Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Findlay-King, Lindsay, Nichols, Geoff, Forbes, Deb and MacFadyen, Gordon (2017) Watching the pennies and the people – how volunteer led sport facilities have cut costs and improved the quality of service for local communities. In: UK Sport Development Network Conference, 17 November 2017, Plymouth.

URL:

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/33017/

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)

www.northumbria.ac.uk/nrl



Watching the pennies and the people – how volunteer led sport facilities have cut costs and improved the quality of service for local communities

UKSDN, November 2017

Dr Lindsay Findlay-King (Northumbria), Dr Geoff Nichols (Sheffield), Dr. Deb Forbes (Newcastle) Gordon Macfadyen (Northumbria) lindsay.findlay-king@northumbria.ac.uk









Overview

- What is asset transfer and the current picture among sport facilities in the UK?
- Summary of the previous research on social entrepreneurial benefits of large leisure trusts
- Our research question
- What methods did we use?
- What has changed within these facilities?
 - Cost reduction
 - Enterprise and innovation
 - Why have these changes taken place?
- Conclusions and questions raised









Recent Asset Transfer in SPORT

- Swimming pools and sport/leisure centres.
- Also happening with libraries.
- Volunteers plan and execute the transfer to truststatus themselves.
- Take roles of governance and delivery afterwards.
- An alternative to local government closing nonstatutory services as a consequence of reduced funding.









Ecclesfield Library is about to become independently run by the community.

create exciting possibilities for the library and you, with lots of new opportunities to safeguard and develop this valuable community resource.

We need to act quickly to take advantage of these opportunities!

We would like to hear YOUR ideas and wishes for future activities in the building!

Why not drop in at one of our meetings and find out more about the development of our library?

Entertainment by Raymond Greenoaken Storyteller (Children Welcome)

FREE REFRESHMENTS

TUESDAY 29th APRIL 2014 9am - 11am

WEDNESDAY 30th APRIL 2014 7pm - 9pm

library.ecclesfieldgroups.com (0114) 245 0200



FRIENDS OF

Tadcaster swimming pool an example of the Big Society at work

ESTABLISHED 1907

COMMUNITY LED SINCE 2019



Volunteers' efforts sees gymnastics facility reopen

news@durherntimes.co.uk

OVER 25 years, Deerness Gymnastics Club has produced more than 80 international gymmasts and brought home more than 60 world and European medals winning four world and five European titles.

And all this came out of the small village of Ushaw Moor, in County Durham.

Coaches, parents and gymnasts alike were devastated, therefore, when, earlier this year, Durham County Council announced plans to close its base, Deerness leisure centre, in a bid to cut costs.

However, volunteers have put together a successful rescue package and will re-open the centre as a gymnastics academy

on Monday Karl Wharton, the academy's director of gymnastics, said: "It's our aim to run it as a gymnastics community facility where we cater for everything from mothers, toddlers and babtes through to birts-level international pery

IN TRAINING: Gymnasts at the academy

formers and old age pensioners. who want to come along and do something gymnastics-related just to keep fit.

This facility was identified as an Olympic training venue two or three years ago and it's immensely important for British gymnastics.

We were all devastated be cause we never expected that decision would be made - to close a high-performance cen-

we decided there was no way we would let it go. Parents and helpers have come on hoard and we now have 150 volunteers who want to keep it open as a true community facility."

Jessie Heskett, 17, who is part of the current British champions senior men's four, trains at the centre five times a week, for four hours at a time.

"It was quite disappointing to hear about the closure. There was panic. But the plans are very exciting. It gives us a lot more freedom and flexibility.

Durham County Council-run leisure centres in Crook and Ferryhill will close tomorrow, although community groups are still fighting to prevent them being demodished. Centres in Sherburn and Cox-

hoe will reopen as community run facilities in coming months. while the council is retaining Pity Me's facility on reduced

For more information on Deerness Gymnastics Academy. visit deernessevmnasties.org.uk











Previous research on impact of leisure trusts (Simmons, 2004 and 2008, Reid, 2003)

- More attentive management/managerialist approach/business like fashion
- increased income and reduced expenditure
- greater customer orientation (although service improvements were minor)
- culture change greater autonomy, flexibility, need to be competitive, greater sense of responsibility of staff









Previous research on impact of leisure trusts (Reid, 2003)

- Improved financial performance exemption from non-domestic rates only?
- Limitations to realise benefits financial reliance on local authority grants, requirements to use Council services, and the local authority not fully realising the Trusts legitimacy as a service provider.
- Criticism of the concept of a 'third way':

'what matters is what works' or 'what works for whom?'.









Previous research on small trusts

- Increase in trading income, culture of enterprise, alternative income streams. (Fenwick and Gibbon, 2015)
- entrepreneurial innovation, greater risk taking, alternative non-sport services, attraction of nonsport funding and partnership working, sustainable niches in under-served segments of the market key holder system for clubs independent use (Reid, 2016)









Research questions

- Have the facilities become more competitive by reducing costs?
- Have the facilities been able to be more enterprising and innovative in their offer to the customer?
- Why have these changes taken place?









Methods

- Semi-structured interviews with managers and volunteers (sometimes the same person);
- In 8 sport facilities (research also conducted in libraries)
- Mainly facilities transferred from local government to volunteer control;
- One facility built by the community, and one facility in an authority which developed volunteers to work alongside employees;
- All interviews conducted 2014-15.









Facility	Overview		
Sport facility A	A centre which houses a fitness suite , swimming pool , steam room and space for community events , meetings and fitness classes . Established in 1903, community led since 2013.		
Sport facility B	Centre of gymnasiums, dance studio, activity and fitness rooms. Transferred in 2011 to a sports club which specialises in acrobatic gymnastics and tumbling. The facility is operated by a limited company (some members of the club) and the club is a charity.		
Sport facility C	Council pool and gym, closed in 1991, reopened by Friends of the Pool, became a charity with limited guarantee, in 1992.		
Sport facility D	A group of facilities - pool, leisure centre and gym. Under the management remit of a charitable trust, since 2005.		
Sport facility E	A small swimming pool; a charitable Trust, formed in 1993 to run the pool.		
Sport facility F	The trust are a company limited by guarantee, created to take over the management of a Pool in 2007.		
Sport facility G	A community swimming pool , the pool operates as a charity. Run by volunteers since 2012.		
Sport facility H	Purpose-built community asset, opened in 1994. Two pools, fitness suites and small social area . Trust is a		

registered charity, limited by guarantee.

Sport facility H

Example - Bramley Baths, Leeds



- A small swimming pool and leisure centre outskirts of Leeds built in 1903. Used by local residents and schools for swimming lessons.
- Opening hours reduced in September 2011 as part of a spending review by Leeds City Council.
- Local community group established to support the pool in February 2011 and reopened in January 2013 as an Industrial Provident Society on a 25-year lease from the Council.
- Houses a fitness suite, swimming pool, steam room and space for community events, meetings and fitness classes.
- The trustees are volunteers, and both paid workers and volunteers manage the pool and deliver the service.









Legal Status and Volunteer Involvement

Governance Delivery	Governance By Paid Staff	Governance by Paid Staff and Volunteers	Governance by Volunteers
Delivery By Paid Staff		•Facility E	•Facility G •Facility D
Delivery by Paid Staff and Volunteers		•Facility C •Facility H	 Facility A Facility F Facility B (from 2nd year)
Delivery by Volunteers			•Facility B (during 1 st year)









Findings: Cost reduction

- Financial support: excellent LA support business planning and technical training, rate relief, favourable leases, grants, liability protection, TUPEE (re-deployment?)
- Attention to detail: Close watch on each cost item (e.g. renegotiated utility bills).
- Volunteer job replacement: Effective use of volunteers
- Paid staff changes inter-changeable between roles and multi-tasking reduce costs of specialists/change to terms and conditions
- Grants and fundraising
- Building change and refurbishment.









Findings: Enterprise and Innovation

Customer orientation: build services around customer needs not staff constraints, communication with users, sensitive and responsive to consumer needs (volunteer market info. system).

Programme development: staff created programme ideas to fill low use times, contrasting to how in the past they were not concerned about customer levels

Change in services: re-assessment of space use and programming to improve existing services and develop new service, including non-sports ones

"...essentially there are good things about running a relatively small organisation because you've got people on the Board that are from the community, the staff all live locally and they've got good links into the community so you can make decisions based on the local offering or what locals want.." (Sport Facility D)

"I think it has changed the community's perceptions about what a swimming pool could be or what a leisure centre could be or what it could be used for. Erm, when X City Council had it, it was just the very minimum..." (Sport Facility A)









Findings: Why have these changes taken place?

Entrepreneurialism: driven by the need to compete to survive; shorter chain of command; free from corporate (LA) 'shackles' & political interference;

Ownership: greater sense of responsibility for success and growth of community ownership (whether volunteers or paid staff).

Focus: focus on single/smaller number of services (e.g. the pool) rather than a larger or several leisure centres.

"We looked at our pricing and we thought we can change things, the next day change the programme, change that, you didn't have to put a report in to account for something, it was a bureaucracy of the local authority then which slowed everything down." (Sport facility C)

"We know each other's roles so rather than having the mentality that's not my role, I'm not going to do anything, we have the mentality of well I can do that or they can do that and we try to cover each other's roles really to try to offer the best service to the customers, you know customer-service wise but also offer it staff-wise as we can."

(Sport facility H)









Conclusions and Questions Raised

- Overall benefits from 'small scale' community management:
 - Cost reduction, but dependent on LA financial support in the form of peppercorn rents, rate relief etc.
 - Flexible and responsive management improving the offer to customers, thus increasing revenue. Together these will improve economic sustainability.
 - Attention to both can be better in the smaller leisure trust
- However
- smaller size of the new trusts and the far greater role of key volunteers means they are more vulnerable
- Replacement or less favourable conditions for employees
- Marginalisation of some classes from volunteer and customer groups
- Limitations: sample size exploratory.
- Need to look further at:
 - National picture;
 - Those that failed to transfer or failed after transfer;
 - 'What works for whom?'
 - Local government and supporting body perspectives.









References

Fenwick, J., & Gibbon, J. (2016) ,Localism and the third sector: New relationships of public service.' *Public Policy and Administration*, 31, 221–240.

Reid, G. (2003) 'Charitable trusts: municipal leisure's "third way'?' Managing Leisure 8:4, 171-183.

Reid, G. (2016) 'The Politics of Sport and Social Enterprise' in Balmer, A, Kelly, J. & Lee, J.W. (eds) Routledge Handbook of Sport and Politics, Taylor and Francis, London.

Simmons, R. (2004) A trend to trust? The rise of new leisure trusts in the UK, Managing Leisure, 9:3, 159-177

Simmons, R. (2008) 'Harnessing Social Enterprise for Local Public Services.' *Public Policy and Administration* 23:3, 278-301.









Our related research

Findlay-King, L., Nichols, G., Forbes, D., Macfadyen, G. (2017) Localism and the Big Society: the asset transfer of leisure centres and libraries – fighting closures or empowering communities?, *Leisure Studies*, http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0261436 7.2017.1285954

Forbes, D., Findlay-King, L., Macfadyen, G. & Nichols, G. (2017) From public to volunteer library provision in the UK: lesson to be learnt – one size does not fit all, *Voluntary Sector Review*, https://doi.org/10.1332/204080517X15072789482055

Nichols, G., Forbes, D., Findlay-King, L. & Macfadyen, G. (2015) Voluntary Transfer - Research Hub. CIMSPA

http://www.cimspa.co.uk/en/information/voluntary-transfer--research-hub/index.cfm

Nichols, G, Forbes, D, Findlay-King, L, Macfadyen, G, (2015) Is the asset transfer of public leisure facilities in England an example of associative democracy?, *Administrative Sciences*, 5, 2, 71–87.









ANY QUESTIONS?







