0 + a_1s_0 + \dots + a_ns_0^n) = \theta(g(s_0)) = \theta(0) = 0$, so s is $\theta'(g(x))$ -full clean. Therefore S is $\theta'(g(x))$ -full clean. \square

Corollary 1. If R is $g(x)$ -full clean, then for any ideal I of R , R/I is $\bar{g}(x)$ -full clean where $\bar{g}(x) \in C(R/I)[x]$.

Proof. Let $\theta : R \rightarrow R/I$ be the canonical epimorphism. Note that if $a \in C(R)$ then $\bar{a} \in C(R/I)$, so the result follows from previous proposition. \square

Proposition 2. *Let $I \leq J(R)$ be an ideal of R , $\eta : R \rightarrow R/I$ with $\eta(r) = r + I = \bar{r}$, and $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in C(R)[x]$ with $\bar{g}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \bar{a}_i x^i \in C(R/I)[x]$. If R/I is $\bar{g}(x)$ -full clean and roots of $g(x)$ lift modulo I , then R is $g(x)$ -full clean.*

Proof. For any $r \in R$, Let $r + I = \bar{r} = \bar{s} + \bar{w}$ be the $\bar{g}(x)$ -full clean expression, i.e., $\bar{g}(\bar{s}) = 0$, $\bar{w} \in K(R/I)$ and $\bar{s}'\bar{w}\bar{t} = \bar{1}$ for some $s', t \in R$. Since roots of $\bar{g}(x)$ lift modulo I , there exist $e \in R$ such that $g(e) = 0$ and $\bar{e} = \bar{s}$. So, $r - e - w = i$ for some $i \in I$ and $r = e + (w + i)$. Hence $\bar{s}'\bar{w}\bar{t} = \bar{1}$, we have $s'wt = 1 + h \in 1 + I \subseteq 1 + J(R) \subseteq U(R)$ for some $h \in I$. Therefore, there exist $a \in R$ where $(s'wt)a = 1$ and $s_1, t_1 \in R$ such that $s_1wt_1 = 1$. Hence $s_1(w + i)t_1 = 1 + s_1it_1 \in 1 + J(R) \subseteq U(R)$. We have $s_1(w + i)t_1u = 1$ for some $u \in U(R)$, hence $w + i$ is a full element. Therefore, r is $g(x)$ -full clean, as asserted. \square

Proposition 3. *Let $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of rings. Then $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$ is $g(x)$ -full clean if and only if for all $i \in I$, R_i is $g(x)$ -full clean.*

Proof. Let $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$ is $g(x)$ -full clean. Define $\pi_j : \prod_{i \in I} R_i \rightarrow R_j$ by $\pi_j(\{a_i\}_{i \in I}) = a_j$. Since for all $j \in I$, π_j is a ring epimorphism, so by Proposition 1, for every $i \in I$, each R_i is $g(x)$ -full clean ring.

For the contrary, suppose that for every $i \in I$, R_i is a $g(x)$ -full clean ring. For any $x = \{x_i\}_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} R_i$, we write $x_i = s_i + w_i$ with $g(s_i) = 0$ and $s_i w_i t_i = 1$ for some $s_i, t_i \in R$. Then $x = s + w$, where

$$\begin{aligned} g(s) &= \{s_i\}_{i \in I} = a_0\{1_{R_i}\}_{i \in I} + a_1\{s_i\}_{i \in I} + \dots + a_n\{s_i^n\}_{i \in I} \\ &= \{a_0\}_{i \in I} + \{a_1s_i\}_{i \in I} + \dots + \{a_ns_i^n\}_{i \in I} \\ &= \{a_0 + a_1s_i + \dots + a_ns_i^n\}_{i \in I} \\ &= \{g(s_i)\}_{i \in I} = \{0\}_{i \in I} \end{aligned}$$

and $w = \{w_i\}_{i \in I} \in K(\prod_{i \in I} R_i)$ with $\{s_i\}_{i \in I}\{w_i\}_{i \in I}\{t_i\}_{i \in I} = \{1\}_{i \in I}$. Hence x is $g(x)$ -full clean, as required. \square

Recall that for a ring R with a ring endomorphism $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$, the skew power series ring $R[[x; \alpha]]$ of R is the ring obtained by giving the formal power series ring over R with this property that $xr = \alpha(r)x$ for all $r \in R$. In particular, $R[[x]] = R[[x, id_R]]$.

Proposition 4. *Let α be an endomorphism of R and $g(x) \in C(R)[x]$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) R is a $g(x)$ -full clean ring.

- (2) The formal power series ring $R[[x]]$ of R is a $g(x)$ -full clean ring.
 (3) The skew power series ring $R[[x;\alpha]]$ of R is a $g(x)$ -full clean ring.

Proof. Being homomorphic image of $R[[x]]$ and $R[[x;\alpha]]$, R is $g(x)$ -full clean when $R[[x]]$ or $R[[x;\alpha]]$ is $g(x)$ -full clean.

Now, suppose R is a $g(x)$ -full clean ring. For any $h = a_0 + a_1x + \dots \in R[[x, \alpha]]$, write $a_0 = e_0 + u_0$ such that $g(e_0) = 0$ and $u_0 \in K(R)$. Assume that $s_0u_0t_0 = 1$ for some $s_0, t_0 \in R$ and let $h' = h - e_0 = u_0 + a_1x + \dots$. The equation $u = (s_0 + 0 + \dots)h'(t_0 + 0 + \dots) = 1 + s_0a_1\alpha(t_0)x + \dots$ shows that $u \in U(R[[x, \alpha]])$, since $U(R[[x;\alpha]]) = \{a_0 + a_1x + \dots : a_0 \in U(R)\}$ without any assumption on the endomorphism α . Hence $h' \in K(R[[x, \alpha]])$ and $h = e_0 + h'$ where $e_0 \in R[[x, \alpha]]$ and $g(e_0) = 0$. so, $R[[x;\alpha]]$ is a $g(x)$ -full clean ring.

Since $R[[x]] = R[[x, id_R]]$, the proof is similar to that of $((1) \Rightarrow (3))$, as desired. \square

Remark 1. Generally, the polynomial ring $R[t]$ is not $g(x)$ -clean for an arbitrary nonzero polynomial $g(x) \in C(R)[x]$. For example let R be a commutative ring, then the polynomial ring $R[t]$ is not $g(x)$ -clean ring [3]. Full elements and invertible elements are the same when the ring R is commutative, so the concept of $g(x)$ -clean and $g(x)$ -full clean are equivalent for commutative rings. Now, let $g(x) = x$, we show that t is not $g(x)$ -full clean. If $t = w + s$ then it must be that $s = 0$, so $t = w$. As, w is a full element then $ftw = 1$ for $f, h \in R$. Since R is commutative, $t \in U(R[t])$. But clearly $t \notin U(R[t])$, therefore $R[t]$ is not $g(x)$ full-clean.

Next we will investigate some cases in which the concept of $g(x)$ -full cleanness and $g(x)$ -cleanness are equivalence. Yu [5] called a ring R to be a left quasi-duo ring if every maximal left ideal of R is a two-sided ideal. Commutative rings, local rings, rings in which every nonunit has a power that is central are all belong to this class of rings [5].

Theorem 1. For a left quasi-duo ring R and $g(x) \in C(R)[x]$, the followings are equivalent:

- (1) R is a $g(x)$ -clean ring;
 (2) R is a $g(x)$ -full clean ring.

Proof. If R is $g(x)$ -clean, then this is trivial that R is $g(x)$ -full clean.

Now, let R be a $g(x)$ -full clean ring and $r \in R$. So $r = w + s$ such that $g(s) = 0$ and $w \in K(R)$. It suffices to show that $w \in K(R)$ implies that $w \in U(R)$. Let $swt = 1$ for some $s, t \in R$, so s is right invertible. Assume that s is not left invertible. Then $Rs \subsetneq R$, and there exists a maximal left ideal M of R such that $Rs \subseteq M \subsetneq R$. But since R is a left quasi-duo ring, so M is a two sided ideal and $s \in M$. Therefore $sR \subseteq M$. But as s is right invertible, so M is not a proper ideal and this is a contradiction. So s should have left inverse as well and therefore s is invertible. Thus $wt = 1$. In a similar way, we get that $w \in U(R)$, and the result follows. \square

In Fan and Yang [3], proved that if R is $g(x)$ -clean, then so is $M_n(R)$ for all $n \geq 1$. Here we have a similar result for $g(x)$ -full clean. Define $\pi_n : C(R) \rightarrow M_n(R)$ by $a \mapsto aI_n$ where I_n is the identity matrix of $M_n(R)$ and $a \in C(R)$. Then $M_n(R)$ is a $C(R)$ -algebra for all $n \geq 1$.

Theorem 2. *Let R be a ring and $g(x) \in C(R)[x]$. If R is $g(x)$ -full clean, then $M_n(R)$ is also $g(x)$ -full clean ring for all $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that R is $g(x)$ -full clean. Given any $x \in R$, there exist $e \in R$ and $w \in K(R)$ such that $x = e + w$ and $g(e) = 0$. We write $stw = 1$ for some $s, t \in R$. Assume that theorem holds for the matrix ring $M_k(R)$, $k \geq 1$. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{k+1}(R)$$

with $A_{11} \in R$, $A_{12} \in R^{1 \times k}$, $A_{21} \in R^{k \times 1}$ and $A_{22} \in M_k(R)$.

We have $A_{11} = e + w$ where $g(e) = 0$ and $stw = 1$ for some $s, t \in R$. There also exist matrix E and a full matrix W such that $A_{22} - A_{21}tsA_{12} = E + W$ where $g(E) = 0$ by induction. We write $SWT = I_k$ for some $S, T \in M_k(R)$. Therefore, we have

$$A = \text{diag}(e, E) + \begin{pmatrix} w & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & W + A_{21}tsA_{12} \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Let } g(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_mx^m,$$

then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}\right) &= a_0I_{k+1} + a_1\left(\begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}\right) + \dots + a_m\left(\begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}\right)^m \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_01_R & 0 \\ 0 & a_0I_k \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a_1e & 0 \\ 0 & a_1E \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \begin{pmatrix} a_me^m & 0 \\ 0 & a_mE^m \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} g(e) & 0 \\ 0 & g(E) \end{pmatrix} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Also, let $P = \begin{pmatrix} s & 0 \\ -SA_{21}ts & S \end{pmatrix}, Q = \begin{pmatrix} t & -tsA_{12}T \\ 0 & T \end{pmatrix} \in M_{k+1}(R)$

and the equation

$$P \begin{pmatrix} w & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & W + A_{21}tsA_{12} \end{pmatrix} Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix} = I_{k+1}$$

shows that $\begin{pmatrix} w & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & W + A_{21}tsA_{12} \end{pmatrix}$ is a full matrix, hence A is $g(x)$ -full clean, as desired. □

Proposition 5. *Let $a \in R$ be a $g(x)$ -full clean element and $g(x) \in C(R)[x]$ where $g(1) = 0$, then $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is always $g(x)$ -full clean in $M_2(R)$ for any $b \in R$.*

Proof. If $a = e + w$ where $g(e) = 0$ and $swt = 1$ for some $s, t \in R$, then we can write A as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} w & b \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We also have $\begin{pmatrix} s & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w & b \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t & -tsb \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Now if $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) &= a_0 I_2 + a_1 \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \cdots + a_m \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^m \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_0 1_R & 0 \\ 0 & a_0 1_R \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a_1 e & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} + \cdots + \begin{pmatrix} a_m e^m & 0 \\ 0 & a_m \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} g(e) & 0 \\ 0 & g(1) \end{pmatrix} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, A is a $g(x)$ -full clean element. \square

Theorem 3. Let $C = \begin{pmatrix} A & V \\ W & B \end{pmatrix}$ where A, B and ${}_A V_B, {}_B W_A$ are respectively two rings and bimodules. Also let $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Then C is $g(x)$ -full clean if and only if A and B are $g(x)$ -full clean.

Proof. Assume that C is f -($g(x)$ -clean). Let $I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V \\ W & B \end{pmatrix}$ and $J = \begin{pmatrix} A & V \\ W & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. One can check that I, J are ideals of C and $C/I \simeq A$, $C/J \simeq B$ (it is enough to consider the epimorphism $\varphi : C \rightarrow A$ by $\varphi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & v \\ w & b \end{pmatrix}\right) = a$ and the epimorphism $\psi : C \rightarrow B$ by $\psi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & v \\ w & b \end{pmatrix}\right) = b$, respectively with kernel I and J). Clearly $g(x)$ -full cleanness of A, B follows from Corollary 1.

Conversely, let A and B be both $g(x)$ -full clean rings. For any $r = \begin{pmatrix} a & v \\ w & b \end{pmatrix} \in C$, we have $a = e_1 + u_1$ and $b = e_2 + u_2$ for some $e_1, e_2 \in R$ where $g(e_1) = g(e_2) = 0$ and $u_1, u_2 \in K(R)$. Assume that $s_1 u_1 t_1 = 1, s_2 u_2 t_2 = 1$ for some $s_1, t_1, s_2, t_2 \in R$. So we have $r = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 \\ 0 & e_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & v \\ w & u_2 \end{pmatrix} = E + U$. Now $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} g(E) &= a_0 I_0 + a_1 E + \cdots + a_n E^n \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a_1 e_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 e_2 \end{pmatrix} + \cdots + \begin{pmatrix} a_n e_1^n & 0 \\ 0 & a_n e_2^n \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} g(e_1) & 0 \\ 0 & g(e_2) \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

and the equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1 & 0 \\ -s_2 w t_1 s_1 & s_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & v \\ w & u_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & -t_1 s_1 v t_2 \\ 0 & t_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

implies that U is a full matrix. Hence r is $g(x)$ -full clean, as required. \square

Proposition 6. *Let R and S be two rings, M be an (R, S) -bimodule and $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.*

- (1) *Let $E = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ be the formal triangular matrix ring. Then E is $g(x)$ -full clean ring if and only if R and S are $g(x)$ -full clean rings.*
- (2) *For any $n \geq 1$, R is $g(x)$ -full clean if and only if the $n \times n$ upper triangular matrix ring $T_n(R)$ are $g(x)$ -full clean.*

Proof. Formal triangular matrix rings are special cases of C in Theorem 3.

Let R be $g(x)$ -full clean and $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{T}_n(R)$ with $a_{ij} = 0$ for $1 \leq j < i \leq n$. Since R is $g(x)$ -full clean, for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exist $e_{ii} \in R$ and $w_{ii} \in K(R)$ such that $a_{ii} = w_{ii} + e_{ii}$ with $g(e_{ii}) = 0$. Also assume that $s_{ii} w_{ii} t_{ii} = 1$ for some $s_{ii}, t_{ii} \in R$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w_{11} + e_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & w_{22} + e_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & w_{nn} + e_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} w_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & w_{22} & a_{23} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & w_{nn} \end{pmatrix}}_W + \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} e_{11} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & e_{22} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & e_{nn} \end{pmatrix}}_E. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i \in C(R)[x]$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(E) &= a_0 I_n + a_1 E + \dots + a_n E^n \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & a_0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a_1 e_{11} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 e_{22} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & a_1 e_{nn} \end{pmatrix} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \begin{pmatrix} a_m e_{11}^m & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_m e_{22}^m & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & a_m e_{nn}^m \end{pmatrix} \\
& = \begin{pmatrix} g(e_{11}) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & g(e_{22}) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & g(e_{nn}) \end{pmatrix} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, it is straightforward with induction on n , to prove calculate that $W \in K(\mathbb{T}_n(R))$. So $\mathbb{T}_n(R)$ is $g(x)$ -full clean.

Now let $\mathbb{T}_n(R)$ is $g(x)$ -full clean. Define $\theta : \mathbb{T}_n(R) \longrightarrow R$ by $\theta(A) = a_{11}$ where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix},$$

then it is clear that θ is a ring epimorphism. For any $a \in R$, let B be the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(a, \dots, a)$. Then $a = \theta(B) = \theta(W + S) = \theta(W) + \theta(S)$ where $\theta(W) = w_{11} \in K(R)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\theta(S)) &= a_0 + a_1 \theta(S) + \dots + a_n \theta(S^n) \\
&= \theta(B_0) + \theta(B_1) \theta(S) + \dots + \theta(B_n) \theta(S^n) \\
&= \theta(B_0 + B_1 S + \dots + B_n S^n) \\
&= \theta(a_0 I_n + (a_1 I_n) S + \dots + (a_n I_n) S^n) \\
&= \theta(g(S)) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus a is $g(x)$ -full clean, i.e., R is $g(x)$ -full clean ring. \square

Proposition 7. *Let R be a ring, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $2 \in U(R)$. Then the followings are equivalent:*

- (1) R is full-clean;
- (2) R is $(x^2 - 2^n x)$ -full clean;
- (3) R is $(x^2 + 2^n x)$ -full clean;
- (4) R is $(x^2 - 2x)$ -full clean;
- (5) R is $(x^2 + 2x)$ -full clean;
- (6) R is $(x^2 - 1)$ -full clean;
- (7) every element of R is the sum of a full element and a square root of 1.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (7) Suppose R is full-clean and $x \in R$. Then $(x + 1)/2 = e + u$ for some $e^2 = e$ and $u \in K(R)$. So $x = (2e - 1) + 2u$ with $(2e - 1)^2 = 1$ and $2u \in K(R)$.

(7) \Rightarrow (1) Let every element of R is the sum of a full element and a square root of 1. Then given $x \in R$, we have $2x - 1 = t + w$ with $t^2 = 1$ and w a full element in R . So $x = (t + 1)/2 + w/2$ with $((t + 1)/2)^2 = (t + 1)/2$ and $w/2$ is a full element in R , as asserted.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Since $2 \in U(R)$, $2^n \in U(R)$. Let $a \in R$, then $a/2^n = e + u$ such that $e^2 = e$ and $u \in K(R)$. So, $a = 2^n e + 2^n u$ where $(2^n e)^2 - 2^n(2^n e) = 0$ and $2^n u \in K(R)$. Therefore, R is $(x^2 - 2^n x)$ -full clean.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let $r \in R$. Since R is f - $((x^2 - 2^n x)$ -clean), $r2^n = s + w$ such that s is a root of $(x^2 - 2^n x)$ and $w \in K(R)$. Thus, $r = s/2^n + w/2^n$ where $w/2^n \in K(R)$ and $(s/2^n)^2 = s(s - 2^n + 2^n)/(2^n)^2 = s2^n/(2^n)^2 = s/2^n$. So R is f -clean.

Similarly, we can prove (1) \Leftrightarrow (3), (1) \Leftrightarrow (4) and (1) \Leftrightarrow (5). □

Let R be a ring and ${}_R V_R$ be an $R - R$ -bimodule which is a ring possibly without a unity in which $(vw)r = v(wr)$, $(vr)w = v(rw)$ and $(rv)w = r(vw)$ hold for all $v, w \in V$ and $r \in R$. The ideal extension of R by V is defined to be the additive abelian group $I(R, V) = R \oplus V$ with multiplication $(r, v)(s, w) = (rs, rw + vs + vw)$.

Proposition 8. *Let R be a ring and ${}_R V_R$ be an $R - R$ -bimodule, $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. An ideal-extension $E = I(R, V)$ of R by V is $g(x)$ -full clean if R is $g(x)$ -full clean and for any $v \in R$, there exists $w \in R$ such that $v + w + wv = 0$.*

Proof. Let $t = (r, v) \in E$. Then $r = s + u$ where $g(s) = 0$ and $u \in K(R)$. Therefore $t = (s, 0) + (u, v)$. Let $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g((s, 0)) &= a_0(1, 0) + a_1(s, 0) + \dots + a_n(s, 0)^n \\ &= a_0(1, 0) + a_1(s, 0) + \dots + a_n(s^n, 0) \\ &= (a_0, 0) + (a_1 s, 0) + \dots + (a_n s^n, 0) \\ &= (a_0 + a_1 s + \dots + a_n s^n, 0) = (g(s), 0) = (0, 0) \end{aligned}$$

and we will show that $(u, v) \in K(E)$. Assume that $sut = 1$. For $svt \in V$, there exists $w \in V$ such that $svt + w + wsvt = 0$ by assumption. Also, one can check that $(s, ws)(u, v)(t, 0) = (1, 0)$. Hence $(u, v) \in K(E)$ and E is a $g(x)$ -full clean ring. □

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Ashrafi and Z. Ahmadi, "Weakly $g(x)$ -clean rings," *Iran. J. Math. Sci. Inform.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 83–91, 111, 2012.
- [2] V. Camillo and J. J. Simón, "The Nicholson-Varadarajan theorem on clean linear transformations," *Glasg. Math. J.*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 365–369, 2002, doi: [10.1017/S0017089502030021](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0017089502030021).
- [3] L. Fan and X. Yang, "On rings whose elements are the sum of a unit and a root of a fixed polynomial," *Comm. Algebra*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 269–278, 2008, doi: [10.1080/00927870701665461](https://doi.org/10.1080/00927870701665461).
- [4] W. K. Nicholson, "Lifting idempotents and exchange rings," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 229, pp. 269–278, 1977, doi: [10.1090/S0002-9947-1977-0439876-2](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-1977-0439876-2).

- [5] H.-P. Yu, “On quasi-duo rings,” *Glasgow Math. J.*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 21–31, 1995, doi: [10.1017/S0017089500030342](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0017089500030342).

Authors' addresses

N. Ashrafi

Semnan University, Faculty of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, Semnan, Iran
E-mail address: nashrafi@semnan.ac.ir & ashrafi49@yahoo.com

Z. Ahmadi

Semnan University, Faculty of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, Semnan, Iran
E-mail address: zahmadi@yahoo.com