

Spray drying conditions for orange juice incorporated with lactic acid bacteria

Journal:	International Journal of Food Science and Technology
Manuscript ID	IJFST-2016-21762.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Manuscript
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Barbosa, Joana; Universidade Catolica Portuguesa Centro Regional do Porto, CBQF Brandão, Teresa; UCP, CBQF Teixeira, Paula; UCP, CBQF
Keywords:	Probiotics, Spray Drying, Fruit Juices







Graphical abstract

254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)

2 3 4	1	Spray drying conditions for orange juice incorporated with lactic acid bacteria
5 6	2	
7 8 9	3	Barbosa, J., Brandão, T.R.S. and *Teixeira, P.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	4	
	5	Universidade Católica Portuguesa, CBQF - Centro de Biotecnologia e Química Fina –
	6	Laboratório Associado, Escola Superior de Biotecnologia, Rua Arquiteto Lobão Vital, Apartado
	7	2511, 4202-401 Porto, Portugal
	8	
22 23	9	
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 23 34 35 37 38 30 41 42 43 44 546 47 48 92	10	Running title: Probiotic orange juice powder
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	Correspondence: Paula Teixeira, E-mail: pcteixeira@porto.ucp.pt
50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	21	

maltodextrin, gum Arabic

22 A	bstract
------	---------

This work aimed to develop an orange juice powder by spray drving with lactic acid bacteria (Lactobacillus plantarum 299v and Pediococcus acidilactici HA-6111-2), testing their survival both during drying and storage (room temperature and 4 °C). Initially, the best conditions for spray drying were chosen to allow the best survival of each LAB: i) inlet air temperature of 120 °C and ii) 0.5:2 ratio of the orange juice soluble solids and drying agent added (prebiotics: 10DE maltodextrin or gum Arabic). Survival of LAB was not affected by drying process and it was higher when cultures were stored at 4 °C. A slightly higher protection was conferred by 10DE maltodextrin, in the case of L. plantarum and at 4 °C. Pediococcus acidilactici was more resistant during storage at 4 °C, with logarithmic reductions lower than 1 log-unit. It was demonstrated that it is possible to produce a functional non-dairy product, orange juice powder supplemented with prebiotic compounds, containing viable LAB for at least 7 months, when stored at 4 °C. Keywords: Spray drying, Lactobacillus plantarum 299v, Pediococcus acidilactici HA-6111-2,

46 Introduction

The production of oranges in Portugal is more than 200,000 tons per year (OMAIAA, 2011) and the possibility of producing natural orange juice powder would be an advantage at economic level, not only by the reduction in volume and weight of the packages, easier transportation and storage, but especially by increasing the shelf life of the product. At the same time, the use of probiotics as food supplements is increasing, because of their health benefits, as well as the increased diversity in food choices they provide. Presently, probiotics are defined as "live microorganisms, which, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host" by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and World Health Organization (FAO/WHO, 2002). Producing an orange juice with probiotic bacteria can be an innovative way to increase this diversity in food choices, especially among consumers who prefer functional non-dairy based foods. Whilst dairy products are the priority for the development of novel probiotic foods, an increase of vegetarianism, milk cholesterol content, and lactose intolerance justify the need for non-dairy probiotic products (Granato et al., 2010). Spray drying (SD) is the most common method used for converting liquid food products into dry powder, because it is inexpensive and easy to operate. Briefly, the process involves the pumping of liquid sample into the atomizer that transforms the liquid into small droplets, which rapidly lose their moisture on contact with the hot and dry air (Silva *et al.*, 2011). It could be a good method to get a natural orange juice powder, if the characteristics of the natural juice allowed the powder production. Fruit juices are extremely sticky, due to the presence of low molecular weight sugars and organic acids in their composition, their high hygroscopicity, water solubility and low melting point (Bhandari et al., 1997). The usual strategy to spray-dry sticky products is the use of wall materials with high molecular weight. Several authors have been using drying aids to a variety of fruit juices, being maltodextrins and gum Arabic the more common agents used

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
0
0
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
22
ა <u>∠</u>
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
12
<u>ד∠</u> גע
40
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
55
00 57
5/
58
59
60

70	(Martinelli et al., 2007; Tonon et al., 2010). Maltodextrins are low cost oligosaccharides, made
71	from starch, that have dextrose equivalents (DE) and gum Arabic is a complex
72	heteropolysaccharide and a natural exudate of Acacia tree (Bemiller & Whistler, 1996). Together
73	with their ability as drying agents, several studies have provided evidence that both maltodextrin
74	and gum Arabic also have prebiotic effects (Anekella & Orsat, 2013; Slavin, 2013). Prebiotics are
75	defined as "nondigestible food ingredients that beneficially affect the host by selectively
76	stimulating the growth and/or activity of one or a limited number of bacteria in the colon, thus
77	improving host health" (Gibson & Roberfroid, 1995).
78	Studies on SD of fruit juices with probiotic bacteria incorporated are rare (Anekella & Orsat,
79	2013; Pereira et al., 2014), probably because there are many factors influencing the survival of
80	probiotics before, during and after SD (reviewed by Barbosa et al., 2016).
81	This work aimed to develop an orange juice powder dried by SD and incorporating viable lactic
82	acid bacteria (LAB), ensuring their survival both during drying and storage.
83	
84	Materials and methods
85	Origin, growth and storage conditions of LAB isolates
86	Two LAB were used: Lactobacillus plantarum 299v (Probis Probiotika, Lund, Sweden) and
87	Pediococcus acidilactici HA-6111-2 deposited in Escola Superior de Biotecnologia (ESB)
88	culture collection (Barbosa et al., 2015).
89	Growth and storage of isolates were done according to Barbosa et al. (2015).
90	
91	Conditions of the drying process of orange juice
92	Materials

3
4
5
6
0
1
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
10
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
24
20
20
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
25
30
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
11
44
40
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
50
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

93	Mature oranges exclusively originated in Portugal were randomly purchased from local
94	commercial establishments (Porto, Portugal) and stored at room temperature until used (for no
95	more than 24 h before experiments).
96	The drying agents used were 10 DE maltodextrin (Sigma, Steinheim, Germany) and gum Arabic

- [×]
- 98

1 2

99 Orange juice preparation

(Merck, Darmstadt, Germany).

100 Oranges were squeezed using a domestic juicer and the juice was filtered in order to eliminate the 101 solids in suspension, preventing the obstruction of the atomizer of the spray dryer. The content of 102 the total soluble solids of the juice was measured using a digital refractometer (model PR-32 α 103 (alpha), Brix 0–32%, Atago U.S.A., Inc., WA, U.S.A.) and adjusted to 0.5 or 1% (w/v). The 104 drying agents 10 DE maltodextrin and gum Arabic were added, both at the concentrations of 1 or 105 2% (w/v), under magnetic stirring at 40 °C, until complete dissolution.

106

107 Spray drying

The drying of orange juice was performed in a laboratory scale Büchi Mini Spray Dryer Model B-191 (Büchi Laboratoriums-Technik, Flawil, Switzerland) with a two-fluid nozzle atomizer with a 1 mm inside diameter and a concurrent drying chamber of 10.5 cm (Barbosa *et al.*, 2015). The inlet air temperatures tested were 120 and 130 °C. The outlet air temperature cannot be regulated, resulting from a combination of the inlet air temperature, the feed rate, the drying gas flow rate and the solids content of the feed. A single cyclone air separator system was used and the dried powders were collected from the base of the cyclone.

115

116 Analysis of powders

1 2		
3 4	117	Immediately after the SD, drying yield and water activity (a _w) of the dried powders were
5 6 7	118	determined (Barbosa et al., 2015).
, 8 9	119	
10 11	120	Spray drying of orange juice with LAB
12 13	121	Orange juice preparation
14 15 16	122	The orange juice was prepared as described above. After the selected conditions, the total soluble
17 18	123	solids content of the juice was adjusted to 0.5% (w/v) and at this solution was added 2% (w/v) of
19 20	124	the drying agent (10 DE maltodextrin or gum Arabic), under magnetic stirring at 40 °C, until
21 22 23	125	complete dissolution.
24 25	126	
26 27	127	Preparation of LAB cultures
28 29 30	128	From Man, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) agar incubated at 37 °C for 24 h, one colony of each LAB
31 32	129	isolate was transferred to MRS broth and incubated at the same conditions. For the final
33 34 25	130	inoculum, the last culture was transferred to a new MRS broth (1:100) and incubated at 37 °C for
35 36 37	131	24 h to reach stationary phase. Each isolate was harvested by centrifugation (8877 x g, 10 min, 37
38 39	132	°C; Rotina 35R, Hettich, Germany), washed twice in sterile quarter strength Ringer's solution
40 41	133	(Lab M, Bury, United Kingdom) and re-suspended in the same volume of the final solution
42 43 44	134	prepared before (in Orange Juice Preparation).
45 46	135	As control, 10% (w/v) of reconstituted skim milk (RSM) powder (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) was
47 48	136	used to re-suspend the LAB cultures.
49 50 51	137	
52 53	138	Spray drying and powder analysis
54 55	139	The drying of LAB cultures incorporated either in orange juice or in the RSM was achieved as
56 57 58	140	described above. The drying conditions chosen for both LAB cultures were: feed temperature of
59 60	-	6
		Institute of Food Science and Technology

2 3	141
4 5 6	142
7 8	143
9 10 11	144
12 13	145
14 15	146
16 17 18	147
19 20	148
21 22	149
23 24 25	150
26 27	151
28 29	152
30 31 32	153
33 34	154
35 36 27	155
37 38 39	156
40 41	157
42 43 44	158
45 46	159
47 48	160
49 50 51	161
52 53	162
54 55	163
56 57 58	164
59	

141 40 °C, feed flow rate of 5 mL/min; 86% of drying air flow rate; compressed air flow rate of 550

142 L/h, inlet air temperature of 120 °C and outlet air temperature of about 65 °C.

143 Drying yield and a_w of the dried powders were also determined.

1

145 Storage conditions

146 Dried samples were stored in plastic containers, hermetically sealed in glass flasks, in normal 147 atmosphere (air), in the presence of daylight, at 4 °C and room temperature.

149 Enumeration of spray dried LAB cultures

150 The survival of each microorganism was assessed immediately after SD and at regular intervals 151 throughout storage by rehydration of each dried sample to their initial solids concentration in 152 sterile quarter strength Ringer's solution (Lab M). Each rehydrated sample was homogenized for 153 1 minute and kept at room temperature for 30 minutes followed by serial decimal dilutions and 154 plated in duplicate for enumeration by the drop count technique (Miles and Misra, 1938) on MRS 155 agar. The enumeration of each microorganism re-suspended in both orange juice or RSM before 156 SD was also performed. 157 The colonies were counted after incubation at 37 °C for 48 h and the CFU/mL calculated.

159 Data analysis

160 Each experiment was done in duplicate. All calculations were carried out using the software IBM 161 SPSS Statistics (version 22.0, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). A significance level of P <162 0.05 was applied to all statistical procedures.

60

164 Statistical analysis

165 Significant differences in microbial counts of each LAB before and after SD were analyzed using166 a paired-samples t-test.

Microbial counts were transformed to logarithmic reduction using the equation: $\log (N/N_0)$, where N is the microbial cell count at a particular sampling time and N_0 is the microbial cell count after SD. To evaluate the values of the variable $\log N/N_0$ (between the first and the last storage time) among different isolates and used treatments (different drying agents) studied, we applied multivariate models of generalized estimating equations (GEE), with identity as binding function, i.e., it was assumed a linear time course over the months of storage. The GEE are a method that allow analyzing repeated or longitudinal measures, taking into account that the measurements in the same individual over time are correlated. The advantage of this method is that it provides consistent estimates of the parameters associated with covariances of the model, even if the assumed correlation structure would be wrong.

178 Logistic model

- 179Data from logarithmic reductions of each LAB along storage were adjusted with Logistic model180using the equation: $log (N/N_0) = -C / (1 + Ae^{-B})$, where N is the microbial cell count at a181particular sampling time, N₀ is the microbial cell count after SD, C is the asymptotic value, which182evaluates the tail tendency and B is related to the steepness of the curve (higher values are
- 183 associated to higher inactivation rates) (Chen, 2007).
- **Results**

Powdered orange juice was initially obtained in a Büchi Mini Spray Dryer at i) constant feed
temperature (40 °C), flow rates of feed (5 mL/min), drying air (86%) and compressed air (550
L/h) and ii) varying inlet air temperatures (120 and 130 °C) as well as the ratio of total soluble

2	
2	1
4 5	-
6	1
8	1
9 10	1
11 12	
13 14]
15 16	1
17 18	1
19	1
20	J
22]
24 25	1
26 27	1
28 29	2
30 31	-
32 33	2
34 35	2
36	2
37 38	_
39 40	4
41 42	2
43 44	2
45 46	2
47 48	~
49	4
50 51	2
52 53	2
54 55	2
56 57	_
58 59	4
60	

89 solids (orange juice; 0.5 or 1% w/v): drying aid (10DE maltodextrin or gum Arabic; 1 or 2% 90 w/v). Powders with different drying yield and a_w values were obtained (data not shown). In table 91 S1 are presented the drying yields and a_w values obtained only for the selected conditions: inlet 92 and outlet air temperatures of 120 °C and 65 °C, respectively, and ratio of soluble solids: drving 93 aid of 0.5: 2. For both drying agents, drying yield was close to 50% and values of a_w between 0.3 94 and 0.4 were obtained for the orange juice powders. 95 In table 1 are shown the log CFU/ml of L. plantarum 299v and P. acidilactici HA-6111-2 before 96 97 and after being spray dried. For each LAB, besides the strong correlation coefficient (>0.9), no 98 significant differences were obtained (P > 0.05) between before and after the drying process. 99 Moreover, no logarithmic reductions were obtained after SD for both LAB. 200 Kinetic parameters for logarithmic reductions of each LAB along 210 days of storage at room 201 202 temperature and 4 °C are detailed in Table S2. 203 The survival of each microorganism during storage at room temperature is presented in Figure 1. 204 For both LAB, survival during storage at room temperature was higher when cells were spray 205 dried in RSM. Although, the reduction of both microorganisms had been lower for RSM initially, both were reduced to values below the level of the detection limit of the enumeration technique 206 207 after 150 (L. plantarum 299v; graph A1) or 210 days of storage (P. acidilactici HA-6111-2; 208 graph B1). 209 In spray dried orange juice, significant differences were obtained between the drying agents used 210 regarding to the survival of LAB during storage period studied (P < 0.05). After 11 days of storage in orange juice dried with 10 DE maltodextrin (graph A2) an accentuated reduction in the 211 212 number of cells of L. plantarum 299v occurred, and after 60 days the logarithmic reduction was

2	
2 3 4	213
5 6	214
7 8	215
9 10	216
11 12	210
12 13	217
14 15 16	218
17 18	219
19 20	220
21 22 23	221
23 24 25	222
26 27	223
28 29	224
30 31 32	225
33 34	226
35 36	220
37 38	221
39 40	228
41 42	229
43 44	230
45 46	231
47 48 40	232
49 50 51	233
52 53	234
54 55	225
56 57	235
58 58	

to values below the level of the detection limit of the enumeration technique. In orange juice dried with gum Arabic (graph A3), even though the similar behavior, higher reduction in the number of cells of *L. plantarum* 299v occurred initially, compared with orange juice dried with 10 DE maltodextrin. Despite survival of *P. acidilactici* HA-6111-2 dried in orange juice with 10 DE maltodextrin (graph B2) or gum Arabic (graph B3) had been different along the storage period (P < 0.05), in both cases, the reduction in the number of cells became more pronounced after 30 days of storage

and values below the level of the detection limit were attained after 120 days of storage.

In Figures 2 and S1 are presented the survival of *L. plantarum* 299v and *P. acidilactici* HA-6111-2, respectively, during storage at 4 °C. A higher survival was observed during storage at 4 °C than at room temperature, for both LAB.

In the case of *L. plantarum* 299v, the drying with RSM (Fig 2, graph A4) also conferred a

226 protective effect during storage at 4 °C, comparing with the other drying agents (P < 0.05).

227 Significant differences were also found among the additives used (P < 0.05). In the orange juice

dried with 10 DE maltodextrin (Fig 2, graph A5), there was a 4 log-units reduction in the survival

of *L. plantarum* 299v up to 90 days of storage and of 8 log-units until the end of the storage

230 period (210 days). In the presence of gum Arabic (Fig 2, graph A6), after this period of 90 days

this reduction was higher than in the presence of 10 DE maltodextrin, reaching values below the

- detection limit after 210 days (> 9.9 log reduction).
- 233 No significant differences were observed in the survival of *P. acidilactici* HA-6111-2 during
 - storage at 4 °C (P > 0.05) for all the SD media investigated, RSM (Fig S1, graph B4) and orange
- 235 juice supplemented with 10 DE maltodextrin (Fig S1, graph B5) or gum Arabic (Fig S1, graph

59 60

B6). At this storage temperature, *P. acidilactici* HA-6111-2 demonstrated a higher survival than L. plantarum 299v (P < 0.05), showing less than 1 log-unit reduction after 210 days. Orange juice dried with 10 DE maltodextrin conferred a slightly higher protection on the survival of L. plantarum 299v during storage at 4 °C. Discussion To develop a new product such as an orange juice powder with functional properties, two LAB were selected to be incorporated: L. plantarum 299v - a commercial probiotic and P. acidilactici HA-6111-2 an isolated strain from a food matrix and with probiotic characteristics found after preliminary characterization (Barbosa et al., 2015). Optimization of a drying process is the initial step to gather the best conditions for obtaining a powdered product of good quality. The different parameters evaluated to optimize the drying process of orange juice by SD were i) the content of soluble solids in orange juice, as well as the optimal ratio of drying agents tested, allowing the juice drying with the lowest loss of powder, and ii) the inlet air temperature of the SD. With the different tests carried out, conditions leading to the highest drying yield and product with low a_w were selected: 0.5:2 ratio of the orange juice soluble solids and drying agent added. Another important parameter in SD is the outlet air temperature. As previously mentioned, in the Büchi Mini Spray Dryer used this is not an adjustable parameter, resulting from the combination of the various parameters such as inlet air temperature, pump and aspirator settings and feed concentration. Several researchers reported that outlet air temperatures above 85 °C were lethal for probiotic cultures (Gardiner et al., 2000; Corcoran et al., 2004). Since we intended to incorporate probiotics into orange juice and the outlet air temperatures are such an important

International Journal of Food Science & Technology

parameter in the survival of bacteria during drying, all the conditions selected (Table S1) resulted
in low outlet air temperatures (close to 65 °C) and, simultaneously, high drying yields and low aw
values.

According to Bhandari *et al.* (1997) a total powder recovery of 50% in a laboratory scale spray dryer is considered to be the reference point for a marginally successful drying. From both concentrations of the drying agents tested, the addition of 2% (w/v) to the orange juice allowed a better drying yield than 1% (w/v). This is in agreement with some studies which stated that the increasing of drying agents concentration in fruit juices, also increased the powder yield (Ouek et al., 2007; Fazaeli et al., 2012). With the subsequent addition of probiotic cultures to orange juice, it was important that the selected conditions allowed to obtain low a_w values, as water remaining after drying affects the viability of cultures, after the drying process and also during storage (Zayed & Roos, 2004). Moreover, dried products with a_w values below 0.6 are considered microbiologically stable (Ouek et al., 2007).

After the selection of SD conditions for orange juice powder production, we proceeded to the incorporation of each LAB to the juice with each drying agent - 10 DE maltodextrin and gum Arabic - and subsequent drying. The survival of LAB was not affected during the drying process (P > 0.05). Good survival of probiotics after SD in fruit juices had already been reported (Anekella & Orsat, 2013).

Drying of the selected LAB in RSM was used as a control since it has been demonstrated that it
is as an efficient protector, both during drying and also during subsequent storage (Teixeira *et al.*,
1995a; Gardiner *et al.*, 2000; Ananta *et al.*, 2005).

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
0	
1	
8	
9	
10	
44	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
10	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
20	
26	
27	
28	
29	
20	
30	
31	
32	
33	
31	
25	
35	
36	
37	
38	
30	
40	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
17	
45	
46	
47	
48	
10	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
51	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
50	
29	
60	

284 As expected, significant differences were obtained between the temperatures of storage used (P <285 0.05). Many authors reported the higher survival of spray dried bacteria during storage at low 286 temperatures (Teixeira et al., 1995b; Gardiner et al., 2000; Silva et al., 2002). 287 Of the drying agents used in this study, 10 DE maltodextrin allowed better results on the survival 288 of L. plantarum 299v in comparison with gum Arabic. Other studies have demonstrated the 289 importance of maltodextrin as a drying agent in fruit juices, as well as its protective ability of 290 probiotic cultures during drying and subsequent storage (Anekella & Orsat, 2013; Pereira et al., 291 2014). Despite the scarce literature regarding the drying of *L. plantarum* and *P. acidilactici* strains 292 293 incorporated in fruit juices by SD, many authors have studied the behavior of different strains 294 after SD using maltodextrin as carrier. Lapsiri et al. (2012) found high survival rate of L. 295 plantarum TISTR 2075 after SD. During storage at different temperatures, survival of spray dried 296 cells was affected by elevated temperatures; while at 25 °C no cells have survived up to 90 days 297 of storage, at 4 °C this strain had a decrease of only 1.62 log CFU/g after 12 months of storage in 298 the absence of light. In the study of Reddy et al. (2009), using maltodextrin and nonfat skimmed 299 as carriers, during 60 days of storage, the high temperatures also affected the survival rate of L. 300 *plantarum* and *P. acidilactici* strains tested. At 4 °C a survival rate of 60% was obtained for both 301 strains and carriers. At 30 °C, using maltodextrin as carrier, the survival rate decreased to 50% for 302 both strains and using nonfat skimmed, the survival rate decreased to 67% for L. plantarum and 303 to 53% for *P. acidilactici*. The authors concluded that maltodextrin is a good substitute of nonfat 304 skimmed. 305 Although maltodextrin could be a good encapsulating agent during SD, it also acts as a prebiotic,

allowing the survival of the cultures (Reddy et al., 2009; Lapsiri et al., 2012; Anekella & Orsat,

307 2013; Pereira *et al.*, 2014).

In this study, and only focusing the results obtained during storage at 4 °C, it was possible to obtain spray dried cultures in orange juice, which could survive and remain viable in amounts of 10⁷ CFU/ml, over a certain period of time. As expected, different microorganisms had different behaviors: while the orange juice powder contained 10⁷ CFU/mL of L. plantarum 299v only up to 90 days of storage at 4 °C, the orange juice powder with P. acidilactici HA-6111-2 contained 10⁸ CFU/mL, at least up to 210 days of storage. This means that the conditions are fulfilled for extra and important experiments being performed, especially in terms of i) storage conditions improvement, ii) validation of results in a larger industrial drier and iii) validation of the ability of the dried probiotic cultures to retain its functional properties. Nonetheless, the present data is promising and allowed to prove that it is possible to produce a functional non-dairy based food, such as an orange juice powder that incorporates probiotic ingredients. Conclusions The conditions to obtain orange juice powder by SD were pooled. Another challenge was the incorporation of bacteria with probiotic characteristics and that they were able to survive during

drying process and storage. In this study it was demonstrated that it is possible to produce a
healthy product, not only for the advantage of using a powder made from natural fruit juice, as

325 well as the beneficial characteristics conferred by a prebiotic and probiotic incorporated.

326 Within various additives which can be used in food industry, the prebiotic maltodextrin turned

327 possible not only to obtain a powder of good quality, but also a higher survival of one of the

328 bacteria incorporated into orange juice, along its storage at 4 °C. If this product is stored and sold

329 at refrigerated conditions it can have a long shelf life, depending on the probiotic used. The

330 potential probiotic *P. acidilactici* HA-6111-2 studied was more resistant than the probiotic *L*.

plantarum 299v. The challenge continues to be the preservation of these products at room

2 3 4	332
5 6	333
7 8 9	334
10 11	335
12 13 14	336
15 16	337
17 18 10	338
20 21	339
22 23	340
24 25 26	341
27 28	342
29 30	343
31 32 33	344
34 35	345
36 37 38	346
39 40	347
41 42	348
43 44 45	349
46 47	350
48 49	351
50 51 52	352
53 54	353
55 56 57	354
58 59	355

332 temperature, so it is necessary to improve the storage conditions in order to increase their shelf 333 life at the lowest possible cost.

1

335 Acknowledgments

336 This work was supported by funding from the National Funds from the Fundação para a Ciência

337 e a Tecnologia (FCT) through project UID/Multi/50016/2013. Financial support for author J.

338 Barbosa was provided by a post-doctoral fellowship, SFRH/BPD/113303/2015 (FCT).

- 340 References
 - 341 Ananta, E., Volkert, M. & Knorr, D. (2005). Cellular injuries and storage stability of spray-dried 342 Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG. International Dairy Journal, 15, 399-409.
 - 343 Anekella, K. & Orsat, V. (2013). Optimization of microencapsulation of probiotics in raspberry 344 juice by spray drying. LWT - Food Science and Technology, 50, 17-24.
- 345 Barbosa, J., Borges, S. & Teixeira, P. (2015). Pediococcus acidilactici as a potential probiotic to 346 be used in food industry. International Journal of Food Science and Technology, 50, 1151-347 1157.
- Barbosa, J. & Teixeira, P. (2016). Development of probiotic fruit juice powders by spray drying: 348 349 a review. Food Reviews International, DOI: 10.1080/87559129.2016.1175016.
- 350 Bemiller, J.N. & Whistler, R.L. (1996). Carbohydrates. In: Fenema, O.R. (Ed). Food Chemistry. 351 New York: Marcel Dekker.
- Bhandari, B.R., Datta, N. & Howes, T. (1997). Problems associated with spray drying of sugar-352 353 rich foods. Drying Technology, 15, 671-684.
- 354 Chen, H. (2007). Use of linear, Weibull, and log-logistic functions to model pressure
 - 355 inactivation of seven foodborne pathogens in milk. Food Microbiology, 24, 197-204.

1 2		
2 3 4	356	Corcoran, B.M., Ross, R.P., Fitzgerald, G.F. & Stanton, C. (2004). Comparative survival of
5 6 7	357	probiotic lactobacilli spray-dried in the presence of prebiotic substances. Journal of Applied
7 8 9	358	<i>Microbiology</i> , 96 , 1024-1039.
10 11	359	Fazaeli, M., Emam-Djomeh, Z., Ashtari, A.K. & Omid, M. (2012). Effect of spray drying
12 13 14	360	conditions and feed composition on the physical properties of black mulberry juice powder.
15 16	361	Food and Bioproducts Processing, 90, 667-675.
17 18	362	Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization. Guidelines for the evaluation of
19 20 21	363	probiotics in food: Report of a joint FAO/WHO working group on drafting guidelines for
22 23	364	the evaluation of probiotics in food. London, ON, Canada, 2002.
24 25	365	Gardiner, G.E., O'Sullivan, E., Kelly, J. et al. (2000). Comparative survival rates of human-
26 27 28	366	derived probiotic Lactobacillus paracasei and L. salivarius strains during heat treatment
29 30	367	and spray-drying. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 66, 2605-2612.
31 32	368	Gibson, G.R. & Roberfroid, M.B. (1995). Dietary modulation of the human colonic microbiota:
33 34 35	369	Introducing the concept of prebiotics. Journal of Nutrition, 125, 1401-1412.
36 37	370	Granato, D., Branco, G.F., Nazzaro, F., Cruz, A.G. & Faria, J.A.F. (2010). Functional foods and
38 39 40	371	nondairy probiotic food development: trends, concepts, and products. Comprehensive
40 41 42	372	Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety, 9, 292-302.
43 44	373	Lapsiri, W., Bhandari, B. & Wanchaitanawong, P. (2012). Viability of Lactobacillus plantarum
45 46 47	374	TISTR 2075 in different protectants during spray drying and storage. Drying Technology,
48 49	375	30 , 1407-1412.
50 51	376	Martinelli, L., Gabas, A.L. & Telis-Romero, J. (2007). Thermodynamic and quality properties of
52 53 54	377	lemon juice powder as affected by maltodextrin and arabic gum. Drying Technology, 25,
55 56 57	378	2035-2045.
58 59 60		16

1 2			
2 3 4	379	Miles, A.A. & Misra, S.S. (1938). The estimation of the bactericidal power of blood. Journal	of
5 6	380	Hygiene, 38 , 732-749.	
7 8 9	381	Observatório dos mercados agrícolas e das importações agro-alimentares (OMAIAA). Retriev	ed
10 11	382	on July 2 nd , 2016 from website: http://www.observatorioagricola.pt/item.asp?id_item=12	29.
12 13	383	Pereira, A.L.F., Almeida, F.D.L., Lima, M.A., Costa, J.M.C. & Rodrigues, S. (2014). Spray-	
14 15 16	384	drying of probiotic cashew apple juice. Food Bioprocess Technology, 7, 2492-2499.	
17 18	385	Quek, S.Y., Chok, N.K. & Swedlund, P. 2007. The physicochemical properties of spray-dried	
19 20	386	watermelon powders. Chemical Engineering and Processing, 46, 386-392.	
21 22 23	387	Reddy, K.B.P.K., Madhu, A.N. & Prapulla, S.G. (2009). Comparative survival and evaluation	of
24 25	388	functional probiotic properties of spray-dried lactic acid bacteria. International Journal	of
26 27 28	389	Dairy Technology, 62 , 240-248.	
29 30	390	Silva, J., Carvalho, A.S., Teixeira, P. & Gibbs, P.A. (2002). Bacteriocin production by spray-	
31 32	391	dried lactic acid bacteria. Letters in Applied Microbiology, 34, 77-81.	
33 34 35	392	Silva, J., Freixo, R., Gibbs, P. & Teixeira, P. (2011). Spray-drying for the production of dried	
36 37	393	cultures. International Journal of Dairy Technology, 64, 321-335.	
38 39 40	394	Slavin, J. (2013). Fiber and Prebiotics: Mechanisms and Health Benefits. Nutrients, 5, 1417-	
40 41 42	395	1435.	
43 44	396	Teixeira, P., Castro, H. and Kirby, R. (1995a). Spray drying as a method for preparing	
45 46 47	397	concentrated cultures of Lactobacillus bulgaricus. Journal of Applied Bacteriology, 78,	
48 49	398	456-462.	
50 51	399	Teixeira, P.C., Castro, M.H., Malcata, F.X. & Kirby, R.M. (1995b). Survival of Lactobacillus	
52 53 54	400	delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus following spray-drying. Journal of Dairy Science, 78, 1025-	
55 56	401	1031.	
57 58			
59 60			17

1 2		
2 3 4	402	Tonon, R.V., Brabet, C. & Hubinger, M.D. (2010). Anthocyanin stability and antioxidant activity
5 6 7	403	of spray-dried acai (Euterpe oleracea Mart.) juice produced with different carrier agents.
7 8 9	404	Food Research International, 43, 907-914.
10 11	405	Zayed, G. & Roos, Y.H. (2004). Influence of trehalose and moisture content on survival of
12 13 14	406	Lactobacillus salivarius subjected to freeze drying and storage. Process Biochemistry, 39,
15 16	407	1081-1086.
17 18	408	
19 20 21	409	
21 22 23	410	
24 25	411	
26 27	412	
28 29 20	413	
30 31 32	414	
33 34	415	
35 36	416	
37 38 20	417	
39 40 41	418	
42 43	419	
44 45	420	
46 47 48	421	
49 50	422	
51 52	423	
53 54 55	424	
56 57 58 59	425	
60		18

Table 1. Survival of L. plantarum 299v and P. acidilactici HA-6111-2 before and after SD in

RSM and orange juice supplemented with 10DE maltodextrin or gum Arabic

			log C	CFU/ml		
		L. plantarum 299v		Р.	acidilactici HA-61	11-2
	RSM	10 DE maltodextrin	gum Arabic	RSM	10 DE maltodextrin	gum Arabic
Before SD	10.0±0.09	10.0±0.53	9.4±0.44	10.7±0.29	9.0±0.07	9.1±0.04
After SD	11.3±0.10	11.2±0.05	9.9±0.70	11.0±0.11	9.1±0.23	9.9±0.15
						19

1 2			
2 3 4	431	Figure 1. Logarithmic reduction (\bullet) and Logistic model ($-$) of <i>L. plantarum</i> 299v (A) and <i>P.</i>	
5 6 7	432	acidilactici HA-6111-2 (B) incorporated in orange juice or RSM after SD and during 210 days of	of
7 8 9	433	storage at room temperature: () control (inoculum in 10% (w/v) of RSM); () orange	
10 11	434	juice with 2% of 10 DE maltodextrin and () orange juice with 2% of gum Arabic. The	
12 13	435	dotted lines mean that the isolate was reduced to values below the detection limited of the	
14 15 16	436	enumeration technique.	
17 18	437		
19 20 21	438		
22 23	439	Figure 2. Logarithmic reduction (\bullet) and Logistic model ($-$) of <i>L. plantarum</i> 299v (A)	
24 25	440	incorporated in orange juice or RSM after SD and during 210 days of storage at temperature of	4
26 27 28	441	°C: (→) control (inoculum in 10% (w/v) of RSM); (→) orange juice with 2% of 10 DE	
29 30	442	maltodextrin and () orange juice with 2% of gum Arabic.	
31 32 33	443		
34 35	444		
36 37 28	445	Figure S1. Logarithmic reduction (•) of <i>P. acidilactici</i> HA-6111-2 (B) incorporated in orange	
39 40	446	juice or RSM after SD and during 210 days of storage at temperature of 4 °C: () control	
41 42	447	(inoculum in 10% (w/v) of RSM); () orange juice with 2% of 10 DE maltodextrin and	
43 44 45	448	() orange juice with 2% of gum Arabic. Logistic model was not applied to this data.	
46 47 48	449		
40 49 50	450	Table S2 Formal kinotic parameters for logarithmic reductions of each LAD along storage	
51 52	450 451	Table S2. Formal kinetic parameters for logarithmic reductions of each LAB along storage	
53 54 55			
56 57			
58 59			20
00			

2	
3	
1	
4	
5	
6	
7	
0	
0	
9	
10	
11	
12	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
10	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
21	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
29	
30	
31	
32	
22	
33	
34	
35	
36	
00	
37	
38	
39	
40	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
1- 1	
40	
46	
47	
48	
40	
49	
50	
51	
52	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
57	
58	
59	
60	

Table S1. Yield and a_w of orange juice powder at selected drying conditions

Drving agent	Orange juice (0.5% of total soluble solids)			
Diying agent	Yield ^a	$\mathbf{a_w}^{\mathbf{b}}$		
2% 10DE Maltodextrin	40.90 ± 1.13	0.409 ± 0.004		
2% gum Arabic	53.05 ± 1.48	0.321 ± 0.021		

^aThe powder yield is represented as the media of percentages of powder obtained \pm the

standard error of the mean

.a of a_w valuc ^bThe a_w is represented as the media of a_w values obtained \pm the standard error of the mean

		L. plantarum 299v		P. acidilactici HA-6111-2	
Condition	Parameters	Room temperature	4 °C	Room temperature	4 °C
	$A \pm IC/2$	7.035±2.903	4.056±3.630	10.895±4.057	
RSM	$B \pm IC/2$	0.028 ± 0.007	0.012 ± 0.010	0.025 ± 0.005	n /a
(control)	$C \pm IC/2$	11.987±1.112	5.624±4.754	11.866±1.299	II/a
	R^2	0.963	0.828	0.977	
o · ·	$A \pm IC/2$	15.092±18.495	6.264±4.053	35.947±26.417	
Orange juice	$B \pm IC/2$	0.357±0.151	0.015±0.011	0.070 ± 0.014	n/a
10 DE MD	$C \pm IC/2$	10.232±0.556	10.344±6.223	9.051±0.322	
	R^2	0.939	0.842	0.990	
o · ·	$A \pm IC/2$	25.223±18.131	19.137±13.238	83.619±107.016	
Orange juice	$B \pm IC/2$	0.456 ± 0.100	0.026 ± 0.009	0.067±0.020	n/a
$G\Delta$	$C \pm IC/2$	9.862±0.263	10.557±1.808	10.028±0.502	
UA	R^2	0.985	0.958	0.983	
egend: B - rela n/a – no	ted to the steepr ot applicable	ness of the curve; C - th	e asymptotic value		

Table S2. Formal kinetic parameters for logarithmic reductions of each LAB along storage



Figure 1.





Figure 2.





Figure S1.

 $\begin{array}{r} 47\\ 48\\ 49\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 54\\ 55\\ 56\\ 57\\ 58\\ 59\\ 60\\ \end{array}$