doi 10.15171/EHEM.2017.31

Environmental Health Engineering and Management Journal 2017, 4(4), 225–231 http://ehemj.com

HE Environmental Health Engineering and Management Journal

Open Access

Original Article





# Predicting arsenic and heavy metals contamination in groundwater resources of Ghahavand plain based on an artificial neural network optimized by imperialist competitive algorithm

## Meysam Alizamir<sup>1\*</sup>, Soheil Sobhanardakani<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Young Researchers & Elite Club, Hamedan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Hamedan, Iran <sup>2</sup>Department of the Environment, School of Basic Sciences, Hamedan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Hamedan, Iran

#### Abstract

**Background:** The effects of trace elements on human health and the environment gives importance to the analysis of heavy metals contamination in environmental samples and, more particularly, human food sources. Therefore, the current study aimed to predict arsenic and heavy metals (Cu, Pb, and Zn) contamination in the groundwater resources of Ghahavand Plain based on an artificial neural network (ANN) optimized by imperialist competitive algorithm (ICA).

**Methods:** This study presents a new method for predicting heavy metal concentrations in the groundwater resources of Ghahavand plain based on ANN and ICA. The developed approaches were trained using 75% of the data to obtain the optimum coefficients and then tested using 25% of the data. Two statistical indicators, the coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) and the root-mean-square error (RMSE), were employed to evaluate model performance. A comparison of the performances of the ICA-ANN and ANN models revealed the superiority of the new model. Results of this study demonstrate that heavy metal concentrations can be reliably predicted by applying the new approach.

**Results:** Results from different statistical indicators during the training and validation periods indicate that the best performance can be obtained with the ANN-ICA model.

**Conclusion:** This method can be employed effectively to predict heavy metal concentrations in the groundwater resources of Ghahavand plain.

Keywords: Neural networks (computer), Groundwater, Models, Algorithms, Trace elements

**Citation:** Alizamir M, Sobhanardakani S. Predicting arsenic and heavy metals contamination in groundwater resources of Ghahavand plain based on an artificial neural network optimized by imperialist competitive algorithm. Environmental Health Engineering and Management Journal 2017; 4(4): 225–231. doi: 10.15171/EHEM.2017.31.

Article History: Received: 13 June 2017 Accepted: 21 September 2017 ePublished: 14 October 2017

\*Correspondence to: Meysam Alizamir Email: meysamalizamir@gmail.com

# Introduction

Environmental pollutants, especially toxic heavy metals, can discharge into natural cycles, (e.g., soil, water, and air) through urbanization, industrialization, agriculture, mining, and exploitation of natural resources (1). Many elements such as Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, and Zn are essential for human life and play major roles in health in low concentrations, but they can be toxic at high levels. Others, including As, Cd, Cr, Hg, Pb, and Sn, have no known essential function in living organisms and are toxic even at low concentrations. Heavy metals can cause serious adverse health effects in humans; thus, they are known as the most dangerous pollutants (2-6).

Surface and groundwater resources are important for human life and for economic development (1). More than

50% of the world's population depends on groundwater resources for drinking, agriculture, and for general survival (7,8). Therefore, the contamination of groundwater by toxic heavy metals is a serious global environmental problem.

Arsenic is a widely distributed metalloid that is also a carcinogen for humans, even at low levels of exposure (9). The combustion of fossil fuels, smelting of non-ferrous metals, and use of arsenical pesticides in agriculture are the main sources of this element in the environment (10). Some foods, such as vegetables, fruits, nuts, red meat, and shellfish, are known as sources of copper. Although Cu can play a critical role in various biochemical processes (11), a constant diet of this element results in the dissolution of the barrier that keeps undesirable toxins from entering

<sup>© 2017</sup> The Author(s). Published by Kerman University of Medical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

the brain. Critical doses of this element can cause adverse health effects such as fatigue, hair loss, inflammation of brain tissues, panic attacks, premenstrual syndrome, anorexia, allergies, liver and kidney dysfunction, and also cancer (12).

Poor reproductive capacity, blood pressure, impaired organ function, tumors, and hepatic abnormalities are known symptoms of chronic exposure to lead (13). Lead can also affect brain activity by interfering with synaptogenesis and neurotransmitter release. It has been proven that the consumption of Pb-contaminated food can cause adverse effects on human health, such as a reduction in IQ, learning disabilities, kidney failure, hyperactivity, slow growth, impaired hearing, and antisocial behaviors (9,14). Zinc is known as an essential element in biological systems because of its role in catalyzing reactions and the reversible changes in the oxidation state of metal ions. It should be noted that exposure to high levels of Zn can cause disruptions in some physiological activities, particularly breathing (15,16).

In recent years, different artificial neural network (ANN) approaches have been successfully applied in a large number of studies on forecasting water resources problems because of their ability to model nonlinear systems (17-20). Nor et al (21) developed ANN-based models for estimating nitrate and sulfate in water sources. Their results showed the good accuracy of ANN models. Mandal et al (22) presented an ANN model based on a backpropagation (BP) training algorithm (ANN-BP) for predicting removal efficiency. Their results showed that the ANN-BP can predict adsorption efficiency with acceptable accuracy. Keskin et al (23) investigated the applicability of ANN models for predicting water pollution sources in several areas of Turkey. They found that the ANN model can yield acceptable results. Hossain and Piantanakulchai (24) proposed a model based on GIS and the classification tree method to predict groundwater arsenic contamination risk. They demonstrated that the proposed model can effectively forecast the degree of As accumulation in groundwater with acceptable accuracy. Alizamir and Sobhanardakani (19) applied ANNs to forecast As, Pb and Zn concentrations in the groundwater resources of Asadabad plain. Their results showed the feasibility of ANNs in modeling the concentrations of heavy metals. Alizamir et al (20) applied two ANNs (MLP and RBF) to estimate heavy metals concentrations in the Asadabad plain. As demonstrated in their study, the MLP model offered better results than the single RBF model. In the current study, an ANN with two training algorithms was proposed for the prediction of heavy metal concentrations in the groundwater resources of Ghahavand plain. Artificial intelligence models are modeling tools that can identify statistical relationships between the input and output parameters of a complex system. This study introduces a model for predicting heavy metal concentrations using an ANN with imperialist

competitive algorithm (ICA) and Levenberg-Marquardt (LM).

# Methods

# Study area

Ghahavand plain with an area of 2360 km<sup>2</sup> is located in Hamadan province, western Iran. Drinking water for residents of this plain is supplied by 1788 wells, 104 springs, and 96 aqueducts (25,26).

# Sample collection

Based on Cochran's formula, a total of 60 groundwater samples were collected from 20 different wells under exploitation in the study area, including agricultural and residential regions. The locations of groundwater sampling stations are presented in Figure 1.

# Sample preparation and analysis

In the current study, groundwater samples were taken according to the method introduced by Sobhanardakani et al (1). Then they were filtered with Whatman No. 42, preserved with 65% nitric acid (Merck, Germany), and kept at 4°C for further analysis (1,27). Finally, the concentrations of arsenic and heavy metals (Cu, Pb, and Zn) in groundwater samples were determined using an inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometer at the wavelengths of 188.980 nm for As, 324.754 nm for Cu, 220.353 nm for Pb, and 206.200 nm for Zn (710-ES, Varian, Australia).

# Artificial neural network

ANNs can describe nonlinear and complex relationships using a part of the input and output training patterns from the dataset. These approaches establish a non-linear relationship between inputs and outputs (28). An ANN can be demonstrated based on architecture that shows the



Figure 1. Map of sampling stations.

connection pattern between nodes, connection weights method determination, and the activation function (29). Because of their ability to learn a system's dynamics from data, ANNs are able to solve large-scale complex problems (30,31). The most commonly used neural network architecture is the feed-forward neural network (FFNN). The structure of a three-layered FFNN is based on some neurons in each layer and elements which link them (30). The training of a network is based on the optimization process for weights to obtain the appropriate weights to minimize errors; this process continues until the values of the output layer are as close as possible to the actual outputs (28). In this study, the LM training algorithm was utilized to tune the weights (29,32). Figure 2 shows the feed-forward network for this study, having one hidden layer with several nodes between the input and output layers.

#### Imperialist competitive algorithm

The ICA was proposed by Atashpaz-Gargari and Lucas (33) as a novel optimization algorithm. This algorithm was inspired by imperialistic competition. Like other evolutionary algorithms, it starts with an initial population. In concept of this approach, population individuals are called countries and are in two types: colonies and imperialists. All together, they form empires (33). In competition with each other, powerful empires obtain new colonies, and weak ones collapse. At the end of the algorithm, only the most powerful imperialist exists, and all the countries are colonies of the strongest empire. These colonies have the same position and cost as the imperialist. The ICA was applied in several benchmark problems and it revealed reliability in the optimization of different cost functions. A flowchart of the ICA is presented in Figure 3.

#### Model performance evaluation

The following statistical indicators were selected in the performance evaluation ANN models: 1) root-mean-square error (RMSE) (Eq. 1)







2) Pearson correlation coefficient (r) (Eq. 2)

$$\mathbf{r} = \frac{n\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{O}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{P}_{i}\right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{O}_{i}\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{P}_{i}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(n\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{O}_{i}^{2} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{O}_{i}\right)^{2}\right) \cdot \left(n\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{P}_{i}^{2} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{P}_{i}\right)^{2}\right)}}$$
(2)

3) Coefficient of determination (R<sup>2</sup>) (Eq. 3)

$$R^{2} = \frac{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(O_{i} - \overline{O_{i}}\right) \cdot \left(P_{i} - \overline{P_{i}}\right)\right]^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(O_{i} - \overline{O_{i}}\right) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(P_{i} - \overline{P_{i}}\right)}$$
(3)

where *n* is the total number of data, and  $P_i$  and  $O_i$  are the heavy metal concentrations predicted by the ANN methods and measured values, respectively.

#### Results

Descriptive statistics of elements content ( $\mu$ g/L) in groundwater samples collected from Ghahavand plain are indicated in Table 1. The average levels of As, Cu, Pb, and Zn in groundwater samples were 8.26 ± 1.09  $\mu$ g/L, 9.25 ± 0.06  $\mu$ g/L, 2.57 ± 0.30  $\mu$ g/L, and 10.41 ± 4.68  $\mu$ g/L, respectively. The results of statistical analysis (one sample *t* test) showed that the mean concentrations of analyzed elements were lower than the maximum permissible limits ( $\mu$ g/L) (100.0, 200.0, 100.0, and 2000.0 for As, Cu, Pb, and Zn, respectively) established by the World Health Organization (WHO) (25).

Neural networks have been successfully applied in different fields for environmental problems. In the present study, the same training and testing data sets were employed for the development of ANN-ICA and ANN-LM models. The collected data was divided into training and testing parts (80% and 20%, respectively). Since there is no criteria in ANN modeling to tell how many hidden nodes are needed, selecting the optimum number of hidden nodes is a difficult task. Here, a three-layer MLP with one hidden layer and the trial and error procedure were applied to select the number of hidden nodes (32,34). Sigmoid and linear functions were employed for the hidden and output node activation functions, respectively. For all heavy metal concentrations, the ANN models were first trained using the data in the training sets to obtain the optimized set of learning coefficients and then tested. RMSE, determination coefficients (R<sup>2</sup>), and Pearson

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of metals contents ( $\mu g/L)$  in groundwater resources of Ghahavand plain

Element	Min.	Max.	Mean	SD	
As	2.25	17.16	8.26	1.09	
Cu	1.10	20.08	9.25	0.06	
Pb	0.05	13.68	2.57	0.30	
Zn	0.74	32.50	10.41	4.68	



Figure 3. Flowchart of the ICA algorithm (33).

correlation coefficients (r) were used as evaluation criteria. For the ANN simulations, program codes were written in MATLAB software.

## Discussion

To demonstrate the merits of the proposed ANN-ICA approach, the prediction accuracy of the model was compared to the prediction accuracy of the ANN-LM method, which was used as the benchmark. Table 2 presents a numerical comparison of the ANN-ICA and the ANN-LM models in terms of three statistical indicators: the RMSE, the model coefficient of determination (R<sup>2</sup>), and the Pearson correlation coefficient (r). In terms of RMSE, the ANN-ICA model showed itself to be better than

the ANN-LM model in both the training and the testing periods as shown in Table 2. Comparisons of the model efficiency statistic (R<sup>2</sup>) between the ANN-ICA model and the ANN-LM model, presented in Table 2, revealed that the ANN-ICA model outperformed the ANN-LM model in both the training period and the testing period. In the testing period, the ANN-LM model efficiency was less than 90%, while the ANN-ICA model efficiency was 90%, which is a significant improvement over the ANN-LM model results.

A graphical performance comparison of the ANN-ICA and ANN-LM models is presented in Figures 4-11 as scatterplots of simulated versus observed As, Cu, Pb, and Zn concentrations. In Figures 4-11, the left columns

Table 2. Comparative performance of ANNs for As, Cu, Pb, and Zn concentrations

Heavy metal concentration	Methods	Training			Training		
		RMSE	r	R <sup>2</sup>	RMSE	r	R <sup>2</sup>
As	ANN-ICA	0.263	0.995	0.992	0.972	0.964	0.930
	ANN-LM	0.970	0.944	0.892	1.810	0.872	0.762
Cu	ANN-ICA	0.899	0.973	0.947	2.071	0.949	0.901
	ANN-LM	1.640	0.908	0.825	3.074	0.896	0.804
Pb	ANN-ICA	0.780	0.961	0.924	0.192	0.950	0.903
	ANN-LM	1.002	0.933	0.872	0.441	0.860	0.741
Zn	ANN-ICA	2.358	0.961	0.925	3.798	0.953	0.909
	ANN-LM	3.621	0.907	0.823	4.167	0.928	0.862

represent the models' results for the model training period, and the right columns show the corresponding results for the testing period. For the heavy metal As, the estimations of the two models are presented in Figures 4 and 5 in the form of scatterplots. It is seen from the scatterplots that the ANN-ICA estimations are closer to the corresponding observed heavy metal concentrations than those of the ANN-LM approach. As seen in the figures, the ANN-ICA model had a higher R<sup>2</sup> value (0.93) than the ANN-LM approach. The Cu and Pb concentrations observed and estimated using the ANN-ICA model are shown in Figs. 6 and 8, respectively. As can be seen from Table 1, the ANN-ICA model had a lower RMSE (2.0712) and higher  $R^2$  (0.901) in the testing period for Cu concentration. For Pb concentration, the RMSE (0.1928) was lowest with the ANN-ICA model. Here also, the ANN-ICA model performed better than the ANN-LM model. Both the ANN-ICA and ANN-LM models estimated the Zn concentration in the testing period very closely. The



Figure 4. Observed and simulated As concentrations by ANN-ICA model during training and testing phases.



**Figure 5.** Observed and simulated As concentrations by ANN-LM model during training and testing phases.



Figure 6. Observed and simulated Cu concentrations by ANN-ICA model during training and testing phases.



Figure 7. Observed and simulated Cu concentrations by ANN-LM model during training and testing phases.



Figure 8. Observed and simulated Pb concentrations by ANN-ICA model during training and testing phases.



Figure 9. Observed and simulated Pb concentrations by ANN-LM model during training and testing phases.

ANN-ICA model gave a lower RMSE (3.7986) and higher  $R^2$  (0.909) for the Zn concentration.

Overall, Figures 10 and 11 further emphasize the better performance of the ANN-ICA model over the ANN-LM model. It can be seen from Table 2 that the *r* values obtained while training and testing the ANN-ICA model were 0.995 and 0.964, respectively, which shows the acceptable forecasting performance of the ANN-ICA model. On the other hand, the *r* computed when testing the ANN-LM model was 0.872, which supports the higher capability of the ANN-ICA model for forecasting As concentrations. Table 2 also indicates that the ANN-ICA model had the highest *r* values for other heavy metals.

It can be seen from the scatterplots that in both the model training phase and the model testing phase, the ANN-ICA simulated data show more agreement with the observed data than the ANN-LM simulated data for all heavy metal concentrations. The ANN-ICA model scatterplots have less spread than the scatterplots for the ANN-LM



Figure 10. Observed and simulated Zn concentrations by ANN-ICA model during training and testing phases.



Figure 11. Observed and simulated Zn concentrations by ANN-LM model during training and testing phases.

model. The results prove the effectiveness, robustness, and compatibility of the ICA-ANN model.

The application of ANN models has been investigated by other researchers. For example, Hosseini and Mahjouri (35) applied fuzzy neural network-based support vector regression (FNN-SVR) and ANN (ANN) models to predict nitrate concentrations in the groundwater of Karaj aquifer. They obtained an  $R^2$  of 0.71 from the FNN-SVR. Gholami et al (36) estimated groundwater quality using ANN and GIS at the Mazandaran plain of Iran. The optimal ANN model provided an  $R^2$  of 0.73.

#### Conclusion

In the current study, the long-term changes in trends of heavy metal levels (As, Cu, Pb, and Zn) in groundwater resources of Ghahavand plain were estimated using an ANN with ICA and LM. Observations collected in the Ghahavand plain were used for model training and testing. Four predictive models for As, Pb, Cu, and Zn were created using the ANN-ICA approach. The ANN-ICA and ANN-LM methods were compared to assess prediction accuracy. The results, measured in terms of RMSE, r, and  $R^2$ , revealed that the ANN-ICA model was superior to the ANN-LM model. Heavy metal concentrations can be estimated from easily available data using the ANN-ICA technique. The proposed ANN-ICA approach can be implemented for forecasting heavy metal concentrations in groundwater resources data in environmental modelling studies.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the Hamedan Branch, Islamic

Azad University for providing the facilities necessary to conduct and complete this study.

#### **Ethical issues**

There were no ethical issues in the writing of this article.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors affirm that this article is their original work, and they have no conflicts of interest to declare.

## Authors' contributions

All authors were involved in all stages of the study.

#### References

- Sobhanardakani S, Taghavi L, Shahmoradi B, Jahangard A. Groundwater quality assessment using the water quality pollution indices in Toyserkan Plain. Environmental Health Engineering and Management Journal 2017; 4(1): 21-7. doi: 10.15171/ehem.2017.04.
- Tuzen M, Soylak M. Trace heavy metal levels in microwave digested honey samples from Middle Anatolia, Turkey. J Food Drug Anal 2005; 13(4): 342-7.
- Yalcin MG, Aydin O, Elhatip H. Heavy metal contents and the water quality of Karasu Creek in Nigde, Turkey. Environ Monit Assess 2008; 137(1-3): 169-78. doi: 10.1007/s10661-007-9737-8.
- Hague T, Petroczi A, Andrews PL, Barker J, Naughton DP. Determination of metal ion content of beverages and estimation of target hazard quotients: a comparative study. Chem Cent J 2008; 2: 13. doi: 10.1186/1752-153x-2-13.
- Hosseini SV, Aflaki F, Sobhanardakani S, Tayebi L, Lashkan AB, Regenstein JM. Analysis of mercury, selenium, and tin concentrations in canned fish marketed in Iran. Environ Monit Assess 2013; 185(8): 6407-12. doi: 10.1007/s10661-012-3033-y.
- Iwegbue CMA, Nwozo SO, Overah CL, Ossai EK, Mkpado CI, Osazuwa O, et al. Concentrations of selected metals in chicken eggs from commercial farms in Southern Nigeria. Toxicol Environ Chem 2012; 94(6): 1152-63. doi: 10.1080/02772248.2012.693492.
- Rajankar PN, Gulhane SR, Tambekar DH, Ramteke DS, Wate SR. Water quality assessment of groundwater resources in Nagpur Region (India) Based on WQI. E-Journal of Chemistry 2009; 6(3): 905-8. doi: 10.1155/2009/971242.
- Reza R, Singh G, Jain MK. Application of heavy metal pollution index for ground water quality assessment in Angul District of Orassia, India. Int J Res Chem Environ 2011; 1(2): 118-22.
- Sobhanardakani S, Talebiani S, Maanijou M. Evaluation of As, Zn, Pb and Cu concentrations in groundwater resources of Toyserkan Plain and preparing the zoning map using GIS. Journal of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences 2014; 24(114): 120-9. [In Persian].
- Jarup L. Hazards of heavy metal contamination. Br Med Bull 2003; 68(1): 167-82. doi: 10.1093/bmb/ldg032.
- Saracoglu S, Tuzen M, Soylak M. Evaluation of trace element contents of dried apricot samples from Turkey. J Hazard Mater 2009; 167(1-3): 647-52. doi: 10.1016/j. jhazmat.2009.01.011.
- 12. Ackah M, Anim AK, Zakaria N, Osei J, Saah-Nyarko E, Gyamfi ET, et al. Determination of some heavy metal

levels in soft drinks on the Ghanaian market using atomic absorption spectrometry method. Environ Monit Assess 2014; 186(12): 8499-507. doi: 10.1007/s10661-014-4019-8.

- Abou-Arab AA, Ayesh AM, Amra HA, Naguib K. Characteristic levels of some pesticides and heavy metals in imported fish. Food Chem 1996; 57(4): 487-92. doi: 10.1016/S0308-8146(96)00040-4.
- Hosseini SV, Sobhanardakani S, Tahergorabi R, Delfieh P. Selected heavy metals analysis of Persian sturgeon's (Acipenser persicus) caviar from Southern Caspian Sea. Biol Trace Elem Res 2013; 154(3): 357-62. doi: 10.1007/ s12011-013-9740-6.
- 15. Tahsin N, Yankov B. Research on accumulation of zinc (Zn) and cadmium (Cd) in sunflower oil. Journal of Tekirdag Agricultural Faculty 2007; 4(1): 109-12.
- Sobhanardakani S, Jamshidi K. Assessment of Metals (Co, Ni, and Zn) Content in the Sediments of Mighan Wetland Using Geo-Accumulation Index. Iranian Jornal of Toxicology 2015; 9(30): 1386-90.
- Tapoglou E, Trichakis IC, Dokou Z, Nikolos IK, Karatzas GP. Groundwater-level forecasting under climate change scenarios using an artificial neural network trained with particle swarm optimization. Hydrological Sciences Journal 2014; 59(6): 1225-39. doi: 10.1080/02626667.2013.838005.
- Chang J, Wang G, Mao T. Simulation and prediction of suprapermafrost groundwater level variation in response to climate change using a neural network model. J Hydrol 2015; 529(Part 3): 1211-20. doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.09.038.
- Alizamir M, Sobhanardakani S. Forecasting of heavy metals concentration in groundwater resources of Asadabad plain using artificial neural network approach. Journal of Advances in Environmental Health Research 2016; 4(2): 68-77. doi: 10.22103/jaehr.2016.40223.
- Alizamir M, Sobhanardakani S, Taghavi L. Modeling of groundwater resources heavy metals concentration using soft computing methods: Application of different types of artificial neural networks. Journal of Chemical Health Risks 2017;7(3): 207-16.
- Nor ASM, Faramarzi M, Yunus M, Ibrahim S. Nitrate and sulfate estimations in water sources using a planar electromagnetic sensor array and artificial neural network method. IEEE Sens J 2015; 15(1): 497-504. doi: 10.1109/ JSEN.2014.2347996.
- Mandal S, Mahapatra SS, Sahu MK, Patel RK. Artificial neural network modelling of As(III) removal from water by novel hybrid material. Process Saf Environ Prot 2015; 93: 249-64. doi: 10.1016/j.psep.2014.02.016.
- Keskin TE, Düğenci M, Kaçaroğlu F. Prediction of water pollution sources using artificial neural networks in the study areas of Sivas, Karabük and Bartın (Turkey). Environ Earth Sci 2015; 73(9): 5333-47. doi: 10.1007/s12665-014-

3784-6.

- Hossain MM, Piantanakulchai M. Groundwater arsenic contamination risk prediction using GIS and classification tree method. Eng Geol 2013; 156: 37-45. doi: 10.1016/j. enggeo.2013.01.007.
- Sobhanardakani S, Razban SS, Maànijou M. Evaluation of concentration of some heavy metals in ground water resources of Qahavand Plain-Hamedan. J Kermanshah Univ Med Sci 2014; 18(6): 339-348. [Persian].
- Sobhanardakani S. Evaluation of the Water Quality Pollution Indices for Groundwater Resources of Ghahavand Plain, Hamadan Province, Western Iran. Iranian Jornal of Toxicology 2016; 10(3): 35-40.
- Edet AE, Offiong OE. Evaluation of water quality pollution indices for heavy metal contamination monitoring. A study case from Akpabuyo-Odukpani area, Lower Cross River Basin (southeastern Nigeria). GeoJournal 2002; 57(4): 295-304. doi: 10.1023/B:GEJO.0000007250.92458.de.
- Hornik K, Stinchcombe M, White H. Universal approximation of an unknown mapping and its derivatives using multilayer feedforward networks. Neural Netw 1990; 3(5): 551-60. doi: 10.1016/0893-6080(90)90005-6.
- 29. Kisi Ö. Constructing neural network sediment estimation models using a data-driven algorithm. Math Comput Simul 2008; 79(1): 94-103. doi: 10.1016/j.matcom.2007.10.005.
- Haykin S. Neural Network: A Comprehensive Foundation. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall; 1999.
- ASCE Task Committee on Application of Artificial Neural Networks in Hydrology. Artificial neural networks in hydrology. I: preliminary concepts. J Hydrol Eng 2000; 5(2): 115-23. doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)1084-0699(2000)5:2(115).
- Kişi Ö. Daily pan evaporation modelling using multi-layer perceptrons and radial basis neural networks. Hydrol Process 2009; 23(2): 213-23. doi: 10.1002/hyp.7126.
- Atashpaz-Gargari E, Lucas C. Imperialist competitive algorithm: an algorithm for optimization inspired by imperialistic competition. IEEE Congress on; 2007 Sept 25-28; IEEE, Singapore; 2007. doi: 10.1109/CEC.2007.4425083.
- Hecht-Nielsen R. Kolmogorov's mapping neural network existence theorem. Proceedings of the International Symposium on Neural Networks. New York, USA; 1987.
- 35. Hosseini SM, Mahjouri N. Developing a fuzzy neural network-based support vector regression (FNN-SVR) for regionalizing nitrate concentration in groundwater. Environ Monit Assess 2014; 186(6): 3685-99. doi: 10.1007/ s10661-014-3650-8.
- 36. Gholami V, Sebghati M, Yousefi Z. Integration of artificial neural network and geographic information system applications in simulating groundwater quality. Environmental Health Engineering and Management Journal 2016; 3(4): 173-182. doi: 10.15171/ehem.2016.17.