

Miskolc Mathematical Notes Vol. 16 (2015), No. 2, pp. 979–986 HU e-ISSN 1787-2413 DOI: 10.18514/MMN.2015.1013

TG-SUPPLEMENTED MODULES

BERNA KOŞAR AND CELIL NEBIYEV

Received 14 October, 2013

Abstract. In this work, we define tg-supplemented modules and investigate some properties of these modules. We prove that the finite t-sum of tg-supplemented modules is tg-supplemented. We also prove that the homomorphic image of a distributive tg-supplemented module is tg-supplemented. We give some examples separating tg-supplemented modules from supplemented and generalized \oplus -supplemented modules.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16D60; 16D80

Keywords: small submodules, radical, supplemented modules, generalized supplemented modules

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper all rings will be associative with identity and all modules will be unital left modules.

Let R be a ring and M be an R-module. We will denote a submodule K of M by $K \leq M$. Let M be an R-module and $K \leq M$. If T = M for every submodule T of M such that K + T = M, then K is called a *small submodule* of M and denoted by $K \ll M$. Let M be an R-module and $K \leq M$. If there exists a submodule T of M such that K + T = M and $K \cap T = 0$, then K is called a *direct summand* of M and it is denoted by $M = K \oplus T$. For any module M, the intersection of maximal submodules of M is called the *radical* of M and denoted by RadM. If M have no maximal submodules, then we define RadM = M. A module M is called *distributive* [8] if for every submodules K, L, T of $M, K \cap (L+T) = K \cap L + K \cap T$ or equivalently $(K + L) \cap (K + T) = K + L \cap T$. Let U and V be submodules of a module M. If U + V = M and V is minimal with respect to this property, or equivalently, U + V = M and $U \cap V \ll V$, then V is called a *supplement* [10] of U in M. M is called a supplemented module if every submodule of M has a supplement in M. M is called ([5],[6]) \oplus -supplemented module if every submodule of M has a supplement that is a direct summand of M. Let M be an R-module and U, V be submodules of M. V is called a generalized supplement ([1],[9],[11]) of U in M if M = U + V and $U \cap V < RadV$. M is called generalized supplemented or briefly a GS-module if every submodule of M has a generalized supplement in M. Clearly

© 2015 Miskolc University Press

we see that every supplemented module is a generalized supplemented module. M is called a *generalized* \oplus -*supplemented* ([2],[4],[7],[8]) module if every submodule of M has a generalized supplement that is a direct summand of M. In this paper we generalize these modules.

Lemma 1. Let V be a supplement of U in M and $L, K \leq V$. Then K is a supplement of L in V if and only if K is a supplement of U + L in M. ([3], Exercise 20.39)

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let U + L + T = M, for some $T \le K$. Since V is a supplement of U in M and $L + T \le V$, L + T = V and by K being a supplement of L in V, T = K. Hence K is a supplement of U + L in M.

(⇐) Let L + T = V, for some $T \le K$. Then U + L + T = M, and by K being a supplement of U + L in M, T = K. Hence K is a supplement of L in V.

Lemma 2. Let M be a π -projective module and K, L be two submodules of M. If K and L are mutual supplements in M, then $K \cap L = 0$ and $M = K \oplus L$.

Proof. See ([10], 41.14(2)).

2. TG-SUPPLEMENTED MODULES

Definition 1. Let M be an R-module and K, L be two submodules of M. If K and L are mutual supplements in M, then M is called a *t*-sum of K and L. This equivalent to M = K + L, $K \cap L \ll K$ and $K \cap L \ll L$. This case K and L are called *t*-summands of M.

Definition 2. Let *M* be an *R*-module and $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of submodules of *M*. *M* is called a *t*-sum of $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$, if A_k and $\sum_{j \neq k} A_j$ are mutual supplements in *M* for every $k \in I$.

Lemma 3. Let M be an R-module, V be a t-summand of M and $K \leq V$. Then $K \ll M$ if and only if $K \ll V$.

Proof. Clear from ([12], Lemma 1.1).

Lemma 4. Let M be a t-sum of U and V. If K is a supplement of S in U and L is a supplement of T in V, then K + L is a supplement of S + T in M.

Proof. Since U is a supplement of V in M and K is a supplement of S in U, by Lemma 1.1, K is a supplement of V + S in M. Hence $(V + S) \cap K \ll K$. Similarly, we prove that $(U + T) \cap L \ll L$. Then $(S + T) \cap (K + L) \leq (S + T + K) \cap L + (S + T + L) \cap K = (U + T) \cap L + (V + S) \cap K \ll K + L$, and by M = U + V = S + K + T + L = S + T + K + L, K + L is a supplement of S + T in M.

Lemma 5. Let M be a t-sum of U and V, and $L, T \leq V$. Then V is a t-sum of L and T if and only if M is a t-sum of U + L and T, and M is a t-sum of U + T and L.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let V be a t-sum of L and T. Since T is a supplement of L in V and V is a supplement of U in M, then by Lemma 1, T is a supplement of U + L in M. Then $(U+L) \cap T \ll T$. Similarly, we can prove that $(U+T) \cap L \ll L$. Then by $U \cap V \ll U, (U+L) \cap T \leq U \cap (L+T) + L \cap (U+T) = U \cap V + (U+T) \cap$ $L \ll U + L$. Since $(U + L) \cap T \ll T$, $(U + L) \cap T \ll U + L$ and M = U + V =U + L + T, then by Definition 1 M is a t-sum of U + L and T. Similarly, we prove that M is a t-sum of U + T and L.

 (\Leftarrow) Clear from Lemma 1.

Corollary 1. Let M be a t-sum of U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n . If K_i is a supplement of T_i in U_i (i = 1, 2, ..., n), then $K_1 + K_2 + \dots + K_n$ is a supplement of $T_1 + T_2 + \dots + T_n$ in M.

Proof. Clear from Lemma 5.

Corollary 2. Let M be a t-sum of U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n . If U_i is a t-sum of K_i and T_i (i = 1, 2, ..., n), then M is a t-sum of $K_1 + K_2 + \cdots + K_n$ and $T_1 + T_2 + \cdots + T_n$.

Proof. Clear from Corollary 1.

Corollary 3. Let M be a t-sum of U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n . If K_i is a supplement in U_i $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$, then $K_1 + K_2 + \dots + K_n$ is a supplement in M.

Proof. Clear from Corollary 1.

Corollary 4. Let M be a t-sum of U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n . If K_i is a t-summand of U_i (i = 1, 2, ..., n), then $K_1 + K_2 + ... + K_n$ is a t-summand of M.

Proof. Clear from Lemma 5.

Lemma 6. Let M be a distributive R-module and $N \leq M$. Then (K+N)/N is a t-summand of M/N for every t-summand K of M.

Proof. Let K be a t-summand of M. Then there exists a submodule L of M such that M = L + K, $L \cap K \ll L$ and $L \cap K \ll K$. Since M = L + K, then M/N = (L + K)N/N + (K+N)/N. Since M is distributive, then we have $(L+N) \cap (K+N) =$ $L \cap K + N$. Since $L \cap K \ll L$ and $L \cap K \ll K$, then we have $((L+N)/N) \cap ((K+N)/N) \cap ((K+N)/N) \cap ((K+N)/N))$ $N/N = (L \cap K + N)/N \ll (L + N)/N$ and $((L + N)/N) \cap ((K + N)/N) =$ $(L \cap K + N)/N \ll (K + N)/N$. Hence (K + N)/N is a t-summand of M/N.

Theorem 1. Let M be a t-sum of $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$. Then $RadM = \sum_{i \in I} RadA_i$.

Proof. Let $x \in RadM$. Since $x \in M = \sum_{i \in I} A_i$, there exist $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in I$ and $x_{i_1} \in A_{i_1}, x_{i_2} \in A_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_n} \in A_{i_n}$ such that $x = x_{i_1} + x_{i_2} + \dots + x_{i_n}$. Let $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, T \leq A_{i_k}$ and $Rx_{i_k} + T = A_{i_k}$. Let $a \in M$. Since $a \in M =$ $\sum_{i \in I, i \neq i_k} A_i + A_{i_k}$, we can write a = b + c for some $b \in \sum_{i \in I, i \neq i_k} A_i$ and $c \in A_{i_k}$. Since $c \in A_{i_k} = Rx_{i_k} + T$, there exist $r \in R$ and $t \in T$ such that $c = rx_{i_k} + t$. Then $a = b + c = b + rx_{i_k} + t = b + r\left(x - \sum_{s=1, s \neq i_k}^n x_{i_s}\right) + t = rx + b \sum_{s=1, s \neq i_k}^n rx_{i_s} + t \in Rx + \sum_{i \in I, i \neq i_k} A_i + T$. Hence $M = Rx + \sum_{i \in I, i \neq i_k} A_i + T$ and since $Rx \ll M, M = \sum_{i \in I, i \neq i_k} A_i + T$. Since $M = \sum_{i \in I, i \neq i_k} A_i + T$ and M is a t-sum of $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}, T = A_{i_k}$. Thus $Rx_{i_k} \ll A_{i_k}$ and $x_{i_k} \in RadA_{i_k}$. Consequently, $x \in \sum_{i \in I} RadA_i$ and $RadM \leq \sum_{i \in I} RadA_i$. $\sum_{i \in I} RadA_i \leq RadM$ is clear. Thus $RadM = \sum_{i \in I} RadA_i$.

Definition 3. Let M be an R-module. M is called a *tg-supplemented* module if every submodule of M has a generalized supplement that is a t-summand of M. Clearly generalized \oplus -supplemented modules are tg-supplemented. But the converse is not true in general (See Example 4).

We can also clearly see that every supplemented module is tg-supplemented. But the converse of this statement is not always true (See Example 1, 2, 3). Since hollow and local modules are supplemented, they are tg-supplemented modules. Clearly, every tg-supplemented module is generalized supplemented.

Lemma 7. Let M be an R-module. If RadM = M, then M is tg-supplemented.

Proof. Let N be any submodule of M. Since N + M = M and $N \cap M \le M = RadM$, we get that M is a generalized supplement of N in M. On the other hand M and 0 are mutual supplements in M. Hence M is tg-supplemented.

Lemma 8. Let M be a tg-supplemented R-module and $N \ll M$. Then M/N is tg-supplemented.

Proof. Let $U/N \le M/N$. Since *M* is tg-supplemented, *U* has a generalized supplement *V* that is a t-summand in *M*. Then by ([9], the proof of Proposition 2.6), (V+N)/N is a generalized supplement of U/N in M/N. Since *V* is a t-summand of *M*, there exists a submodule *L* of *M* such that *L* and *V* are mutual supplements in *M*. Since *L* is a supplement of *V* in *M* and $N \ll M$, by ([10], 41.1(4)) *L* is a supplement of V + N in *M*. Then by ([10], 41.1(7)) (L + N)/N is a supplement of (V + N)/N in M/N. Similarly, we can prove that (V + N)/N is a supplement of (L + N)/N in M/N. Hence (L + N)/N and (V + N)/N are mutual supplements in M/N. Thus M/N is tg-supplemented. □

Corollary 5. Any small homomorphic image of a tg-supplemented module is tg-supplemented.

982

Proof. Clear from Lemma 8.

Lemma 9. Let M be a tg-supplemented module and $N \le M$. If (K + N)/N is a t-summand of M/N for every t-summant K of M, then M/N is tg-supplemented.

Proof. Let $U/N \le M/N$. Since M is tg-supplemented, U has a generalized supplement K in M such that K is a t-summand of M. Since K is a generalized supplement of U in M and $N \le U$, we can see that (K + N)/N is a generalized supplement in M/N. Since K is a t-summand of M, then by hypothesis (K + N)/N is a t-summand of M/N. Hence every submodule of M/N has a generalized supplement that is a t-summand of M/N, and M/N is tg-supplemented.

Lemma 10. Let M be a distributive tg-supplemented R-module. Then every factor module of M is tg-supplemented.

Proof. Clear from Lemma 6 and Lemma 9.

Corollary 6. Let M be a distributive tg-supplemented R-module. Then every homomorphic image of M is tg-supplemented.

Proof. Clear from Lemma 10.

Lemma 11. Let M be an R-module and $RadM \ll M$. The following assertions are equivalent.

(i) M is supplemented.(ii) M is tg-supplemented.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ Clear from definitions.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ Let $U \le M$. Since M is tg-supplemented, there exists a generalized supplement V of U that is a t-summand of M. Since V is supplement in M, then $V \cap RadM = RadV$. Since $RadM \ll M$, $RadV \ll M$ and, by Lemma 3, $U \cap$

 $V \leq RadV \ll V$. Thus V is a supplement of U in M and M is supplemented. \Box

Corollary 7. Let M be a finitely generated R-module. The following assertions are equivalent.

(i) M is supplemented.

(ii) M is tg-supplemented.

Proof. Since *M* is finitely generated, $RadM \ll M$. Then clearly this assertions is derived from Lemma 11.

Lemma 12. Let M be a t-sum of M_1 and M_2 . If M_1 and M_2 are tg-supplemented, then M is tg-supplemented.

983

Proof. Let $U \leq M$. Since M_1 is tg-supplemented, $(M_2 + U) \cap M_1$ has a generalized supplement X that is a t-summand in M_1 . Since M_2 is tg-supplemented, $(U + X) \cap M_2$ has a generalized supplement Y that is a t-summand in M_2 . Then we get $M = M_1 + M_2 = M_2 + U + X = U + X + Y$ and $U \cap (X + Y) \leq (U + Y) \cap X + (U + X) \cap Y \leq RadX + RadY \leq Rad(X + Y)$. Hence X + Y is a generalized supplement of U in M. Since M is a t-sum of M_1 and M_2 , and X is a t-summand of M_1 , and Y is a t-summand of M_2 , then by Corollary 3, X + Y is a t-summand of M. Thus M is tg-supplemented.

Corollary 8. Let M be a t-sum of $M_1, M_2, ..., M_n$. If M_i is tg-supplemented (i = 1, 2, ..., n), then M is tg-supplemented.

Proof. Clear from Lemma 12.

Example 1. Consider the \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Q} . Since \mathbb{Q} has no maximal submodule, we have $Rad\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}$. By Lemma 2.13, \mathbb{Q} is a tg-supplemented module. But it is well known that \mathbb{Q} is not supplemented (See [3], Example 20.12).

Example 2. Let *M* be a non-torsion \mathbb{Z} -module with RadM = M. Since RadM = M, then by Lemma 2.13, *M* is tg-supplemented. But *M* is not supplemented ([12]).

Example 3. Consider the \mathbb{Z} -module $M = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, for any prime p. In this case $RadM \neq M$. Since \mathbb{Q} and $p\mathbb{Z}$ are tg-supplemented, then by Lemma 12, M is tg-supplemented. But M is not supplemented.

Example 4. Let *R* be a commutative local ring which is not a valuation ring. Let *a* and *b* be elements of *R*, where neither of them divides the other. By taking a suitable quotient ring, we may assume that $(a) \cap (b) = 0$ and am = bm = 0 where *m* is the maximal ideal of *R*. Let *F* be a free *R*-module with generators x_1, x_2 and x_3 , *K* be the submodule generated by $ax_1 - bx_2$ and M = F/K. Thus, $M = \frac{Rx_1 \oplus Rx_2 \oplus Rx_3}{R(ax_1 - bx_2)} = (R\overline{x_1} + R\overline{x_2}) \oplus R\overline{x_3}$. Here *M* is not \oplus -supplemented. But $F = Rx_1 \oplus Rx_2 \oplus Rx_3$ is completely \oplus -supplemented ([5]).

Since *F* is completely \oplus -supplemented, *F* is supplemented. Since a factor module of a supplemented module is supplemented, we have *M* is supplemented. So *M* is tg- supplemented. But since *M* is finitely generated and not \oplus -supplemented, *M* is not generalized \oplus -supplemented.

Lemma 13. Let M be a t-sum of M_1 and M_2 . Then M_2 is tg-supplemented if and only if for every submodule N of M such that $M_1 \le N \le M$, there exists a t-summand K of M_2 such that M = K + N and $N \cap K \le RadM$.

984

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let $M_1 \leq N \leq M$. Since M_2 is tg-supplemented, there exists a generalized supplement K of $N \cap M_2$ in M_2 such that K is a t-summand of M_2 . Then $M = M_1 + M_2 = N + N \cap M_2 + K = K + N$ and $N \cap K = N \cap M_2 \cap K \leq RadK \leq RadM$.

(⇐) Let $L \le M_2$ and $N = M_1 + L$. By hypothesis, there exists a t-summand K of M_2 such that M = K + N and $N \cap K \le RadM$. Since $K, L \le M_2$, by Modular law, $M_2 = M_2 \cap M = M_2 \cap (K+N) = K + M_2 \cap N = K + M_2 \cap (M_1 + L) = L + K + M_2 \cap M_1$, and then by $M_2 \cap M_1 \ll M_2$, $M_2 = L + K$. Since K is a t-summand of M_2 , then by Corollary 3, K is a t-summand of M. Then $RadK = K \cap RadM$ and by $N \cap K \le RadM, L \cap K \le N \cap K = K \cap (N \cap K) \le K \cap RadM = RadK$. Hence K is a generalized supplement of L in M_2 . Thus, M_2 is tg-supplemented. \Box

Theorem 2. Let M be a tg-supplemented module. Assume that M is a t-sum of M_1 and M_2 . If $K \cap M_2$ is a t-summand of M_2 for every t-summand K of M such that $M = K + M_2$, then M_2 is tg-supplemented.

Proof. Let $M_1 \le N \le M$. Since M is tg-supplemented, $N \cap M_2$ has a generalized supplement K in M such that K is a t-summand of M. From this we have $M = N \cap M_2 + K$ and $N \cap M_2 \cap K \le RadK \le RadM$. Since $M = N \cap M_2 + K$, then by Modular law $M_2 = N \cap M_2 + M_2 \cap K$. Since $M_1 \le N$, $M = M_1 + M_2 = M_1 + N \cap M_2 + M_2 \cap K = N + M_2 \cap K$. Since $M = K + M_2$ and K is a t-summand of M, then by hypothesis $M_2 \cap K$ is a t-summant of M_2 . Hence by Lemma 13, M_2 is tg-supplemented.

Lemma 14. Let M be a π -projective module. Then M is tg-supplemented if and only if M is generalized \oplus -supplemented.

Proof. Clear from Lemma 2.

Theorem 3. Let *M* be a projective module. The following assertions are equivalent.

(i) M is semiperfect.
(ii) M is generalized ⊕-supplemented.
(iii) M is tg-supplemented.

Proof. (*i*) \Leftrightarrow (*ii*) Clear from ([10], 42.1). (*ii*) \Leftrightarrow (*iii*) Clear from Lemma 14.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the referees for their valuable suggestions and comments.

BERNA KOŞAR AND CELIL NEBIYEV

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Büyükaşık and C. Lomp, "On a recent generalization of semiperfect rings," *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, vol. 78, no. 2, pp. 317–325, 2008.
- [2] H. Çalışıcıand E. Türkmen, "Generalized ⊕-supplemented modules," Algebra and Discrete Mathematics, vol. 10, pp. 10–18, 2010.
- [3] J. Clark, C. Lomp, N. Vanaja, and W. R., *Lifting Modules Supplements and Projectivity in Module Theory*. Basel Boston: Birkhäuser Verlag, 2006.
- [4] Ş. Ecevit, M. Koşan, and R. Tribak, "Rad-⊕-supplemented modules and cofinitely rad-⊕-supplemented modules," *Algebra Colloquium*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 637–648, 2012, doi: 10.1142/S1005386712000508.
- [5] A. Idelhadj and R. Tribak, "On some properties of ⊕-supplemented modules," Int. J. Math. Sci., vol. 69, pp. 4373–4387, 2003, doi: 10.1155/S016117120320346X.
- [6] S. H. Mohamed and B. J. Müller, *Continuous and discrete modules*. Cambridge New York: Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [7] Y. Talebi, A. R. M. Hamzekolaei, and D. K. Tütüncü, "On rad-⊕-supplemented modules," *Hadronic Journal*, vol. 32, pp. 505–512, 2009.
- [8] Y. Talebi and A. Mahmoudi, "On rad-⊕-supplemented modules," *Thai Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 373–381, 2011.
- [9] Y. Wang and N. Ding, "Generalized supplemented modules," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 1589–1601, 2006.
- [10] R. Wisbauer, Foundations of Module and Ring Theory. Philadelphia: Gordon and Breach, 1991.
- [11] W. Xue, "Characterizations of semiperfect and perfect rings," *Publications Matematiques*, vol. 40, pp. 115–125, 1996, doi: 10.5565/PUBLMAT'40196'08.
- [12] H. Zöschinger, "Komplementierte moduln Über dedekindringen," J. Algebra, vol. 29, pp. 42– 56, 1974, doi: 10.1016/0021-8693(74)90109-4.

Authors' addresses

Berna Koşar

Ondokuz Mayıs University, Department of Mathematics, Kurupelit-Atakum-Samsun/Türkiye-Turkey *E-mail address:* bernak@omu.edu.tr, berna_caglayan@hotmail.com

Celil Nebiyev

Ondokuz Mayıs University, Department of Mathematics, Kurupelit-Atakum-Samsun/Türkiye-Turkey *E-mail address:* cnebiyev@omu.edu.tr