

**REFINED ALMOST DOUBLE DERIVATIONS AND LIE
*-DOUBLE DERIVATIONS**

ISMAIL NIKOUFAR

Received 19 February, 2015

Abstract. In this paper, our approach allows to refine the results announced by Ebadian et al. [Results Math., 36 (2013), 409–423]. Namely, we reduce the distance between approximate and exact double derivations on Banach algebras and Lie C^* -algebras up to $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$ and $\frac{1}{2^{n-2}}$ for $n \geq 2$. Indeed, we give a correct utilization of fixed point theory in the sense of Diaz and Margolis [Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 74 (1968), 305–309] concerning the stability of double derivations.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 39B82; 39B52

Keywords: Hyers-Ulam stability, double derivation, *-double derivation, Lie C^* -algebra

1. INTRODUCTION

A classical question in the theory of functional equations is the following:

when is it true that a mapping which approximately satisfies a functional equation ξ must be somehow near to an exact solution of ξ ?

In 1940, Ulam [7] gave a wide ranging talk and discussed a number of important unsolved problems. Among those was the question concerning the stability of group homomorphisms.

*Let (G_1, \cdot) be a group and let $(G_2, *)$ be a metric group with the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta > 0$, such that if a mapping $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfies the inequality $d(h(x \cdot y), h(x) * h(y)) < \delta$ for all $x, y \in G_1$, then there exists a homomorphism $H : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ with $d(h(x), H(x)) < \epsilon$ for all $x \in G_1$?*

Generally, the concept of stability for a functional equation comes up when the functional equation is replaced by an inequality which acts as a perturbation of that equation. The case of approximately additive functions was solved by D. Hyers [5] under certain assumptions. In 1950, Hyers' Theorem was generalized by Aoki [1] for additive mappings and independently, in 1978, by Rassias [6] for linear mappings considering the Cauchy difference controlled by sum of powers of norms. For the history and various aspects of this theory we refer the reader to [3] and the references therein. Note that a functional equation ζ is stable if any function g satisfying the equation ζ approximately is near to true solution of ζ .

Recently, Ebadian et al. [3] used the fixed point alternative method to establish the Hyers–Ulam stability of double derivations on Banach algebras and Lie $*$ -double derivations on Lie C^* -algebras associated with the following additive functional equation

$$\sum_{k=2}^n \left(\sum_{i_1=2}^k \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^{k+1} \dots \sum_{i_{n-k+1}=i_{n-k}+1}^n \right) f \left(\sum_{i=1, i \neq i_1, \dots, i_{n-k+1}}^n x_i - \sum_{r=1}^{n-k+1} x_{i_r} \right) + f \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) = 2^{n-1} f(x_1).$$

Throughout this paper following [3], we assume that \mathcal{A} is a Banach algebra (Lie C^* -algebra). For given mapping $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, we define the difference operator $D_\mu f : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by

$$D_\mu f(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{k=2}^n \left(\sum_{i_1=2}^k \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^{k+1} \dots \sum_{i_{n-k+1}=i_{n-k}+1}^n \right) f \left(\sum_{i=1, i \neq i_1, \dots, i_{n-k+1}}^n \mu x_i - \sum_{r=1}^{n-k+1} \mu x_{i_r} \right) + f \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu x_i \right) - 2^{n-1} f(\mu x_1)$$

for all $\mu \in \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = 1\}$.

In this paper, we improve main results of [3] and reduce the distance between approximate and exact double derivations on Banach algebras and Lie C^* -algebras up to $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$ and $\frac{1}{2^{n-2}}$ for $n \geq 2$.

In section 2, we discuss on main results of [3] and improve some theorems and corollaries including Theorem 2.3, 2.5 and Corollary 2.4, 2.6. In section 3, we also refine some results of [3] including Theorem 3.2, 3.4 and Corollary 3.3, 3.5. Indeed, we are going to weaken their assumptions and giving a correct utilization of fixed point theory in the sense of Diaz and Margolis [2].

2. ALMOST DOUBLE DERIVATION

Throughout this section, we assume that \mathcal{A} is a Banach algebra, $f(0) = g(0) = h(0) = 0$, and for given mappings $f, g, h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, we define

$$C_{f,g,h}(a, b) := f(ab) - f(a)b - af(b) - g(a)h(b) - h(a)g(b)$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

Definition 1. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and let $\delta, \varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be \mathbb{C} -linear mappings. A \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a (δ, ε) -double derivation if

$$f(ab) = f(a)b + af(b) + \delta(a)\varepsilon(b) + \varepsilon(a)\delta(b)$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

A fundamental result in fixed point theory is the following theorem. We recall the following theorem by Diaz and Margolis [2].

Theorem 1. *Let (X, d) be a complete generalized metric space and let $J : X \rightarrow X$ be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant $0 < L < 1$. Then for each given element $x \in X$, either $d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) = \infty$ for all $n \geq 0$, or there exists a natural number n_0 such that*

- (1) $d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) < \infty$ for all $n \geq n_0$,
- (2) the sequence $\{J^n x\}$ converges to a fixed point y^* of J ,
- (3) y^* is the unique fixed point of J in the set $Y = \{y \in X : d(J^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\}$,
- (4) $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, Jy)$ for all $y \in Y$.

The following theorem is a refined version of [3, Theorem 2.3]:

Theorem 2. *Let $f, g, h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be mappings for which there exist functions $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi : \mathcal{A}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} 2^m \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^m}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^m}\right) = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} 4^m \psi\left(\frac{a}{2^m}, \frac{b}{2^m}\right) = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\|D_\mu f(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad (2.3)$$

$$\|C_{f,g,h}(a, b)\| \leq \psi(a, b) \quad (2.4)$$

for all $a, b, x_1, \dots, x_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. If there exists a constant $0 < L < 1$ such that $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq \frac{L}{2} \varphi(2x_1, \dots, 2x_n)$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}$, then there exist unique \mathbb{C} -linear mappings $d, \delta, \varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - d(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{\alpha(1-L)} \varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0), \quad (2.5)$$

$$\|g(x) - \delta(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{\alpha(1-L)} \varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0), \quad (2.6)$$

$$\|h(x) - \varepsilon(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{\alpha(1-L)} \varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (2.7)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, where $\alpha = 2^{n-1}$ and $n \geq 2$. Moreover, d is a (δ, ε) -double derivation on \mathcal{A} .

Proof. Put $\mu = 1, x_1 = x_2 = x$, and $x_3 = x_4 = \dots = x_n = 0$ in (2.3) to reach

$$\left\| \frac{\alpha}{2} f(2x) - \alpha f(x) \right\| \leq \varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and so

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - f(x) \right\| \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0, \dots, 0\right) \leq \frac{L}{\alpha} \varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0). \quad (2.8)$$

Define $F := \{f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\}$. The metric defined on F by

$$\rho(f, g) := \inf\{c \in [0, \infty] : \|f(x) - g(x)\| \leq c\varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0), \forall x \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

is a generalized metric and (F, ρ) is a generalized complete metric space. Consider the mapping $(Jf)(x) := 2f(\frac{x}{2})$ for all $f \in F$ and $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Use [4, Lemma 1.3] to see that J is a strictly contractive mapping with the Lipschitz constant L . It follows from (2.8) that $\rho(Jf, f) \leq \frac{L}{\alpha}$. Therefore according to Theorem 1, the sequence $\{J^m f\}$ converges to a fixed point d such that $d(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} 2^m f(\frac{x}{2^m})$ and $d(2x) = 2d(x)$. Note that d is the unique fixed point of J and

$$\rho(d, f) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} \rho(Jf, f) \leq \frac{L}{\alpha(1-L)}.$$

This means that inequality (2.5) holds for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. The proof of the linearity of d and also the rest of the proof is similar to that of [3, Theorem 2.3] and we omit it. \square

The importance of our result becomes clear when we take

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \theta_1 \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p, \quad \psi(a, b) = \theta_2 (\|a\|^q + \|b\|^q).$$

In this situation, by choosing $L = 2^{1-p}$, we can get strong and close approximations of the functions f, g, h with linear mappings d, δ, ε , where d is a (δ, ε) -double derivation on \mathcal{A} . Thus, we improve [3, Corollary 2.4] up to $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$ as follows:

Corollary 1. *Let p, q, θ_1, θ_2 be non-negative real numbers with $p, q > 1$. Suppose that $f, g, h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are mappings such that*

$$\|D_\mu f(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \theta_1 \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p,$$

$$\|C_{f,g,h}(a, b)\| \leq \theta_2 (\|a\|^q + \|b\|^q)$$

for all $a, b, x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Then there exist unique \mathbb{C} -linear mappings $d, \delta, \varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - d(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta_1}{2^{n-1}(2^{p-1} - 1)} \|x\|^p,$$

$$\|g(x) - \delta(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta_1}{2^{n-1}(2^{p-1} - 1)} \|x\|^p,$$

$$\|h(x) - \varepsilon(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta_1}{2^{n-1}(2^{p-1} - 1)} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, d is a (δ, ε) -double derivation on \mathcal{A} .

In the following theorem we give an improved version of [3, Theorem 2.5]:

Theorem 3. Suppose that $f, g, h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are mappings satisfying (2.3) and (2.4) for which there exist functions $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi : \mathcal{A}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^m} \varphi(2^m x_1, \dots, 2^m x_n) = 0, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^m} \psi(2^m a, 2^m b) = 0 \tag{2.10}$$

for all $a, b, x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}$. If there exists a constant $0 < L < 1$ such that $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq 2L\varphi(\frac{x_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2})$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}$, then there exist unique \mathbb{C} -linear mappings $d, \delta, \varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - d(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{\beta(1-L)} \varphi(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0, \dots, 0), \tag{2.11}$$

$$\|g(x) - \delta(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{\beta(1-L)} \varphi(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0, \dots, 0), \tag{2.12}$$

$$\|h(x) - \varepsilon(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{\beta(1-L)} \varphi(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0, \dots, 0) \tag{2.13}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, where $\beta = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ and $n \geq 2$. Moreover, d is a (δ, ε) -double derivation on \mathcal{A} .

Proof. It follows from (2.8) that

$$\|\frac{1}{2}f(2x) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0) \leq \frac{2L}{\alpha} \varphi(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0, \dots, 0) \tag{2.14}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Consider the generalized complete metric (F, ρ) with the generalized metric ρ defined by

$$\rho(f, g) := \inf\{c \in [0, \infty] : \|f(x) - g(x)\| \leq c\varphi(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0, \dots, 0), \forall x \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Define the mapping $(Jf)(x) := \frac{1}{2}f(2x)$ for all $f \in F$ and $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Apply [4, Lemma 1.2]) to find that J is a strictly contractive mapping with the Lipschitz constant L . It follows from (2.14) that $\rho(Jf, f) \leq \frac{2L}{\alpha}$. Applying Theorem 1, we get the sequence $\{J^m f\}$ converges to a unique fixed point d of J such that

$$\rho(d, f) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} \rho(Jf, f) \leq \frac{2L}{\alpha(1-L)} = \frac{L}{\beta(1-L)},$$

i. e., inequality (2.11) holds for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. The rest of the proof is similar to that of [3, Theorem 2.3]. □

As we mentioned in Corollary 1, the importance of Theorem 3 becomes also clear when we put $L = 2^{p-1}$ and

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \theta_1 + \theta_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p, \quad \psi(a, b) = \theta_1 + \theta_2(\|a\|^q + \|b\|^q).$$

However, we can improve [3, Corollary 2.6] up to $\frac{1}{2^{n-2}}$ as follows:

Corollary 2. *Let p, q, θ_1, θ_2 be non-negative real numbers with $p, q \in (0, 1)$. Suppose that $f, g, h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are mappings such that*

$$\|D_\mu f(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \theta_1 + \theta_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p,$$

$$\|C_{f,g,h}(a, b)\| \leq \theta_1 + \theta_2(\|a\|^q + \|b\|^q)$$

for all $a, b, x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Then there exist unique \mathbb{C} -linear mappings $d, \delta, \varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - d(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-2}} \left(\frac{\theta_1}{2^{1-p} - 1} + \frac{\theta_2}{1 - 2^{p-1}} \|x\|^p \right),$$

$$\|g(x) - \delta(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-2}} \left(\frac{\theta_1}{2^{1-p} - 1} + \frac{\theta_2}{1 - 2^{p-1}} \|x\|^p \right),$$

$$\|h(x) - \varepsilon(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-2}} \left(\frac{\theta_1}{2^{1-p} - 1} + \frac{\theta_2}{1 - 2^{p-1}} \|x\|^p \right)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, d is a (δ, ε) -double derivation on \mathcal{A} .

3. ALMOST LIE *-DOUBLE DERIVATION

A unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , endowed with the Lie product $[x, y] = xy - yx$ on \mathcal{A} , is called a Lie C^* -algebra. In this section, we assume that \mathcal{A} is a Lie C^* -algebra and $U(\mathcal{A}) = \{u \in \mathcal{A} : uu^* = u^*u = e\}$. For given mappings $f, g, h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, we let $f(0) = g(0) = h(0) = 0$ and define

$$J_{f,g,h}(a, b) := f([a, b]) - [f(a), b] - [a, f(b)] - [g(a), h(b)] - [h(a), g(b)]$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

Definition 2. Let \mathcal{A} be a Lie C^* -algebra and let $\delta, \varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be \mathbb{C} -linear mappings. A \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a Lie (δ, ε) -double derivation if

$$f([a, b]) = [f(a), b] + [a, f(b)] + [\delta(a), \varepsilon(b)] + [\varepsilon(a), \delta(b)]$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

The presented results in this section are refinements of [3, Theorem 3.2, 3.4] and [3, Corollary 3.3, 3.5]:

Theorem 4. *Let $f, g, h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be mappings for which there exist functions $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi : \mathcal{A}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} 2^m \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^m}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^m}\right) = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} 4^m \psi\left(\frac{a}{2^m}, \frac{b}{2^m}\right) = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\|D_\mu f(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad (3.3)$$

$$\|J_{f,g,h}(a,b)\| \leq \psi(a,b) \quad (3.4)$$

$$\max\{f(\frac{u^*}{2^k}) - f(\frac{u}{2^k})^*, g(\frac{u^*}{2^k}) - g(\frac{u}{2^k})^*, h(\frac{u^*}{2^k}) - h(\frac{u}{2^k})^*\} \leq \varphi(\frac{u}{2^k}, \dots, \frac{u}{2^k}) \quad (3.5)$$

for all $a, b, x_1, \dots, x_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $u \in U(A)$, and $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. If there exists a constant $0 < L < 1$ such that $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq \frac{L}{2} \varphi(2x_1, \dots, 2x_n)$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}$, then there exist unique \mathbb{C} -linear mappings $d, \delta, \varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\max\{\|f(x) - d(x)\|, \|g(x) - \delta(x)\|, \|h(x) - \varepsilon(x)\|\} \leq \frac{L}{\alpha(1-L)} \varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, d is a Lie $*$ - (δ, ε) -double derivation on \mathcal{A} .

Proof. Using the same methods as in the proof of [3, Theorem 2.3, 3.2], we can obtain the desired results. \square

Corollary 3. Let p, q, θ_1, θ_2 be non-negative real numbers with $p, q > 1$. Suppose that $f, g, h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are mappings such that

$$\|D_\mu f(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \theta_1 \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p,$$

$$\|J_{f,g,h}(u,b)\| \leq \theta_2(1 + \|b\|^q)$$

$$\max\{f(\frac{u^*}{2^k}) - f(\frac{u}{2^k})^*, g(\frac{u^*}{2^k}) - g(\frac{u}{2^k})^*, h(\frac{u^*}{2^k}) - h(\frac{u}{2^k})^*\} \leq \frac{\theta_1 + \theta_2}{2^{kp}}$$

for all $a, b, x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $u \in U(A)$, and $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. There exist unique \mathbb{C} -linear mappings $d, \delta, \varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\max\{\|f(x) - d(x)\|, \|g(x) - \delta(x)\|, \|h(x) - \varepsilon(x)\|\} \leq \frac{2\theta_1}{2^{n-1}(2^{p-1} - 1)} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, d is a (δ, ε) -double derivation on \mathcal{A} .

Proof. The results follows from above theorem by taking $L = 2^{1-p}$ and

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \theta_1 \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p, \quad \psi(a,b) = \theta_2(1 + \|b\|^q).$$

\square

Theorem 5. Suppose that $f, g, h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are mappings satisfying (3.3) and (3.4) for which there exist functions $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi : \mathcal{A}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^m} \varphi(2^m x_1, \dots, 2^m x_n) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^m} \psi(2^m a, 2^m b) = 0$$

$$\max\{f(2^k u^*) - f(2^k u)^*, g(2^k u^*) - g(2^k u)^*, h(2^k u^*) - h(2^k u)^*\} \leq \varphi(2^k u, \dots, 2^k u)$$

for all $a, b, x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $u \in U(\mathcal{A})$, and $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. If there exists a constant $0 < L < 1$ such that $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq 2L\varphi(\frac{x_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2})$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}$, then there exist unique \mathbb{C} -linear mappings $d, \delta, \varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\max\{\|f(x) - d(x)\|, \|g(x) - \delta(x)\|, \|h(x) - \varepsilon(x)\|\} \leq \frac{L}{\beta(1-L)}\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0, \dots, 0\right)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, where $\beta = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ and $n \geq 2$. Moreover, d is a Lie $*$ - (δ, ε) -double derivation on \mathcal{A} .

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [3, Theorem 2.5, 3.2]. \square

Corollary 4. Let p, q, θ_1, θ_2 be non-negative real numbers with $p, q \in (0, 1)$. Suppose that $f, g, h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are mappings such that

$$\|D_\mu f(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \theta_1 + \theta_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p,$$

$$\|J_{f,g,h}(u, b)\| \leq \theta_1 + \theta_2(1 + \|b\|^q)$$

$$\max\{f(2^k u^*) - f(2^k u)^*, g(2^k u^*) - g(2^k u)^*, h(2^k u^*) - h(2^k u)^*\} \leq \frac{\theta_1 + \theta_2}{2^{kp}}$$

for all $a, b, x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $u \in U(\mathcal{A})$, and $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Then there exist unique \mathbb{C} -linear mappings $d, \delta, \varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{\|f(x) - d(x)\|, \|g(x) - \delta(x)\|, \|h(x) - \varepsilon(x)\|\} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-2}} \left(\frac{\theta_1}{2^{1-p} - 1} + \frac{\theta_2}{1 - 2^{p-1}} \|x\|^p \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, d is a Lie $*$ - (δ, ε) -double derivation on \mathcal{A} .

Proof. Apply above theorem by putting $L = 2^{p-1}$ and

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \theta_1 + \theta_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p, \quad \psi(a, b) = \theta_1 + \theta_2(1 + \|b\|^q).$$

\square

4. CONCLUSION

Our results can give the results proved by Ebadian et al. [3]. For instance, under the hypotheses of Theorem 2 we can conclude [3, Theorem 2.3], but not vice versa. In other words, if there exists a constant $0 < L < 1$ such that $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq \frac{L}{2}\varphi(2x_1, \dots, 2x_n)$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}L\varphi(2x_1, \dots, 2x_n)$, i.e., all of the hypotheses of [3, Theorem 2.3] hold. On the other hand, Theorem 2 says that there exist unique \mathbb{C} -linear mappings $d, \delta, \varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - d(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{\alpha(1-L)}\varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0),$$

$$\|g(x) - \delta(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{\alpha(1-L)}\varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0),$$

$$\|h(x) - \varepsilon(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{\alpha(1-L)}\varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, where $\alpha = 2^{n-1}$ and $n \geq 2$. Since $\frac{L}{\alpha(1-L)} \leq \frac{L}{1-L}$, we have

$$\|f(x) - d(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{1-L}\varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0),$$

$$\|g(x) - \delta(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{1-L}\varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0),$$

$$\|h(x) - \varepsilon(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{1-L}\varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0),$$

which coincide with the results of [3, Theorem 2.3]. The same arguments can be applied for Theorem 2.5, 3.2, 3.4 and Corollary 2.4, 2.6, 3.3, 3.5 of [3].

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Aoki, "On the stability of the linear transformation in banach spaces," *J. Math. Soc. Japan.*, vol. 2, pp. 64–66, 1950, doi: [10.2969/jmsj/00210064](https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/00210064).
- [2] J. B. Diaz and B. Margolis, "A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space," *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 74, pp. 305–309, 1968.
- [3] A. Ebadian and N. Ghobadipour, "A fixed point approach to almost double derivations and lie *-double derivations," *Results Math.*, vol. 36, pp. 409–423, 2013, doi: [10.1007/s00025-011-0205-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00025-011-0205-y).
- [4] A. Ebadian, I. Nikoufar, T. M. Rassias, and N. Ghobadipour, "Stability of generalized derivations on hilbert c^* -modules associated to a pexiderized cauchy–jensen type functional equation," *Acta Math. Sci.*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 1226–1238, 2012, doi: [10.1016/S0252-9602\(12\)60094-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602(12)60094-0).
- [5] D. H. Hyers, "On the stability of the linear functional equation," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, vol. 27, pp. 222–224, 1941.
- [6] T. M. Rassias, "On the stability of the linear mapping in banach spaces," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 72, pp. 297–300, 1978, doi: [10.1090/S0002-9939-1978-0507327-1](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1978-0507327-1).
- [7] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*. New York: Science Editions, Wiley, 1960.

Author's address

Ismail Nikoufar

Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University, P.O. BOX 19395-3697 Tehran, Iran

E-mail address: nikoufar@pnu.ac.ir