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The guidelines for morphological annotation contain the layers that are necessary for understanding the structure of the words in the object language: morphological segmentation, glossing, and annotation of part-of-speech.

## 1 Preliminaries

The guidelines for these layers follow existing recommendations in language typology and norms for the creation of language corpora. The glossing guidelines belong to the paradigm of guidelines that has arisen on the basis of Eurotyp (König et al. 1993), being more closely related to the conventions of the Leipzig Glossing Rules (see Bickel et al. 2002). The guidelines for morphological categories combine the practices recommended in Eurotyp with norms that have been established for the morphological annotation of corpora such as EAGLES (Leech \& Wilson 1996) and STTS (Schiller et al. 1999).

## 2 Layer Declaration

Table 2: Layers

| Layer | Abbreviation |
| :--- | :--- |
| morphemic segmentation | MORPH |
| morpheme-to-morpheme translation | GLOSS |
| part of speech | POS |

## 3 Layer I: Morphemic Segmentation (MORPH)

### 3.1 Introduction

The layer of morphemic segmentation (sometimes referred to as morphemic transcription) indicates morpheme boundaries. It contains a copy of the original text and makes use of special characters like hyphens, dots, etc. to segment words into morphemes.

Instructions for the use of this layer:
(1) English

| WORDS $>$ <br> $<$ MORPH $>$ |  | The | wolf | jumps | out | of | the |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| byyilding. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | jump-s |  |  |  |  |

The proposed guidelines are based on Leipzig Glossing Rules (see Bickel et al. 2002).

### 3.2 Tagset declaration

Table 3: Tagset declaration for morphemic segmentations

| tag | meaning | see in: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $<$ new cell $>$ | word boundary | $\S 3.3 .1$ |
| - | morpheme boundary | $\S 3.3 .2$ |
| $=$ | clitic boundary | $\S 3.3 .3$ |
| - | union of sublexical components | $\S 0$ |
| 0 | zero affix | $\S 3.3 .6$ |

### 3.3 Instructions

### 3.3.1 Word boundaries

Words are given in separate cells in Exmaralda (otherwise separated through spaces).
(2) English

| <WORDS> | the | children | work |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | the | children | work |

Instructions for the identification of word boundaries:

- If the object language has an orthographical representation that indicates word boundaries, then annotate the word boundaries indicated in the local orthography.
- If the orthographical representation in the object language indicates sublexical units (usually syllables) instead of words, then see $\S 0$.


### 3.3.2 Morpheme boundaries

Morphemes are separated by a hyphen:
(3) English

| $<$ WORDS $>$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $<$ MORPH $>$ |$\quad$ Peter | works |
| :--- |
|  |

## Inflection

- If the morpheme boundaries in the object language are transparent, then they should be indicated in the morphemic transcription. This holds especially for agglutinative languages, but also for morphemes that may be easily distinguished in fusional languages.
(4) English

| WORDS $>$ <br> $<$ MORPH $>$ |  | Peter |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | works.

- If the morpheme boundaries in the object language are not transparent, then do not indicate boundaries in cases where it is not feasible to establish some uncontroversial conventions. This holds especially for fusional languages. In the morphemic translation, these cases must be treated as shown in §4.4.3.
(5) English

| $<$ WORDS $>$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<$ MORPH $>$ |$\quad$ children.

(6) German

| <WORDS $>$ | entbrannt |
| :--- | :--- |
| <MORPH $>$ <br> $<$ GLOSS $>$ | entbrannt |
|  | conflagrant |

## Word formation

- If the stems of a compound can be easily separated and the semantics of the compound can be compositionally derived by the unification of the semantics of the individual roots, then the analytical representation is preferred. Note that in contrast to some other current practices, the stems contained in the compound are separated by a hyphen (not by a plus sign):
(7) German

| $<$ WORDS $>$ | Bürgersteig |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<$ MORPH $>$ <br> $<$ GLOSS $>$ | Bürger-steig |
|  | citizen-path |

(8) Japanese

| <WORDS> <br> <MORPH> <br> <GLOSS> | gengogaku |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | gengo-gaku |
|  | language-study |

- Compositional morphemes are also separated by a hyphen and are indicated as such in the morphemic translation:
(9) German

| $<$ WORDS $>$ | Legehenne |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<$ MORPH $>$ | Leg-e-henne |
| $<$ GLOSS $>$ | lay-0-hen(F) |

- If the internal structure of compounds and derivatives displays difficulties in the object language (in terms of identification of the morpheme boundaries or in terms of semantic compositionality), then do not indicate the internal structure of the word.
(10) German

| $<$ WORDS $>$ | Erdbeere |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<$ MORPH $>$ <br> $<$ GLOSS $>$ | Erdbeere |
|  | strawberry |

### 3.3.3 Clitic boundaries

Clitic boundaries are indicated by an equal sign. They may be tokenized with their phonological target as in example (18). In other cases, it might be preferrable to tokenize the clitic separately, e.g. when the orthographical transcription in the $<$ WORDS $>$ layer requires separate tokens for the clitic and its target (see example (19) below):
(11) German

| <WORDS $>$ | wie | geht's |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| <MORPH $>$ <br> $<$ GLOSS $>$ | wie | geht=s |
|  | how | go:3.SG=it |

Instructions for the identification of clitics: Clitics are phonologically weak (unstressed) elements that need a host in the form of a phonologically strong (stressed) element on which they (mostly in their reduced form) cliticize, e.g., kommste (= kommst du), s'Fenster (= das Fenster)

- For elements like zum, am, ins, vom (German), au, des, aux (French), see §4.4.4.
- In languages which provide an opposition between clitic and emphatic (personal, relative, etc.) pronouns or auxiliaries, clitics are identified through the use of the clitic boundary " $=$ ":
(12) Greek

| $<$ WORDS $>$ | to | thélo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $<$ MORPH $>$ <br> $<$ GLOSS $>$ | to $=$ | thél-o |
|  | $3 . \mathrm{SG}=$ | want-1.SG |

(13) Greek

| <WORDS> | aftó | thélo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | aftó | thél-o |
| <GLOSS> | 3.SG | want-1.SG |

(14) English

| $<$ WORDS $>$ | he | 's | leaving |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $<$ MORPH $>$ | he | =s | leav-ing |

(15) English

| $<$ WORDS $>$ | he | is | leaving |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $<$ MORPH $>$ | he | is | leav-ing |

### 3.3.4 Union of sublexical components

This rule applies especially in languages in which blank spaces in the orthography do not always indicate word boundaries. Sublexical components of one word are put in one cell and are connected by an underscore:
(16) Vietnamese

| $<$ WORDS $>$ | tiểu thuyết |
| :--- | :--- |
| <MORPH $>$ <br> $<$ GLOSS $>$ | tiếu_thuyê̂t |
|  | roman |

The original form is one orthographical form in Vietnamese. Blank spaces in Vietnamese are orthographically ambiguous: they denote both word boundaries and syllable boundaries. Many words contain more than one syllable, which may be assigned only a common translation (a syllable-by-syllable translation is not possible). In morphemic segmentation, syllable boundary is represented by blank space.

### 3.3.5 Special characters

Special characters, i.e. non-alpha-numerical characters, such as -, \%, ‘, ", ), etc., that are used in orthographic representations (that may be used in WORDS) are left out at the layer of morphemic segmentation, see examples (17)-(18).
(17) German

| <WORDS $>$ | das | "Pünktchen" |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $<$ <MORPH $>$ | das | Pünkt-chen |
| $<$ GLOSS $>$ | DEF:N.SG.NOM | point-DIM |

Note that the hyphen has different meaning in the two layers of example (18): at the layer WORD it is an orthographic symbol, and at the layer MORPH it encodes morpheme boundaries.
(18) German

| <WORDS> | die | "Pünktchen"-Partei |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | die | Pünkt-chen-Partei |
| <GLOSS> | DEF:F.SG.NOM | point-DIM-party |

### 3.3.6 Zero morphemes

The indication of zero morphemes is sometimes part of the morphemic segmentation. Since a morphemic analysis in terms of zero morphemes is not theory neutral, we recommend avoiding the use of zeroes in the database. If a project needs this kind of information for its data, the standard symbol ' 0 ' is recommended (note that ' 0 ' is also used in glossing, compare (57)).
(19) German

| <WORDS $>$ | die | Lehrer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $<$ MORPH $>$ |  |  |
| $<$ GLOSS $>$ |  |  |

## 4 Layer II: Morphemic Translation (GLOSS)

### 4.1 Introduction

The layer of morphemic translation identifies the lexical meaning or grammatical function of individual morphemes as they are segmented at the layer of morphemic transcription. This section includes:

- rules for morpheme-to-morpheme translation;
- the list of tags for the recommended glosses.


### 4.2 Related standards

The proposed guidelines are based on Leipzig Glossing Rules (see Bickel et al. 2002) and Eurotyp (see König et al. 1993). In particular, a basic list of abbreviations is adopted from LGR - and if not available in this standard from Eurotyp (see König et al. 1993); further tags for terms that are not available in these standards and are needed for our corpus have been introduced in our document.

### 4.3 Tagset declaration

The symbols used at the MORPH layer are replicated at the GLOSS layer. In addition to these symbols (see §3.2), some symbols are only used in the GLOSS:

Table 4: Conventions for morphemic translation

| tag | meaning | see in: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| x:y | x and y are different morphemes with |  |
|  | non-segmentable boundaries | §4.4.4; 4.4.5 |
| x.y | $x$ and $y$ are semantic components of the same morpheme | §4.4.4; 4.4.5 |
| x_n | all $x_{-} n$ are parts of the same discontinuous morpheme | §4.4.3 |
| x/y | x and y are alternating meanings/meaning components | §4.4.6 |
| \{x\} | $x$ is a feature not realized in this context | §4.4.6 |
| [x] | $x$ is non-overtly encoded | §4.4.6; 0 |
| XXX | grammatical meaning | §4.4.8 |

### 4.4 Instructions

### 4.4.1 Isomorphism between GLOSS and MORPH

Symbols introduced at the layer of morphemic segmentation for the indication of boundaries ( $\S 3.2$ ) are also used obligatorily in morpheme translations in a one-to-one relation. For exceptions to the general principle of isomorphism see §4.4.2-0.

- word boundaries
(20) German

| <WORDS> | heute | morgen |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | heute | morgen |
| <GLOSS> | today | morning |

- morpheme boundaries
(20) English

| <WORDS> | works |
| :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | work-s |
| <GLOSS> | work-3.SG |

- clitic boundaries
(21) German

| <WORDS> | wie | geht's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | wie | geht=s |
| <GLOSS> | how | go:3.SG=3.SG.NOM |

### 4.4.2 Non-Isomorphism: Sublexical components

In case the morphemic transcription contains more than one sublexical components (indicated by an underscore; see $\S 0$ ), they correspond to one unit at the GLOSS layer.
(22) Vietnamese

| <WORDS> | tiểu thuyết |
| :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | tiểu_thuyết |
| <GLOSS> | roman |

### 4.4.3 Non-Isomorphism: Discontinuity

Discontinuous morphemes are indicated by repeating the gloss in each part of the morpheme. The parts of the discontinuous morpheme are indicated through the index ' $n$ '. In infixation, the discontinuous morpheme is the root:
(23) Tagalog

| <WORDS> | bili |
| :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | bili |
| <GLOSS> | buy |


| <WORDS> | bumili |
| :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | b-um-ili |
| <GLOSS> | buy_1-A.FOC-_1 |

In circumfixation, the discontinuous morpheme is the affix:
(24) Tuwali Ifugao, Philippines

| <WORDS> | baddang |
| :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | baddang |
| <GLOSS> | help |


| <WORDS $>$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| <MORPH $>$ |
| <GLOSS $>$ |$\quad$ kabaddangan $\mid$ ka-baddang-an $\mid$

The same logic applies to cases like the particle verbs in German, where the particle can be separated from the verb and can occur like an independent word:
(25) German

| <WORDS> | ich | fange | mit | dem | Studium | an |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | ich | fange | mit | dem | Studium | an |
| <GLOSS> | 1.SG | start:1.SG_1 | with_1 | DEF:DAT.N | study[DAT.N] | 1 |


| WORDS $>$ <br> $<$ MORPH $>$ <br> $<$ |  | weil | ich | mit | dem | Studium |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | weil | ich | mit | dem | Studium | anfange |
|  | because | 1.SG | with | DEF:DAT.N | study[DAT.N] | start:1.SG |

### 4.4.4 Non-Isomorphism: Non-indicated boundaries

If the original form contains different morphemes that are not segmented (at the MORPH layer), then a colon is used in the gloss:
(26) German

| <WORDS> <br> <MORPH> <br> <GLOSS> | geht |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | geht |
|  | go:3.SG |

Special instructions for non-indicated boundaries:

- Morpheme boundaries that may not be easily identified in a theory neutral way, are not indicated (see §3.3.2):
(27) German

| $<$ WORDS $>$ | ging |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<$ MORPH $>$ | ging |
| $<$ GLOSS $>$ | go:PAST:1.SG |

- In the case of portmanteau morphemes (i.e. morphemes that fuse more than one grammatical functions), it usually makes no sense to indicate boundaries in the morphemic transcription; however, the different grammatical functions can be read off the GLOSS layer:
(28) French

| <WORDS> | au |
| :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | au |
| <GLOSS> | to.DEF.SG.M |

### 4.4.5 Non-Isomorphism: Complex glosses

If the morphemic translation contains more than one gloss, the glosses are separated by periods:
(29) Polish

| <WORDS> | ciastko |
| :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | ciastko |
| <GLOSS> | cake:SG.NOM.N |

Special instructions for complex glosses:

- Amalgamated grammatical information in fusional languages is translated through complex glosses:
(30) Polish

| $<$ WORDS $>$ | ciastko |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<$ MORPH $>$ | ciastko |
|  | cake:SG.NOM.N |

- Person and number combinations are treated as complex glosses:
(31) German

| <WORDS> | geht |
| :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | geht |
| <GLOSS> | go:3.SG |

- Lexical information that may not be translated by a single element in the translation language is treated as a complex gloss:
(32) Hawaian

| <WORDS $>$ | ulua |
| :--- | :--- |
| <MORPH $>$ | ulua |
| $<$ GLOSS $>$ | old.man |

- In complex glosses conveying grammatical information the following orders are used:

NOMINAL INFLECTION
\{gender\}.\{number\}.\{case\} (for nouns, adjectives, and determiners)
The order of these categories corresponds to the cross-linguistically preferred order for the realization of the corresponding morphemes.
(33) Polish

| <WORDS> | ciastko |
| :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | ciastko |
| <GLOSS> | cake:N.SG.NOM |

(34) Spanish

| $<$ WORDS $>$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<$ MORPH $>$ |
| $<$ GLOSS $>$ |$\quad$ mojigata $\mid$ mojigata $\mid$

(35) Spanish

| $<$ WORDS $>$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<$ MORPH $>$ |
| $<$ GLOSS $>$ |$\quad$ una $\mid$ una $\mid$

PRONOMINAL INFLECTION
\{person\}.\{number\}.\{gender\}.\{case\}
The idea of this order is to start the GLOSS with the information which identifies the paradigms as they are commonly presented in grammars, e.g. " 2 nd singular", " 3 rd singular masculine"; the relational information, i.e. case, comes at the end of the GLOSS.
(36) German

| <WORDS> <br> <MORPH> <br> <GLOSS> | du |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | du |
|  | 2.SG.NOM |

(37) German

| <WORDS> | ihm |
| :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | ihm |
| <GLOSS> | 3.SG.M.DAT |

(38) German

| <WORDS> | wir |
| :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | wir |
| <GLOSS> | 1.PL.NOM |

- Elements denoting person/number are decomposed into their semantic features if they are personal pronouns (i.e., if they belong to a syntactically identifiable paradigm that structures person/number oppositions in the object language):
(39) German

| <WORDS> | sie |
| :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | sie |
| <GLOSS> | 3.SG.NOM.F |


| <WORDS> | mir |
| :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | mir |
| <GLOSS> | 1.SG.DAT |


| <WORDS> <br> <MORPH> <br> <GLOSS> | wir |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | wir |
|  | 1.PL.NOM |

- If the categorial status of these elements is not different from simple nouns, then their meaning is rendered by the English translation:
(40) Japanese

| <WORDS> | kanojo |
| :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | kanojo |
| <GLOSS> | she |

VERB INFLECTION
\{aspect\}.\{voice\}.\{finiteness\}.\{tense\}. \{mood\}. \{person\}. \{gender\}.
\{number\}
(41) Ancient Greek

| <WORDS $>$ | lusaímēn |
| :--- | :--- |
| <MORPH $>$ <br> $<$ GLOSS $>$ | lusaímēn |
|  | unbind:PFV.MID.PST.OPT.1.SG |

The conventions for the order of morphological categories only hold for complex morpheme glosses, which contain more than one piece of grammatical information. Otherwise, the GLOSS corresponds to the actual order of morphemes.
(42) Turkish

| <WORDS> | bilmiyorum |
| :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | bil-m-iyor-um |
| <GLOSS> | know-NEG-PROG-1.SG |

### 4.4.6 Non-isomorphism: Alternative meanings

If a given grammatical or lexical morpheme has different meanings (that are activated in different contexts; in cases of either polysemy or homonymy), we recommend that only the context-relevant meaning is given:
(43) German

| $<$ WORDS $>$ | vom | Jahr |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $<$ MORPH $>$ <br> $<$ GLOSS $>$ | vom | Jahr |
|  | from:DEF.SG.DAT.N | year[DAT.SG] |

(44) German

| <WORDS> | das | Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | das | Band |
| <GLOSS> | DEF:N.SG.NOM | tape[NOM.SG] |


| <WORDS> | der | Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | der | Band |
| <GLOSS> | DEF:M.SG.NOM | volume[NOM.SG] |

If in particular parts of the corpus you wish to indicate the ambiguity of particular morphemes which is resolved in syntactic context, then you may set the further alternatives in curly brackets:
(45) German

| <WORDS> | vom | Jahr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | vom | Jahr |
| <GLOSS> | from:DEF.SG.DAT.N | year[DAT] $\{$ /NOM/ACC $\}$ |

(46) German

| <WORDS $>$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $<$ | das | Band |
|  <br> <GLORPH $>$ | das | Band |
|  | DEF:N.SG.NOM | tape[DAT] \{/volume[DAT]\} |

Complex examples of homonymy of case morphemes:
(47) Greek

| <WORDS $>$ | kaló |
| :--- | :--- |
| <MORPH $>$ <br> $<$ GLOSS $>$ | kaló |
|  | good $\{\mathrm{N} .\{$ NOM/ACC $\}$. SG/M.ACC.SG $\}$ |

### 4.4.7 Non-isomorphism: Non-overtly encoded meaning

The German word Frau 'woman' consists of only one lexical morpheme, but it also contains information about grammatical number. Thus, the glossing:
(48) German

| <WORDS> | Frau |
| :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | Frau |
| <GLOSS> | woman |

is incomplete, because the word Frau 'woman' in contrast to Frauen 'women' also includes the information 'singular'. If non-overtly encoded information should be stored, use square brackets:
(49) German

| $<$ WORDS $>$ | Frau |
| :--- | :--- |
| <MORPH $>$ |  |
| $<$ GLOSS $>$ |  |

## Instructions for the annotation of non-overtly encoded information:

- If the non-overtly encoded category is the unmarked category, then our recommendation is to not indicate it in the gloss. The following rules may be postulated as default:
(50) Lack of voice in the gloss for a verb implies "active".

Lack of number in the gloss for a noun implies "singular".
Lack of tense in the gloss for a verb implies "present".
Lack of case in the gloss for a noun implies "absolutive" in an ergative system.

These rules are language-specific: Lack of number morpheme indicates 'singular' in some languages, whereas in other languages it shows 'general number', lack of tense/aspect morpheme indicates 'present' in some languages, whereas in other languages it indicates 'imperfective', lack of case morpheme indicates absolutive in some languages, in some languages accusative, in some languages nominative, etc. That means the rules under (50) should be respectively postulated for every language.

- If a category which is treated cross-linguistically as unmarked is encoded through paradigmatic opposition and not through the lack of a morpheme, then this category is given in the gloss:
(51) Modern Greek

| <WORDS $>$ | neró |
| :--- | :--- |
| <MORPH $>$ <br> $<$ GLOSS $>$ | neró |
|  | water:SG.NOM.N |


| <WORDS> | near |
| :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | near |
| <GLOSS> | water:PL.NOM.N |

(52) Modern Greek

| <WORDS> | gráfo |
| :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | gráfo |
| <GLOSS> | write:ACT.PRS.IND.1.SG |

### 4.4.8 Tags

Table 4: Tags for glosses

| tag | term |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | Element without semantic content or syntactic function |
| 1 | First person |
| 2 | Second person |
| 3 | Third person |
| A | Agent-like argument of canonical transitive verb |
| ABL | Ablative |
| ABS | Absolutive |
| ACC | Accusative |
| ACT | Active |
| ALL | Allative |
| ANTIP | Antipassive |
| APPL | Applicative |


| tag | term |
| :---: | :---: |
| ART | Article |
| BEN | Benefactive |
| CAUS | Causative |
| CLF | Classifier |
| COMPR | Comparative |
| COM | Comitative |
| COMP | Complementizer |
| COMPL | Completive |
| COND | Conditional |
| COP | Copula |
| DAT | Dative |
| DECL | Declarative |
| DEF | Definite |
| DEM | Demonstrative |
| DIM | Diminutive |
| DIREV | Direct evidential marker |
| DIST | Distal (long distance from deictic center) |
| DISTR | Distributive |
| DU | Dual |
| DUR | Durative |
| ERG | Ergative |
| EXCL | Exclusive |
| EXPEV | Evidential marker for personal experience |
| F | Feminine |
| FILL | Break filler |
| FOC | Focus |


| tag | term |
| :---: | :---: |
| FUT | Future |
| GEN | Genitive |
| HAB | Habitual |
| IMP | Imperative |
| INCL | Inclusive |
| IND | Indicative |
| INDF | Indefinite |
| INF | Infinitive |
| INS | Instrumental |
| INTR | Intransitivizer |
| IPFV | Imperfective |
| IRR | Irrealis |
| ITER | Iterative |
| LOC | Locative |
| M | Masculine |
| MED | Medial (medial distance from deictic center) |
| MID | Middle (voice which excludes passive voice) |
| N | Neuter |
| NEG | Negative |
| NMLZ | Nominalizer |
| NOM | Nominative |
| NON | Negativelly defined categories |
| OBJ | Object |
| OBL | Oblique |
| P | Patient-like argument of canonical transitive verb |
| PASS | Passive |


| tag | term |
| :--- | :--- |
| PFV | Perfective |
| PL | Plural |
| POSS | Possessive |
| POT | Potential |
| PRF | Perfect |
| PRS | Present |
| PROG | Progressive |
| PROH | Prohibitive |
| PROX | Proximal (short distance from deictic center) |
| PST | Past |
| PTCP | Participle |
| PURP | Purposive |
| Q | Question particle/marker |
| QUOT | Quotative |
| RECP | Reciprocal |
| REFL | Reflexive |
| REL | Relative |
| REP | Reportative evidential marker |
| RES | Resultative |
| S | Single argument of canonical intransitive verb |
| SBJ | Subject |
| SBJV | Subjunctive |
| SG | Singular |
| SUPERL | Superlative |

### 4.4.9 Special instructions

- Negatively defined categories may be rendered with the abbreviation NON. The scope of the negation operator is indicated through parentheses, e.g. NON(SG) non-singular, NON(FUT) non-future, NON(3.SG) non-third-singular.
(53) Dyirbal

| <WORDS> | balgan |
| :--- | :--- |
| <MORPH $>$ | balgan |
| <GLOSS> $>$ | hit.NON(FUT) |

(54) English

| <WORDS> | drink |
| :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | drink |
| <GLOSS> | drink.NON(3.SG) |

- This tag is only used if the language possesses a category, which is negatively defined. Negatively defined terms are not used for the indication of polysemy. Thus:
(55) Modern Greek

| <WORDS> | neró |
| :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | neró |
| <GLOSS> | water:SG. $\{\mathrm{NOM} / \mathrm{ACC}\}$ |

may not be rendered as in (56):
(56) Modern Greek

| <WORDS> | neró |
| :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | neró |
| $<\mathrm{GLOSS}>$ | water:NON(PL).NON(GEN) |

- The tag ' 0 ' is used for elements that lack semantic content. Note that the layer "morphemic translation (GLOSS)" contains the meaning or
syntactic function of the elements of the layer "morphemic segmentation". Elements that do not have such a function are rendered as '0's. E.g. in French questions, there is a liaison particule as in que se passe-t-il?. The $t$ in this example has no semantic value, it is only there as liaison between a vowel ending verb and a vowel initial pronoun. The gloss of this element looks as follows:
(57) French

| <WORDS> | que | se | passe-t-il |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | que | se | passe-t-il |
| <GLOSS> | what | REFL.3.SG | happen:3.SG-0-3.SG.M |

- The use of lexical verbs as auxiliaries for the formation of inflectional forms is not indicated in gloss. The gloss contains the lexical meaning of the verb. The special use of the verb in this case is indicated at the POS layer.
(58) French

| <WORDS> | ai | aimé |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | ai | aimé |
| <GLOSS> | have:1.SG | love:PTCP.PRF |
| <POS> | VAUX | VLEX |

- Complex verbal aspects like 'aorist' should be decomposed, e.g. Modern Greek aorist is glossed as 'PFV.PAST' in indicative mood and as 'PFV' in non-indicative moods.
(59) Modern Greek

| <WORDS $>$ | fáe |
| :--- | :--- |
| <MORPH $>$ <br> $<$ GLOSS $>$ | fáe |
| $<$ eat:IMPR.PFV.2.SG |  |
|  | Eat! |

(60) Modern Greek

| <WORDS $>$ | éfaje |
| :--- | :--- |
| <MORPH $>$ <br> <GLOSS $>$ | éfaj-e |
| <TRANS $>$ | eat:PFV.PAST-3.SG |
|  | he/she/it has eaten |

- Break fillers are elements like "hmmm...", "äh...", etc. These elements are glossed as 'FILL'.
(61) German

| $<$ WORDS $>$ | ich | gehe | $\ldots . \mathrm{hmm} . .$. | ins | Kino | . |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| <MORPH $>$ <br> $<$ <GLOSS $>$ | ich | gehe | hmm | in=s | Kino |  |
|  | 1. SG | go:1.SG | FILL | in:DEF:ACC.SG.N | cinema <br> [ACC.SG.N] |  |
| <TRANS $>$ | I am going to the cinema. |  |  |  |  |  |

## 5 Layer III: Part of Speech (POS)

### 5.1 Introduction

The layer "part of speech" indicates the grammatical categories of words. The general principle behind part of speech categorization in these guidelines is syntax-oriented. The idea is not to establish language specific categories, but to provide categorial information which is relevant for syntax. For instance, the word walk in English may be used as a noun or a verb. Rather than establishing a new category which captures all possible functions, e.g., "V/N" for walk, we recommend specifying the categorial information which is relevant in that context:
(62) English

| <WORDS> | the | walk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | DET | N |

(63) English

| <WORDS> | to | walk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | PTC | VLEX |

### 5.2 Tagset declaration

Similar to STTS, tag names for parts of speech are organized in a hierarchical manner: The first letter(s) indicate the superordinate category, e.g. N for 'noun', and subsequent letters denote subclasses, e.g. NCOM for 'common noun'.

Table 5: List of tags for part of speech

| tag | term |
| :--- | :--- |
| A | adjective |
| ADV | adverb |
| AT | attributive |
| CLF | classifier |
| COOR | coordinating conjunction |
| DET | determiner |
| N | noun |
| NCOM | common noun |
| NPRP | proper noun |
| P | pronoun |
| PRON | demonstrative pronoun |
| PRONDEM | expletive pronoun |
| PRONEXPL | interrogative pronoun |
| PRONINT | possessive pronoun |
| PRONPOS | personal pronoun |
| PRONPRS | quantifier |
| PRONQUANT |  |


| PRONREL | relative pronoun |
| :--- | :--- |
| PRONRFL | reflexive pronoun |
| PTC | particle |
| SU | substantive |
| SUB | subordinating conjunction |
| SUBADV | adverbial subordinating conjunction |
| SUBCOM | complementizer |
| V | verb |
| VAUX | auxiliary verb |
| VCOP | ditransitive verb |
| VDITR | intransitive verb |
| VINTR | lexical verb |
| VLEX | modal verb |
| VMOD | verbal noun |
| VN | transitive verb |
| VTR | clitic form |
| CLIT | full form |
| FULL |  |

If a part of speech has some subclasses, as, e.g., in the case of 'nouns' which may be further divided into 'common nouns' and 'proper nouns', then it is recommended to choose one level of categorization, i.e. either annotate every noun just as ' N ', or make the distinction between 'NCOM' and 'NPRP' every time. The same also holds for verbs, pronouns, etc.
(64) English, annotation of supercategories

| <WORDS> | Peter | bicycle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | N | N |

(65) English, annotation of subcategories

| <WORDS> | Peter | bicycle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | NPRP | NCOM |

### 5.3 Specific instructions

### 5.3.1 Nouns

General case
(66) English

| <WORDS> | water |
| :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | N |

Subclasses

- proper nouns:
(67) English

| <WORDS> | Peter |
| :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | NPRP |

- common nouns:
(68) English

| <WORDS> | house |
| :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | NCOM |

### 5.3.2 Verbs

General case
(69) English

| <WORDS> | sleep |
| :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | V |

## Subclasses

The following subclasses of verbs may be used according to the function of the verb in certain contexts, i.e. the verb be would be annotated as VCOP in be happy and VAUX in be destroyed. Similarly, the German verb wollen 'want' would be annotated as VMOD in ich will gehen 'I want to go' and as VLEX in ich will ein Eis 'I want ice-cream'.

- modal verbs:
(70) English

| $<$ WORDS $>$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<$ POS $>$ |$\quad$ can $\mid$ VMOD.

- auxiliary verbs:
(71) English

| $<$ WORDS $>$ <br> $<$ POS $>$ | have |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | VAUX |

- copula verbs:
(72) English

| <WORDS> | be |
| :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | VCOP |

- lexical verbs:
(73) English

| <WORDS> | walk |
| :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | VLEX |

The annotation of part of speech follows the syntactic function of the verb. I. e., the verb haben in German may be a transitive verb if it is used with a direct object, or an auxiliary verb when it is used for the formation of perfect tenses.
(74) German

| $<$ WORDS $>$ <br> $<$ MORPH $>$ <br> $<$ GLOSS $>$ | Hunger | haben |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | hunger | have:INF |
|  | NCOM | VLEX |

(75) German

| $<$ WORDS $>$ | gegessen | haben |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $<$ GLOSS $>$ <br> $<$ POS $>$ | eat:PRF.PTCP | have:INF |
|  | VLEX | VAUX |

- transitivity

It is possible to distinguish between intransitive, transitive, and ditransitive verbs by using the following glosses:
(76) English

| <WORDS> | sleep |
| :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | VINTR |

(77) English

| <WORDS> | buy |
| :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | VTR |

(78) English

| <WORDS> | give |
| :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | VDITR |

### 5.3.3 Adjectives

(79) Spanish

| $<$ WORDS $>$ | aburrido |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<$ GLOSS $>$ <br> $<$ POS $>$ | boring |
|  | A |

### 5.3.4 Adverbs

(80) English

| $<$ WORDS $>$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<$ POS $>$ |$\quad$ soon $\mid$ ADV 9.

(81) English

| <WORDS> | where |
| :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | ADV |

So called pronominal adverbs in German are also annotated as ADV:
(82) German

| <WORDS> | darüber |
| :---: | :---: |
| <GLOSS> | there:over |
| <POS> | ADV |

(83) German

| <WORDS> | hierüber |
| :---: | :---: |
| <GLOSS> | here:over |
| <POS> | ADV |

(84) German

| <WORDS $>$ | worüber |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<$ GLOSS $>$ <br> $<$ POS $>$ | where:over |
|  | ADV |

(85) German

| $<$ WORDS $>$ | dessentwegen |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<$ GLOSS $>$ <br> $<$ POS $>$ | DEM:M.GEN.SG:because.of |
|  | ADV |

(86) German

| <WORDS> | meinetwegen |
| :---: | :---: |
| <GLOSS> | 1.SG.GEN:because.of |
| <POS> | ADV |

### 5.3.5 Adpositions

Including all types of X-positions:
(87) English

| <WORDS> | behind | the | house |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | P | DET | NCOM |

(88) English

| <WORDS> | two | years | ago |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | DET | NCOM | P |

### 5.3.6 Determiners

Determiners include articles and numerals used as determiners (see $\S 0 ; \S 5.3 .8$ ).
They do not include demonstratives or quantifiers (cf. 5.3.8).
(89) English

| $<$ WORDS $>$ | the |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<$ POS $>$ |  | DET | DE |
| :--- |

### 5.3.7 Conjunctions

All types of subordinators are annotated as SUB:
(90) English

| <WORDS> | if |
| :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | SUB |


| $<$ WORDS $>$ | that |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<$ POS $>$ |  | SUB | S |
| :--- |


| <WORDS> | when |
| :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | SUB |

If you need to indicate complementizers or adverbial subordinating conjunctions separately, then use the corresponding tags:
(91) English

| <WORDS> | when |
| :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | SUBADV |

(92) English

| $<$ WORDS $>$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<$ POS $>$ |$\quad$ that $\mid$

Coordinating conjunctions are annotated as COOR:
(93) English

| $<$ WORDS $>$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<$ POS $>$ |$\quad$ and $\mid$ COOR.

### 5.3.8 Pronouns

- personal pronouns:
(94) English

| $<$ WORDS $>$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<$ POS $>$ |$\quad$ you $|$| PRONPRS |
| :--- |

- interrogative pronouns:
(95) English

| <WORDS> | who |
| :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | PRONINT |

- demonstrative pronouns:
(96) English

| WORDS $>$ <br> $<$ POS $>$ | this |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | PRONDEM |

Notice that German displays a demonstrative pronoun that is in most cases homonymous to the definite article.
(97) German

| <WORDS> | Das | ist | es |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <GLOSS> | this:N.SG.NOM | be:3.SG | 3.SG.NOM |  |
| <POS> | PRONDEM | VCOP | PRONPERS |  |

- reflexive pronouns:

This category should be used only if the language possesses pronouns which are always used as reflexives, e.g. the English reflexive pronouns (not the German pronouns of the type ich schäme mich, where the ambiguity personal/reflexive is resolved in the argument structure of the given verb).
(98) English

| <WORDS> | myself |
| :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | PRONRFL |

- possessive pronouns:
(99) English

| $<$ WORDS $>$ | your |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<$ POS $>$ |  | PRONPOS | PR |
| :--- |

- relative pronouns:
(100) English

| <WORDS> | which |
| :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | PRONREL |

- expletive pronouns:

Expletive pronouns (also called "impersonal pronouns", "pleonastic pronouns") are pronouns which do not have any meaning but are syntactically required, as for instance:
(101) English

| <WORDS> | there | is | a | man | . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | PRONEXPL | V | DET | N |  |

(102) German

| $<$ WORDS $>$ <br> $<$ GLOSS $>$ <br> $<$ POS $>$ | es | riecht | nach | Erdbeeren | . |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3. SG | smell:3.SG | to | strawberry:DAT.PL |  |
|  | PRONEXPL | V | P | N |  |

(103) German

| $<$ WORDS $>$ | es | regnet | . |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| <GLOSS $>$ <br> $<$ POS $>$ | 3. SG | rain:3.SG |  |
|  | PRONEXPL | V |  |

We also use PRONEXPL for pre-field es in German. The difference between es in (101)-(103) and es in (104) is encoded at the syntactic layer:
(104) German

| $<$ WORDS $>$ <br> $<$ GLOSS $>$ <br> $<$ POS $>$ | es | kamen | drei | Sportler | . |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3. SG | come:3.PL | three | sportsman[PL] |  |
|  | PRONEXPL | V | DET | N |  |

- quantifiers:

The properties of quantifiers are described in detail in the semantics guidelines.
(105) German

| <WORDS> | jeder |
| :---: | :---: |
| <GLOSS> | every.one:M.SG.NOM |
| <POS> | PRONQUANT |

(106) German

| <WORDS $>$ | jeder | Mann |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| <GLOSS $>$ <br> $<$ POS $>$ | every:M.SG.NOM | man |
|  | PRONQUANT | NCOM |

(107) German

| <WORDS> | alle |
| :---: | :---: |
| <GLOSS> | all:PL.NOM |
| <POS> | PRONQUANT |

If you need to differentiate between substantive and attributive paradigms of pronouns, then use the following tags (append SU and AT respectively). Substantive pronouns replace the whole NP, attributive ones function as a determiner:
(108) English

| <WORDS> | yours |
| :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | PRONPOSSU |

(109) English

| $<$ WORDS $>$ | your |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<$ POS $>$ |  | PRONPOSAT P

### 5.3.9 Particles

(110) German

| <WORDS> | ja |
| :---: | :---: |
| <GLOSS> | yes |
| < POS > | PTC |

Interjections are also annotated as particles:
(111) German

| <WORDS> | oh |
| :---: | :---: |
| <GLOSS> | oh |
| <POS> | PTC |

### 5.3.10 Special instructions

## Clitic vs. full forms

If a language makes a difference between clitic and full forms in a given category, then append the tags 'FULL' and 'CLIT'. E.g.,
(112) Croatian

| <WORDS> | jesam | sam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | be:1.SG | be:1.SG |
| <GLOSS> | VAUXFULL | VAUXCLIT |

(113) Modern Greek

| $<$ WORDS $>$ | eména |
| :--- | :--- |
| <GLOSS $>$ <br> $<$ POS $>$ | 1.SG.ACC |
|  | PRONPRSFULL |


| $<$ WORDS $>$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<$ GLOSS $>$ |
| $<$ POS $>$ |$\quad$ me.

## Numerals

Numerals are treated as members of broader syntactic categories (for the explicit marking of numerals, use the Semantic Annotation Layer QuP):

- cardinal numerals in English are treated as determiners;
- ordinal numerals in English are treated as adjectives;
- adverbial numerals in English are treated as adverbs.
(114) English

| <WORDS> | two |
| :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | DET |


| <WORDS> | second |
| :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | A |


| <WORDS> | twice |
| :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | ADV |

## Discontinuity

Similar to discontinuous morphemes (see §4.4.3), discontinuous elements are indicated by indices also in the POS layer:
(115) English

| <WORDS> | either | John | or | Mary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | COOR_1 | NPRP | _1 | NPRP |

(116) German

| <WORDS> | ich | fange | jetzt | an |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <MORPH> | ich | fange | jetzt | an |
| <GLOSS> | 1.SG | start:1.SG_1 | now | 1 |
| <POS> | PRONPRS | VLEX_1 | ADV | 1 |

(117) German

| <WORDS> | um | unseres | Vaters | willen |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <POS> | P_1 | PRONPOS | NCOM | 1 |
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