# Genetics and Thermal Biology of Littorinid Snails of the Genera *Afrolittorina*, *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* (Gastropoda: Littorinidae) from Temperate, Subtropical and Tropical Regions

By

# Tshifhiwa Given Matumba

A Thesis Submitted to Rhodes University in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Philosophy of Doctorate (Science) in Marine Biology

Department of Zoology and Entomology, Rhodes University, South Africa

Under the Supervision of:

Professor Christopher D. McQuaid (Chair of Zoology and SARCHI Research Chair in Marine Ecosystem Research, Department of Zoology and Entomology, Rhodes University, South Africa)

Professor Nigel P. Barker (Head of Botany Department and Molecular Ecology Systematics Group, Department of Botany, Rhodes University, South Africa)

February 2013

#### ABSTRACT

With the anticipated effects of climate change due to global warming, there is concern over how animals, especially ectotherms, will respond to or tolerate extreme and fluctuating environmental temperature stress. Littorinid snails are intertidal ectotherms that live high on the shore where they experience both extreme and variable conditions of temperature and desiccation stress, and are believed to live close to their tolerance limits. This study investigated the thermal biology of littorinid snails of the genera *Afrolittorina*, *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* from temperate, subtropical and tropical regions in South Africa and Brunei Darussalam using thermal tolerance, heart function, and proteome approaches. The effects of conditions, such as rate of change in temperature, acclimation, heat shock, season and starvation were also tested. In addition, the evolutionary relationships and genetic diversity between and within the South African *Afrolittorina* spp. were investigated using mitochondrial and nuclear markers.

Genetic results confirmed that these are two distinct species, with the brown to black *A*. *knysnaensis* predominant in the cool-temperate region of South Africa and the pale blue-grey *A*. *africana* in the subtropical region. There was low genetic variation and differentiation within each species, suggesting high gene flow among populations as a result of the effects of ocean currents on the dispersal of their planktotrophic larvae.

Tests using exposure to high temperatures revealed differences in the thermal tolerances, heart performance and protein profiles of species from different latitudes, regions and zones on the shore. Thermal tolerance conformed to expectations, with clear, statistically significant trends from high tolerance in subtropical species to lower tolerance in temperate species. However, for *Afrolittorina* spp., there were no significant differences in the thermal tolerances of conspecifics from different regions, though there was a significant difference in thermal tolerance between juveniles and adults. Overall, adults of all species showed higher thermal tolerances than juveniles. Although lethal temperatures for these species were higher in summer than winter, laboratory acclimation had no effect on heat coma temperatures.

All species showed some regulation of heart rate, with a degree of independence of heart rate from temperature across mid-range temperatures. The tropical species showed quick induction and good regulation of heart rate followed by the subtropical and temperate species, which displayed mixed responses including regulation, partial regulation and lack of regulation. Overall, tropical *Echinolittorina* spp. showed good regulation, while the subtropical *E. natalensis* and *Littoraria glabrata* exhibited a mixture of partial regulation and regulation. The subtropical/temperate *Afrolittorina* spp. showed high individual variability, some animals exhibiting regulation, while others did not. These effects seem to be largely phylogenetically determined as there were no differences in the heart rate responses of *Afrolittorina* spp. from different regions.

The temperatures at which heart rate became independent of temperature (thermoneutral zone) were within the range experienced under natural conditions. In addition, there were differences in Arrhenius breakpoint and endpoint temperatures, showing a trend from higher in tropical animals to lower for temperate animals.

Conditions such as acclimation, heat shock and starvation had little or no effect on heart performance. However, a slow increase in temperature induced good regulation of heart rate with noticeable shifts of breakpoints and endpoints for *Afrolittorina* spp.

Lastly, there were differences in the proteome responses between and within *Afrolittorina* spp. as a function of species, size and treatment. Although both large and small *A. knysnaensis* had a greater number of protein spots in their proteome than *A. africana* (though the difference was not significant), the later showed significantly higher differential expression of certain proteins following heat stress. In addition, juveniles of both species displayed greater numbers of protein spots in their proteome than adults.

The results indicate a difference in the physiological and biochemical responses (i.e. adaptations) of these snails to temperature, and this seems to relate to differences in biogeography, phylogeny, species identity and ecology. The ability to regulate heart rate is phylogenetically determined, while thresholds and lethal limits correspond to biogeography and species ecology. The proteome seems to correspond to species ecology. The results also indicate that these littorinids can tolerate high temperature stress and in this respect they are

well suited to life in the intertidal zones or habitats where temperature and other stresses or conditions are extreme and can change abruptly. However, the limited ability of these snails to acclimate to different temperatures suggests that they are already living close to their tolerance limits with small safety margins or narrow thermal windows and so may be vulnerable to small rises in substratum temperature and/or solar radiation.

# **DEDICATION**

This thesis is dedicated to my sister, Mrs **Muhanganei Edith Nesane**, for showing everlasting patience and support throughout my studies from undergraduates to the end of this thesis. Without her, I would have not made it to this far.

# **DECLARATION AND AUTHOURITY OF ACCESSS**

I, **Tshifhiwa Given Matumba**, hereby declare that this thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma at any university or academic institution, and to the best of my knowledge contains no paraphrase or copy of material previously published or written by other persons, except where due reference is made in the text of the thesis. The views and opinions expressed herein are solely those of the author and do not reflect the views of the university.

SIGNATURE:.....DATE:....

I empower the University to reproduce, for the purpose of research, either the whole or any portion of the contents of my dissertation in any manner whatsoever.

NAME: Mr. Tshifhiwa Given Matumba

STUDENT NO. 08m6677

SIGNATURE:.....DATE:....

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Various people contributed in this study one way or another.

Many thanks go to my supervisor, Prof. Christopher D. McQuaid, for providing an opportunity to study through his financial support, guidance in planning the whole project, perusing the many drafts that have passed his desk during the course of my study; and the perspective that he brought to the project as well as the intricacies of English language through thoughtful editing of draft manuscripts. He is also thanked for his patience, encouragement, support and his effort to provide pleasant working conditions in the department as well as the university.

I would also like to thank my co-supervisor, Prof. Nigel P. Barker, for allowing me to use his laboratories and equipments, editing the genetics drafts as well as providing advice and support for the whole genetics work.

Dr Chris M.R. Kelly of the Department of Botany, Rhodes University is to be thanked for helping with analysing of the genetics data, providing advice and support on genetics work.

Prof. (Associate) David M. Marshall of the Universiti Brunei Darussalam is thanked for his tremendous help with the methods and procedures, providing laboratory space and equipment for measuring heart rate, and passing on his knowledge of working with metabolism of littorinid snails as well as editing the heart rate draft. He is also thanked for continuous encouragement and support throughout the study, his help with organising entry permit, accommodation and transport during my visit to Brunei Darussalam.

Members of the Proteomics group at the Swire Institute of Marine Biology (SWIMS), University of Hong Kong, provided help in different ways. Dr Vengatessen Thiyagarajan provided laboratories, equipment and consumables for 2-D gels work; helped with 2-D gels analysis and editing the proteomics draft. Mr Kelvin Wong is to be thanked for his patience and generosity in teaching and help with 2-D gels work, and sharing his knowledge of proteomics. Dr Gray Williams deserve many thanks for organising accommodation and transportation, access to the Institute, computers and other facilities to mention few.

The Department of Zoology and Entomology staff and technical team are thanked for their assistance in various ways. Dr Nicole Richoux and Mrs Lisel Knot provided equipment; Ms

Tracy Lindsay and Mr Dale Ranchhold for purchasing equipment and research finances; and Mr Siyabonga Dyaloyi for all the driving, assistance and patience during collection trips.

Dr Syd Rhamadan and Mrs Marilyn Bodasing of the Department of Biological Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal (UKZN) Westville campus, are thanked for their various help as well as providing work space and equipment at UKZN.

The Department of Zoology, University of Cape Town (UCT) staff member, Mr Gift Maluleke remained generous in allowing me to use their laboratory and equipment.

Mrs Tshifhiwa Nanagammbi-Neundani of the Department of Zoology, University of Venda (UNIVEN), played a significant role for bringing me into contact with my supervisors, and the university.

I am very grateful for my family, especially my sister Mrs Muhanganei Edith Nesane, who never stopped supporting me. She provided me with the courage to face the challenges that were connected with me looking for my own way and her help was enormously important. Her encouragement, understanding, patience and support made this work possible.

Not forgetting friends, fellow students and colleagues for their help, kindness and support during my study and stay in Rhodes University and Grahamstown. Special thanks go to Dr Unathi Heshula, Mr. Ndumiso Mateyisi and Lucas Mmonwa who were like my family in Grahamstown and Rhodes University.

I would like to acknowledge the financial support for this project from Prof. Christopher D. McQuaid"s NRF Chair grantholder-linked bursary and supplementary bursary from a Mellon Foundation Scholarship to myself.

Apologies go to people whose their names might have slipped during the preparation of this section; without them this study would not have been possible.

Examiners are to be thanked for comments, suggestions and corrections that improved this thesis.

| CONTENTSPAGE |
|--------------|
|--------------|

| TITLE PAGE                          | İ    |
|-------------------------------------|------|
| ABSTRACT                            | ii   |
| DEDICATION                          | V    |
| DECLARATION AND AUTHORITY OF ACCESS | vi   |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS                    | .vii |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS                   | ix   |
| LIST OF FIGURES                     | XV   |
| LIST OF TABLES                      | .xxi |
| APPENDICES                          | xxii |

| CHAPTER 1: General Introduction1                |
|---|
| 1.1. Organisation1                              |
| 1.2. Temperature and climate change1            |
| 1.2.1. Temperature and its effects1             |
| 1.2.2. Climate change and its effects5          |
| 1.3. Study Animals                              |
| 1.3.1. Introduction to the Littorinidae family9 |
| 1.3.2. Study species10                          |
| 1.4. Description of study Areas13               |
| 1.4.1. Brunei Darussalam14                      |
| 1.4.2. South Africa15                           |
| 1.5. Background to the study19                  |

| 1.6. Thesis overview       | 20 |
|----------------------------|----|
|                            |    |
| 1.6.1. Aims and Objectives | 21 |

# CHAPTER 2: Phylogeography of the two closely related *Afrolittorina* species, *Afrolittorina africana* and *A. knysnaensis*, from South Africa......22

| 2.1. Introduction22   |
|---|
| 2.2. Materials and methods29                                    |
| 2.2.1. Study species  |
| 2.2.2. Specimen collection and identification29                 |
| 2.2.3. DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing34           |
| 2.2.3.1. DNA extraction   |
| 2.2.3.2. DNA amplification (PCR) and purification               |
| 2.2.3.3. Sequencing   |
| 2.2.4. Sequence editing and alignment37                         |
| 2.2.5. Phylogenetic and phylogeographic analyses                |
| 2.2.6. Genetic diversity analysis                               |
| 2.3. Results  |
| 2.3.1. Sequence characteristics                                 |
| 2.3.1. Phylogenetic and phylogeography of Afrolittorina species |
| 2.3.3. Genetic diversity of Afrolittorina species40             |
| 2.4. Discussion and conclusions47                               |

| CHAPTER 3. Thermal tolerance of littorinid snails of the genera                               |
|---|
| Afrolittorina, Echinolittorina and Littoraria from temperate and subtropical                  |
| regions of South Africa54   |
| 3.1. Introduction54   |
| 3.2. Materials and methods67  |
| <i>3.2.1. Study species</i> 67  |
| 3.2.2. Collection and transportation67  |
| 3.2.3. Handling and treatment conditions  |
| 3.2.4. Determination of upper critical thermal tolerance limits or heat coma temperatures     |
| 3.2.5. Lethal thermal limit ( $LT_{50}$ ) determination                                       |
| 3.2.6. Data and statistical analysis73  |
| 3.3. Results74  |
| 3.3.1. Heat coma (HC) temperatures and lethal thermal limits $(LT_{50})$ 74                   |
| 3.3.1.1. Are there phylogenetic differences in HC and $LT_{50}$ of the studied species?75     |
| 3.3.1.2. Do species from the same region show the same HC and $LT_{50}$ ?                     |
| 3.3.1.3. Is HC and LT <sub>50</sub> affected by region?82                                     |
| 3.3.1.4. Does acclimation (laboratory) and acclimatization (season) affect HC and $LT_{50}$ ? |
| 3.4. Discussion and conclusions91   |
| Effects of acclimation and acclimatization on thermal tolerances103                           |

| <b>CHAPTER 4:</b> Temperature-heart function relation of aestivating littorinid       |
|---|
| snails of the genera Afrolittorina, Echinolittorina and Littoraria from               |
| temperate, subtropical and tropical regions109  |
| 4.1. Introduction109  |
| 4.2. Materials and Methods127   |
| 4.2.1. Study species127   |
| 4.2.2. Collection and transportation127   |
| 4.2.3. Handling and treatment conditions127   |
| 4.2.4. Heart rate measurements130   |
| 4.2.5. Data analysis131   |
| 4.3. Results133   |
| 4.3.1. Effect of region, phylogeny and ecology on heart performance133                |
| 4.3.1.1. Are there regional or phylogenetic differences in stress response patterns?  |
| 4.3.1.2. Do species from same region show the same response patterns?137              |
| 4.3.1.3. Do species from the same genus show the same response patterns?              |
| 4.3.1.4. Do species show the same responses in different regions?140                  |
| 4.3.1.5. Does the same individual show the same responses over repeated exposures?    |
| 4.3.2. Effect of conditions on heart performance, particularly critical and threshold |
| temperatures (breakpoints and endpoints)142   |
| 4.3.2.1. Fast (Acute) versus slow (chronic) increase in temperature143                |
| 4.3.2.2. Acclimation temperature144   |

| 4.3.2.3. Heat shock  | 145             |
|--|-----------------|
| 4.3.2.4. Starvation  | 146             |
| 4.4. Discussion and conclusions  | 147             |
| High individual variability and mixed responses in temperate a species | und subtropical |
| Effects of conditions on heart performance, including breakpoints      | and endpoints   |
| temperatures   | 164             |
| Slow increase in temperature   | 164             |
| Acclimation and acclimatization  | 165             |
| Heat shock   | 169             |
| Effect of starvation or nutritional status                             | 170             |

| CHAPTER 5: Proteomics of co-existing Afrolittorina species | s from warm |
|--|-------------|
| temperate region of South Africa                           | 174         |
| 5.1. Introduction  | 174         |
| 5.2. Materials and methods                                 | 186         |
| 5.2.1. Study species                                       |             |
| 5.2.2. Collection and transportation                       | 186         |
| 5.2.3. Handling and treatment conditions                   | 186         |
| 5.2.4. Two-dimensional (2-DE) gel electrophoresis          |             |
| 5.2.4.1. Sample preparation                                | 187         |
| 5.2.4.2. Separations                                       |             |
| 5.2.4.3. Image and statistical analysis                    |             |

| 5.3. Results   | 190 |
|--|-----|
| 5.3.1. Two-dimensional gel images of Afrolittorina species | 190 |
| 5.3.2. Protein representation in Afrolittorina spp         | 192 |
| 5.4. Discussion and conclusion                             | 198 |

| CHAPTER 6: S | Synthesis | 209 |
|--------------|-----------|-----|
| CHAPTER 6: S | Synthesis | 209 |

| eferences |
|-----------|
|-----------|

| ppendices | 32 |
|-----------|----|
|-----------|----|

#### List of figures

Figure 1.2. Map showing study areas. Red block and circle indicates South Africa and Brunei Darussalam respectively. Picture downloaded from the web:www.mapofworld.com......13

Figure 2.3. Maximum parsimony (MP) tree based on 745 base pairs of 34 and 24 unique [see Appendix 2.2 for list of samples with plus (+) sign] 28S rRNA sequences including reference sequences of *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis* plus outgroups, *A. praetermissa* and *A. acutispira*. Solid lines indicate grouping according to species (blue for *A. africana* and black

Figure 3.3. Mean lethal temperatures of *E. natalensis*, *L. glabrata*, *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis* from South Africa. (A) results using two different methods, (1) attachment and

Figure 4.7.2. Heart patterns of animals (A, B) left on sensors at 30 °C for 3 days; (D, E) left on sensors at room temperature for 2 days; and (C, F) allowed to "feed" for 3 days at room temperature (approximately 20°C). Each trace is from one individual of each species.......142

## List of tables

Table 3.5. Mean lethal temperatures (+ SD) of large and small littorinid snails of the generaAfrolittorina, Echinolittorina and Littoraria from different regions of South Africa. Largeand Small are defined in main text.82

 Table 4.3. Proportions of regulating and non-regulating littorinid snails of the genera

 Afrolittorina, Echinolittorina and Littoraria from tropical, subtropical and temperate regions

 of Brunei Darussalam and South Africa.

Table 5.3. Number of differentially (up and down regulated) expressed proteins between nonstressed and stressed *Afrolittorina* spp. from the warm temperate region of South Africa...196

# Appendices

| Appendix    | 2.1.           | Representatives    | of   | mitochondrial  | (mtCOI) | and   | ribosomal | (28S  | rRNA) |
|-------------|----------------|--------------------|------|----------------|---------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|
| nucleotides | sequ           | ences for A. afric | ana  | and A. knysnae | nsis    |       |           |       | 332   |
| 2.1.        | 1. <i>mt</i> ( | CO1 sequences      | •••• |                |         | ••••• | •••••     |       | 332   |
| 2.1.2       | 2. 28          | S rRNA Sequend     | ces. |                | •••••   | ••••• |           | ••••• | 334   |

#### **CHAPTER 1: General Introduction**

#### 1.1. Organisation

The thesis is divided into six chapters. Chapter One forms a general introduction, and focuses on the study genera and species. Brief descriptions of study areas and conditions are provided here. Chapter Two investigates the phylogenetic relationships of the two closely related *Afrolittorina* spp., *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis*, and the genetic diversity within these species. Chapter Three investigates the heat tolerance of littorinid snails of the genera *Afrolittorina*, *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* from temperate and subtropical regions. Chapter Four investigates and compares the temperature related heart function of aestivating littorinid snails of the genera *Afrolittorina*, *Echinolittorina*, *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* from temperate, subtropical regions. Chapter stress of the genera *Afrolittorina* spp. from a warm temperate region. Chapter Six concludes the thesis with a general discussion and synthesis.

#### **1.2.** Temperature and climate change

#### 1.2.1. Environmental temperature and its effects

Temperature is one of the most important environmental factors (e.g. desiccation) that affects the physiology and behaviour, and consequently the distribution and abundance of organisms, including intertidal ectotherms (see Huey and Stevenson, 1979; Huey and Kingsolver, 1989; Huey, 1991; Somero, 1995; 2002; 2005; 2010; Segnini de Bravo *et al.*, 1998; Chan *et al.*, 2006; etc). The effects of temperature on ectotherms have been shown to occur at all levels of organisation from the population to the cellular level (see Sommer *et al.*, 1997; Hickey and Singer, 2004; Allan *et al.*, 2006; Kassahn *et al.*, 2009; Rais *et al.*, 2010). Consequently, temperature affects all aspects of ectotherm biology, from ability to feed and reproduce to the

structural and functional integrity of the biochemical machinery (see Bhaud *et al.*, 1995; Burnaford, 2004; Pörtner *et al.*, 2006; Silvestre *et al.*, 2012). This is because temperature affects biological and physiological processes such as metabolism, growth and reproduction (see Pincebourde *et al.*, 2008; Broitman *et al.*, 2009; Harley *et al.*, 2009; Iftikar *et al.*, 2010; Tepler *et al.*, 2011), which in turn affect performance and fitness.

Environmental temperature affects performance and fitness through its effect on body temperature (see Cornelius, 1972; Huey and Kingsolver, 1989, 1993; Angilletta Jr. *et al.*, 2002; Feder and Walser, 2005; Martin and Huey, 2008; etc). Essentially, this is because physiological and biochemical performance increases with body temperature until it declines above optimum or near lethal temperatures (see Huey and Berrigan, 2001; Peck *et al.*, 2007; Pincebourde *et al.*, 2008; Pörtner, 2010; Tattersall *et al.*, 2012). Temperature determines physiological processes by limiting reaction (biochemical) rates, which are temperature dependent (see Menge and Sutherland, 1987; Sinclair *et al.*, 2006; Kordas *et al.*, 2011; Wernberg *et al.*, 2011; etc). Since biochemical and physiological processes affect survival, growth and reproduction; environmental temperature determines when and where animals, particularly ectotherms, can survive and thrive (see Menge and Sutherland, 1987; Tomanek and Helmuth, 2002; Helmuth *et al.*, 2002; 2010a, b; 2011; Madeira *et al.*, 2012b; etc).

As a result, variation in temperature explains much of the spatial and temporal variability in the distributions and abundances of species around the world (see Charles *et al.*, 1992; Warwick and Turk, 2002; Harley and Lopez, 2003; Pincebourde *et al.*, 2008; Lima *et al.*, 2011; etc). Environmental temperatures are especially important for intertidal animals such as littorinid snails that live under extremely harsh conditions, where they experience both extreme and variable conditions, often living closer to their tolerance limits than species that are confined to purely marine or purely terrestrial environments. In addition, intertidal animals often respond rapidly to environmental changes, and so have been used to study the impact of climate (environmental) change on animals.

Intertidal environments are strongly affected by both atmospheric and oceanic changes, with conditions changing between marine during high tide and terrestrial during low tides, so that

animals face both extreme temperatures and abrupt changes in temperature and desiccation amongst other abiotic stresses that occur during the tidal cycle (see Reese, 1969; Vermeij, 1972; Harley, 2003; Harley and Helmuth, 2003; Jost and Helmuth, 2007; Gracey *et al.*, 2008; etc). Although they have evolved from marine ancestors, intertidal animals must regularly contend with terrestrial conditions during low tide (see Hofmann and Somero, 1995; Stillman and Somero, 1996; Tomanek and Helmuth, 2002; Lima *et al.*, 2007; Caddy-Retalic *et al.*, 2011). In addition, the timing and duration of exposure at low tide is likely to have a critical effect on temperature and desiccation extremes at a particular site (see Barnes *et al.*, 1963; Shick *et al.*, 1988; Martin, 1995; Helmuth *et al.*, 2002; 2011; Mislan *et al.*, 2009; etc).

Intertidal environments are often characterized by high variability of physical factors such as temperature, desiccation, salinity, oxygen, solar radiation, wind and wave action amongst others (see Barnes et al., 1963; Vernberg, 1969; McMahon and Wilson, 1981; Shumway, 1983; Martin, 1995; Denny and Wethey, 2001; Tepler et al., 2011; etc). There are also regular cycles of tides, seasonal patterns of heat and cold, environmental changes due to storms, and extreme weather conditions such as heavy rains (see Vernberg, 1969; Underwood and McFadyen, 1983; Mouritsen and Poulin, 2002; Morritt et al., 2007; Wethey et al., 2011). Particularly critical are short-term (i.e. tidal or daily) and long-term (i.e. seasonal) changes in temperature (see Vernberg, 1969; Spaargaren and Achituv, 1977; Clarke and Crame, 1992; Hofmann and Somero, 1995; Stillman and Tagmount, 2009; Rais et al., 2010). For example, temperature in the intertidal may change between 10-20°C within minutes or hours as the tide fluctuates (see Todd and Dehnel, 1960; Burggren and McMahon, 1981; Wilbur and Hilbish, 1989; Muñoz et al., 2005; Finke et al., 2009). In addition, there are also stresses caused by interactions, including predation and competition, with other animals (see Wethey, 1983; 1984; 2002; Hall et al., 1992; Chapman, 2000; Harley and Lopez, 2003; Rochette et al., 2003) which can be modified by physical factors (see Vermeij, 1972; Menge and Olson, 1990; Dahlhoff et al., 2001; Yamane and Gilman, 2009; Kordas et al., 2011; etc).

Fluctuations and extremes of temperature are critical to intertidal ectotherms whose body temperatures are in equilibrium with those of the environment (see Sommer *et al.*, 1997; Boutilier, 2001; Mora and Ospina, 2001; Mislan *et al.*, 2009; Helmuth *et al.*, 2010a; 2011). This is particularly problematic during low tides on hot summer days when air temperatures

can reach as high as 50-55°C in the tropics (Lewis, 1963; Garrity, 1984; Williams and Morritt, 1995; Marshall and McQuaid, 2010; Cartwright and Williams, 2012) and 33-45°C in subtropical and temperate regions (pers. obs.; Morley *et al.*, 2009); but see Whiteley *et al.* (1997) for temperatures as high as 50°C in the temperate regions. In addition, microhabitats within a shore may differ in thermal stress over small scales (see Helmuth, 1998; 1999; Sinclair *et al.*, 2006; Mislan *et al.*, 2009; Judge *et al.*, 2011). As a result, the body temperatures of intertidal animals such as littorinid snails can be 10-20°C above that of sea surface temperature during low tide periods on hot summer days (see Garrity, 1984; Tomanek and Somero, 1999; Dahlhoff *et al.*, 2001; Rais *et al.*, 2010; Caddy-Retalic *et al.*, 2011). For example, Judge *et al.* (2011) found the body temperature of the supralittoral snail *Cenchritis muricatus* exhibited daily fluctuations of more than 20°C and regularly exceeded 46°C.

Also important is the fact that body temperatures of animals or individuals from different regions, shore levels, microhabitats, etc. vary during the tidal cycle (see Helmuth, 1998; 2002; Helmuth *et al.*, 2002; 2006a, b; Gilman *et al.*, 2006; Broitman *et al.*, 2009; Szathmary *et al.*, 2009; Chapperon and Seuront, 2011a, b; etc). For example, Dahlhoff *et al.* (2001) found that the body temperature (which mirrored that of air) of the whelk *Nucella ostrina* from a Strawberry Hill population in Oregon was higher than for a Boiler Bay population, kilometres away. In addition, individuals from wave-protected shores had higher body temperatures for mussels of *Mytilus californianus* from wave-protected shore than for wave-exposed shore, which they interpreted as a result of the cooling effect of wave splash on wave exposed shore.

On the other hand, Helmuth and Hofmann (2001) found that individuals of *M. californianus* on horizontal, upward-facing substrata experienced temperatures 10°C higher than those on vertical, north-facing slopes located a few centimetres away. Seabra *et al.* (2011) found that sun-exposed robolimpets of the genus *Patella* routinely reached higher temperatures than their counterparts attached to north-facing shaded surfaces during low tide. In addition, the differences between sunny and shaded robolimpets were consistently larger than the variability associated with season and shore level. Sokolova *et al.* (2000c) found the body temperature of *Littorina saxatilis* individuals from mid and low shores differed by 10°C during low tide.

In addition, animals living in close proximity may experience and/or display different body temperatures due to differences in body size and morphology as well as behaviour (see Helmuth, 1998; 2002; Fitzhenry *et al.*, 2004; Jost and Helmuth, 2007; Denny *et al.*, 2011; etc). In summary, during aerial exposure, body temperature of intertidal animals is driven by multiple, interacting climatic factors such as substratum and air temperature, wind speed, cloud cover, solar radiation, relative humidity as well as physical factors such as substratum slope, orientation, type, colour and size, and is further affected by organism size, shape, mass and colour as well as behaviour (see Vermeij, 1971; Etter, 1988; Helmuth, 1998; Pincebourde *et al.*, 2008; Finke *et al.*, 2009; Gedan *et al.*, 2011; Miller and Denny, 2011; etc).

#### 1.2.2. Climate change and its effects

With the anticipated effects of climate change due to global warming (whether caused by natural variability or anthropogenically induced), there is concern over how ectotherms that have limited independence from environmental temperatures will respond to or tolerate extreme and fluctuating environmental conditions (see Sommer *et al.*, 1997; Fitzhenry *et al.*, 2004; Harley *et al.*, 2005; Parmesan, 2007; Helmuth *et al.*, 2010a, b; 2011; etc). This is because climate and weather (which are frequently modified by multiple nonclimatic factors such as tidal cycle) directly control the distribution and other aspects of species, populations, community and ecosystems (see Wethey, 2002; Leemans and Eickhout, 2004; Poulin and Mouritsen, 2006; Jentsch *et al.*, 2007; Terblanche *et al.*, 2007; Mislan *et al.*, 2009).

Although climate change is an old phenomenon (see Clarke and Crame, 1992; Crowley and Kim, 1999; Crowley, 2000; Shindell *et al.*, 2001; Brierley and Kingsford, 2009), human activities such as burning of fossil fuels as well as urbanisation and land use activities such as deforestation and desertification are causing a rise in atmospheric greenhouse gases (e.g. carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, ozone, chlorofluorocarbons, etc) resulting in global climate change, often called "global warming" (see Partridge, 1993; Vitousek, 1994; Feely *et al.*, 2001; Thuiller, 2007; Tambrian, 2012). The build-up of concentrations of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere (which leads to the "Enhanced Greenhouse Effect") affects the heat-or energy-exchange balance between the Earth's systems (continents, oceans, atmosphere,

cryosphere and space), thereby inducing global warming (see Trenberth and Solomon, 1994; Cox *et al.*, 2000; Karl and Trenberth, 2003; Miller, 2006). In addition, some of the excess greenhouse gases (e.g. CO<sub>2</sub>) are absorbed by the oceans, with the result of decrease in ocean pH, also known as "ocean acidification" (see Billings *et al.*, 1982; Feely *et al.*, 2004; 2009; Barnett *et al.*, 2005; Caldeira and Wickett, 2005; Doney *et al.*, 2009; etc).

The mean global air temperature has increased by 0.2 to 1.0°C over the last one hundred years and is expected to increase by 1.5 to 7.0°C in the next fifty to one hundred years (see Levitus et al., 2000; 2001; McCarty, 2001; Angilletta Jr., 2009; Caddy-Retalic et al., 2011). On the other hand, the mean global sea surface temperature (SST) has also increased by 0.57°C (Hulme and Jenkins, 1998; Levitus et al., 2000; 2001; 2005; Brierley and Kingsford, 2009; Caddy-Retalic et al., 2011) and will continue to increase by between 1.4 and 3.9°C. The magnitude of global warming is predicted to vary among regions as a result of differences in ocean circulation patterns and other processes which contribute to regional and temporal changes in climate (see Partridge, 1993; Leemans and Eickhout, 2004; Barnett et al., 2005; Jentsch et al., 2007; Heller and Zavaleta, 2009; Xie et al., 2010). Most temperate regions and higher latitudes are expected to experience a greater magnitude of warming than the tropics and lower latitudes (see Oviatt, 2004; Williams et al., 2008; Helmuth et al., 2010a; Kordas et al., 2011; Wernberg et al., 2011). However, regions nearer to the equator and the poles experience enhanced and/or faster warming compared to those in the subtropics and temperate regions (Liu et al., 2005; Xie et al., 2010; Nguyen et al., 2011). In addition, aquatic systems such as coastal waters, estuaries and internal seas are areas that are expected to experience the strongest impacts of global warming (see Thompson et al., 2002; Lozano et al., 2004; Thuiller, 2007; Provan and Maggs, 2012).

These temperature increases will be paralleled by a rise in the frequency and magnitude of thermal fluctuations and extreme (hot and cold) events (Sommer *et al.*, 1997; Stenseth *et al.*, 2002; Lima *et al.*, 2006; Tebaldi *et al.*, 2006; Lannig *et al.*, 2010; Wethey *et al.*, 2011; etc), more frequent and/or intense storms and coastal upwellings (Bakun, 1990; Lozano *et al.*, 2004; Harley *et al.*, 2006; McGregor *et al.*, 2007), changes in precipitation patterns (Karl and Trenberth, 2003; Poulin and Mouritsen, 2006; Thuiller, 2007), changes in ocean circulation patterns and/or the distribution of water masses (Trenberth *et al.*, 1994; Rahmstorf, 2002;

Macdonald *et al.*, 2005; Böning *et al.*, 2008), change in ocean water oxygen and salinity levels (Macdonald *et al.*, 2005; Harley *et al.*, 2006; Piñeiro *et al.*, 2010), sea level rise and coastal flooding (Karl and Trenberth, 2003; Riegl, 2003; Omann *et al.*, 2009), ultraviolet (UV) or solar radiation rise (Lean *et al.*, 1995; Coelho *et al.*, 2000; Richier *et al.*, 2008), shifts in climate zones (Thompson *et al.*, 2002; Brierley and Kingsford, 2009; Omann *et al.*, 2009) and ocean acidification.

The frequency and intensity of extreme events will have greater impacts, and so may be more threatening than the rise in mean temperatures (see Clarke, 1993a; Bijlsma and Loeschcke, 2005; Williams *et al.*, 2008; Stillman and Tagmount, 2009; Morley *et al.*, 2009; Lagos *et al.*, 2011). This is because unusually high temperatures occurring during the daytime in summer months are/or have been associated with mass mortalities in marine animals and plants (see Tsuchiya, 1983; Williams and Morritt, 1995; Helmuth, 2002; Riegl, 2003; Chan *et al.*, 2006; Harley, 2008; Bergmann *et al.*, 2010; etc). Therefore, animals must not only adapt to changing mean temperature ranges, but also to extreme events as well as other factors or conditions, and their interactions (see Stenseth *et al.*, 2002; Jentsch *et al.*, 2007; Menge *et al.*, 2008; Mislan *et al.*, 2009; Wethey *et al.*, 2011).

Increasing temperatures and extreme events will have different (positive and negative) effects, altering species distributions, abundances and community composition, and this may be especially problematic for intertidal organisms as they live in harsh, fluctuating environments, and temperature gradients generally correlate with species distributions and abundances (Charles *et al.*, 1992; Warwick and Turk, 2002; Brierley and Kingsford, 2009; Miller and Denney, 2011; Nguyen *et al.*, 2011). There are already signs of the effects of climate change on polar, temperate and tropical species with shifts (contraction or expansion) of distribution ranges as well as local extinctions (Barry *et al.*, 1992; Southward *et al.*, 1995; McCarty, 2001; Hawkins *et al.*, 2003; Parmesan and Yohe, 2003; Perry *et al.*, 2005; Lima *et al.*, 2006; 2007; Menge *et al.*, 2008; Heller and Zavaleta, 2009; Hoegh-Guldberg and Bruno, 2010; etc). Densities of ectotherm populations are predicted to decrease exponentially with increasing body temperature due to thermal constraints (see Hall *et al.*, 1992; Sebens, 2002; Pardo and Johnson, 2005; Chan *et al.*, 2006; Muñoz *et al.*, 2008; Hellmuth *et al.*, 2010a). In addition, species invasions are expected to increase (Stachowicz *et al.*, 2002; Thompson *et* 

*al.*, 2002; Occhipinti-Ambrogi, 2007; Thuiller, 2007; Sorte *et al.*, 2010), and this will further threaten global biodiversity (see Bax *et al.*, 2003; Occhipinti-Ambrogi and Savini, 2003; Molnar *et al.*, 2008; Cheung *et al.*, 2009; Teske *et al.*, 2011b).

Increased temperatures will also have impacts on processes such as growth, reproduction and metabolism as well as species interactions and dispersal, which are strongly influenced by environmental temperature (see Bertness *et al.*, 1999; Thomas *et al.*, 2000; Stenseth *et al.*, 2002; Brooker *et al.*, 2007; Piñeiro *et al.*, 2010; etc). Thus, the nature of physiological responses or adaptations to environmental temperatures will determine the biological fitness of individuals in a population, and in turn define its distribution (see Tomanek and Helmuth, 2002; Leemans and Eickhout, 2004; Helmuth *et al.*, 2010a; 2011; Kordas *et al.*, 2011; Wernberg *et al.*, 2011).

Changes in air and sea surface temperatures as well as other climate change related scenarios, also called "secondary factors", and their effects on animals have been experienced and predicted for southern Africa including South Africa (see Shannon *et al.*, 1992; 1998; Lutjeharms and Ruijter, 1994; Scott *et al.*, 1995; Hulme *et al.*, 2001; Roy *et al.*, 2001; Reason *et al.*, 2006; Shillington *et al.*, 2006; Crawford *et al.*, 2008; Rouault *et al.*, 2009; etc). However, it must be noted that the effect of increasing temperatures or climate change on species range shifts and/or biodiversity will also depend on the impacts of biotic interactions (see Warwick and Turk, 2002; Pearson and Dawson, 2003; Brooker *et al.*, 2007; Menge *et al.*, 2008; Pincebourde *et al.*, 2008; 2012; Wernberg *et al.*, 2011, etc).

#### **1.3. Study Animals**

This study explicitly investigates and compares the thermal biology as well as the genetics of temperate, subtropical and tropical littorinid snails of the genera *Afrolittorina*, *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* in order to understand how littorinids and other ectotherms will respond to global warming and climate change related scenarios.

#### 1.3.1. Introduction to the Littorinidae family

Littorinids are marine gastropod snails (Phylum: Mollusca, Class: Gastropoda, Subclass: Prosobranchia, Order: Neotaenioglossa, Infraorder: Discopoda, Family: Littorinidae) (see Reid, 1989; 1996b; 2002; Bieler, 1992; Winnepenninckx *et al.*, 1998a, b; Colgan *et al.*, 2000; 2007; Backeljau *et al.*, 2001). Together with limpets (Family: Patellidae, Siphonariidae, Acmaeidae, etc) and slugs (Family: Opisthobranchia), littorinids (Family: Littorinidae) are the most abundant group of molluscs (constituting 80% all together), followed by bivalves which constitute 15%, while the other five classes constitute only 5% all together (see Bieler, 1992; Winnepenninckx *et al.*, 2000; 2007).

The family Littorinidae (Anon., 1834) consists of approximately 200 living species that are commonly found on the mangrove and rocky shores of polar, temperate and tropical regions where they occupy the littoral (shallow and high intertidal) zones (see Reid, 1989; 1990; 1996a, b; 2002; McQuaid, 1996a, b; Libertini *et al.*, 2004; Reid and Williams, 2004; Sanpanich *et al.*, 2004; etc). Consequently, littorinids are important grazers on the littoral zones feeding on a wide range of food (e.g. diatoms, bacteria, fungi, algae, lichens, etc), and as such important in structuring the littoral ecosystems (Norton *et al.*, 1990; Williams, 1990; 1994; McQuaid, 1996a, b; Christensen, 1998; Saier, 2000; Kaehler and Froneman, 2002; etc).

Members of the family Littorinidae sometimes also called Littorinacae fall into three subfamilies namely: the Laevilitorininae, Lacuninae and Littorininae (Reid, 1986; 1989; 1996; 2002; McQuaid, 1996a; Reid and Williams, 2004; Reid *et al.*, 2012). The first two subfamilies are found in temperate and polar regions where they occupy the low eulittoral zone and continental shelf, while members of the subfamily Littorininae are found in the high eulittoral zone and the eulittoral fringe in tropical, subtropical and temperate regions (see Reid, 1989; 1996a, b; 2002; 2007; McQuaid, 1996a; Williams *et al.* 2003; Reid and Williams, 2004; Williams and Reid, 2004; Reid *et al.*, 2010; 2012). Snails of the family Littorinidae are also referred to as "periwinkles" or "winkles"; and the latter is more specific to the snails in the subfamily Littorininae (Reid, 1989; 1996a, b; 2002).

The subfamily Littoriniae has approximately 152 species in several genera, *viz. Littorina*, *Littoraria*, *Austrolittorina*, *Afrolittorina*, *Nodilittorina*, *Echnolittorina*, *Tectarius*, *Mainwaringia*, etc. (see below; Reid, 1990; 1996a, b; 2002; Reid and Geller, 1997). Only five genera, *viz. Littorina*, *Littoraria*, *Afrolittorina*, *Nodilittorina* and *Echnolittorina*, inhabit the coastline of southern Africa (McQuaid and Scherman, 1988; McQuaid, 1992; Sinclair *et al.*, 2004; d'Errico *et al.*, 2008). The genus *Afrolittorina* includes four species (see Williams *et al.* 2003; Reid and Williams, 2004; Reid *et al.*, 2012); while the genera *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* comprise approximately 50 and 39 species respectively (see Reid, 1986; 1989; 2007; Reid and Mark, 1999; Inness-Campbell *et al.*, 2003; Williams and Reid, 2004; Torres *et al.*, 2008; Reid *et al.*, 2010), though not all occur in southern Africa.

#### 1.3.2. Study species

Six littorinid species (see Fig. 1.1) of the genera *Afrolittorina*, *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* were used, namely: *A. knysnaensis* (Philippi, 1847), *A. africana* (Philippi, 1847), *E. natalensis* (Krauss in Philippi, 1847), *E. malaccana* (Philippi, 1847), *E. vidua* (Philippi, 1847), and *L. glabrata* (Philippi, 1847). These species show different distribution ranges and display clear patterns of vertical zonation as well as microhabitat use and aestivation (a mechanism which extends the time an animal can survive on stored energy) periods, which was expected to determine their adaptations or acclimation to different thermal regimes.

*A. africana* is found in the Southwest Indian Ocean (SIO) from near Cape Town to Natal in South Africa, southern Mozambique and southeastern Madagascar, whereas *A. knysnaensis* occurs from Walvis Bay in Namibia to the vicinity of Durban in South Africa (Hartnoll, 1976; Reid, 1996; Reid and Williams, 2004; d'Errico *et al.*, 2008). *L. glabrata* occurs in the subtropics and tropics of the Indo West Pacific (IWP) including the eastern coasts of South Africa (Torres *et al.*, 2008; Reid *et al.*, 2010); while *E. natalensis* is found in the SIO from the eastern coast of South Africa to Kenya, Madagascar and the Seychelles (Hartnoll, 1976; Williams and Reid, 2004; Reid, 2007).

*E. malaccana* occurs in the IWP including India, mainland coasts of Southeast Asia, Southern China, Taiwan, Philippines, Borneo and Sulawesi; while *E. vidua* has a wide distribution in the Central IWP, including Pakistan, India, Southeast Asia, Indonesia, tropical Australia, New Guinea and southern Japan (Williams and Reid, 2004; Reid, 2007).

In addition, these species display clear patterns of vertical zonation which were expected to determine their exposure and aestivation periods. *E. malaccana* inhabits the eulittoral fringe to the upper eulittoral zone (Williams and Reid, 2004; Reid, 2007), where it can aestivate for more than 60 days (see Marshall and McQuaid, 2010); this is also true for *E. vidua*, which extends from the lowermost eulittoral fringe into the upper eulittoral zone (Williams and Reid, 2004; Reid, 2007). *E. natalensis* is abundant in the eulittoral fringe and extends to the eulittoral zone (Williams and Reid, 2004; Reid, 2007), whereas *L. glabrata* inhabits the uppermost eulittoral fringe to the eulittoral zone (pers. obs.; Silva *et al.*, 2013). However, while all these species tend to live very high on shore (littoral fringe to upper eulittoral zone), they can show differences in microhabitat use (pers. obs.). All species can be found on open rock or in more shaded spots including crevices, pits etc., but this is especially true for *L. glabrata*, which prefers shaded and humid microhabitats (pers. obs.).

The two *Afrolittorina* species dominate the upper shore, ranging from the upper-mid eulittoral zone to the lower eulittoral fringe and show broad overlap where both species cooccur, except on the southeast coast of South Africa where *A. africana* has a higher vertical limit (McQuaid and Scherman, 1988; McQuaid, 1992; Reid and Williams, 2004; d'Errico *et al.*, 2008). Both species occur on exposed rock, but frequently group together in clusters during low tide and are often found at very high densities around the margins of shallow and temporary pools (pers. obs.). Although there is no information on the aestivation periods of the South African species, they can be expected to aestivate for 14 days or more during neap tides (see McQuaid and Scherman, 1988; Sinclair *et al.*, 2004).



Figure 1.1. Study species. (A) *Afrolittorina africana*, (B) *A. knysnaensis*, (C) *Littoraria glabrata*, (D) *Echinolittorina natalensis*, (E) *E. vidua* (picture downloaded from the web: www.roboastra.com/brunsmoll1/brpr213.html) and (F) *E. malaccana* (picture courtesy of Gray Williams and David Marshall).

# 1.4. Description of study Areas

This study explicitly compares the thermal biology of temperate, subtropical and tropical species as well as the genetics of subtropical and temperate species (see above); and the study areas chosen are found in Brunei Darussalam and South Africa (see Fig. 1.2).



Figure 1.2. Map showing study areas. Red block and circle indicates South Africa and Brunei Darussalam respectively. Picture downloaded from the web: www.mapofworld.com.
## 1.4.1. Brunei Darussalam

One of the study areas is found in Brunei, Negara Brunei Darussalam, which is located between 4°N and 5.8°N and 114.6°E and 115.4°E on the north coast of the island of Borneo in Southeast Asia (see Fig. 1.2). Brunei Darussalam occupies a northern portion of the island of Borneo, with Malaysia and Indonesia on the southern parts of the island (see Curiale *et al.*, 2000; Hiscott, 2001; Malik, 2011). As a result of its position, Brunei has a consistently warm-humid tropical climate (see Malik and Abdullah, 1996; Hiscott, 2001; Malik, 2011); and is influenced by two seasons, the northeast and southwest monsoon. The northeast monsoon (winter) is characterised by stronger and relatively constant dry winds, while the southwest monsoon (summer) is rain bearing (see Malik and Abdullah, 1996; Morton and Blackmore, 2001; Malik, 2011). Air temperatures are lowest during the winter (average maximum of 29-30°C), and are highest in the transition period before the onset of summer (average maximum of 33-35°C) (see Malik *et al.*, 2011; Malik, 2011).

The Brunei coastline is linear with very few bays; and it stretches for about 161 km from Muara ( $5.8^{\circ}N$ ;  $115.4^{\circ}E$ ) near Brunei Bay on the north to the vicinity of Kuala Belait ( $4N^{\circ}$ ;  $114.6^{\circ}E$ ) on the south (see Fig. 1.3). It is dominated by a high profile sandy beach aligned in a southwest direction, and a complex estuarine, mangrove and mudflat zone within Brunei Bay in the northeast (see Marshall *et al.*, 2010; Malik, 2011). Most of the rocky shore habitats of the coastline comprise artificial seawalls with few patches of natural rocky shores. In addition, the whole coastline is dominated by the South China Sea, which is the largest semi (marginal) closed sea in the western tropical Pacific Ocean, the circulation of which is driven by monsoonal winds resulting in variation (seasonal) in surface currents and SST (see Shaw and Chao, 1994; Wu *et al.*, 1998; Kuo *et al.*, 2000; Wang *et al.*, 2006; 2008).

The surface (warm) currents move to the southwest (i.e. cyclonic) in winter and the northeast (i.e. anticyclonic) in summer with stable eddies (see Shaw and Chao, 1994; Hu *et al.*, 2000; Liu *et al.*, 2001; Morton and Blackmore, 2001; Shi *et al.*, 2002). Sea surface temperatures in the South China Sea vary with relative lows (approximately 18-29°C) in March and December and highs (approximately 27-37°C) in June and September (see Chou, 1994; Chu

*et al.*, 1997a, b; 1998a,b; Qu, 2001; Wang *et al.*, 2008). The tides are generally less than 2 m except for about 2.3 m (reaching a maximum of 2.7m in Brunei Bay) in spring tides, with wider ranges occurring during storms (see Hiscott, 2001). In addition, wave heights can reach as high as 3 m during the northeast monsoon (see Chou, 1994; Hiscott, 2001).



Figure 1.3. Map showing the Brunei coastline with study area, Jerudong, indicated by red dot. Picture downloaded from the web: www.mapofworld.com.

#### 1.4.2. South Africa

The other study areas were found in the Republic of South Africa, which is located between 26.5°S and 28.4°S and 33.5°E and 16.3°W on the southern portion of Africa (see Fig. 1.2). South Africa (SA) is divided into four regions, namely; the east, south, southwest and west,

each of which experience different conditions, especially weather and climate as well as rainfall (see below). The west coast has a hyper-arid tropical climate with winter rainfall; the southwest has a Mediterranean climate with winter rainfall; the south coast has a warm climate and experiences varying rainfall regimes with some areas experiencing year round rainfall while the east coast has a moist warm-tropical climate characterised by summer rainfall (see Lutjeharms and Ruijter, 1994; 1996; Cowling *et al.*, 1999; Cooper, 2001; Reason *et al.*, 2002; Peter *et al.*, 2003; Calf and Underhill, 2005). Air temperatures are diurnally and seasonally variable reaching 30-35°C in summer and falling to 3°C in the subtropical and to 0°C along the west and south coast during winter (see Kruger and Shongwe, 2004 and reference herein; Sinclair *et al.*, 2004).

The South African coastline stretches for approximately 3000 km from Kosi Bay ( $26^{\circ}54'S$ ;  $33^{\circ}48'E$ ) near the Moçambique border on the east coast to Alexander Bay ( $28^{\circ}38'S$ ;  $16^{\circ}27'W$ ) at the Namibian border on the west coast (see Fig. 1.4). The coastline is almost linear with very few significant bays or inlets, and is dominated by long stretches of sandy beaches and sand dunes (constitute 1700 km) with some patches of rocky shores (constitute 1300 km) (see Marshall and McQuaid, 1993b; Ramsay, 1996; Hutchings *et al.*, 2002; Ramsay and Cooper, 2002; Peter *et al.*, 2003). In addition, the SA coastline is divided into three primary biogeographic provinces or regions: the cool temperate, warm temperate and subtropical regions (see Fig. 1.4; Emanuel *et al.*, 1992; Pether, 1994; Bustamante and Branch, 1996; Turpie *et al.*, 2000; Maree *et al.*, 2000; Harrison, 2002; 2004; etc). Each of these biogeographic provinces is characterised by its own unique environmental and oceanographic conditions (which also show variability) between and within the regions.

South Africa''s marine environment is unique in that it is surrounded by three major oceans, the cool South Atlantic Ocean to the west, the very cold Southern Ocean to the south and the warm Indian Ocean to the east (see Fig. 1.5; Pether, 1994; Bustamante *et al.*, 1995; Lutjeharms *et al.*, 2001; Lucas and Griffiths, 2012). As a consequence, SA''s marine environment is influenced by two current systems; the strong and intense southwards fast ( $\sim 2 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ ) flowing warm (23-26°C) Agulhas current on the east and south coasts and the upwelled

northwards slow (0.25-0.50 ms<sup>-1</sup>) flowing cold (~ 10°C) Benguela current on the west coast (see Fig. 1.5), which show variability (Darbyshire, 1963; 1964; Hutson, 1980; Lutjeharms and de Ruijter, 1996; Hutchings *et al.*, 2002; Bryden *et al.*, 2005; etc).



Figure 1.4. Map showing the South African coastline and zoo- or biogeographic provinces indicated by different colours. Picture courtesy of Christopher D McQuaid.

The Agulhas current carries warm waters from the tropics, resulting in SST of 20 to 22°C in winter and 22 to 27°C in summer in the subtropics (see Isaac, 1937; Darbyshire, 1964; Hutson, 1980; Harris and Cyrus, 1996; Harrison, 2004). On the south coast (the warm temperate region) where the Agulhas current diverges away from the coastline, SST ranges from 15 to 20°C in winter and 15 to 22°C in summer (see Isaac, 1937; McQuaid and Branch, 1984; Flores *et al.*, 1999; Demarcq *et al.*, 2003; Laudien *et al.*, 2003; Harrison, 2004). On the other hand, the Benguela current brings cold waters from the Atlantic/Southern Oceans, resulting in SST of 10 to 15°C in winter and 10 to 19°C in summer in the cool temperate

region (see Isaac, 1937; Darbyshire, 1963; Roy *et al.*, 2001; Laudien *et al.*, 2003; Harrison, 2004). There are counter-currents, also called "occasional currents" which flow close inshore in the opposite direction to the main currents (see Isaac, 1937; Bustamante and Branch, 1996; Weeks *et al.*, 1998; Thibault-Botha *et al.*, 2004; Luschi *et al.*, 2006), which together with coastal upwellings result in variability in currents flow patterns and SST (see Pether, 1994; Lutjeharms *et al.*, 2000; 2001).



Figure 1.5. Satellite image of the South African coastline, sea surface temperature, two currents, Agulhas and Benguela currents and oceans around the South African coastline. Picture courtesy of Christopher D McQuaid.

In addition, SST and salinity (also oxygen and nutrients) show differences between and within regions, with a slight and/or irregular decrease from east to west along the coastline (see Isaac, 1937; Darbyshire, 1963; 1964; Hutson, 1980; Shannon *et al.*, 1990; Harrison, 2004; Roberts, 2005). More important is the marked daily and seasonal variation in SST, with

greater variability in summer than winter (see Beckley, 1983; Shannon *et al.*, 1988; Cohen *et al.*, 1992; Schumann *et al.*, 1995; Harrison and Whitfield, 2006; Reason *et al.*, 2006). Tidal range is relatively small and varies little around the coast, with most areas experiencing a neap tidal range between 0.56 to 0.80 m (average 1 m) and a spring tidal range of 1.59 to 2.5 m (average 1.4 m) (see Cooper, 2001; Calf and Underhill, 2005; Ramsay and Cooper, 2002; Laudien *et al.*, 2003). In addition, extreme wave heights (as high as 3.5-5.5 m) occur during unusual events such as strong stormy weather (see Cooper, 2001; Ramsay and Cooper, 2002; Calf and Underhill, 2005).

# **1.5. Background to the study**

On rocky shores, there is a general perception that species" upper limits are set by abiotic factors and their lower limits by biotic interactions (see Wethey, 1984; Britton, 1992; Yamada and Boulding, 1996; Duncan *et al.*, 1998; Harley and Helmuth, 2003; Judge *et al.*, 2009; Miller *et al.*, 2009; etc). The study species live on the upper shore (i.e. eulittoral fringes and zones), often where there are no other animals. Therefore, interspecific competition (e.g. for food and/or space) is unlikely to be important while there is little evidence of high levels of predation (see McQuaid, 1981a, b; 1985; 1992; Mak and Williams, 1999; Lee *et al.*, 2009). But intraspecific competition might determine the distribution of these species (see McQuaid, 1981b; Mak and Williams, 1999; Lee and Kim, 2009; Lee *et al.*, 2009; Stafford *et al.*, 2012). Physiological (e.g. temperature and desiccation) stress however, is likely to be important in setting the distribution of these species on the shore (see McQuaid and Scherman, 1988; McQuaid, 1992; Sinclair *et al.*, 2004; Lee and Kim, 2009; Marshall *et al.*, 2010; 2011; etc). Of course, studies in the intertidal tend to emphasize a major role of physiological adaptations to temperature and desiccation stress (McMahon, 1990; Sokolova and Pörtner, 2001b; Horowitz, 2001; 2002; Tomanek and Helmuth, 2002; Miller and Denny, 2011).

To date, few studies have investigated how heat affects the physiology of South African littorinid snails (see Marshall unpub. data; McQuaid and Scherman, 1988; McQuaid, 1992). The present study investigates how heat stress affects the physiology of South African

littorinids, with the aim of drawing conclusions about the effects of temperature on other littorinids and other ectotherms. This study further investigates whether the two *Afrolittorina* spp. are distinct species as suggested by previous studies. Thus, the phylogeography of *Afrolittorina* spp. was determined. Measurement of phylogeography throughout the South Africa coastline would provide insight to the possible role of bioregions in phylogeography of other marine invertebrates, assuming that the phylogeography of *Afrolittorina* spp. will be similar to that of invertebrates with planktonic larvae.

# 1.6. Thesis overview

The main focus of this thesis was to investigate the phylogeography and thermal biology of the two closely related littorinid snails of the genus *Afrolittorina*, *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis*, from subtropical and temperate regions of South Africa. However, littorinid snails of the genera *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* from subtropical (South Africa) and tropical (Brunei Darussalam) regions were included for other reasons. *E. natalensis* and *L. glabrata* were included because they occur together with *A. africana* in the subtropical region where they occupy higher levels on the shore (see Hartnoll, 1976; McQuaid, 1992; Sinclair *et al.*, 2004; Sink *et al.*, 2005; d'Errico *et al.*, 2008). The tropical species *E. malaccana* and *E. vidua* were included because they are in the same genus as the subtropical *E. natalensis* (see Williams and Reid, 2004; Reid, 2007; Reid *et al.*, 2012) offering the opportunity to compare the heat stress response of temperate *Afrolittorina* spp. to that of other littorinid snails from the same or different regions and shore heights.

It is assumed that there would be differences in temperature responses between species and sizes as different species and sizes are found at different latitudes, regions, shore levels and microhabitats. Thus, one might predict that *Afrolittorina* spp. would show similar responses to temperature that are different from those of subtropical and tropical species.

## 1.6.1. Aims and Objectives

The objectives of this study were as follows: First, to investigate the phylogenetic relationships between *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis* and the diversity within members of these species using DNA sequence data. These data also tested if there is a genetic basis for the range of colour morphs found within the distributional ranges of the two species. Second, to investigate the tolerance of high temperatures of snails in the genera *Afrolittorina*, *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* from the temperate and subtropical regions of South Africa using heat coma (temperature at which cessation of activity occurs) and lethal limits (temperature-heart rate relationship of the two co-existing *Afrolittorina* spp., comparing this to that of the subtropical *E. natalensis* and *L. glabrata*, and the tropical *E. malaccana* and *E. vidua*. Fourth, a proteomic approach was used to analyse the protein profiles of *Afrolittorina* spp. from the warm temperate region of South Africa in order to compare their whole protein responses to temperature stress.

# CHAPTER 2: Phylogeography of the two closely related *Afrolittorina* species, *Afrolittorina africana* and *A. knysnaensis*, from South Africa

# **2.1. Introduction**

The term "phylogeography" was introduced by Avise *et al.* (1987) to explain the striking phylogenetic patterns observed after analysis of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), usually below "species" level. Thus, molecular analysis of mtDNA from coastal marine taxa had revealed intraspecific genealogies that were geographically coincident with each other and with biogeographic patterns (Avise *et al.*, 1987; Avise, 1992, 1998; Hewitt, 2004). These commonalities suggested that intraspecific mtDNA phylogenies or phylogenetic groupings were shaped by common biogeographic barriers to gene flow associated with physical and biological factors, and possibly other factors (Avise, 1992, 1998; 2004; Burton, 1998).

Although mtDNA led the way into phylogeography and is the most commonly used marker in phylogeographic studies, nuclear DNA markers such as microsatellites and other markers are also used (see below; Féral, 2002; Hewitt, 2004; Panova *et al.*, 2008; Pleines *et al.*, 2009). The properties of mtDNA, including its non-recombining characteristics, maternal inheritance, reduced effective population size and rapid rate of evolution, make it one of the best makers to study phylogenetic relationships (Avise *et al.*, 1987; Moritz *et al.*, 1987; Hwang and Kim, 1999; Galtier *et al.*, 2009, etc). However, a combination of mitochondrial and nuclear sequence data is emerging as an optional strategy for phylogeographic analysis, as the two genomes have the potential to validate historical inferences (see Bowen and Grant, 1997; Bermingham and Moritz, 1998; Hare, 2001; Williams *et al.*, 2002; Kuo and Avise, 2005; Rubinoff and Holland, 2005; Beheregaray, 2008; Teske *et al.*, 2009).

The field of phylogeography is concerned with the principles and/or processes that govern the geographical patterns of genetic or evolutionary lineages within and among closely related species or taxa (Avise *et al.*, 1987; Avise, 1992; 1998; 2004; Beheregaray, 2008; Teske *et al.*,

2009; 2011; Hickerson *et al.*, 2010; etc). Thus, phylogeography deals with the spatial distribution of genetic lineages (i.e. genealogies), and processes that have shaped such genealogies or partitions. The spatial distribution of genetic lineages is under the control of historical (e.g. vicariance and dispersal) and contemporary (e.g. variation in climate and hydrological conditions) processes (Bernardi *et al.*, 2003; Templeton, 2003; Rocha *et al.*, 2007; Arbogast and Kenagy, 2008; Waters, 2008a, b; Pleines *et al.*, 2009; etc). In summary, phylogeography can be regarded as a subdiscipline of biogeography that applies phylogenetic techniques to achieve a comprehensive understanding of how physical and biological factors have shaped the distribution of genetic lineages.

In addition, the field of phylogeography provides a powerful tool for identifying cryptic species and/or hybridizations that are difficult or sometimes impossible to distinguish or identify morphologically (Templeton, 2003; Beheregaray and Caccone, 2007; Teske and Beheregaray, 2009; Teske *et al.*, 2009; 2011c; Azuma *et al.*, 2011). Phylogeography is also useful in management or conservation studies since it can be used to estimate population connectivity as well as levels and patterns of genetic diversity between and within populations (Féral, 2002; Hellberg *et al.*, 2002; Palumbi, 2003; 2004; Rocha *et al.*, 2007; von der Heyden, 2008; 2009; Ni *et al.*, 2012; Provan and Maggs, 2012). Thus, the results of phylogeographic studies can be applied not only to answer questions of evolutionary significance, but can also have management and conservation applications.

Dispersal and vicariance are the two historical processes that are invoked to account for the origins of spatially disjunct genetic lineages (see Reid, 1990; Bowen and Grant, 1997; Bernardi *et al.*, 2003; Waters and Roy, 2004a; Bilodeau *et al.*, 2005; Waters, 2008a, b). Under a dispersal scenario, lineages come to occupy their present ranges through active or passive dispersal from one or more ancestral centres of origins (see Nelson, 1974; McDowall, 1978). On the other hand, under vicariance scenarios, lineages become separated when more or less continuous ranges of ancestral forms are split apart by natural events such as plate tectonics or the formation of land bridges (see Nelson, 1974; Rosen, 1978). However, dispersal is regarded as a central process affecting the distribution of genetic lineages or species (see Pole, 1994; Bernardi *et al.*, 2003; Waters and Roy, 2004a; Waters, 2008a; Teske *et al.*, 2009).

Recently, studies show that contemporary processes such as variation in oceanographic (e.g. currents and upwellings) and environmental (e.g. temperature and salinity gradients) conditions as well as topographical features (e.g. bays and habitats) can also account for the origins of spatially disjunct genetic lineages (Banks *et al.*, 2007; Rocha *et al.*, 2007; Waters, 2008b; Pelc *et al.*, 2009; Teske *et al.*, 2009; 2010; 2011a; Zardi *et al.*, 2007; 2011; Dong *et al.*, 2012). This shows that contemporary processes should be considered in the maintenance of genetic structure (i.e. diversity), perhaps more than historical processes from which diversity originated (Teske *et al.*, 2011a). This means that the effects of historical and contemporary factors acting on or interacting with an organism. Phylogeographic patterns in marine organisms can also result from anthropogenic activities, for example human-mediated translocation of fouling organisms (Waters and Roy, 2004a; Cunningham, 2008; Zhan *et al.*, 2009; Panova *et al.*, 2011; Teske *et al.*, 2011a; Ni *et al.*, 2012).

Several studies have investigated the phylogeographic patterns and/or genetic structure (i.e. variation) of marine animals, including coastal and estuarine invertebrates and fishes (see below). Generally, species with planktonic larvae are thought to show little or no evidence of genetic structure along their distribution ranges, while those with non-planktonic larva or direct developers show high genetic structure (Johannesson, 1988; Palumbi, 1994; 2003; Chambers *et al.*, 1996; 1998; Bohonak, 1999; Dawson, 2001; Bernardi *et al.*, 2003; Bowen *et al.*, 2006; Rocha *et al.*, 2007; Bell, 2008; Pelc *et al.*, 2009; etc). This shows that the presence or absence of genetic variation is due to differences in dispersal potential of that particular species. Thus, the magnitude of genetic structure appears partially related to the life history (type and duration of larval development) pattern and dispersal capability of a particular species (Johannesson, 1988; Avise, 1992, Kyle and Boulding, 2000; Bowen *et al.*, 2006; Rocha *et al.*, 2007; Teske *et al.*, 2007b; 2011a). As a result, the duration of the larval (pelagic) stage is often regarded as an indication of dispersal potential and related to population or genetic structure.

However, there is growing evidence that species with planktonic larva can show as much genetic structure as direct developers. This suggests that dispersal potential on its own does not determine genetic variation within marine species, and other factors also play a role. For

example, local oceanographic processes, active behaviour of larvae and spawning events that coincide with certain tides and current regimes are among the biological and physical factors that may influence larval dispersal and thus genetic structure (Johannesson, 1988; Palumbi, 2003; Waters and Roy, 2004b; Rivadeneira and Fernández, 2005; Rocha *et al.*, 2007; Zardi *et al.*, 2007b, e; Sherman *et al.*, 2008; Ayre *et al.*, 2009; Zhan *et al.*, 2009; Cheang *et al.*, 2012; Díaz- Ferguson *et al.*, 2012; von der Heyden *et al.*, 2013). For example, larval retention and self-recruitment may be higher than previously expected in marine animals with planktonic larva (Johannesson, 1988; Palumbi, 2003; Bowen *et al.*, 2006; Andrade and Solferini, 2007; Small and Wares, 2010; Teske *et al.*, 2007b; 2008; 2012).

For those species which show genetic structure, phylogeographic breaks often coincide with known biogeographic boundaries or limits, but this is not the case for all marine species studied to date since other species shows patterns which do not conform to known biogeographic barriers or bioregions (Burton, 1998; Irwin, 2002; Kou and Avise 2004; Bilodeau *et al.*, 2005; York *et al.*, 2008; Pelc *et al.*, 2009). Studies on littorinids from different regions have also found phylogeographic structures and/or breaks that coincide with known or unknown boundaries (Knight and Ward, 1991; Gosling *et al.*, 1998; Wilson and Gosling, 1998; Andrade *et al.*, 2003; Reid *et al.*, 2006; Andrade and Solferini, 2007; Waters *et al.*, 2005; 2006; Van den Broeck *et al.* 2008; Lee and Boulding, 2007; 2009; Doellman *et al.*, 2011; Panova *et al.*, 2011; Díaz-Ferguson *et al.*, 2012; etc).

The most recent phylogeographic studies along the South African coastline support this phenomenon. It is well known that there is genetic variation in marine species, including invertebrates, that seems to be influenced by life history (i.e. mode of dispersal) and the effect of oceanographic (e.g. currents and coastal upwelling) and environmental (e.g. temperature and salinity) conditions as well as topographical features (e.g. sand dunes and beaches). Thus, together with topographic features, environmental and oceanographic conditions have been found to have an influence on the genetic structure of various taxa found within the South African coastline (Teske *et al.*, 2006; 2007a, b; c; e; 2008; 2009; 2010; 2011a, c; Zardi *et al.*, 2007; 2011; Nicastro *et al.*, 2008; von der Heyden, 2007; 2008; 2009; 2010; etc). As such, the South African coastline offers an interesting area to study evolutionary relationships between and within closely related species.

In addition, most of such studies have shown that phylogeographic breaks (including limits) within and among taxa often coincide with known biogeographic boundaries (Ridgway *et al.*, 1998; Evans *et al.*, 2004; Teske *et al.*, 2006; 2007a, b, e; 2008; 2009; Zardi *et al.*, 2007), although there are some exceptions (Tolley *et al.*, 2005; Matthee *et al.*, 2007; Teske *et al.*, 2007b; 2011a; Neethling *et al.*, 2008; Mmonwa, 2009; 2013 unpub. data). This shows that the prevailing biogeographic boundaries do not affect the phylogeography of all marine invertebrates in a similar way (Teske *et al.*, 2011a, b). For example, the deflection (which also show seasonal variability) of the Agulhas current as it approaches the Agulhas Bank creates a semi or incomplete permeable barrier (by deflecting the dispersing larvae offshore) to gene flow of some animals but not others (Teske *et al.*, 2006; 2008; Zardi *et al.*, 2007; Mmonwa, 2009 unpub. data). Biogeographic boundaries also act to maintain genetic breaks evoked by ancient climate changes (Pelc *et al.*, 2009; Teske *et al.*, 2011a). Thus, species which are found in more than one region show, or are expected to show, phylogeographic breaks (including limits) that coincide with known biogeographic boundaries.

*Afrolittorina africana* and *A. knysnaensis* are two closely related southern African species belonging to the new genus *Afrolittorina* within the family Littorinidae (Williams *et al.*, 2003; Reid and Williams, 2004; Reid *et al.*, 2012). They are the most widespread, conspicuous and abundant littorinids on rocky shores along the southern African coast (see McQuaid, 1992). In South Africa, the pale blue-grey *Afrolittorina africana* is abundant in Kwazulu-Natal, in the eastern part of the country, while the brown to black *A. knysnaensis* ranges from Namibia to southern Kwazulu-Natal (Hughes, 1979; Grant and Lang, 1991; Reid and Williams, 2004; d'Errico *et al.*, 2008). Thus, *A. africana* is predominant in the subtropical east coast region, while *A. knysnaensis* is abundant in the cool temperate west coast region.

These species, as well as individuals that are morphological intermediates (in colour pattern) occur together in the warm temperate south coast region (Hughes, 1979; McQuaid and Scherman, 1988; Reid and Williams, 2004; Sinclair *et al.*, 2004) where they even occupy the same microhabitats from the upper-mid eulittoral zone to the lower eulittoral fringe (pers. obs.; McQuaid, 1992; d'Errico *et al.*, 2008). Although these species occur along the South Africa coastline, differences in colour pattern have been previously observed, and this has led

Hughes (1979) to suggest that they form a single species which differs in its morphological appearance along its distribution range. For example, certain specimens of *A. africana* had dark brown dashes or streaks (form Natal region) and pale brown flecks or spots (from Transkei region) superimposed on the typical pale blue-grey or bluish-white background (see Hughes, 1979; Reid and Williams, 2004). On the other hand, for *A. knysnaensis*, a specimen from Lüideritz had cream dashes, with the predominance of individuals throughout its range bearing pale blue upper margins to the whorls on a uniform dark brown to black background (see Hughes, 1979; Reid and Williams, 2004).

Of particular importance is the reproduction and development of these two species, which are expected to determine their geographic distribution and/or phylogeography. Both these species are predicted to have pelagic spawning and planktotrophic development based on: 1) the dimension of protoconch and large capsule gland of both species (see Reid, 1989; Reid and Williams, 2004), 2) the small ( $87 \mu m$ ) egg size of *A. knysnaensis* (McQuaid, 1981) and 3) low genetic variation on a geographical scale in *A. knysnaensis* (Grant and Lang, 1991). In addition, these species seem to breed throughout the year with continuous recruitment followed by settlement of juveniles high on the shore (McQuaid, 1981). However, recruitment is erratic or inconsistent and depends on the currents.

This means that like most littorinid snails in the subfamily Littorininae (Reid, 1990; Kyle and Boulding, 2000; Kim *et al.*, 2003; Williams *et al.*, 2003; Reid *et al.*, 2006; 2012; Lee and Boulding, 2007; 2009), these species are pelagic spawners with planktotrophic veliger larvae which could disperse widely with the help of ocean currents, resulting in high gene flow and preventing genetic discontinuity. Thus, one would expect little, if any, genetic variation among populations of *Afrolittorina* spp. provided that external forces act in the same way across their distribution ranges. In fact, several studies have shown that marine invertebrates with highly dispersive stages unexpectedly displayed high genetic variation which was linked to abiotic factors such as ancient oceanography and habitat availability (Teske *et al.*, 2006, 2007b; 2011a; Zardi *et al.*, 2007; Mmonwa, 2009 unpub. data). But, using life history of the organism to predict its genetic structure can be problematic, especially in a dynamic marine realm like the southern African coastline. This means that when conditions vary across the area in question, genetic variation may evolve as suggested, especially if adaptation occurs

(Gooch and Schopf, 1972; Nevo, 1978; Eanes, 1987; Fevolden and Garner, 1987; Johannesson and Tatarenkov, 1997; Laudien *et al.*, 2003; Pigliucci *et al.*, 2006).

Although the taxonomic status (i.e. phylogeny) of the two southern African *Afrolittorina* spp. is known (see Reid, 1989; 2002; Williams *et al.*, 2003; Reid and Williams, 2004), the phylogenetic (evolutionary) relationships and diversity between and within these species have not been investigated. Thus, there is no regional study that has looked at the evolutionary relationships and diversity of the *Afrolittorina* spp., and other littorinids found along the southern African coastline, including South Africa. The only local study was by Grant and Lang (1991) who found low genetic (allozyme) variation in *A. knysnaensis* on a geographical scale. Therefore, the present study is the first regional study to investigate the phylogenetic relationships and diversity of *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis* from South Africa.

This study uses the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI) and nuclear ribosomal 28S rRNA markers to clarify the evolutionary relationships and genetic diversity between and within *Afrolittorina* spp. The phylogeny built on the basis of the DNA sequence data will provide information on whether each species is a distinct genetic entity as suggested by Williams *et al.* (2003). The data gathered here will also help to explain the phylogeographic patterns of distribution and diversity within and among members of *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis* along the South Africa coastline. It is assumed that the phylogeography of *Afrolittorina* spp. will be similar to that of invertebrates with planktonic larvae. Furthermore, the data also tested if there was a genetic basis for the range of colour morphs found within the distributional ranges of these two species.

## 2.2. Materials and methods

#### 2.2.1. Study species

Two closely related *Afrolittorina* spp., namely: *A. knysnaensis* (Philippi, 1847) and *A. africana* (Philippi, 1847) were used. See Chapter 1 for information on species distribution ranges and patterns of vertical zonation as well as microhabitat use and aestivation behaviour

## 2.2.2. Specimen collection and identification

Specimens belonging to *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis* as well as morphological intermediates (in colour pattern; see above) were collected from 46 localities across species distribution ranges along the southern Africa coasts (see Table 2.1 and Fig. 2.1) from Ponta do Ouro (26°50'S; 32°53'E) in Moçambique in the east coast to Port Nolloth (29°15'S; 16°52'E), on the South African west coast.

During collection, specimens were sorted into species ("white" for *A. africana* and "brown" to "black" for *A. knysnaensis*) or intermediates (all other varieties of colours) based on the colour of the shell. Specimens were collected in 70% or absolute (100%) ethanol as the fixative, except for samples which were collected and brought to the laboratory in the aestivation state and later kept in the fixative. Shells of individual snails were gently cracked-open to ensure rapid penetration of the fixative into the tissues. The fixative in all containers was changed after 10-14 days of collection or earlier if necessary, and for long term storage samples were stored in absolute ethanol.



Figure 2.1. Map showing sampling sites (see Table 2.1 for the list of sites) of *Afrolittorina* species along the South African coastline. Different colours (red = subtropical, yellow = warm temperate, and green = cool temperate) indicate sampling sites in different biogeographic regions.

Table 2.1. Mitochondrial (mtCOI) and ribosomal (28S rRNA) sequences for *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis* from three biogeographic regions and sampling sites within the regions. Empty cells are sites were species and/or sequences were not sampled or obtained.

|             |                         | Number of samples per marker and species |     |             |                |  |
|-------------|-------------------------|--|-----|-------------|----------------|--|
| Region      | Site (Abbreviation)     |  |     |             |                |  |
|             |                         | mt                                       | COI | 28S rRNA    |                |  |
|             |                         | A. africana A. knysnaensis               |     | A. africana | A. knysnaensis |  |
| Subtropical | 1. Ponta do Ouro (PDO)  | 11                                       |     |             |                |  |
|             | 2. Mission Rocks (MR)   | 8  |     | 6           |                |  |
|             | 3. Lona Rocks (LR)      | 10                                       |     | -           |                |  |
|             | 4. Zinkwazi (ZK)        | 10                                       |     | 5           |                |  |
|             | 5. Sheffield (BS)       | 20                                       | 2   | 15          |                |  |
|             | 6. Ballito (BA/BT)      | 5  |     | 4           |                |  |
|             | 7. Mhlanga (ML)         | 13                                       |     | 6           |                |  |
|             | 8. Park Rynie (PR)      | 4  | 3   | 10          |                |  |
|             | 9. Shelly Beach (SH)    | 7  |     |             |                |  |
|             | 10. Ramsgate (RG)       | 6  | 11  | 5           | 6              |  |
|             | 11. Port Edward (PE)    | 15                                       | 3   | 9           | 2              |  |
|             | 12. Port St. Johns (PJ) | 5  | 9   | 6           | 6              |  |
|             | 13. Hluleka (PE)        | 3  | 1   | 2           | 1              |  |
|             | 14. Dwesa (DS)          | 11                                       | 5   | 8           |                |  |

|                | 15. Shixini (SX)           | 5  | 2  |    |    |
|----------------|----------------------------|----|----|----|----|
| Warm temperate | 16. Haga-Haga (HH)         | 11 | 12 | 8  | 12 |
|                | 17. Gonubei (GO/GU)        | 5  | 8  | 4  | 2  |
|                | 18. Hamburg (HU/HM)        | 6  | 8  | 2  |    |
|                | 19. Fish river (FR)        | 7  | 4  | 9  |    |
|                | 20. Port Alfred (PA)       | 9  | 10 | 10 | 4  |
|                | 21. Bushmans river (BR/BU) | 7  | 9  | 3  | 5  |
|                | 22. Cannon Rocks (CRB)     | 5  | 6  | 5  | 4  |
|                | 23. Cape Recife (CR)       | 10 | 14 | 6  | 9  |
|                | 24. St. Francis Bay (FB)   |    |    |    |    |
|                | 25. Jeffrey"s Bay(JB)      | 3  | 10 | 1  | 3  |
|                | 26. Tsitsikamma (TT)       | -  | 5  |    | 2  |
|                | 27. Plettenberg Bay (PL)   | 3  | 5  |    | 4  |
|                | 28. Sedgefield (SE)        | 5  | 4  | 5  | 1  |
|                | 29. Wilderness (WN)        | 4  | 11 |    | 2  |
|                | 30. Harold's Bay (HB)      |    | 4  |    | 4  |
|                | 31. Still Bay (SB)         | 1  | 8  | 6  | 5  |
| Cool temperate | 32. Cape Agulhas (CA)      |    | 8  | 4  |    |
|                | 33. Franskraal (FR)        |    | 9  |    | 1  |
|                | 34. Pringles Bay (PB)      |    | 5  |    |    |
|                | 35. Rooi Els (RE)          |    | 8  |    | 2  |

| 36. Muize  | enberg (MU/MZ)    | 3  |   |
|------------|-------------------|----|---|
| 37. Campa  | s Bay (CB)        | 4  |   |
| 38. Bloub  | ergstrand (BL)    | 3  |   |
| 39. Melkb  | posstrand (ML)    | 5  | 5 |
| 40. Yzerfe | ontein (YZ)       | 3  | 2 |
| 41. Patern | oster (PN)        | 9  | 5 |
| 42. Lambo  | erts Bay (LB)     | 8  | 5 |
| 43. Strand | lfonteinpunt (SF) | 12 | 2 |
| 44. Groen  | sreviersmond (GR) | 8  | 6 |
| 45. Honde  | eklipbaai (HN)    | 4  |   |
| 46. Port N | Iolloth (POB)     | 10 | 5 |

### 2.2.3. DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

The procedure for DNA extraction, amplification (polymerase chain reaction; PCR) and sequencing followed that of Williams *et al.* (2003) with minor modifications (see below).

#### 2.2.3.1. DNA extraction

Total genomic DNA was extracted from the whole specimens using CTAB buffer and chlorophenol extraction method described by Doyle and Doyle (1987) with minor modifications. In brief, individual snails were taken from the fixative, gently cracked-open to remove whole tissues, and rinsed in double distilled water (ddH<sub>2</sub>O) to remove excess ethanol and shells. Tissues were blot-dried on paper towel, placed in Eppendorf tubes containing 1mL of CTAB (consisting of 0.1M Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1.4M NaCl, 0.002M EDTA, 2% CTAB, and 1% PVP) extraction buffer pre-heated at 60°C, ground with a plastic pestle, and later incubated overnight at 52°C with 20µl of Proteinase K.

The mixture (extraction buffer and tissues) were further ground using a plastic pestle and mortar, with 1 drop of 0.2% 2-mercaptoethanol added just before use. Thereafter, about 500 $\mu$ l of chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (CIA; 24: 1; v/v) was added, vortexed or shaken vigorously to mix, centrifuged for 1.5 minutes at 14400 g, after which an aliquot of 600 $\mu$ l of the top (aqueous) layer was pipetted into a new, clearly labelled Eppendorf tube. Then, 400 $\mu$ l of ice cold isopropanol was added, the Eppendorf tube was gently rotated to mix, and left to stand in the freezer for about 10 minutes. The contents were centrifuged for 10 minutes at 9200 g, and the supernatant decanted, leaving the pellet of precipitated DNA. The pellets were washed with 750 $\mu$ l of 70% ethanol, air-dried in a fume hood, and finally the pellet (i.e. DNA) was resuspended in 50-300 $\mu$ l ddH<sub>2</sub>O. The extracts were screened for the presence of DNA with a GelVue UV Transilluminator GVM20 on 1.5% agarose gel using Ethidium Bromide and SYBR<sup>®</sup> Green I as an indicator where necessary.

#### 2.2.3.2. DNA amplification (PCR) and purification

Portions of mtDNA (mtCOI) and nuclear (28S rRNA) genes were amplified in 50µl reaction containing 5-10µl of DNA template, 0.1µM of primers (forward and reverse; see Table 2.2), 200µM of deoxyribonucleotide triphosphates (dNTPs), 5µl of 25 mM Magnesium chloride (MgCl<sub>2</sub>), 5µl of 10x Buffer solution (NH<sub>4</sub>), 24-29µl of ddH<sub>2</sub>O, and 0.1µl of enzyme (BIOTAQ<sup>®</sup> or Go AmpliTaq DNA polymerase), with 0.8µg of bovine serum albumin (BSA) added and overlayed with mineral oil where necessary. The PCR amplifications were conducted on a ThermoHybaid Sprint Temperature Cycling System, PC – 960G Gradient Thermo Cycler or AB Applied Biosystems 2720 Thermo Cycler. PCR reactions were performed as follows: an initial denaturation for 3 min at 95 °C, followed by 35 cycles of 45s at 94 °C, 30s at a gene-specific annealing temperature (48-50 °C for mtCOI, and 50-52°C for 28S rRNA), 2-3 minutes at 72 °C, with a final extension of 10 min at 72 °C at the end of PCR run.

The final PCR products were visualised on agarose gel (see above), and successful PCR products were then purified using commercially purchased cleanup kits (Qiagen© QIAquick<sup>TM</sup> or Promega PCR Preps<sup>TM</sup>) according to the manufactures" instructions.

Since the primers for mtCOI and 28S rRNA used by Williams *et al.* (2003) were not successful in amplifying most of the samples, specific internal primers (see Table 2.2) were designed from a few aligned sequences initially obtained (this study and GenBank; Williams *et al.*, 2003) using the primer designer software CLM Main Workbench 6.7 (CLC Bio). In addition, the crustacean primer (Decap CO1- R; see Table 2.2) was also useful in amplifying some of the samples (from the northern part of east coasts or subtropical region) which did not amplify with either universal or internal primers. The details of all primers used are presented in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2. Table showing the details of primers [forward (F) and reverse (R)] used to amplify and sequence mtCOI and 28S rRNA gene fragments.

| Marker   | Primer                | Primer Sequence                    | Source/Author           |
|----------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| mtCOI    | HCO 2198 (R)          | TAA ACT TCA GGG TGA CCA AAA AAT CA | Folmer et al., 1994     |
|          | LCO 1490 (F)          | GTT CAA CAA ATC ATA AAG ATA TTG G  | Folmer et al., 1994     |
|          | Decap CO1- R          | AAT TAA AAT RTA WAC TTC TTG        | Teske et al., 2006      |
|          | Afrolittorina black F | TGG AAC CTT ATA TAT TTT ATT CGG    | This study              |
|          | Afrolittorina white F | TGG AAC TTT ATA TAT TTT ATT TGG    | This study              |
| 28S rRNA | LSU5F                 | TAG GTC GAC CCG CTG AAY TTA AGC A  | Littlewood et al., 2000 |
|          | LSU1600 R             | AGC GCC ATC CAT TTT CAG G          | Williams et al., 2003   |
|          | Afrolitt internal 1F  | AAC AGT TGA ACC CGC C              | This study              |
|          | Afrolitt internal 1R  | GCC TCT ATT CAT TCG CTT TAC C      | This study              |

## 2.2.3.3. Sequencing

The clean, concentrated products were sequenced in 20µl reaction in either the forward or the reverse direction using 0.5µl of the same primers as used for PCR (see Table 2.2), 2µl of sequence mix, 4µl of 5x sequencing (Applied Biosystems Big Dye Terminator ver.3.1) buffer, 2-5µl of DNA and 12.5-8.5µl of ddH<sub>2</sub>O. The sequencing reactions were conducted on a ThermoHybaid Sprint Temperature Cycling System. Sequencing reaction was performed as follows: 5 min at 96 °C, followed by 25 cycles for 15s at 96 °C, 10s at 45°C, and 4 min at 60 °C. The resulting sequence reaction products were precipitated with 50µl of 100% ethanol plus 2µl of 3M Sodium acetate acid (CH<sub>3</sub>COONa) and 2µl of 125mM EDTA, cleaned with 150µl of 70% ethanol, and later sequenced in both forward and reverse direction.

Electropherograms (i.e. trace files) were obtained using automated DNA Sequencer (ABI Prism 310; Applied Biosystematics) at Rhodes University's Sequencing Unit. See Appendix 2.1 for few representative sequences. Complete and all data sets can be provided on request.

#### 2.2.4. Sequence editing and alignment

The forward and reverse sequences were assembled, checked and edited using Sequencher<sup>TM</sup> version 4.1 (Gene Codes Corporation), and aligned using McClade version 4.06 (Maddison and Maddison, 2000), with reference to GenBank sequences (Williams *et al.*, 2003). *Afrolittorina praetermissa* and *A. acutispira*, which belong to the same genus as the study species were used as outgroups, and their sequences (mtCOI and nuclear 28S rRNA) were extracted from the GenBank database (Williams *et al.*, 2003).

The alignments were edited and formatted into different files for different phylogenetic analyses using DAMBE version 5.3.8 (Xia and Xie, 2001).

## 2.2.5. Phylogenetic and phylogeographic analyses

Data or nucleotide sequences were then used to construct phylogenetic trees using Maximum-Likelihood (ML) and Maximum-Parsimony (MP) analyses. At this time, only unique sequences were used for phylogenetic analyses. Data sets were analysed independently as well as in combination in the case of samples with sequences from both markers. For the combined data set, ML analysis was conducted in a partitioned fashion, with parameters for each gene (mtCOI and 28S rRNA) optimized independently.

Maximum parsimony (MP) analyses were conducted using PAUP\* version 4.0b10 (Swofford, 2002). The characters were equally-weighted. The Heuristic search and tree bisection reconnection (TBR) plus branch-swapping option were selected as the criteria to reconstruct phylogenetic tree. The node support was assessed using bootstrap values which were determined using non-parametric bootstrapping with 1000 pseudo-replicates (Felsenstein, 1981). The Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic reconstruction was conducted using RAxML HPC version 7.2.6 (Stamatakis, 2006). Gaps were treated as missing data and uncertainties as polymorphic characters. The program utilises the GTR + I + G substitution model and 1000 bootstrap replicates were run to generate likelihood support values for the branch nodes. The ML trees were all rooted using Afrolittorina acutispira which is the member of the same genus as South African species (Williams *et al.*, 2003).

#### 2.2.6. Genetic diversity analysis

Genetic heterogeneity was calculated using the software package DnaSP version 5.00.07 (Librado and Rozas, 2009). A partial fragment of the mtDNA COI was used to compare the levels of genetic heterogeneity within *Afrolittorina* spp., and for each species, the input data set comprised 1-25 samples from different populations (see Table 2.1). The levels of genetic heterogeneity were estimated for the following standard molecular indices (Nei, 1987): number of samples (*n*), number of haplotypes (*k*), average nucleotide difference (*II*), polymorphic sites (*S*), haplotype diversity (*Hd*) and nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ). Neutrality tests were calculated using Fu and Li<sup>\*</sup>s D (Fu and Li, 1993) statistics.

# 2.3. Results

#### 2.3.1. Sequence characteristics

The details of both the mtCOI and the 28S rRNA data sets used to reconstruct phylogenetic trees are presented in the table below (Table 2.3).

Table 2.3. Tables showing the details of mtCOI and 28S rRNA data sets used to reconstruct phylogenetic trees.

| Data set | Ingroup taxa | Final alignment length | Number of variable |  |
|----------|--------------|------------------------|--------------------|--|
|          |              | in base pairs (bp)     | characters         |  |
| mtCOI    | 65           | 615                    | 56                 |  |
| 28S rRNA | 62           | 745                    | 6                  |  |

## 2.3.1. Phylogenetic and phylogeography of Afrolittorina species

There were differences in the phylogenetic trees recovered by the two markers; the mtCOI data set produced clearer, well-resolved (shown by strong nodal support) trees than 28S rRNA data set (see Fig. 2.2-2.5). However, this was not significant since 28S rRNA data set had only 6 variable characters compared to mtCOI which had 56 variable characters (see Table 2.3).

The ML and MP trees reconstructed using the mtCOI data set recovered two major clades which conformed to *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis* (see Fig. 2.2 and 2.4), both with a strong node support of 100%. On the other hand, the ML and MP phylogenetic reconstruction of

28S rRNA data set revealed different phylogenetic tress (see Fig. 2.3 and 2.5). Except for a few samples, the MP tree recovered two major clades (with 90 and 96% node support each) that conformed to *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis* (see Fig. 2.3), while the ML tree did not produce such clear clades as shown by weak node support (see Fig. 2.5).

Apart from two *A. africana* outliers that clustered within the *A. knysnaensis* clade (see Fig. 2.6), the ML tree reconstructed using the combined data sets also recovered two major clades which conformed to *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis* (see Fig. 2.6), both with strong support of 100%.

## 2.3.3. Genetic diversity of Afrolittorina species

The results of the genetic diversity indices and neutrality test are presented in the Table 2.4. There was a difference in the genetic diversity indices between the two species, with *A. africana* showing significantly higher values than *A. knysnaensis* (see Table 2.4). In addition, both species showed low haplotype and nucleotide diversity (see Table 2.4).

For *A. africana*, 221 individuals from 29 sites revealed a total 31 haplotypes. The dominant haplotype (H1 with 154 individuals) was found at almost all sites, followed by H10 with 14 individuals, H9 with 7 individuals sampled at several sites, site-restricted H24 and H1 with 3 individuals, H5, H11 and H17 two of which are site-restricted, and the remaining site-restricted 23 haplotypes had one individual each.

Likewise for *A. knysnaensis*, 254 individuals from 37 sites revealed a total 22 haplotypes. The dominant haplotype (H1 with 228 individuals) occurred in almost all sites, followed by H15 with 3 individuals, H3 (site-restricted), H5 and H9 which each had 2 individuals, and the remaining site-restricted 17 had one individual each. The Fu and Li<sup>\*</sup>s neutrality test revealed unexpected significant variation (p < 0.002) amongst haplotypes of both species (see Table 2.4).



Figure 2.2. Maximum parsimony (MP) tree based on 615 base pairs of 39 and 24 unique [see Appendix 2.2 for list of samples with plus (+) sign] mtCOI sequences including reference sequences of *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis* plus outgroups, *A. praetermissa* and *A. acutispira*. Solid lines indicate grouping according to species (blue for *A. africana* and black for *A. knysnaensis*); dotted lines and squares indicate outliers. The values at the branch nodes indicate the maximum parsimony support base on 1000 replicates.



Figure 2.3. Maximum parsimony (MP) tree based on 745 base pairs of 34 and 24 unique [see Appendix 2.2 for list of samples with plus (+) sign] 28S rRNA sequences including reference sequences of *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis* plus outgroups, *A. praetermissa* and *A. acutispira*. Solid lines indicate grouping according to species (blue for *A. africana* and black for *A. knysnaensis*); dotted lines and squares indicate outliers. The values at the branch nodes indicate the parsimony likelihood support base on 1000 replicates.



Figure 2.4. Maximum likelihood (ML) tree based on 615 base pairs of 39 and 24 unique (see Fig. 2.2) mtCOI sequences including reference sequences of *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis* as well as *A. praetermissa* plus *A. acutispira* as an outgroup. Solid lines indicate grouping according to species (blue for *A. africana* and black for *A. knysnaensis*); dotted squares indicate outliers. The values at the branch nodes indicate the maximum likelihood support base on 1000 replicates.



Figure 2.5. Maximum likelihood (ML) tree based on 745 base pairs of 34 and 24 unique (see Fig. 2.3) 28S rRNA sequences including reference sequences of *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis* as well as *A. praetermissa* plus *A. acutispira* as an outgroup. Dotted lines indicate grouping according to species (blue for *A. africana* and black for *A. knysnaensis*). The values at the branch nodes indicate the maximum likelihood support base on 1000 replicates.



Figure 2.6. Maximum likelihood (ML) tree based on 1360 base pairs of 366 combined mtCOI and 2S rRNA sequences including reference sequences of *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis* as well as *A. praetermissa* plus *A. acutispira* as an outgroup. Solid lines indicate grouping according to species (blue for *A. africana* and black for *A. knysnaensis*); dotted line and square indicate outliers. The values at the branch nodes indicate the maximum likelihood support base on 1000 replicates.

Table 2.4. Table showing the results of the genetic diversity indices and neutrality tests for both species. Number of samples (*n*), number of haplotypes (*k*), average differences (*II*), Polymorphic sites (*S*), haplotypes diversity (*Hd*), nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ) Fu and Li's D statistics. \* and \*\* indicate significant (p < 0.002 and 0.05) differences.

| Species        | Region      | n   | k  | II    | S  | Hd    | π       | Fu and Li's D |
|----------------|-------------|-----|----|-------|----|-------|---------|---------------|
|                |             |     |    |       |    |       |         |               |
| A. africana    | All         | 221 | 31 | 0.589 | 29 | 0.463 | 0.00096 | -6.28583**    |
|                | Subtropical | 133 | 22 | 0.572 | 21 | 0.443 | 0.00094 | -5.30171**    |
|                | Warm        | 77  | 12 | 0.651 | 12 | 0.502 | 0.00106 | -2.69725*     |
|                |             |     |    |       |    |       |         |               |
| A. knysnaensis | All         | 254 | 22 | 0.236 | 21 | 0.194 | 0.00039 | -6.18791**    |
|                | Warm        | 159 | 18 | 0.289 | 19 | 0.225 | 0.00048 | -6.58457**    |
|                | Cool        | 89  | 7  | 0.157 | 6  | 0.152 | 0.00026 | -3.57932**    |

# 2.4. Discussion and conclusions

Even though the phylogeny of the two southern African *Afrolittorina* spp. is known (Hughes, 1979; Reid, 1989; 2002; Williams *et al.*, 2003; Reid and Williams, 2004; Reid *et al.*, 2012), it is not clear if the two species are distinct species or subspecies. Thus, there is controversy on the classification system of the family Littorinidae, and the two *Afrolittorina* spp. are among those affected. Previous classifications have grouped them within the genera *Littorina* (see Hughes, 1979 and references herein) and *Nodilittorina* (Reid, 1989; 2002), respectively. But the most recent classification has described them under the new genus *Afrolittorina* (Williams *et al.*, 2003; Reid *et al.*, 2012).

Both morphological characteristics and molecular techniques have been used to shed light on their classification, but this has resulted in further confusion. Hughes (1979) suggested that the two *Afrolittorina* spp. are a single species which differs in its morphological appearance, and represents a cline which shows a gradual change in colour pattern along its distribution range. This was based on the lack of differences in morphology (shell shape and size) and habitat (occurs in the eulittoral zones and fringe), range of colour morphs and latitudinal distributions (overlaps in the warm temperate region and southern part of subtropical region). In contrast, Rosewater (1970), Reid (1989; 2002), Williams *et al.* (2003) and Reid *et al.* (2010) suggested that the same two species are distinct species based on morphological and DNA sequence data, respectively.

The results of this study confirmed that these are two distinct species, with the brown to black *A. knysnaensis* predominant in the cool-temperate region and the pale blue-grey *A. africana* in the subtropical region. This is largely consistent with the current taxonomy (Williams *et al.*, 2003; Reid and Williams, 2004; Reid *et al.*, 2012). Thus, both data sets support previous suggestions that the two southern African *Afrolittorina* spp. are genetically distinct species, eliminating the subspecies dilemma and the possibility of a single species cline as suggested by Hughes (1979). Although the mitochondrial (mtCOI) sequence data showed strong support (complemented by the combined sequence data) for the distinction of the two species,

the nuclear (28S rRNA) data showed weak support which might be the result of difficulties of working with this marker. Nevertheless, the results support a strong argument for a close phylogenetic relationship between these two distinct *Afrolittorina* species.

The results also show no evidence of a genetic basis for the range of colour morphs found within the two species distribution ranges, suggesting no past or ongoing hybridization between natural populations of *Afrolittorina* spp. Instead, colour morphs from the east and southeast coasts were *A. africana* while those from the west and southwest coasts were *A. knysnaensis*. Thus, specimens with unusual or intermediate colouration were not hybrids, but rather phenotypic variants of either species. This suggests that either environmental conditions (e.g. temperature gradients or substratum colour) might be responsible for the range of colour morphs. In fact, in the lab colour morphs from the east coast changed to "white" (i.e. *A. africana*) after long storage with the fixative. Future studies should focus on the cause of the variation of colours in *Afrolittorina* spp., which could represent either genetic polymorphism or phenotypic plasticity. Transformation experiments of marked juveniles could verify the hypothesis of environmental conditions as a cause of phenotypic plasticity.

It is known that phenotypes of gastropods, including littorinids, can become genetically adapted or plastically changed in response to environmental factors (see Fevolden and Garner, 1987; Johannesson *et al.*, 1993; De Wolf *et al.*, 1997; Trussell and Etter, 2001; Johannesson, 2003; Rolán-Alvarez, 2007; Teske *et al.*, 2007c, d; Azuma *et al.*, 2011; etc). This means that phenotypes can be determined solely by the genotype of a species or by the interaction between genes and the environments (see Pigliucci, 1996; Soares *et al.*, 1998; 1999 and references herein). Pigliucci *et al.* (2006) suggested that individual genotypes can produce different phenotypes (i.e. morphs) each fitted for different environmental condition when exposed to different environmental conditions. This allows species or populations to live in different habitats (e.g. biogeographic regions) (see Laudien *et al.*, 2003). Several field and laboratory studies on littorinids reported morphological (e.g. shell colour, size and shape) clines in animals of the same species from different habitats, thus environmental gradients (see Rolán-Alvarez *et al.*, 1997; Johnson and Black, 1999; Sokolova and Berger, 2000; Wilding *et al.*, 2001; Kurihara *et al.*, 2006; Quesada *et al.*, 2007; Cuña *et al.*, 2011; Silva *et al.*, 2013). Phifer-Rixey *et al.* (2008) documented replicated clines in shell colour morph

frequencies in the flat periwinkle *Littorina saxatilis* over thermal gradients at two spatial scales, which had effects on shell temperature.

Although this study shows no evidence of hybridization between the two species, hybridization hypotheses might be plausible based on existing knowledge. A. africana and A. knysnaensis co-exist along the warm temperate region where they even occupy the same microhabitats without any physical or ecological barriers separating the two species (pers. obs.; McQuaid and Scherman, 1988; McQuaid, 1992; d'Errico et al., 2008). Studies on littorinids and other marine animals provide evidence of interspecific hybridization and/or introgression, especially when closely related (sister) species or ecotypes co-exist or live in sympatry (see below; Brown, 1995; Rawson et al., 2003; Nydam and Harrison, 2011; Zardi et al., 2011). Both field and laboratory studies on littorinid snails, especially on species or ecotypes of the genus Littorina have shown hybridization and/or introgression (De Wolf et al., 1998; Erlandsson et al., 1999; Wilding et al., 2001; Rolán-Alvarez, 2007). Mikhailova et al. (2009) found evidence of possible hybridization between natural populations of the sibling species Littorina saxatilis and L. arcana living in sympatry. This is supported by the laboratory results of Warwick et al. (1990) where females of L. arcana hybridized (even though the frequency of viable offspring was lower than either of the parental crosses) with males of L. saxatilis.

Sharing of mtDNA COI haplotypes between co-exiting species has also been suggested to be a consequence of either persistent hybridization or episodes of hybridization, or incomplete lineage sorting of ancestral polymorphs (Small and Gosling, 2000; Wilding *et al.*, 2000a; 2001; Azuma *et al.*, 2011; Díaz- Ferguson *et al.*, 2012). For example, the lack of mitochondrial divergence between *Littorina fabalis* and *L. obtusata* led Kemppainen *et al.* (2009) to suggest that there might be some degree of incomplete lineage sorting or introgressive hybridization between these species.

Other studies have shown no evidence of hybridization and/or introgression, and explain this as the results of prezygotic (e.g. mate choice and gamete incompatibility) or postzygotic (e.g. unfit hybrids) reproductive barriers to gene flow (Saur, 1990; Johannesson *et al.*, 1995; Erlandsson *et al.*, 1999; Johannesson, 2003; Rawson *et al.*, 2003; Rolán-Alvarez, 2007;
Slaughter *et al.*, 2008; Addison and Pogson, 2009). For example, Johnson (1999) suggested that size assortative mating observed between individuals of *Littorina neglecta* and its congener *L. saxatilis* may have acted as a prezygotic barrier to reproduction between these species. Field and laboratory studies on ecotypes (H and M) of *Littorina saxatilis* have shown that morphs from different shores and microhabitats mate assortatively, but produce unfit hybrids (Hull *et al.*, 1996; Erlandsson and Rolán-Alvarez, 1998; Hull, 1998; Pickles and Grahame, 1999; Rolán-Alvarez *et al.*, 1999; Quesada *et al.*, 2007). In fact, where hybridization occurs and hybrids are produced, they occur in low frequencies leading to the suggestion that there is no hybridization.

If true, the explanation for the lack of hybridization (i.e. hybrids) in *Afrolittorina* spp. could be due to differences in reproductive systems (e.g. penis structure; Reid, 1989; Reid and Williams, 2004), behavioural barriers (e.g. mate choice; not yet investigated) or that they can hybridize but produce unfit offspring. In addition, the divergence time (about 10-47 Ma; see Williams *et al.*, 2003) as suggested (see Kemppainen *et al.*, 2009) might also explain the lack of hybridization or introgression between these two species; but see Nydam and Harrison (2011). Further investigations using different markers (e.g. microsatellites) as well as field and laboratory studies could help to clarify if there is interspecific hybridization or speciation in *Afrolittorina* spp. Microsatellites have been instrumental in identifying hybrids or the possibility of interspecific hybridization in littorinids and other marine animals (Wilding *et al.*, 2002; Panova *et al.*, 2006; Kemppainen *et al.*, 2009), and can be useful in this regard.

Although most studies on the phylogeography of South African marine invertebrates and fishes have shown that phylogeographic breaks within and among taxa often coincide with known biogeographic boundaries, there are some deviations (Teske *et al.*, 2006; 2007a, b, c; 2008; 2009; 2011a; von der Heyden, 2007; 2008; 2009; 2011; 2013; Mmonwa, 2009; 2013 unpub. data; etc). This shows that the prevailing biogeographic boundaries do not affect the phylogeography of different marine animals in the same fashion. In addition, given the species requirements for hard substrate (i.e. rocky shores), long stretches of sandy beaches and sand dunes (which can create unsuitable habitats for study species) found along their distribution ranges might promote population structure as in other animals (Teske *et al.* 2006; 2008; Zardi *et al.*, 2007; Mmonwa, 2009 unpub. data).

The results of this study show that there are no phylogeographic breaks or genetic structuring in the study species in contrast to other invertebrates which show phylogeographic patterns and/or breaks that coincide with recognised biogeographic boundaries or limits (see above). The data revealed complete genetic homogeneity across the species distribution ranges, suggesting high levels of gene flow as a result of the effect of prevailing currents on larval dispersal. Thus, the accepted southern African biogeographic boundaries seem to have no impact on the phylogeography of the two littorinid snails examined; in contrast to what has been found in other coastal invertebrates. In fact, biogeographic boundaries may have strong or weak effects on the phylogeographic patterns of different species, and this can also depend on the species'' mode of dispersal (see Teske *et al.* 2006; 2011a). *Afrolittorina spp.* are believed to have planktonic larval stages (see below), and as such are expected to show no phylogeographic patterns as seen in this study.

To my knowledge, this is one of few findings (Grant *et al.*, 1992; Soares *et al.*, 1999; Tolley *et al.*, 2005; Gopal *et al.*, 2006; Neethling *et al.*, 2008; Bester-van der Merwe *et al.*, 2011) where little or a complete lack of structure or break has been shown. Oosthuizen *et al.* (2004) found a single haplotype in the octopus, *Octopus vulgaris* populations from the east and west coast of South Africa. Ridgway *et al.* (1999) found genetic homogeneity in the bearded limpet *Patella barbara* along the west and east coasts of South Africa. Grant and da Silva-Tatley (1997) found a remarkable genetic similarity in populations of the sandy beach whelk *Bullia digitalis* over 2400 km of the coastline. Generally, these studies show that planktonic eggs and larvae are important in maintaining such genetic homogeneity. Similar results, thus lack of structure and/or breaks have been reported in other littorinids (see Reid *et al.*, 2006). Kim *et al.* (2003) found lack of genetic structure among populations of the widely distributed littorinid, *Littorina brevicula* around Korean waters. Silva *et al.* (2013) found low genetic differentiation in two littorinids, the rocky shore *Littoraria glabrata* and the mangrove *L. scabra*, along the East African coast.

In addition, these species showed low genetic variation within their distribution ranges as shown by the occurrence of the dominate haplotype and less private haplotypes, suggesting high larval gene flow in these species. Thus, the current study found low levels of haplotype and nucleotide diversity in both species, even though the neutrality test revealed significant difference between haplotypes found within species distribution ranges. The subtropical/temperate *A. africana* was characterised by higher level of haplotype and nucleotide diversity compared to its temperate congener *A. knysnaensis*, and this might reflect differences in their ecology rather than their life history since they are predicted to have similar larval development (see below). Thus, the explanation for higher genetic diversity in *A. africana* as compared to *A. knysnaensis* may indicate that genetic heterogeneity as an adaptive strategy to heat stress as suggested in other studies (Noy *et al.*, 1987; Ward, 1990; Hawkins, 1995; Schmidt *et al.*, 2007). This means that the higher heat tolerance (see Chapter 3-5) in *A. africana* has elicited higher genetic diversity to increase its fitness in heterogeneous conditions in the subtropics.

The study species are believed to be pelagic spawners with planktotrophic larvae which can disperse long distances with the help of currents, inhibiting genetic structuring. Although the duration of the planktonic larvae stage of these species is not known, it is believed that they have long phases of planktonic development which result in high gene flow, thus less genetic variation across their distribution range. In addition, both species are thought to breed throughout the year, and this can help to prevent the effect of seasonal variation in currents on larval dispersal. A previous study by Grant and Lang (1991) also found low genetic (allozyme) variation on a geographical scale in the population genetics of *A. knysnaensis*. However, there are no other studies which have investigated the phylogeographic patterns of littorinids from South Africa.

The low genetic variation found in this study supports the idea that the mode of development in the study species is planktonic. Grant and Lang (1991) suggested that the mode of development in *A. knysnaensis* is planktonic after finding low allozyme variation on a geographical scale. The findings of McQuaid (1981) on the eggs (about 87  $\mu$ m) size of *A. knysnaensis* further supported the idea of planktonic development in this species. The dimensions of the protoconch and the large capsule gland of the two species (see Reid, 1989; Reid and Williams, 2004) again suggest that they have planktonic development.

There is still a need to investigate the phylogeography and population genetics of *Afrolittorina* spp., and other littorinids found along the South African coastline. Both nuclear

and mitochondrial "neutral" or unlinked markers such as microsatellites, introns, internal transcribed spacers, etc. can be useful in this regard. Thus, to have an accurate picture of phylogeography and population structure within a group, both nuclear and mitochondrial "neutral" markers are needed. These markers, especially microsatellites, have been widely used in phylogeographic and population genetic studies of invertebrates, including littorinids (Wilding *et al.*, 2000b; Sokolova *et al.*, 2001; 2003; 2004; Simpson *et al.*, 2005; Teske and Beheregaray, 2009; Zhan *et al.*, 2009; Zulliger *et al.*, 2009; etc). However, microsatellites have limitations such as the time and effort required for the isolation and characterization, the failure of cross-species amplification, which depends on the phylogenetic distance between source and target species, and the fact that as yet, they are scarce and incomplete, and for many taxa totally absent (see Winnepenninckx and Backeljau, 1998; Sokolova *et al.*, 2001; 2004; Panova *et al.*, 2008). Therefore, most researchers working at phylogeographic and/or population genetic levels have exclusively used and/or are still using mitochondrial DNA sequences (see Wilding *et al.*, 2000b; Teske and Beheregaray, 2009; Panova *et al.*, 2011; Teske *et al.*, 2011a, b, c) as in the case in this study.

In summary, the results show that there are two distinct species, with a brown to black A. knysnaensis predominant in the cool-temperate region and a pale blue-grey A. africana in the subtropical region. These results support the previous morphological and recent molecular distinction of the two species (Reid, 1989, 2002; Williams et al., 2003; Reid and Williams, 2004; Reid et al., 2012). The data also show no evidence of a genetic basis behind the colour morphs as the intermediate morphs clearly grouped as one species or the other. This suggests the absence of either past or ongoing interspecific gene flow (hybridization) and/or speciation in these species. Thus, it is probable that there are reproductive barriers preventing the gene pools of the two species amalgamating or mixing. Therefore, it is possible that the cause of different colour morphs within each species is the result of the conditions (i.e. phenotypic plasticity) in their microhabitats. Furthermore, there was low genetic variation within each species, suggesting that there is high gene flow among populations; supporting the suggestion of planktonic development in these species. This is in agreement with previous phylogeographic studies of South African marine taxa, where species with planktonic larva showed low genetic variation compared to those with non-planktonic larva. Thus, high dispersal of planktonic larvae coupled with the effects of dispersal by currents results in low genetic diversity as there is high gene flow among populations.

# CHAPTER 3. Thermal tolerance of littorinid snails of the genera *Afrolittorina*, *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* from temperate and subtropical regions of South Africa

# 3.1. Introduction

The effects of temperature and desiccation on the behaviour, survival and physiological performance of animals, and the subsequent influence of physiological tolerances or adaptations to temperature and desiccation on the distribution and abundance of animals have been extensively studied (see Evans, 1948; Vernberg, 1959; McMahon, 1990; Bertness *et al.*, 1999; Menge *et al.*, 2007; Sokolova *et al.*, 2012). This is because temperature and/or desiccation are among the most important environmental factors that affect the distribution and abundance of marine, estuarine and open sea animals, particularly ectotherms (see Huey and Stevenson, 1979; McQuaid and Branch, 1984; Segnini de Bravo *et al.*, 1998; Muñoz *et al.*, 2005; Chan *et al.*, 2006; Iacarella and Helmuth, 2012).

Environmental temperature affects an animal"s performance (including activity) and fitness (including survival) through its effect on body temperature (see Cornelius, 1972; Huey and Kingsolver, 1989, 1993; Angilletta Jr. *et al.*, 2002; Martin and Huey, 2008; Pincebourde *et al.*, 2008). This is because physiological performance increases with temperature until declining above optimum or near lethal temperatures (see Clarke, 1993a; Huey and Berrigan, 2001; Peck *et al.*, 2007; Ivanina *et al.*, 2009; Tattersall *et al.*, 2012). On the other hand, desiccation too affects the behaviour (i.e. activity) and physiology of animals (see Stillman and Somero, 1996; Lang *et al.*, 1998; Bates and Hicks, 2005) through its effects on body water and gaseous exchange (see Sandison, 1967; Shick *et al.*, 1988; McMahon, 1990; Stenseng *et al.*, 2005; Gardeström *et al.*, 2007). Thus, temperature and desiccation are critical structuring forces for animal populations, especially intertidal ones.

Various field and laboratory studies show that the distribution and abundance (vertically or horizontally) of intertidal animals are influenced by a wide variety of physical (abiotic) and biological (biotic) factors. These include abiotic factors such as temperature, salinity and wave action, which can be modified by complex topography (see Connell, 1961; Wallace, 1972a; Wolcott, 1973; McMahon and Britton, 1991; Chapman and Underwood, 1994; 1996; Kaehler and Williams, 1996; 1997; Boulding and Harper, 1998; etc) and biotic factors such as competition, predation and food availability (see Connell, 1961; 1972; Menge, 1976; Underwood and McFadyen, 1983; Little and Williams, 1989; Jones *et al.*, 1994; Duncan and Szelistowski, 1998; Chapman, 2000; Rochette and Dill, 2000; Burnaford, 2004; etc). These abiotic and biotic factors, either alone or in synergy, determine the distribution and abundance of animals in the intertidal (see Etter, 1988; Bustamante and Branch, 1996; Bustamante *et al.*, 1997; Soto and Bozinovic, 1998; Dahlhoff *et al.*, 2002).

However, abiotic factors have a greater effect on the distribution of high shore organisms than biotic interactions. Therefore, it is generally accepted that abiotic factors set species" upper limits while biotic factors set the lower limits (see Wethey, 1984; Britton, 1992; Yamada and Boulding, 1996; Harley and Helmuth, 2003; Miller *et al.*, 2009; Perez *et al.*, 2009; Lima *et al.*, 2011). Because of the profound effects of environmental conditions, physiological adaptations (e.g. thermal tolerance, metabolic adjustments, heat shock protein production, etc) are critical in setting the distribution patterns and limits of intertidal organisms (see Stillman and Somero, 1996; 2000; Tomanek and Somero, 2000; Somero, 2002; 2005; 2010; Tomanek, 2002; 2008; 2010; Madeira *et al.*, 2012b, c; etc).

Temperature and desiccation are the two main factors that affect distribution and abundance of littorinids and other intertidal gastropods (see below). This is because many littorinids live highest on the shore where they experience long period of exposure, and thus temperature and desiccation stress during low tides. In fact, some are supralittoral and can be exposed to aerial conditions for weeks or months (see Jones and Boulding, 1999; Backeljau *et al.*, 2001; Muñoz *et al.*, 2008; Lee and Boulding, 2010; Marshall and McQuaid, 2010; Judge *et al.*, 2011). In addition, the degree and duration of environmental stresses increase from low to high shore levels (see Suryanarayanan and Nair, 1979; McMahon and Wilson, 1981; McMahon, 1990; Menge *et al.*, 2007; Muñoz *et al.*, 2008). At high shore levels, aerial

conditions may not only become more extreme but are also characterized by high temporal instability.

As a result of their regular exposure to temperature and desiccation stress, littorinids and other intertidal animals have developed various survival strategies. When heat and desiccation stress increase, mobile animals actively choose suitable microhabitats and escape from unfavourable conditions (see McMahon, 1990; Britton and Morton, 2003; Harley *et al.*, 2009; Chapperon and Seuront, 2011a, b; Judge *et al.*, 2009; 2011). Shelled gastropods can withdraw into the shell and isolate themselves inside by tight closure of the shell aperture with their operculum (see Reese, 1969; Garrity, 1984; McMahon, 1990; Jones and Boulding, 1999; Iacarella and Helmuth, 2011). These behavioural responses are also evident in other intertidal molluscs and crustaceans (see Barnes *et al.*, 1963; Garrity, 1984; McMahon, 1990; Williams and Morritt, 1995) and limit exposure of the animal to adverse environmental conditions. In the case of snails, withdrawal into the shell can be used to minimise physical contact with the substratum, thus reducing heat uptake.

Other behavioural thermoregulation mechanisms include evaporative and convective cooling (see Lewis, 1963; Britton and Morton, 2003; Marshall and Chua, 2012), formation of aggregations (see Reese, 1969; Feare, 1971; Soto and Bozinovic, 1998; Stafford *et al.*, 2007; 2008; but see Stafford and Davies, 2004; Chapperon and Seuront, 2012), use of sheltered microhabitats such as crevices, cracks and biogenics (see Atkinson and Newbury, 1984; Britton, 1995; Stafford and Davies, 2004; Judge *et al.*, 2009; Cartwright and Williams, 2012), orientation of the shell to avoid direct exposure to sunlight (see McMahon, 1990; 2001b; Marshall and Chua, 2012; Marshall and Ng, 2013), removing the foot from the substratum and attachment of the shell by a mucus thread to reduce contact with the substratum (see McMahon, 1990; Emson *et al.*, 2002), and restricting activity to periods of reduced stress (Lang *et al.*, 1998; Emson *et al.*, 2002; Bates and Hicks, 2005; Cartwright and Williams, 2012), amongst others.

When conditions become too harsh, some animals, including some littorinids, can enter a dormant state (i.e. aestivation) (see McMahon, 1990; Emson *et al.*, 2002; Judge *et al.*, 2011) during which they depress their metabolic rate and enter a new hypometabolic state, which

extends the time an animal can survive on stored energy supplies (see Sokolova and Pörtner, 2001b; Pörtner, 2002b; Storey, 2002; Anestis *et al.*, 2007; Sokolova *et al.*, 2012). Other animals, such as bivalves, switch to anaerobic metabolism, which although highly inefficient and costly, allows the animal to close off (e.g. through valve closure) from the external environment to avoid deleterious conditions (Widdows *et al.*, 1979; Storey and Storey, 1990; Anestis *et al.*, 2007; Nicastro *et al.*, 2010). Because heat stress is often linked to desiccation (see Ottaway, 1973; McMahon, 1990; Bustamante *et al.*, 1997), metabolic adjustments can include water conservation abilities and desiccation tolerance (see McMahon, 1988a; Britton, 1992; 1993; Sokolova and Pörtner, 2001b; Ji *et al.*, 2008). Other physiological adaptations include thermal regulation, tolerance and acclimation (see McMahon, 1990; Clarke, 1993a; Horowitz, 2001; 2002; Camacho *et al.*, 2006), metabolic and heart rate adjustments (see McMahon, 1990; Horowitz, 2001; 2002; Sokolova and Pörtner, 2001b; Nguyen *et al.*, 2011).

Some species induce a so-called heat shock response, which gives rise to a strong and transient induction of genes responsible for the production of heat shock proteins and increases thermal tolerance (Feder and Hofmann, 1999; Pörtner, 2002b; Tomanek, 2002; Tomanek and Sanford, 2003; Finke *et al.*, 2009). Other cellular level responses are increased heat stability of key metabolic enzymes (Hull *et al.*, 1999; Stillman and Somero, 2001; Somero, 2004), modification of enzymes (Somero, 1978; 1995; 2004; Schmidt *et al.*, 2007; Dong and Somero, 2009) as well as enzyme-substrate and enzyme-modulator interactions (Somero and Hochachka, 1968; Somero, 1969; Newell *et al.*, 1980), higher mitochondrial density and capacity (see Sommer *et al.*, 1997; Guderley and St-Pierre, 2002; Pörtner, 2002b; Fangue *et al.*, 2009), and structural or membrane stability (see Somero, 2004; Rais *et al.*, 2010), a balanced suppression of energy demand and supply pathways (see Storey, 2002; Sokolova *et al.*, 2012). There are also morphological adaptations such as shell size, shape, colour, presence of opercula and sculpturing and/or ornamentation (see Britton, 1995; Lang *et al.*, 1998; Sokolova and Berger, 2000; Bates and Hicks, 2005; Harley *et al.*, 2009).

Thus, classically, two main strategies are used to survive heat and desiccation stress: behavioural and physiological, and during rapid increases in heat stress, animals may use behavioural, physiological or both types of adaptation. The importance of a behavioural

adaptation to thermal stress is that it can allow regulation of body temperatures as animals approach their physiological limits (see Huey and Kingsolver, 1989; Eshky and Ba-Akdhah, 1992; Soto and Bozinovic, 1998; Angilletta Jr. *et al.*, 2002; Miller and Denny, 2011; Cartwright and Williams, 2012). In fact, thermoregulatory behaviour is a homeostatic mechanism which tends to maintain internal temperatures favourable for physiological processes (see Díaz *et al.*, 2002). Above the thermal limits for physiological associated locomotory behaviour and feeding, animals rely on physiological adaptations to tolerate or resist heat stress (see McMahon, 1990; Sokolova and Pörtner, 2001b; Horowitz, 2001; 2002; Miller and Denny, 2011). Physiological mitigation of heat stress is critical for most intertidal ectotherms since they are sessile or have low mobility (see McMahon, 1988a, b; 1990; Halpin *et al.* 2004; Zardi *et al.*, 2011; Marshall and Chua, 2012).

Thermal tolerance is among the most critical of physiological mechanisms and many studies have examined temperature tolerances or the effect of temperature on lethal limits of intertidal organisms, including littorinids as a way of understanding how animals tolerate their environment (see below). This is especially important given the current scenarios of future climate change (see Pörtner, 2002a, b; Helmuth et al., 2010; Somero, 2010; Madeira et al., 2012a, b). Thermal tolerance of intertidal organisms is commonly assessed from two attributes (traits); 1) heat coma temperature (HCT), at which neuromuscular coordination is lost but animals recover when temperature is lowered, and 2) median lethal temperature  $(LT_{50})$  following exposure to temperatures from which animals cannot recover (see below; McMahon, 1990; Clarke et al., 2002a, b, c; Díaz et al., 2002). Studies on thermal tolerance have established that it can differ for animals from different regions or latitudes, taxa, shore levels, habitats, etc. In general, tropical species show higher tolerances than their counterparts from subtropical and temperate regions, respectively. For example, within the genus Echinolittorina, thermal tolerances are high for tropical species followed by subtropical and temperate species or conspecifics (see Table 3.1). This is true for other snails/gastropods (see Table 3.1; Ansell and McLachlan, 1980; Backeljau et al., 2001; Sorte and Hofmann, 2005; Kuo and Sanford, 2009), bivalves (Compton et al., 2007; Morley et al. 2009; Zardi et al., 2011), echinoderms (Byrne et al., 2010), tunicates/bryozoans (Sorte et al., 2011), and crustaceans (Vernberg, 1959; Stillman and Somero, 1999; Stillman and Tagmount, 2009; Kelley et al., 2011) as well as intertidal fish (Fangue et al., 2006; Madeira et al., 2012a).

Table 3.1. Heat coma (HCT) and lethal ( $LT_{50}$ ) temperatures of some littorinid snails of the family Littorinidae from tropical, subtropical and temperate regions.

| Taxon  |                         | Distribution       |                                | Tolerance         |                           | Reference                     |  |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
|  |                         |                    |                                | temperatures (°C) |                           |                               |  |
| Genus  | Species                 | Bioregion          | Vertical                       | НСТ               | LT <sub>50</sub>          |                               |  |
| <i>Echinolittorina E. malaccana</i> Tropical Eulittoral fringe |                         | Eulittoral fringe  | 46.8                           | 59.0              | Cleland and McMahon, 1986 |                               |  |
|  |                         |                    | _                              | -                 | 50.04                     | Lee and Lim, 2009             |  |
|  |                         |                    |                                | -                 | 56.5                      | Marshall et al., 2011         |  |
|  | E. vidua                | Tropical           | Eulittoral to lower eulittoral | 44.5              | 56.5                      | Cleland and McMahon, 1986     |  |
|  |                         |                    | fringe                         | -                 | 48.1                      | Lee and Lim, 2009             |  |
|  |                         |                    |                                | -                 | 54.7                      | Marshall et al., 2011         |  |
|  | E. peruviana            | Tropical/Temperate | Eulittoral zones and fringe    | 37                | -                         | Muñoz et al. 2005             |  |
|  | E. natalensis           | Subtropical        | Eulittoral fringe              | 37.2              | 56.4                      | This study                    |  |
| Nodilittorina  | N. leucosticta          | Tropical           | Eulittoral fringe              | -                 | 60.0                      | Suryanarayanan and Nair, 1979 |  |
|  | N. pyramidalis          | Tropical           | Littoral fringe                | 48.5              | 56.5                      | Stirling, 1982                |  |
|  |                         |                    | Littoral fringe                | 46.5              | -                         | Cleland and McMahon, 1986     |  |
|  |                         |                    | Eulittoral fringe              | 46.3              | -                         | McMahon, 2001b                |  |
|  | N. millegrana           | Tropical           | Littoral fringe                | 46.0              | 56.5                      | Stirling, 1982                |  |
|  | N. exigua               | Tropical           | Littoral fringe                | 44.8              | -                         | Cleland and McMahon, 1986     |  |
|  |                         |                    | Eulittoral fringe              | 44.8              | -                         | McMahon, 2001b                |  |
|  | N. natalensis           | Tropical           | Littoral Fringe                | 46.0              | 53.5                      | Stirling, 1982                |  |
|  | N. unifasciata          | Tropical           | Eulittoral zones               | 41.3              | -                         | McMahon, 1990                 |  |
|  |                         |                    | Eulittoral fringe              | 41.1              | -                         | McMahon, 2001b                |  |
| Littorina  | L. undulata             | Tropical           | Eulittoral zone                | -                 | 55.0                      | Suryanarayanan and Nair, 1979 |  |
|  | L. krausii              | Tropical           | Littoral fringe                | 45.0              | 53.0                      | Stirling, 1982                |  |
|  | L. saxatilis            | Tropical/Temperate | Littoral fringe                | 37                | 45                        | Evans, 1948                   |  |
|  |                         |                    |                                | 32                | 40                        | Sandison, 1967                |  |
|  |                         |                    | Eulittoral fringe              | 38.2              | -                         | McMahon, 2001b                |  |
|  |                         |                    |                                | 35.0              |                           | Davenport and Davenport, 2005 |  |
|  | L. saxatilis "H"        | Tropical/Temperate | Eulittoral fringe              | 31.52             | 41.3                      | Clarke et al., 2000b          |  |
|  |                         |                    |                                | 31.63/31.96       | -                         | Backeljau et al., 2001        |  |
|  | <i>L. saxatilis</i> "M" | Tropical/Temperate | Eulittoral fringe              | 31.72             | 43.8                      | Clarke et al., 2000b          |  |
|  |                         |                    |                                | 33.00/31.97       | -                         | Backeljau et al., 2001        |  |
|  | L. saxatilis "B"        | Tropical/Temperate | Eulittoral zone                | 30.76             | -                         | Clarke et al., 2000b          |  |

|               | L. arcana                                      | Tropical/Temperate   | Eulittoral fringe   | 33.13/32.70 | -                      | Backeljau et al., 2001        |
|---------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
|               |  |                      |                     | 31.71       | 41.5                   | Clarke et al., 2000b          |
|               | L. compressa                                   | Tropical/Temperate   | Eulittoral fringe   | 34.16       | -                      | Backeljau et al., 2001        |
|               | L. littorea                                    | Tropical/Temperate   | Eulittoral          | 39          | 46                     | Evans, 1948                   |
|               |  |                      | Eulittoral zone     | 31          | 40                     | Sandison, 1967                |
|               |  |                      | Eulittoral zone     | -           | 40-41                  | Fraenkel, 1960                |
|               |  |                      | Eulittoral zone     | 30.16       | 43.8                   | Clarke et al., 2000b          |
|               |  |                      |                     | 33.43/31.48 | -                      | Backeljau et al., 2001        |
|               |  |                      | Eulittoral          | 32.0        | -                      | McMahon, 2001b                |
|               |  |                      | Eulittoral zone     | 35.3        | -                      | Davenport and Davenport, 2005 |
|               | L. fabalis                                     | Tropical/Temperate   | Eulittoral          | 30.60       | -                      | Clarke et al., 2000b          |
|               |  |                      | Eulittoral zone     | 29.9        | -                      | Davenport and Davenport, 2005 |
|               | L. obtusata Tropical/Temperate Eulittoral zone |                      | 30.66               | 40.2        | Clarke et al., 2000b   |                               |
|               |  |                      | 35.93/32.06         | -           | Backeljau et al., 2001 |                               |
|               |  |                      | Mid Eulittoral zone | 29.6        | -                      | Davenport and Davenport, 2005 |
|               |  |                      | Lower eulittoral    | 28.3        | -                      | McMahon, 2001b                |
|               | L. brevicula                                   | Tropical             | Eulittoral zone     | 39.9        | -                      | Cleland and McMahon, 1986     |
|               |  |                      | Eulittoral fringe   | 40.1        | -                      | McMahon, 2001b                |
|               | L. neglecta                                    | Tropical/Temperate   | Eulittoral zone     | 30.63       | -                      | Clarke et al., 2000b          |
|               | L. littoralis                                  | Tropical/Temperate   | Eulittoral zone     | 36          | 44.3                   | Evans, 1948                   |
|               |  |                      |                     | 30          | 40                     | Sandison, 1967                |
|               | L. neritoides                                  | Tropical/Temperate   | Eulittoral zone     | 38          | 46.3                   | Evans, 1948                   |
|               |  |                      |                     | 35          | 42                     | Sandison, 1967                |
| Littoraria    | L. glabrata                                    | Tropical/Subtropical | Eulittoral fringe   | 37.4        | 53.8                   | This study                    |
|               | Littoraria spp.                                | Tropical/Subtropical | Eulittoral fringe   | -           | 47.5                   | Lee and Lim, 2009             |
|               |  |                      |                     | 43.9        | -                      | McMahon, 2001b                |
| Afrolittorina | A. africana                                    | Subtropical          | Eulittoral zone     | 35.3        | 51.4                   | This study                    |
|               |  | Warm-temperate       | Eulittoral fringe   | 34.6        | 51.1                   | This study                    |
|               | A. knysnaensis                                 | Warm-temperate       | Eulittoral fringe   | 32.8        | 50.1                   | This study                    |
|               |  | Cool-temperate       | Eulittoral fringe   | 33.1        | 50.0                   | This study                    |
|               |  | Cool-temperate       | Eulittoral fringe   | -           | 48.6                   | See Evans, 1948               |

"H", "M" and "B" represent high-shore, mid-shore and barnacle-dwelling ecotypes of *L*. saxatilis. HCT = heat coma temperature;  $LT_{50}$  = lethal temperature.

Likewise, eulittoral fringe species show higher tolerances than eulittoral zone and subtidal species, respectively (see Table 3.1; Cuculescu et al., 1998; Stillman and Somero, 1999; 2000; Backeljau et al., 2001; Sorte and Hofmann, 2005; Miller et al., 2009; Nguyen et al., 2011; Madeira et al., 2012a). In addition, the differences in thermal tolerance between low and high intertidal species are greatest for temperate species (Stillman and Somero, 1996; 1999; Stillman, 2002; Compton et al., 2007). Members of the family Littorinidae show particularly high tolerances (Table 3.1; McMahon, 1990; 2001a; Nguyen et al., 2011). Thus, there are species-specific ranges of tolerance (Díaz et al., 2002), with tropical and high shore species consistently demonstrating higher tolerances than temperate and low shore species (McMahon, 1990; Britton, 1992; Stillman and Somero, 1996; 1999; Davenport and Davenport, 2005; Nguyen et al., 2011). But the specific temperatures that are tolerated differ depending upon whether a species is studied in summer or winter, or acclimated in the laboratory. Differences in thermal tolerances can also be explained by differences in the conditions animals experience in their microhabitats (see Stillman and Somero, 1996; Nakano and Iwama, 2002; Stillman, 2002; Morley et al., 2009; Sorte et al., 2011; Madeira et al., 2012b; Vinagre et al., 2012). For example, Sanders et al. (1991) found that the limpet Collisella scabra which inhabits the exposed high intertidal zone had a greater tolerance to acute heat shock than C. pelta which lives in the more protected upper midtidal region.

Differences in thermal tolerances can relate not only to extrinsic and intrinsic factors, but also to combinations of, or interactions between these factors. This is because multiple factors, rather than single factors (e.g. temperature) are encountered in the natural environment (see Backeljau *et al.*, 2001; Roelofs *et al.*, 2008; Nicastro *et al.*, 2010; Zippay and Helmuth, 2012). For example, salinity, oxygen, carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and chemicals as well as activity, size, sex, nutrition and health can significantly influence an animal''s response to temperature. Salinity can have different effects on thermal tolerance of animals when in combination with temperature (see Hicks, 1973; McMahon and Russell-Hunter, 1981; Li and Brawley, 2004; Re *et al.*, 2005; 2006). For example, Todd and Dehnel (1960) found that salinity had a marked effect on the temperature tolerance of two grapsid crabs, *Hemograpsus nudus* and *H. oregonensis*. Dehnel (1960) found that animals of the above species when acclimated at a combination of high temperature and salinity showed higher tolerance than those acclimated to both low temperature and salinity. Sherman and Eichrodt (1982) found that a combination of low salinity and temperature was most stressful and resulted in higher mortality than other

combinations. Thus, tolerance of high temperatures was best under high temperature and high salinity (see Nagabhushanam and Sarojini, 1969).

As for salinity, oxygen levels affect thermal tolerance. In the whelk *Nucella lapillus*, thermal tolerance increased under hyperoxic conditions and decreased under hypoxic conditions; while in *Littorina littorea* oxygen levels did not affect thermal tolerance (Davenport and Davenport, 2007). Several studies on invertebrates and fishes have shown that oxygen concentration influences temperature tolerance via oxygen limitation (Frederich and Pörtner, 2000; Mark *et al.*, 2002; Peck, 2002; Pörtner *et al.*, 2004a; Lannig *et al.*, 2004; Jansen *et al.*, 2009; etc). This is also true for high temperature-induced systemic hypoxia (Pörtner *et al.*, 2009; Pörtner, 2001; 2002a, b; 2012; Peck *et al.*, 2002; Anestis *et al.*, 2008; Kassahn *et al.*, 2009). Carbon dioxide levels as well as ocean acidification also influence temperature tolerance via oxygen limitation (Pörtner, 2008; 2012; Walther *et al.*, 2009; Lannig *et al.*, 2008; 2010; Christensen *et al.*, 2011). For example, Metzger *et al.* (2007) found a 5°C decrease in the upper thermal limits of aerobic scope of the edible crab *Cancer pagurus* exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>.

The effects of size are contradictory, with some studies suggesting that an animal"s size affects thermal tolerance, while others did not (see Todd and Dehnel, 1960; Jensen and Armstrong, 1991; Backeljau *et al.*, 2001; Ospína and Mora, 2004; Peck *et al.*, 2009b; Nguyen *et al.*, 2011; Madeira *et al.*, 2012b). Smaller animals seem more tolerant in some species, while adults are more tolerant in others. Clarke *et al.* (2000a, b) found that larger individuals of *Littorina littorea* showed heat coma at significantly lower temperatures than juveniles, while Hicks and McMahon (2002b) found that smaller individuals of the invasive mussel *Perna perna* were less temperature tolerant than larger individuals. Lee and Boulding (2010) found that body size did not significantly affect thermal tolerance in the intertidal snail, *Littorina keenae*.

High aerobic scope (i.e. activity) results in a greater physiological capacity to cope with elevated temperature, leading to higher tolerance limits (see Pörtner *et al.*, 2000; Pörtner, 2001; 2002a, b; 2010; Peck *et al.*, 2009b; Storch *et al.*, 2009; Nguyen *et al.*, 2011).

Nutritional status (e.g. starvation) can also have effects on an animals" ability to cope with heat stress (see Dahlhoff, 2004; McCue, 2010; Terblanche *et al.*, 2011; Zardi *et al.*, 2011; Fitzgerald-Dehoog *et al.*, 2012) and thus tolerance limits. Infection (which also depend on the type and intensity) by macroparasites such as trematodes is known to lead to reduced resistance to extreme (high and low) temperatures (see Berger and Kharazova, 1997; Curtis, 2002; Granovitch *et al.*, 2000; Meißner and Schaarschmidt, 2000; Bates *et al.*, 2011). For example, infected individuals of the snail *Biomphalaria glabrata* had lower thermal tolerance than uninfected individuals under the same temperature treatments (Lee and Cheng, 1971). Chemicals or pollutants combined with high temperature result in a reduction of thermal tolerance (see Lannig *et al.*, 2006; Sokolova and Lannig, 2008).

The effect of acclimation or previous thermal history (see Nagabhushanam and Sarojini, 1969; Vernberg, 1969; Cuculescu *et al.*, 1998; Zakhartsev *et al.*, 2003; Dong *et al.*, 2008a; Middlebrook *et al.*, 2008; Sunday *et al.*, 2012) and season (see Todd and Dehnel, 1960; Newell *et al.*, 1971; Backeljau *et al.*, 2001; Hopkin *et al.*, 2006; Stillman and Tagmount, 2009; Sunday *et al.*, 2012) as well as parental thermal history (see Stillman and Somero, 2000; Li and Brawley, 2004; Byrne *et al.*, 2010; Zerebecki and Sorte, 2011) can also contribute to differences in thermal tolerances. Clarke *et al.* (2000a, b) found both acclimation (at elevated temperatures) and previous thermal history influenced heat coma temperature can induce increased thermal tolerance also called "induced tolerance" during subsequent thermal stress (see Parsell *et al.*, 1993; Stillman and Somero, 1999; Hopkin *et al.*, 2006; Li *et al.*, 2007; Dong and Dong, 2008), and this is linked to the up-regulation of heat shock proteins and/or stability of proteins or enzymes (see Feder and Hofmann, 1999; Pörtner and Knust, 2007; Ulrich and Marsh, 2009; etc). However, sudden exposure to elevated temperatures can also cause mortality.

Differences in experimental methods (design and protocols) can also explain some of the differences observed. For example, Clarke *et al.* (2000b) and Lee and Lim (2009) determined the lethal thermal limits of *Littorina* spp., *Littoraria* spp. and *Echinolittorina* spp. in water, while most studies were done on dry (i.e. aestivating) animals. Thus, as a result of differences in thermal conductivities between media (see Madeira *et al.*, 2012a), body temperature can

respond much quicker to temperature changes in water than in air leading to differences in tolerances. However, Sandison (1967) found that the heat coma and lethal temperatures of intertidal gastropod snails were higher in air than water. Jones *et al.* (2009) found a difference of 0.7°C in June and 4.8°C in November in the thermal limits of *Mytilus edulis* in water and air, respectively.

Most studies have tested the effects of prolonged exposure to temperature lasting for hours or days (see Evans, 1948; Newell *et al.*, 1971; Pörtner and Helmuth, 2007; Miller *et al.*, 2009; Dilly *et al.*, 2012), without taking into account the possible effects of short-term exposure to sudden heat stress, which can be particularly frequent in the intertidal environment. The latter is probably more useful when making comparisons with field conditions (see Joyner-Matos *et al.*, 2009; Terblanche *et al.*, 2011). For example, *Echinolittorina malaccana* and *E. vidua* had lethal temperatures of 50.04 and 48.10°C respectively when exposed for 1 hour at particular temperatures (Lee and Lim, 2009). In contrast, Marshall and McQuaid (2010) found lethal temperatures of 59.0 and 56.5°C for the same species when exposed for 5 minutes at particular temperatures.

Most studies have determined temperature tolerance limits using static (constant) methods, while others have used dynamic (ramping) methods, resulting in different results (see below). In addition, the rates at which temperature is increased (i.e. rate of heating) have different effects on thermal tolerance of animals from different environments (see Evans, 1948; Ospina and Mora, 2004; Mora and Maya, 2006; Angilletta Jr., 2009; Nguyen *et al.*, 2011; Richard *et al.*, 2012). Although some results are contradictory, slow rates generally result in lower tolerance limits than fast rates (Reese, 1969; Segnini De Bravo *et al.*, 1998; Chown *et al.*, 2009; Peck *et al.*, 2009b; Nguyen *et al.*, 2011). However, slower rates can also provide sufficient time for hardening, a form of phenotypic plasticity that protects cells from subsequent exposure (see references in Terblanche *et al.*, 2007) resulting in higher tolerance limits. In addition, stresses due to starvation and/or desiccation can arise during ramping (see Terblanche *et al.*, 2007; 2011), and this can affect animals" ability to handle heat stress.

Differences in metrics (e.g. sublethal versus lethal) used to measure tolerances can also result in different results (see McMahon, 1990; Clarke *et al.*, 2000a, b, c; Terblanche *et al.*, 2007; Sunday *et al.*, 2012). Repeated or multiple exposure to heat stress or events is known to result in a decrease in thermal tolerance in other studies (see Jones *et al.*, 2009, Clarke *et al.*, 2000a), though other studies show the opposite (see Buckley *et al.*, 2001; Middlebrook *et al.*, 2008). In a study on heat coma in *Littorina littorea*, Clarke *et al.* (2000a) found that the temperature when heat coma sets in decreased significantly with repeated daily but not weekly exposure. In addition, the effect of start temperature which can differ by investigators when species or populations from different thermal environments are examined is poorly understood (see Terblanche *et al.*, 2007). Thus, the wide variety of methods and protocols used might have contributed to the differences reported in various studies.

Overall, differences in the tolerances of littorinid snails and other intertidal ectotherms seem to relate to differences in their biogeography, ecology, and phylogeny. McMahon (1990) suggests that tropical littorinids fill a completely new niche in the eulittoral fringe and that this requires a completely different physiology to other littorinids. He further suggests that eulittoral fringe rocky shore species have different adaptive physiological attributes that allow them to cope with temperature stress. For example, most exhibit foot withdrawal to prevent heat conduction from the substratum, aestivation in air, high thermal tolerances and can use mucus to cement a small area of the lip of the shell to the substratum to minimize heat uptake and increase their capacity for heat dissipation. All of these attributes distinguish them from eulittoral species which might benefit from behavioural mechanisms such as evaporative cooling and formation of aggregations to regulate body temperature. Thus, eulittoral fringe species are better able to regulate heat uptake and cope with heat and desiccation stress than their eulittoral and low shore counterparts.

Littorinids are characteristic of high shore levels worldwide (see Reid, 1989; 1996a, b; 2002; Chapman and Underwood, 1994; McQuaid, 1996a, b; Lee and Boulding, 2010; etc) and are extremely tolerant of temperature and desiccation (see McMahon, 1990; 2001b; Backeljau *et al.*, 2001; Emson *et al.*, 2002; Marshall and McQuaid, 2010; etc). Tolerance of marine intertidal animals to temperature and desiccation correlates to their position in the intertidal

zone and their geographical distribution. Thus, the ability of littorinids to cope with and survive high temperatures and desiccation is related to their distribution patterns.

Although much is known about thermal and desiccation tolerance of littorinids, very little is known about the thermal and desiccation tolerance of South African littorinids from temperate and subtropical regions. A previous study by McQuaid and Scherman (1988) found a 1°C difference in lethal thermal limits ( $LT_{50}$ ) between the two *Afrolittorina* spp. collected in the warm temperate bioregion, with higher tolerance in *A. africana* than *A. knysnaensis*. To my knowledge, no studies have looked at the temperature (i.e. heat) and desiccation tolerance of the subtropical *E. natalensis* and *L. glabrata*.

Therefore, the study aims to compare thermal tolerance of *Afrolittorina* spp. particularly where their distribution overlaps in the warm temperate region of South Africa and they can co-exist on the same shores. In addition, the tolerance of these *Afrolittorina* spp. was compared to that of the subtropical *E. natalensis* and *L. glabrata*. Heat coma and lethal temperatures were assessed using approaches followed by McMahon (1990) and Clarke *et al.* (2002a, b, c) with minor modifications, and it was hypothesised that the tolerance of *Afrolittorina* spp. from warm temperate regions will be similar, but different from that of *E. natalensis* and *L. glabrata* can be found in the subtropical region of the country and it was hypothesised that the tolerance of individuals from the subtropical region would differ from that of individuals from the warm temperate regions.

## 3.2. Materials and methods

#### 3.2.1. Study species

Four littorinid snails of the genera *Afrolittorina*, *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* were used, namely: *A. knysnaensis*, *A. africana*, *E. natalensis* and *L. glabrata*. See Chapter 1 for species distribution ranges and patterns of vertical zonation as well as microhabitat use and aestivation behaviour.

#### 3.2.2. Collection and transportation

Specimens of *A. africana*, *A. knysnaensis*, *E. natalensis* and *L. glabrata* were collected from natural rocks at different sites (see Fig. 3.1; Table 3.2) along the South Africa" coast between late 2009 and early 2011 during winter and summer months in order to investigate the effect of season on thermal tolerance. The selected sampling sites ranged from Ballito in the subtropical region to Strandfonteinpunt in the cool temperate region of South Africa (see Fig. 3.1). Individuals of each species were collected from the upper levels occupied (i.e. eulittoral fringe and eulittoral zone) depending on the site and level/s occupied by each species. Large and small individuals of each species that were feeding or had fed within 12 hours (assumed to have fed since they were collected while wet immediately after or during high tides) were returned to the laboratory in plastic bags placed inside an insulated cool box.

#### 3.2.3. Handling and treatment conditions

On arrival at the laboratory, specimens were washed in seawater, allowed to emerge from their shells and to reattach to 2 L lidded plastic containers in seawater before being exposed to air at room temperature (18-22°C), when they exhibited behavioural emergence. Thus, specimens were allowed to rehydrate for at least 3 hours for same day use or overnight if

used later, after which active individuals were selected for experimental treatments. Selected animals were blotted dry with paper towel and dried using a fan at room temperature. Specimens were kept on dry paper towel at room temperature (18-22°C) immediately before use.



Figure 3.1. Map of South Africa showing sampling sites (see Table 3.2) for littorinid snails of the genera *Afrolittorina*, *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* used for thermal tolerance experiments.

Table 3.2. Sampling sites for littorinid snails of the genera Afrolittorina, Echinolittorina andLittoraria from South Africa used for thermal tolerance experiments.

|                |                            |                              | Experiment |        |
|----------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|------------|--------|
| Bioregion      | Site (abbreviation)        | Species sampled              | Heat       | Lethal |
|                |                            |                              | coma       | limits |
| Subtropical    | 1. Ballito (BA)            | A. knysnaensis; A. africana; | Yes        | Yes    |
|                |                            | E. natalensis; L. glabrata   |            |        |
|                | 2. Umhlanga (ML)           | A. knysnaensis; A. africana; | Yes        | Yes    |
|                |                            | E. natalensis; L. glabrata   |            |        |
|                | 3. Port Edward (PE)        | A. knysnaensis; A. africana; | Yes        | Yes    |
|                |                            | E. natalensis; L. glabrata   |            |        |
|                | 4. Port St. Johns (PJ)     | A. knysnaensis; A. africana; | Yes        | Yes    |
|                |                            | E. natalensis; L. glabrata   |            |        |
| Warm           | 5. Fish river (FR)         | A. knysnaensis; A. africana  | Yes        | Yes    |
| temperate      | 6. Riet river (RR)         | A. knysnaensis; A. africana  | Yes        | Yes    |
|                | 7. Port Alfred (PA)        | A. knysnaensis; A. africana  | Yes        | No     |
|                | 8. Kenton-on-Sea (KOS)     | A. knysnaensis; A. africana  | Yes        | No     |
|                | 9. Bushman river (BU)      | A. knysnaensis; A. africana  | Yes        | Yes    |
|                | 10. Mossel Bay (MBB)       | A. knysnaensis; A. africana  | Yes        | Yes    |
| Cool temperate | 11. Cape Agulhas (CA)      | A. knysnaensis               | Yes        | Yes    |
|                | 12. Muizenberg (MU)        | A. knysnaensis               | Yes        | No     |
|                | 13. Camps Bay (CB)         | A. knysnaensis               | Yes        | Yes    |
|                | 14. Paternoster (PN)       | A. knysnaensis               | Yes        | Yes    |
|                | 15. Strandfonteinpunt (SF) | A. knysnaensis               | No         | Yes    |

To investigate the effect of acclimation on heat coma temperatures, specimens were acclimated at each of four different temperatures (20, 25, 30 and 35°C) for 14 days without feeding. In brief, 10 small and 10 large aestivating snails were placed in 20 ml dry plastic containers, and the containers holding the snails were then floated in a temperature-controlled digital waterbath (Labcon, SA) set at the appropriate acclimation temperature using Polystyrene holders. To maintain a uniform distribution of heat, a separate heating head (mgw Lauda, Germany) fitted with a stirrer was used for circulation of water in the waterbath. Water temperature and air temperature inside the containers were monitored using a Fluke 54II Thermometer (Fluke Corporation, USA) fitted with a T-type thermocouple (Fluke Corporation and Cromega) and/or a thermometer.

#### 3.2.4. Determination of heat coma temperatures

Mean heat coma temperatures (HCT) were determined using a slightly modified version of the protocol used by McMahon (1990) and Clarke *et al.* (2002a, b, c) amongst others. The heat coma temperature in littorinid snails and other gastropods is defined as the temperature at which normal nervous function is lost, and is manifested by a cessation of activity such as locomotion, a ventral medial curling of the lateral edges of the foot and an inability to remain attached to the substratum (see Sandison, 1967; McMahon, 1990; Clarke *et al.*, 2002a, b, c; Lee and Boulding, 2010; etc). Thus, heat coma is a "non-lethal" condition characterised by loss of nervous integration.

In this study, I measured heat coma temperatures by recording the temperature at which snails (1) were no longer able to locomote and tentacle movement ceased, and (2) showed ventral curling of the foot, being unable to remain attached to the sides of the test tube or cotton plugs. This combination of criteria was used because individual snails displayed different behavioural responses during heat exposure. For example, some individuals remained motionless after attaching to the test tubes. This could occur even before they were transferred to the waterbath as well as during or after equilibration. In addition, some individuals never fell off as they were securely attached by a transparent mucus thread or film after withdrawing the foot into their shells. This is the same behavioural mechanism

used to escape unfavourable and stressful environmental conditions or to minimize contact with the substratum in the field (see Reese, 1969; McMahon, 1990; Emson *et al.*, 2002; Miller, 2008).

For each trial, 10 large and 10 small individuals of each species were placed in 50 ml test tubes containing 45 ml of seawater and allowed to attach to the test tube walls and actively locomote or crawl. For *Afrolittorina* spp. and *E. natalensis*, large was >9mm, for *L. glabrata* they were >15mm; while for all species small was < 5mm. For adults of *L. glabrata* which were larger, 10 individuals were placed in 80 ml test tubes filled with 75 ml seawater and allowed to attach. Individuals that did not attach during this time were discarded. Porous cotton plugs where pushed down the openings of the test tube to block the water surface. This kept the snails immersed and prevented them from escaping during heating.

At the start of an experiment, test tubes containing snails were placed on a wooden test-tube rack which was then placed in a temperature-controlled digital waterbath set to 20°C, and animals were allowed to equilibrate for 20-30 minutes. Four to eight test tubes were placed into the experimental waterbath so that all tested snails could be simultaneously watched during the course of the experiment. A separate heating head fitted with a stirrer was used to maintain uniform distribution of heat through regular circulation of water. Temperature in the water bath and inside a test vial were monitored using a Fluke 54II Thermometer fitted with a T-type thermocouple and/or a thermometer inserted into a separate empty test tube.

Waterbaths were adjusted manually to raise the water temperature by 1°C every 5 minutes, and test tube temperatures were monitored as described. This rate of increase in temperature has been found to make the lag between test tube water and snail tissue temperatures negligible (see Broekhuysen, 1940 in Lee and Boulding, 2010). The number of individuals entering heat coma was recorded after every 1°C increase in temperature. For each heat coma determination, observations started at 20°C, the equilibration temperature, and continued until every individual snail showed one of the criteria used for the diagnosis of heat coma.

Once all animals had entered heat coma or temperature had reached 45°C, test tubes were taken out of the waterbath and allowed to cool for at least five minutes at room temperature (19-22°C). The snails were gently placed into labelled Petri dishes with about 10 ml of seawater to allow recovery at ambient temperatures. This allowed determination of whether the snails recovered from heat coma and became active again. After 15 or 30 minutes, snails were inspected for survival and those with their foot extended and attached to the substratum, or those which responded to poking with blunt forceps were scored as alive. As expected, no snails had died from heat stress.

#### 3.2.5. Lethal thermal limit $(LT_{50})$ determination

Acute upper lethal thermal limits were determined as lethal temperatures ( $LT_{50}$ ; temperature at which 50% mortality of population occurs) using slightly modified protocols from McMahon (1990) and Clarke *et al.* (2002b). In this study, I measured lethal temperatures by recording the temperature at which snails were unable to (1) to attach to the Petri dishes and (2) respond to poking with blunt forceps after 12-24 h recovery following high temperature exposure.

Subsamples of 10 aestivating snails of each species (large or small as defined above) were placed in 20 ml plastic vials on a polystyrene holder. At the start of an experiment, the polystyrene holder, together with lidded vials containing snails, was placed in a digital waterbath set to 20°C to equilibrate for 20-30 minutes. Circulation of water and monitoring of temperature were done as described above.

The waterbath was switched manually to raise the water temperature, initially at 5°C increments over 10 minute intervals to reach 40 or 45°C, after which temperature was increased at the rate of 1°C every 10 minutes. At 1°C intervals, starting at 45 or 50°C, three randomly selected vials were removed from the waterbath. The vials were allowed to cool for 5 minutes to ambient laboratory temperature (18-22°C), and then the snails were gently

placed into 8 cm lidded Petri dishes with about 10 ml of seawater to allow recovery. This allowed determination of whether the snails recovered from heat stress and became active again or were dead. After 12 or 24 hours, animals were inspected and those with their foot extended and attached to the substratum, or those which responded to poking with a blunt forceps were scored as alive, the remainder were assumed to be dead.

## 3.2.6. Data and statistical analysis

The data are presented as means  $\pm$  SD, and figures were drawn using Excel. Statistical analyses were performed using Statistica 10 (Statsoft). General Linear Models Factorial ANOVA (Statistica 10, Statsoft) was used to determine differences using heat coma temperature and lethal temperature as dependent variables and species, size, season and treatment as fixed independent variables. Significance differences between and within a species, size, season or treatment were determined using different ANOVAs (two or three way-ANOVA), and significant results were explored using Tukey tests.

## 3.3. Results

When comparing the two metrics used to determine thermal tolerances, it was found that heat coma temperatures were always about 15-20°C lower than lethal temperatures (see Table 3.3). This was expected as heat coma temperatures represent temperatures that induce changes in the snails" behavioural responses (i.e. withdrawal into the shell and attachment of the shell to the substratum with mucus films), whereas lethal temperatures represent temperatures at which death sets in. Both methods showed similar trends with higher tolerances in species collected from the subtropics to lower tolerances in those from temperate shores (see Fig. 3.2 and 3.3).

## 3.3.1. Heat coma (HCT) temperatures and lethal thermal limits ( $LT_{50}$ )

There was a difference in the two criteria (1) cessation of activity and (2) ventral curling of foot, hanging or falling used to score heat coma temperatures. Animals stopped activity (crawling and moving tentacles) about  $3-5^{\circ}$ C before ventral curling of the foot, hanging or falling. However, both criteria showed similar trends (see Fig. 3.2A) and thus complement each other. The first criterion was difficult to apply when dealing with animals that tended to aggregate and/or remain inactive after attaching to the test tube walls. This was observed when adults of *Afrolittorina* spp., and sometimes those of *E. natalensis* formed aggregations and did not locomote until ventral curling of the foot manifested. Since all the criteria yielded similar trends, interpretation of the results is presented as mean heat coma temperatures based on the second set of criteria, ventral curling of the foot, hanging or falling.

Likewise, when comparing the two methods (attachment and poking) used to score lethal thermal limits, it was clear that the attachment method always showed lower values than the poking method, indicating that the ability to remain attached was lost before the ability to respond to tactile stimulation. Despite a few exceptions, the two methods yielded similar trends (see Fig. 3.3A) and thus complemented each other. In addition, most studies have used the poking method, and to allow comparison of my data with those from other studies, the

results are presented as mean lethal temperatures based on the poking method. However, the poking method will be unreliable when interpreting animal responses to heat stress in nature. For example, most animals in this study showed weak responses (very slow retraction of the foot or operculum) after poking, and in most cases if not all, the same animals did not recover (e.g. attach and crawl) after hours or even days at room temperature. Thus, if the weak response is manifested in nature, animals will stand a very high chance of being swept away by waves during high tides and be effectively ecologically dead if not physiologically dead.

## 3.3.1.1. Are there phylogenetic differences in HCT and LT<sub>50</sub> of the studied species?

Two-way ANOVA showed clear differences in the heat coma and lethal temperatures of the four species investigated (see Fig. 3.2B and 3.3B). In addition, there was no significant interaction between the two factors (i.e. species and size) for either heat coma or lethal temperatures. For heat coma, the two exclusively subtropical *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* species did not differ significantly, but had significantly ( $F_{3.55} = 50.24$ ; p < 0.001) higher heat coma temperatures than the subtropical/temperate *Afrolittorina* spp. (see Fig. 3.2B). *L. glabrata* showed the highest heat coma temperatures followed by *E. natalensis* > *A. africana* > *A. knysnaensis* (Tukey test, see Table 3.3). Unexpectedly, juveniles (small) of all species showed significantly ( $F_{1.55} = 51.41$ ; p < 0.001) higher heat coma temperatures than adults (large) (see Fig. 3.2B and Table 3.3).

As for heat coma, the two exclusively subtropical *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* species showed significantly ( $F_{3.64} = 104.56$ ; p < 0.001) higher lethal limits than the subtropical/temperate *Afrolittorina* spp. (see Fig. 3.3B). *E. natalensis* showed the highest lethal temperatures followed by *L. glabrata* > *A. africana* > *A. knysnaensis*, (Tukey test, see Table 3.3). Except for *L. glabrata* where adults and juveniles showed similar lethal temperatures, adults of other species showed significantly ( $F_{1.64} = 4.15$ ; p < 0.001) higher lethal temperatures than conspecific juveniles (see Fig. 3.3B and Table 3.3).



Figure 3.2. Mean heat coma temperatures of *E. natalensis*, *L. glabrata*, *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis* from South Africa. (A) results using two different criteria, (1) cessation of activity and (2) ventral curling of foot, hanging or falling used to score heat coma temperatures; (B) enlarged data from criterion (2). Histograms are means + SD of different measurements. Different letters and asterisks (\*) indicate significant differences between and within species respectively as determined using two-way ANOVA (p < 0.05).



Figure 3.3. Mean lethal temperatures of *E. natalensis*, *L. glabrata*, *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis* from South Africa. (A) results using two different methods, (1) attachment and (2) poking used to score lethal thermal limits; (B) enlarged data from method (2). Histograms are mean + SD of different measurements. Different letters and asterisks (\*) indicate significant differences between and within species respectively as determined using two-way ANOVA (p < 0.05); NS = non-significant.

Table 3.3. Mean heat coma (HCT) and lethal ( $LT_{50}$ ) temperatures of littorinid snails of the genera *Afrolittorina*, *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* from South Africa. Values are means + SD; Large and Small are defined in main text.

|                | Temperatures (°C) |          |                            |          |  |  |  |
|----------------|-------------------|----------|----------------------------|----------|--|--|--|
| Taxa           | Heat coma (HCT)   |          | Lethal (LT <sub>50</sub> ) |          |  |  |  |
|                | Large             | Small    | Large                      | Small    |  |  |  |
| E. natalensis  | 35.9±3.3          | 38.2±3.3 | 56.8±1.5                   | 56.0±1.6 |  |  |  |
| L. glabrata    | 36.6±3.1          | 38.3±3.4 | 53.8±1.3                   | 53.8±1.3 |  |  |  |
| A. africana    | 33.5±4.0          | 35.7±3.7 | 51.5±0.7                   | 50.9±0.7 |  |  |  |
| A. knysnaensis | 31.2±3.5          | 34.2±3.3 | 50.5±1.1                   | 49.6±1.0 |  |  |  |

### 3.3.1.2. Do species from the same region show the same HCT and $LT_{50}$ ?

Two-way ANOVA showed differences in heat coma and lethal temperatures among species within the subtropics and the warm temperate region (see Fig. 3.4 and 3.5). In the subtropics, the eulittoral fringe to eulittoral zone *E. natalensis* and *L. glabrata* showed significantly ( $F_{2.22} = 9.90$ ; p < 0.001) higher heat coma temperatures than the eulittoral to low shore *A. africana* (Tukey test, see Fig 3.4A). Unexpectedly, *L. glabrata* adults showed non-significantly higher heat coma temperatures than adult *E. natalensis* (Tukey test, see Fig. 3.4A and Table 3.4).

In addition, there was a significant ( $F_{1.22} = 19.18$ ; p < 0.001) difference between adults and juveniles of all species (see Fig. 3.4A). In the warm temperate region, there was a significant interaction ( $F_{1.25} = 6.40$ ; p < 0.05) between species and size on heat coma temperatures of *Afrolittorina* spp. Juveniles showed significantly ( $F_{1.25} = 34.11$ ; p < 0.001) higher tolerances than adults for both species (see Fig. 3.4B); but the effect was stronger for *A. knysnaensis*. For both size classes, *A. africana* showed significantly ( $F_{1.25} = 52.10$ ; p < 0.001) higher heat coma temperatures than *A. knysnaensis* (see Fig. 3.4; Table 3.4).

Table 3.4. Mean heat coma temperatures ( $\pm$  SD) of large and small littorinid snails of the genera *Afrolittorina*, *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* from different regions of South Africa. Large and Small are defined in main text.

| Taxa           | Heat coma temperatures (°C) |          |          |          |                |          |  |
|----------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------------|----------|--|
|                | Subtropical Warm te         |          |          | emperate | Cool temperate |          |  |
|                | Large                       | Small    | Large    | Small    | Large          | Small    |  |
| E. natalensis  | 35.9±3.3                    | 38.2±3.3 |          |          |                |          |  |
| L. glabrata    | 36.6±3.1                    | 38.3±3.4 |          |          |                |          |  |
| A. africana    | 32.8±3.4                    | 35.8±2.8 | 33.9±2.9 | 35.5±1.9 |                |          |  |
| A. knysnaensis |                             |          | 31.2±2.9 | 34.3±2.4 | 31.1±3.4       | 34.9±2.5 |  |

As for heat coma, in the subtropics, the eulittoral fringe to upper eulittoral *E. natalensis* showed significantly ( $F_{2, 24} = 41.23$ ; p < 0.001) higher lethal temperatures than the eulittoral fringe *L. glabrata* and the eulittoral *A. africana* (Tukey test, see Fig 3.5A; Table 3.5). With the exception of *L. glabrata*, for which adults and juveniles showed very similar lethal temperatures, adults showed higher lethal temperatures than juveniles (Tukey test, see Table 3.6), though the effect was not significant (see Fig. 3.5A).

On the other hand, in the warm temperate region for both sizes, *A. africana* showed significantly ( $F_{1.20} = 9.10$ ; p < 0.01) higher lethal thermal limits than *A. knysnaensis* (Tukey test, see Fig. 3.5B). In addition, adults of both species showed significantly ( $F_{1.20} = 9.10$ ; p < 0.01) higher lethal temperatures than juveniles (Tukey test, see Fig. 3.5).



Figure 3.4. Mean heat coma temperatures of (A) *E. natalensis*, *L. glabrata* and *A. africana* from subtropical and (B) *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis* from warm temperate regions. Histograms are mean + SD of different measurements. Different letters and asterisks (\*) represent significant differences between and within species respectively as determined using two-way ANOVA (p < 0.05).



Figure 3.5. Mean (+SD) lethal temperatures (LT<sub>50</sub>) of (A) *E. natalensis*, *L. glabrata* and *A. africana* from subtropical and (B) *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis* from warm temperate regions. Histograms are mean + SD of different measurements. Different letters and asterisks (\*) represent significance differences between and within species respectively as determined using two-way ANOVA (p < 0.05); NS = non-significant.

Table 3.5. Mean lethal temperatures ( $\pm$  SD) of large and small littorinid snails of the genera *Afrolittorina*, *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* from different regions of South Africa. Large and Small are defined in main text.

| Таха           | Lethal temperatures (°C) |          |                |          |                |          |  |  |
|----------------|--------------------------|----------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------|--|--|
|                | Subtropical              |          | Warm temperate |          | Cool temperate |          |  |  |
|                | Large                    | Small    | Large          | Small    | Large          | Small    |  |  |
| E. natalensis  | 56.8±1.5                 | 56.0±1.6 |                |          |                |          |  |  |
| L. glabrata    | 53.8±1.3                 | 53.8±1.3 |                |          |                |          |  |  |
| A. africana    | 51.6±0.6                 | 51.2±0.8 | 51.5±0.8       | 50.7±0.5 |                |          |  |  |
| A. knysnaensis |                          |          | 50.7±1.0       | 49.3±1.0 | 50.2±1.1       | 49.7±1.1 |  |  |

#### 3.3.1.3. Is HCT and LT<sub>50</sub> affected by region?

Comparing the heat coma and lethal temperatures of *A. africana* from subtropical and warm temperate regions and of *A. knysnaensis* from cool and warm temperate regions revealed that there were differences in thermal tolerances of conspecifics from different regions, but these differences were not significant (see Fig. 3.6 and 3.7; Table 3.4 and 3.5). In *A. africana*, adults from the warm temperate region showed unexpectedly, but not significantly ( $F_{1.19} = 3.53$ ; p > 0.05), higher heat coma temperatures than those from the subtropics and *vice versa* for juveniles (see Fig. 3.6A and Table 3.4).

On the other hand, juveniles of *A. knysnaensis* from the cool temperate region showed unexpectedly, but not significantly ( $F_{1.16} = 0.37$ ; p > 0.05), higher heat coma temperatures than their warm temperate counterparts, while there was very little difference in heat coma temperatures for adults from the two regions (see Fig. 3.6B and Table 3.4). Within regions, however, heat coma temperatures were significantly ( $F_{1.19 \& 1.64} = 18.56$  and 40.66 for *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis*, respectively; p < 0.001 in both cases) lower for adults than for conspecific juveniles (see Fig. 3.6).



Figure 3.6. Mean heat coma temperatures of (A) *A. africana* from subtropical and warm temperate and (B) *A. knysnaensis* from warm and cool temperate regions. Histograms are mean  $\pm$  SD of different measurements. Asterisks (\*) indicate significance differences between sizes within regions as determined using two-way ANOVA (p < 0.05).



Figure 3.7. Mean lethal temperatures of (A) *A. africana* from subtropical and warm temperate and (B) *A. knysnaensis* from warm and cool temperate regions. Histograms are mean  $\pm$  SD of different measurements. Asterisks (\*) indicate significance differences between sizes within regions as determined using one-way ANOVA (p < 0.05).

For lethal temperatures, *A. africana* from the subtropics showed higher lethal temperatures than those from the warm temperate region as expected; though the difference was marginally non-significant ( $F_{1.18} = 1.11$ ; p = 0.05; see Fig. 3.7A and Table 3.5). On the other hand, juveniles of *A. knysnaensis* from the cool temperate region showed unexpectedly higher lethal temperatures than their warm temperate counterparts, while adults showed the expected reverse pattern (see Table 3.5). Nevertheless, these differences were not significant ( $F_{1.26} = 0.02$ ; p > 0.05; see Fig. 3.7B and Table 3.5). Within regions, adults of all species showed significantly ( $F_{1.18 \& 1.26} = 4.23$  and 5.53 for *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis*, respectively; p < 0.05 in both cases) higher lethal temperatures than juveniles (see Fig. 3.7).

## 3.3.1.4. Does acclimation (laboratory) and acclimatization (season) affect HCT and $LT_{50}$ ?

For all species, laboratory acclimation at different temperatures for 14 days had little effect on heat coma temperatures (see Fig 3.8.1-2; Table 3.6). In addition, there was no trend in heat coma temperatures for animals acclimated at different temperatures (see Fig 3.8.1-2). Although not of direct relevance to this question, statistical analyses showed significant difference between species, sizes and treatments (see Table 3.8) with freshly collected animals showing significantly (mostly lower) different heat coma temperatures than laboratory acclimated animals (see Fig 3.8.1-2).

Although lethal temperatures were higher for summer compared to winter (see Fig. 3.9 and Table 3.7), the effect of seasonal acclimatization was not significant ( $F_{1.56} = 3.15$ ; p > 0.05; see Fig. 3.9). As expected, there was a significant ( $F_{3.56} = 94.24$ ; p < 0.001) difference between species with higher tolerances in *E. natalensis* followed by *L. glabrata* > *A. africana* > *A. knysnaensis*, regardless of season (see Fig. 3.9). In addition, adults of all species showed non-significantly ( $F_{1.56} = 3.37$ ; p > 0.05) higher lethal temperatures than juveniles, regardless of season (see Fig. 3.9).


Figure 3.8.1. Mean heat coma temperatures of field fresh and laboratory acclimated (A) *E. natalensis* and (B) *L. glabrata*. Histograms are mean + SD of different measurements. Different letters represent significance differences between treatments as determined using one-way ANOVA (p < 0.05).



Figure 3.8.2. Mean heat coma temperatures of field fresh and laboratory acclimated (A) *A. africana* and (B) *A. knysnaensis*. Histograms are mean + SD of different measurements. Different letters represent significance differences between treatments as determined using one-way ANOVA (p < 0.05).

 Table 3.6. Mean heat coma temperatures (+ SD) of field fresh and laboratory acclimated large and small littorinid snails of the genera

 Afrolittorina, Echinolittorina and Littoraria from South Africa. Large and Small sizes are defined in the text.

| Taxa           | Acclimation temperatures (°C) |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |  |  |
|----------------|-------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|--|
|                | I                             | F        | 20       |          | 25       |          | 30       |          | 35       |          |  |  |
|                | Large                         | Small    | Large    | Small    | Large    | Small    | Large    | Small    | Large    | Small    |  |  |
|                |                               |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |  |  |
| E. natalensis  | 35.0±00                       | 38.0±0.0 | 35.8±2.6 | 37.2±3.1 | 36.5±3.5 | 38.3±0.7 | 37.0±1.4 | 38.5±1.0 | 38.6±2.3 | 41.6±1.1 |  |  |
| L. glabrata    | 38.0±0.0                      | 36.0±0.0 | 36.1±3.3 | 37.1±3.1 | 39.0±1.4 | 40.5±0.7 | 39.8±1.0 | 41.5±1.7 | 36.4±3.1 | 40.3±2.4 |  |  |
| A. africana    | 33.7±4.7                      | 35.2±2.4 | 33.1±3.9 | 35.0±3.3 | 32.0±4.0 | 35.7±3.1 | 34.8±2.1 | 38.2±1.3 |          |          |  |  |
| A. knysnaensis | 31.1±3.1                      | 34.8±2.2 | 31.3±2.8 | 34.4±2.0 | 29.5±1.7 | 33.5±3.7 | 31.8±3.3 | 34.5±2.0 |          |          |  |  |

|                | Season   |          |          |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Taxa           | Su       | mmer     | Winter   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | Large    | Small    | Large    | Small    |  |  |  |  |  |
| E. natalensis  | 57.0±2.0 | 56.0±2.0 | 56.5±0.5 | 56.0±1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| L. glabrata    | 54.3±1.5 | 54.0±1.7 | 53.0±0.0 | 53.5±0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. africana    | 51.8±0.4 | 51.2±0.4 | 51.2±0.8 | 50.6±0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. knysnaensis | 50.6±1.0 | 49.7±1.1 | 50.3±1.0 | 49.4±1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.7. Mean ( $\pm$  SD) lethal temperatures of seasonally acclimatized large and small littorinid snails of the genera *Afrolittorina*, *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* from South Africa.



Figure 3.9. Mean lethal temperatures of summer and winter field acclimatized *E. natalensis*, *L. glabrata*, *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis*. Histograms are mean  $\pm$  SD of different measurements. Different letters represent significance differences between species as determined using three-way ANOVA (p < 0.05); NS = non-significant.

Table 3.8. Three way-ANOVA results on the effect of laboratory acclimation (HCT) and seasonal acclimatization (LT<sub>50</sub>) of *Afrolittorina* spp. *Echinolittorina natalensis* and *Littoraria glabrata* from SA.

| Variables              |                      | Η              | СТ        |           | LT <sub>50</sub>     |                |           |          |  |
|------------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|----------------|-----------|----------|--|
|                        | Degree of<br>freedom | Mean<br>Square | F- ratios | P values  | Degree of<br>freedom | Mean<br>Square | F- ratios | P values |  |
| Species                | 3                    | 237.6          | 28.75     | 0.000000  | 3                    | 114.3          | 94.2      | 0.000000 |  |
| Size                   | 1                    | 135.4          | 16.39     | 0.000065  | 1                    | 4.1            | 3.4       | 0.071888 |  |
| Treatment              | 3                    | 53.3           | 6.45      | 0.000297  | 1                    | 3.8            | 3.2       | 0.081156 |  |
| Interactions:          |                      |                |           |           |                      |                |           |          |  |
| Species*Size           | 3                    | 8.2            | 0.99      | 0.398857  | 3                    | 0.6            | 0.5       | 0.712718 |  |
| Species*Treatment or   | 9                    | 14.2           | 1.71      | 0.084691  | 3                    | 0.3            | 0.2       | 0.876228 |  |
| Season                 |                      |                |           |           |                      |                |           |          |  |
| Size* Treatment or     | 3                    | 3.4            | 0.14      | 0.0937961 | 1                    | 0.4            | 0.3       | 0.560699 |  |
| Season                 |                      |                |           |           |                      |                |           |          |  |
| Species*Size*Treatment | 9                    | 16.3           | 0.22      | 0.0991754 | 3                    | 0.1            | 0.1       | 0.952703 |  |
| or Season              |                      |                |           |           |                      |                |           |          |  |

Bold letters and Italics indicates significant (p < 0.05) effects; \* represent where interaction was done.

## 3.4. Discussion and conclusions

Temperature (habitat or environmental) is one of the most important environmental factors that affect the distribution and abundance of animals, particularly ectotherms (see Dahlhoff and Somero, 1993a; Tomanek and Helmuth, 2002; O'Connor *et al.*, 2007; Helmuth *et al.*, 2010; Hofmann and Todgham, 2010; etc). This is because ectotherm body temperatures and performance are strongly under the influence of environmental temperature (see Sagarin *et al.*, 1999; Angilletta Jr. *et al.*, 2002; Helmuth *et al.*, 2005; 2006a, b; Pörtner and Knust, 2007; Pincebourde *et al.*, 2008). The influence of temperature change on an animal"s performance is of particular relevance to intertidal animals, especially those from the temperate regions where there are strong seasonal variations in temperature (see Stillman, 2003; Lesser and Kruse, 2004; Bijlsma and Loeschcke, 2005; Jones *et al.*, 2009; Peck *et al.*, 2009a, b; Lannig *et al.*, 2010). In addition, the magnitude of global warming is predicted to be much greater in temperate regions and higher latitudes (see Oviatt, 2004; Jentsch *et al.*, 2007; Helmuth *et al.*, 2010; Caddy-Retalic *et al.*, 2011; Wernberg *et al.*, 2011). This may be especially problematic for intertidal organisms such as littorinids as they live in environments that are already harsh and fluctuating.

As a result, there are calls to understand and predict how species will respond to climate change (Pörtner *et al.*, 2004b; Helmuth *et al.*, 2002; 2006b; 2010; Fitzhenry *et al.*, 2004; Harley *et al.*, 2005; Parmesan, 2007; Lannig *et al.*, 2010). Climate change related heat events are expected to pose additional thermal problems particularly for organisms in ecosystems (e.g. intertidal) already subjected to local warming (see Cuculescu *et al.*, 1998; Tebaldi *et al.*, 2006; Mislan *et al.*, 2009; Stillman and Tagmount, 2009; Lagos *et al.*, 2011; Madeira *et al.*, 2012b, c). Thus, with the anticipated effects of climate change where the mean air and sea surface temperatures as well as solar radiation have risen and are predicted to rise in the coming years, there is concern over how animals, especially intertidal ectotherms, will respond to or tolerate extreme and fluctuating environmental temperature stress.

Many littorinids live at the highest levels (i.e. fringes) of the intertidal zone and have to cope with periodic events of extreme heat and cold as well as desiccation during low tides. Littorinid snails have certain abilities that allow them to survive harsh conditions in the littoral zones (see McMahon, 1990; Marshall and Chua, 2012). These include adaptation mechanisms such as high thermal tolerance (i.e. resistance adaptation; see Vernberg, 1969), metabolic adjustments, and enhanced production of heat shock proteins (see Suryanarayanan and Nair, 1979; Sokolova and Pörtner, 2001b; Emson *et al.*, 2002; Marshall *et al.*, 2011). As for other ectotherms (see Somero, 2002; 2010), studies on thermal tolerances of littorinids show that tolerances differ for animals from different regions, shore levels, microhabitats, and species. Although there is no study which has compared intra- and interspecific tolerances of tropical, subtropical and temperate littorinids species, Table 3.1 shows tolerances decrease from tropical to subtropical and temperate regions. In addition, most of these studies have shown geographical or population differences in tolerances.

For example, Lee and Boulding (2010) found evidence of latitudinal difference in heat coma temperatures of intertidal snails, *Littorina keenae* from different regions; though the difference was weak. Clarke *et al.* (2000c) found that populations of *L. obtusata* from South Wales showed higher heat coma temperatures than those from south-west Ireland and the east coast of Scotland. Sokolova *et al.* (2000c) found a higher tolerance for White Sea populations of *L. saxatilis* than those from the North Sea, and this is not surprising as the White Sea is much colder than the North Sea. Sandison (1967) found the heat coma and lethal temperatures to be higher for populations of gastropods including littorinids of the genus *Littorina* from Cardigan Bay than those from Port Seton; however the results for the Cardigan Bay populations come from a different study.

Likewise, eulittoral fringe species show higher tolerances than eulittoral zone and low shore species. In a study of *Littorina* species from different regions, Clarke *et al.* (2000a, b, c) found that eulittoral fringe species show higher tolerances than eulittoral species. This is true for species of the genera *Echinolittorina*, *Nodilittorina* and *Littoraria* (see Table 3.1). These differences can be explained as adaptations to the different microhabitat conditions and acclimation to different thermal regimes. In addition, the variability in tolerance values reported for each species in the literature appears to be due to the effect of season,

acclimation and/or thermal history as well as the methods followed in different studies (see below).

The four species of littorinid snails studied here have distinct geographical and vertical distribution patterns that are hypothesized to reflect differences in their tolerances to temperature, assuming that temperature is the main factor in determining their distribution patterns. Their thermal tolerance as estimated by heat coma and lethal temperatures was as expected, with significantly higher tolerances in the two exclusively subtropical species than subtropical/temperate species. These differences can be explained as adaptations to the different conditions the animals experience in their habitats, though it is only possible to separate this from the effects of species identity by comparing conspecifics from different regions (see below).

In the subtropics, *E. natalensis* and *L. glabrata* occupy the eulittoral fringes where they are subjected to higher levels of heat stress than *Afrolittorina* spp. which are dominant in eulittoral zones of subtropical/temperate regions. Thus, the differences in geographic and vertical distribution and the conditions experienced can explain the differences in thermal tolerances found in these species. Although *Afrolittorina* spp. also occur in the eulittoral fringes, the fact that they are of temperate origins (cool environments) (see Hartnoll, 1976; Reid, 1989; 1996; Williams *et al.*, 2003; Reid and Williams 2004) suggests a phylogenetic influence resulting in them being less tolerant to heat stress than the subtropical species, which are of tropical origins (see Hartnoll, 1976; Reid, 1989; 1996; 2007; Inness-Campbell *et al.*, 2003; Torres *et al.*, 2008; Williams and Reid, 2004; Reid *et al.*, 2010). This is also supported by the fact that *A. africana* is restricted (presumably by heat stress) to lower levels on the shore in the subtropics where it also adopts different habitat use, preferring shallow pools and their edges.

It is well known that whole organism thermal tolerance limits closely reflect differences in habitat temperature that result from different latitudinal and vertical distribution patterns (see Stillman and Somero, 1996; Cuculescu *et al.*, 1998; Clarke *et al.*, 2000c; Tomanek and Somero, 2000; Backeljau *et al.*, 2001; Tomanek and Helmuth, 2002; Tepler *et al.*, 2011). On

comparing thermal tolerances of bivalves from different regions, Compton *et al.* (2007) found that species from tropical Roebuck Bay, Australia had higher lethal temperatures than those from the temperate Wadden Sea, Netherlands. Stillman and Somero (1999, 2000) found the upper thermal tolerances of porcelain crabs of the genus *Petrolisthes* correlate with species" maximum habitat temperatures. This was also true for dogwhelks of the genus *Nucella* (Sorte and Hofmann, 2005). In the tropics, Stirling, (1982) found a difference in the upper tolerance of prosobranchs gastropods from Hong Kong (22°N) and Tanzania (7°N), that was explained by greater seasonal fluctuations and/or differences in geomorphology and microclimate in Hong Kong. In tropical intertidal zones, animals are subjected to periods of emersion of several hours in which intense solar radiation may raise surface temperatures as high as 45 to 50°C (Garrity, 1984; Williams and Morritt, 1995; Marshall *et al.*, 2010; Cartwright and Williams, 2012). Although the subtropics might not experience conditions as extreme as in the tropics, one could expect the subtropical species to show higher tolerances than temperate species, as was seen in this study.

Differences between species were also seen within regions. In the subtropics, the eulittoral *E. natalensis* and *L. glabrata* showed higher thermal tolerance than the eulittoral *A. africana*. It is well known that gastropods including littorinid snails that occupy different positions on the shore are subjected to varying degrees of thermal stress brought upon by contrasting effects of solar radiation and tidal inundation (see Sandison, 1967; Suryanarayanan and Nair, 1979; McMahon, 1990; Gracey *et al.*, 2008; Mislan *et al.*, 2009). The species inhabiting the eulittoral fringes can be exposed to dry air and intense solar radiation for periods of hours to days or even months (see McMahon, 1990; Emson *et al.*, 2002; Marshall *et al.*, 2010; 2011; Marshall and Chua, 2012). *E. natalensis* (second highest) and *L. glabrata* (highest) live higher on the shore and experience greater heat stress during low tides than *A. africana* which not only occurs lower on the shore, but exploits more benign habitats than in temperate regions. Although in the subtropical region *L. glabrata* lives higher on the shore than the other two species, like *A. africana*, it relies on benign habitats. This contrasts with *E. natalensis* which also lives on the high shore, but lives in the open on unshaded dry rocks, so that habitat use by these two eulittoral species is different.

Within the warm temperate region, the two *Afrolittorina* spp. showed different thermal tolerances, with *A. africana* showing higher tolerances than *A. knysnaensis*. This again reflects their geographical distributions; *A. africana* extends just into the subtropical parts of the coast, while *A. knysnaensis* is found in the cool and warm temperate regions (see McQuaid and Scherman, 1988; McQuaid, 1992; Sinclair *et al.*, 2004; d'Errico *et al.*, 2008). The two overlap extensively in the warm temperate region where they even co-exist and use the same microhabitats (see McQuaid, 1992; d'Errico *et al.*, 2008). Thus, the slight (1-2°C) difference in tolerance was expected. Previous studies by McQuaid and Scherman (1988) also found a small (1°C) difference between these two species.

Situations where species overlap in distribution and show different tolerances can be explained by different microhabitat use (see Vernberg and Vernberg, 1970; Stirling, 1982; Garrity, 1984; Stillman and Somero, 1996), but in this case shell colour may also be important (see below; Phifer-Rixey et al., 2008; Miller and Denny, 2011). The brown-black shell of A. knysnaensis is expected to absorb more radiation and heat up to a greater degree than the light-coloured A. africana (see McQuaid and Scherman, 1988; McQuaid, 1992; 1996a), resulting in the former experiencing higher temperatures in the field. Markel (1971) found the dark-coloured Littorina aspera absorbed more solar radiation and had a higher lethal temperature than the light-coloured L. modesta. On studying the effect of carapace colour on heat tolerance in the fiddler crab Uca pugilator, Wilkens and Fingerman (1965) found that dark individuals had higher heat tolerances than pale ones. Even though black or dark bodies are known to absorb a larger fraction of solar radiation, the heat gained remains near the surfaces and is easily removed by either re-radiation, convection or air cooling (see Lewis, 1963; Helmuth, 2002; Britton and Morton, 2003; Phifer-Rixey et al., 2008; Marshall and Chua, 2012). This might have been the case in A. knysnaensis since the body temperature of both species did not differ despite their colour differences (unpub. data).

There was also a difference between the two eulittoral fringe species, with higher limits for *E. natalensis* than *L. glabrata*. This was expected since *L. glabrata* prefers shaded and more humid microhabitats such as crevices and pits which offer protection from direct sunlight. Microhabitats such as pits and crevices can decrease heat stress levels and reduce rates of evaporation (see Garrity, 1984; Britton, 1995; Stafford and Davies, 2004). As for other

littorinids such as *Cenchritis (Tectarius) muricatus* (see Emson *et al.*, 2002), *L. glabrata* is also found on tufts of grass and other vegetation (pers. obs.) which would avoid the high temperatures of rock surfaces. *E. natalensis* however is mostly found on dry rock surfaces where it is subjected not only to intense solar radiation from direct sunlight, but also to heat conducted from the substratum. Thus, differences in microhabitat conditions correlate with the discrepancies in heat tolerance between these eulittoral fringe species.

Apart from microhabitat effects, deviations in tolerances of particular species from the general relationship with distribution on the shore can be related to differences in shell colour and morphology, and reported thermoregulatory capacities (see Stirling, 1982; Marshall and Chua, 2012). For example, *E. natalensis*, like many *Echinolittorina* spp., has a highly sculptured shell which is regarded as an adaptation to reduce radiant heat uptake (see McQuaid, 1992; Marshall and Chua, 2012), whereas *L. glabrata* has a very thin, smooth shell which can expose internal tissues to intense solar radiation. In addition, *E. natalensis* can also benefit from convective cooling as reported in other *Echinolittorina* and littorinid species (see Marshall and Chua, 2012). No previous data on heat coma and lethal temperatures are available for these two species for comparison with my findings.

Since species identity and regions are largely confounded, I could only compare populations of *Afrolittorina* spp., each of which occurs in two regions. In the case of *A. africana*, I predicted that populations from the subtropics would show higher tolerances than warm temperate populations and for *A. knysnaensis* that cool temperate populations would show lower tolerances than warm temperate populations. Thus, since there is latitudinal difference between sampling sites, it is possible that acclimation to temperature as has been reported in other studies (see Sandison, 1967; Stirling, 1982; Lee and Boulding, 2010; Sorte *et al.*, 2011; Zardi *et al.*, 2011), may account for tolerance differences between regions. In fact, there were no major effects of region on heat tolerances for either species of *Afrolittorina*, and this may reflect the fact that these species are exposed to terrestrial conditions for most of the time, while the regions are identified mainly on the basis of sea surface temperature (SST) (see Maree *et al.*, 2000; Harrison, 2002; 2004; Sinclair *et al.*, 2004).

Along the southern African coast, day time air temperatures, which frequently exceed 35°C, and substratum temperatures, often in excess of 45°C for fully heated rocks, rise well above SST, which varies between 20 and 27°C on the subtropical east coast, 15 and 22°C in warm temperate south coast, and from 10 to 19°C on the cool temperate west coast (see Darbyshire, 1966; Roy *et al.*, 2001; Sinclair *et al.*, 2004; Harrison and Whitfield, 2006). This means that animals are exposed to two forms of heating, either directly by solar radiation or indirectly by conductive transfer from the substrata to which they are attached (see Wethey, 2002; Britton and Morton, 2003; Broitman *et al.*, 2009; Marshall *et al.*, 2010; Chapperon and Seuront, 2011a, b). Animals" body temperatures (up to approximately 43°C) were always higher than the surrounding air but slightly lower than that of the rocks (unpub. data).

The lack of an effect of region on the tolerances of A. africana is reflected in its within-shore distribution. This species occurs at the very top of the eulittoral fringe in the warm temperate region, but in the subtropical region it is found only lower on the shore; this may also be true for A. knvsnaensis. The sampling sites for both species in each region were only a few (2-3) degrees apart, and as such one would not expect differences between populations as seen in this study. An alternative explanation for the lack of regional difference in Afrolittorina spp. may be the lack of genetic diversity (variation) among populations (see Chapter 2; Grant and Lang, 1991) as in other studies. After finding weak evidence of latitudinal difference in heat coma temperatures of L. keenae snails from different regions, Lee and Boulding (2010) suggested it as a result of high gene flow between populations. Kuo and Sanford (2009) found evidence of the presence of thermally tolerant genotypes in different parts of an intertidal snail"s range. Future studies are needed to investigate the effect of region on thermal tolerance of the study species, especially those which are found in more than one region and/or populations separated by several (5 and above) degrees of latitude. In addition, there are possibilities that members of the study species might be more stressed at their range edges and/or hotspot areas as in other animals or species (see Sorte and Hofmann, 2004; Osovitz and Hofmann, 2007; Roelofs et al., 2008; Barshis et al., 2010; Somero; 2010; Wernberg et al., 2011), resulting in tolerance differences.

Other studies have found differences in thermal tolerances between populations from different bioregions or populations (see Vernberg and Vernberg, 1970; Stillman and Somero,

1999; Sorte and Hofmann, 2005; Fangue *et al.*, 2006; Sunday *et al.*, 2012). For example, Clarke *et al.* (2000c) found a difference in heat coma temperatures of *Littorina obtusata* from different bioregions, with populations from South Wales showing higher tolerances than those from south-west Ireland and east coast of Scotland. Similarly, populations of *Littorina* spp. from South Wales had higher heat coma temperatures than those from Northeast England (Backeljau *et al.*, 2001). In *L. littorea*, populations from Ireland had significantly higher lethal tolerance than those from Scotland; while for the whelk *Nucella lapillus*, lethal tolerance was higher for Scottish than Irish populations (Davenport and Davenport, 2005). Sokolova *et al.* (2000c) also found a difference in temperature tolerance of the gastropod *L. saxatilis*, with higher tolerances for White Sea than North Sea populations. Sandison (1967) found that populations of gastropods including *Littorina* spp. from Cardigan Bay had higher tolerances than those from Port Seton; however, results were not from same study.

Similar effects have been found for other marine animals such as gastropods molluscs, echinoderms, crustaceans and fishes (see below). For example, Sorte *et al.* (2011) found that populations of the subtidal epibenthic species from the east coast of the United Sates which experience higher habitat temperatures had higher thermal tolerances than those on the west coast which experience lower temperatures. They also showed (after repeated exposure) that thermal tolerance varied between western and eastern Atlantic populations. Zippay and Hofmann (2010) found that veligers of *Nucella ostrina* from northern latitudes in Washington State had lower lethal temperatures than those from central sites in California. Kuo and Sanford (2009) on the other hand found that newly laboratory hatched *N. canaliculata* from central California had lower lethal temperatures than those from Oregon. The authors suspected that the difference was due to differences in period of exposure; some northern sites experience longer exposure to stressful midday low tides than southern sites due to variation among regions in the timing of low tides (see Helmuth *et al.*, 2002; 2006a).

Timing of low tide exposure is only one of many environmental factors that contribute to variation in thermal stress among sites and regions. Persistent regional differences in tidal regimes, climate, and other environmental factors (e.g. air temperature, solar radiation, etc) may act as selecting forces that influence the physiology of intertidal species with broad

latitudinal ranges (see Helmuth *et al.*, 2006a; Kuo and Sanford, 2009). As the whole coast of South Africa experiences regular semi-diurnal tides, tidal effects will not be relevant here.

Large differences in thermal tolerances have been reported in other littorinids and intertidal gastropods; and in most cases the differences were related to the conditions animals experience in their regions, shore levels and microhabitats. It is well known that warm water species from the tropics have higher tolerances than cool water species from temperate regions (see Table 3.1; Suryanarayanan and Nair, 1979; McMahon, 1990). The thermal tolerances of species in this study are above those reported for Littorina spp. from temperate regions (Clarke et al., 2000a, b, c; but see Backeljau et al., 2001) and low compared to those of tropical species (Suryanarayanan and Nair, 1979; Lee and Lim, 2009; Marshall and McQuaid, 2010; Marshall et al., 2011). The tropical E. vidua and E. malaccana had heat coma temperatures of 44.5 and 46.8°C (Cleland and McMahon, 1986), and lethal thermal limits of 56.5 and 59°C respectively (see Marshall and McQuaid, 2010; Marshall et al., 2011). In *Littorina* species from subtropical and temperate regions, heat coma temperatures were around 30-32°C (Clarke et al., 2000a, b, c; Sokolova and Pörtner, 2003) while their lethal thermal limits were 40-43°C (Clarke et al., 2000a, b, c). Muñoz et al. (2005) found a heat coma temperature of 37°C in E. peruviana in the temperate region which marks its southern distribution limit.

Likewise, species that live highest in the intertidal (i.e. eulittoral fringes) show higher tolerances than their low shore and subtidal counterparts (see below). Although Clarke *et al.* (2000b) found heat coma temperatures for their study *Littorina* species to be similar, lethal limits were lowest for *L. obtusata*, the species that is found lower on the shore. Markel (1971) found that the high shore *L. aspera*, which experiences higher tissue temperatures in the field, had higher lethal limits than sympatric *L. modesta*, found on the lower shore to subtidal. In the tropics, Stirling (1982) found a difference in thermal tolerances between species, with higher tolerance for eulittoral fringe species than eulittoral zone and subtidal species. Also in the tropics, Suryanarayanan and Nair (1979) found a higher tolerance for the high shore *Nodilittorina leucosticta* than the low shore *Littorina undulata*.

Such differences in tolerances between zones have also been found in other animals. Studies on sympatric crabs have found higher tolerances for high shore species than their lower shore and subtidal counterparts (Cuculescu *et al.*, 1998; Stillman and Somero, 1999; Stillman, 2002). In Jensen and Armstrong (1991), the lower eulittoral to subtidal *Petrolisthes eriomerus* showed higher sensitivity to thermal stress than the mid to high intertidal *P. cinctipes*. Moreover, there was a size difference with smaller animals showing a greater resistance of emersion at 25°C than larger ones. In Lagos *et al.* (2011), *P. laevigatus* which inhabits the upper intertidal had greater tolerance to high temperatures when exposed to air than the lower intertidal to subtidal *P. violaceus*.

Although there are many papers dealing with temperature tolerances in intertidal animals (see above), differences in experimental methods and protocols as well as criteria for determining limits or lethality make comparisons among studies difficult. For example, some studies investigated thermal tolerance using chronic methods where temperature was raised at a slow rate (i.e. 1°C in hours or a day); while other studies have used acute methods where temperature was raised at a faster rate (i.e. 1°C in 5 minutes) as in the current study. In Fraenkel (1960) for instance, individuals of Littorina littorea were exposed for 1 hour at a particular temperature to determine their lethal temperature. On studying the effect of the rate of temperature increase on the heat tolerance of blenny fish Acantemblemaria hancocki, Mora and Maya (2006) found that slow rates resulted in higher tolerances than rapid rates. This is because with slow increase in temperature, animals have enough time to acclimate to new temperature and increase their thermal tolerances. However, the opposite effect can also be observed (see Angilletta Jr., 2009; Nguyen et al., 2011). Hicks and McMahon (2002b) found that the lethal thermal limits of the brown mussel Perna perna were about 30°C when temperature was increased by 1°C in a day and 45°C when temperature was increased by 1°C in a minute.

It may have been more appropriate to measure heat coma temperatures for the studied species in air rather than in water as for lethal temperatures, because these snails are unlikely to be immersed in nature even during high tides with the exception of those found submerged in pools (pers. obs.). However, measurement of heat coma temperatures have been done in water in most studies (see Fraenkel, 1968; McMahon, 1990, 2001b; Clarke *et al.*, 2000a, b).

There is also evidence which suggests that heat coma temperature in water is positively correlated with that in air for littorinid gastropods (see Sandison, 1967). Therefore, I also measured heat coma temperatures in water so that my data could be directly compared to those of previous studies. It would have been good to test tolerances, both heat coma and lethal temperatures, of my species in both media (water and air). In addition, the criteria used to judge heat coma and lethal temperatures can make comparisons of data impossible (see Fraenkel, 1960; Stirling, 1982). For example, as seen here, studies that use lack of activity to judge heat coma will give different results from those using closure of the operculum as a criterion.

Differences between class sizes, with small individuals (expected to be juveniles) showing higher heat coma temperatures but lower lethal temperatures than large individuals (expected to be adults) was expected, and can be explained by their positions on the shore. Juveniles of *Afrolittorina* spp. are found lower on the shore where they are frequently wetted by incoming tides while adults occupy higher levels and are only wetted by waves'' splashes during high tides (pers. obs.; but see below). Coupled with regular wetting by tides, juveniles can run risks of exposure to high temperature to increase feeding time. The higher heat coma in juveniles in this study might be explained by high activity (i.e. slightly different behaviour to adults) and the benefits of evaporative cooling lower on the shore.

On the other hand, the higher lethal limits of adults were also expected since they are found at the highest levels on the shore where they are exposed to intense solar radiation for longer than juveniles. Although based on individuals from a single site (St. Abss), larger individuals of *Littorina littorea* showed significantly lower heat coma temperatures than juveniles (Clarke *et al.*, 2000a, b). Stirling (1982) suggested that low shore species (animals) may have low lethal temperatures relative to heat coma temperatures since they are unlikely to experience extreme temperatures, while for high shore species high lethal temperature will be more important than high heat coma temperatures.

In contrast, Sandison (1967) suggested heat coma to be the most important factor affecting the zonation of littorinids and this appears to be true here, when I compare the tolerance and

distributions of juveniles and adults of *Afrolittorina* spp. However, juveniles are not always found lower on the shore (see Vermeij, 1972; Boulding and Van Alstyne, 1993; Saier, 2000; Emson *et al.*, 2002). For example, juveniles of *A. knysnaensis* have been described as generally occurring higher on the shore than adults (McQuaid, 1981a, b; d'Errico *et al.*, 2008), though this was in the cool temperate region where heat stress may be less critical. See Vermeij (1972) for size gradients in *A. africana* and other littorinids and molluscs. In summary, my results suggest that the basis for resisting heat stress may differ between large and small individuals. Such size-specific differences may account for different distribution patterns on the shore with larger individuals found higher on the shore while smaller ones are restricted to the lower levels. Indeed when we look at my results, larger specimens of all species showed higher lethal temperatures than smaller animals.

The two subtropical species ranked differently for heat coma and lethal temperatures. For both size classes, *L. glabrata* showed higher heat coma temperatures than *E. natalensis*, but the reverse was true for lethal temperatures. Again, this may be linked to their preferences for different microhabitats, *L. glabrata* preferring shaded and humid environments and *E. natalensis* dry rock surfaces. *L. glabrata* might also benefit from its behaviour, observed in both laboratory and field, of crawling and escaping to avoid heat stress. A delay in succumbing to heat coma may allow them to seek protected microhabitats. This means that if heat coma temperature was the main factor controlling vertical zonation, *L. glabrata* would be expected to occupy a higher level than *E. natalensis*; visa versa for lethal temperature.

Situations where heat coma temperature is hypothesised to be the main factor controlling vertical zonation have been found in littorinids (see Sandison, 1967), but in this study lethal temperatures seems to be important. In fact the vertical distributions of *L. glabrata* and *E. natalensis* widely overlap in the eulittoral fringes; but the zones of maximum abundance of these species are well separated. *L. glabrata* is more abundant in the uppermost eulittoral fringe and *E. natalensis* is found in abundance in the middle eulittoral fringe (pers. obs.). However, it must be noted that other factors (e.g. predation) may be responsible for *L. glabrata* occurring further up the shore than *E. natalensis*.

## Effects of acclimation and acclimatization on thermal tolerances.

The phenomenon of temperature acclimation (physiological adaptation or capacity adaptation; Vernberg, 1969), leading to shifts in tolerance limits is more common in animals that experience fluctuations in conditions such as temperature and humidity (e.g. temperate species) than those that experience relatively constant conditions (e.g. tropical species) (see Segal, 1961; Huey and Bennett, 1990; Somero, 2002; Stillman, 2003; Jones *et al.*, 2009; Pörtner, 2010; Sunday *et al.*, 2012). The lack of an acclimation response by tropical or polar organisms presumably relates to the absence of any significant seasonal temperature variation in these regions (see Vernberg, 1969; Bijlsma and Loeschcke, 2005; Clarke and Gaston, 2006; Peck *et al.*, 2009a, b; Chapperon and Seuront, 2011a, b; Nguyen *et al.*, 2011). In addition, tropical and polar species often live close to their upper thermal limits, and as such have narrower thermal windows than temperate species (see Stillman and Somero, 1996; 1999; Compton *et al.*, 2007; Chapperon and Seuront, 2011a, b; Christensen *et al.*, 2011; Nguyen *et al.*, 2011). Because of the high temperatures routinely experienced, tropical species have thermal tolerance limits that are as high as could be reached through acclimation so that no further acclimation is possible.

This is true for intertidal species, especially eulittoral fringe ones, which experience high fluctuations and extremes of temperature than low intertidal species (Cuculescu *et al.*, 1998; Stillman and Somero, 2000; Stillman, 2002; 2003; Somero, 2002; 2005; Compton *et al.*, 2007; Nguyen *et al.*, 2011). These explanations may well apply to some molluscs such as gastropods and bivalves that only experience slight seasonal fluctuations in temperature (see Vernberg and Vernberg, 1969; Tomanek, 2008; Somero, 2010), such as those on the eastern seaboard of South Africa. Sea surface temperatures vary between 20 and 27°C on the subtropical east coast, and from 10 to 19°C on the cool temperate west coast (see above). Air temperatures are diurnally and seasonally variable reaching 30-35°C in summer and falling to 3°C in the subtropical and to 0°C along the west and south coast during winter (see Kruger and Shongwe, 2004; Sinclair *et al.*, 2004).

Studies on acclimation show conflicting outcomes, some suggesting that acclimation occurs, while others suggest little or no acclimation in thermal tolerances. Many studies have found that littorinids and other marine invertebrates from different phyla have limited capacity or are unable to acclimate their thermal tolerances (see Hamby, 1975; Huey and Bennett, 1990; Stillman and Somero, 1999; Stillman, 2002; 2003). In the brown mussel *Perna perna*, acclimation was not pronounced, suggesting limited capacity for temperature acclimation (Hicks and McMahon, 2002b). In contrast, other studies have shown that acclimation can lead to higher thermal tolerances, with noticeable shifts in lethal temperature limits (see Segal, 1961; Backeljau *et al.*, 2001; Díaz *et al.*, 2002; Somero, 2002; Li and Brawley, 2004; Kelley *et al.*, 2011). Clarke *et al.* (2000a) found significantly higher heat coma temperatures (overall shifts of 3 and 5.8°C) in individuals of *Littorina littorea* acclimated at higher temperatures (16 and 20°C respectively) than those acclimated at 12°C. Hamby (1975) also found a significant shift in heat coma in individuals of the common Atlantic littorinid, *L. littorea* and *Monodonta lineata*, lethal temperatures were profoundly influenced by thermal acclimation (Newell *et al.*, 1971).

Sorte *et al.* (2011) suggested that the four populations of the intertidal *Littorina* spp. can acclimate as shown by the absence of geographical differences in temperature tolerance. Braby and Somero (2006) found an increase in high critical temperatures of mussels, *Mytilus* spp., acclimated at 14 and 21°C, respectively. Cuculescu *et al.* (1998) found significantly higher heat coma temperatures in crabs, *Carcinus maenas* and *Cancer pagurus*, acclimated at 22°C than those acclimated at 8°C, with greater acclimation ability in *C. pagurus* than *Carcinus maenas*. In addition, for both species, winter caught animals showed significantly lower tolerances than summer and autumn caught animals, indicating the influence of season. Except for laboratory acclimation of critical thermal maxima which increased with acclimation temperature, Fangue and Bennett (2003) found that March acclimatized animals had critical maxima of 37.3°C as compared to 41.8°C for July acclimatized animals. In general, thermal tolerances are higher in summer and lower in winter (see Vernberg and Vernberg, 1970)

In this study, animals acclimated at different temperatures (20, 25, 30 and 35°C, respectively) showed no change in heat coma temperatures. This was irrespective of the effect of season on

lethal temperatures with summer acclimatized animals showing higher tolerances than winter acclimatized animals. Although not significant, variation in lethal temperatures (differences of 1-3°C) with respect to season may reflect some level of acclimation. It must be noted that seasonal acclimatization in this study may be due to acclimatization to other factors (e.g. salinity and food availability) in the field that can affect responses to heat stress (see Nagabhushanam and Sarojini, 1969; Fitt et al., 2001); but which were not simulated in the laboratory. Although a seasonal effect on heat coma was not investigated in this study, it is possible that more profound seasonal changes can occur in heat coma than in the lethal temperature. In *Littorina littorea*, heat coma was more subject to change by acclimation than lethal temperature as shown by a shift of heat coma temperature by about 8.5°C while lethal temperature shifted by only about 1-2°C (see Stirling, 1982). The effects of acclimation are seen in seasonality of temperature tolerance in *Littorina* spp. as season can have an effect on heat coma temperatures, which can vary seasonally (Clarke et al., 2000b; Backeljau et al., 2001). Cuculescu et al. (1998) found an effect of season on heat coma temperatures of the crabs Carcinus maenas and Cancer pagurus, with significantly lower tolerances in wintercaught animals than summer- and autumn-caught animals. This was also true for marine crustaceans where heat coma temperatures were higher in summer-acclimatized animals than winter-acclimatized ones (Hopkin et al., 2006).

The lack of heat coma acclimation in this study was unexpected and raises questions as to what causes an inability to acclimate. One possibility is that the acclimation period (14 days) used in this study was too short (see Backeljau *et al.*, 2001), but in many studies acclimation for as little as 14 days was found to be sufficient to lead to proper acclimation (see Todd and Dehnel, 1960; Clarke *et al.*, 2000a). For example, Hamby (1975) found that 14 days was enough to induce acclimation on *Littorina littorea*, resulting in a shift in heat coma temperatures; while further acclimation for 50-54 days had no effect. Alternatively, since the study littorinids are generally found very high on the shores, it might be that these animals were already acclimatized to high temperatures. This is supported by the results of Sorte *et al.* (2011) where populations of *L. saxatilis* did not acclimate after three weeks (21 days).

In addition, high shore intertidal species are assumed to be already living close to their thermal limits, and may have more limited capacities to increase their thermal tolerance limits

than subtidal species (see above). For instance, Stillman and Somero (1999) found that of the three temperate porcelain crabs, *Petrolisthes spp.* studied, the intertidal species was not able to adjust its lethal limits to the same extent as the subtidal species. This can be explained by differences in media due to the position on the intertidal zone. For example, thermal limits of *Mytilus edulis* in air were the same in June and November; but in water there was acclimation to a slightly higher value (29.8°C) in June than November (25.7°C; Jones *et al.*, 2009).

The thermal history of an organism is known to influence thermal acclimation (see Cuculescu *et al.*, 1998). Clarke *et al.* (2000a, b) found no effect of acclimation period on heat coma of animals acclimated at 12°C, and suggested that the specimens might have already been field acclimatized. However, the authors did not investigate the effect of period at other temperatures (16 and 20°C) which would have implied the importance of acclimation time. Other causes of lack of acclimation in this study could be the cost of acclimation and maintenance of high lethal limits (see Somero, 2002; Clarke, 2003; Stillman, 2002; Sokolova *et al.*, 2012). As other investigators (see Hawkins *et al.*, 1987; Hofmann and Somero, 1995; Tomanek, 2008; 2010) have emphasized that acclimation comes at a cost because the synthesis of heat shock proteins (which are involved in thermal acclimation and tolerance; Cuculescu *et al.*, 1998; Dong *et al.*, 2008a; Gracey *et al.*, 2008; Sørensen, 2010) requires more energy (see Stillman, 2002; Whiteley and Faulkner, 2005; Sokolova and Lannig, 2008; Tomanek, 2008; 2010; Fitzgerald-Dehoog *et al.* 2012).

The ability to acclimate to temperature change is an important adaptation, because it signifies that some animals can alter their thermal tolerances during a time of rising temperature in the summer months and during global warming (see Newell *et al.*, 1971; Kingsolver and Huey, 1998; Tomanek, 2008; Byrne *et al.*, 2010; Pörtner, 2002b; 2010). Thus, acclimation is an important criterion in defining an animal"s ability to survive environmental change, via the buffering of temperature effects. This is because acclimation is a critical short-term response to rapid and severe environmental changes which are expected in the near future (see Sokolova and Pörtner, 2003; Helmuth *et al.*, 2005; Brown and Cossins, 2011; Martin *et al.*, 2011) since it allows organisms to shift their thermal optimum (see Horowitz, 2001; Kassahn *et al.*, 2009; Silvestre *et al.*, 2012).

After comparing thermal acclimation capacities of differently distributed species of porcelain crabs of the genus *Petrolisthes*, Stillman and Somero (1999) and Stillman (2002, 2003) concluded that species with poor abilities to acclimate to temperature change (lower latitudes and high tidal zone species) are likely to be the most vulnerable to future warming scenarios (see Somero, 2005; 2010; Tomanek, 2010; Christensen *et al.*, 2011). This can be true for my study species since they live high in the intertidal zone. This suggests that the two eulittoral fringe as well as the eulittoral zone species are likely to show changes in distribution pattern (vertical and geographical) with a small increase in temperature in coming years as predicted. This is supported by restriction of *A. africana* (also *A. knysnaensis*) to lower levels on shore in subtropics (pers. obs.).

In summary, the results of this study show that there are differences in thermal tolerance of the studied species and the differences seem to reflect differences in biogeography, ecology and phylogeny. The two subtropical species, which occupy the eulittoral fringe, showed higher tolerances than the two subtropical/temperate species which are found in the eulittoral zones. This agrees with the hypothesis that temperature tolerances in marine animals show a decrease from tropics to polar regions in both eulittoral fringe and lower shore species. It has also been established that there is little or no such difference as we move from 0 to 30 degrees latitude (tropics to subtropics), with the difference being more obvious from 30 to 60 degrees of latitudes (temperate and polar) (see McMahon, 2001b). By demonstrating the existence of fixed physiological differences between species from different geographic regions, this study provides evidence that environmental (temperature) adaptation at the organism level is important for the maintenance of dissimilar biogeographies.

The results also indicate that littorinids can tolerate high temperature stress, and are therefore well suited to life in the intertidal zones where temperature and other stresses are extreme and can change abruptly. Thus, it can be concluded that, in the short term, littorinids are tolerant of the high temperatures than they are likely to experience on the shore, and that they can also survive temporary exposure to supernormal temperatures. An understanding of animals'' temperature tolerances or thermal limits, and the plasticity or flexibility of those limits enables us to make some inferences about what will happen to their distributions and abundances during climate change. Although my results suggest that littorinids have high

tolerances to temperature, it is clear that these animals are already living close to their thermal limits as shown by their limited capacity to adjust those tolerances, and the fact that distribution within-shores alters with region. As such, these animals may be vulnerable to small change in environmental temperatures. Thus, in the event of global warming, the distribution of littorinids and other intertidal ectotherms may be more affected than those of subtidal ones.

## CHAPTER 4: Temperature-heart function relation of aestivating littorinid snails of the genera *Afrolittorina*, *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* from temperate, subtropical and tropical regions

## 4.1. Introduction

Various laboratory and field studies have examined responses of physiological processes such as heart rate (the focus of this chapter) and oxygen consumption to abiotic factors such as temperature, oxygen, salinity, light; carbon dioxide, pollutants and chemicals as well as biotic factors such as sex, size, weight, food availability, nutritional status and activity (see below; Table 4.1; Brown, 1979; Höjesjö *et al.*, 1999; Isla and Perissinotto, 2004; Langenbuch and Pörtner; 2004; Kemp *et al.*, 2009; Marsden *et al.*, 2011; etc), amongst others. It is clear from these studies that physiological processes are influenced by a wide variety of extrinsic and intrinsic factors (Newell, 1973; Laird and Haefner Jr., 1976; Aagaard, 1996; McMahon, 1999; Crear and Forteath, 2000; Nicholson, 2002). This is true for heart rate and oxygen consumption which are linked to abiotic factors including temperature, oxygen levels, salinity, chemicals, and many more (see Table 4.1; below). For marine species particularly intertidal ectotherms, temperature, oxygen levels and salinity are the three main factors that affect metabolic rates (see Table 4.1; above; Newell, 1973; DeFur and Mangum, 1976).

Although the effects of changes in the above three variables on the metabolic rates of marine animals have received much attention and are well documented (see Table 4.1), no general consensus on response has emerged as marine animals show diverse metabolic responses(see below; Table 4.1). Some studies suggest regulation of metabolic rate in response to changes in these factors, while others indicate partial independence and still others direct dependence (see below). This shows that animals' metabolic rates are complex and vary throughout the biosphere as a result of the diversity of physiology and energy demands of animals as well as geometric and environmental constraints or resource limitations (Newell, 1973; Shirley *et al.*, 1978; Branch *et al.*, 1988; Speakman *et al.*, 2004; Glazier, 2005; Seibel and Drazen, 2007; Killen *et al.*, 2010; Burton *et al.*, 2011).

| Taxa          | Reference                           | Factors     |        |           | Taxa        | Reference                              | Factors     |             |             |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|--------|-----------|-------------|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|               |                                     | Temperature | Oxygen | Salinity  |             |  | Temperature | Oxygen      | Salinity    |
| Invertebrates | Newell, 1969                        | Mixed       |        |           | Crustaceans | McMahon, 2001a                         |             | Mixed       |             |
|               | Newell and Pye, 1973                | Mixed       |        |           |             | Whiteley et al., 2001                  | Dependent   |             |             |
|               | DeFur and Mangum, 1979              | Mixed       | Mixed  | Mixed     | Crabs       | Vernberg, 1959                         | Mixed       |             |             |
|               | Herreid II, 1980                    |             | Mixed  |           |             | Vernberg and Vernberg, 1966;           | Mixed       |             |             |
|               |                                     |             |        |           |             | 1969                                   |             |             |             |
|               | Ferraris et al., 1994               | Mixed       |        | Mixed     |             | Teal and Carey, 1967                   |             | Mixed       |             |
|               | Salvato et al., 2001                | Mixed       | Mixed  | Mixed     |             | Newell et al., 1972                    | Mixed       |             |             |
| Molluscs      | Bayne, 1971a, b                     |             | Mixed  |           |             | Wallace, 1972                          | Dependent   |             |             |
|               |                                     |             |        |           |             | Sastry and McCarthy, 1973              | Mixed       |             |             |
| Littorinids   | Sandison, 1967                      | Dependent   |        |           |             | Breteler, 1975                         | Dependent   |             |             |
|               | Newell and Pye, 1970a, b, 1971      | Mixed       |        |           |             | Hill and Koopowitz, 1975               |             | Mixed       |             |
|               | Pye and Newell, 1973                | Independent |        |           |             | Laird and Haefner Jr., 1976            | Mixed       |             | Little      |
|               | McMahon and Russell-Hunter, 1978    |             | Mixed  |           |             | Spaargaren, 1977                       |             | Mixed       |             |
|               | Shirley et al., 1978                | Mixed       |        |           |             | Spaargaren and Achituv, 1977           | Dependent   |             |             |
|               | Moore and Sander, 1984              | Dependent   |        | Dependent |             | Findley et al., 1978                   |             |             | Mixed       |
|               | Innes and Houlihan, 1985            | Dependent   |        |           |             | Stickle and Sabourin, 1979             |             |             | Mixed       |
|               | McMahon et al., 1995                | Mixed       |        |           |             | Dye and van der Veen, 1980             | Mixed       |             | Mixed       |
|               | Sokolova and Pörtner, 2001, 2003    | Mixed       |        |           |             | Burggren and McMahon, 1981             | Dependent   |             |             |
|               | Marshall and McQuaid, 2010          | Independent |        |           |             | Laughlin and Neff, 1979; 1980;<br>1981 | Mixed       |             | Mixed       |
|               | Marshall et al., 2010               | Independent |        |           |             | Moreira et al., 1981                   | Mixed       |             |             |
|               | Melatunan et al., 2011              | Dependent   |        |           |             | Hawkins et al., 1982                   | Independent |             |             |
|               | Marsden et al., 2012                |             | Mixed  |           |             | Mickel and Childress, 1982             | Dependent   | Mixed       |             |
|               | Stenseng et al., 2005a, b           | Dependent   |        |           |             | Du Preez, 1983                         | Dependent   |             |             |
| Whelks        | Dye and McGwynne, 1980              | Mixed       |        |           |             | Shumway, 1983                          |             |             | Dependent   |
|               | Brown and Meredith, 1981            |             |        | Dependent |             | Wernick and Penteado, 1983             |             | Mixed       |             |
|               | Stickle and Bayne, 1982             | Mixed       |        | Mixed     |             | Gutermuth and Armstrong, 1989          | Dependent   |             |             |
|               | Brown and Da Silva, 1979,<br>1984   | Mixed       |        |           |             | Emmerson, 1990                         | Dependent   |             |             |
|               | Wynberg and Brown, 1986             |             | Mixed  |           |             | Sébert et al., 1995                    | Dependent   |             |             |
| Limpets       | Pickens, 1965                       | Dependent   |        |           |             | De Wachter and McMahon,<br>1996        | Dependent   |             |             |
|               | Bannister, 1974                     | Dependent   |        |           |             | Stillman and Somero. 1996              | Mixed       |             | 1           |
|               | McMahon and Russell-Hunter,<br>1978 | - <b>P</b>  | Mixed  |           |             | Brown and Terwilliger, 1999            | Dependent   |             | Independent |
|               | Houlihan, 1979                      | Independent |        |           |             | De Pirro et al., 1999                  | Dependent   |             |             |
|               | McMahon and Russell-Hunter,<br>1981 | Mixed       | Mixed  |           |             | Crear and Forteath, 2000               | Dependent   | Independent |             |

Table 4.1. Three main factors that have effects on oxygen consumption and heart rate of different marine animals.

|          | Shumway, 1981                        |             | Independent | Independent |              | Frederich and Pörtner, 2000           | Dependent   |       |             |
|----------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------|-------------|
|          | Shumway and Marsden, 1982            | Dependent   | Independent | Dependent   |              | Robertson <i>et al.</i> 2001a b: 2002 | Dependent   |       |             |
|          | Dve. 1987                            | Dependent   |             |             |              | Camus <i>et al.</i> , 2004            | Dependent   |       |             |
|          | Branch et al., 1988                  | Dependent   |             |             |              | Storch et al., 2009                   | Dependent   |       |             |
|          | Marshall and McQuaid, 1991;<br>1992  | Dependent   | Mixed       |             |              | Walther et al., 2009                  | Dependent   |       |             |
|          | Marshall and McQuaid, 1993a;<br>1994 | Mixed       | Dependent   | Dependent   |              | Iftikar et al., 2010                  | Dependent   |       |             |
|          | De Pirro et al., 1999a, 2001         | Mixed       |             | Independent |              | Lardies et al., 2011                  | Dependent   |       |             |
|          | Chelazzi et al., 1999, 2001          | Mixed       |             | Mixed       | Amphipods    | Bulnheim, 1979                        | Mixed       | Mixed |             |
|          | Santini et al., 1999; 2000           | Dependent   |             |             |              | Marsden, 1984                         | Mixed       |       |             |
|          | Morritt et al., 2007                 |             |             | Dependent   |              | Van Senus, 1985                       | Dependent   |       |             |
|          | Dong and Williams, 2011              | Dependent   |             |             |              | Spicer and Taylor, 1987               | Dependent   |       |             |
|          |                                      |             |             |             |              | Tedengren et al., 1988                |             |       | Dependent   |
| Bivalves | Bayne, 1973a                         |             | Independent |             |              | Einarson, 1993                        | Mixed       |       |             |
|          | Lowe, 1974                           | Dependent   |             |             |              | Rastrick and Whiteley, 2011           | Mixed       |       |             |
|          | Stickle and Sabourin, 1979           |             |             | Dependent   | Isopods      | Bally, 1983; 1987                     | Mixed       |       |             |
|          | McMahon and Wilson, 1981             | Independent | Mixed       |             |              | Vetter et al., 1999                   |             | Mixed |             |
|          | Jansen et al., 2007                  | Dependent   |             |             |              | Salomon and Buchholz, 2000            | Dependent   |       |             |
| Mussels  | Pickens, 1965                        | Dependent   |             |             |              | Whiteley and Faulkner, 2005           | Dependent   |       |             |
|          | Moon and Pritchard, 1970             |             | Mixed       |             | Copepods     | Vernberg and Moreira, 1974            | Mixed       |       |             |
|          | Trueman and Lowe, 1971               | Dependent   |             | Dependent   |              | Gyllenberg and Lundqvist, 1979        | Mixed       |       | Mixed       |
|          | Coleman, 1973                        | Independent |             |             |              | Teare and Price, 1979                 | Dependent   |       |             |
|          | Widdows, 1973                        | Dependent   |             |             |              | Gee, 1985                             | Mixed       |       |             |
|          | Bayne et al., 1976                   | Independent |             |             | Mysids       | Simmons and Knight, 1975              | Dependent   |       | Dependent   |
|          | de Vooys, 1976                       | Dependent   |             |             |              | Marshall et al., 2003                 | Dependent   |       | Independent |
|          | Famme, 1980                          |             | Mixed       |             | Octopods     | Seibel and Childress, 2000            |             | Mixed |             |
|          | Wilbur and Hilbish, 1989             | Dependent   |             |             | Shrimps      | Anderson, 1978                        | Independent |       |             |
|          | Dahlhoff et al., 1991                | Mixed       |             |             |              | Emmerson, 1985                        | Dependent   |       |             |
|          | Marshall and McQuaid, 1993a,<br>b    |             | Dependent   | Mixed       |              | Tande, 1988                           | Mixed       |       |             |
|          | Rao and Khan, 2000                   | Dependent   |             |             |              | Villarreal and Rivera, 1993           | Mixed       |       | Mixed       |
|          | Hicks and McMahon, 2002              | Mixed       | Regulate    |             |              | Villarreal et al., 1994               | Mixed       |       | Dependent   |
|          | Nicholson, 2002                      | Dependent   | Decreases   | Little      |              | Agard, 1999                           | Dependent   |       | Dependent   |
|          | Bakhmet et al., 2005a, b             |             |             | Dependent   |              | Rosas et al., 1999                    |             | Mixed | Mixed       |
|          | Braby and Somero, 2006               | Dependent   |             | Dependent   |              | Isla and Perissinotto, 2004           | Dependent   |       | None        |
| Clams    | Anderson, 1978                       | Mixed       |             |             |              | Tian et al., 2004                     | Mixed       |       |             |
|          | Williams, 1984                       | Dependent   |             | Mixed       |              | Allan et al., 2006                    | Dependent   |       | Dependent   |
|          | Eshky and Ba-Akdhah, 1992            | Independent |             |             | Prawns       | Nelson et al., 1977                   | Mixed       |       | Mixed       |
|          | Tang et al., 2005                    | Mixed       |             | Mixed       |              | Morris and Taylor, 1984               | Independent |       |             |
| Oysters  | Findley et al., 1978                 |             |             | Mixed       | Lobsters     | Crear and Forteath, 2000              | Dependent   | Mixed |             |
|          | Shumway and Koehn, 1982              | Dependent   |             | Dependent   |              | Thomas et al., 2000                   | Dependent   |       |             |
|          | Haure et al., 1998                   | Dependent   |             |             |              | Tully et al., 2000                    | Dependent   |       |             |
|          | Cherkasov et al., 2006               | Dependent   |             |             | Ascidians    | Jiang et al., 2008                    | Dependent   |       | Dependent   |
|          | Lannig et al., 2006; 2008; 2010      | Dependent   |             |             | Brittle star | Christensen et al., 2011              | Dependent   |       |             |
| Anthozoa | Griffiths, 1977                      | Dependent   |             |             | Anemones     | Griffiths, 1977                       | Mixed       |       |             |

|            | Navarro et al., 1981, 1987    | Mixed       |             |           | Ortega et al., 1984     | Mixed       |  |
|------------|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------------------------|-------------|--|
| Sea urchin | Brockington and Clarke, 2001  | Dependent   |             |           | Seibel and Drazen, 2011 | Independent |  |
|            | Siikavuopio et al., 2008      | Dependent   |             |           |                         |             |  |
| Cockle     | Newell and Bayne, 1980        | Mixed       |             |           |                         |             |  |
| Scallops   | Pilditch and Grant, 1999      | Dependent   |             |           |                         |             |  |
| Trochids   | Houlihan and Innes, 1982      | Dependent   |             |           |                         |             |  |
| Abalones   | Dahlhoff and Somero, 1993     | Dependent   |             |           |                         |             |  |
|            |                               |             |             |           |                         |             |  |
| Fishes     | Du Preez et al., 1986         | Independent |             |           |                         |             |  |
|            | Berschick et al., 1987        | Dependent   | Mixed       |           |                         |             |  |
|            | Johnston et al., 1991         | Dependent   |             |           |                         |             |  |
|            | Weinstein and Somero, 1998    | Dependent   |             |           |                         |             |  |
|            | Claireaux and Lagardére, 1999 | Mixed       | Independent | Dependent |                         |             |  |
|            | Clarke and Johnston, 1999     | Mixed       |             |           |                         |             |  |
|            | Mallekh and Lagardére, 2002   | Dependent   | Mixed       |           |                         |             |  |
|            | Meloni et al., 2002           |             |             | Mixed     |                         |             |  |
|            | Mark et al., 2002             | Dependent   |             |           |                         |             |  |
|            | Zakhartsev et al., 2003       | Dependent   |             |           |                         |             |  |
|            | Clark et al., 2008            | Dependent   |             |           |                         |             |  |
|            | Steinhausen et al., 2008      | Dependent   |             |           |                         |             |  |
|            | Pirozzi and Booth, 2009       | Dependent   |             |           |                         |             |  |
|            | Vinagre et al., 2012          | Dependent   |             |           |                         |             |  |
| Eels       | Sébert et al., 1995           | Dependent   |             |           |                         |             |  |

These differences in response can be due to interactions with other environmental or biological factors. For example, carbon dioxide or ocean acidification, pollutants, size and activity are also known to influence the response of metabolic rates to changes in temperature, oxygen levels and salinity (Newell, 1973; Shumway and Marsden, 1982; Ferraris *et al.*, 1994; Camus *et al.*, 2004; Sokolova and Lannig, 2008; Killen *et al.*, 2010; Christensen *et al.*, 2011). This means that the level of response to one factor can be modified in a positive or negative way by other changes occurring simultaneously (Laird and Haefner Jr., 1976; Bulnheim, 1979; Moore and Sander, 1984; Claireaux and Lagardére, 1999; Sokolova *et al.*, 2012). Differences in experimental design and protocols can also explain some of the differences observed (Findley *et al.*, 1978; McMahon, 1990; 2001b; Sokolova and Pörtner, 2003; Bakhmet and Khalaman, 2006). For example, most studies have tested the effects of prolonged exposure to abiotic factors lasting for hours or days, without taking into account the possible effects of short-term exposure to sudden stress, which can be particularly frequent in the intertidal environment (Newell and Bayne, 1973; Dye and McGwynne, 1980; Haure *et al.*, 1998; Anestis *et al.*, 2008).

Differences can also arise as a result of adaptation to conditions in the various habitats that marine animals exploit. For example, intertidal species that are frequently exposed to harsh and fluctuating conditions regulate better than their subtidal and open ocean counterparts (Moon and Pritchard, 1970; De Pirro *et al.*, 1999a; Sokolova and Pörtner, 2001b; 2003; Altieri, 2006). In addition, phylogenetic and ecological differences, physiological state and developmental stage can all affect the response of metabolic rate to abiotic stressors (Sastry and McCarthy, 1973; Vernberg and Moreira, 1974; Moreira *et al.*, 1981; Aagaard, 1996; Brown and Terwilliger, 1999; Glazier, 2005; Seibel and Drazen, 2007). Although no study has looked at the effect of phylogeny or taxon on metabolic rates, phylogenetic differences in responses are evident (see Table 4.1; Clarke and Johnston, 1999; Glazier, 2005). Littorinids that inhabit the highest levels on intertidal shores seem to regulate metabolic rates better than bivalves and crustaceans which inhabit the mid and low shores.

Marine animals show a wide range of morphological, behavioural, physiological and biochemical mechanisms to survive changes in the above factors. Although rarely discussed, the usual first response is a drastic reduction in activity (see Herreid II, 1980; Little, 1981;

Shumway et al., 1983) followed by inactivity (see Garrity, 1984; Kronberg, 1990; McMahon, 1990; Lee and Williams, 2002; Williams et al., 2005). Once inactive, animals can enter dormancy (in this case we are concerned with aestivation) which is accompanied by metabolic rate depression (down regulation of cellular metabolism) and/or a switch to anaerobic metabolism (which is highly inefficient and accelerates the consumption of energy stores) to supplement the decline in energy production from aerobic metabolism (see Storey and Storey, 1990; 2004; 2007; Storey, 1998; Guderley and St-Pierre, 2002; Pörtner, 2010; Williams et al., 2011). By suppressing metabolic rate to low levels, animals can enter a hypometabolic state that allows them to endure long-term exposure to stressful environmental conditions while saving energy. This is because most of the energy consuming processes, such as protein synthesis, are down-regulated (see Boutilier, 2001; Storey and Storey, 2004; 2007; Sokolova et al., 2012). Reducing metabolic rates also reduces the need for exposure of gas surfaces (e.g. mantle cavity wall) of high shore gastropods to the external atmosphere minimizing water loss (see McMahon, 1988b; Sokolova and Pörtner, 2001b). On the other hand, switching to anaerobic metabolism allows animals to close off (e.g. valve closure in mytilids) from the external environment in order to avoid deleterious conditions (see McMahon, 1988b; Anestis et al., 2007).

Marine invertebrates show the most diverse metabolic responses to changes in salinity, and this depends on the direction of change. For example, metabolic rate can increase or decrease (dependent) as salinity changes, but overall, they tend to decrease as salinity deviates from normal levels (see Table 4.1). In some species, however, metabolic rates remain constant (independent) as salinity changes while others show both (mixed) responses (see Table 4.1). The differences in responses to salinity change are related to many extrinsic and intrinsic factors and conditions, as well as the combinations and/or interactions between these factors. For example, temperature (Simmons and Knight, 1975; Gyllenberg and Lundqvist, 1979; Sherman and Eichrodt, 1982; Stickle and Bayne, 1982; Williams, 1984), oxygen tension (Shumway, 1981; Taylor, 1981; Salvato *et al.*, 2001) and pollutants (Laughlin Jr. and Neff, 1979; 1980; 1981; Tedengren *et al.*, 1988) can significantly influence an animal''s metabolic response to salinity change. Nelson *et al.* (1977) found a more pronounced depression of oxygen consumption with increasing salinity at higher temperatures than at low temperatures in the juvenile prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*.

In general, high temperatures increase the dependence of metabolic rates on salinity, while low temperatures reduce it (see Shumway and Koehn, 1982; Moore and Sander, 1984; Brown and Terwilliger, 1999; Williams *et al.*, 2011). In addition, the critical points (salinity at which metabolic depression was lost) were different, showing a decrease with increasing temperature. Animals that live in environments where they experience frequent fluctuations in salinity levels (e.g. estuarine and tide pools living species) are able to regulate better than those that experience constant salinity levels such as subtidal and open ocean species (De Pirro *et al.*, 1999a; Sokolova and Berger, 2000; Sokolova *et al.*, 2012). Different metabolic responses may also be caused by the different experimental design adopted including the level of salinity tested and duration of exposure to salinity stress (see Findley *et al.*, 1978; Villarreal and Rivera, 1993; Bakhmet and Khalaman, 2006).

As a result of exposure to fluctuations in salinity, marine animals, including intertidal invertebrates, have developed mechanisms to survive changes in salinity. At the behavioural level, snails withdraw into the shell and close the aperture with the operculum to isolate themselves from the surrounding environment (Todd, 1961; Little, 1981; Taylor and Andrews, 1988). This type of behavioural isolation response is evident in other intertidal molluscs including bivalves which close their valves and limpets, which clamp down on rocks in order to limit exposure to adverse environmental conditions (Bayne, 1973a; Marshall and McQuaid, 1993a; Cheung and Lam, 1995; Yaroslavtseva *et al.*, 2000). Mobile animals actively seek favourable environments or escape from unfavourable salinity conditions; this is particularly true for many motile estuarine species (Hendrix Jr. *et al.*, 1981; Berger and Kharazova, 1997; Dowd *et al.*, 2010b).

Molluscs are well known to maintain hyperosmotic haemolyph or blood and decrease membrane permeability under hypoosmotic conditions (see Little, 1981; Moran and Pierce, 1984; Ferraris *et al.*, 1994). Animals in estuaries and intertidal zones survive fluctuations in salinity through changes in permeability, liberation of osmotic effectors to haemolyph, active ion uptake, breakdown of cellular proteins and excretion of excess amino acids (Spaargaren, 1974; 1975; Findley *et al.*, 1978; Rosas *et al.*, 1999; Dowd *et al.*, 2010b; Sokolova *et al.*, 2012). Cellular mechanisms of adaptation such as reversible changes of protein and RNA synthesis, alteration of the pattern of multiple molecular forms of enzymes, regulation of

ionic or osmotic content and cell volume, production of heat shock proteins (Moran and Pierce, 1984; Ferraris *et al.*, 1994; Ji *et al.*, 2008) are also used to tolerate and survive salinity changes. One of the most important and widely exhibited responses is the ability of animals to depress metabolic rates when exposed to changing salinity levels (Marshall and McQuaid, 1993a; Sokolova *et al.*, 2000a, b; Morritt *et al.*, 2007; Williams *et al.*, 2011).

Despite some deviations, animal metabolic rates generally decrease as oxygen levels decrease (see Table 4.1). Thus, at low oxygen levels a typical response is bradycardia. In other species, metabolic rates are independent of change in oxygen levels down to critical levels below which they become dependent, while others show both responses (see Table 4.1). As with salinity, metabolic responses to decreased oxygen can be modified by other biotic and abiotic factors. For example, variation in temperature (Taylor, 1981; Hawkins et al., 1982; Berschick et al., 1987; Vetter et al., 1999), salinity (Bayne, 1973; Shumway, 1981; Ferraris et al., 1994; Rosas et al., 1999; Salvato et al., 2001), size (Marsden et al., 2012) and activity (Brown, 1979) are known to affect oxygen uptake rate. For the mussel Perna perna, the ability to regulate oxygen consumption under hypoxia increased from poor regulation at 10°C to good regulation at 30°C (Hicks and McMahon, 2002a). Shumway and Koehn (1982) found that low salinity alone and/or in combination with high temperatures had the most adverse effect on oxygen consumption by the American oyster Crassostrea virginica during declining oxygen tension. Animals that live high in the intertidal (i.e. littoral zone and fringe species) are often able to respire in air and hence show different metabolic rate responses from subtidal species (Helm and Trueman, 1967; Widdows et al., 1979; Houlihan and Innes, 1982; Deaton, 1991; Marshall and McQuaid, 1993b; Vetter et al., 1999; Altieri, 2006).

To survive during periods of low oxygen availability, marine animals have developed a wide range of mechanisms (see below). Intertidal gastropod snails have a highly vascularized mantle cavity that functions as a diffusion lung when filled with air (Gutierrez, 1988; McMahon and Russell-Hunter, 1978). Mussels have the ability to trap water in the mantle cavity which can serve as a store of oxygen for respiration during anoxic conditions (Moon and Pritchard, 1970). Some bivalves periodically gape the shell valves to promote aerial gas exchange (Moon and Pritchard, 1970; Bayne, 1973a; Nicastro *et al.*, 2010). Hermit crabs retreat into the shell and isolate themselves from the surrounding environment (Reese, 1969;

Wernick and Penteado, 1983). Some fish and other animals emerge to the surface and begin aerial respiration (emergence response) to enhance oxygenation of the gills (Herreid II, 1980; Hill *et al.*, 1991; Martin, 1995; Halpin and Martin, 1999; Richards, 2011).

Most marine animals are extremely efficient at extracting oxygen from water by slowing or increasing the ventilatory stream (Saint-Paul, 1984; deFur, 1988; Hourdez and Lallier, 2007), altering heart rate, cardiac stroke volume and cardiac output (Bayne, 1971a; McMahon, 1988a; Airriess and McMahon, 1994; Hourdez and Lallier, 2007), and having a relatively large gill surface area and high circulation rate (McMahon, 2001a; Mandic *et al.*, 2009). Other animals have respiratory proteins (i.e. hemocyanin, hemoglobin, hemerythrin, etc) with a particularly high affinity for oxygen (Herreid II, 1980; Saint-Paul, 1984; deFur, 1988; McMahon, 1988a; Wells, 1999; Richards, 2011).

Marine animals are also able to suppress their metabolic rate and/or switch to anaerobic metabolism (see above) in order to save energy and supplement the decline in energy production from aerobic metabolism (McMahon, 1988a, b; Stickle *et al.*, 1989; Marshall and McQuaid, 1991; Childress and Seibel, 1998; Larade and Storey, 2007; Mandic *et al.*, 2009). Other adaptations include suppression of ATP-demand and ATP-supply pathways (Oeschger and Storey, 1993; Hochachka *et al.*, 1996; Hochachka and Lutz, 2001; Boutilier, 2001; Sokolova *et al.*, 2012), a global decline in protein biosynthesis (Hochachka *et al.*, 1996; Storey and Storey, 2004), a generalized decrease in membrane permeability (Hochachka *et al.*, 1996), and regulation of key enzymes (Oeschger and Storey, 1993).

Although, oxygen level and salinity profoundly affect metabolic rates, temperature is the abiotic factor that exerts the greatest influence on heart rate and oxygen consumption, and considerable work has focused on this factor (see Table 4.1). deFur and Mangum (1979) suggested that the effect of temperature on heart rate is at least the same as and often greater than the effect of temperature on oxygen consumption. On the other hand, Spaargaren (1977) found the effect of temperature on oxygen consumption to be stronger than that on heart rate. Among ectotherms, particularly marine species, there is no general consensus on how an ectothermic animal"s metabolic rate responds to temperature. Some authors suggest partial or total temperature-dependence, while others suggests temperature-independence of metabolic

rates. The dispute occurs across a wide range of ectothermic animals, including marine molluscs such as littorinids and species from other phyla (see Table 4.1).

Heart rate and oxygen consumption generally increase as temperature increases until a threshold is reached, after which they decrease (see Table 4.1). This is in agreement with the metabolic theory of ecology; thus the "Universal Temperature Dependence (UTD) of metabolism" (Clarke, 2004; 2006; Clarke and Fraser, 2004, 2009). This is because reaction rates are temperature dependent increasing with increasing temperature until declining above optimum or near lethal temperatures (Clarke, 1993b; Gillooly *et al.*, 2001, 2002, 2006; Brown *et al.*, 2004; Clarke and Fraser, 2004, 2009; O'Connor *et al.*, 2007). Other species, however, are able to regulate metabolic rates as temperature increases (see Table 4.1), and this can occur across temperature ranges experienced in the field (Vernberg and Vernberg, 1966; 1969; Newell and Pye, 1970a, b; Branch *et al.*, 1988; Cheung and Lam, 1995; Clarke, 2004; etc).

Some animals show mixed or both responses with a narrow zone of temperature independence bounded by zones of dependence on either side (see Table 4.1). For example, the metabolic rate of *Littorina saxatilis* is temperature-dependent at low and mid ambient temperature ranges, but becomes partially independent above normal ambient temperatures (see Sokolova and Pörtner, 2003). This is also true for the tropical eulittoral fringe *E. malaccana* (Marshall *et al.*, 2010; 2011), the clam *Meretrix meretrix* (Tang *et al.*, 2005), the intertidal bivalve *Cerastoderma edule* (McMahon and Wilson, 1981), the adult females of the copepod *Calanus glacialis* (Tande, 1988), the temperate intertidal isopod *Ligia oceanica* (Whiteley and Faulkner, 2005), the amphipods of the genus *Gammarus* (Bulnheim, 1979), the juveniles of the shrimp *Fenneropenaeus chinensis* (Tian *et al.*, 2004), the adult females of the crab *Emerita brasiliensis* (Moreira *et al.*, 1981), and the sea bass *Dicentrarchus labrax* (Claireaux and Lagardére, 1999), etc.

Mixed responses suggest that animals are able to regulate metabolic rates, especially within the temperature ranges experienced in the field or when temperatures approach lethal limits. It is claimed that temperature independence at relatively high temperatures is adaptive because it enables animals to thermoregulate while maintaining metabolic homeostasis (see Vernberg and Vernberg, 1966; Bulnheim, 1979; Hawkins, 1995; Tian *et al.*, 2004). The maintenance of metabolic homeostasis is an important adaptation to littoral existence during exposure to air because there is little time to feed and food is scarce (de Zwaan and Wijsman, 1976; Hawkins *et al.*, 1982; Spicer and Taylor, 1987; Branch *et al.*, 1988; Tully *et al.*, 2000; etc). Thus, metabolic homeostasis is the predominant adaptive strategy of metabolic responses that allows an organism to survive environmental stress or disturbances (see Somero, 2004; Sokolova and Lannig, 2008; Lannig *et al.*, 2010; Sokolova *et al.*, 2012).

And as for both salinity and oxygen concentration, differences in metabolic responses to temperature can be related to many extrinsic and intrinsic factors and conditions, as well as the combinations and/or interactions between these factors (see below). For example, salinity, oxygen tension, carbon dioxide, and pollutants can significantly influence an animal"s metabolic response to temperature (see below); however, this is not true to for all animals or species (see Nelson *et al.*, 1977; Brown and Terwilliger, 1999; Allan *et al.*, 2006; Williams *et al.*, 2011). Differences can also arise as a result of adaptation to conditions in the different habitats that animals exploit. For example, animals that experience frequent fluctuations and extremes of temperatures (e.g. eulittoral zones species) regulate better than those that experience constant and moderate temperatures (see Vernberg, 1969; Spaargaren and Achituv, 1977; McMahon and Wilson, 1981; Hawkins *et al.*, 1982; Marsden, 1987; Dahlhoff *et al.*, 1991; Somero, 2002; Isla and Perissinotto, 2004).

This is supported by studies which have shown that metabolic rates differ when measured in different respiratory medium (air or water) as a result of animals" adaptation to different habitats, thus conditions (McMahon and Russell-Hunter, 1981; Navarro *et al.*, 1987; De Pirro *et al.*, 1999b; Santini *et al.*, 2000). The findings (increase and decrease as temperature increases) of field and laboratory measurements on heart rate of the tropical limpet *Cellana grata* led Chelazzi *et al.* (1999) to suggest that limpets (may be true for other animals) in some habitats may be able to regulate their metabolic rate when resting on hot substrates.

Marine animals have developed a wide range of mechanisms to survive heat stress. Depending on the level of stress, adaptations can be behavioural, physiological, biochemical and/or a combination (see McMahon, 1990; Sinclair et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2007a; Miller and Denny, 2011; Sokolova et al., 2012). Below physiological limits, behavioural mechanisms such as choice of suitable microhabitats by mobile animals (Bally, 1983; Gutierrez, 1988; McMahon, 1990), and withdrawal into the shell by snails and other shelled gastropods (see Garrity, 1984; McMahon, 1990; Williams and Morritt, 1995) are used to limit exposure of the animal to adverse environment conditions. When heat stress increases, some animals, including some littorinids, use physiological mechanisms such as metabolic adjustments (see Shirley et al., 1978; McMahon, 1988a, b; 1990; Sokolova and Pörtner, 2001a, b), thermal regulation, tolerance and acclimation (see Huey and Bennett, 1990; McMahon, 1990; Horowitz, 2001; 2002), etc. Some animals synthesize heat shock proteins which are involved in thermal acclimation and tolerance (see Feder and Hofmann, 1999; Tomanek, 2002; Anestis et al., 2010; Marshall et al., 2011). Other cellular or biochemical mechanisms such as down-regulation of protein synthesis, changes in protein (enzyme) structure, and increased enzyme stability and activity (see Somero, 1978; 1995; 2004; Dahlhoff and Somero, 1993a; Schmidt et al., 2007; Dong and Somero, 2009; Tattersall et al., 2012) are also important to survive heat stress.

This chapter will investigate if temperate littorinids employ metabolic adjustment (regulation), which is a survival mechanism used by tropical littorinids against thermal stress (see Marshall and McQuaid, 2010; Marshall *et al.*, 2010; 2011). This will help us to understand if metabolic regulation is a physiological response aimed at preserving energy and surviving environmental challenges during emersion in the littoral zone as seen in other tropical species.

Within the littorinid snails, there seem to be fundamental differences among genera and species in the relationship between temperature and both oxygen consumption and heart rate. There is a clear difference in metabolism between tropical and subtropical or temperate species, and likewise for eulittoral fringe and eulittoral species. Tropical species show good regulation of oxygen consumption and heart rate, rendering them independent of temperature across a range of temperatures (Marshall and McQuaid, 2010; Marshall *et al.*, 2010; 2011). In contrast, temperate species show mixed responses (strong regulation, partial regulation and non-regulation) of oxygen consumption and heart rate (Sokolova and Pörtner, 2003). At the

same time, eulittoral fringe species show better regulation of metabolic rates than lower shore species (Marshal *et al.*, 2010). These differences seem to relate to differences in biogeography, ecology, and phylogeny. The tropical littorinids fill a completely new niche in the eulittoral fringe and have different adaptive physiological attributes that allow them to cope with temperature stress better than eulittoral species (see McMahon, 1990). Thus, eulittoral fringe rocky species are better able to regulate heat uptake and cope with heat stress than their eulittoral and low shore counterparts and this is complemented by different metabolic responses (see above).

In comparing different studies, some of these trends may be obscured or unclear as a result of differences in the methods followed. For example, McMahon (1990) and others measured oxygen consumption and heart rate at discrete intervals of 5°C, and this may have led to false conclusions concerning the effect of temperature on metabolism. Recently, Marshall and McQuaid (2010) and Marshall et al. (2010) measured oxygen consumption and heart rate continuously and this allowed the identification of distinct breakpoints in metabolism when temperature is continuously raised, that were not clear in other studies. They found clear indications of a thermally independent (thermoneutral) zone of metabolism, which was followed by a sharp increase (towards the species" upper limits temperature) and a decline (towards the critical maximum temperature) in heart rate and oxygen consumption. This indicates that these species can regulate their metabolism over a wide range of temperatures, before starting to increase their metabolism. Metabolic responses to temperature rise can depend on the rate of increase. For example, the time course of heat exposure was much faster in the study of Marshall et al. (2010) than in some previous investigations, but was chosen to mimic naturally encountered conditions. The rapid increase in temperature may have contributed to mixed responses observed in other species, especially for species that are not normally exposed to rapid and fluctuations temperatures.

The use of invasive techniques such drilling of the shell or surgical procedures in other studies (Bayne, 1973a; Butler *et al.*, 2004; Braby and Somero, 2006) may influence the findings by inducing additional stress. The development of non-invasive techniques such as the use of infrared sensors glued to the shell of animal (Depledge and Depledge, 1990, Aagaard *et al.*, 1991; McMahon, 1999; Chelazzi *et al.*, 1999; 2001) has allowed the
investigation of animal responses to heat stress with minimal treatment-induced stress. In some studies, animals were not allowed enough time to acclimate after handling or treatment (McMahon, 1999) and so may have shown different performance such as extremely high metabolic levels at the outset due to stress. Animals thus may have responded mainly to experimental stress, rather than heat stress. More recent experiments using non-invasive methods and more favourable experimental conditions indicate that a majority of animals are good regulators, often able to regulate metabolic rates at high temperatures (Sokolova and Pörtner, 2003; Marshall and McQuaid, 2010; Marshall *et al.*, 2010; 2011).

Together with a significant correlation between heart rate and metabolic rates in studied species (Marshall and McQuaid, 1992b; Santini *et al.*, 1999; 2000; Butler *et al.*, 2002; 2004), the development of non-invasive techniques has made heart rate monitoring more popular in ecophysiological studies of marine invertebrates such as molluscs, and crustaceans as well as fishes (Depledge and Depledge, 1990, Aagaard *et al.*, 1991; Briggs and Post, 1997; Calosi *et al.*, 2003). The method involves the use of distant monitoring of cardiac muscle volume (plethysmogram) based on the infrared illumination of the heart region and recording reflected light using a computerised system (Depledge and Depledge, 1990; Calosi *et al.*, 2003). In the current study, this approach was used to investigate the metabolic response of littorinid snails of the genera *Afrolittorina*, *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* to heat stress. Since the heart is the first organ to fail as temperature rises (see Somero, 2002; Pörtner and Knust, 2007; Iftikar *et al.*, 2010), the heart rate method offers an additional and/or alternative approach to oxygen consumption for measuring metabolic rates or activity.

Several other factors may alter the response to temperature so that under certain conditions a regulating animal may respond with non-regulation or *vice versa*. Apart from environmental factors such as oxygen level (Shumway and Marsden, 1982; Berschick *et al.*, 1987), salinity (Laird and Haefner Jr., 1976; Gyllenberg and Lundqvist, 1979; Williams *et al.*, 2011), chemicals (Camus *et al.*, 2004; Lannig *et al.*, 2006; 2008), carbon dioxide (Langenbuch and Pörtner, 2002; Christensen *et al.*, 2011), etc. These include size or age (Newell *et al.*, 1972; Dahlhoff *et al.*, 2002), sex (Vernberg and Moreira, 1974; Crear and Forteath, 2000) as well as the animals" health (Anderson, 1975a, b; Thompson, 1983; Curtis, 2002), feeding or nutritional status (Newell and Bayne, 1973; Branch *et al.*, 1988; Shumway *et al.*, 1993;

Robertson *et al.*, 2002), developmental stage (de Vooys, 1976; Spaargaren and Achituv, 1977; Hatcher *et al.*, 1997), and level of activity (Wallace, 1972b; Newell and Bayne, 1973; Steinhausen *et al.*, 2008), etc.

Marsden *et al.* (1973) found the metabolism of small shore crab *Carcinus maenas* less temperature dependent than that of large crabs, suggesting temperature independence in the former. Nutritional status is known to influence not only the level of metabolism, but temperature relationships of metabolism in littorinids and other marine animals (see Lewis, 1971; Bayne *et al.*, 1976). Animals infected or attacked by macroparasites such as trematodes are known to be less resistant to high temperature, as well as anoxia (Berger and Kharazova, 1997; Granovitch *et al.*, 2000; Huxham *et al.*, 2001; Bates *et al.*, 2011). Acclimation or previous thermal history (Vernberg, 1969; Newell and Pye, 1970b; McMahon *et al.*, 2007) have effects on animals' metabolic responses (De Pirro *et al.*, 1995). Thus, multiple endogenous and extrinsic factors can influence the type of temperature metabolic rate responses observed, and of course these factors can interact (see Newell, 1973; Newell and Roy, 1973; Hawkins, 1995; Aagaard, 1996; McMahon, 1999).

Other studies have explicitly overlooked patterns of regulation or non-regulation because they were interested in metabolic rate differences linked to medium (i.e. water versus air; McMahon and Russell-Hunter, 1977; Houlihan, 1979; Dye, 1987; Marshall and McQuaid, 1992a; Halpin and Martin, 1999), season (i.e. summer versus winter; Newell and Pye, 1970a, b; Shirley *et al.*, 1978; Marshall and McQuaid, 1994), activity (Newell *et al.*, 1979; Brown, 1979), biogeographic region (i.e. tropics versus temperate; Vernberg, 1959; 1969; Pickens, 1965; Innes and Houlihan, 1981; 1985; Lardies *et al.*, 2011), shore level (i.e. eulittoral fringe versus low shore; Burggren and McMahon, 1981; Brown and Da Silva, 1984; Bally, 1987), habitat (i.e. coastal versus estuarine; Vinagre *et al.*, 2012) or origin (i.e. invasive versus native species; Iftikar *et al.*, 2010). Examination of the original data in such studies indicates that in some cases there was an overlooked and unreported degree of temperature independence in certain species, supporting the idea of regulation in wide range of animals. For example, looking at the results of Isla and Perissinotto (2004), it is clear that both sexes of the estuarine copepod *Pseudodiaptomus hessei* partially regulated their basal metabolic rates.

Missing from our understanding of metabolic responses to temperature are the patterns of metabolic physiology of warm temperate and subtropical species as most of studies have been done on tropical and cold temperate species (McMahon, 1990; Sokolova and Pörtner, 2001b, 2003; Marshal and McQuaid, 2010; Marshall *et al.*, 2010; 2011). This chapter aims to address the metabolic physiology of *Afrolittorina* spp. that have distributions that overlap in the warm temperate region of South Africa. The objectives of this study were to compare the heart rate-temperature relationships of two co-existing southern African species of the genus *Afrolittorina*: *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis*. In addition, the responses of these *Afrolittorina* species were compared to those of subtropical and tropical species of *Echinolittorina* (*E. natalensis, E. malaccana* and *E. vidua*) and *Littoraria glabrata*. This allowed me to investigate the effects of phylogeography, species identity and biogeographic distribution on heart rate responses to heat stress.

An approach followed by Marshall *et al.* (2010) was used, and the objectives included determining whether metabolic independence of temperature differed among regions and how the response of individuals found in warm temperate regions compared to that found in other tropical and cool temperate regions. It was hypothesized that the heart performance of conspecific individuals of *Afrolittorina* from different biogeographic regions would be similar, but different from that of *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* species from subtropical and tropical zones. The results of this study will help to shed light whether the ability to regulate metabolism under conditions of changing temperature is fixed phylogenetically or is an adaptive response differing among individuals from different biogeographic regions. It will also shed light on how these species (and other ectotherms) will respond to climate change (especially temperature change) under a scenario of global warming.

# 4.2. Materials and Methods

#### 4.2.1. Study species

Six littorinid species of the genera *Afrolittorina*, *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* were used, namely: *A. knysnaensis*, *A. africana*, *E. natalensis*, *E. malaccana*, *E. vidua*, and *L. glabrata*. See Chapter 1 for species distribution ranges and patterns of vertical zonation as well as microhabitat use and aestivation behaviour.

#### 4.2.2. Collection and transportation

Specimens of *A. africana*, *A. knysnaensis*, *E. natalensis* and *L. glabrata* were collected from natural rocks at different sites (see Fig. 4.1 and Table 4.2) along the South Africa coast from September 2010 to March 2011. While *E. malaccana* and *E. vidua*, were collected from an artificial seawall at Jerudong, Brunei Darussalam (4°32'N; 114°43'E) in November 2009. Individuals of each species were collected from the highest shore levels at which the species occurred; thus, the eulittoral fringe and upper eulittoral which ranges between 2 m Chart Datum to around 5 m above the mean high water level (2.5 m CD), depending on locality. Similar sized individuals that were feeding or had fed within 12 hours (assumed to have fed since they were collected immediately after or during high tides) were returned to the laboratory in labelled plastic bags placed inside an insulated cool box.

#### 4.2.3. Handling and treatment conditions

On arrival at the laboratory, specimens were washed in seawater, allowed to emerge from their shells and to reattach to 8 cm lidded plastic Petri dishes or 2 L plastic containers before being exposed to air, when they exhibited behavioural emergence. Active animals were blotted dry with paper towel and dried using a fan at room temperature to induce aestivation before use or treatment. Specimens were kept on dry paper towel at room temperature (18-22°C) before immediate use, or kept in a fan blown incubator (Memmert UFE 500, Schwabach, Germany) set at 30°C until later use, within 3 hours. These specimens were also used to investigate the effects of acclimation on heart performance.



Figure 4.1. Map of South Africa showing sampling sites (see Table 4.2) for littorinid snails of the genera *Afrolittorina*, *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* used for heart function experiments.

Table 4.2. Sampling sites for littorinid snails of the genera Afrolittorina, Echinolittorina andLittoraria from South Africa used for heart function experiments.

| Bioregion      | Site (Abbreviation)     | Species samples                             |  |  |
|----------------|-------------------------|---|--|--|
|                |                         |   |  |  |
| Subtropical    | 1. Ballito (BA)         | A. africana; E. natalensis; L. glabrata     |  |  |
|                | 2. Umhlanga (ML)        | A. africana; E. natalensis; L. glabrata     |  |  |
|                | 3. Port Edward (PE)     | A. knysnaensis; A. africana; E. natalensis; |  |  |
|                |                         | L. glabrata                                 |  |  |
|                | 4. Port St. Johns (PJ)  | A. knysnaensis; A. africana; E. natalensis; |  |  |
|                |                         | L. glabrata                                 |  |  |
|                | 5. East London (EL)     | A. knysnaensis; A. africana; E. natalensis; |  |  |
|                |                         | L. glabrata                                 |  |  |
| Warm temperate | 6. Hamburg (HU)         | A. knysnaensis; A. africana                 |  |  |
|                | 7. Fish river (FR)      | A. knysnaensis; A. africana                 |  |  |
|                | 8. Riet river (RR)      | A. knysnaensis; A. africana                 |  |  |
|                | 9. Port Alfred (PA)     | A. knysnaensis; A. africana                 |  |  |
|                | 10. Kenton-on-Sea (KOS) | A. knysnaensis; A. africana                 |  |  |
|                | 11. Bushmans river (BU) | A. knysnaensis; A. africana                 |  |  |
|                | 12. Port Elizabeth (NN) | A. knysnaensis; A. africana                 |  |  |
|                | 13. Mossel Bay (MBB)    | A. knysnaensis; A. africana                 |  |  |
| Cool temperate | 14. Cape Agulhas (CA)   | A. knysnaensis                              |  |  |
|                | 15. Buffalos Bay (BB)   | A. knysnaensis                              |  |  |
|                | 16. Muizenberg (MU)     | A. knysnaensis                              |  |  |
|                | 17. Camps Bay (CB)      | A. knysnaensis                              |  |  |

In an attempt to investigate the effect of starvation on heart performance, specimens were left (emersed) on dry paper towel at room temperature (18-22°C) for 14 days or more without feeding. For heat shock experiments, 10 aestivating individuals of each species were placed in a 20 ml dry container that was immersed in a Grant programmable water bath (GP 200, Grant, Germany) set to 20°C. Temperature was increased in 5°C increments over 10 minute intervals to reach 45°C and left for 1 hour. Temperature inside the container was monitored using T-type thermocouples (Cromega and ADInstruments, Australia). After 1 hour at 45°C, the container was removed from the water bath and allowed to cool for 2 hours prior to running the experiments.

An attempt was also made to investigate the effect of repeated exposure on heart performance using *Afrolittorina* species. A similar procedure as for the heat shock experiments (see above) was used, except that temperature was raised at 0.25°C min<sup>-1</sup> between 20 and 45°C after 20-30 min at 20°C. Once at 45°C, animals were taken out of the water bath and left in air at room temperature (approx. 20°C) to recover before treatment. For the experimental treatment, animals where either left with sensors attached at (1) room temperature or (2) 30°C for 1-3 days (no feeding), or (3) "feeding" for 1-3 days at room temperature (no sensors attached) before final exposure.

#### 4.2.4. Heart rate measurements

Heart rate measurements were based on aestivated snails held and treated in different ways (see above); and each replicate comprised a pair of two snails. Occasionally, behavioural emergence or aestivation were quickly induced prior to measurements. Heart rate was measured in dry air by wrapping animals in dry paper towel, and enclosing them in dry plastic bags to avoid wetting by water in the waterbath. At the start of an experiment, plastic bags containing snails were placed in a Grant programmable water bath (GP 200, Grant, Germany) set to 20°C.

Heart performance was recorded using non-invasive plethysmography (see Depledge and Anderson, 1990). Optoelectronic (infrared) reflective-sensors (Vishay Semiconductors, V69 CNY70 732/735, Germany) were adhered to the shells of isolated snails near the mantle cavity with Blue-Tac or Prestick (Bostick Ltd, United Kingdom). Signals from the sensors were amplified and filtered with a custom-built preamplifier, and then digitally-logged/recorded with a computerised recording system (PowerLab/4SP and 4/30, Chart version 5 and 7, ADInstruments, Australia). Sampling rate was set at 40 Hz and the amplitude varied between 40 and 1000 mV. An additional smoothed trace (Triangular-Bartlett smoothing) was derived on a separate channel, and this was used in further analyses.

Except for few cases when *Afrolittorina* spp. were exposed to a slower rate of 0.5°C min<sup>-1</sup>, experimental temperature was raised at 0.25°C min<sup>-1</sup> between 20 and 55°C, after 20-30 min at 20°C by the GP 200 programmable water bath. Temperature was monitored and recorded every 1 min using the same PowerLab recording system and a thermocouple pod (T-type pod, ADInstruments, Australia) fitted with a fine K-type thermocouple (Cromega and ADInstruments, Australia), and a Fluke 54II Thermometer (Fluke Corporation, USA) fitted with a T-type thermocouple (Fluke Corporation and Cromega) inserted into the plastic bags with the animals. Heart rate, in beats per minutes (bpm), was logged every 1 minute for snails under constant heating (0.25 or 0.50°C min<sup>-1</sup>) between 20 and 55°C, after an initial 20-30 min at 20°C. Temperatures used in experiments were within the broad range of actual body temperatures measured in field (unpub. data). Once an animals'' heart had failed, it was taken out of the water bath and left in air at room temperature to assess recovery (for repeated exposure experiments only) of cardiac function. Recovery and mortality rates were determined 12-24 hours after exposure. At the end of each run, snail shell length in millimeters (mm) was determined using Vernier Callipers to the nearest of 0.02 mm.

#### 4.2.5. Data analysis

Sample sizes for the various experiments and treatments are presented in Table 4.3. Heart rates (bpm) were plotted against temperature using Sigma Plot version 10.0 (SPSS Inc.). The temperature ranges used for determining the first breakpoint (the temperatures at which thermoneutrality was lost) were 30 to 38°C and 38 to 46°C, and the second breakpoint (temperatures at which heart rate show a sharp decrease; Arrhenius Breakpoint) were 40 to 48°C for *Afrolittorina* species and 46 to 57°C for *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria*. Temperature ranges used to determine breakpoints were based on the results of preliminary analyses where breakpoints were found to lie within these ranges. Pairwise linear regression with breakpoints (Statistica 10, Statsoft) was used to determine the breakpoints using recorded heart beat (independent variable) and temperature (dependent variable), endpoints were determined manually from edited heart rate data, and figures were drawn using Sigma Plot version 10.0.

Table 4.3. Proportions of regulating and non-regulating littorinid snails of the genera *Afrolittorina*, *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* from tropical, subtropical and temperate regions of Brunei Darussalam and South Africa.

| Таха           | Bioregion      | Experiment      | Proportion in number and percentage |                |       |  |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|-------|--|
|                |                | or<br>Treatment | (%)                                 |                |       |  |
|                |                |                 | Regulating                          | Non-regulating | Total |  |
| A. knysnaensis | All            | Normal          | 142 (67.9)                          | 67 (32.1)      | 209   |  |
|                | Cool temperate | Normal          | 42 (76.4)                           | 13 (23.6)      | 55    |  |
|                | Warm temperate | Normal          | 100 (64.9)                          | 54 (35.1)      | 154   |  |
|                | All            | Starved         | 6 (75.0)                            | 2 (25.0)       | 8     |  |
|                | All            | Shocked         | 6 (50.0)                            | 6 (50.0)       | 12    |  |
|                | Warm           | Chronic         | 15 (83.3)                           | 3 (17.7)       | 18    |  |
|                | All            | 20°C acclimated | 10 (52.6)                           | 9 (47.4)       | 19    |  |
|                | All            | 30°C acclimated | 11 (55.0)                           | 9 (45.0)       | 20    |  |
| A. africana    | All            | Normal          | 88 (53.0)                           | 78 (47.0)      | 166   |  |
|                | Subtropical    | Normal          | 18 (50.0)                           | 18 (50.0)      | 36    |  |
|                | Warm           | Normal          | 70 (53.8)                           | 60 (46.2)      | 130   |  |
|                | All            | Starved         | 2 (18.2)                            | 9 (81.8)       | 11    |  |
|                | All            | Shocked         | 5 (41.6)                            | 7 (58.4)       | 12    |  |
|                | Warm           | Chronic         | 7 (63.6)                            | 4 (46.4)       | 11    |  |
|                | All            | 20°C acclimated | 9 (47.4)                            | 10 (52.6)      | 19    |  |
|                | All            | 30°C acclimated | 11 (55.0)                           | 9 (45.0)       | 20    |  |
| L. glabrata    | Subtropical    | Normal          | 10 (62.5)                           | 6 (37.5)       | 16    |  |
|                | Subtropical    | Starved         | 4 (66.7)                            | 2 (33.3)       | 6     |  |
|                | Subtropical    | 20°C acclimated | 6 (75.0)                            | 2 (25.0)       | 8     |  |
|                | Subtropical    | 30°C acclimated | 7 (70.0)                            | 3 (30.0)       | 10    |  |
| E. natalensis  | Subtropical    | Normal          | 33 (73.3)                           | 12 (26.7)      | 45    |  |
|                | Subtropical    | Starved         | 5 (62.5)                            | 3 (37.5)       | 8     |  |
|                | Subtropical    | Shocked         | 1 (100)                             | -              | 1     |  |
|                | Subtropical    | 20°C acclimated | 9 (56.3)                            | 7 (43.7)       | 16    |  |
|                | Subtropical    | 30°C acclimated | 10 (66.7)                           | 5 (33.3)       | 15    |  |
| E. vidua       | Tropical       | Normal          | 18 (90.0)                           | 2 (10.0)       | 20    |  |
| E. malaccana   | Tropical       | Normal          | 19 (95.0)                           | 1 (5.0)        | 20    |  |

# 4.3. Results

## 4.3.1. Effect of region, phylogeny and ecology on heart performance

Results of different experiments show that region, phylogeny and ecology all affect heart performance of the species investigated (see below).

#### 4.3.1.1. Are there regional or phylogenetic differences in stress response patterns?

There were patterns of both thermal independence from temperature and dependence of heart rate on temperature in the species investigated. The tropical *Echinolittorina* species showed thermal independence while the subtropical and temperate *Echinolittorina*, *Littoraria* and *Afrolittorina* species showed both thermal dependence and independence of heart rate on temperature (Fig. 4.2). Overall, the subtropical *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* species tended to show thermal independence overall, but there were exceptions. The *Afrolittorina* spp. showed high individual variability, some individuals exhibiting thermal independence, while others did not.

The point at which the heart rate became independent of temperature was higher for tropical and subtropical (approximately for 21-23°C) than for the temperate (approximately 14°C) species. There was also a difference in the proportions of individuals that showed thermal independence and dependence in the studied species (see Fig. 4.3 and Table 4.3). For thermal independence, the trend was for higher values (approximately 90-95%) for tropical species, followed by the subtropical (approximately 62-73%) and temperate (approximately 53-67%) species, respectively. On the other hand, for thermal dependence, the trend was reversed: temperate species (approximately 33-47%), followed by subtropical (approximately 27-37%) and tropical (approximately 5-10%) species, respectively.



Figure 4.2. Heart patterns of regulating (A) and non-regulating (B) *E. malaccana* (red), *E. vidua* (green), *E. natalensis* (blue), *L. glabrata* (pink), *A. africana* (cyan) and *A. knysnaensis* (black). Traces are means of the best five selected individuals" traces.



Figure 4.3. Proportions in percentage (%) of regulating and non-regulating members of *E. malaccana*, *E. vidua*, *E. natalensis*, *L. glabrata*, *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis* from tropical, subtropical and temperate regions of Brunei Darussalam and South Africa.

Heart rate varied during constant increase in temperature and showed distinct breakpoints and endpoints. The first breakpoint, the Thermoneutral Breakpoint Temperature (TBP) at which heart function became independent of temperature change occurred at 43 and 41°C and the second, the Arrhenius Breakpoint Temperature (ABT), the temperatures at which heart rate showed a sharp decrease occurred at 54 and 50°C (see Table 4.4) for tropical *E. malaccana* and *E. vidua* respectively; while the subtropical *E. natalensis* and *L. glabrata* both had TBPs at 41°C and ABTs at 49°C. The two *Afrolittorina* species had similar TBPs and ABTs at 34°C and 44°C (see Table 4.4). The Endpoint Temperature (EPT), the temperature at which heart function ceased, occurred at 59.6, 57.0, 57.0, 53, 51 and 49°C for *E. malaccana*, *E. vidua*, *E. natalensis*, *L. glabrata*, *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis*, respectively, showing a clear ranking of tropical > subtropical > temperate species.

Table 4.4. Breakpoints and Endpoints temperatures of littorinid snails of the genera *Afrolittorina*, *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* from tropical, subtropical and temperate regions of Brunei Darussalam and South Africa.

| Species        | Region         | Treatment   | Response       | Break and Endpoints |       |       |
|----------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|---------------------|-------|-------|
|                |                |             |                | TBTs                | ABTs  | EPTs  |
|                |                |             |                |                     |       |       |
| A. knysnaensis | All            | Not treated | Regulating     | 34.10               | 44.10 | 47.99 |
|                | All            | Not treated | Non-Regulating | -                   | 44.10 | 49.20 |
|                | Cool temperate | Not treated | Regulating     | 34.10               | 43.11 | 47.32 |
|                | Cool temperate | Not treated | Non-Regulating | -                   | 44.14 | 47.70 |
|                | Warm temperate | Not treated | Regulating     | 34.10               | 43.11 | 48.00 |
|                | Warm temperate | Not treated | Non-Regulating | -                   | 44.14 | 49.2  |
|                | All            | Starved     | Regulating     | 33.99               | 44.05 | 49.01 |
|                | All            | Starved     | Non-Regulating | -                   | 44.05 | 49.71 |
|                | All            | Shocked     | Regulating     | 34.5                | 44.5  | 49.87 |
|                | All            | Shocked     | Non-Regulating | -                   | 44.5  | 48.84 |
|                | Warm temperate | Chronic     | Regulating     | 38.5                | 45.5  | 50.50 |
|                | Warm temperate | Chronic     | Non-Regulating | -                   | 45.5  | 50.90 |
| A. africana    | All            | Not treated | Regulating     | 34.10               | 44.10 | 50.56 |
| 0              | All            | Not treated | Non-Regulating | -                   | 44.10 | 50.80 |
|                | Subtropical    | Not treated | Regulating     | 34.10               | 44.05 | 50.52 |
|                | Subtropical    | Not treated | Non-Regulating | -                   | 44.05 | 50.80 |
|                | Warm temperate | Not treated | Regulating     | 34.10               | 44.05 | 50.57 |
|                | Warm temperate | Not treated | Non-Regulating | -                   | 44.05 | 50.80 |
|                | All            | Starved     | Regulating     | 33.99               | 44.05 | 50.75 |
|                | All            | Starved     | Non-Regulating | -                   | 44.05 | 50.51 |
|                | All            | Shocked     | Regulating     | 34.5                | 44.5  | 51.80 |
|                | All            | Shocked     | Non-Regulating | -                   | 44.5  | 50.04 |
|                | Warm temperate | Chronic     | Regulating     | 38.5                | 45.5  | 51.30 |
|                | Warm temperate | Chronic     | Non-Regulating | -                   | 45.5  | 50.50 |
| L. glabrata    | Subtropical    | Not treated | Regulating     | 41.05               | 49.06 | 52.9  |
| 0              | Subtropical    | Not treated | Non-Regulating | -                   | 49.06 | 52.9  |
|                | Subtropical    | Starved     | Regulating     | 41.98               | 49.06 | 52.70 |
|                | Subtropical    | Starved     | Non-Regulating | -                   | 49.06 | 52.60 |
| E. natalensis  | Subtropical    | Not treated | Regulating     | 41.05               | 48.5  | 52.9  |
|                | Subtropical    | Not treated | Non-Regulating | -                   | 49.14 | 54.60 |
|                | Subtropical    | Starved     | Regulating     | 41.98               | 49.11 | 56.5  |
|                | Subtropical    | Starved     | Non-Regulating | -                   | 49.11 | 52.9  |
|                | Subtropical    | Shocked     | Regulating     | 42.6                | 49.11 | 54.5  |
| E. vidua       | Tropical       | Not treated | Regulating     | 41.00               | 50.6  | 57.00 |
|                |                |             |                |                     |       |       |
| E. malaccana   | Tropical       | Not treated | Regulating     | 43.02               | 54.10 | 59.6  |

TBTs = Thermoneutral Breakpoint Temperatures; ABTs = Arrhenius Breakpoint Temperatures; EPTs = Endpoint Temperatures.

The heart beat (expressed as beats per minutes; bpm), measured within each species" thermal limits, showed a trend of higher values of maximum heart rate at the ABT (mean of approximately 170 bpm) for tropical species, followed by the subtropical (mean of approximately 160 bpm) and temperate (mean of approximately 110 bpm) species, respectively (Fig. 4.2).

## 4.3.1.2. Do species from same region show the same response patterns?

There were differences between species within all three regions. In the tropics, the eulittoral fringe *E. malaccana* showed stronger regulation (i.e. this was based on the slope of heart rate over the thermoneutral zone; weak regulators had a slope that was more different from zero) of heart rate than the upper eulittoral zone to lower eulittoral fringe *E. vidua*. The temperatures at which the heart rate was independent of temperature were also higher (approximately 23°C) for *E. malaccana* than for *E. vidua* (approximately 21°C) and *E. malaccana* had higher breakpoints and endpoints (see Fig 4.4A). In the subtropics, the eulittoral fringe to eulittoral zone *E. natalensis* and *L. glabrata* showed better regulation of heart than the eulittoral to *A. africana*, which showed mixed responses. The temperatures at which the heart rate was independent of temperatures at which the same TBTs, *E. natalensis* had higher EPTs than *L. glabrata* while *A. africana* showed lower TBTs and EPTs (Fig 4.4B).

In all these comparisons there is a confounding of species identity with height on shore. In contrast, members of the two *Afrolittorina* species from the warm temperate region co-exist at similar heights on the shore and in similar habitats. The two species showed similar response patterns, including similar thermoneutral zones (starting at approximately 14°C) and breakpoints, except for the EPTs which were marginally higher in *A. africana* than *A. knysnaensis* (Fig. 4.4C).



Figure 4.4. Heart patterns of regulating and non-regulating (A) tropical *E. malaccana* (red) and *E. vidua* (green), (B) subtropical *E. natalensis* (blue), *L. glabrata* (pink) and *A. africana* (cyan) and (C) warm temperate *A. africana* (cyan) and *A. knysnaensis* (black) species. Traces are means of best the five selected individuals" traces.

#### 4.3.1.3. Do species from the same genus show the same response patterns?

When comparing species of the same genera, it was clear that the tropical *Echinolittorina* species showed better regulation of heart rate than the subtropical *E. natalensis*, which showed both non-regulation and regulation depending on the individual. *E. malaccana* had higher TBTs, ABTs and EPTs than *E. vidua* and *E. natalensis*, which had similar TBTs, but different ABTs and EPTs to one another (see Fig. 4.5A). On the other hand, *Afrolittorina* species showed the same responses, i.e. the same heart patterns, TBTs and ABTs, except for EPTs, which were higher for *A. africana* than for *A. knysnaensis* (Fig. 4.5B).



Figure 4.5. Heart patterns of regulating and non-regulating (A) *Echinolittorina* species; *E. malaccana* (red), *E. vidua* (green) and *E. natalensis* (blue) and (B) *Afrolittorina* species; *A. africana* (cyan) and *A. knysnaensis* (black). Traces are means of the best five selected individuals" traces.

#### 4.3.1.4. Do species show the same responses in different regions?

Comparing the heart rates of *A. africana* from subtropical and warm temperate regions and of *A. knysnaensis* from cool and warm temperate regions revealed that there were no major differences in the response of conspecifics from different regions (Fig. 4.6). However, non-regulating *A. knysnaensis* from the warm temperate region showed slightly higher EPTs than the cool temperate populations. In addition, individuals of *A. knysnaensis* from the cool temperate region were more likely to show regulation than those from the warm temperate region (see Table 4.3). This was unexpected.



Figure 4.6. Heart patterns of regulating and non-regulating (A) *A. africana* from subtropical (solid lines) and warm temperate (dashed lines) regions and (B) *A. knysnaensis* from warm temperate (dashed lines) and cool temperate (solid lines) regions. Traces are means of the best five selected individuals" traces.

#### 4.3.1.5. Does the same individual show the same responses over repeated exposures?

With a few exceptions, most animals showed the same heart patterns when repeatedly exposed to heat stress, irrespective of pre-exposure treatment (Fig. 4.7.1 and 4.7.2). This suggests that patterns of heart rate response are characteristic of individuals, rather than being caused by the conditions to which that individual is subjected.



Figure 4.7.1. Heart patterns of animals of *A. africana* (right panel) and *A. knysnaensis* (left panel) (A, B) left on sensors; (C, D) allowed to "feed" for one day; and (E, F) allowed to "feed" for two days at room temperature (approximately 20°C) before final exposure. Each trace is from one individual of each species.



Figure 4.7.2. Heart patterns of animals of *A. africana* (right panel) and *A. knysnaensis* (left panel) (A, B) left on sensors at 30°C for 3 days; (D, E) left on sensors at room temperature for 2 days; and (C, F) allowed to "feed" for 3 days at room temperature (approximately 20°C). Each trace is from one individual of each species.

# 4.3.2. Effect of conditions on heart performance, particularly critical and threshold temperatures (breakpoints and endpoints).

Various experiments on *Afrolittorina* spp., *L. glabrata* and *E. natalensis* were used to investigate the effects of both environmental conditions and physiological state on heart performance. The data will also help in understanding the cause of high individual variability by eliminating various confounding factors.

#### 4.3.2.1. Fast (Acute) versus slow (chronic) increase in temperature

When comparing methods of exposure, it was clear that a slow increase in temperature (chronic exposure rate) induced stronger thermal independence than a rapid increase in temperature (acute exposure rate) (Fig. 4.8). In addition, the chronic-exposed individuals regulated across a range of approximately 18°C, compared to approximately 14°C for acute-exposed individuals (Fig. 4.8). There were also noticeable shifts in breakpoints; the TBPs shifted by approximately 4.5°C from 34.0 to 38.5°C, and the ABTs by 1.3°C from 44.5 to 46.0°C in both *Afrolittorina* spp. (see Table 4.4). But the EPTs were the same for acute- and chronic-exposed (see Table 4.4).



Figure 4.8. Heart patterns of regulating and non-regulating *A. africana* (cyan) and *A. knysnaensis* (black) after (A) fast and (B) slow exposure rate. Traces are means of the best five selected individuals" traces.

#### 4.3.2.2. Acclimation temperature

The temperatures at which animals were acclimated for 7-14 days or longer had no effect on heart rate patterns; thus animals acclimated at 20°C showed the same responses (thermal dependence or independence, similar breakpoints and endpoints) to those acclimated at 30°C (see Fig 4.9 and Table 4.4).



Figure 4.9. Heart patterns of (A) regulating and (B) non-regulating laboratory acclimated individuals of *E. natalensis* (blue), *L. glabrata* (pink), *A. africana* (cyan) and *A. knysnaensis* (black). Traces are means of the best five selected individuals' traces. Animals were acclimated at room (approximately 20 °C; dotted lines) and 30 °C (solid lines) for at least 14 days before use.

#### 4.3.2.3. Heat shock

Exposure to abrupt heat stress (heat shock) had no effect on heart patterns; thus animals exposed to heat shock showed the same responses (thermal dependence or independence, similar breakpoints and endpoints) as non-shocked conspecifics (see Fig 4.10 and Table 4.4). The sole exception was that the ABT for *E. natalensis* increased by 1°C when it was heat shocked, but this was recorded for a single individual.



Figure 4.10. Heart patterns of (A) regulating and (B) non-regulating heat shocked *A. africana* (cyan), *A. knysnaensis* (black), and *E. natalensis* (blue). Traces are means of the best five selected individuals" traces, except for *E. natalensis* where one individual was used.

## 4.3.2.4. Starvation

Starvation for 14 days or more had no effect on heart rate patterns, breakpoint and endpoint temperatures when compared to non-starved animals (see Fig 4.11 and Table 4.4), indicating that the ability to regulate was not under the influence of nutritional status.



Figure 4.11. Heart patterns of (A) regulating and (B) non-regulating starved *A. africana* (cyan), *A. knysnaensis* (black), *E. natalensis* (blue) and *L. glabrata* (pink). Traces are means of the best five selected individuals" traces.

# 4.4. Discussion and conclusions

Environmental temperature change that might be of ecological significance influences the metabolic rates of intertidal animals, including littorinid snails (see Table 4.1). The influence of temperature on metabolic rates is of particular relevance to the animals in intertidal zones, especially those from temperate regions where there is strong seasonal variation in temperatures compared to the tropics and polar regions (see Clarke, 1993a; Brockington and Clarke, 2001; Stillman, 2003; Clarke and Gaston, 2006; Lannig *et al.*, 2010; Pörtner, 2010). In addition, temperate regions are expected to experience a much greater magnitude of global warming than other regions (see Lozano *et al.*, 2004; Caddy-Retalic *et al.*, 2011; Christensen *et al.*, 2011).

Thus, in the light of rapid global warming where the mean air and sea surface temperatures as well as solar radiation have risen and are predicted to rise in the coming years, the question arises as to how intertidal animals will deal with temperature change in their habitats. This may be especially problematic for intertidal organisms such as littorinids as they live in harsh and fluctuating environments (see McMahon, 1990; 2001b; Emson *et al.*, 2002; Muñoz *et al.*, 2008; Judge *et al.*, 2011). Increased temperatures will have impacts on physiological processes such as metabolism, particularly for ectotherms as their performance is strongly under the influence of environmental temperature (see Hawkins, 1995; Sagarin *et al.*, 1999; McCarty, 2001; Pörtner and Knust, 2007; Helmuth *et al.*, 2010).

Littorinid snails are ectothermic but, as for other marine species, there is no general consensus on how littorinid metabolic rates respond to temperature. Some studies suggest partial or total temperature dependence, while others suggest temperature-independence of metabolic rates. Marshall *et al.* (2010; 2011) studied the metabolic rates of the tropical eulittoral fringe *E. malaccana* and concluded that it regulates both heart rate and oxygen consumption across a range of temperatures. On the other hand, Sokolova and Pörtner (2003) showed that even populations of *Littorina saxatilis* from sub-Arctic White Sea shows some degree of metabolic regulation (although they did not make anything of it) while those from the cold temperate North Sea did not. Both regulation and non-regulation of metabolic rate have been shown in other littorinid snails and intertidal animals (see Table 4.1).

Preliminary results for heart rates of *E. malaccana* and *E. vidua* from Brunei Darussalam showed thermal independence from 20°C up to the thermoneutral breakpoint (41 or 43°C, respectively). In contrast, *Afrolittorina* spp. showed mixed responses, which included thermal dependence, partial-independence and independence. It seems that the capacity to depress metabolism and save energy through metabolic depression and thermal independence is fundamental to the physiology of many littorinid species, and is presumably linked to the energetic capacity to withstand long periods of emersion and inactivity. Not only is the capacity for depression of metabolism important in this respect, so too is the capacity to maintain depressed metabolic rates across a range of temperatures, the thermoneutral zone. Marshall *et al.* (2011) have highlighted the ecological and evolutionary significances of the thermoneutral zone to *Echinolittorina*; and this may apply to other littorinids and ectotherms.

Although *Afrolittorina* spp. showed mixed responses, there was some consistency in the limits of thermoneutrality and other threshold temperatures, as for tropical species. Tropical *E. malaccana* and *E. vidua* showed higher limits and threshold temperatures than the temperate *Afrolittorina* spp. However, there is no work to show how the limits and threshold temperatures generally vary among littorinids species or other marine ectotherms. The Arrhenius Breakpoint temperature has been shown to vary among porcelain crabs of the genus *Petroliathes* (Stillman and Somero, 1996; 1999) and among closely-related snails of the genus *Tegula* (Stenseng, 2005; Stenseng *et al*, 2005); the differences between species coinciding with the different thermal regimes under which they live. Such comparisons of closely related species (in my case *Afrolittorina* or *Echinolittorina* spp.) from different environments or temperature regimes separates differences in environmental adaptations from differences in phylogenetic history because more closely related species tend to have more similar ecologies than do more distantly related species.

The littorinid snails studied here have distinct geographical and vertical distribution patterns that were hypothesized to be reflected in differences in metabolic response to heat stress. Although genus and biogeography are to some extent confounded in the case of *Afrolittorina* and *Echinolittorina*, as expected, the six studied species demonstrated different types of response to heat stress, suggesting differences in heart performance in species from different regions. The tropical species showed good regulation of heart rate while the subtropical and

temperate species showed mixed responses characterized by high individual variability. Interesting was the difference in times taken to induce good regulation and complete regulation.

The tropical species took minutes to a few hours to induce good regulation with complete regulation within 3 days of acclimation at 30°C, while the subtropical and temperate species took days to induce good regulation, some failing to show complete regulation even after days of acclimation at 30°C. In addition, the heart rates of the six species examined showed distinct limits and threshold temperatures, with a trend of thresholds and limits decreasing in the order of tropics to the subtropics to temperate regions. Thus, my heart rate data show fundamentally different metabolic strategies for temperate species compared to subtropical and tropical species, and this is due to differences in physiological adaptation to high temperatures exposure. However, *A. africana* is an exception, explained by its restriction to lower levels on the shore in the subtropics (pers. obs.).

Similar patterns of regulation and non-regulation or mixed responses, and shifts in limits and thresholds temperatures due to adaptations occur in other members of the family Littorinidae and other marine animals (see below). Few studies have looked at the metabolic rates of conspecific animals from different regions or latitudes (Vernberg, 1959; 1969; Pickens, 1965; Vernberg and Moreira, 1974; Pulgar *et al.*, 2006; 2007; Rastrick and Whiteley, 2011). In most cases they explicitly overlooked patterns of regulation/non-regulation because they were interested in: 1) the metabolic differences (i.e. magnitude) between regions (e.g. tropics versus temperate; Vernberg, 1959; 1969; Innes and Houlihan, 1985; Johnston *et al.*, 1991; Whiteley *et al.*, 1997; Braby and Somero, 2006) or 2) the difference in threshold (e.g. ABTs) and limit (e.g. EPTs) temperatures (Dahlhoff *et al.*, 1991; Dahlhoff and Somero, 1993b; Weinstein and Somero, 1998; Stillman, 2004).

The main findings are that animals from cold environments (e.g. temperate and low shore species) show higher metabolic rates, and lower thresholds and limits than those from warm environments (e.g. tropical and high shore species) when measured at the same temperatures (Vernberg, 1959; 1969; Pickens, 1965; Vernberg and Vernberg, 1966; Anderson, 1978;

Emmerson, 1990; Calosi *et al.*, 2007; Whiteley *et al.*, 2011). For example, Pulgar *et al.* (2006) found that juveniles of the fish *Girella laevifrons* from the southern populations showed higher oxygen consumption than those from northern populations. Hilbish *et al.* (1994) found a slightly higher metabolic rate for the warm water mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* than for the cold water *M. edulis.* Stenseng (2005) and Stenseng *et al.* (2005) found that the high intertidal species *Tegula funebralis* showed higher threshold (ABT) and limit (EPT) temperatures than the low to mid intertidal congeners, *T. brunnea* and *T. montereyi.* 

Sokolova and Pörtner (2003) compared *Littorina saxatilis* from different regions and found the ability to regulate differed. Where other comparisons were made, the results were confounded by species identity since species are rarely found in more than one region. A shortcoming of most physiological studies has been the lack of a broad comparative analysis of a large number of species that, while phylogenetically closely related, are adapted to a broad range of temperatures (see Sokolova and Pörtner, 2001a; Whiteley *et al.*, 2011). Most studies have been done on animals from different shore levels or habitats (e.g. eulittoral fringes versus low shores; Hawkins *et al.*, 1978; Stillman and Somero, 1996; Somero, 2002; Dong and Williams, 2011), or focused on a single species from one region (Newell and Pye, 1971a, b; Marshall and McQuaid, 2010; Marshall *et al.*, 2010; 2011). Where regulation occurs, animals tend to regulate over the temperature ranges experienced in their habitats, with a trend of low for polar to high for tropical species (see Vernberg and Vernberg, 1966; 1969; McMahon and Russell-Hunter, 1977; McMahon, 1990; Eshky and Ba-Akdhah, 1992; Jansen *et al.*, 2007). However, confounding species identity with geography or region makes comparison between different studies difficult or impossible.

The differences in temperature responses might be related to the effect of many factors and conditions (see below). For example, Sokolova and Pörtner (2003) found that populations of *L. saxatilis* from sub-Arctic White Sea that experience larger fluctuations in conditions regulated their oxygen consumption, but the cold temperature North Sea populations that experience lesser fluctuation conditions did not. In Zakhartsev *et al.* (2003), the common eelpout *Zoarces viviparus* from Baltic Sea and North Sea populations partially regulated oxygen consumption, while those from Norwegian Sea populations did not. Different

developmental stages of crab species and other crustaceans showed different temperature metabolic rate responses, which is explained by differences in the habitats exploited by different stages (see Sastry and McCarthy, 1973; Moreira *et al.*, 1981; Gutermuth and Armstrong, 1989; Agard, 1999; Brown and Terwilliger, 1999). Bulnheim (1979) found differences in the metabolic rate responses in the amphipods of the genus *Gammarus* from different habitats.

In addition, most studies have investigated the effects of a single environmental factor (e.g. temperature; see Sokolova and Pörtner, 2003; Stenseng *et al.*, 2005; Marshall *et al.*, 2010; 2011), overlooking the effects of multiple factors which might be of importance in nature (see Newell, 1973; Marsden, 1984; Hawkins, 1995; Tully *et al.*, 2000; Chelazzi *et al.*, 2001; Melatunan *et al.*, 2011). Cheung and Lam (1995) found that salinity, either alone or in combination with temperature, affects temperature metabolic responses. Brown and Terwilliger (1999) found that oxygen uptake by the megalopa of the crab *Cancer magister* increased at 20°C under low compared to normal salinities, while salinity levels had no effect on oxygen uptake of other developmental stages at either 10 or 20°C. This was also true for the American oyster *Crassostrea virginica*, where low salinity increased the effect of temperature on oxygen consumption (Shumway and Koehn, 1982).

On the other hand, Nelson *et al.* (1977) found that the temperature metabolic response of juveniles of the prawn *M. rosenbergii* was unaffected by salinity. Although most studies of the effects of CO<sub>2</sub> or hypercapnia on temperature metabolic responses show different results, there is a general trend of reducing of limits and thresholds (see Walther *et al.*, 2009; Lannig *et al.*, 2010; Christensen *et al.*, 2011). This is also true for the effects of pollutants or chemicals (see Rao and Khan, 2000; Cherkasov *et al.*, 2006; Sokolova and Lannig, 2008). For example, Lannig *et al.* (2008) found that the standard metabolic rate and heart rate of cadmium-exposed eastern oysters, *Crassostrea virginica*, was strongly temperature dependent as compared to the control group during acute warming from 20 to 28°C.

Differences in methods and treatments used are also problematic in comparing studies. For example, Marshall *et al.* (2010 and 2011) raised temperature continuously from 20 to 60°C (the approach taken here), while in other studies it was raised in increments of 5°C every 5 or

10 minutes (see Bulnheim, 1979; McMahon, 1990; Sokolova and Pörtner, 2003; Tian *et al.*, 2004). In addition, some of the studies used the  $Q_{10}$  (the factor by which a physiological process changes with a 10°C rise in temperature) value method, which results in further confusion (see Vernberg and Vernberg, 1966; McMahon and Russell-Hunter, 1977; Innes and Houlihan, 1985; Eshky and Ba-Akdhah, 1992; Clarke and Johnston, 1999; Iftikar *et al.*, 2010). Newell and Pye (1971a) found that the active metabolism (measured as oxygen consumption) of the winkle *Littorina littorea* was temperature dependent, while the standard metabolism was independent of temperature (see below). In a different study however, this same species showed temperature independence of active metabolism (see Newell, 1969; Newell and Pye, 1970a, b). Other explanations for differences in responses might relate to the effect of previous thermal history (acclimation or acclimatization), experimental conditions, and nutritional status as well as the animal"s health, developmental stage and physiological condition (see below).

Differences between species were also seen within regions. In the tropics, the eulittoral fringe *E. malaccana* showed stronger regulation with a wider thermoneutral zone and higher thresholds and limits than *E. vidua*, which occurs rather lower on the shore. The time course for induction of regulation and complete regulation was faster in *E. malaccana* than *E. vidua*, and this can also be related to their position on the shore. Animals that occupy the higher shore show more rapid compensation of metabolic rates than those found at lower levels (see Burggren and McMahon, 1981), and this was true for the induction of regulation in the above species. This is also supported by the results of studies on lethal temperature limits of the two species which found higher tolerances limits for *E. malaccana* than for *E. vidua* (see Cleland and McMahon, 1986; Mak, 1996; Lee and Lim, 2009; Marshall *et al.*, 2011). In addition to their differences in position on the shore, the two species also show different preferences for humidity. For example, although both species are found on open rock surfaces, *E. vidua* seems to prefer humid surfaces, making it less exposed to heat stress.

Likewise among the subtropical species, *E. natalensis* and *Littoraria glabrata* extend higher on the shore and show better regulation of heart rate than *A. africana*. The ability of *E. natalensis* and *L. glabrata* to show better regulation suggests that the two species are of tropical origin (see Reid, 1989; 1996; 2007; Inness-Campbell *et al.*, 2003; Williams and Reid, 2004, Torres *et al.*, 2008; Reid *et al.*, 2010; 2012), and thus adapted to higher temperatures. *E. natalensis* induced regulation faster than *L. glabrata* and *A. africana*, and this might be explained by their ability to use different microhabitats. For instance, *L. glabrata* prefers shaded and humid environments whereas *E. natalensis* can live on open rock as well as in cervices (pers. obs.). Thus, *L. glabrata* minimizes the effects of extreme temperature variation during daylight emersion by remaining in cooler, more thermally stable microhabitats under exposed rock surfaces and crevices.

On the other hand *A. africana* is frequently found around the margins of shallow and temporary pools in the subtropics (pers. obs.) which might be cooler and more stable. The difference in responses was also noticed in the limits and threshold temperatures which were higher for the two eulittoral species than for *A. africana*. Although the two eulittoral species had the same thresholds, *E. natalensis*, which occurs in more exposed habitats, had higher lethal limits than *L. glabrata*, which prefers shaded and humid microhabitats.

In the warm temperate region, the two *Afrolittorina* spp. showed similar responses characterized by high individual variability. Both species took days to induce good regulation and not all individuals regulated after acclimation at 30°C. Similarity in response patterns is expected since the two species co-exist in the warm temperate region where they occupy the same levels and microhabitats on the shore (pers. obs.). Although *Afrolittorina* spp. showed similar thresholds, lethal limits were 2°C higher in *A. africana*, and this correlates with their geographical distributions (see below). McQuaid and Scherman (1988) and my results on thermal tolerance (see Chapter 3) found a difference of 1-2°C in lethal (LT<sub>50</sub>) limits between the two species, with higher tolerance in *A. africana*.

Unexpected was the higher number of individuals of *A. knysnaensis* than of *A. africana* that showed regulation. Although the sample sizes were rather different between *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis*, the number for *A. africana* was still reasonable (ca. 100), lending confidence in this unexpected result. Colour differences might have contributed to the high proportion of regulating specimens of *A. knysnaensis*. In the field, the dark-coloured *A. knysnaensis* is expected to absorb more solar radiation and heat up to a greater degree than the light-coloured *A. africana* (see Marshall and Scherman; 1988; McQuaid, 1992; 1996a), resulting in

different metabolic responses. Thus, *A. knysnaensis* is expected to show better response than the blue-grey *A. africana*.

It must be noted that eventhough black bodies are known to absorb larger fraction of solar radiation (see Wilkens and Fingerman, 1965; Markel, 1971; Phifer-Rixey *et al.*, 2008; Miller and Denny, 2011), it remains near the surface and is easily removed by either re-radiation or convection or air cooling (see Britton and Morton, 2003; Miller and Denny, 2011; Marshall and Chua, 2012). This might have been the case in *A. knysnaensis* since the body temperature of both species did not differ irrespective of colour differences (unpub. data). An alternative explanation might be that the results for *A. knysnaensis* include specimens from cool temperate region which experience more widely fluctuating conditions. Thus, intense upwelling resulting in rapid and marked fluctuations in water temperatures in the cool temperate region might have resulted in regulation in a high proportion of individuals from that region.

The problem of course is to separate the confounded effects of species identity and the biogeographic regions where the species occur. As expected, the two tropical *Echinolittorina* spp. showed better regulation than the subtropical *E. natalensis*, which showed mixed patterns. *E. malaccana* had higher limits and threshold temperatures than *E. vidua* and *E. natalensis* which had similar thermoneutral and Arrhenius Breakpoint limits, but different lethal limits. Most papers show little difference in thermal tolerances of species found between 0 and 30 degrees latitudes (see McMahon, 1990), with the latitudinal effect being more significant between 30 degrees and higher latitudes. So, one might not expect a major difference in thresholds and/or lethal limits between tropical and subtropical species and this was generally the case in this study.

*E. vidua* and *E. natalensis* showed the same thermoneutral and Arrhenius Breakpoint limits (the genus *Echinolittorina* is of tropical origin; Williams and Reid, 2004; Reid, 2007; Reid *et al.*, 2012), though lethal limits were higher for the tropical *E. vidua* than subtropical *E. natalensis*. The difference in lethal limits (EPTs) was expected given the geographical distributions of the two species, and the fact that the eulittoral zone and fringe are extended

upwards in tropical shores compared to subtropical shores (see Hartnoll, 1976). *Afrolittorina* spp. showed the same pattern; heart patterns, thermoneutral zones and breakpoints were similar, but lethal limits were 2°C higher for *A. africana* than for *A. knysnaensis* from the same region. As for *E. vidua* and *E. natalensis*, the difference in lethal limits (supported by differences in  $LT_{50}$ ; see Chapter 3), was expected given the geographical distribution of these species (McQuaid and Scherman, 1988; McQuaid, 1992; Reid and Williams, 2004; d'Errico *et al.*, 2008; Reid *et al.*, 2012).

Although species identity and regions are usually confounded, I could compare populations of *Afrolittorina* spp., each of which occurs in two regions. In case of *A. africana*, I predicted that populations from the subtropics would show better regulation than warm temperate populations, and for *A. knysnaensis* that cool temperate populations would show less regulation than warm temperate populations. In fact, there were no major effects of region on patterns of heart rate response for either species, and this may reflect the fact that these species are exposed to terrestrial conditions for most of time, while the regions are identified mainly on the basis of sea surface temperatures (SST) (see Maree *et al.*, 2000; Harrison, 2002; 2004; Sinclair *et al.*, 2004).

Along the southern African coast, air and substratum temperatures can rise well above the SST, and rock temperature is often much higher than that of the surrounding air (pers. obs.). Therefore organisms such as littorinid snails that live highest on the shore experience most stressful temperatures as a result of long periods of exposure as compared to subtidal species. In addition, it is possible that conditions (i.e. temperature) experienced during emersion are less dissimilar than expected among regions. If conditions were different as expected based on SST (decrease gradually from subtropics towards warm and cool temperate regions; see Isaac, 1937; Maree *et al.*, 2000; Harrison, 2004; Sinclair *et al.*, 2004; Harrison and Whitfield, 2006), one would have expected a difference in responses of animals from different regions and populations as found by Sokolova and Pörtner (2003).

Situations where there were no geographical or latitudinal changes in metabolic rates over thermal gradients have been found in other intertidal ectotherms (see Monaco *et al.*, 2010;

Rastrick and Whiteley, 2011). However, other studies have found differences or adaptive changes in metabolic rates of geographically separated populations (see Vernberg, 1969; Vernberg and Vernberg, 1966; Vernberg and Moreira, 1974; Jansen *et al.*, 2007; Rastrick and Whiteley, 2011; Whiteley *et al.*, 2011) as was expected in this study. The absence of regional difference in *Afrolittorina spp.* may also be explained by the lack of genetic diversity among populations (see Chapter 2; Grant and Lang, 1991), suggesting that the ability to regulate is also genetically controlled.

Equally unexpected was the high proportion of individuals of cool temperate *A. knysnaensis* showing regulating compared to warm temperate populations. This was not observed for *A. africana* populations from different regions. The unexpected result for *A. knysnaensis* may be explained by the fact that studies were done in spring and summer for the warm populations as compared to only summer for the cool populations. Individuals from the cool region might have been collected after exposure to hot events or already acclimatized to hot summer days. On the other hand, data for warm temperate individuals might have been confounded by the fact that such differences were not found in *A. africana* where one would expect the subtropical population to regulate more than the warm temperate population.

Although the sample sizes were rather different between *A. knysnaensis* in cool and warm temperate regions, the number for cool temperate was still reasonable (ca. 100), lending confidence in this unexpected result. However, it cannot be ignored that the high proportion of regulation by the cool temperate population might be related to the conditions in that region. For example, conditions in the cool region might be highly fluctuating as a result of upwelling than for warm region. Although not discussed in Sokolova and Pörtner (2003), their data for populations of *Littorina saxatilis* from the White Sea would have shown a higher proportion of regulation than the North Sea population. The differences in performance between North and White Sea populations of *Littorina saxatilis* also occur for enzymes involved in metabolic process (Sokolova and Pörtner, 2001a). Of the five enzyme studied, there was a constitutive difference in the activity of three enzymes between snails from North Sea and White Sea populations of *Littorina saxatilis* and its congener *L. obtusata*. Panova and Johannesson (2004) also found differences in the activity of aspartate

aminotransferase between upper and lower shore populations of *Littorina saxatilis* from Sweden.

In conclusion, the results show clearly that the six studied species have the ability to regulate metabolic rates, with a gradient in this ability declining from the tropical species to the subtropical and then to the temperate species. The ability of these species to regulate is related to their highest position on the shore where they experience extremes and rapidly fluctuating conditions. It is well known that animals that live high on rocky shores show stronger metabolic adjustment to changes in temperature than those from the low shore (see Spaargaren and Achituv, 1977; Bulnheim, 1979; Burggren and McMahon, 1981; Wilbur and Hilbish, 1989; Marshall and McQuaid, 1991; Somero, 2002).

During low tides the studied species experience long periods of exposure high on the shore, where there is little food, limited time when feeding is possible (see Branch *et al.*, 1988 Norton *et al.*, 1990; Marshall and McQuaid, 1991; Bates and Hicks, 2005; Menge *et al.*, 2007) and both heat and desiccation stresses are high (see Barnes *et al.*, 1963; Shick *et al.*, 1988; McMahon, 1990; Muñoz *et al.*, 2008). These stresses are more pronounced in the tropics than the subtropics and temperate regions (see Hartnoll, 1976; Garrity, 1984; Little, 1989; Lesser and Kruse, 2004). Thus, high temperature and low food and/or feeding opportunities work together to reduce metabolic rates for animals on exposed shores.

Although all species regulated their heart rate in response to heat stress, there was a difference in responses between tropical, subtropical and temperate species. The tropical species experience the most energetically constrained conditions and showed good and quick regulation of heart rate. In contrast, the temperate and subtropical species, that are subjected to slightly less severe conditions showed mixed responses and high individual variability, and slow regulation of heart rate (see below). Thus, while extremes of temperatures are expected to occur in the microhabitats of South African species, local climate conditions, timing of low tides, and the position of the species in the intertidal make them unlikely to experience the same conditions as tropical species. For example, South African species, especially *Afrolittorina* spp., might benefit from wave splashes which tend to keep temperatures low

even at high shore levels; thus providing some protection during midday exposure and allowing animals to maximize feeding time (i.e. energy assimilation). On the other hand, the tropical species, particularly *E. malaccana*, spend most of their time on exposed rock surfaces and so have limited feeding opportunities.

Apart from differences in temperature regime, there are also differences in emersion periods between regions, as a result of different tidal regimes with longer exposure periods in the tropics (dominated by platform-like rocky shores) than subtropical and temperate (dominated by narrow rocky shores) regions (see Hartnoll, 1976; Aagaard, 1996; Pulgar *et al.*, 2006; Finke *et al.*, 2007). This is supported by differences in aestivation periods between subtropical/temperate (approximately 14 days; see McQuaid and Scherman, 1988; McQuaid, 1992; Sinclair *et al.*, 2004) and tropical (approximately 60 days; see Marshall and McQuaid, 2010) species. In addition, Finke *et al.* (2007) found the South African coast to experience the lowest exposure times at all tidal levels.

Due to longer exposure periods, tropical intertidal zones are subjected to intense solar radiation which may raise surface temperatures as high as 50°C during summer hot days (Lewis, 1963; Williams and Morritt, 1995; Chan *et al.*, 2006; Marshall and McQuaid, 2010), while temperatures might rarely exceed 45°C in the subtropics and temperate regions (pers. obs.; Morley *et al.*, 2009; Zardi *et al.*, 2011; but see Whiteley *et al.*, 1997). In addition, there are tidal, diurnal and seasonal variations in temperatures that differ depending on geographical location (see Aagaard, 1996; Finke *et al.*, 2007). Some regions experience moderate to constant temperature changes while others experience more pronounced changes. For example, most tropical regions are known to be characterized by moderate to constant conditions (see Huey and Bennett, 1990; Sommer *et al.*, 1997; Tomanek and Somero, 1999; Sokolova and Pörtner, 2003; Christensen *et al.*, 2011).

Rapid changes in and extremes of temperature make specific demands on an animal, such as high energy costs to support or maintain metabolic rates and/or the synthesis of heat shock proteins for deference or repair (Burggren and McMahon, 1981; Branch *et al.*, 1988; Parsons,

1990; Somero, 2002; Lannig *et al.*, 2010; Marshall *et al.*, 2011; Miller and Denny, 2011). Thus, the costs of living are higher for animals living in the tropics than for those living in subtropical, temperate and polar regions (see Somero, 2002; 2010; Whiteley *et al.*, 2011). This means that subtropical and temperate species have less need to conserve energy (resulting in mixed responses and high individual variability) than tropical species which strongly regulate or depress metabolic rates to save energy (see Newell, 1973; Clarke, 1993a, Pörtner *et al.*, 2005; Dong *et al.*, 2011; Whiteley *et al.*, 2011). Thus, metabolic regulation acts as a time-limited adaptation strategy to survive unfavourable conditions such as extremes of temperatures and low feeding opportunities.

On the other hand, the differences in thresholds and limits observed in this study can be viewed as physiological adaptations of the studied species to their environments, or as the physiological mechanisms (e.g. acclimation) that allow them to occupy those environments. For example, all three *Echinolittorina* species as well as *L. glabrata* occupy the eulittoral fringes in the tropics and subtropics, and show high thresholds and limits. In contrast, *Afrolittorina* spp. are dominant in the eulittoral zones in the subtropics/temperate, though they can be found in the eulittoral fringe, and show lower thresholds and limits. Thus, although the eulittoral zone is frequently exposed to air for several hours, exposure times of more than one day occur occasionally, while in the eulittoral fringe, aerial exposure of more than one week is common (see Kronberg, 1990), explaining the differences in thresholds and limits found in this study.

Interestingly the tropical *E. vidua* and the two subtropical species *E. natalensis* and *L. glabrata*, showed similar thermoneutral breakpoints, suggesting that the latter two may be of tropical origins. Indeed, the genera *Echinolittorina* and *Littoraria* are mainly tropical with few representatives occurring in the subtropics and temperate (see Reid, 1989; 1996, 2007; Inness-Campbell *et al.*, 2003; Williams and Reid, 2004; Torres *et al.*, 2008; Reid *et al.*, 2010; 2012). The thresholds determine the thermal limit to energy conservation (TBTs) and the temperature above which time dependent mortality sets in (ABTs), for aestivating animals. For example, South African *Echinolittorina* and *Afrolittorina* spp. probably do not experience the same energetic constraints as the tropical *Echinolittorina* spp. and showed lower
breakpoints. On the other hand, the lethal limits (EPTs), which showed high intraspecific variability, represent temperatures at which mortality or death occurs.

#### High individual variability and mixed responses in temperate and subtropical species

The main finding and maybe the most unexpected was the high individual variability in *Afrolittorina* spp., and the mixed responses in subtropical *E. natalensis* and *L. glabrata*. This was also noticed in tropical species where some individuals showed partial regulation a few hours after collection (see Marshall *et al.*, 2011; pers. obs.). Collecting animals from nature without disturbing their natural physiological state is very difficult, especially when collecting specimens from multiple sites at different times. In addition, individuals or populations living in close proximity may experience different environmental conditions (Helmuth, 1998; Sinclair *et al.*, 2006; Denny *et al.*, 2011), which can lead to different responses and hence high individual variability (Dahlhoff *et al.*, 2002). Another challenge is that natural systems, including the intertidal, are themselves characterized by variability (Hofmann and Somero, 1995; Tian *et al.*, 2004; Helmuth *et al.*, 2005; Tomanek, 2010; Pincebourde *et al.*, 2012), making the resultant data often complex and difficult to interpret (Sørensen, 2010). Therefore, care must be taken when investigating or comparing performance of animals from different locations and shore levels.

Efforts were made to reduce the effect of inequalities (i.e. acclimation history, nutritional state, size and level on the shore) by comparing individuals of a standard size from similar habitats and levels, collected in the same season, and acclimated under the same conditions. In addition, experiments such as repeated exposure of the same individuals, a slow increase in temperature, heat shock and starvation were conducted to eliminate as many confounding factors as possible (see below). Large numbers of specimens were used for each species per experiment or treatment (see Table 4.4) in order to compensate for individual variability. As such, high individual variability (as observed on thermal tolerance and proteomics results) found in this study was a true response which might be explained by the effect of other factors or conditions which need to be investigated (see below).

High individual variability in *Afrolittorina* spp. and other South African species could relate to the need to generate energy in some individuals (especially those that have just fed) but not others (those that have had limited time to feed or have not fed at all). For example, snails that have just fed generally did not show the same degree of thermal independence of heart function and metabolism as those that had been quiescent either in the field or the laboratory for a few days before experimentation (pers. obs.). This individual variability was also noticed in data for freshly collected *Echinolittorina* snails from Brunei, but after three days of acclimation at 30°C the patterns became clear (especially in *E. vidua*). Marshall *et al.* (2011) also found that some individuals of *E. malaccana* showed less thermal independence than others, suggesting individual variability in this species as well. Therefore, it would be best to measure metabolic rates in starved individuals rather than prescribe a fixed level of food intake which could be functionally different for different individuals (Agard, 1999; Speakman *et al.*, 2004).

The obligatory increase in metabolic rates, also called the "Specific Dynamic Action" (SDA), that occurs after feeding represents the energy used for ingestion, digestion, absorption and assimilation of a meal and the increased synthesis of proteins and lipids associated with growth (see Vahl, 1984; Peak and Veal, 2001; Mallekh and Lagardére, 2002; Pulgar *et al.*, 2006; Jansen *et al.*, 2007; Pirozzi and Booth, 2009). This has been reported in marine animals including littorinids. For example, Shumway *et al.* (1993) found an increase in oxygen consumption of starved marine periwinkles, *Littorina littorea* and *L. obtusata*, after being fed on algal food. This is also true for other marine animals such as molluscs (see Lilly, 1979; Peck, 1996; Clarke and Prothero-Thomas, 1997; Peak and Veal, 2001), crustaceans (see Carefoot, 1990; Houlihan *et al.*, 1990; Chu *et al.*, 1994; Crear and Forteath, 2000; Robertson *et al.*, 2001a, b; 2002; Whiteley *et al.*, 2001; Kemp *et al.*, 2009) and fishes (Du Preez *et al.*, 1986; Chakraborty *et al.*, 1992; Ross *et al.*, 1992). In addition, individual differences in body composition could potentially result in variation in metabolic rates and thus high individual variability among similarly sized individuals (see Regnault, 1981; Carefoot, 1990; Chakraborty *et al.*, 1992; Ross *et al.*, 1992; Speakman *et al.*, 2004).

Littorinids are often attacked by macroparasites, especially trematodes (Berger and Kharazova, 1997; Williams and Brailsford, 1998; Granovitch *et al.*, 2000; Arakelova *et al.*,

2004), and one possibility not explored here is that parasitism may affect the physiological response to temperature stress. In fact, many studies fail to mention if test animals were healthy or infested due to the general belief that larval trematodes do little if any harm to the host as well as notorious ignorance of diseases and parasite problems (see Lauckner, 1987). Studies have shown greater variability in temperature metabolic rates of infected animals, with infected individuals increasing or depressing their rates (see Lee and Cheng, 1971; Anderson, 1975a, b; Lauckner, 1987; Meißner and Schaarschmidt, 2000; Shinagawa *et al.*, 2001). This is because the resulting metabolic stress (costs in terms of energy) of combined infection and temperature stresses can be tremendous, leading to temperature dependence. However, in other species infections lead to depressed or low metabolic rates (see Thompson, 1983; Huxham *et al.*, 2001) as a strategy to save energy. Anderson (1975b) found that infested shrimps of *Palaemonetes pugio* showed lower oxygen consumption (with the effect being more pronounced in the smallest hosts) than the non-infested shrimps of equal size. In addition, the degree of metabolic temperature independence was not significantly altered as a result of infestation.

Metabolic rate can show changes during development, including embryonic development (see Sastry and McCarthy, 1973; Vernberg and Moreira, 1974; Gutermuth and Armstrong, 1989; Hatcher et al., 1997; Brown and Terwilliger, 1999; Glazier, 2005), and this can lead to different responses and high individual variability, especially when size is used to estimate the age of an animal. For example, small animals were assumed to be juveniles and were expected to show higher metabolic rates and greater temperature dependence to reflect their greater metabolism, since they need more energy for growth as compared to adults (see Shumway et al., 1993; Chelazzi et al., 1999; Dahlhoff et al., 2001; O'Connor et al., 2007; Pörtner and Knust, 2007). In the case of Afrolittorina spp. different sizes were also expected to show different response patterns, with small animals showing some degree of regulation than adults as they tend to occupy different heights on the shore (McQuaid, 1981a, b; McQuaid, 1992). Therefore, it would be important to investigate the effect of size and zonation as well as ontogenetic stage on the metabolic rates of Afrolittorina spp. and other littorinids. In addition, reproduction in females may influence individual differences in thermal sensitivity of metabolism as there may be a need for continual energy supply (leading to an obligatory increase in metabolic rates) to facilitate egg development (Baeza and Fernández, 2002). Future work should probably account for the effect of sex and/or reproductive stage as well as ontogenetic stages on metabolic rates as reported in other studies (see Anderson, 1975b; Dawirs, 1983; Quetin and Ross, 1989; Chu *et al.*, 1994; Marsh *et al.*, 1999; Baeza and Fernández, 2002; Cook, 2004), something not investigated in this study.

When the physiological limits or thresholds have been passed, some animals are known to synthesize heat shock proteins as a defense mechanism (Feder and Hofmann, 1999; Whiteley and Faulkner, 2005; Pörtner and Knust, 2007; Sørensen, 2010) and this can lead to increases in metabolism as more energy is needed for protein biosynthesis (see Anderson, 1975a; Hawkins and Bayne, 1991; Hawkins, 1995; Sokolova and Lannig, 2008; Marshall et al., 2011). Few studies have, however, investigated the relationship between metabolic rates and rates of protein (Hsps) synthesis (see Whiteley et al., 1997; 2001; Dahlhoff, 2004; Dong et al., 2011). Houlihan et al. (1990) found protein synthesis to account for 20-37% of total oxygen consumption of the shore crab Carcinus maenas. Whiteley et al. (1997) suspected that protein turnover was the factor that might have amplified metabolic response upon transfer of specimens of the mussel Mytilus edulis from 10 to 20°C (see Hawkins et al., 1987; Hawkins, 1995). An increase in temperature from 20 to 55°C in this study triggered an increase of heart rate of certain individuals as compared to others, probably in response to an increase in metabolic demand to meet the high energy requirements of protein synthesis. Thus, one possible explanation for the high individual variability in Afrolittorina spp., E. natalensis and L. glabrata may lie in individual differences in energy requirements for protein biosynthesis.

Increase in metabolic rates can result from differences in behavioural ecology (e.g. activity) or physiological responses to elevated temperatures (see Newell, 1973; Santini *et al.*, 1999; Salomon and Buchholz, 2000; Crear and Forteath, 2000; Dong *et al.*, 2006; O'Connor *et al.*, 2007; Gracey *et al.*, 2008). Because present day temperatures (air) on the South Africa coastline rarely rise above 45°C (pers. obs.), there is no apparent thermal related impact of temperature on littorinid populations. Therefore, an increase in heart rates of *Afrolittorina* spp. and other subtropical species between 20 and 50°C indicates that aerobic metabolism is in the range corresponding to that expected and is not temperature limited. Although the adaptive significance of temperature dependent metabolism is not clear, it can be suggested

that *Afrolittorina* spp. and other temperate species that are not often exposed to very hot conditions must expend more energy to maintain basal metabolism while not feeding. It can also be suggested that high individual variability of *Afrolittorina* spp. might indicate a high degree of adaptability as they exploit different levels of the shore from the eulittoral zone to eulittoral fringes and microhabitats (pers. obs.).

# Effects of conditions on heart performance, including breakpoints and endpoints temperatures

#### Slow increase in temperature

Little or no work has compared the effects of different methods of increasing temperate on metabolic responses (see Hawkins, 1995). However, it can be speculated that a slower rate of increase in temperature can lead to better regulation of metabolic rates since animals have time to adjust, and indeed that is what the results showed. This is supported by studies on thermal tolerance that show that a slow increase in temperature results in higher tolerances and shifts in thresholds and limits compared to a fast increase (see Ospina and Mora, 2004; Mora and Maya; 2006; Angilletta Jr., 2009). Thus, a slow increase in temperature had a significant impact on heart performance of both *Afrolittorina* spp. with the heart independent of temperature across a range of approximately 18°C compared to 14°C of fast increase. In addition, there was a noticeable shift in limits and thresholds; TBPs shifted by approximately 4.5°C and the ABTs by 1.3°C in both species. Nevertheless, there was as much individual variability with slow as with fast temperature rises, suggesting that temperature is not the only factor that determines regulation, but that other factors such as food availability might be involved (see below).

The marked ability to regulate and shifts in breakpoints after a slow increase in temperature raises questions about the field conditions experienced by South African species as it implies that they may not be well adapted to cope with rapid temperature rises though measurements of body temperature in the field (unpub. data) showed that these do rise rapidly, especially

between morning and afternoon. Body temperatures then remain roughly constant during the afternoon but decrease rapidly with submersion or as the sun drops. Extreme temperature regimes lasting for hours (1-6 hrs) are highly characteristic of the intertidal zone (see Burggren and McMahon, 1981) as a result of long exposure periods. So the ability to show good regulation, and shifts in limits and thresholds with a slower rate of increase in temperature indicates that this species can tolerate high temperatures during the long hot summer afternoons.

# Acclimation or acclimatization

Temperature acclimation leading to some degree of temperature independence as well as shifts in limits and thresholds among ectotherms is more common in animals (including marine animals) that experience fluctuations in conditions than those that experience moderate to constant conditions (see Segal, 1961; Bulnheim, 1979; Bennett, 1990; Dahlhoff and Somero, 1993b; Somero, 2004; Clarke and Gaston, 2006). The lack of an acclimation response by tropical or polar organisms presumably relates to the absence of any significant seasonal temperature variation in these regions (see Vernberg and Vernberg, 1966; 1969; Brockington and Clarke, 2001; Pörtner, 2001; Peck *et al.*, 2009a, b; Nguyen *et al.*, 2011).

This explanation may well apply to some molluscs such as gastropods and bivalves, particularly those inhabiting intertidal zones that only experience slight or moderate seasonal fluctuations in temperature (see Vernberg and Vernberg, 1969; Somero, 2010; Tomanek, 2008; Christensen *et al.*, 2011). Littorinids and other high intertidal ectotherms have limited or no ability to acclimate metabolic rates as a result of their position on the shore. Thus, by living highest (i.e. eulittoral fringes) on the shore, these animals live close to their upper thermal limits, and have narrower thermal windows for further acclimation (see Stillman and Somero, 1999; Stillman, 2003; 2004; Somero, 2005; 2010).

Studies on acclimation show conflicting outcomes, some suggesting that acclimation occurs, while others suggest no acclimation in metabolic rates (see below), and this is further

complicated by the methods or approaches used (see Vernberg and Moreira, 1974; Laughlin and Neff, 1980; Emmerson, 1985; Van Senus, 1985; 1990; Tian *et al.*, 2004). In general, animals from cool environments (e.g. temperate and subtidal species) show acclimation, while those from warm environments (e.g. tropical and high intertidal species) do not (see Anderson, 1978; McMahon and Wilson, 1981; De Pirro *et al.*, 1999b; Stillman, 2002; 2003; Sinclair *et al.*, 2006). However, most of the studies were interested in the differences in magnitude and/or breakpoints (see Vernberg, 1969; de Vooys, 1976; Van Senus, 1985; Navarro *et al.*, 1987; Stillman, 2004; Whiteley and Faulkner, 2005), but not whether there is regulation or not. Examples of non-acclimation among littorinids (Innes and Houlihan, 1985; McMahon *et al.*, 1995) and other marine invertebrates from different phyla are known (Pickens, 1965; Cheung and Lam, 1995; Pilditch and Grant, 1999; Whiteley and Faulkner, 2005). For example, McMahon *et al.* (1995) found that acclimation of the intertidal snails of the genus *Littorina, L. saxatilis* and *L. obtusata*, at 4 or 21°C did not affect metabolic rates. Hicks and McMahon (2002a) found that acclimation of the brown mussel *Perna perna* from the subtropics at 15, 20 and 25°C did not affect regulation of oxygen consumption.

In contrast, other studies have shown that acclimation can lead to good regulation of metabolic rates with noticeable shifts in limits and threshold temperatures (see Segal, 1956; 1961; Widdows, 1973; Markel, 1974; Ortega *et al.*, 1984; Gee, 1985; Einarson, 1993; etc). In addition to partial regulation of standard oxygen consumption, Newell and Pye (1970a, b) found that *Littorina littorea* and the mussel *Mytilus edulis* showed shifts in limits and thresholds with acclimation temperature and season. In addition, the ABTs changed from 6-10°C for *Littorina littorea* acclimated at 5°C to as high as 30-35°C in animals acclimated at 25°C (Newell and Pye, 1970b). Pye and Newell (1973) found that season and thermal acclimation led to temperature independence of the standard oxygen consumption of quiescent intact and cell-free homogenates isolated from mitochondria of *L. littorea*. Although not interested in regulation, Stenseng *et al.* (2005) found a shift of ABT and Flatline Temperatures (FLT) of snails of the genus *Tegula* acclimated to 14°C and 22°C, with the largest changes in the low-intertidal *T. funebralis* showed the smallest change in ABT, suggesting limited ability to acclimate in this species.

McMahon and Russell-Hunter (1981) found that specimens of the salt-marsh snail *Melampus bidentitas* acclimated at 20°C regulated oxygen consumption as compared to those acclimated at 10°C, which did not. Zakhartsev *et al.* (2003) found that individuals of the common eelpout *Zoarces viviparus* from Baltic Sea showed a change from non-regulation at 3°C to partial regulation at 12°C. On the other hand, Fangue *et al.* (2009) found that, in addition to the ability to regulate metabolic rate, fishes acclimated at different temperatures (when acclimated at 15, 20 and 25°C) showed a noticeable 5°C shift in thermoneutral breakpoints. Most of these studies have shown that limits and thresholds increase with increasing acclimation leads to widening of the thermoneutral zone (which is important to save energy) and shifts in limits and thresholds in other species (see Horowitz, 2001).

In this study, animals acclimated at 30°C were expected to show good regulation and higher limits and threshold temperatures compared to those acclimated at 20°C. However, the results showed no difference between the two groups; thus, no sign of acclimation. The lack of acclimation in South African littorinids was unexpected and raises questions as to what causes such inability to acclimate. One possibility is that the acclimation period (7-14 days) used in this study was too short to induce acclimation though in other studies, 14 days of acclimation was sufficient (see Newell and Bayne, 1980; Hawkins *et al.*, 1987; McMahon and Russell-Hunter, 1981). Shumway and Koehn (1982) found that the oxygen consumption of the American oyster *Crassostrea virginica* did not acclimate after 3 weeks. This shows that time to acclimation, which can vary from species to species, is an important factor (see Anderson, 1978). For example, Bulnheim (1979) found that *Gammarus locusta* required longer periods to acclimate as compared to other *Gammarus* species which required shorter periods to acclimate.

In addition, the acclimation method (constant temperatures) used in this study might have been inappropriate to induce acclimation in the study species which experience fluctuating conditions higher on the shore (see above). In fact, studies have shown that animals acclimated to fluctuating temperatures (typical of conditions normally encountered in nature) depress metabolic rates at certain temperate ranges compared to those acclimated at constant temperatures (see Tian *et al.*, 2004 and references herein). Alternatively, since the study was done during spring and summer, it might be that animals were already acclimatized to high temperatures as temperature acclimation may not occur during summer (see Pickens, 1965; Griffith, 1977).

Although not investigated in this study, seasonal acclimatization is expected to result in reduced temperature dependence or lead to temperature independence of metabolic rates as seen in littorinids and other intertidal animals (see Newell, 1973; Newell and Roy, 1973; Shirley *et al.*, 1978; McMahon *et al.*, 1995). It must be noted however that seasonal acclimatization might be due to acclimation to other factors (e.g. food availability) in the field that can affect responses to temperature (see Griffiths, 1977; Navarro *et al.*, 1987; Marsden, 1984; Navarro and Torrijos, 1994; Hummel *et al.*, 2000; Tully *et al.*, 2000; Walther *et al.*, 2009). For example, Brockington and Clarke (2001) claimed that 80-85% of the increase in summer metabolism of the sea urchin *Sterechinus neumayeri* was caused by physiological activities associated with feeding, growth and spawning, while temperature increase caused only 15-20% of the increase.

Other possibilities include the fact that the eastern seaboard of South Africa is semi-tropical and has reasonably constant or reduced fluctuations in conditions (see Kibirige *et al.*, 2002; Isla and Perissinotto, 2004; Marshall *et al.*, 2003), perhaps making it similar to the tropics where species are known to have limited capacities to acclimate to temperature. Second, although the acclimation temperatures used, 20 and 30°C, are regularly experienced in the field, they may have been too high to allow acclimation as these *Afrolittorina* spp. show high (50-80%) mortality in less than five days when acclimated at 35 and 40°C, respectively (unpub. data). An alternative explanation for the lack of acclimation in this study may be the cost which comes with acclimation. As other investigators have emphasized (see Hawkins *et al.*, 1987; Hofmann and Somero, 1995; Jansen *et al.*, 2007; Tomanek, 2008; 2010), that acclimation comes at a cost because heat shock protein synthesis requires more energy (see Stillman, 2002; Whiteley and Faulkner, 2005; Sørensen, 2010; Fitzgerald-Dehoog *et al.*, 2012). For example, stress proteins can represent up to 7% of total protein pool with increasing turnover during stressful conditions (see Kültz, 2003; Sokolova and Lannig, 2008; Sokolova *et al.*, 2012).

As for thermal tolerance (see Stillman and Somero, 1999; Stillman, 2002; 2003; Tomanek and Helmuth, 2000), the inability to acclimate metabolic rates including limits and thresholds could mean that these species and other littorinids are likely to be the most vulnerable to rising temperature in hot summer months and during global warming (see Stillman, 2004; Tomanek, 2010; Somero, 2010). Therefore, there is a need to investigate the effect of acclimation or season on metabolic rates of South African species and other littorinids. This is further supported by the results with slower rates of increase in temperature, when animals showed better regulation of heart rates as well as shift in limits and thresholds.

#### Heat shock

No studies have looked at the effect of heat shock or sudden exposure on the heart performance of littorinids or other intertidal animals. A brief exposure to sublethal temperature is known to increase thermal tolerance on second or subsequent exposure (see Stillman and Somero, 1999; Hopkin *et al.*, 2006; Madeira *et al.*, 2012b, c), and this can be true for metabolic responses. This study showed that heat shock (thermal history) had little or no effect on the heart performance of *Afrolittorina* spp. and *E. natalensis*. This is further supported by the results of repeated exposures of individuals of *Afrolittorina* spp. which showed the same heart patterns irrespective of previous heat stress encounter or thermal history.

The only exception was the thermoneutral breakpoint for *E. natalensis*, which increased by 1°C, but these data came from a single individual. The findings for *E. natalensis* relative to those for *E. malaccana* indicated that the later species displayed a noticeable shift in its thermoneutral breakpoint after heat shock (Marshall unpub. data) suggesting that it is a tropical species physiologically adapted to high temperatures. Marshall *et al.* (2011) showed that the tropical *E. malaccana* produces heat shock proteins (i.e. hsps70) once temperatures pass their thermoneutral limit. Proteins, particularly heat shock proteins are known to be involved in thermal acclimation and thermal tolerances of animals (see Buchner, 1996; Krebs and Bettencourt, 1999; Somero, 2004; Pörtner and Knust, 2007; Dong and Williams, 2011; etc) and thus shifts in limits and threshold temperatures (see Hopkin *et al.*, 2006).

Lack of effects of heat shock in this study might be explained by the cost associated with protein (heat shock) synthesis (see Feder, 1999; Feder and Hofmann, 1999; Tomanek, 2010). Thus, animals which rarely experience stressful conditions, like South African species, do not need to spend energy on heat shock protein production. The results of this study show that thermal history has no effect on heart performance of littorinids, but this requires further investigation using various protocols, including repeated exposure. For example, repeated exposure has been shown to have an effect (a decrease in the daily mean oxygen consumption) on metabolic rate of individuals of the juvenile Chinese shrimp *Fenneropenaeus chinensis* acclimated under diel temperature fluctuation (Tian *et al.*, 2004). Therefore, future studies to investigate the effect of heat shock and/or repeated exposure (i.e. thermal history) on metabolic performance would be valuable, especially with the possibility of unpredicted heat events resulting from climate change.

# Effect of starvation or nutritional status

The effect of starvation or nutritional status on metabolic rates has also received little attention. Indeed many authors fail to mention when the animals studied were last fed, if at all, prior to the experimentation. This is surprising since the availability of food and nutritional status are amongst the most important factors influencing the metabolism of animals (Wallace, 1973; Branch *et al.*, 1988; Tully *et al.*, 2000; Hatcher *et al.*, 1997; Brockington and Clarke, 2001; Dahlhoff *et al.*, 2002; Isla and Perissinotto, 2004; Pörtner and Knust, 2007; etc). This is important for animals that live in areas such as the eulittoral fringe, particularly in summer, where food availability is low and time for feeding is limited (Newell and Roy, 1973; Branch *et al.*, 1988; Little, 1989; Norton *et al.*, 1990; Bates and Hicks, 2005). It is well known that there are differences in metabolic rates between starved and non-starved animals, with higher rates for fed than starved animals (Wallace, 1973; Aldrich, 1975; Hiller-Adams and Childress, 1983a; Hawkins, 1995).

Where the effect of starvation has been investigated, most authors were interested in the differences (i.e. magnitude) between fed and starved animals, and not whether this affected metabolic regulation (Vernberg, 1959; Wallace, 1973; Hiller-Adams and Childress, 1983a,

b). In most animals, metabolic rate generally declines following starvation (Pickens, 1965; Bayne *et al.*, 1976; Du Preez, 1983; Höjesjö *et al.*, 1999; Santini *et al.*, 2002; Tian *et al.*, 2010) as the result of reduction in SDA and gradual exhaustion of metabolic reserves (see Bayne, 1973b; Kristensen, 1989; Chakraborty *et al.*, 1992; Percy, 1993). For example, Ansell (1973) and Marsden *et al.* (1973) found a progressive decrease in daily oxygen consumption in the starved crabs *Cancer pogurus* and *C. maenas*, respectively. It is interesting that both fed and starved animals regulated oxygen consumption in the resting state (Ansell, 1973), showing that the ability to regulate was not under the influence of nutritional status. Newell and Bayne (1973) found that crabs of the genus *Carcinus* starved for 3 weeks not only showed a decline in oxygen consumption, but temperature independence of oxygen consumption, with the effect being more pronounced in small crabs than large ones.

These studies show that starvation not only affects the magnitude of metabolism of animals, but also its relationship with temperature. Low metabolic expenditure or costs as a result of reduced or depressed metabolic rates is one of the mechanisms employed by animals to survive periods of starvation or poor feeding conditions and during rapid temperature change and extremes (see Newell and Bayne, 1973; Parsons, 1990; Peck, 1996; Höjesjö *et al.*, 1999; Harper and Peck, 2003; Tian *et al.*, 2010). However, some species show an increase in metabolic rates (Marsden *et al.*, 1973; Carefoot *et al.*, 1993; Brockington and Clarke, 2001); while others do not show a change of their metabolic rates following starvation (Roberts, 1957; Aldrich, 1975; Carefoot *et al.*, 1993; Percy, 1993). For example, Pickens (1965) found that the metabolic rates of mussels did not change during the first week and remained constant for two weeks of starvation, after which they declined, possibly as a result of starvation.

In this study, there was no difference in heart rate between animals that were "starved" and "non-starved", showing that nutritional status had little or no influence on the metabolic rate response to temperature. This was supported by the results of repeated exposure of the same individuals, where there was no difference or change in heart rate of animals fed and those which were not fed after the first exposure. This requires further investigation as one individual of *Afrolittorina africana* changed from non-regulation to partial regulation after repeated exposure without food (see A in Fig. 4.7.1). However, it is possible that the period

(14 - 30 days) used in this study was not long enough to induce starvation. Littorinids are known to aestivate for long periods (i.e. at least 14 days for temperate and subtropical species and 60 days for tropical species) when they depress metabolic rates to save energy (McMahon, 1990; Sokolova and Pörtner, 2001b; Judge *et al.*, 2011; Marshall *et al.*, 2010; 2011). Our species might have benefitted from metabolic depression during aestivation.

Studies on animals from other phyla have found that a week to two weeks (14 days) period does not induce starvation (see Pickens, 1965; Nicholson, 2002). In other studies however, a period of at least one to two weeks has been found to induce starvation as shown by reduction or decrease metabolic rates of studied species (see Wallace, 1973; Newell and Bayne, 1973; Regnault, 1981; Kristensen, 1989; Tian *et al.*, 2010). This shows that time to starvation differs for different animals or species, and can also depend on season (i.e. food availability) plus reproductive, developmental or physiological state (see Bayne, 1973b; Hiller-Adams and Childress, 1983a, b; Branch *et al.*, 1988; Norton *et al.*, 1990; Bates and Hicks, 2005).

The lack of difference in heart rate of "starved" and "non-starved" animals in this study was irrespective of acclimation temperature. In other studies (Marsden *et al.*, 1973; Wallace, 1973; Pilditch and Grant, 1999) however, high temperatures have been linked to the accelerated decline in metabolic rate of starved animals, probably as a result of high metabolic costs at high temperatures. For example, Marsden *et al.* (1973) claimed that the effect which occurred after two weeks at 15°C may occur earlier at higher acclimation temperatures. But Siikavuopio *et al.* (2008) reported a negligible (as indicated by similar Q<sub>10</sub> values between fed and starved animals) effect of temperature on oxygen consumption of the green urchin *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis.* If my results about the lack of reduction in metabolic rate during starvation are correct, this suggests that these species are capable of enduring prolonged aerial exposure partially because they can save energy through metabolic depression during aestivation. Thus, in view of their ability to withstand a long period of starvation (i.e. 14-30 days) as seen in this study, it would be interesting to know if the studied species and other littorinids starve for such periods in nature.

In summary, the heart rate data indicate that these littorinid snails show intra- and interspecific differences in their physiological responses to temperature, and these seem to relate to differences in biogeography, species ecology and phylogeny. The ability to regulate is phylogenetically determined with little adaptation, while thresholds and lethal limits correspond to biogeography and species ecology. Phylogenetic differences do not lie in whether or not a species shows regulation, but in how quickly it can induce a depressed, thermally independent metabolic state. The speed of this response appears to be linked to the need to conserve energy. It is presumed that in snail species that feed regularly, even if rarely, like the studied South African species, there is less need for rapid induction of metabolic depression, compared to snails that feed unpredictably like supra-littoral tropical species such as *E. malaccana*.

The present study revealed that both the stresses found and mechanisms utilized in physiological adaptation to high temperature exposure by subtropical and temperate littorinids are more or less similar to those utilized by the littorinids from the tropics. The data also indicate that these littorinids can regulate their metabolism within the sub-lethal temperature range experienced under natural conditions and in this respect they are well suited to life in habitats where there are fluctuations in temperature and other environmental conditions. Therefore, like high thermal and desiccation tolerances, metabolic depression and/or regulation as temperature increases is a physiological adaptation of marine animals for life high in the intertidal zone.

# CHAPTER 5: Proteomics of co-existing *Afrolittorina* species from the warm temperate region of South Africa

# 5.1. Introduction

It is well established that environmental temperatures (changes and extremes) affect the physiology as well as the distribution and abundance of marine animals, especially intertidal ectotherms (see Somero, 1995; 2002; 2005; 2010; Hofmann, 1999; 2005; Hofmann *et al.*, 2002; Tomanek, 2002; 2008; 2010a, b; 2011; etc). This is because the body temperature of ectotherms is largely under the control of environmental temperature (see Tomanek and Somero, 1999; Dahlhoff *et al.*, 2001; Helmuth *et al.*, 2002; Broitman *et al.*, 2009). In turn the physiological and biochemical processes of animals are under the control of body temperature (see Huey and Bennett, 1990; Huey and Berrigan, 2001; Peck *et al.*, 2007; Ivanina *et al.*, 2009). As such, the distribution and abundance of marine intertidal ectotherms is under the influence of environmental temperature as manifested in body temperatures (see Huey and Stevenson, 1979; Bertness *et al.*, 1999; Muñoz *et al.*, 2005; Menge *et al.*, 2007).

In intertidal habitats, marine ectotherms face very extreme temperatures and abrupt changes in temperature and desiccation that occur during the tidal cycle (see Hofmann, 1999; Helmuth, 1998; 2000; Helmuth *et al.*, 2002; 2006a, b; Somero, 2002; 2010; Stillman, 2002). This is because intertidal habitats are affected by both atmospheric and oceanic changes. Thus, intertidal animals live in rapidly fluctuating environments on a daily basis. Day time air temperatures can reach as high as 50-55°C in the tropics (see Lewis, 1963; Garrity, 1984; Marshall and McQuaid, 2010; Cartwright and Williams, 2012) and 30-45°C in the subtropics and temperate regions (pers. obs.; Morley *et al.*, 2009). On rocky intertidal shores, substratum temperatures can increase from that of sea water temperature, e.g. 10°C, to over 40°C on temperate shores (see Dahlhoff *et al.*, 2001; Harley and Helmuth 2003) and exceed 50°C on tropical shores (see Williams and Morritt, 1995; Marshall and McQuaid, 2010; Judge *et al.*, 2011; Cartwright and Williams, 2012) in a matter of hours during a single low tide. Consequently, animals on rocky intertidal shores can experience changes of up to 20°C and above in body temperature during summer midday low tides (see Hofmann and Somero, 1995; 1996; Tomanek and Somero, 1999; Fitzhenry *et al.*, 2004; Judge *et al.*, 2011). This means that rocky intertidal animals may experience body temperatures that exceed that of the surrounding air and sea water temperature, and regularly approach the animals" thermal limits (see Helmuth, 1998; 1999; Helmuth and Hofmann, 2001; Tomanek and Sanford, 2003; Helmuth *et al.*, 2009; Miller and Denny, 2011). This can be true for animals such as littorinid snails that live in the intertidal since they are more likely to experience prolonged thermal and desiccation stress than lower intertidal and subtidal animals (see McMahon, 1990; Hofmann and Somero, 1995; Hofmann, 1999; Halpin *et al.*, 2002). At higher intertidal heights, the intensity of thermal and desiccation stress is in part a function of the change in temperature intensity multiplied by the duration of exposure (see McMahon, 1990; Jones and Boulding, 1999; Muñoz *et al.*, 2008; Lee and Boulding, 2010).

As a result, intertidal animals have developed strategies to cope with and survive stressful conditions. Many physiological (e.g. increased thermal tolerance and metabolic adjustments), behavioural (e.g. active microhabitat selection and body orientation) and morphological (e.g. shell shape and colour) adaptations are used (see Huey and Bennett, 1990; Huey and Berrigan, 2001; Hickey and Singer, 2004; Wang *et al.*, 2007a; Gracey *et al.*, 2008; Harley *et al.*, 2009; Evans and Somero, 2010). At the cellular level, in order to increase tolerance of heat stress, animals use adaptive mechanisms such as enhanced production of heat shock proteins (Feder and Hofmann, 1999; Tomanek, 2002; Tomanek and Sanford, 2003; Finke *et al.*, 2009; Sørensen, 2010), increased heat stability of key metabolic enzymes (Jaenicke, 1991; Somero, 1995; 2004; Stillman and Somero, 2001; Zippay *et al.*, 2004) and modification of enzymes (Somero, 1978; 1995; 2004; Schmidt *et al.*, 2007; Dong and Somero, 2009), amongst others.

When environmental temperatures start to approach an animal"s thermal limits or are intolerable, denaturation of proteins (enzymes) takes place (see Somero, 1995; Hofmann and Somero, 1996; Tomanek, 2002; Sørensen *et al.*, 2003; González-Riopedre *et al.*, 2007). This results in an increase in thermally damaged proteins, sometimes called "conjugated ubiquitin" (see Hofmann and Somero, 1995; Buckley *et al.*, 2001; Buckley and Hofmann, 2002;

Somero, 2002), and in turn disruption of the protein pool and protein homeostasis. Following thermal perturbations, an animal"s survival depends on its capacity to effectively maintain or restore the integrity of protein (cellular) homeostasis or homeodynamics (see Chapple *et al.*, 1997; Kültz, 2003; Sørensen *et al.*, 2003; Botton *et al.*, 2006; Sørensen, 2010). This is achieved by employing cellular defence mechanisms such as synthesis of stress proteins, including molecular chaperons, antioxidases, proteases and DNA repair systems (see Feder, 1999; Feder and Hofmann, 1999; Pörtner, 2002b; Tomanek, 2002; Kültz, 2003; Sørensen, 2010; etc).

Although various techniques including Western blot, SD-PAGE and antibody detection methods have been and are useful to detect and quantify proteins (i.e. stress protein response) in animals, they have a limitation in that they target a certain group of proteins, the heat shock proteins (Hsps). Recently, techniques such as proteomics (study of the whole protein profile of a cell or animal) have been developed to analyze large numbers of proteins simultaneously to discern subtle changes in protein expression (see below). This will increase our understanding of the role of Hsps as molecular chaperons and other proteins in stress response. In addition, studying the proteome of organisms can help to identify the more universal adaptations underlying protein (enzymes) stability at high temperature and other stresses (see Hickey and Singer, 2004; Somero, 2004; Ulrich and Marsh, 2008; Dilly *et al.*, 2012).

Thus, by establishing the proteome for animals exposed to various stressors, proteomics can potentially be used to study the response of animals at the molecular (protein) level (see Kültz *et al.*, 2007; Nesatyy and Suter, 2007; Serafini *et al.*, 2011; Dowd, 2012; etc) and to complement Hsps methods which are already widely used (see Kültz and Somero, 1996; Williams, 1999; Aebersold and Mann, 2003; Storey, 2006; Jonsson *et al.*, 2006; McLean *et al.*, 2007; Jurgen *et al.*, 2011; etc). In this respect, proteomics enables the testing of hypotheses surrounding the molecular or biochemical basis (adaptation or acclimation) for stress responses in animals.

In addition, proteomics provides a link between physiology, ecology and genetics (see Williams, 1999; Zivy and Vienne, 2000; Naaby-Hansen *et al.*, 2001; Jackson *et al.*, 2002; Volckaert *et al.*, 2008; etc). This is because unlike genomics (genome) and transcriptomics (transcriptome), which provide information about a cell"s genetics and potential regulatory mechanisms, proteomics (proteome) provide relevant information about an animals" biological or physiological state (see Görg *et al.*, 2004; Nunn and Timperman, 2007; Wang *et al.*, 2007a; Martyniuk and Denslow, 2010; Chapman *et al.*, 2011; etc). Thus, proteomics is a mutually complementary technique to genomics or transcriptomics (see Piñeiro *et al.*, 2001; Storey, 2006; Rees *et al.*, 2010; Lockwood and Somero, 2011; Diz *et al.*, 2012a; etc), and as such has increasingly been used to study and understand biological systems and their dynamics under different conditions (see below). In addition, the proteome (i.e. proteins) is closer to the organisms" phenotype, the direct target of natural selection, and as such more useful in inferring the molecular basis of an adaptive process or evolution (see Feder and Walser, 2005; Piñeiro *et al.*, 2010; Silvestre *et al.*, 2012; Tomanek, 2010; 2012b).

Proteomics (qualitative or quantitative) is defined as the study of proteins expressed by a genome, tissue or cell (see Beranova-Giorgianni, 2003; Feder and Walser, 2005; Nunn and Timperman, 2007; Karr, 2008; Dow, 2012). Thus, the goal of proteomics is to study the whole protein profile (i.e. the proteome), including quantification, identification, possible modifications and tissue localizations of a cell, tissue or whole organism at a particular time under different conditions (see below; Fiévet *et al.*, 2004; Karp and Lilley, 2007; Rees *et al.*, 2010; Wright *et al.*, 2012). This is because the analysis of an animal''s proteome allows the detection of subtle changes in the levels of individual proteins in response to stimuli or conditions (see Monteoliva and Albar, 2004; Nesatyy and Suter, 2007; Sheehan and McDonagh, 2008; Enyu and Shu-Chien, 2011).

Although proteomics is a new and young approach, it is still based on a relatively old technique of protein separation, the two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE) developed by O'Farrell and Klose in 1975 (see Zivy and Vienne, 2000; Monteoliva and Albar, 2004; Kim *et al.*, 2008; Rabilloud *et al.*, 2010; Rodrigues *et al.*, 2012) first described by Kenrick and Margolis in 1970 (see Wang *et al.*, 2007a). Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis is a very powerful and sensitive technique designed to separate complex protein mixtures (see

Witzmann and Li, 2002; Görg *et al.*, 2004; López, 2007b; Rabilloud *et al.*, 2010; Zhou *et al.*, 2012). However, other techniques (e.g. liquid chromatographic) are also used for separation of proteins (see Williams, 1999; Aebersold and Mann, 2003; Monteoliva and Albar, 2004; Storey, 2006; Kültz *et al.*, 2007; Forné *et al.*, 2010; Wright *et al.*, 2012).

The separation of proteins using 2-DE technique involves a combination of isoelectric focusing (IEF) where proteins are first separated based on their charge and sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) where proteins are finally separated on the basis of their molecular weight or size (see Witzmann and Li, 2002; Marengo *et al.*, 2005; Wang *et al.*, 2007a; Rabilloud *et al.*, 2010; Wright *et al.*, 2012; etc). Together with mass spectrometry (MS; used for the identification of proteins), two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE; used for separation of proteins) is the most widely used tool in proteomic studies (see below; Shevchenko *et al.*, 1996; Beranova-Giorgianni, 2003; Wittmann-Liebold *et al.*, 2006; Rabilloud *et al.*, 2010; etc). This is because mass spectrometry allows the identification of known and unknown proteins in the proteome without prior knowledge of the protein structures (see Shevchenko *et al.*, 1996; Aebersold and Mann, 2003; Nunn and Timperman, 2007; Piñeiro *et al.*, 2010; Abbaraju *et al.*, 2012).

The proteomic (2-DE based and others) approach is applied in numerous fields, including many areas of marine animals" biology, physiology, ecology, taxonomy, toxicology and health (see Monteoliva and Albar, 2004; Biron *et al.*, 2006; López, 2007a; De Souza *et al.*, 2009; Forné *et al.*, 2010; Thiyagarajan, 2010; Martyniuk *et al.*, 2011; Rodrigues *et al.*, 2012; etc), amongst others. This is because proteomics provide relevant information about biological events such as developmental stage, disease or physiological state as well as responses to environmental and other conditions (see below).

For example, Cordeiro *et al.* (2012) used 2-DE proteomics to characterize and identify potential molecular makers (i.e. proteins) of physiological response to stress (handling) in captivity in the Senegalese sole *Solea senegalensis*. Alves *et al.* (2010) also used 2-DE proteomics to identify possible metabolic molecular indicators of chronic stress (repeated handling and crowding) in the gilthead seabream *Sparus aurata*. Dowd *et al.* (2008) used 2-DE proteomics to investigate the effect of nutritional status (natural feeding) on protein

expression in the dogfish shark *Squalus acanthias*; and Enyu and Shu-Chien (2011) used 2-DE proteomics to show that starvation-related changes in protein expression led to a reduction in glycolysis and an increase in gluconeogenesis (which returned to normal after feeding was resumed) in the female zebrafish *Danio rerio*. In addition, the expression of proteins related to fatty acid and amino acid metabolism suggested the utilization of these reserves as an energy source during starvation.

In taxonomy or genetics, proteomics have been used to investigate species identity, phylogenetic relationships, population genetics and the genetic variability of marine animals (see Piñeiro *et al.* 2001; López and Alvarez, 2003; Chen *et al.*, 2004; Blank *et al.*, 2005; 2012; Martinez *et al.*, 2007; Kim *et al.*, 2008; Diz *et al.*, 2009; etc). For example, Backeljau *et al.* (2001) used proteomics to investigate the relationships between *Littorina saxatilis, L. arcana* and *L. compressa* from the same and different regions. López *et al.* (2002b) used proteomics to characterize species-specific peptides (which showed minor differences) to identify the three European marine mussel species, *Mytilus edulis, M. galloprovincialis* and *M. trossulus*. Mosquera *et al.* (2003) also used proteomics to investigate from the foot samples of individuals of the mussel *M. galloprovincialis*. López *et al.* (2005) found differences in protein spots (6 out of 18 protein spots which were exclusive to *M. galloprovincialis*) between the gels of bivalve larvae from the same region.

In disease or health studies, proteomics have been used to analyse the protein profiles of marine invertebrates and fishes in response to or to test for host immunity against infection (see Chongsatja *et al.*, 2007; Bourchookarn *et al.*, 2008; Zhang *et al.*, 2010a; Chen *et al.*, 2011; Dheilly *et al.*, 2011; Huan *et al.* 2011; Peng, 2012; etc). For example, Cao *et al.* (2009) used 2-DE based proteomics to analyse the proteins (the number of which substantially decreased) in the haemolyph of the susceptible oyster *Ostrea edulis* and the resistant species *Crassostrea gigas* infected with the protozoan *Bonamia ostreae*. Similarly, Simonian *et al.* (2009) used 2-DE approach to identify markers (proteins) of QX disease resistance in the Sydney rock oyster *Saccostrea glomerata*. Wang *et al.* (2007b) also used 2-DE to analyse protein expression profiles (75% of which showed marked change) from stomach samples of the shrimp *Litopenaeus (Penaeus) vannamei* infected with white spot syndrome virus.

Proteomics have also been applied to investigate changes in proteome during larval development, attachment and metamorphosis in marine animals such as barnacles (see Thiyagarajan and Qian, 2008; Thiyagarajan et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2010b), bryozoans (Thiyagarajan et al., 2009), polychaetes (Mok et al., 2009), ascidians (Nomura et al., 2009), fishes (Tay et al., 2006), and corals (deBoer et al., 2007). These studies show that different developmental stages have distinct proteomes, with differential expression of several proteins (most of which were associated with stress, protein degradation, energy metabolism, cell division and juvenile hormone binding) by different stages as well as before and after metamorphosis. For example, Chandramouli et al. (2011) found that proteins related to cell migration, cell division, energy storage and oxidative stress were abundant in competent larvae of the polychaete Capitella sp. I, while proteins involved in oxidative metabolism and transport regulation were abundant in the juveniles. Wong et al. (2010) found that the mitochondrial processing peptidase beta subunit and severin were abundant in the larval stage, but down regulated during metamorphosis in the marine bryozoan Bugula neritina. Sveinsdóttir et al. (2008) found that although the pattern of abundant proteins was largely conserved in two age groups of the Atlantic cod Cadus morhua; type II keratins were dominant in 6 day old larvae while the type I keratins were dominant in the 24 day old larvae.

Of interest is that proteomics not only identify relationships and differences among populations and species, but they also help to explain the relationships from biochemical, physiological and ecological points of view (see López *et al.*, 2001; 2002; López, 2005, 2007a, b; Blank *et al.*, 2005; 2012). This is because differences in protein expression patterns among species or populations are due to adaptation (i.e. acclimation), thus the conditions animals experience in their respective environments or habitats (see below). For example, Martínez-Fernández *et al.* (2008; 2010b) found a difference of about 7-16% in the protein profiles of two ecotypes of the marine snail *Littorina saxatilis* as a result of adaptations to different habitats. The smooth and unbanded (SU) ecotype which lives in wave-exposed (mussel belt) habitat showed regulation of proteins associated with energy metabolism (fructose-bisphosphate aldolase and arginine kinase), while the ridged and banded (RB) ecotype which lives at higher levels (barnacle belt) did not.

In addition, Diz *et al.* (2012b) found that the proteomes of these two ecotypes show ontogenetic differentiation. Differentiation was higher for the RB ecotype than for the SU

ecotype, although the level of protein expression differences was nearly constant from the late embryonic stage to adulthood in both ecotypes. López *et al.* (2002a) found significant differences in protein spots (15 were higher in *Mytilus edulis*, and 22 were higher in *M. galloprovincialis*) between the two mussels found in different geographical habitats. Diz and Skibinski (2007) found significant differences in protein expression between and within species of *M. edulis*, *M. galloprovincialis* and intermediate phenotypes in the mussel hybrid zone, suggesting adaptation to different habitats. In addition to Hsp70, which was more highly expressed in intertidal than in cultured mussels, López *et al.* (2001) found a higher number of protein spots in cultured compared to intertidal individuals of *M. galloprovincialis*, suggesting responses to different ecological conditions.

More importantly, the field of proteomics, specifically 2-DE MS based proteomics, has been increasingly applied to study proteome responses in model (organisms with genome sequence data) and non-model (organisms without genome sequence data) animals including marine species subject to various environmental stresses (see below). This is important given the anticipated effects of climate change when temperature (changes and extremes) and other environmental factors (e.g. pollutants) as well as ocean acidification will threaten marine biodiversity (see Warwick and Turk, 2002; Tomanek, 2008; 2010; 2011; 2012a, b; Helmuth *et al.*, 2010; Piñeiro *et al.*, 2010; etc).

For example, proteomics have been used to screen or analyse changes in protein expression profiles of various marine animals exposed to pollutants or chemicals (see Apraiz *et al.*, 2006; Letendre *et al.*, 2011; Ralston-Hooper *et al.*, 2011; Sanchez *et al.* 2011; Martyniuk and Denslow, 2010; 2012; Campos *et al.*, 2012; 2013; etc). Jonsson *et al.* (2006) found that exposure to diallylphthalate and crude oil affected several microsomal proteins in individuals of the blue mussel *Mytilus edulis*. Leung *et al.* (2011) found that a total of 15 protein spots were differentially expressed in the hepatopancreas and adductor muscles of the green-lipped mussel *Perna viridis* exposed to cadmium and hydrogen peroxide. Rodríguez-Ortega *et al.* (2003) found that 1-2% of the visible proteome of the clam *Chamaelea gallina* was affected by exposure to four pollutants. Amaral *et al.* (2012) found that approximately 5% of the proteome was differentially expressed between individuals of the oyster *Saccostrea glomerata* from acidified and reference sites, with five protein spots being more abundant and one less abundant at the acidified site. Wang *et al.* (2010) found that the protein profiles from

the brains of the zebrafish *Danio rerio* were remarkably altered by exposure to chronic microcystin-LR.

Using a proteomic approach to study the response of barnacle crypid larvae to ocean acidification, Wong *et al.* (2011) found that there was differential expression of proteins which were associated with molecular chaperones, respiration and energy metabolism. This suggests a potential strategy that the barnacle larvae could employ to tolerate ocean acidification stress. Tomanek *et al.* (2011) found that 12% of proteins (including cytoskeleton and oxidative stress proteins) were differentially expressed in the mantle tissues of the eastern oyster *Crassostrea virginica* exposed to hypercapnia. On the other hand, Dineshram *et al.* (2012) found a marked reduction of protein expression (loss of 18% of expressed proteins in control) in the larvae of the pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* after exposure to ocean acidification. Laura *et al.* (2011) found that a number of proteins (which were sometimes switched on in the selectively bred larvae of the Sydney oyster *Saccostrea glomerata* exposed to elevated carbon dioxide. Martin *et al.* (2011) found that the larval stages of the sea urchin *Paracentrotus lividus* showed up-regulation of candidate genes involved in development and biomineralization to simulated ocean acidification.

As for other environmental factors (e.g. pollutants and ocean acidification), studies using proteomics have shown that marine animals show changes in protein expression profiles following exposure to acute or chronic temperature (heat or cold) (see below). For example, Tomanek and Zuzow (2010) found changes in the expression patterns of several proteins (e.g. molecular chaperones, cytoskeletons, etc) in two congener mussels, *Mytilus trossulus* and *M. galloprovincialis*, exposed to acute and chronic heat stress. The cold adapted *M. trossulus* showed more pronounced expression patterns (clearer at the highest temperature) than the warm adapted *M. galloprovincialis* as reflected in thermal tolerances. On the other hand, Fields *et al.* (2012a) also found upregulation of proteins associated with energy metabolism, oxidative stress, chaperoning and cytoskeleton in the same two congeners after acclimation for 4 weeks at cold (7°C) and warm (13 and 19°C) temperatures. Ibarz *et al.* (2010) found that a total of 57 proteins significantly changed (many being down-regulated) in the gilthead seabream *Sparus aurata* exposed to cold (8°C) following acclimation to warm (22°C)

temperatures. Similar changes in protein expression profiles in response to exposure to temperature (acute or chronic) have been found in other gastropods (see Tomanek; 2005; Joyner-Matos *et al.*, 2009; Fields *et al.*, 2012b), crustaceans (see Wang *et al.*, 2007a; Serafini *et al.*, 2011; Dilly *et al.*, 2012), and fishes (see Kültz and Somero, 1996; McLean *et al.*, 2007; Silvestre *et al.*, 2012).

This is also true for other environmental factors such as salinity (Shepard *et al.*, 2000; Lee *et al.*, 2006; Cheng *et al.*, 2009; Tomanek *et al.*, 2012), oxygen (Oehlers *et al.*, 2007; Jiang *et al.*, 2009; Dowd *et al.*, 2010b; Mary *et al.*, 2010), and ultraviolet radiation (Adams *et al.*, 2012; Zubrzycki *et al.*, 2012). For example, Campanale *et al.* (2011) found that exposure to ultraviolet radiation (UV) resulted in 14% change in proteins of the embryos of the purple sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*. Chen *et al.* (2013) found a 9.4% change in proteins (including metabolic enzymes, cytoskeleton and oxygen-binding proteins) in the skeletal muscle of the zebrafish *Danio rerio* exposed to low oxygen. On the other hand, Bosworth *et al.* (2005) found that hypoxia did not affect the general pattern of protein expression in the skeletal muscle of the above fish species, but affected the amounts of six low abundance proteins.

Dowd *et al.* (2010a) found changes in proteins associated with amino acid and inositol metabolism, energy metabolism, protein degradation and cytoskeleton in the gills and rectal gland of the leopard shark *Triakis semifasciata* exposed to low salinity. Chen *et al.* (2009) found differential expression of proteins involved in energy metabolism, biosynthesis, DNA methylation and cell differentiation, etc. in the trunk kidney of the juvenile ayu *Plecoglossus altivelis* exposed to brackish water. Ky *et al.* (2007) found that 362 protein spots were differentially expressed in the gills and intestines of the European sea bass *Dicentrarchus labrax* reared in seawater compared to those from freshwater, with five cytoskeleton and one aromatase cytochrome P450 being over expressed in gills of animals exposed to seawater.

In addition, changes in protein expression profiles to one factor can also be influenced by combination and/or interaction with other factors (see below). This is because multiple factors, rather than single factors (e.g. temperature) are encountered in the natural environment (see Backeljau *et al.*, 2001; Roelofs *et al.*, 2008; Joyner-Matos *et al.*, 2009;

Nicastro *et al.*, 2010; Chapman *et al.*, 2011). For example, Gardeström *et al.* (2007) showed that increased oxygen availability affected the protein profiles of the dogwhelks *Nucella lapillus* when exposed to increased water temperature alone by increasing the similarity between heat shocked and control animals. Pineda *et al.* (2012) found an increase in hsp70 gene expression in the ascidian *Styela plicata* exposed to periodic high temperatures coupled with low salinities.

Shepard *et al.* (2000) reported specific induction and repression of several protein spots in the mussel *Mytilus edulis* exposed to Aroclor 1248, copper and lowered salinity. Kimmel and Bradley (2001) found that temperature-salinity combinations and their extremes resulted in increased differential expression of proteins in the calanoid copepod *Eurytemora affinis*. Kültz and Somero (1996) found that the gill epithelial cells of the fish *Gillichthys mirabilis* showed upregulation of certain proteins after exposure to both temperature [low (10°C) and high (20°C)] and salinity (diluted seawater). Silvestre *et al.* (2010) found that Hsp90, creatine kinase and other proteins or enzymes of green and white sturgeon *Acipenser medirostris* larvae were affected by temperature-selenium combinations, in addition to either factor.

In addition, reactive oxygen species (ROS) and/or oxidative stress which are generated during exposure to a variety of insults or stressors (e.g. change in environmental conditions) have effects (as a co-stressor) on animal proteome responses to environmental factors (see McDonagh and Sheehan, 2006; 2007; Sheehan and McDonagh, 2008; Kassahn *et al.*, 2009; Tomanek, 2011; 2012a; Ibarz *et al.*, 2012; Tomanek *et al.*, 2011; etc).

In summary, marine animals including intertidal ectotherms show different and/or diverse (species and/or tissue-specific) proteome responses in terms of expression and quantity, as a result of adaptation to particular conditions, and the biological functions of different tissues (see above; De Souza *et al.*, 2009; Piñeiro *et al.*, 2010; Abbaraju *et al.*, 2012). Of more interest is that organisms, including marine ectotherms, show changes (up- and down-regulation) in protein expression in response to exposure or adaptation to various environmental conditions or factors. Thus, proteins can either be made in large or small quantities in response to a stimulus, suggesting a potential molecular strategy that animals employ to tolerate environmental stress. The exclusive identification of cytoskeleton,

chaperones, antioxidant, energy production and stress proteins under various conditions or treatments could reflect their relative abundance or their role as major targets of environmental stress or conditions (see above; Rodríguez-Ortega *et al.*, 2003; Kültz *et al.*, 2007; Petrak *et al.*, 2008; Wang *et al.*, 2009; Campos *et al.*, 2013).

Most proteome based studies on marine animals responding to environmental stressors have focused on environmental pollutants and/or ocean acidification, while few have looked at environmental temperatures (see above). Therefore, there is a need to understand the protein response of marine animals, especially intertidal ectotherms such as littorinids, to environmental temperature changes and extremes. This is more important now with the anticipated effects of climate change where the mean global temperatures (including extreme events) have risen and are predicted to continue to rise in the coming years (see above).

Using a proteomic approach, I measured proteins which are expressed by the two co-existing *Afrolittorina* spp. This experiment was intended to find out if there were differences in the total protein profile between (i.e. species) and within (i.e. sizes) of *Afrolittorina* spp. under non-stressed and heat stressed conditions. To accomplish my objectives, non-stressed or heat stressed large and small individuals of *Afrolittorina* spp. were analyzed by 2-DE and their protein profiles compared. This will shed light on how the two species deal with heat stress in their microhabitats (i.e. eulittoral fringe), and their likely molecular responses to climate change. Together with other physiological and molecular techniques, proteomics will help to resolve whether the two southern African *Afrolittorina* spp. respond in different ways to heat stress.

# 5.2. Materials and methods

#### 5.2.1. Study species

Two *Afrolittorina* spp., namely: *A. knysnaensis* and *A. africana* were used. See Chapter 1 for species distribution ranges and patterns of vertical zonation as well as microhabitat use and aestivation behaviour.

#### 5.2.2. Collection and transportation

Specimens of *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis* were collected from the eulittoral zone at Fish River mouth (4°32'N; 114°43'E) on the south coast of South Africa in June 2009. About 50-100 each of large and small individuals of each species that were feeding or had fed within 12 hours (see Chapter 3) were returned to the laboratory in plastic bags placed inside an insulated cool box, treated (see below) and later taken (in an aestivation state) to Hong Kong for proteomic determinations (see below). For transportation to the Swire Institute of Marine Science (SWIMS) at Hong Kong University, Hong Kong, aestivating animals were wrapped in dry paper towels and kept in cabin luggage.

# 5.2.3. Handling and treatment conditions

On arrival at the laboratory (Rhodes University or SWIMS), specimens were washed in seawater, allowed to emerge from their shells and to reattach to 2L lidded plastic containers before being exposed to air, when they exhibited behavioural emergence. Active animals were blotted dry with paper towel and dried using a fan at room temperature (approximately 20°C). Specimens were kept on dry paper towel at room temperature (18-20°C) overnight or for up to five days to induce aestivation.

At the beginning,  $15 \times 5$  small and  $5 \times 5$  large individual snails of each species were taken out (controls or non-stressed), washed in double-distilled water (ddH<sub>2</sub>O), the shell was crushed to remove the soft tissues, which were immediately frozen at -80°C until further use. For heat treatments,  $15 \times 5$  small and  $5 \times 5$  large aestivating individual snails (see above) of each species were placed in 20 ml dry lidded vials that were then placed in an oven set to 20°C. Oven temperature was increased in 5°C increments over 10 minute intervals to reach 45°C, and left for 1 hour at this temperature. Temperature inside the vial was monitored using T-type thermocouples (Cromega and ADInstruments, Australia). After 1 hour at 45°C, the vials were removed from the oven and allowed to cool for 2 hours at room temperature (20°C) prior to processing (see below).

#### 5.2.4. Two-dimensional (2-DE) gel electrophoresis

Two-dimension gel preparation and the subsequent separation were performed according to the optimized larval proteomic protocol of Thiyagarajan and Qian (2008) with minor modification.

#### 5.2.4.1. Sample preparation

For each treatment, 15 small and 5 large individual snails of each species were washed in ddH<sub>2</sub>O and blotted dry with a paper towel. The shells were then crushed with a small hammer on a dry paper towel to remove the soft tissues. The digestive gland was removed using forceps and fine scissors and discarded. The remaining tissues were rinsed in Milli-Q water to remove excess digestive contents, salts and shell fragments before freezing at -80°C for further use.

Thawed soft tissues were washed with Milli-Q water, blotted dry with a paper towel and then lysed in a 2-DE buffer consisting of 7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% CHAPS, 40 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), and 2% Bio-Lyte 3/10 ampholyte. The contents were then solubilised

with a sonicator (Branson Sonifier, 150) on ice to prevent protein denaturation. The homogenates were centrifuged for 20 minutes at 16 000 g and the supernatants were collected into a new labelled Eppendorf tube and immediately quantified or stored at -80°C until use. The soluble protein concentration was quantified with the 2-D quant kit (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden) according to the manufacturer's instructions, and immediately used for 2-DE separation or stored at -80°C until use.

#### 5.2.4.2. Separations

In order to run the first-dimension separation (Isoelectrofocusing, IEF), 500 µg of protein was dissolved in rehydration buffer consisting of 7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 2% CHAPS, 40 mM DTT, 0.2% Bio-Lyte, 3/10 ampholyte and 1% Bromophenol blue. Sample buffer (200 µl containing 500 µg proteins) was applied to 11 cm ReadyStrip IPG strips (Bio-Rad), pH 3-10 (linear), overnight for active rehydration at 50 volts (V) and then subjected to IEF using a Protean IEF Cell (Bio-Rad). Focussing conditions were as follows: 250 V for 20 min, followed by a linear gradient from 250 V to 8000 V over 2.5 hours, and at 8000 V for a total of 60000 V h. The maximum current did not exceed 50 µA per gel. After IEF, the IPG strips were equilibrated for 20 minutes in equilibration buffer 1 (6 M urea, 2% SDS, 0.05 M Tris-HCL (pH 8.8), 50% glycerol, and 2% w/v 1,4-DTT) followed by another 20 min in buffer 2 (identical to buffer 1, but containing 2.5% iodoacetamide instead of DTT).

For second-dimension separation (2-DE gel electrophoresis), the equilibrated IPG strips were inserted on top of the prepared SDS-polyacrylamide gels (18 cm x 18 cm) and sealed with 0.5% w/v agarose. The running buffer was standard Laemmli buffer for SDS-PAGE (modified using 0.2% w/v SDS). The gels were run at room temperature (20°C) at 200 V until the bromophenol blue (marker) front reached the bottom of the gel. After electrophoresis, 2-DE gels were fixed overnight in 50% methanol and 10% acetic acid to remove SDS. The gels were washed 3 times for 30 minutes with Milli-Q water, and then stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 (CBB G-250) for 24 hrs in closed glass containers placed on a shaker. The gels were washed 3 times for 15 minutes each with Milli-

Q water, and then destained with 1% acetic acid and again washed 3 times with Milli-Q water before image acquisition (see below).

# 5.2.4.3. Image and statistical analysis

The gels were scanned at an optical resolution of 400 dpi using the GS-800 densitometer (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA), and analysed using the PDQuest software (ver. 8.0; Bio-Rad), which models protein spots mathematically as a three-dimensional Gaussian distribution and determines the maximum absorption after correction of the raw image and background subtraction. Since 5 gels for each treatment, size and species were of different quality (not shown), 3 high quality gels were chosen for further analysis. Automatic spot detection in each gel was verified by visual inspection in order to ensure spots were all properly detected. Spot intensities were normalized using total density values, and then spot analysis was performed using both qualitative and quantitative modes. Spots that displayed significant statistical differences (p < 0.05; student''s *t*-test on PDQuest software) and with 2-fold or greater change in mean volume with respect to the control were considered differentially (up or down regulated) expressed at the total protein level. The spot analysis in this study assumed normal distribution of spot volumes in replicate gels within each group (non-stressed or stressed treatments).

A dendrogram was constructed using square Euclidian distances (using group average, Resemblance: S17 Bray Curtis similarity on PDQuest software) summed over spots and Ward's method for all protein spots in 24 samples to estimate similarities in the global expression pattern between the control and the heat treatment gels.

# 5.3. Results

# 5.3.1. Two-dimensional gel images of Afrolittorina species

Two-dimensional gel images of both size classes of *Afrolittorina* spp. showed that species, size and treatment all had effects on the protein profiles, thus the proteome of the species investigated (see Fig. 5.1.1-4). Hence, there were differences in the proteomes between and within *Afrolittorina* spp. as a function of species, size and treatment (see below). In addition, there was differential (up and down regulation; indicated by arrows and circles) expression of certain protein spots in non-stressed and heat stressed 2-DE gels in both size classes of *Afrolittorina* spp. (see Fig. 5.1.1-4).



Figure 5.1.1. Representative two-dimensional gel images of (A) non-stressed and (B) heat stressed small individuals of *A. africana*. Arrows and circles indicate protein spots that were differentially expressed between control and treatment groups; dotted circles indicate spots tentatively identified as "Hsps" on the basis of Mr (70 kDA) and pI (pH = 5).



Figure 5.1.2. Representative two-dimensional gel images of (A) non-stressed and (B) heat stressed large individuals of *A. africana*. Arrows and circles indicate protein spots that were differentially expressed between control and treatment groups; dotted circles indicate spots tentatively identified as "Hsps" on the basis of Mr (70 kDA) and pI (pH = 5).



Figure 5.1.3. Representative two-dimensional gel images of (A) non-stressed and (B) heat stressed small individuals of *A. knysnaensis*. Arrows and circles indicate protein spots that were differentially expressed between control and treatment groups; dotted circles indicate spots tentatively identified as "Hsps" on the basis of Mr (70 kDA) and pI (pH = 5).



Figure 5.1.4. Representative two-dimensional gel images of (A) non-stressed and (B) heat stressed large individuals of *A. knysnaensis*. Arrows and circles indicate protein spots that were differentially expressed between control and treatment groups; dotted circles indicate spots tentatively identified as "Hsps" on the basis of Mr (70 kDA) and pI (pH = 5).

# 5.3.2. Protein representation in Afrolittorina spp.

Apart from four non-stressed outliers (two small *A. africana* and one large and one small *A. knysnaensis*; see Fig. 5.2), samples fell into two clear distinct groups (see Fig. 5.2). Each group comprised a single species (*A. africana* or *A. knysnaensis*) with the two size classes largely intermingled, especially in the case of *A. knysnaensis* (see Fig. 5.2A). The single exception was one *A. knysnaensis* (circled in red) individual that was grouped with *A. africana* (see Fig. 5.2). Thus, except for a few samples, there was some grouping according to size and treatment for *A. africana*, while there was no such grouping for *A. knysnaensis* with samples largely interspersed. Furthermore, there was a reasonable degree of inter-individual variation for both species, with grouping occurring around the 50-60% level of similarity (see Fig. 5.2A); also supported by the MDS plot results (see Fig. 5.2B).



Figure 5.2. A Dendrogram (A) and Non-metric MDS plot (B) showing similarities in the global expression pattern of protein spot volume data of 24 samples. Solid lines indicate grouping according to species (blue for *A. africana* and black for *A. knysnaensis*), treatment or size; blue and red dotted lines and circles indicate where there is no such grouping and/or outliers.

Three-way ANOVA of gel data revealed that the only significant effect was species, with no effect of treatment or size, and no significant interactions (see Table 5.1). Thus, there were clear differences in numbers of protein spots between the two *Afrolittorina* spp., with *A. knysnaensis* showing more protein spots than *A. africana* irrespective of size or treatment (see Table 5.2 and Fig. 5.3). Although the difference was non-significant, small individuals of both groups generally showed higher numbers of spots than large individuals (see Table 5.2 and Fig. 5.3), though non-stressed large individuals of *A. knysnaensis* showed the highest values of all (see Table 5.2 and Fig. 5.3). Except for large individuals of *A. knysnaensis*, for which the non-stressed group showed more protein spots than the stressed group, large and small individuals of stressed groups showed higher spot numbers than non-stressed groups (see Table 5.2 and Fig. 5.3); though the difference was not significant (see Fig. 5.3). However, numbers of differentially expressed proteins were higher for *A. africana* than for *A. knysnaensis* (see Table 5.3 and Fig. 5.4), and this was irrespective of size or treatment.

| Variables              | Degree of<br>Freedom | Mean<br>Square | F- ratios | P values |
|------------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------|----------|
| Species                | 1                    | 158113         | 11.6217   | 0.003591 |
| Size                   | 1                    | 8894           | 0.6537    | 0.430654 |
| Treatment              | 1                    | 20184          | 1.4836    | 0.240874 |
| Interactions           |                      |                |           |          |
| Species*Size           | 1                    | 25091          | 1.8442    | 0.193300 |
| Species*Treatment      | 1                    | 7704           | 0.5663    | 0.462671 |
| Size*Treatment         | 1                    | 2091           | 0.1537    | 0.700226 |
| Species*Size*Treatment | 1                    | 5104           | 0.3752    | 0.548808 |

Table 5.1. Three way-ANOVA results of protein spots for non-stressed and stressed *Afrolittorina* spp. from warm temperate region of South Africa.

Bold and Italics numbers indicates significant difference.\* = interaction/s

Table 5.2. Mean ( $\pm$  SD) number of protein spots for littorinid snails of the genus *Afrolittorina* from the warm temperate region of South Africa.

|              | A. africana  |               | A. knysnaensis |               |
|--------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| Treatment    | Large        | Small         | Large          | Small         |
| Non-stressed | 315.00±75.55 | 428.66±163.47 | 607.00±125.37  | 533.00±153.86 |
| Stressed     | 419.33±12.66 | 512.00±100.58 | 581.33±125.37  | 603.00±78.619 |

N = 3 for each group



Figure 5.3. Mean number of protein spots for non-stressed and stressed *Afrolittorina* species. Histograms are means plus SD of three replicate gels. Letters indicate homogenous groups as determined using 3-way ANOVA.
In *A. africana*, stressed individuals showed higher protein spot numbers than non-stressed individuals (see Table 5.2 and Fig. 5.3); the differences in mean values being 104 and 84 for large and small individuals, respectively. In addition, small individuals of both groups showed higher numbers of protein spots than large individuals (see Table 5.2). There was slight difference in differential expressed proteins between large and small individuals (see Table 5.3 and Fig. 5.4).

On the other hand, for *A. knysnaensis*, unexpectedly non-stressed large individuals had higher numbers of protein spots (approximately 26) than stressed individuals; while for small individuals the results was as expected, with more protein spots (approximately 70) in stressed than non-stressed individuals (see Table 5.2). Once again in the non-stressed group, large individuals showed higher protein spot numbers than small individuals; while for the stressed group, small individuals showed more protein spots than large individuals (see Table 5.2 and Fig. 5.3). As for *A. africana*, there was a clear difference in differential expressed proteins between large and small individuals (see Table 5.3 and Fig. 5.4).

| Table 5.3. Number of differentially (up and down regulated) expressed proteins between non- |
|---|
| stressed and stressed Afrolittorina spp. from the warm temperate region of South Africa.    |

|          | A. africana |       | A. knysnaensis |       |
|----------|-------------|-------|----------------|-------|
| Analysis | Large       | Small | Large          | Small |
| Stati    | 31          | 31    | 20             | 12    |
| Quali    | 10          | 14    | 9              | 0     |

Stati = mean differential expressed protein spots according to Students'' t-test (p < 0.05); Quali = differentially expressed protein spots according to 2 fold or more change only (does not account for replicate variability)



Figure 5.4. Number of differentially (up and down regulated) expressed proteins between non-stressed and stressed *Afrolittorina* species. Histograms are means of differential expressed proteins. Stati = mean differential expressed protein spots according to Students'' t-test; Quali = differentially expressed protein spots according to 2 fold or more change only (does not account for replicate variability). Letters indicate significant differences between species, based on the statistics data (Students'' t-test, p < 0.05).

## 5.4. Discussion and conclusion

Environmental temperatures (i.e. changes and extremes) are known to have effects on the physiology and distribution of intertidal animals including littorinid snails (see below). This is because animals" physiological processes are influenced by body temperature which is in turn under the control of environmental (i.e. habitat) temperature (see Tomanek and Somero, 1999; Dahlhoff *et al.*, 2001; 2002; Helmuth *et al.*, 2002; 2010; Pörtner, 2010; Tomanek, 2008; 2010; Somero, 2010; etc). In addition, temperature can penetrate physical barriers and damage the structure of virtually all macromolecules including proteins (see Place and Hofmann, 2001; Hickey and Singer, 2004; Serafini *et al.*, 2011; Fields *et al.*, 2012a), resulting in cell or whole organism malfunction and/or death. Thus, in addition to its effects on the rate of physiological or biochemical processes, temperature also affects the structure of macromolecules, and in turn plays an important role in limiting the distribution (geographical and vertical) patterns of animals, especially marine intertidal ectotherms.

The effect of temperature on physiology (including protein homeostasis) is important for intertidal animals, particularly littorinids since they live in harsh and fluctuating environments (see McMahon, 1990; 2001b; Jones and Boulding, 1999; Emson *et al.*, 2002; Muñoz *et al.*, 2005; 2008; Judge *et al.*, 2011). In addition, intertidal animals are amongst those expected to experience the strongest impacts of global warming (see Tebaldi *et al.*, 2006; Thuiller, 2007; Tomanek, 2008; Stillman and Tagmount, 2009; Provan and Maggs, 2012). Thus, in the light of rapid global warming, the question arises as to how intertidal animals that are already living close to their physiological limits will respond to or deal with temperature changes and extremes in their habitats. Shifts in distribution ranges, and possible extinction are common symptoms of climate change in intertidal ectotherms (see Backeljau *et al.*, 2001; Warwick and Turk, 2002; Kassahn *et al.*, 2007; Tomanek, 2008; 2012b; Piñeiro *et al.*, 2010; Nguyen *et al.*, 2011). Thus, some species will show a contraction or expansion in distribution (geographic and within shores) ranges, while others will disappear (go extinct) in response to global warming (see above).

Therefore, knowledge of protein expression patterns or cellular (molecular) stress response is necessary to understand the direct link between climate change and an animal"s physiological response (see Kültz, 2003; Somero, 2002; 2010; Tomanek, 2008; 2010; 2012a, b; Piñeiro *et al.*, 2010; Tepler *et al.*, 2011; etc). Although, it is critical to understand how organisms will respond to environmental change and fluctuations due to global warming, differential expression of proteins (proteome plasticity), especially in littorinids in response to temperature change has not yet been explored (see below). This is despite the fact that littorinids and other intertidal ectotherms respond to elevated temperatures (changes or extremes) by launching a heat shock (Hsps) response (see Feder and Hofmann, 1999; Lee and Boulding, 2010; Tomanek, 2008; 2010; Judge *et al.*, 2011; Marshall *et al.*, 2011, etc).

Recently, two-dimensional electrophoresis (2-DE) based proteomics has emerged as a highly useful tool to study global protein expression patterns following heat and other stress in a variety of animals. This is because 2-DE based proteomics can display differentially expressed proteins between a treatment and a control group or under specific conditions (see above). In addition, the proteome is increasingly being studied to identify key molecules involved in normal physiological pathways (see Kimmel and Bradley, 2001; Gardeström *et al.*, 2007; Teranishi and Stillman, 2007; Sørensen, 2010; Tomanek and Zuzow, 2010). A similar approach was used in this study to understand the impact of heat stress on global protein expression pattern in littorinids of the genus *Afrolittorina* from a temperate region.

The two *Afrolittorina* spp. studied here have distinct geographical distribution patterns that can be hypothesized to reflect differences in their proteome and molecular responses to thermal stress. As expected, their proteomes differed, with significantly higher numbers of protein spots in both size classes of *A. knysnaensis* than *A. africana*. This reflects their geographical distributions; *A. africana* extends just into the subtropical parts of the coast, while *A. knysnaensis* is found in the cool temperate region (see McQuaid and Scherman, 1988; Grant and Lang, 1991; McQuaid, 1992; d'Errico *et al.*, 2008). Thus, the differences in geography and the conditions experienced can explain the differences in proteomes found in these species. Although *Afrolittorina* spp. are of temperate origins (see Hartnoll, 1976; Reid, 1989; 2002; Williams *et al.*, 2003; Reid and Williams, 2004), the occurrence of *A. africana* in

the subtropical region suggests that it is more tolerant of heat stress than *A. knysnaensis*, which is predominantly found in the cool temperate region.

However, it must be noted that *A. africana* is restricted to lower levels on the shore in the subtropics where it also adopts different habitat use, preferring shallow pools and their edges, suggesting that conditions in this biogeographic region are stressful for it. Therefore, it would be important to investigate the effect of geographical distribution (regions) in the proteomes of these two species since they are found in more than one region, and so adapted to different conditions. Thus, studying the proteome differences between and within species will help explain the proteome differences found in this study. This is supported by studies on ecotypes of the snail *Littorina saxatilis* (Martínez-Fernández *et al.*, 2008; 2010a; Diz *et al.*, 2012b), mussels of the genus *Mytilus* (Lopez *et al.*, 2001; 2002a; Diz and Skibinski, 2007; Tomanek and Zuzow, 2010) and teleost fishes of the genus *Fundulus* (Rees *et al.*, 2010), which showed that species adapted to different habitats (i.e. conditions) have different proteomes. See also below.

The two species overlap extensively in the warm temperate region where they co-exist and use the same microhabitats (see McQuaid and Scherman, 1988, McQuaid, 1992; d'Errico *et al.*, 2008). Thus, one could expect a slight or no difference in the proteomes of the two species in the warm temperate region. Results of thermal tolerance (see Chapter 3; McQuaid and Scherman, 1988; Marshall unpub. data) and heart function measurements (see Chapter 4) indicate a small (1-2°C) difference in heat tolerance and endpoint temperatures (EPTs) between these two species in the warm temperate and other regions. Therefore, the greater number of protein spots in the proteomes of in *A. knysnaensis* might be explained by its lower resistance to heat stress than *A. africana* as shown by results for thermal tolerance and heart function.

Situations where species overlap in distribution and show different responses can be explained by different microhabitat use (however, no study has investigated the microhabitat use by these two *Afrolittorina* spp.), but in this case shell colour may also be important as is the case in other animals (see Wilkens and Fingerman, 1965; Markel, 1971; Phifer-Rixey *et* 

*al.*, 2008; Miller and Denny, 2011). The brown-black *A. knysnaensis* is expected to absorb more radiation and heat up to a greater degree than the light-coloured *A. africana* (see McQuaid and Scherman, 1988; McQuaid, 1992; 1996a). Even though black or dark bodies are known to absorb a larger fraction of solar radiation, the heat gained remains near the surface and is easily removed by either re-radiation, convection or air cooling (see Britton and Morton, 2003; Phifer-Rixey *et al.*, 2008). This might also apply to *A. knysnaensis* since the body temperatures of the two species did not differ despite their colour differences (unpub. data). In addition, one cannot expect differences in heat loads (stress) between the two species due to colour differences since waterbaths were used as the heat source in this study.

Few studies have looked at protein (proteome) changes or expression patterns between closely related species (congeners) which co-exist and prefer the same microhabitats so that they experience similar environmental conditions (see below). For example, Serafini *et al.* (2011) found differences in the proteome of sea squirts of the genus *Ciona* collected from the same level (subtidal) when exposed to acute heat stress, with *C. intenstinalis* showing higher levels of constitutive molecular chaperones than its congener *C. savignyi*. Silvestre *et al.* (2010) found differences in the proteome between the green (34 of 551 detected protein spots showed variation in abundance) and white (9 of 580 detected protein spots showed variation in abundance) and white (9 of 580 detected protein spots showed variations and exposed to the same heat stress. In Dilly *et al.* (2012), animals of the hydrothermal vent polychaete genus *Paralvinella* showed differences in protein expression patterns, with the extremely thermotolerant species *P. sulfincola* showing upregulation of glutathione and Hsps and downregulation of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) and succinate dehydrogenase, while the cold-adapted congener *P. palmiformis* showed an increase in Hsps only.

Most studies on congeners were done on animals from or adapted to different habitats (geographical or vertical), which therefore experience different conditions, or focused on a single species (see below). In general, animals from warm environments (e.g. tropical and intertidal species) show different proteomes compared to those from cold environments (e.g. temperate and subtidal species). Thus, animals from cold environments show greater changes

in protein expressions profiles than those from warm environments when exposed to the same temperatures (see below; Dilly *et al.*, 2012; Serafini *et al.*, 2010). For example, Fields *et al.* (2012b) found that protein expression patterns varied among specimens of *Geukensia demissa* from different locations, with 31 out of 448 proteins changing in abundance in the northernmost (Maine) group compared to 5-11 proteins in the four southern groups. Sanders *et al.* (1991) showed that the high intertidal zone limpet *Collisella scabra* showed higher levels of Hsp70 and lower molecular weight Hsps than the congeneric species *C. pelta*, which lives lower on the shore in the upper midtidal. Tomanek and Zuzow (2010) found species-specific changes (which were more pronounced in the cold adapted *Mytilus trossulus* than in the warm adapted *M. galloprovincialis*) in protein expression patterns (e.g. proteins involved in molecular chaperoning, protein degradation, cytoskeleton, energy metabolism, life span, etc.) of two species exposed to acute heat stress. Tomanek (2005) found a decrease in the synthesis of most highly expressed Hsps in the heat-sensitive, low- to subtidal snails *Tegula brunnea* and *T. montereyi* compared to the heat-tolerant mid- to low-intertidal congener *T. funebralis*.

This was also supported by results for Hsps, with animals from hot environments expressing more Hsps than those from cold environments (see Roberts *et al.*, 1997; Carpenter and Hofmann, 2000; Fangue *et al.*, 2006; Madeira *et al.*, 2012c; etc). Thus, congeners or species from different habitats show different protein expression or cellular responses to heat stress. Also see results of genomics and/or transcriptomics studies (see Kassahn *et al.*, 2007; Teranishi and Stillman, 2007; Richier *et al.*, 2008; Buckley and Somero, 2009; Stillman and Tagmount, 2009; Lockwood *et al.*, 2010; Place *et al.*, 2012; Schoville *et al.*, 2012; etc).

With the exception of non-stressed large individuals of *A. knysnaensis*, small individuals had a greater number of proteins spots in their proteome than did large individuals. This was expected, and is explained by their position on the shore as seen for thermal tolerance (see Chapter 3). Small individuals (assumed to be juveniles) of *Afrolittorina* spp. are found lower on the shore where they are frequently wetted by incoming tides while large individuals (assumed to be adults) occupy higher shore levels and are only wetted by wave splash during high tides. Thus, the greater number of protein spots in the proteome of juveniles/small individuals in this study might be explained by the synthesis of inducible Hsps. On the other hand, adults, which are found at the highest levels on the shore might benefit from constitutive Hsps with no need to synthesise new Hsps during heating. This predicts differences between size classes in protein expression in response to heat stress, which was the case in this study. Small animals showed a greater difference between control and treatment individuals than large animals (see Table 3.2 and Fig. 5.3).

However, juveniles are not always found lower on the shore, nor are adults always higher (see Vermeij, 1972; Boulding and Van Alstyne, 1993; Saier, 2000; Emson *et al.*, 2002). For example, juveniles of *A. knysnaensis* have been described as generally occurring higher on the shore than adults (McQuaid, 1981a, b; d"Errico *et al.*, 2008), though this was in the cool temperate region where heat stress may be less critical and was assumed to relate to wave action. Since it was not investigated if small individuals are sexually mature or not, my results need to be treated with caution. If adults are indeed found higher on the shore than juveniles, the results suggest that the basis for resisting heat stress may differ between large and small individuals. Such size-specific differences may thus either account for or reflect different distribution patterns on the shore.

Alternatively, the perception or experience of heat stress may differ with size. For example, one size class (adults in this case) may experience stress and respond, while the other (juveniles) does not experience the stress and so does not show a proteomic response. Since no study has looked at the effect of size, it will be premature at this stage to make conclusions about size-specific differences in proteome response to heat stress. However, it must be noted that animals show changes in proteome during development or ontogeny (see above; Sveinsdóttir *et al.*, 2008; Diz *et al.*, 2012b). Thus, an animal"s proteome may change as early as the first stage of development with continued change through acclimatization of the adult and adaptation of the following generations (see Tomanek, 2010; 2012a).

The greater number of protein spots in the proteome of non-stressed large individuals of *A*. *knysnaensis* (true results) than for both classes and groups was unexpected, and warrants further investigation. This might be explained by the fact that high intertidal animals such as littorinids (in this case large individuals) show or maintain high constitutive Hsps (see

Sanders *et al.*, 1991; Robert *et al.*, 1997; Nakano and Iwama, 2002; Sorte and Hofmann, 2005; Berger and Emlet, 2007; etc) and this can be true for other proteins. Though energetically expensive, expression of constitutive Hsps (also expressed during normal conditions) may protect existing protein pools during periods of acute and chronic stress, and thus reduce the subsequent higher costs of *de novo* protein synthesis (see Somero, 2002; Halpin *et al.* 2004; Podrabsky and Somero, 2007; Dong *et al.*, 2008a; Sørensen, 2010). Therefore, adults of *A. knysnaensis* might employ a strategy of maintaining a greater number of constitutive Hsps and other stress proteins than juveniles and both sizes of *A. africana*.

It appeared that the protein expression pattern was affected by heat stress (see Fig. 5.1.1-4 and 5.4). Thus, subsequent statistical analysis revealed dramatic heat stress-dependent changes in several protein spots, the magnitude of which was greater in both classes of A. *africana* than for A. *knysnaensis*. Unfortunately, none of these differentially expressed proteins were excised from the gels and identified. Among these differentially expressed proteins, some were downregulated and others were upregulated (see Fig. 5.1.1-4). The downregulated and upregulated heat responsive proteins can be treated as part of protein expression signatures (PES), and could help us to understand the molecular or cellular responses to heat stress in these species and other littorinids or ectotherms.

Intertidal ectotherms are subjected to thermal and desiccation stress, and at temperatures near the upper limit of thermotolerance, stress proteins, in particular Hsps, are the major proteins synthesised. Thus, during heat stress, Hsps (mostly inducible) are rapidly synthesised and are responsible for the protection of protein homeostasis (see Feder and Hofmann, 1999; Sørensen *et al.*, 2003; Tomanek, 2002; 2008; 2010; Sørensen, 2010; Zerebecki and Sorte, 2011). This is because heat shock proteins are molecular chaperons that prevent aggregation of heat-damaged proteins and facilitate their renaturation or removal following a heat shock (see Feder, 1999; Feder and Hofmann, 1999; Sørensen *et al.*, 2003; Sørensen, 2010). Heat shock proteins are also involved in thermal tolerance and acclimation (see Buchner, 1996; Krebs and Bettencourt, 1999; González-Riopedre *et al.*, 2007; Dong and Dong, 2008) through stabilization, protection and repair of macromolecular structure and function (see Place and Hofmann, 2001; Pörtner, 2002b; Kültz, 2003; Meistertzheim *et al.*, 2007; Podrabsky and Somero, 2007), and as such need to be upregulated during exposure to

sublethal temperatures. In that case, one would expect *Afrolittorina* species to upregulate Hsps and other stress proteins following acclimation as seen in other studies (see Kültz and Somero, 1996; Tomanek; 2005; McLean *et al.*, 2007; Ibarz *et al.*, 2010; Tomanek and Zuzow, 2010; Fields *et al.*, 2012a). Therefore, it would be important to investigate the effect of acclimation, especially season, on the proteome and/or heat shock response of *Afrolittorina* spp. and other littorinids. This will help explain why littorinids in this study showed little and/or failed to acclimate both thermal tolerances and metabolic rates (see Chapter 3 and 4).

Studies using proteomics have also shown that various marine animals including intertidal ectotherms upregulate Hsps (e.g. Hsp70 or 90) in response to heat stress (see below). For example, Sanders *et al.* (1991) found that the intertidal limpets *Collisella scabra* and *C. pelta* showed upregulation of Hsp70 and lower molecular weight Hsps in response to heat (acute or chronic) stress. In Tomanek and Zuzow (2010), the cold tolerant *Mytilus trossulus* upregulated three Hsp70 isoforms at 32°C, while the warm adapted *M. galloprovincialis* did not. In addition, *M. trossulus* showed increasing levels of several Hsp70 and small Hsps isoforms at lower temperatures than *M. galloprovincialis*. This is also supported by results on genomics or transcriptomics (see Lund *et al.*, 2002; Buckley *et al.*, 2004; 2006; Buckley and Somero, 2009; Stillman and Tagmount, 2009; Truebano *et al.*, 2010; Lockwood *et al.*, 2012; etc) studies. For example, Clarke *et al.* (2008) found that the bivalve *Laternula elliptica* and the gastropod *Nucella concinna* significantly upregulated the Hsp70 gene in response to increased temperatures. Logan and Somero (2011) also found that the eurythermal fish *Gillichthys mirabilis* acclimated at different temperatures upregulated the Hsp70 gene in response to acute heat stress.

Although proteins (e.g. Hsps) were only tentatively identified in this study by looking at the molecular weight of the spots (70 kDA) and their isoelectric point (pH of 5) see Fig. 5.1.1-4, spots provisionally identified as Hsps and other stress related proteins were significantly upregulated in response to heat stress. Such upregulation is likely to offer protection to heat exposed animals, although intertidal animals generally have high levels of constitutive Hsps, and so do not need to synthesize new Hsps (see above). Thus, littorinids could benefit from constitutive Hsps as a long-term response to heat stress. Only future investigations can confirm the nature of this hypothetical correlation between high production of Hsps or stress

proteins and tolerance or response to heat stress. This could be done by investigation of the heat shock response using the widely used Hsps (e.g. Hsp70/90) methods (see above).

Along with these heat stress related proteins, expression of other proteins such as chaperones, metabolic and cytoskeleton proteins might also change substantially in response to heat stress, as is the case in other studies (see below; Gardeström *et al.*, 2007; Tomanek, 2010; Tomanek and Zuzow, 2010). Serafini *et al.* (2010) found changes in a number of protein functional groups, with cytoskeleton proteins showing higher levels of expression in *Ciona intenstinalis* than *C. savignyi* after exposure to heat stress. Fields *et al.* (2012b) found that warm and cold acclimation caused changes in cytoskeleton, energy metabolism, oxidative stress, and chaperone proteins in the mussels *Mytilus trossulus* and *M. galloprovincialis*. In addition, cold-adapted *M. trossulus* showed increased abundances of chaperone proteins at 19°C, while warm-adapted *M. galloprovincialis* did not. Silvestre *et al.* (2010) found that the proteins involved in protein folding and degradation, energy supply and structural proteins showed increased abundance in the larval stages of the sturgeon *Acipenser medirostris*, while those involved in the synthesis of proteins other than Hsps showed a decrease in abundance. Overall, my results suggest that both stress and other proteins (e.g. metabolism and cytoskeleton related proteins) are strongly induced by heat stress.

On the other hand, proteins that are not involved in maintenance or protection of animals from heat are likely to be suppressed under heat stress. In fact, severe heat shock involves a variety of other effects including suppression of protein synthesis other than Hsps production (see Roelofs *et al.*, 2008; Tomanek, 2012a, b). This is because production of such proteins can be energetically expensive (see Somero, 2002; Clarke, 2003; Stillman, 2002; Sokolova *et al.*, 2012; Fitzgerald-Dehoog *et al.* 2012) so that animals need to suppress or cease their synthesis to save energy. Unsurprisingly, certain protein spots were down regulated or completely disappeared after heat exposure (see B in comparison with A in Fig. 5.1.1-4). Such down regulation may be associated with metabolic depression in response to heat stress.

There are many strategies that marine organisms employ to tolerate heat stress, and one of these involves metabolic depression. For instance, littorinids tend to depress their metabolic

rates and energy metabolism in response to heat stress (see Chapter 4; Sokolova and Pörtner, 2001b; 2003; Marshall and McQuaid, 2010; Marshall *et al*, 2010; 2011). In other marine species, genes or proteins involved in metabolic, energy production, cell growth and proliferation, protein biosynthesis and cell cycle arrest or apoptosis pathways were down regulated in response to heat stress (Buckley *et al.*, 2006; Gracey *et al.*, 2008; Boutet *et al.*, 2009; Tomanek and Zuzow, 2010; Dilly *et al.*, 2012; Fields *et al.*, 2012a; Silvestre *et al.*, 2012; etc). Therefore, one would expect the down regulation of a large number of proteins in response to heat stress in order to conserve energy as one of several compensatory responses. My results provide preliminary evidence to support this hypothesis.

In summary, there were differences in global proteins between and within species, with A. knysnaensis showing higher protein expression than A. africana of both size classes. This suggests differences (distinct) in molecular strategies, and thus the cost of living, used by the two species to survive heat stress in the littoral zone. I predict that A. knysnaensis might employ a strategy of maintaining higher constitutive Hsps and other stress related proteins, while A. africana synthesize or induce more Hsps when exposed to heat stress. Of interest was the differential expression of certain proteins (the magnitude of which was greater in both sizes of A. africana though the proteins were not identified), after exposure to heat stress. Importantly, expression of other proteins appears to be suppressed; however, further *in* vitro tests should be performed to confirm this finding. Nevertheless, demonstrated differential expression patterns of proteins appear to be a part of biochemical compensatory mechanisms (a short-term adaptive response) in littorinids to heat stress. Thus, to cope with heat stress, intertidal animals could adjust the expression patterns of proteins as a short-term adaptation (i.e. acclimation). This is a common strategy adopted by organisms to tolerate abiotic stressors, known as a "plastic proteome response" (see López et al. 2001; McLean et al., 2007; Silvestre et al., 2012; Tomanek, 2012a, b).

In addition, the differentially expressed proteins might allow these species and other littorinids or intertidal ectotherms to survive environmental (temperature) change during climate change. However, for firm conclusions and to test this hypothesis, future studies should identify and confirm the functional role of heat stress responsive proteins (e.g. Hsps and other stress proteins or enzymes) in stress tolerance. Rapid advances in proteomic studies

in non-model marine organisms have just begun to uncover the proteins that are plastic and are responsible for stress tolerance. Thus, the application of proteome maps generated using conventional 2-DE technique and recent advances in mass spectrometry for protein identification will provide insights into the effects of environmental temperature change on animals at molecular levels. This will be complimented by developments and advances in sequencing animals" genomes (see Galindo *et al.*, 2010; Canbäck *et al.*, 2012). This study therefore underlines the importance of proteomics as a tool in environmental change. In fact the concept has already been employed in a number of studies in marine gastropods such as snails and bivalves (see Gardeström *et al.*, 2007; Joyner-Matos *et al.*, 2009; Tomanek and Zuzow, 2010; Fields *et al.*, 2012a, b; etc), crustaceans (Kimmel and Bradley, 2001; Wang *et al.*, 2007a; Serafini *et al.*, 2011; Dilly *et al.*, 2012; etc), fishes (Kültz and Somero, 1996; McLean *et al.*, 2007; Ibarz *et al.*, 2010; Silvestre *et al.*, 2012; etc).

## **CHAPTER 6:** Synthesis

Temperature is the main driving force behind many ecological processes, and many studies have established the effects of temperature (changes and extremes) on the distribution and abundance as well as the phenology and physiology of animals, including marine ectotherms (Miller, 2006; Calosi *et al.*, 2008; Harley *et al.*, 2009; Somero, 2010; 2011; 2012; Walther, 2010; Chapperon and Seuront, 2012; etc). This is particularly important given the problem of "global warming" with climate change related rises in temperature (air and sea surface) and extreme heat events already threatening biodiversity (Fields *et al.*, 1993; Clark *et al.*, 2000; Thuiller, 2007; Omann *et al.*, 2009) and this has been linked to the contraction or expansion of distribution ranges and local extinctions (Barry *et al.*, 1992; Backeljau *et al.*, 2001; Walther *et al.*, 2002; Clark, 2006; Sorte *et al.*, 2010; etc).

As such, there is a need to understand the physiological and behavioural responses (i.e. adaptations) of animals to perturbations in environmental temperature, as well as the biochemical mechanisms that allow these responses. Thus, it is important to understand the mechanisms that determine an organism's thermal niche, especially in the case of ectotherms whose body temperature (and thus performance) is determined by that of the environment (Helmuth *et al.*, 2002; 2006a, b; 2010; Sørensen and Loescheke, 2007; Pincebourde *et al.*, 2008; Lima *et al.*, 2011; etc). This is particularly true for littorinids as they live in harsh and fluctuating environments (i.e. the extreme interface between land and sea), often living close to their physiological limits, and temperature gradients generally correlate with species distributions and abundances (McMahon, 1990; 2001b; Jones and Boulding, 1999; Emson *et al.*, 2002; Muñoz *et al.*, 2005; 2008; Judge *et al.*, 2009; 2011; etc).

Temperature pervasive effects on physiological and biochemical systems are reflected in the suite of temperature adaptive differences observed among species and/or populations from different thermal niches, such as species with different distributions along the subtidal to intertidal or tropical to polar gradients (McMahon, 1990; 2001b; Stillman and Somero, 1996; 1999; Sokolova and Pörtner, 2001b; 2003; Somero, 2002; 2010; Helmuth *et al.*, 2002; etc).

Whole organism thermal tolerance, metabolic rates and protein synthesis are amongst the physiological and biochemical traits that exhibit adaptive variation related to distributions; and some, but not all, of these thermally sensitive traits show acclimation (adjustment), which leads to adaptive shifts in thermal optima and limits or thresholds (Markel, 1974; Fields *et al.*, 1993; Stenseng *et al.*, 2005; Calosi *et al.*, 2008; Feidantsis *et al.*, 2009; Tomanek, 2008; etc).

This study used different approaches to investigate physiological and biochemical responses to heat stress in littorinid snails from different latitudes, regions and shore levels. An understanding of animals" temperature tolerances, and the plasticity or flexibility of those tolerances enables us to make inferences about what will happen to their distributions and abundances during climate change. In addition, the genetic diversity of *Afrolittorina* spp. was also investigated as it is accepted that marine animals and plants with low genetic diversity will be more threatened by the effects of global warming than those with high genetic diversity (Fields et al., 1993; Visser, 2008; Hoffman and Willi, 2008; Brierley and Kingsford, 2009; Provan and Maggs, 2012). Thus, genetic (high) diversity might buffer the effects of global warming by providing resilience (Ehlers et al., 2008; Bergmann et al., 2010; Barshis et al., 2010), but this needs to be proven or investigated. If fact, fitness in heterogeneous and/or uncertain environments is positively correlated with higher heterozygosity, thus genetic diversity (Nevo, 1978; Noy et al., 1987; Hawkins, 1995; Laudien et al., 2003; Schmidt et al., 2007). Thus, species with adequate genetic diversity or variation to generate phenotypes (Pigliucci et al., 2006) with different tolerances and optima, may be "winners" during global warming (Somero, 2010).

The results indicate differences in the physiological and biochemical responses of the study species to heat stress that seem to relate to differences in biogeography, phylogeny and species ecology. Thus, thermal tolerance, heart function and proteomics data indicate that there are inter- and intraspecific differences in the responses to heat stress of littorinid snails as a result of temperature adaptive differences amongst species and/or populations from different habitats. The tropical and subtropical species, which occupy the eulittoral fringe, showed higher tolerances (thresholds and limits) than the subtropical/temperate species which are found in the eulittoral zones. This was supported by heart performance, with tropical species showing good metabolic regulation followed by the subtropical and temperate

species, respectively. This agrees with the hypothesis that temperature tolerances in marine animals show a decrease from the tropics to polar regions in both eulittoral fringe and lower shore species (McMahon, 1990; 2001b; Calosi *et al.*, 2008; Somero, 2010; Sorte *et al.*, 2011; Sunday *et al.*, 2012).

However, there were few or no differences in the thresholds and limits or the heart performance of *Afrolittorina* spp. from different regions. On the other hand, the proteome results for these *Afrolittorina* spp. showed differences in global proteins between and within species, with both size classes of *A. knysnaensis* showing higher protein expression than those for *A. africana*. However, differential expression of certain proteins after exposure to heat stress was greater in both size classes of *A. africana* than in *A. knysnaensis*. This suggests differences in molecular strategies, and thus the cost of living, used by these two species to survive heat stress in the littoral zone. The two congeners seem therefore to utilise different approaches for resisting heat stress. *A. knysnaensis*, with a distributional range into generally cooler conditions seems to employ a strategy of maintaining higher constitutive Hsps and other stress related proteins, while *A. africana*, which extends into warmer conditions, synthesizes or induces more Hsps when exposed to heat stress. This reflects the fact that the temperate/subtropical species is better equipped to cope with high temperatures than the temperate species.

I have shown that diversity in the metabolic rates, thresholds and/or limits as well as proteomes in this study is related to evolutionary adaptive responses to probable maximal habitat temperatures. Thus, latitudinal and vertical temperature adaptations lead to shifts in limit and threshold temperatures. Differences or shifts in limits and thresholds have been found to reflect evolutionary adaptations in other animals, including littorinids (Dahlhoff *et al.*, 1991; Stillman and Somero, 1996; 1999; Stenseng *et al.*; 2005; Braby and Somero, 2006). The same is true for protein profiles or proteomes (López *et al.*, 2002a; Diz and Skibinski, 2007; Martínez-Fernández *et al.*, 2008; 2010b; Diz *et al.*, 2012b). The threshold and limit temperatures of the study species were several degrees above current maximum air temperatures, and well above predicted climatically derived estimates of global warming. This suggests that the study species live far from their upper thermal tolerance limits and that the current global warming trend is still unlikely to be dangerous to them.

Predictions of climate change are traditionally derived from modelled changes in air and sea surface temperatures which in the case of high shore littorinids and other ectotherms may be misleading as much of their heat can be derived from either contact with the substratum or from solar radiation (Britton and Morton, 2003; Broitman *et al.*, 2009; Miller and Denny, 2011; Zippy and Helmuth, 2012). Thus, air and sea surface temperatures are largely irrelevant to high shore animals<sup>ee</sup> body temperature in the field, but higher solar or UV radiation and substratum temperatures are important (Wethey, 2002; Clark, 2006; Gilman *et al.*, 2006; Helmuth *et al.*, 2006a, b; 2011; Chapperon and Seuront, 2011a, b).

By demonstrating the existence of fixed physiological and biochemical differences between species from different geographic regions, this study provides evidence that environmental temperature adaptation at the organismal, physiological and molecular levels is important for the maintenance of dissimilar biogeographies, and likewise for distribution among shore levels. The results also confirm that littorinids can tolerate high temperature stress, and are therefore well suited to life in the intertidal zones where temperature and other stresses are extreme and can change abruptly. In the short term, littorinids are tolerant of the high temperatures that they are likely to experience on the shore, and can also survive temporary exposure to supernormal temperatures. In fact, littorinids can regulate their metabolism within the sub-lethal temperature range experienced under natural conditions (this study; Sokolova and Pörtner, 2003; Marshall and McQuaid, 2010; Marshall *et al.*, 2010; 2011) and can adjust the expression of proteins (e.g. Hsps) as part of a biochemical response to heat stress (this study; Lee and Boulding, 2010; Judge *et al.*, 2011; Marshall *et al.*, 2011).

The present study reveals that both the stresses found and mechanisms or strategies utilized in physiological and biochemical adaptations to high temperature exposure by subtropical and temperate littorinids are similar to those utilized by the littorinids from the tropics. Therefore, high thermal tolerance, metabolic depression and/or regulation as well as the induction of heat shock proteins as temperature increases are physiological and biochemical adaptations of this group of marine animals for life high in the intertidal zone, allowing them to live higher than almost all other marine organisms. Although my results suggest that littorinids have high tolerances to temperature, it is clear that these animals are already living close to their thermal limits as shown by their limited capacity to adjust those tolerances, and the fact that distribution within-shore alters with region. Thus, in the event of global warming and climate change related rise in solar radiation hence substratum temperature, the distribution of littorinids and other intertidal ectotherms may be more affected than those of subtidal ones. Recent studies suggest that some intertidal animals may have very low plasticity in their ability to acclimate to higher temperatures (Stillman and Somero, 1999; Tomanek and Helmuth, 2000; Stillman, 2002; 2003; 2004; Somero, 2005; 2009; 2010; 2011). Because littorinid snails regularly experience high temperatures for extended periods, they may be unable to further adjust their physiological and biochemical response as seen in this study, and as such may be more affected by global warming. However, littorinids and other intertidal ectotherms might benefit from behavioural and morphological adjustments (see below).

If the upper shore limit of the study species is a consequence of increased aerial body temperature, then we should observe downwards shifts in their upper limits of zonation when or where aerial temperatures increase, while in terms of geographical distribution, we should expect poleward shifts. In fact, the zonation patterns of marine animals are primarily influenced by environmental gradients and it has been suggested that the upper vertical limits of intertidal organisms are inversely correlated with temperature (McMahon, 1990; Charles et al., 1992; Warwick and Turk, 2002; Harley and Helmuth, 2003; Miller and Denny, 2011). A. africana is already restricted to the low shore in the subtropics, where it even prefers temporary and shallow pools (pers. obs.). In the tropics, E. vidua is mostly found in the lowermost eulittoral fringe, and together with E. malaccana, which inhabits the eulittoral fringes, these species migrate to lower levels (e.g. eulittoral zones) during hot summer months where they even prefer biogenic habitats and form aggregations during low tide (GA Williams and DJ Marshall pers. comm.; Williams et al., 2011; Cartwright and Williams, 2012; Stafford et al., 2012). However, no study has investigated the vertical distribution and abundance as well as behavioural responses of Afrolittorina spp., E. natalensis and L. glabrata or other littorinids found in South Africa.

Increased temperatures could not only reduce the vertical distribution of *Afrolittorina* spp., but could also reduce their eastern and western biogeographic limits. In addition, these species showed low genetic diversity within and among populations, suggesting their vulnerability to the effects of rising temperatures as suggested (see above). On the other hand, the southern limits of *Littoraria glabrata* (with low genetic diversity; Silva *et al.*, 2013) and *Echinolittorina natalensis* might extend polewards to the warm temperate region. At the moment, *E. natalensis* and *L. glabrata* are found as far south as the vicinity of East London in the warm temperate region. Likewise, *Echinolittorina malaccana* and *E. vidua* and other tropical littorinids might extend further into the subtropics.

There are already indications that climate change will favour the poleward spread of species characteristic of warmer temperature regimes (Schiel *et al.*, 2004; Lima *et al.*, 2007; Sorte *et al.*, 2010; Xavier *et al.*, 2010), but such generalization should be made with caution since animals respond differently (Helmuth *et al.*, 2002; Rivadeneira and Fernández, 2005; Poloczanska *et al.*, 2008; Provan and Maggs, 2012). Thus, animals or populations may respond differently (with "winners" and "losers"; see Somero, 2010; Lucas and Griffiths, 2012) to climate change owing to additional local environmental effects, interspecific ecological interactions and dispersal capacity (Fields *et al.*, 1993; Genner *et al.*, 2003; Angilletta Jr. *et al.*, 2006; Byrne *et al.*, 2010; Kordas *et al.*, 2011), amongst others.

For example, upwelling (which might intensify in the future) and/or near-shore cold waters in the south coast of South Africa (Bakun, 1990; Clark *et al.*, 2000; Riegl, 2003; Harrison and Whitfield, 2006; Lucas and Griffiths, 2012) might limit *E. natalensis* and *L. glabrata* from extending further into the warm temperate region. In addition, it is likely that populations or species that are already well established in other regions, but near their tolerance limits, will be as negatively affected by increasing temperatures and related environmental changes as local ones (see Branch 1984 in Clark, 2006; Lima *et al.*, 2007; Lucas and Griffiths, 2012). In fact, animals or species are assumed to be more stressed and/or have decreased performance at the edges of their distribution ranges (see Somero and Hofmann, 2004; Osovitz and Hofmann, 2007; Roelofs *et al.*, 2008; Wernberg *et al.*, 2011; Zippay and Helmuth, 2012) and they are more vulnerable to the effects of climate change. In addition, these animals are

critically important in determining species" responses to climate change (see Hampe and Petit, 2005; Ehlers *et al.*, 2008; Provan and Maggs, 2012).

Fossil evidence and distributional surveys show that biogeographic range shifts are associated with climate change in marine environments as in terrestrial environments (Clarke *et al.*, 1992; Sagarin *et al.*, 1999; Schiel *et al.*, 2004; Mieszkowska *et al.*, 2006; Sunday *et al.*, 2012; etc). There have been dramatic changes in the distribution of South African commercially fished stocks such as pilchards, anchovy and sardines which have shifted from the west coast to the south coast, while tropical and subtropical fishes are moving southwards (Clark *et al.*, 2000; Clark, 2006; Lucas and Griffiths, 2012). Similar changes have been noted in other animals such as mussels, crabs, rock lobsters, seals, seabirds and various zooplankton species as well as plants such as kelps, algae, seaweeds, etc. (Shannon *et al.*, 1988; Clark *et al.*, 2000; Clark, 2006; Crawford *et al.*, 2008; Lucas and Griffiths, 2012). Thus, South Africa''s marine organisms have been/are moving as climate change warms the Agulhas current in the east coast, and cools the southern Benguela upwelling system on the west coast and the near-shore south coast marine environment (Clark *et al.*, 2000; Lucas and Griffiths, 2012).

In addition, changes in temperature can alter species" co-existence equilibria and modify species distributions as a result of changes in the outcomes of their interactions (Southward *et al.*, 1995, Schneider and Helmuth, 2007; Doney *et al.*, 2012; Zippay and Helmuth, 2012). *A. africana* and *A. knysnaensis* co-exist in the warm temperate region, where they even occupy the same microhabitats (pers. obs.; McQuaid, 1992; d'Errico *et al.*, 2008). Therefore, under global warming, there might be changes in their co-existence equilibria and distributions, with the possibility of *A. knysnaensis* being restricted to lower (cooler) shore levels than *A. africana* which might remain in the upper (hot) levels. *A. knysnaensis* is already restricted to low shores towards its eastern biogeographic limits (East London to the vicinity of Durban), while *A. africana* occupies higher levels in the same shores (pers. obs.; McQuaid and Scherman, 1988; McQuaid, 1992; d'Errico *et al.*, 2008). Thus, differences in thermal physiology between these two species suggest that *A. africana* may have competitive advantages over the less heat tolerant *A. knysnaensis* during global warming. This might be true for *E. natalensis* and *L. glabrata* as well as other littorinids that co-exist in the

subtropics. In fact, warm-adapted genotypes (i.e. animals) happen to outperform cold-adapted genotypes (see Asbury and Angilletta Jr., 2010; Angilletta Jr. *et al.*, 2010).

The outcomes of this study yielded novel insights which could advance our knowledge of the responses of littorinids and other ectotherms to predicted environmental temperatures, changes and extremes. The findings underline the importance of integrating information from different levels and disciplines in order to understand the responses of animals to climate change (Clarke and Crame, 1992; Guderley and St-Pierre, 2002; Pörtner *et al.*, 2006; Moore *et al.*, 2007; Chapman *et al.*, 2011; etc). Integration of multidisciplinary and integrative approaches will provide considerable potential advances in the understanding of animals" responses to climate change (Osovitz and Hofmann, 2007; Sørensen and Loeschcke, 2007; Somero, 2010; 2011; 2012; Walther, 2010). For example, studies are focusing on behavioural and morphological responses as thermoregulatory mechanisms additional to physiological and biochemical mechanisms that animals might use to survive and/or buffer the effect of rising temperatures (Kearney *et al.*, 2009; Chapperon and Seuront, 2011a, b; Miller and Denney, 2011; Tuomainen and Candolin, 2011; Zippay and Helmuth, 2012).

This is also true for biotic interactions which might modulate species" responses to climate change (Pearson and Dawson, 2003; Moore *et al.*, 2007; Hawkins *et al.*, 2008; Poloczanska *et al.*, 2008; Chapperon and Seuront, 2011a, b) and/or influence the net benefits of behavioural and physiological responses (Angilletta Jr. *et al.*, 2006; Helmuth *et al.*, 2006b). Of most importance is the study of thermal ecology of intertidal animals (Helmuth *et al.*, 2002; 2006a, b; 2010a, b; 2011; Gilman *et al.*, 2006; Wethey and Woodin, 2008; Finke *et al.*, 2009; Lima *et al.*, 2011; etc), as this might help us to understand and predict the responses of animals to rising temperatures. In addition, studies are also looking at the responses of early life stages (e.g. eggs and larvae) to climate change as these are considered to be more vulnerable to environmental changes (Coelho *et al.*, 2000; Przeslawski *et al.*, 2005; 2008; Byrne *et al.*, 2009; 2010; Parker *et al.*, 2009; Walther *et al.*, 2010), while most existing studies have been done on late (adult) stages. Particularly important will be the need to investigate the effects of multiple interacting factors that occur in nature (Harley *et al.*, 2006; Pörtner, 2008; Häder *et al.*, 2007; Somero; 2011; Zippay and Helmuth, 2012).

## References

Aagaard A. 1996. In situ variation in heart rate of the shore crab *Carcinus maenas* in relation to environmental factors and physiology. *Marine Biology*, **125**: 765-772.

Aagaard A, Andersen BB and Depledge MH. 1991. Simultaneous monitoring of physiology and behavioural activity in marine organisms using non-invasive, computer-aided techniques. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **73**: 277-282.

Abbaraju NV, Boutaghou MN, Townley IK, Zhang Q, Wand G, Cole RB and Rees BB. 2012. Analysis of tissue proteomics of the Gulf Killifish, *Fundulus grandis*, by 2D electrophoresis and MALDI-TOF/TOF mass spectrometry. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **52**: 626-635.

Adams NL, Campanale JP and Foltz KR. 2012. Proteomics responses of sea urchin embryos to stressful ultraviolet radiation. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **52**: 665-680.

Addison JA and Pogson GH. 2009. Multiple gene genealogies reveal asymmetric hybridization and introgression among strongylocentrotid sea urchin. *Molecular Ecology*, **18**: 1239-1251.

Aebersold R and Mann M. 2003. Mass spectrometry-based proteomics. *Nature*, **422**: 198-207.

Agard JBR. 1999. A four-dimensional response surface analysis of the ontogeny of physiological adaptation to salinity and temperature in larvae of the palaemonid shrimp *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **236**: 209-233.

Airriess CN and McMahon BR. 1994. Cardiovascular adaptations enhance tolerance of environmental hypoxia in the crab *Cancer magister*. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **190**: 23-41.

Aldrich JC. 1975. Individual variability in oxygen consumption rates of fed and starved *Cancer pagurus* and *Maia squinado*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **51**: 175-183.

215

Allan EL, Froneman PW and Hodgson AN. 2006. Effects of temperature and salinity on the standard metabolic rate (SMR) of the caridean shrimp *Palaemon peringueyi*. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **337**: 103-108.

Altieri AH. 2006. Inducible variation in hypoxia tolerances across the intertidal-subtidal distribution of the blue mussel *Mytilus edulis*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **325**: 295-300.

Alves RN, Cordeiro O, Silva TS, Richard N, de Vareilles M, Marino G, Di Marco P, Rodrigues PM and Conceição LEC. 2010. Metabolic molecular indicators of chronic stress in gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*) using comparative proteomics. *Aquaculture*, **299**: 57-66.

Amaral V, Thompson EL, Bishop MJ and Raftos DA. 2012. The proteome of Sydney rock oyster vary spatially according to exposure to acid sulphate runoff. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, **63**: 361-369.

Anderson G. 1975A. Larval metabolism of the Epicaridian isopod parasite *Probopyrus pandalicola* and metabolic effects of *P. pandalicola* on its copepod intermediate host *Acartia tonsa*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **50**: 747-751.

Anderson G. 1975B. Metabolic response of the Caridean shrimp *Palaemonetes pugio* to infection by the adult Epibranchial isopod parasite *Probopyrus pandalicola*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **52**: 201-207.

Anderson G. 1978. Metabolic rate, temperature acclimation and resistance to high temperature of soft-shell clams, *Mya arenaria*, as affected by shore level. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **61**: 433-438.

Andrade SCS, Magalhães CA and Solferini VN. 2003. Patterns of genetic variability in Brazilian littorinids (Mollusca): a macrogeographical approach. *Journal of Zoological Systems and Evolutionary Research*, **41**: 249-255.

Andrade SCS and Solferini VN. 2007. Fine-scale genetic structure overrides macro-scale structure in a marine snail: non-random recruitment, demographic events and selection? *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **91**: 23-36.

Anestis A, Lazou A, Pörtner, HO and Michaelidis B. 2007. Behavioural, metabolic, and molecular stress responses in marine bivalve *Mytilus galloprovincialis* during long-term

acclimation at increasing ambient temperature. *American Journal of Physiology Regulatory Integrative and Comparative Physiology*, **293**: R911-R921.

Anestis A, Pörtner, HO, Lazou A and Michaelidis B. 2008. Metabolic and molecular responses of sublittoral bearded horse mussel *Modiolus barbatus* to warming sea water: implications for vertical zonation. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **211**: 2889-2898.

Anestis A, Pörtner, HO, and Michaelidis B. 2010. Anaerobic metabolic patterns related to stress responses in hypoxia exposed mussels *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **394**: 123-133.

Angilletta Jr. MA. 2009. Looking for answers to questions about heat stress: researchers are getting warmer. *Functional Ecology*, **23**: 231-232.

Angilletta Jr. MJ, Niewiarowski PH and Navas CA. 2002. The evolution of thermal physiology in ectotherms. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **27**: 249-268.

Angilletta Jr. MJ, Bennett AF, Guderley H, Navas CA, Seebacher F and Wilson RS. 2006. Coadaptation: a unifying principle in evolutionary thermal biology. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, **79**: 282-294.

Angilletta Jr. MJ, Huey RB and Frazier MR. 2010. Thermodynamic effects on organismal performance: is hotter better? *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, **83**: 197-206.

Ansell AD. 1973. Changes in oxygen consumption, heart rate and ventilation accompanying starvation in the decapods crustacean *Cancer pagurus*. *Netherlands Journal of Sea Research*, 7: 455-475.

Ansell AD and McLachlan A. 1980. Upper temperature tolerances of three molluscs from South African sand beaches. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **48**: 243-251.

Apraiz I, Mi J, Cristobal S. 2006. Identification of proteomic signature of exposure to marine pollutants in Mussels (*Mytilus edulis*). *Molecular and Cellular Proteomics*, **5**: 1274-1285.

Arakelova KS, Chebotareva MA and Zabelinskii SA. 2004. Physiology and lipid metabolism of *Littorina saxatilis* infected with trematodes. *Disease of Aquatic Organisms*, **60**: 223-231.

Arbogast BS and Kenagy GJ. 2008. Comparative phylogeography as an integrative approach to historical biogeography. *Journal of Biogeography*, **28**: 819-825.

Asbury DE and Angilletta Jr. MJ. 2010. Thermodynamic effects on the evolution of performance curves. *The American Naturalist*, **176**: E40-E49.

Atkinson WD and Newbury SF. 1984. The adaptations of the rough winkle, *Littorina rudis*, to desiccation and dislodgement by wind and waves. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **53**: 93-105.

Avise JC. 1992. Molecular population structure and the biogeographic history of regional fauna: a case history with lessons for conservation biology. *Oikos*, **63**: 62-76.

Avise JC. 1998. The history and purview of phylogeography: a personal reflection. *Molecular Ecology*, **7**: 371-379.

Avise JC. 2004. What is the field of biogeography, and where is it going? *Taxon*, **53**: 893-898.

Avise JC, Arnold J, Ball RM, Bermingham E, Lamb T, Neigel JE, Reeb CA and Saunders NC. 1987. Intraspecific phylogeography: the mitochondrial DNA bridge between population genetics and systematic. *Annual Revision of Ecological Systematics*, **18**: 489-522.

Ayre DJ, Minchinton TE and Perrin C. 2009. Does life history predict past and current connectivity for the rock intertidal invertebrates across a marine biogeographic barrier? *Molecular Ecology*, **18**: 1887-1903.

Azuma N, Yamazaki T, Chiba S. 2011. Mitochondrial and nuclear DNA analysis revealed a cryptic species and genetic introgression in *Littorina sitkana* (Mollusca, Gastropoda). *Genetica*, **139**: 1399-1408.

Baeza JA and Fernández M. 2002. Active brooding *Cancer setosus* (Crustacea: Decapoda): the relationship between female behavior, embryo oxygen consumption and the cost of brooding. *Functional Ecology*, **16**: 241-251.

Bakhmet IN, Berger VJ and Khalaman VV. 2005A. Heart rate in the blue mussel *Mytilus edulis* (Bivalvia) under salinity change. *Russian Journal of Marine Biology*, **31**: 314-317.

Bakhmet IN, Berger VJ and Khalaman VV. 2005B. The effect of salinity change on the heart rate of *Mytilus edulis* from different ecological zones. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **318**: 121-126.

Bakhmet IN and Khalaman VV. 2006. Heart rate variation patterns in some representative of Bivalvia. *Biology Bulletin*, **33**: 276-280.

Bakun A. 1990. Global climate change and intensification of coastal ocean upwelling. *Science*, **247**: 198-201.

Bally R. 1983. The respiration of the marine isopod *Excirolana natalensis* (Flabellifera, Cirolanidae) from an exposed sandy beach. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **75**: 625-629.

Bally R. 1987. Respiration of three isopods from different intertidal zones on exposed sandy beaches of the west coast of South Africa (Flabellifera, Cirolanidae). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **87**: 899-905.

Banks SC, Piggott MP, Williamson JE, Bové U, Holbrook NJ and Beheregaray LB. 2007. Oceanic variability and coastal topography shape genetic structure in long-dispersing sea urchin. *Ecology*, **88**: 3055-3064.

Bannister JV. 1974. The respiration in air and water of the limpets *Patella caerulea* (L.) and *Patella lusitania* (Gmelin). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **49**: 407-411.

Barnes H, Finlayson DM and Piatigorsky J. 1963. The effect of desiccation and anaerobic conditions on the behaviour, survival and general metabolism of three common cirripedes. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **32**: 233-252.

Barnett TP, Pierce DW, AchutaRao KM, Gleckler PJ, Santer BD, Gregory JM and Washington WM. Penetration of human-induced warming into the world's oceans. *Science*, **309**: 284-287.

Barry JP, Baxter CH, Sagarin RD and Gilman SE. 1995. Climate-related, long-term faunal changes in a rocky California rock intertidal community. *Science*, **267**: 672-675.

Barshis DJ, Stillman JH, Gates RD, Toonen RJ, Smith LW and Birkeland C. 2010. Protein expression and genetic structure of the coral *Porites lobata* in an environmentally extreme

Samoan back reef: does host genotype limit phenotypic plasticity? *Molecular Ecology*, **19**: 1705-1720.

Bates TW and Hicks DW. 2005. Locomotory behaviour and habitat selection in littoral gastropods on Caribbean limestone shores. *Journal of Shellfish Research*, **24**: 75-84.

Bates AE, Leiterer F, Wiedeback ML and Poulin R. 2011. Parasitized snails take the heat: a case of host manipulation? *Oecologia*, **167**: 613-621.

Bayne BL. 1971A. Ventilation, the heart beat and oxygen uptake by *Mytilus edulis* L. in declining oxygen tension. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **40**: 1065-1085.

Bayne B. 1971B. Oxygen consumption by three species of lamellibranch mollusc in declining ambient oxygen tension. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **40**: 995-970.

Bayne B. 1973A. The responses of three species of bivalve mollusc to reducing oxygen tension at reduced salinity. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **45**: 793-806.

Bayne B. 1973B. Aspects of the metabolism of *Mytilus edulis* during starvation. *Netherlands Journal of Sea Research*, 7: 399-410.

Bayne BL, Bayne CJ, Carefoot TC and Thompson RJ. 1976. The physiological ecology of *Mytilus californianus* Conrad. *Oecologia*, **22**: 229-250.

Bax N, Williamson A, Aguero M, Gonzalez E and Geeves W. 2003. Marine invasive alien species: a threat to global biodiversity. *Marine Policy*, **27**: 313-323.

Beckley LE. 1983. Sea-surface temperature variability around Cape Recife, South Africa. *South Africa Journal of Science*, **79**: 436-438.

Beheregaray LB. 2008. Twenty years of phylogeography: the state of the field and the challenges for the Southern Hemisphere. *Molecular Ecology*, **17**: 3754-3774.

Beheregaray LB and Caccone A. 2007. Cryptic biodiversity in a changing world. *Journal of Biology*, **6**: 9.1-9.5.

Bell JJ. 2008. Similarity in connectivity patterns for two gastropod species lacking pelagic larvae. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **357**: 185-194.

Beranova-Giorgianni S. 2003. Proteome analysis by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis and mass spectrometry; strengths and limitations. *Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, **22**: 273-281.

Berger MS and Emlet RB. 2007. Heat-shock response of the upper intertidal barnacle *Balanus glandula*: thermal stress and acclimation. *Biological Bulletin*, **212**: 232-241.

Berger VJ and Kharazova AD. 1997. Mechanisms of salinity adaptations in marine molluscs. *Hydrobiologia*, **355**: 115-126.

Bergmann N, Winters G, Rauch G, Eizaguirre C, Gu J, Nelle P, Fricke B and Reusch TBH. 2010. Population-specificity of heat stress gene induction in northern and southern eelgrass *Zostera marina* populations under simulated global warming. *Molecular Ecology*, **19**: 2870-2883.

Bermingham E and Moritz C. 1998. Comparative phylogeography: concepts and applications. *Molecular Ecology*, **7**: 367-369.

Bernardi C, Findley L and Rocha-Olivares A. 2003. Vicariance and dispersal across Baja California in disjunct marine fish populations. *Evolution*, 7: 1599-1609.

Berschick BP, Bridges CR and Grieshaber MK. 1987. The influence of hyperoxia, hypoxia and temperature on the respiratory physiology of the intertidal rockpool fish *Gobius cobitis* Pallas. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **130**: 369-386.

Bertness MD, Leonard GH, Levine JM and Bruno JF. 1999. Climate-driven interactions among rocky intertidal organisms caught between rock and hot place. *Oecologia*, **120**: 446-450.

Bester-van der Merwe AE, Roodt-Wilding R, Volckaert FAM, D"Amato ME. 2011. Historical isolation and hydrodynamically constrained gene flow in declining populations of the South-African abalone, *Haliotis midae*. *Conservation Genetics*, **12**: 543-555.

Bhaud M, Cha JH, Duchêne JC and Nozais C. 1995. Influence of temperature on the marine fauna: what can be expected from climate change. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **20**: 91-104.

Bieler R. 1992. Gastropod phylogeny and systematics. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, **23**: 311-338.

Bijlsma R and Loeschcke V. 2005. Environmental stress, adaptation and evolution: an overview. *Journal of Environmental Biology*, **18**: 744-749.

Billings WD, Luken JO, Mortensen DA, Peterson KM. 1982. Arctic tundra: a source or sink for atmospheric carbon dioxide in a changing environment? *Oecologia*, **53**: 7-11.

Bilodeau AL, Felder DL, Neigel JE. 2005. Population structure at two geographic scales in the burrowing crustacean *Callichirus islagrande* (Decapoda: Thalassinidea): historical and contemporary barriers to planktonic dispersal. *Evolution*, **59**: 2125-2138.

Biron DG, Loxdale HD, Ponton F, Moura H, Marché L, Brugidou C and Thomas F. 2006. Population proteomics: and emerging discipline to study metapopulation ecology. *Proteomics*, **6**: 1712-1715.

Blank M, Fulda S, Bastrop R and Jürss K. 2005. Variation in protein patterns within and among polychaete sibling species of the genus *Marenzelleria* (Spionidae): a preliminary survey. *Marine Biology*, **146**: 943-950.

Blank M, Mikkat S, Verleih M and Bastrop R. 2012. Proteomics comparison of two invasive polychaete species and their naturally occurring F1-hybrids. *Journal of proteome Research*, **11**: 897-905.

Bohonak AJ. 1999. Dispersal, gene flow and population structure. *The Quaternary Review of Biology*, **74**: 21-45.

Böning CW, Dispert A, Visbeck M, Rintoul SR and Schwarzkopf FU. 2008. The response of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current to recent climate change. *Nature Geoscience*, **1**: 864-869.

Bosworth CA, Chou CW, Cole RB and Rees BB. 2005. Protein expression patterns in zebrafish skeletal muscle: initial characterization and the effects of hypoxia exposure. *Proteomics*, **5**: 1362-1371.

Botton ML, Pogorzelska M, Smoral L, Shehata A, Hamilton MG. 2006. Thermal Biology of horseshoe crab embryos and larvae: a role for heat shock proteins. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **336**: 65-73.

Boulding EG and Van Alstyne KL. 1993. Mechanisms of differential survival and growth of two species of *Littorina* on wave-exposed and protected shores. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **169**: 139-166.

Boulding EG and Harper FM. 1998. Increasing precision in randomised field experiments: barnacle microtopography as a predictor of *Littorina* abundance. *Hydrobiologia*, **378**: 105-114.

Bourchookarn A, Havanapan PO, Thongboonkerd V and Krittanai C. 2008. Proteomics analysis of altered proteins in lymphoid organ of yellow head virus infected *Penaeus monodon*. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, **1784**: 504-511.

Boutet I, Jollivet D, Shillito B, Moraga D and Tanguy A. 2009. Molecular identification of differentially regulated genes in the hydrothermal-vent species *Bathymodiolus thermophilus* and *Paralvinella pandorae* in response to temperature. *BMC Genomics*, **10**: 222-239.

Boutilier RG. 2001. Mechanisms of cell survival in hypoxia and hypothermia. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **204**: 3171-3181.

Bowen BW and Grant WS. Phylogeography of the sardines (*Sardinops* spp.): assessing biogeographic models and population histories in temperate upwelling regions. *Evolution*, **51**: 1601-1610.

Bowen BW, Bass AL, Muss A, Carlin J and Robertson DR. 2006. Phylogeography of two Atlantic squirrelfishes (family Holocentridae): exploring links between pelagic larval duration and population structure. *Marine Biology*, **149**: 899-913.

Braby CE and Somero GN. 2006. Following the heart: temperature and salinity effects on heart rate in native and invasive species of blue mussels (genus *Mytilus*). *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **209**: 2554-2566.

Branch GM, Borchers P, Brown CR and Donnelly D. 1988. Temperature and food as factors influencing oxygen consumption of intertidal organisms, particularly limpets. *American Zoology*, **28**: 137-146.

Breteler KWCM. 1975. Oxygen consumption and respiratory levels of juvenile shore crabs, *Carcinus maenas*, in relation to weight and temperature. *Netherlands Journal of Sea Research*, **9**: 243-254.

Brierley AS and Kingsford MJ. 2009. Impacts of climate change on marine organisms and ecosystems. *Current Biology*, **19**: R602-R614.

Britton JC. 1992. Evaporative water loss, behaviour during emersion, and upper thermal tolerance limits in seven species of eulittoral fringe Littorinidae (Mollusca: Gastropoda) from Jamaica. In Grahame J, Mill PJ and Reid DG (eds), Proceedings of the Third International Symposium on Littorinid Biology. The Malacological Society of London, London: pp69-83.

Britton JC. 1993. The effects of experimental protocol and behaviour on evaporative water loss during emersion in three species of rocky shore gastropods. In Wells FE, Walker DI, Kirkman DI and Lethbridge R (eds), Proceedings of the Fifth International Marine Biology Workshop: The Marine Flora and Fauna of Rottnest Island, Western Australia. Western Australian Museum, Perth, 2: pp600-619.

Britton JC. 1995. The relationship between position on shore and shell ornamentation in two size-dependent morphotypes of *Littorina striata*, with an estimate of evaporative water loss in these morphotypes and in *Melarhaphe neritoides*. *Hydrobiologia*, **309**: 129-142.

Britton JC and Morton B. 2003. Convective cooling by the tropical intertidal chiton, *Acanthopleura spinosa* (Mollusca: Polyplacophora) from rocky intertidal at Watering Cove, Burrup Peninsula, Western Australia, Australia. In Wells FE, Walker DI and Jones DS (eds) 2003: The Marine Flora and Fauna of Dampier, Western Australia. Western Australia. Western Australia. Museum, Perth, 2: pp51-67.

Brockington S and Clarke A. 2001. The relative influence of temperature and food on the metabolism of marine invertebrate. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **258**: 87-99.

Broitman BR, Szathmary PL, Mislan KAS, Blanchette CA and Helmuth B. 2009. Predatorprey interactions under climate change: the importance of habitat vs body temperature. *Oikos*, **118**: 219-224.

Brooker RW, Travis JMJ, Clark EJ and Dytham C. 2007. Modelling specie" range shifts in a changing climate: the impacts of biotic interactions, dispersal and the rate of climate change. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, **245**: 59-65.

Brown AC. 1979. Oxygen consumption of the sandy-beach whelk *Bullia digitalis* Meuschen at different levels of activity. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **62**: 673-675.

Brown AC, Ansell AD and Trevallion A. 1978. Oxygen consumption by *Bullia (dorsanum) melanoides* (Deshayes) and *Bullia digitalis* Meuschen (Gastropoda, Nassaridae) – an example of non-acclimation. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **61**: 123-125.!!!!

Brown AC and Da Silva FM. 1979. The effects of temperature on oxygen consumption in *Bullia digitalis* Meuschen (Gastropoda: Nassaridae). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **62**: 573-576.

Brown AC and Meredith FL. 1981. The effect of salinity changes on respiration in the sandy-beach whelk *Bullia digitalis* (Dillwyn). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **69**: 599-601.

Brown AC and Da Silva FM. 1984. Effects of temperature on oxygen consumption in two closely related whelks from different temperate regimes. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **84**: 145-153.

Brown AC and Terwilliger NB. 1999. Developmental changes in oxygen uptake in *Cancer magister* (Dana) in response to changes in salinity and temperature. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **241**: 179-192.

Brown BE and Cossins AR. 2011. The potential for temperature acclimatisation of reef corals in the face of climate change. *Coral Reefs: An Ecosystem in Transition*, **24**: 421-433.

Brown JH, Gillooly JF, Allen AL, Savage VM and West GB. 2004. Toward a metabolic theory of ecology. *Ecology*, **85**: 1771-1789.

Brown LD. 1995. Genetic evidence for hybridization between *Haliotis rubra* and *H. Laevigata*. *Marine Biology*, **123**: 89-93.

Brown RJ, Galloway TS, Lowe D, Browne MA, Dissanayake A, Jones MB and Depledge MH. 2004. Differential sensitivity of three marine invertebrates to copper assessed using multiple biomarkers. *Aquatic Toxicology*, **66**: 267-278.

Bryden HL, Beal LM and Duncan LM. 2005. Structure and transport of the Agulhas Current and its temporal variability. *Journal of Oceanography*, **61**: 479-492.

Buchner J. 1996. Supervising the fold: functional principles of molecular chaperons. *The Journal of Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology*, **10**: 10-19.

Buckley BA, Owen ME and Hofmann GE. 2001. Adjusting thermostat: the threshold induction temperature for the heat-shock response in intertidal mussels (genus *Mytilus*) changes as a function of thermal history. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **204**: 3571-3579.

Buckley BA and Hofmann GE. 2002. Thermal acclimation changed DNA-binding activity of heat shock factor 1 (HSF1) in the goby *Gillichthys mirabilis*: implications for plasticity in the heat-shock response in natural populations. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **205**: 3231-3240.

Buckley BA, Place SP and Hofmann GE. 2004. Regulation of heat shock genes in isolated hepatocytes from an Antarctic fish, *Trematomus bernacchii*. *The journal of Experimental Biology*, **207**: 3649-3656.

Buckley BA, Gracey AY and Somero GN. 2006. The cellular response to heat stress in the goby *Gillichthys mirabilis*: a cDNA microarray and protein-level analysis. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **209**: 2660-2677.

Buckley BA and Somero GN. 2009. cDNA microarray analysis reveals the capacity of the cold-adapted Antarctic fish *Trematomus bernacchii* to alter gene expression in response to heat stress. *Polar Biology*, **32**: 403-415.

Bulnheim HP. 1979. Comparative studies on the physiological ecology of five euryhaline *Gammarus* species. *Oecologia*, **44**: 80-86.

Burggren WW and McMahon BR. 1981. Oxygen uptake during environmental temperature change in hermit crabs: adaptation to subtidal, intertidal and supratidal habitats. *Physiological Zoology*, **54**: 325-333.

Burnaford JL. 2004. Habitat modification and refuge from sublethal stress drive a marine plant-herbivore association. *Ecology*, **85**: 2837-2849.

Burton RS. 1998. Intraspecific phylogeography across Point Conception biogeographic boundary. *Evolution*, **52**: 734-745.

Burton T, Killen SS, Armstrong JD and Metcalfe NB. 2011. What causes intraspecific variation in resting metabolic rate and what are its ecological consequences? *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Biological Sciences*, **278**: 3465-3473.

Bustamante RH, Branch GM, Eekhout S, Robertson B, Zoutendyk P, Schleyer M, Dye A, Hanekom N, Keats D, Jurd M and McQuaid C. 1995. Gradients of intertidal primary productivity around the coast of South Africa and their relationships with consumer biomass. *Oecologia*, **102**: 189-201.

Bustamante RH and Branch GM. 1996. Large scale and trophic structure of the southern African rocky shores: the role of geographical variation and wave exposure. *Journal of Biogeography*, **23**: 339-351.

Bustamante RH, Branch GM and Eekhout S. 1997. The influence of physical factors on the distribution and zonation patterns of South africana rocky-shore communities. *South African Journal of Marine Science*, **18**: 119-136.

Butler PJ, Frappell PB, Wang T and Wikelski M. 2002. The relationship between heart rate and oxygen consumption in Galapagos marine iguanas (*Amblyrhynchus cristatus*) at two different temperatures. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **205**: 1917-1924.,

Butler PJ, Green JA, Boyd IL and Speakman JR. 2004. Measuring metabolic rate in the field: The pros and cons of the doubly labelled water and heart rate methods. *Functional Ecology*, **18**: 168-183.

Byrne M, Ho MA, Selvakumaraswamy P, Nguyen HD, Dworjanyn SA and DAvise AR. 2009. Temperature, but not pH, compromises sea urchin fertilization and early development under near-future climate change scenarios. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, **276**: 1883-1888.

Byrne M, Selvakumaraswamy P, Ho MA, Woolsey E and Nguyen HD. 2010. Sea urchin development in a global change hotspot, potential for southerly migration of thermotolerant propagules. *Deep-Sea Research II*, **58**: 712-719.

Caddy-Retalic S, Benkendorff K and Fairweather PG. 2011. Visualising hotspots: applying thermal imaging to monitor internal temperatures in intertidal gastropods. *Molluscan Research*, **31**: 106-113.

Caldeira K and Wickett ME. 2005. Ocean model predictions of chemistry changes from carbon dioxide emissions to the atmosphere and ocean. *Journal of Geographical Research*, **110**: pp1-12.

Calf KM and Underhill LG. 2005. Tidal impact on breeding African Black Oystercatchers on Robben Island, Western Cape, South Africa. *Ostrich*, **76**: 219-221.

Calosi P, Chelazzi G and Ugolini A. 2003. Optocardiographic recording of heart rate in *Talitrus saltator* (Amphipoda: Talitridae). *Physiological Entomology*, **28**: 344-348.

Calosi P, Morritt D, Chelazzi G and Ugolini A. 2007. Physiological capacity and environmental tolerance in two sandhoppers species with constraining geographical ranges: *Talitrus saltator* and *Talorchestia ugolinii*. *Marine Biology*, **151**: 1647-1655.

Calosi P, Bilton DT and Spicer JI. 2008. Thermal tolerance, acclimatory capacity and vulnerability to global climate change. *Biological Letters*, **4**: 99-102.

Camacho J, Qadri SA, Wang H and Worden MK. 2006. Temperature acclimation alters cardiac performance in lobster *Homarus americanus*. *Journal of Comparative Physiology Part A*, **192**: 1327-1334.

Campanale JP, Tomanek L and Adams NL. 2011. Exposure to ultraviolet radiation causes proteomic changes in embryos of the purple sea urchin, *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **397**: 106-120.

Campos A, Tedesco S, Vasconcelos V and Cristobal S. 2012. Proteomics research in bivalves: towards the identification of molecular markers of aquatic pollution. *Journal of Proteomics*, **75**: 4346-3459.

Campos A, Puerto M, Prieto A, Cameán A, Almeida AM, Coelho AV and Vasconcelos V. 2013. Protein extraction and two-dimensional gel electrophoresis of proteins in the marine mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*: an important tool for protein expression studies, food quality and safety assessments. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, **93**: 1779-1787.

Camus L, Davies PE, Spicer JI and Jones MB. 2004. Temperature-dependent physiological response of *Carcinus maenas* exposed to copper. *Marine Environmental Research*, **58**: 781-785.

Canbäck B, André C, Galindo J, Johannesson K, Johansson T, Panova M, Tunlid A and Butlin R. 2012. The *Littorina* sequence database (LSD) – an online resource for genomic data. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, **12**: 142-148.

Cao A, Fuentes J, Comesaña P, Casas SM and Villalba A. 2009. A proteomic approach envisaged to analyse the bases of oyster tolerance/resistance to bonamiosis. *Aquaculture*, **295**: 149-156.

Carefoot TH. 1990. Specific dynamic (SDA) in the supralittoral isopod, *Ligia pallasii*: identification of components of apparent SDA effects on dietary amino acid quality and content on SDA. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **95**: 309-316.

Carefoot TH, Qian PY, Taylor BE, West T and Osborne J. 1993. Effect of starvation on energy reserves and metabolism in the northern abalone, *Haliotis kamtschatkana*. *Aquaculture*, **118**: 315-325.

Carpenter CM and Hofmann GE. 2000. Expression of 70 kDA heat shock proteins in Antarctic and New Zealand Notothenioid fish. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **125**: 229-239.

Cartwright SR and Williams GA. 2012. Seasonal variation in utilization of biogenic microhabitats by littorinid snails on the tropical rocky shores. *Marine Biology*, **159**: 2323-2332.

Chakraborty SC, Ross LG and Ross B. 1992. Specific Dynamic Action and feeding metabolism in common crap, *Cyprinus carpio* L. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **103**: 809-815.

Chambers RJ, McQuaid CD and Kirby R. 1996. Determination of genetic diversity of South African intertidal limpets (Gastropoda: *Siphonaria*) with different reproductive modes using polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of total cellular proteins. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **201**: 1-11.

Chambers RJ, McQuaid CD and Kirby R. 1998. The use of randomly amplified polymeric DNA to analyze the genetic diversity, the systematic relationship and the evolution of the intertidal limpets, *Siphonaria* spp. (Pulmonata: Gastropoda), with different reproductive modes. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **227**: 49-66.

Chan BKK, Morritt D, De Pirro M, Leung KMY and Williams GA. 2006. Summer mortality: effects on the distribution and abundance of the acorn barnacle *Tetraclita japonica* on tropical shores. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **328**: 195-204.
Chandramouli KH, Soo L and Qian PY. 2011. Differential expression of proteins and phosphoproteins during larval metamorphosis of the polychaete *Capitella* sp. I. *Proteome Science*, **9**: 1-15.

Chapman MG. 2000. Poor design of behavioural experiments gets poor results: examples from intertidal habitats. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **250**: 77-96.

Chapman MG and Underwood AJ. 1994. Dispersal of the intertidal snail, *Nodilittorina pyramidalis*, in response to the topographic complexity of the substratum. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **179**: 145-169.

Chapman MG and Underwood AJ. 1996. Influences of tidal conditions, temperature and desiccation on patterns of aggregation of the high-shore periwinkle, *Littorina unifasciata* in New South Wales, Australia. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **196**: 213-237.

Chapman RW, Mancia A, Beal M, Veloso A, Rathburn C, Blair A, Holland AF, Warr GW, Didinato G, Sokolova IM, Wirth EF, Duffy E and Sanger D. 2011. The transcriptomics responses of the eastern oyster, *Crassostrea virginica*, to environmental conditions. *Molecular Ecology*, **20**: 1431-1449.

Chapperon C and Seuront L. 2011A. Behavioural thermoregulation in tropical gastropod: links to climate change scenarios. *Global Change Biology*, **17**: 1740-1749.

Chapperon C and Seuront L. 2011B. Space-time variability in environmental thermal properties and snail thermoregulatory behaviour. *Functional Ecology*, **25**: 1040-1050.

Chapperon C and Seuront L. 2012. Keeping warm in the cold: on the thermal benefits of aggregation behavior in an intertidal ectotherm. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **37**: 640-647.

Chapple JP, Smerdon GR and Hawkins AJS. 1997. Stress-70 protein induction in *Mytilus edulis*: responses to elevated temperature reflect relative vulnerability and physiological function. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **217**: 225-235.

Cheang CC, Tsang LM, Ng WS, Williams GA, Chu KA and Chan BKK. 2012. Phylogeography of the cold-water barnacle *Chthamalus challengeri* in the north-western Pacific: effect of past population expansion and contemporary gene flow. *Journal of Biogeography*, **39**: 1819-1835.

Chelazzi G, Williams GA and Gray DR. 1999. Field and laboratory measurements of heart rate in tropical limpet, *Cellana grata*. *Journal of Marine Biological Association of United Kingdom*, **79**: 749-751.

Chelazzi G, De Pirro M and Williams GA. 2001. Cardiac responses to abiotic factors in two tropical limpets, occurring at different levels of the shore. *Marine Biology*, **139**: 1079-1085.

Chen G, Zhang C, Li C, Wang C, Xu Z and Yan P. 2011. Haemocyte protein expression profiling of scallop *Chlamys farreri* response to acute viral necrosis virus (AVNV) infection. *Developmental and Comparative Immunology*, **35**: 1135-1145.

Chen J, Wu HQ, Shi YH, Li CH and Li MY. 2009. The effect of environmental salinity on trunk kidney proteome of juvenile ayu (*Plecoglossus altivelis*). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology D*, **4**: 263-267.

Chen K, Cole RB and Rees BB. 2013. Hypoxia-induced changes in the zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) skeletal muscle proteome. *Journal of Proteomics*, **78**: 477-485.

Chen TY, Shiau CY, Wei CI and Hwang DF. 2004. Preliminary study on puffer fish proteome – species identification of puffer fish by two-dimensional electrophoresis. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, **52**: 2236-2241.

Cherkasov AS, Biswas PK, Ridings DM, Ringwood AH and Sokolova IM. 2006. Effects of acclimation temperature and cadmium exposure on cellular energy budgets in the marine mollusk *Crassostrea virginica*: linking cellular and mitochondrial responses. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **209**: 1274-1284.

Cheung SG and Lam SW. 1995. Effect of salinity, temperature and acclimation on oxygen consumption of *Nassarius festivus* (Powys, 1835) (Gastropoda: Nassarriidae). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **111**: 625-631.

Cheung WWI, Lam VWY, Sarmiento JL, Kearey K, Watson R and Pauly D. 2009. Projecting global marine biodiversity under climate change scenarios. *Fish and Fisheries*, **10**: 235-251.

Childress JJ and Seibel B. 1998. Life at stable low oxygen levels: adaptations of animals to oceanic oxygen minimum layers. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **201**: 1223-1232.

Chongsatja P, Bourchookarn A, Lo CF, Thongboonkerd V and Krittanai C. 2007. Proteomic analysis of differentially expressed proteins in *Penaeus vannamei* hemocytes upon Taura syndrome virus infection. *Proteomics*, **7**: 3592-3601.

Chou LM. 1994. Marine environmental issues of Southeast Asia: state and development. *Hydrobiologia*, **285**: 139-150.

Chown SL, Jumbam KR, Sørensen JG and Terblanche JS. 2009. Phenotypic variance, plasticity and heritability estimates of critical thermal limits depend on methodological context. *Functional Ecology*, **23**: 133-140.

Christensen AB, Nguyen HD and Byrne M. 2011. Thermal tolerance and the effect of hypercapnia on the metabolic rate of the ophiuroid *Ophionereis schayeri*: Inferences for survivorship in a changing ocean. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **403**: 31-38.

Christensen JT. 1998. Diet in Littoraria. Hydrobiologia, 378: 235-236.

Chu KH and Ovsianico-Koulikowsky NN. 1994. Ontogenetic changes in thermal activity and biochemical composition in the shrimp, *Metapenaeus ensis. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **183**: 11-26.

Chu PC, Lu S and Chen Y. 1997A. Temporal and spatial variabilities of the South China Sea surface temperature anomaly. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **102**: 20,937-20,955.

Chu PC, Tseng HC, Change CP and Chen JM. 1997B. South China Sea warm pool detected in spring from the Navy's Master Oceanographic Observation Data Set (MOODS). *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **102**: 15,761-15,771.

Chu PC, Chen Y and Lu S. 1998A. Wind-driven South China Sea deep basin warm-core/cool-core eddies. *Journal of Oceanography*, **54**: 347-360.

Chu PC, Fan C, Lozano CJ and Kerling JL. 1998B. An airborne expendable bathythermograph survey of the South China Sea, May 1995. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **103**: 21,637-21,652.

Claireaux G and Lagardére JP. 1999. Influence of temperature, oxygen and salinity on the metabolism of the European sea bass. *Journal of Sea Research*, **42**: 157-168.

Clark BM. 2006. Climate change: a looming challenge for fisheries management in southern Africa. *Marine Policy*: **30**: 84-95.

Clark BM, Steffani NC, Young S, Richardson AL and Lombard AT. 2000. The effects of climate change on marine biodiversity in South Africa. Report prepared for the foundation of research development, South Africa country study on climate change, vulnerability and adaptation assessment, Marine biodiversity Section, Pretoria. pp1-72.

Clark PU, Pisias NG, Stoker TF and Weaver AJ. 2002. The role of the thermohaline circulation in abrupt climate change. *Nature*, **415**: 863-869.

Clark TD, Sandblom E, Cox GK, Hinch SG and Farrell AP. 2008. Circulatory limits to oxygen supply during acute temperature increase in the Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*). American *Journal of Physiological, Regulatory and Integrative Comparative Physiology*, **295**: R1631-1639.

Clarke A. 1993A. Seasonal acclimatization and latitudinal compensation in metabolism: do they exist? *Functional Ecology*, 7: 139-149.

Clarke A. 1993B. Temperature and extinction in the sea: a physiologist's view. *Paleobiology*, **19**: 499-518.

Clarke A. 2003. Costs and consequences of evolutionary temperature adaptation. *Trends in Evolution and Ecology*, **18**: 573-581.

Clarke A. 2004. Is there a Universal Temperature Dependence of metabolism? *Functional Ecology*, **18**: 252-256.

Clarke A. 2006. Temperature and the metabolic theory of ecology. *Functional Ecology*, **20**: 405-412.

Clarke A and Crame JA. 1992. The Southern Ocean benthic fauna and climate change: a historical perspective. *Philosophical Transactions of Royal Society of London B*, **338**: 299-309.

Clarke A, Crame JA, Stromberg JO and Barker PF. 1992. The South African benthic fauna and climate change: a historical perspective. *Philosophical Transactions Royal Society of London B*, **338**: 299-309.

Clarke A and Prothero-Thomas E. 1997. The influence of feeding on oxygen consumption and nitrogen excretion in the Antarctic nemertean *Parborlasia corrugates*. *Physiological Zoology*, **70**: 639-649.

Clarke A and Johnston ND. 1999. Scaling of metabolic rate with body mass and temperature in teleost fish. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **68**: 893-905.

Clarke A and Fraser KPP. 2004. Why does metabolism scale with temperature? *Functional Ecology*, **18**: 243-251.

Clarke A and Gaston KJ. 2006. Climate, energy and diversity. *Proceedings of The Royal Society B*, **273**: 2257-2266.

Clarke AP, Mill PJ and Grahame J. 2000A. The nature of heat coma in *Littorina littorea* (Mollusca: Gastropoda). *Marine Biology*, **137**: 447-451.

Clarke AP, Mill PJ and Grahame J. 2000B. Biodiversity in *Littorina* species (Mollusca: Gastropoda): a physiological approach using heat-coma. *Marine Biology*, **137**: 559-565.

Clarke AP, Mill PJ, Grahame J and McMahon RF. 2000C. Geographic variation in heat coma temperatures in *Littorina* species (Mollusca: Gastropoda). *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, **80**: 855-863.

Cleland JD and McMahon RF. 1986. Upper thermal limit of the nine intertidal gastropod species from Hong Kong rocky shore in relation to vertical distribution and desiccation associated with evaporative cooling. Morton B (eds.) 1986, Proceedings of the Second International Marine Biological Workshop: The marine Flora and Fauna of Hong Kong and Southern China, Hong Kong. Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press:pp1141-1152.

Coelho SM, Rijstenbil JW and Brown MT. 2000. Impacts of anthropogenic stresses on the early development stages of seaweeds. *Journal of Aquatic Ecosystem Stress and Recovery*, **7**: 317-333.

Cohen AL, Parkington JE, Brundrit GB and van Der Merwe NJ. 1992. A Holocene marine climate record in mollusc shells from the southwest African coast. *Quaternary Research*, **38**: 379-385.

Coleman N. 1973. The oxygen consumption of *Mytilus edulis* in air. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **45**. 393-402.

Colgan DJ, Ponder WF and Eggler PE. 2000. Gastropod evolutionary rates and phylogenetic relationships assessed using partial 28S rDNA and histone H3 sequences. *Zoologica Scripta*, **29**: 29-63.

Colgan DJ, Ponder WF, Beacham E and Macaranas J. 2007. Molecular phylogenetics of Caenogastropoda (Gastropoda: Mollusca). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, **42**: 717-737.

Compton TJ, Rijkenberg MJA, Drent J and Piersma T. 2007. Thermal tolerances ranges and climate variability: a comparison between bivalves from different climates. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **352**: 200-211.

Connell JH. 1961. The influence of interspecific competition and other factors on the distribution of the barnacle *Chthamalus stellatus*. *Ecology*, **42**: 710-723.

Connell JH. 1972. Community interactions on marine rocky intertidal shores. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, **3**: 169-192.

Cook SJ. 2004. Sex-specific differences in cardiovascular performance of a centrarchid fish are only evident during the reproductive period. *Functional Ecology*, **18**: 398-403.

Cooper JAG. 2001. Geomorphological variability among microtidal estuaries from the wave-dominated South African coast. *Geomorphology*, **40**: 99-122.

Cordeiro OD, Silva TS, Alves RD, Castos B, Wulff T, Richard N, de Vareilles M, Conceição LEC and Rodrigues PM. 2012. Changes in liver proteome expression of Senegalese sole (*Solea senegalensis*) in response to repeated handling stress. *Marine Biotechnology*, **14**: 714-729.

Cornelius PFS. 1972. Thermal acclimation of some intertidal invertebrates. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **9**: 43-53.

Cowling RM, Esler KJ and Rundel PW. 1999. Namaqualand, South Africa – an overview of unique winter-rainfall desert ecosystem. *Plant Ecology*, **142**: 3-21.

Cox PM, Betts RA, Jones CD, Spall SA and Totterdell IJ. 2000. Acceleration of global warming due to carbon-cycle feedbacks in a coupled climate model. *Nature*, *408*: 184-187.

Crawford RJM, Tree AJ, Whittington PA, Visagie J, Upfold L, Roxburg KJ, Martin AP and Dyer BM. 2008. Recent distributional changes in South Africa: is climate having impact? *African Journal of Marine Science*, **30**: 189-193.

Crear BJ and Forteath GNR. 2000. The effect of extrinsic and intrinsic factors on oxygen consumption by the southern rock lobster, *Jasus edwardsii*. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **252**: 129-147.

Crowley TJ. 2000. Causes of climate change over the past 1000 years. *Science*, **289**: 270-277.

Crowley TJ and Kim KY. 1999. Modelling the temperature responses to forced climate change over the last six centuries. *Geophysics Research Letters*, **26**: 1901-1904.

Cuculescu M, Hyde D and Bowler K. 1998. Thermal tolerance of two species of marine crab, *Cancer pagurus* and *Carcinus maenas*. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **23**: 107-110.

Cuña V, Saura M, Quesada H and Rolán-Alvarez E. 2011. Extensive micro-geographical shell polymorphism in a planktotrophic marine intertidal snail. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **427**: 133-143.

Cunningham CW. 2008. How to use genetic data to distinguish between natural and human-mediated introduction of Littorina littorea to North America. *Biological Invasions*, **10**: 1-6.

Curiale J, Morelos J, Lambiase J and Mueller W. 2000. Brunei Darussalam: characteristics of selected petroleums and source rocks. *Organic Geochemistry*, **31**: 1475-1493.

Curtis LA. 2002. Ecology of larval trematodes in three marine gastropods. *Parasitology*, **124**: S43-S56.

Dahlhoff EP. 2004. Biochemical indicators of stress and metabolism: Applications for marine ecological studies. *Annual Review of Physiology*, **66**: 183-207.

Dahlhoff E, O'Brien J, Somero GN and Vetter RD. 1991. Temperatures effects on mitochondria from hydrothermal vent invertebrates: evidence for adaptation to elevated and variable habitat temperatures. *Physiological Zoology*, **64**: 1490-1508.

Dahlhoff E and Somero G. 1993A. Kinetics and structural adaptations of cytoplasmic Malate Dehydrogenases of eastern Pacific abalone (genus *Haliotis*) from different thermal habitats: biochemical correlates of biogeographic patterning. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **185**: 137-150.

Dahlhoff E and Somero G. 1993B. Effect of temperature on mitochondria from abalone (genus *Haliotis*): adaptive plasticity and its limits. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **185**: 151-168.

Dahlhoff EP, Buckley BA and Menge BA. 2001. Physiology of the rocky intertidal predator *Nucella ostrina* along an environmental stress gradient. *Ecology*, **82**: 2816-2829.

Dahlhoff EP, Stillman JH and Menge BA. 2002. Physiological community ecology: variation in metabolic activity of ecologically important rocky intertidal invertebrates along environmental gradients. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **42**: 862-871.

Darbyshire M. 1963. Computed surface currents off the Cape of Good Hope. *Deep-Sea Research*, **10**: 623-632.

Darbyshire M. 1964. A hydrological investigation of the Agulhas Current area. *Deep-Sea Research*, **11**: 781-815.

Darbyshire M. 1966. The surface waters near the coasts of South Africa. *Deep-Sea Research*, **13**: 57-81.

Davenport J and Davenport JL. 2005. Effect of shore height, wave exposure and geographical distance on thermal niche width of intertidal fauna. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **292**: 41-50.

Davenport J and Davenport JL. 2007. Interaction of thermal tolerance and oxygen availability in the gastropods *Littorina littorea* and *Nucella lapillus*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **332**: 167-170.

Dawirs RR. 1983. Respiration, energy balance and development during groeth and starvation of *Carcinus maenas* L. larvae (Decapoda: Portunidae). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **69**: 105-128.

Dawson MN. 2001. Phylogeography in coastal marine animals: a solution from California? *Journal of Biogeography*, **28**: 723-736.

Deaton LE. 1991. Oxygen uptake and heart rate of the clam *Polymesoda caroliniana* Bosc in air and in seawater. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **147**: 1-7.

deBoer ML, Krupp DA and Weis VM. 2007. Proteomics and transcriptional analysis of coral larvae newly engaged in symbiosis with dinoflagellates. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology D*, **2**: 63-73.

d'Errico F, Vanhaeren M and Wadley L. 2008. Possible shell beads from the Middle Stone Age layers of Sibudu Cave, South Africa. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, **35**: 2675-2685.

deFur PL. 1988. Systemic respiratory adaptations to air exposure in intertidal decapod crustaceans. *American Zoologists*, **28**: 115-124.

deFur PL and Mangum C. 1979. The effect of environmental variables on the heart rates of invertebrates. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **62**: 283-294.

Dehnel PA. 1960. Effect of temperature and salinity on the oxygen consumption of two intertidal crabs. *Biological Bulletin*, **118**: 215-249.

Demarcq H, Barlow R and Shillington FA. 2003. Climatology and variability of sea surface temperature and surface chlorophyll in the Benguela and Agulhas regions as observed by satellite imagery. *African Journal of Marine Science*, **25**: 363-372.

Denny MW, Dowd WW, Bilir L and Mach KJ. 2011. Spreading the risk: small-scale body temperature variations among intertidal organisms and its implications for species persistence. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **400**: 175-190.

Depledge MH and Andersen BB. 1990. A computer-aided physiological monitoring system for continuous, long-term recording of cardiac activity in selected invertebrates. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **96**: 473-477.

Depledge MH and Lundebye AK. 1996. Physiological monitoring of contaminants effects in individual rock crab, *Hemigrapsus edwardsi*: the ecotoxicological significance of variability in response. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology C*, **113**: 277-282.

De Pirro M, Santini G and Chelazzi G. 1999A. Cardiac responses to salinity variations in two differently zoned Mediterranean limpets. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B*, **169**: 501-506.

De Pirro M, Cannicci S and Santini G. 1999B. A multi-factorial experiment on heart rate variations in the intertidal crab *Pachygrapsus marmoratus*. *Marine Biology*, **135**: 341-345.

De Pirro M, Chelazzi G, Borghini F and Focardi S. 2001. Variations in cardiac activity following acute exposure to copper in the three co-occurring but different zoned Mediterranean limpets. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, **42**: 1390-1396.

De Souza AG, MacCormack TJ, Wang N, Li L and Goss GG. 2009. Large-scale proteome profile of the zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) gill for physiological and biochemical discovery studies. *Zebrafish*, **6**: 229-238.

de Vooys CGN. 1976. The influence of temperature and time of year on the oxygen uptake of the mussel *Mytilus edulis*. *Marine Biology*, **36**: 25-30.

De Wachter B and McMahon BR. 1996. Temperature effects on heart performance and regional hemolymph flow in the crab *Cancer magister*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **114**: 27-33.

De Wolf H, Backeljau T and Verhagen R. 1997. Microgeographical shell variation in *Littorina striata*, a planktonic developing periwinkle. *Marine Biology*, **129**: 331-342.

De Wolf H, Backeljau T and Verhagen R. 1998. Spatio-temporal genetic structure and gene flow between two distinct shell morphs of the planktonic developing periwinkle *Littorina striata* (Mollusca: Prosobranchia). *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **163**: 155-163.

De Zwaan A and Wijsman TCM. 1979. Anaerobic metabolism in bivalve (Mollusca): characteristics of anaerobic metabolism. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology B*, **54**: 313-324.

Dheilly NM, Haynes PA, Bove U, Nair SV and Raftos DA. 2011. Comparative proteomic analysis of sea urchin (*Heliocidaris erythrogramma*) antibacterial response revealed the involvement of apextrin and calreticulin. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, **106**: 223-229.

Díaz F, Sierra E, Re AD and Rodríguez L. 2002. Behavioural thermoregulation and critical thermal limits of *Macrobrachium acanthurus* (Wiegma). *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **27**: 423-428.

Díaz-Ferguson E, Haney RA, Wares JP and Silliman BR. 2012. Genetic structure and connectivity patterns of two Caribbean rocky-intertidal gastropods. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, **78**: 112-118.

Dilly GF, Young CR, Lane WS, Pangilinan J and Girguis PR. 2012. Exploring the limit of metazoan thermal tolerance via comparative proteomics: thermally induced changes in protein abundance by two hydrothermal vent polychaetes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, **279**: 3347-3356.

Dineshram R, Wong K, Xiao S, Ziniu Y, Qian PY and Thiyagarajan V. 2012. Analysis of Pacific oyster larval proteome and its response to high-CO<sub>2</sub>. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, **64**: 2160-2167.

Diz AP and Skibinski DOF. 2007. Evolution of 2-DE protein patterns in mussel hybrid. *Proteomics*, 7: 2111-2122.

Diz AP, Dudley E, McDonald BW, Piña B, Kenchington ELR, Zouros E and Skibinski DOF. 2009. Genetic variation underlying protein expression in egg of the Marine mussel *Mytilus edulis*. *Molecular and Cellular Proteomics*, **8**: 132-144.

Diz AP, Martínez-Fernández M and Rolán-Alvarez E. 2012A. Proteomics in evolutionary ecology: linking the genotype with the phenotype. *Molecular Ecology*, **21**: 1060-1080.

Diz AP, Páez De La Cadena M and Rolán-Alvarez E. 2012B. Proteomics evidence of paedomorphic evolutionary process within a marine snail species: a strategy for adapting to extreme ecological conditions? *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **25**: 2569-2581.

Doellman MM, Trussell GC, Grahame JW and Vollmer SV. 2011. Phylogeographic analysis reveals a deep lineage split within North Atlantic *Littorina saxatilis*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society*, **278**: 3175-3183.

Doney SC, Fabry VJ, Feely RA and Kleypas JA. 2009. Ocean acidification: the other CO<sub>2</sub> problem. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, **1**: 169-92.

Dong Y, Dong S, Tian X, Wang F and Zhang M. 2006. Effects of dial temperature fluctuations on growth, oxygen consumption and proximate composition in the sea cucumber *Apostichopus japonicas* Selenka. *Aquaculture*, **255**: 514-521.

Dong Y and Dong S. 2008. Induced thermotolerance and expression of heat shock protein 70 in a sea cucumber *Apostichopus japonicas*. *Fisheries Science*, **74**: 573-578.

Dong Y, Dong S and Ji T. 2008A. Effect of different thermal regimes on growth and physiological performance of the sea cucumber *Apostichopus japonicas* Selenka. *Aquaculture*, **275**: 239-334.

Dong Y, Miller PL, Sanders JG and Somero GN. 2008B. Heat-shock protein 70 (Hsp70) expression in four limpets of the genus *Lottia*: Interspecific variation in constitutive and inducible synthesis correlates with *in situ* expression to heat stress. *Biological Bulletin*, **215**: 173-181.

Dong Y and Somero GN. 2009. Temperature adaptation of cytosolic Malate Dehydrogenases of limpets (genus *Lottia*): differences in stability and function due to minor changes in sequence correlates with biogeographic and vertical distributions. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **212**: 169-177.

Dong YW and Williams GA. 2011. Variation in cardiac performance and heat shock protein expression to thermal stress in two differently zoned limpets on a tropical shore. *Marine Biology*, **158**: 1223-1232.

Dong YW, Yu SS, Wang QI and Dong SL. 2011. Physiological responses in variable environment: relationships between metabolism, Hsp and thermal tolerance in an intertidal-subtidal species. *PLoS One*, **6**: 1-6.

Dong YW, Wang HS, Han GD, Ke CH, Zhan X, Nakano T and Williams GA. 2012. The impact of Yangtze River discharge, ocean currents and historical events on the biogeographic patterns of *Cellana toreuma* along China coast. *PLoS One*, **7**: e36178.1-6.

Dowd WW. 2012. Challenges for biological interpretation of environmental proteomics data in non-model organisms. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **52**: 705-720.

Dowd WW, Wood CM, Kajimura M, Walsh PJ and Kültz D. 2008. Natural feeding influences protein expression in the dogfish shark rectal gland: a proteomic analysis. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology D*, **3**: 118-127.

Dowd WW, Harris BN, Cech JJ and Kültz D. 2010A. Proteomic and physiological responses of leopard sharks (*Triakis semifasciata*) to salinity change. *The Journal of Experimental Marine Biology*, **213**: 210-224.

Dowd WW, Renshaw GMC, Cech Jr. JJ and Kültz D. 2010B. Compensatory proteome adjustments imply tissue-specific structural and metabolic reorganization flowing episodic hypoxia or anoxia in the epaulette shark (*Hemiscyllium ocellatum*). *Physiological Genomics*, **42**: 93-114.

Doyle JJ and Doyle JL. 1987. A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissues. *Photochemical Bulletin*, **19**: 11-15.

Duncan RS and Szelistowski WA. 1998. Influence of puffer predation on vertical distribution of mangrove littorinids in the gulf of Nicoya, Costa Rica. *Oecologia*, **117**: 433-442.

Du Preez HH. 1983. The effect of temperature, season and activity on the respiration of the three spot swimming crab, *Ovalipes punctatus*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **75**: 353-362.

Du Preez HH, McLachlan A and Marais JFK. 1986. Oxygen consumption of shallow water teleost, the spotted grunter, *Pamadasys commersonni*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **84**: 61-70.

Dye AH. 1987. Aerial and aquatic oxygen consumption in two siphonariid limpets (Pulmonata: Siphonariidae). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **87**: 695-698.

Dye AH and McGwynne L. 1980. The effect of temperature and season on the respiratory rates of three Psammolittoral gastropods. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **66**: 107-111.

Dye AH and van der Veen L. 1980. Respiratory responses of winter acclimated grasped crabs to a number of environmental parameters. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **67**: 643-647.

Eanes WF. 1987. Allozymes and fitness: evolution of a problem. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **2**: 44-48.

Einarson S. 1993. Effect of temperature, seawater osmolality and season on oxygen consumption and osmoregulation of the amphipod *Gammarus oceanicus*. *Marine Biology*, **117**: 599-606.

Egonmwan RI. 2007. Thermal tolerance and evaporative water loss of the mangrove prosobranch *Tympanotonus fuscatus* var. *radula* L. (Cerithiacea: Potamididae). *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, **10**: 163-166.

Ehlers A, Worm B and Reusch TBH. 2008. Importance of genetic diversity in eelgrass *Zostera marina* for its resilience to global warming. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **355**: 1-7.

Emanuel BP, Bustamante RH, Branch GM, Eekhout S and Odendaal FJ. 1992. A zoogeographic and functional approach to selection of marine reserves on the west coast of South Africa. *South African Journal of Marine Science*, **12**: 341-354.

Emmerson WD. 1985. Oxygen consumption in *Palaemon pacificus* (Stimpson) (Decapoda: Palaemonidae) in relation to temperature, size, and season. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **81**: 71-78.

Emmerson WD. 1990. The effect of temperature and season on the aerial oxygen consumption of the *Uca urvillei* (H. Milne Edwards) (Decapoda: Ocypodidae). *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **15**: 41-46.

Emson RH, Morritt D, Andrews EB and Young CM. 2002. Life on a hot dry beach: behavioural, physiological, and ultrastructural adaptations of the littorinids gastropod *Cenchritis (Tectarius) muricatus. Marine Biology*, **140**: 723-732.

Enyu YL and Shu-Chien AC. 2011. Proteomics analysis of mitochondrial extract from liver of female zebrafish undergoing starvation and refeeding. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, **17**: e413-e423.

Erlandsson J and Rolán-Alvarez E. 1998. Sexual selection and assortative mating by size and their roles in the maintenance of a polymorphism in Swedish *Littorina saxatilis* populations. *Hydrobiologia*, **378**: 59-69.

Erlandsson J, Kostylev V and Rolán-Alvarez E. 1999. Mate search and aggregation behaviour in the Galician hybrid zone of *Littorina saxatilis* populations. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **378**: 59-69.

Eshky AA and Ba-Akdhah MA. 1992. Effects of temperature on the oxygen consumption and heart rate in the inter-tidal edge clam, *Donax faba* (Gmelin). *Marine Science Journal*, **3**: 81-90.

Etter RL. 1988. Physiological stress and colour polymorphism in the intertidal snail *Nucella lapillus*. *Evolution*, **42**: 660-680.

Evans RG. 1948. The lethal temperature of some common British littoral molluses. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **17**: 165-173.

Evans TG and Somero GN. 2010. Phosphorylation events catalyzed by major cell signalling proteins differ in response to thermal and osmotic stress among native (*Mytilus californianus* and *Mytilus trossulus*) and invasive (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*) species of mussels. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, **83**: 984-996.

Evans BS, Sweijd NA, Bowie RCK, Cook PA and Elliot NG. 2004. Population genetic structure of the perlemoen *Haliotis midae* in South Africa: evidence of range expansion and founder events. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **270**: 163-172.

Famme P. 1980. Effects of shell valve closure by the mussel *Mytilus edulis* L. on the rate of oxygen consumption in declining oxygen tension. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **67**: 167-170.

Fangue NA and Bennett WA. 2003. Thermal tolerance responses of laboratory-acclimated and seasonally acclimatized Atlantic stingray, *Dasyatis sabina*. *Copeia*, **2**: 315-325.

Fangue NA, Hofmeister M and Schulte PM. 2006. Intraspecific variation in thermal tolerance and heat shock protein gene expression in common killifish, *Fundulus heteroclitus*. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **209**: 2859-2872.

Fangue NA, Richards JG and Schulte PM. 2009. Do mitochondrial properties explain intraspecific variation in thermal tolerance? *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **212**: 514-522.

Feare CJ. 1971. The adaptive significance of aggregation behaviour in the dogwhelk *Nucella lapillus* (L.). *Oecologia*, 7: 117-126.

Feder ME. 1999. Organismal, ecological and evolutionary aspects of heat-shock proteins and the stress response: established conclusions and unresolved issues. *American Zoology*, **39**: 857-864.

Feder ME and Hofmann GE. 1999. Heat-shock proteins, molecular chaperons, and the stress response: evolutionary and ecological physiology. *Annual Review of Physiology*, **61**: 243-282.

Feder ME and Walser JC. 2005. The biological limitations of transcriptomics in elucidating stress and stress responses. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **18**: 901-910.

Feely RA, Sabine CL, Takahashi T and Wanninkhof R. 2001. Uptake and storage of carbon dioxide in the ocean: the global CO<sub>2</sub> survey. *Oceanography*, **14**: 18-32.

Feely RA, Sabine CL, Lee K, Berelson W, Kleypas J, Fabry VJ and Millero FJ. 2004. Impact of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> on the CACO<sub>3</sub> system in the oceans. *Science*, **305**: 362-366.

Feely RA, Doney SC and Cooley SR. 2009. Ocean acidification: present conditions and future changes in a high- CO<sub>2</sub> world. *Oceanography*, **22**: 36-40.

Feidantsis K, Pörtner HO, Lazou A, Kostoglou B and Michaelidis B. 2009. Metabolic and molecular stress responses of the gilthead seabream *Sparus aurata* during long-term exposure to increasing temperature. *Marine Biology*, **156**: 797-809.

Felsenstein J. 1981. Evolutionary trees from DNA sequences: a maximum likelihood approach. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, **17**: 368-378.

Féral JP. 2002. How useful are the genetic markers in attempts to understand and manage marine biodiversity? *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **268**: 121-145.

Ferraris JD, Frauchald K and Kensely B. 1994. Physiological responses to fluctuations in temperature or salinity in invertebrates: adaptations of *Alpheus viridari* (Decapoda, Crustacea), *Terebellides parva* (Polychaeta) and *Golfinigia cylindrata* (Sipunculida) to mangrove habitat. *Marine Biology*, **120**: 397-406.

Fevolden S and Garner SP. 1987. Environmental stress and allozyme variation in *Littorina littorea* (Prosobranchia). *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **39**: 129-136.

Fields PA, Graham JB, Rosenblatt RH and Somero GN. 1993. Effects of expected global change in marine faunas. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **8**: 361-366.

Fields PA, Zuzow MJ and Tomanek L. 2012A. Proteomic responses of blue mussel (*Mytilus*) congeners to temperature acclimation. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, *215*: 1106-1116.

Fields PA, Cox KM and Karch KR. 2012B. Latitudinal variation in protein expression after heat stress in the salt marsh mussel *Geukensia demissa*. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **52**: 636-647.

Fiévet J, Dillmann C, Lagniel G, Davanture M, Negroni L, Labarre J and de Vienne D. 2004. Assessing factors for reliable quantitative proteomics based on two-dimensional gel electrophoresis. *Proteomics*, **4**: 1939-1949.

Findley AM, Belisle BW and Stickle WB. 1978. Effect of salinity fluctuations on the respiration rate of the southern oyster Drill *Thais haemastoma* and the blue crab *Callinectes sapidus*. *Marine Biology*, **49**: 59-67.

Finke GR, Navarrete AS and Bozinovic F. 2007. Tidal regimes of temperate coasts and their influences on aerial for intertidal organisms. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **343**: 57-62.

Finke GR, Bozinovic F and Navarrete AS. 2009. A mechanistic model to study the thermal ecology of a southeastern Pacific dominant intertidal mussel and implications for climate change. *Physiological and Biological Zoology*, **82**: 303-313.

Fitt WK, Barbara E, Brown E, Warner ME and Dunne RP. 2001. Coral bleaching: interpretation of thermal tolerance limits and thermal thresholds in tropics corals. *Coral Reefs*, **20**: 51-65.

Fitzgerald-Dehoog L, Browning J and Allen BJ. 2012. Food and heat in the California mussel: evidence for an energetic trade-off between survival and growth. *The Biological Bulletin*, **223**: 205-261.

Fitzhenry T, Halpin PM and Helmuth B. 2004. Testing the effects of wave exposure, sit and behaviour on intertidal mussel body temperatures: applications and limits of temperature loggers. *Marine Biology*, **145**: 339-349.

Flores JA, Gersonde R and Sierro FV. 1999. Pleistocene fluctuations in the Agulhas Current Retroflection based on the calcareous plankton record. *Marine Micropaleontology*, **37**: 1-22.

Folmer O, Black M, Hoe W, Lutz R and Vrijenhoek R. 1994. DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. *Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology*, **3**: 294-299.

Forné I, Abián J and Cerdà J. 2010. Fish proteome analysis: model organisms and non-sequenced species. *Proteomics*, **10**: 858-872.

Fraenkel G. 1960. Lethal high temperature for three marine invertebrates: *Limulus polyphemus*, *Littorina littorea* and *Pagurus longicarpus*. *Oikos*, **11**: 171-182.

Frederich M and Pörtner HO. 2000. Oxygen of thermal tolerance defined by cardiac and ventilator performance in spider crab, *Maja squinado. American Journal of Physiological Regulatory Integrative Comparative Physiology*, **279**: R1531-R1538.

Fu YX and Li WH. 1993. Statistical tests of neutrality of mutations. Genetics, 133: 693-709.

Galindo J, Grahame JW and Butlin RK. 2010. An EST-based genome scan using 454 sequencing in the marine snail *Littorina saxatilis*. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **23**: 2004-2016.

Galtier N, Nabholz B, Glémin S and Hurst GDD. 2009. Mitochondrial DNA as a marker for molecular diversity: a reappraisal. *Molecular Ecology*, **18**: 4541-4550.

Gardeström J, Elfwing T, Löf M, Tedengren M, Davenport JL and Davenport J. 2007. The effect of thermal stress on protein composition in dogwhelks (*Nucella lapillus*) under normoxic and hyperoxic conditions. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **148**: 869-875.

Garrity SD. 1984. Some adaptations of gastropods to physical stress on a tropical rocky shore. *Ecology*, **65**: 559-574.

Gedan KB, Bernhardt J, Bertness MD, Leslie HM. 2011. Substrate size mediates thermal stress in the rocky intertidal. *Ecology*, **92**: 576-582.

Gee JM. 1985. Seasonal aspects of the relationship between temperature and respiration rate in four species of intertidal harpacticoid copepod. *Journal of Experimental Biology and Ecology*, **93**: 147-156.

Genner MJ, Sims DW, Wearmouth VJ, Southall EJ, Southard AJ, Henderson PA and Hawkins SJ. 2003. Regional warming drives long-term community changes of British marine fish. *Proceedings of the Royal society of London B*, **271**: 655-661.

Gillooly JF, Brown JH, West GB, Savage VM and Charnov EL. 2001. Effect of size and temperature on metabolic rate. *Science*, **293**: 2248-2251.

Gillooly JF, Charnov EL, West GB, Savage VM and Brown JH. 2002. Effect of size and temperature on metabolic rate. *Nature*, **417**: 71-73.

Gillooly JF, Allen AL, Savage VM, Charnov EL, West GB and Brown JH. 2006. Response to Clarke and Fraser: effects of temperature on metabolic rate. *Functional Ecology*, **20**: 400-404.

Gilman SE, Wethey DS and Helmuth B. 2006. Variation in the sensitivity of organismal body temperature to climate change over local and geographical scales. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*, **103**: 9560-9565.

Glazier DS. 2005. Beyond the "3/4-power law": variation in intra- and interspecific scaling of metabolic rate in animals. *Biological Review*, **80**: 611-662.

González-Riopedre MG, Navás A, Dobaño E, Romas-Martínez JI and Barcia R. 2007. Effect of thermal stress on protein expression in the mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* Lmk. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology B*, **147**: 531-540.

Gooch JL and Schopf TJM. 1972. Genetic variability in the deep sea: relation to environmental variability. *Evolution*, **26**: 545-552.

Gopal K, Tolley KA, Groeneveld JC and Matthee CA. 2006. Mitochondrial DNA variation in spiny lobster *Palinurus delagoae* suggests genetically structured populations in the southwestern Indian Ocean. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **319**: 191-198.

Görg A, Weiss W and Dunn MJ. 2004. Current two-dimensional electrophoresis technology for proteomics. *Proteomics*, **4**: 3665-3685.

Gosling EM, Wilson IF and Andrew J. 1998. A preliminary study on genetic differentiation in *Littorina saxatilis* from Galway Bay, Ireland: *Littorina tenebrosa* Montagu – a valid species or ecotype? *Hydrobiologia*, **378**: 21-25.

Gracey AY, Chaney ML, Boomhowre JP, Tyburczy WR, Connor K and Somero GN. 2008. Rhythm of gene expression in fluctuating intertidal environment. *Current Biology*, **18**: 1-7.

Granovitch AI, Sergievsky SO and Sokolova IM. 2000. Spatial and temporal variation of trematodes infection in coexisting populations of intertidal gastropods *Littorina saxatilis* and *L. obtusata* in the White Sea. *Disease of Aquatic Organisms*, **41**: 53-64.

Grant WS and Lang M. 1991. Mode of larval development and genetic population structure in *Nodilittorina africana knysnaensis* (Prosobranchia: Littorinidae). *Marine Biology*, **109**: 479-483.

Grant WS Schneider AC, Leslie RW and Cherry MI. 1992. Population genetics of the brown mussel *Perna perna* in southern Africa. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **165**: 45-58.

Grant WS and da Silva-Tatley FM. 1997. Lack of genetically-subdivided population structure in *Bullia digitalis*, a southern African marine gastropod with lecithotrophic development. *Marine Biology*, **129**: 123-137.

Griffiths RJ. 1977. Thermal stress and the biology of *Actinia equina* L. (Anthozoa). *Journal* of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, **27**: 141-154.

Guderley H and St-Pierre J. 2002. Going with the flow or life in the fast lane: contrasting mitochondrial responses to thermal change. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **205**: 2237-2249.

Gutermuth FB and Armstrong DA. 1989. Temperature-dependent metabolic response of juvenile Dungeness crab *Cancer magister* Dana: ecological implications for estuarine and coastal populations. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **126**: 135-144.

Gutierrez PC. 1988. The ecology and behaviour of the mangrove periwinkle, *Littorina angulifera*. *Biotropica*, **20**: 352-356.

Gyllenberg G and Lundqvist G. 1979. The effects of temperature and salinity on the oxygen consumption of *Eurytemora hirundoides* (Crustacea, Copepoda). *Annales Zoologici Fennici*, **16**: 205-208.

Häder DP, Kumar HD, Smith RC and Worrest RC. 2007. Effects of UV radiation on aquatic ecosystems and interactions with climate change. *Photochemical and Photobiological Sciences*, **6**: 267-285.

Hall CAS, Stanford JA and Hauer FR. 1992. The distribution and abundance of organisms as consequences of energy balances along multiple environmental gradients. *Oikos*, **65**: 377-390.

Halpin PM and Martin KLM. 1999. Aerial respiration in the salt marsh fish *Fundulus heteroclitus* (Fundulidae). *Copeia*, **3**: 743-748.

Halpin PM, Sorte C, Hofmann GE and Menge BA. 2002. Patterns of variation in levels of Hsp70 in natural rocky shore populations from microscales to mesoscales. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **42**: 815-824.

Halpin PM, Sorte C, Hofmann GE and Menge BA. 2004. Experimental demonstration of plasticity in the heat shock response of the intertidal mussel *Mytilus californianus*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **276**: 137-145.

Hamby RJ. 1975. Heat effects on a marine snail. Biological Bulletin, 149: 331-347.

Hampe A and Petit RJ. 2005. Conserving biodiversity under climate change: the rear edge matters. *Ecology Letters*, **8**: 461-467.

Hare MP. 2001. Prospects for nuclear gene phylogeography. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **16**: 700-706.

Harley CDG. 2003. Abiotic stress and herbivory interact to set range limits across a twodimensional stress gradient. *Ecology*, **84**: 1477-1488.

Harley CDG. 2008. Tidal dynamics, topographic orientation, and temperature-mediated mass mortalities on rocky shores. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **371**: 37-46.

Harley CDG and Helmuth BST. 2003. Local- and regional-scale effects of wave exposure, thermal stress, and absolute versus effective shore level on patterns of intertidal zonation. *Limnology and Oceanography*, **48**: 1498-1508.

Harley CDG and Lopez JP. 2003. The natural history, thermal physiology, and ecological impacts of intertidal mesopredators, *Oedoparena* spp. (Diptera: Dryomyzidae). *Invertebrate Biology*, **122**: 61-73.

Harley CDG, Hughes AR, Hultgren KM, Miner BG, Sorte CJB, Thornber CS, Rodriguez LF, Tomanek L and Williams SL. 2006. The impacts of climate change in coastal marine systems. *Ecology Letters*, **9**: 228-241.

Harley CDG, Denny MW, Mach KJ and Miller LP. 2009. Thermal stress and morphological adaptations in limpets. *Functional Ecology*, **23**: 292-301.

Harper EM and Peck L. 2003. Predatory behaviour and metabolic cost in the Antarctic muricid gastropod *Trophon longstaffi*. *Polar Biology*, **26**: 208-217.

Harris SA and Cyrus DP. 1996. Larval and juvenile in the surf zone adjacent to the St. Lucia estuary mouth, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *Marine Freshwater Research*, **47**: 465-482.

Harrison TD. 2002. Preliminary assessment of the biogeography of fishes in South African estuaries. *Marine Freshwater Research*, **53**: 479-490.

Harrison TD. 2004. Physico-chemical characteristics of South Africa estuaries in relation to the zoogeography of the region. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, **61**: 73-87.

Harrison TD and Whitefield AK. 2006. Temperature and salinity as a primary determinants influencing the biogeography of fishes in South African estuaries. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, **66**: 335-345.

Hartnoll RG. 1976. The ecology of some rocky shores in tropical east Africa. *Estuarine and Coastal Marine Science*, **4**: 1-21.

Hatcher A, Grant J and Schofield B. 1997. Seasonal changes in the metabolism of cultured mussels (*Mytilus edulis L*.) from a Nova Scotian inlet: the effect of winter ice cover and nutritive stress. *Journal of experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **217**: 63-68.

Haure J, Penisson C, Bougrier S and Baud JP. 1998. Influences of temperature on clearance and oxygen consumption rates of the flat oyster *Ostrea edulis*: determination of allometric coefficients. *Aquaculture*, **169**: 211-224.

Hawkins AJS. 1995. Effect of temperature change on ectotherms metabolism and evolution: metabolic and physiological interactions underlying the superiority of multi-locus heterozygotes in heterogeneous environments. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **20**: 23-33.

Hawkins AJS, Jones MB and Marsden ID. 1982. Aerial and aquatic respiration in two mud crabs, *Helice crassa* Dana (Grapsidae) and *Macrophthalmus hirtipes* (Jacquinot) (Ocypodidae), in relation to habitat. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **73**: 341-347.

Hawkins AJS, Wilson IA and Bayne BL. 1987. Thermal responses reflect protein turnover in *Mytilus edulis* L. *Functional Ecology*, **4**: 339-351.

Hawkins AJS and Bayne BL. 1991. Nutrition of marine mussels: factors influencing the relative utilizations of protein and energy. *Aquaculture*, **94**: 177-196.

Hawkins JS, Southward AJ and Genner MJ. 2003. Detection of environmental change in a marine ecosystem – evidence from the western English Channel. *The science of the Environment*, **310**: 245-256.

Hawkins SJ, Moore PJ, Burrows MT, Poloczanska E, Mieszkowska N, Herbert RJH, Jenkins SR, Thompson RC, Genner MJ and Southward AJ. 2008. Complex interactions in a rapidly changing world: responses of rocky shore communities to recent climate change. *Climate Research*, **37**: 123-133.

Heilmayer O, Digialleonardo J, Qian L and Roesijadi G. 2008. Stress tolerance of a subtropical *Crassostrea virginica* population to the combined effects of temperature and salinity. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, **79**: 179-185.

Hellberg ME, Burton RS, Neigel JE and Palumbi SR. 2002. Genetic assessment of connectivity among marine populations. *Bulletin of Marine Science*, **70**: 273-290.

Heller NE and Zavaleta ES. 2009. Biodiversity management in the face of climate change: a review of 22 years of recommendations. *Biological Conservation*: **142**: 14-32.

Helm MM and Trueman ER. 1967. The effect of exposure on the heart rate of the mussel, *Mytilus edulis* L. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology*, **21**: 171-177.

Helmuth B. 1998. Intertidal mussel microclimates: predicting the body temperature of sessile invertebrates. *Ecological Monographs*, **68**: 51-74.

Helmuth B. 2002. How do we measure the environment? Linking intertidal thermal physiology and ecology through biophysics. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **42**: 837-845.

Helmuth BST and Hofmann GE. 2001. Microhabitats, thermal heterogeneity, and patterns of physiological stress in rocky intertidal zone. *Biological Bulletin*, **201**: 374-384.

Helmuth B, Harley CDG, Halpin PM, O Donnell M, Hofmann GE and Blanchette CA. 2002. Climate change and latitudinal patterns of intertidal thermal stress. *Science*, **298**: 1015-1017.

Helmuth B, Kingsolver JG and Carrington E. 2005. Biophysics, physiological ecology, and climate change: does mechanism matter? *Annual Review of Physiology*, **67**: 177-201.

Helmuth B, Broitman BR, Blanchette CA, Gilman S, Halpin P, Harley CDG, O Donnell MJ, Hofmann GE, Menge B and Strickland D. 2006A. Mosaic patterns of thermal stress in the rocky intertidal zone: implications for climate change. *Ecological Monographs*, **76**: 461-476.

Helmuth B, Mieszkowska N, Moore P and Hawkins SJ. 2006B. Living in the edge of two changing worlds: forecasting the responses of rocky intertidal ecosystems to climate change. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*, **37**: 373-404.

Helmuth B, Broitman BR, Yamane L, Gilman SE, Mach K, Mislan KAS and Denny MW. 2010A. Organismal climatology: analyzing environmental variability at scales relevant to physiological stress. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **213**: 995-1003.

Helmuth B, Yamane L, Mach KJ, Chhotray S, Levin P and Woodin S. 2010B. All climate change is local: understanding and predicting the effects of climate change from an organism's point of view. *Stanford Journal of Law, Science and Policy*, **2**: 19-35.

Helmuth B, Yamane L, Lalwani S, Matzelle A, Tockstein A and Gao N. 2011. Hidden signals of climate change in the intertidal ecosystems: what (not) to expect when you are expecting. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **400**: 191-199.

Hendrix Jr. JP, Hulet WH and Greenberg MJ. 1981. Salinity tolerance and the responses to hypoosmotic stress of the bay squid *Lolliguncula brevis*, a euryhaline cephalopod mollusc. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **69**: 641-648.

Herreid II CF. 1980. Hypoxia in invertebrates. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **67**: 311-320.

Hewitt GM. 2004. The structure of biodiversity – insights from molecular phylogeography. *Frontiers in Zoology*, **1**: 1-16.

Hickey DA and Singer GAC. 2004. Genomic and proteomic adaptations to growth at high temperature. *Genomic Biology*, **5**: 117.1-117.7.

Hickerson MJ, Carstens BC, Cavender-Bares J, Crandall KA, Graham CH, Johnson JB, Rissler L, Victoriano PF and Yoder AD. 2010. Phylogeography"s past, present and future: 10 years after Avise, 2000. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, **54**: 291-301.

Hicks GRF. 1973. Combined effects of temperature and salinity on *Hemigrapsus edwardsi* (Hilgendorf) and *H. crenulatus* (Milne Edwards) from Wellington harbour, New Zealand. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **13**: 1-14.

Hicks DW and McMahon RF. 2002A. Respiratory responses to temperature and hypoxia in the nonindigenous brown mussel, *Perna perna* (Bivalvia: Mytilidae), from the Gulf of Mexico. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology*, **277**: 61-78.

Hicks DW and McMahon RF. 2002B. Temperature acclimation of upper and lower thermal limits and freeze resistance in the nonindigenous brown mussel, *Perna perna* (L.), from the Gulf of Mexico. *Marine Biology and Ecology*, **140**: 1167-1179.

Hilbish TJ, Bayne BL and Day A. 1994. Genetic physiological differentiation within the marine mussel genus *Mytilus*. *Evolution*, **48**: 267-286.

Hill BJ and Koopowitz H. 1975. Heart-rate of the crab *Scylla serrata* (Forskal) in air and in hypoxic conditions. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **52**: 385-387.

Hill BJ, Taylor AC and Strang RHC. 1991. Physiological and metabolic responses of the shore crab *Carcinus maenas* (L.) during environmental anoxia and subsequent recovery. *Journal of Experimental Maine Biology and Ecology*, **150**: 31-50.

Hiller-Adams P and Childress JJ. 1983A. Effect of feeding, feeding history, and food deprivation on respiration and excretion rates of the bathypelagic mysid *Gnathophausia ingens*. *Biological Bulletin*, **165**: 182-196.

Hiller-Adams P and Childress JJ. 1983B. Effect of prolonged starvation on  $O_2$  consumption,  $NH_4^+$  excretion, and chemical composition of the bathypelagic mysid *Gnathophausia ingens*. *Marine Biology*, **77**: 119-127.

Hiscott RN. 2001. Depositional sequences controlled by high rates of sediments supply, sealevel variations, and growth faulting: the Quaternary Baram Delta of northwestern Borneo. *Marine Geology*, **175**: 67-102.

Ho CR, Kuo NJ, Zheng Q and Soong YS. 2000A. Dynamic active areas in the South China Sea detected from TOPEX/POSEIDON satellite altimeter data. *Remote Sensing and Environment*, **71**: 320-328.

Ho CR, Zheng Q, Soong YS, Kuo NJ and Hu JH. 2000B. Seasonal variability of sea surface height in the South China Sea observed with TOPEX/Poseidon altimeter data. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **105**: 13,981-13,990.

Hochachka PW, Buck LT, Doll CJ and Land SC. 1996. Unifying theory of hypoxia tolerance: molecular/metabolic defense and rescue mechanisms for surviving oxygen lack. *Proceedings* of National Academy of Science of the United States of America, **93**: 9493-9498.

Hochachka PW and Lutz PL. 2001. Mechanism, origin and evolution of anoxia tolerance in animals. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology B*, **130**: 435-459.

Hoegh-Guldberg O and Bruno JF. 2010. The impact of climate change on the world"s marine ecosystems. *Science*, **328**: 1523-1528.

Hoffmann AA and Willi Y. 2008. Detecting genetic responses to environmental change. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, **9**: 421-432.

Hofmann GE. 1999. Ecologically relevant variation in induction and function of heat shock proteins in marine organisms. *American Zoology*, **39**: 889-900.

Hofmann GE. 2005. Patterns of Hsp gene expression in ectothermic marine organisms on a small to large biogeographic scales. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **45**: 247-255.

Hofmann GE and Somero GN. 1995. Evidence for protein damage at environmental temperatures: seasonal changes in levels of ubiquitin conjugates and hsp70 in the intertidal mussel *Mytilus trossulus*. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **198**: 1509-1518.

Hofmann GE and Somero GN. 1996. Intraspecific variation in thermal denaturation of proteins in the congeneric mussels *Mytilus trossulus* and *M. galloprovincialis*: evidence from heat-shock response and protein ubiquitination. *Marine Biology*, **126**: 65-75.

Hofmann GE, Buckley BA, Place SP and Zippay ML. 2002. Molecular chaperones in ectothermic marine animals: biochemical function and gene expression. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **42**: 808-814.

Hofmann GE, Lund SG, Place SP and Whitmer AC. 2005. Some like it hot, some like it cold: the heat shock response is found in the New Zealand but not Antarctic notothenioid fishes. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **316**: 79-89.

Höjesjö J, Johnsson JI and Axelsson M. 1999. Behavioural and heart responses to food limitation and predation risk: an experimental study on rainbow trout. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **55**: 1009-1019.

Hopkin RS, Qari S, Bowler K, Hyde D and Cuculescu M. 2006. Seasonal thermal tolerance in marine Crustacea. *The Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **331**: 74-81.

Horowitz M. 2001. Heat acclimation: phenotypic plasticity and cues to the underlying molecular mechanisms. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **26**: 357-363.

Horowitz M. 2002. From molecular and cellular to integrative heat defense during exposure to chronic heat. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **131**: 475-483.

Hourdez S and Lallier FH. 2007. Adaptations to hypoxia in hydrothermal-vent and cold-seep invertebrates. *Revision of Environmental Science Biotechnology*, **6**: 143-159.

Houlihan DF. 1979. Respiration in and air and water of three mangrove snails. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **41**: 143-161.

Houlihan DF and Innes AJ. 1982. Respiration in air and water of four Mediterranean trochids. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **57**: 35-54.

Houlihan DF, Waring CP, Mathers W and Gray C. 1990. Protein synthesis and oxygen consumption of the shore crab *Carcinus maenas* after a meal. *Physiological Zoology*, **63**: 735-756.

Hu J, Kawamura H, Hong H and Qi Y. 2000. A review of the currents in the South China sea: seasonal circulation, South China Sea warm current and Kuroshio intrusion. *Journal of Oceanography*, **56**: 607-624.

Huan P, Wang H and Liu B. 2011. Comparative protein analysis of challenged Zhikong scallop (*Chlamys farreri*): a new insight into the anti-*Vibrio* immune response of marine bivalves. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, **31**: 1186-1192.

Huey RB. 1991. Physiological consequences of habitat selection. *The American Naturalist*, 137: S91-S115.

Huey RB and Stevenson RD. 1979. Integrating thermal physiology and ecology of ectotherms: a discussion approaches. *American Zoology*, **19**: 357-366.

Huey RB and Kingsolver JG. 1989. Evolution of thermal sensitivity of ectotherm performance. *Trends in Evolutionary Ecology*, **4**: 131-135.

Huey RB and Bennett AF. 1990. Physiological adjustment to fluctuating thermal environments: an ecological and evolutionary perspective. In Morimoto R and Tissieres A (eds), Stress proteins in biology and medicine: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York (NY): pp37-59

Huey RB and Kingsolver JG. 1993. Evolution of resistance to high temperature in ectotherms. *The American Naturalist*, **142**: S21-S46.

Huey RB and Berrigan D. 2001. Temperature, demography, and ectotherm fitness. *The American Naturalist*, **158**: 204-210.

Hughes RN. 1979. On the taxonomy of *Littorina africana* (Mollusca: Gastropoda). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **65**: 111-118.

Hull SL. 1998. Assortative mating between two distinct micro-allopatric populations of *Littorina saxatilis* (Olivi) on the northeast coast of England. *Hydrobiologia*, **378**: 79-88.

Hull SL, Grahame J and Mill PJ. 1996. Morphological divergence and evidence for reproductive isolation in *Littorina saxatilis* (Olivi) in northeast England. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, **62**: 89-99.

Hull SL, Grahame J and Mill PJ. 1999. Heat stability and activity of aspartate aminotransferase and alanine aminotransferase in British Littorinidae. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **237**: 255-270.

Hulme M, Doherty R, Ngara T, New M and Lister D. 2001. African climate change: 1900-2100. *Climate Research*, **17**: 145-168.

Hummel H, Bogaards RH, Bachelet G, Caron F, Sola JC and Amiard-Triquet C. 2000. The respiratory performance and survival of the bivalve *Macoma balthica* (L.) at the southern limit of its distribution area: a translocation experiment. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **251**: 85-102.

Hutchings L, Beckley LE, Griffiths MH, Roberts MJ, Sundby S and van der Lingen C. 2002. Spawning on the edge: spawning grounds and nursery areas around the southern African coastline. *Marine Freshwater Research*, **53**: 307-318.

Hutchison VR. 1961. Critical thermal maxima in salamanders. *Physiological Zoology*, **34**: 92-125.

Hutson WH. 1980. The Agulhas Current during the late Pleistocene: analysis of modern faunal analogs. *Science*: **207**: 64-66.

Huxham M, Maitland D and Mocogni M. 2001. Respiration rates in *Littorina littorea* infected with three species of digenean parasite. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, **81**: 351-352.

Hwang UW and Kim W. 1999. General properties and phylogenetic utilities of nuclear ribosomal DNA and mitochondrial DNA commonly used in molecular systematics. *The Korean Journal of Parasitology*, **37**: 215-228.

Iacarella JC and Helmuth B. 2011. Experiencing the salt marsh environment through the foot of *Littoraria irrorata*: behavioural responses to thermal and desiccation stresses. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **409**: 143-153.

Iacarella JC and Helmuth B. 2012. Body temperature and desiccation constrain the activity of *Littoraria irrorata* within the *Spartina alterniflora*. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **37**: 15-22.

Ibarz A, Martín-Pérez M, Blasco J, Bellido D, de Oliveira E and Fernández-Borràsm J. 2010. Gilthead sea bream liver proteome altered at low temperatures by oxidative stress. *Proteomics*, *10*: 963-975.

Iftikar FI, McDonald J and Hickey AJR. 2010. Thermal limits of the portunid crab heart mitochondria: could more thermo-stable mitochondria advantage invasive species? *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **395**: 232-239.

Innes AJ and Houlihan DF. 1981. A review of the effects of temperature on the oxygen consumption of intertidal gastropods. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **6**: 249-256.

Innes AJ and Houlihan DF. 1985. Aquatic and aerial oxygen consumption of cool temperate gastropods: a comparison with some Mediterranean species. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **82**: 105-109.

Innes-Campbell J, Stuckey M and Johnson MS. 2003. Allozymic investigation of phylogeny of *Littoraria* (Gastropoda: Littorinidae). *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, **69**: 19-26.

Irwin DE. 2002. Phylogeographic breaks without geographic barriers to gene flow. *Evolution*, **56**: 2383-2394.

Isaac WE. 1937. South African coastal waters in relation to ocean currents. *Geographical Review*, **27**: 651-664.

Isla JA and Perissinotto R. 2004. Effects of temperature, salinity and sex on the basal metabolic rate of the estuarine copepod *Pseudodiaptomus hessei*. *Journal of Plankton Research*, **26**: 579-583.

Ivanina AV, Taylor C and Sokolova IM. 2009. Effects of elevated temperature and cadmium exposure on stress protein response in eastern oyster *Crassostrea virginica* (Gmelin). *Aquatic Toxicology*, **91**: 245-254.

Jackson AC. 2010. Effects of topography on the environment. *Journal of Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, **90**: 169-192.

Jackson RB, Linder R, Lynch M, Purugganan M, Somerville S and Thayer SS. 2002. Linking molecular insight and ecological research. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **17**: 409-414.

Jaenicke R. 1991. Protein stability and molecular adaptation to extreme conditions. *European Journal of Biochemistry*, **202**: 715-728.

Jansen JM, Pronker AE, Kube S, Sokolowski A, Sola JC, Marquiegui MA, Schiedek D, Bonga SW, Wolowicz M and Hummel H. 2007. Geographical and seasonal patterns and limits on the adaptive responses to temperature of European *Mytilus* spp. and *Macoma balthica* populations. *Oecologia*, **154**: 23-34.

Jansen JM, Hummel H. 200 and Bonga SW. 2009. The respiratory capacity of marine mussels (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*) in relation to high temperature threshold. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **153**: 399-402.

Jensen GC and Armstrong DA. 1991. Intertidal zonation among congeners: factors regulating distribution of porcelain crabs *Petrolisthes* spp. (Anomura: Porcellanidae). *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **73**: 47-60.

Jentsch A, Kreyling J and Beierkuhnlein C. 2007. A new generation of climate-change experiments: events, not trends. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **5**: 365-374.

Ji T, Dong Y and Dong S. 2008. Growth and physiological responses in the sea cucumber, *Apostichopus japonicas* Selenka: aestivation and temperature. *Aquaculture*, **283**: 180-187.

Jiang AL, GO JL, Cai WG and Wang CH. 2008. Oxygen consumption of the ascidian *Style clava* in relation to body mass, temperature and salinity. *Aquatic Research*, **39**: 1562-1568.

Jiang H, Li F, Xie Y, Huang B, Zhang J, Zhang J, Zhang C, Li S and Xiang J. 2009. Comparative proteomics profile of hepatopancreas in *Fenneropenaeus chinensis* response to hypoxia stress. *Proteomics*, **9**: 3353-3367.

Johannesson K. 1988. The paradox of Rockall: why is a brooding gastropod (*Littorina saxatilis*. More widespread than one having a planktonic larval dispersal stage (*L. littorea*)? *Marine Biology*, **99**: 507-513.

Johannesson K. 2003. Evolution in *Littorina*: ecology matters. *Journal of Sea Research*, **49**: 107-117.

Johannesson K, Johannesson B and Rolán-Alvarez E. 1993. Morphological differentiation and genetic cohesiveness over microenvironmental gradient in the marine snail *Littorina saxatilis*. *Evolution*, **47**: 1770-1787.

Johannesson K, Rolán-Alvarez E and Ekendahl A. 1995. Incipient reproduction isolation between two sympatric morphs of the intertidal snail *Littorina saxatilis*. *Evolution*, **49**: 1180-1190.

Johannesson K and Tatarenkov A. 1997. Allozyme variation in a snail (*Littorina saxatilis*) – decofounding the effects of microhabitat and gene flow. *Evolution*, **51**: 402-409.

Johnson LJ. 1999. Size assortative mating in the marine snail *Littorina neglecta*. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of United Kingdom*, **79**: 1131-1132.

Johnson MS and Black R. 1999. *Nodilittorina nodosa* (Gray, 1839) is a plastic morphotype of *Nodilittorina australis* (Gray, 1826). *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, **65**: 111-119.

Johnson SC and Browman HI. 2007. Introducing genomics, proteomics and metabolimics in marine biology. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **332**: 247-248.

Johnston IA, Clarke A and Ward P. 1991. Temperature and metabolic rate in sedentary fish from the Antarctic North Sea and Indo-West Pacific Ocean. *Marine Biology*, **109**: 191-195.

Jones CG, Lawton JH and Shachak M. 1994. Organisms as ecosystem engineers. *Oikos*, **69**: 373-386.

Jones KMM and Boulding EG. 1999. State-dependent habitat selection by intertidal snail: the costs of selecting a physically stressful microhabitat. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **242**: 149-177.

Jones SJ, Mieszkowska N and Wethey DS. 2009. Linking thermal tolerances and biogeography: *Mytilus edulis* (L.) at its southern limit on the east coast of the United States. *Biological Bulletin*, **217**: 73-85.

Jonsson H, Schiedeck D, Grøsvik BE and Goksøyr A. 2006. Protein expression in blue mussels (*Mytilus edulis*) exposed to organic pollutants: A combined CYP-antibody/proteomic approach. *Aquatic Toxicology*, **78S**: S49-S56.

Jost J and Helmuth B. 2007. Morphological and ecological determinants of body temperature of *Geukensia demissa*, the Atlantic ribbed mussel, and their effects on mussel mortality. *Biological Bulletin*, **213**: 141-151.

Joyner-Matos J, Andrzejewski J, Briggs, L, Baker SM, Downs CA and Julian D. 2009. Assessment of cellular and functional biomarkers in bivalves exposed to ecological relevant abiotic stresses. *Journal of Aquatic Animal Health*, **21**: 104-116.

Judge ML, Duell RD, Burriesci L and Moarsi W. 2009. Life in the supralittoral fringe: microhabitat choice, mobility and growth in the tropical periwinkle *Cenchritis* (*=Tectarius*) *muricatus* (Linneaus, 1758). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **369**: 148-154.

Judge ML, Botton ML and Hamilton MG. 2011. Physiological consequences of the supralittoral fringe: microhabitat temperature profiles and stress protein levels in the tropical periwinkle *Cenchritis muricatus* (Linneaus, 1758). *Hydrobiologia*, **675**: 143-156.

Jurgen F, Valerio M, Roberto R, Paolo SG and Marta M. 2011. 2-DE proteomic analysis of Hsp70 in mollusc *Chamelea gallina*. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, **30**: 739-743.

Kaehler S and Williams GW. 1996. Distribution of algae on tropical rocky shores: spatial and temporal patterns of non-coralline encrusting algae in Hong Kong. *Marine Biology*, **125**: 177-187.

Kaehler S and Williams GW. 1997. Do factors influencing recruitment ultimately determine the distribution and abundance of encrusting algae on seasonal tropical shores? *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **156**: 87-96.

Kaehler S and Froneman PW. 2002. Herbivore-mediated increase in the photosynthetic capacity of marine biofilms: indirect effects of changing microalgal assemblages composition. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **234**: 15-22.

Karl TR and Trenberth KE. 2003. Modern global climate change. Science, 302: 1719-1722.

Karp NA and Lilley KS. 2007. Design and analysis issues in quantitative proteomics studies. *Proteomics*, **1**: 42-50.

Karr TL. 2008. Application of proteomics to ecology and population biology. *Heredity*, **100**: 200-206.

Kassahn KS, Caley MY, Ward AC, Connolly AR, Stone G and Crozier RH. 2007. Heterologous microarray experiments used to identify the early gene response to heat stress in coral reef fish. *Molecular Ecology*, **16**: 1749-1763.

Kassahn KS, Crozier RH, Pörtner HO and Caley MY. 2009. Animal performance and stress: responses and tolerance limits at different levels of biological organisation. *Biological Revision*, **84**: 277-292.

Kearney M, Shine R and Porter WP. 2009. The potential for behavioural thermoregulation to buffer "cold-blooded" animals against climate warming. *Proceeding of the National Academy of Science of the United States of America*, **106**: 3835-3840.

Kelley AL, de Rivera CE and Buckley BA. 2011. Intraspecific variation in thermotolerance and morphology of the invasive European green crab, *Carcinus maenas*, on the west coast of North America. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **409**: 70-78.

Kemp JOG, Britz PJ and Cockcroft AC. 2009. Effect of body size, photophase, feeding, and emersion on the oxygen consumption of the east coast rock lobster *Panulirus Homarus rubellus*. *Aquaculture Research*, **40**: 833-844.

Kemppainen P, Panova M, Hollander J and Johannesson K. 2009. Complete lack of mitochondrial divergence between two species of NE Atlantic marine intertidal gastropods. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **22**: 2000-2011.

Kibirige I, Perissinotto R and Nozais C. 2002. Alternative food sources of zooplankton in temporary-open estuary: evidence from  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N. *Journal of Plankton Research*, **24**: 1089-1095.

Killen SS, Atkinson D and Glaizer DS. 2010. he intraspecific scaling of metabolic rate with body mass in fishes depends on lifestyle and temperature. *Ecological Letters*, **13**: 184-193.

Kim GH, Shim JB and Klochkova TA. 2008. The utility of proteomics in algal taxonomy: *Bostrychia radicans/ B. Moritziana* (Rhodomelaceae, Rhodophyta) as a model study. *Journal of Phycology*, **44**: 1519-1528.

Kim SJ, Rodriguez-Lanetty M and Song JI. 2003. Genetic population structure of *Littorina brevicula* around Korean waters. *Hydrobiologia*, **505**: 41-48.

Kimmel DG and Bradley BP. 2001. Specific protein responses in the calanoid copepod *Eurytemora affinis* (Poppe, 1880) to salinity and temperature variation. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **266**: 135-149.

Kingsolver JG and Huey RB. 1998. Evolutionary analysis of morphological and physiological plasticity in thermally variable environment. *American Zoology*, **38**: 545-560.

Knight AJ and Ward RD. 1991. The genetic relationship of three taxa in the *Littorina saxatilis* species complex (Prosobranchia: Littorinidae). *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, **57**: 81-91.

Kordas RL, Harley CDG and O'Connor MI. 2011. Community ecology in warming world: the influence of temperature on interspecific interactions in marine systems. *Journal of Experimental Biology and Ecology*, **400**: 218-226.

Krebs RA and Bettencourt BR. 1999. Evolution of thermotolerance and variation in the heat shock protein, Hsp70. *American Zoology*, **39**: 910-919.

Kristensen E. 1989. Oxygen and carbon dioxide exchange in the polychaete *Nereis virens*: influence of ventilation activity and starvation. *Marine Biology*, **101**: 381-388.

Kronberg I. 1990. Heat production in *Littorina saxatilis* Olivi and *Littorina neritoides* L. (Gastropoda: Prosobranchia) during an experimental exposure to air. *Helgoländer Meeresunters*, **44**: 125-134.

Kruger AC and Shongwe S. 2004. Temperature trends in South Africa: 1990-2003. *International Journal of Climatology*, **24**: 1929-1945.

Kuo CH and Avise JC. 2005. Phylogeographic breaks in low-dispersal species: the emergence of concordance across gene trees. *Genetica*, **124**: 179-186.

Kuo ESL and Sanford E. 2009. Geographical variation in the upper thermal limits of an intertidal snail: implications for climate envelope models. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **388**: 137-146.

Kuo NJ, Zheng Q and Ho CR. 2000. Satellite observation of upwelling along the western coast of the South China Sea. *Remote Sensing and Environment*, **74**: 463-470.

Kültz D. 2003. Evolution of the cellular stress proteome: from monophyletic origin to ubiquitous function. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **206**: 3119-3124.

Kültz D and Somero GN. 1996. Differences in protein patterns of gill epithelial cells of the fish *Gillichthys mirabilis* after osmotic and thermal acclimation. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B*, **166**: 88-100.

Kültz D, Fiol D, Valkova N, Gomez-Jimenez S, Chan SY and Lee J. 2007. Functional genomics and proteomics of the cellular osmotic stress response in "non-model" organisms. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **210**: 1593-1601.

Kurihara T, Shikatani M, Nakayama K and Nishida M. 2006. Proximate mechanisms causing morphological variation in a turban snail among different shores. *Zoological Science*, **23**: 999-1008.

Ky CL, de Lorgeril J, Hirtz C, Sommerer N, Rossignol M and Bonhomme F. 2007. The effect of environmental salinity on the proteome of the sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.). *Animal Genetics*, **38**: 601-608.

Kyle CJ and Boulding EG. 2000. Comparative population genetic structure of marine gastropods (*Littorina* spp.) with and without pelagic larval dispersal. *Marine Biology*, **137**: 835-845.

Lagos ME, Muñoz JL, Contreras DA and Cáceres CW. 2011. Microhabitat segregation and physiological differences in two species of intertidal porcellanid crabs (Genus *Petrolisthes*) on the southern coast of Chile. *Scientia Marina*, **75**: 273-278.

Laird CE and Haefner Jr. PA. 1976. Effects of intrinsic and environmental factors on oxygen consumption in the blue crab, *Callinectes sapidus* Rathbum. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **22**: 171-178.

Lang RC, Britton JC and Metz T. 1998. What to do when there is nothing to do: the ecology of Jamaican intertidal Littorinidae (Gastropoda: Prosobranchia) in repose. *Hydrobiologia*, **378**: 161-185.

Langenbuch M and Pörtner HO. 2002. Changes in metabolic rate and N excretion in the marine invertebrates *Sipunculus nudus* under conditions of environmental hypercapnia:
identifying effective acid-base variables. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **205**: 1153-1160.

Lannig G, Bock C, Sartoris FJ and Pörtner HO. 2004. Oxygen limitation of thermal tolerance in cod, *Gadus morhua* L., studied by magnetic resonance imaging and on-line venous oxygen monitoring. *American Journal of Physiology, Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology*, **287**: R902-R910.

Lannig G, Flores JF and Sokolova IM. 2006. Temperature-dependent stress response in oyster, *Crassostrea virginica*: pollution reduces temperature tolerance in oysters. *Aquatic Toxicology*, **79**: 278-287.

Lannig G, Cherkasov AS, Pörtner HO, Bock C and Sokolova IM. 2008. Cadmium-dependent oxygen limitation affects temperature tolerance in eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica* Gmelin). *American Journal of Physiology, Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology*, **294**: R1338-R1346.

Lannig G, Eilers S, Pörtner HO, Sokolova IM and Bock C. 2010. Impact of ocean acidification on energy metabolism of oyster, *Crassostrea gigas* – changes in metabolic pathways and thermal tolerance. *Marine Drugs*, **8**: 2318-2339.

Lardies MA, Muñoz JL, Paschke KA and Bozinovic F. 2011. Latitudinal variation in the aerial/aquatic ration of oxygen consumption of a supratidal high rocky-shore crab. *Marine Ecology*, **32**: 42-51.

Larade K and Storey KB. 2007. Arrest of transcription following anoxic exposure in a marine mollusc. *Molecular Cell Biochemistry*, **303**: 243-249.

Lauckner G. 1987. Ecological effects of larval trematode infestation on littoral marine invertebrate populations. *International Journal of Parasitology*, **17**: 391-398.

Laudien J, Flint NS, van der Bank FH and Brey T. 2003. Genetic and morphological variation in four populations of the surf clam *Donax serra* (Röding) from southern African sandy beaches. *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology*, **31**: 751-772.

Laughlin Jr. RB and Neff JM. 1979. The respiratory response of juvenile mud crabs, *Rhithropanopeus harrisii* to variations in salinity and following short-term exposure to

Halowax 1099<sup>®</sup>, a polychlorinated naphthalene (PCN). *Marine Environmental Research*, **2**: 275-286.

Laughlin Jr. RB and Neff JM. 1980. Influence of temperature, salinity and Phenanthrene (a petroleum derived polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon) on the respiration of larval mud crabs, *Rhithropanopeus harrisii. Estuarine and Coastal Marine Science*, **10**: 655-669.

Laughlin Jr. RB and Neff JM. 1981. Ontogeny of respiratory and growth responses of larval mud crabs *Rhithropanopeus harrisii* exposed to temperature, salinity and Phenanthrene concentrations. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **5**: 319-332.

Lean J, Beer J and Bradley R. 1995. Reconstruction of solar irradiance since 1610: implications for climate change. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **22**: 3195-3198.

Lee AC, Tan KS and Sin TM. 2009. Intertidal assemblages on coastal defence structures in Singapore I: a faunal study. *The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology*, **S22**: 237-254.

Lee FO and Cheng TC. 1971. *Schistosoma mansoni* infection in *Biomphalaria glabrata*: alterations if heart rate and thermal tolerance in the host. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, **18**: 412-418.

Lee HJ and Boulding EG. 2007. Mitochondrial DNA variation in space and tine in northeastern Pacific gastropod, *Littorina keenae*. *Molecular Ecology*, **16**: 3084-3103.

Lee HJ and Boulding EG. 2009. Spatial and temporal genetic structure of four northeastern Pacific littorinid gastropods: the effect of mode of larval development on variation at one mitochondrial and two nuclear DNA markers. *Molecular Ecology*, **18**: 2165-2184.

Lee HJ and Boulding EG. 2010. Latitudinal cline in body size, but not in thermal tolerance or heat-shock cognate (Hsc70), in the highly-dispersing intertidal gastropod *Littorina keenae* (Gastropoda: Littorinidae). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **100**: 494-505.

Lee J. Valkova N, White MP and Kültz D. 2006. Proteomic identification of processes and pathways characteristics of osmoregulatory tissues in spiny dogfish shark (*Squalus acanthias*). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology D*, **1**: 328-343.

Lee SL and Lim SSL. 2009. Vertical zonation and heat tolerance of three littorinid gastropod on a rocky shore at Tanjung Check Jawa, Singapore. *The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology*, **57**: 551-560.

Leemans R and Eickhout B. 2004. Another reason for concern: regional and global impacts on ecosystems for different levels of climate change. *Global Environmental Change*, **14**: 219-228.

Lesser MP and Kruse VA. 2004. Seasonal temperature compensation in the horse mussel, *Modiolus modiolus*: metabolic enzymes, oxidative stress and heat shock proteins. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **137**: 495-504.

Letendre J, Dupont-Rouzeyrol M, Hanquet AC, Durand F, Budzinski H, Chan P, Vaudry D and Rocher B. 2011. Impact of toxicant exposure on the proteomic response to intertidal condition in *Mytilus edulis*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology D*, **6**: 357-369.

Leung PTY, Wang Y, Mak SST, Ng WC and Leung KMY. 2011. Differential proteomics responses in hepatopancreas and adductor muscles of the green-lipped mussel *Perna viridis* to stress induced by cadmium and hydrogen peroxide. *Aquatic toxicology*, **105**: 49-61.

Levitus S, Antonov JI, Boyer TP and Stephens C. 2000. Warming of the world ocean. *Science*, **287**: 2225-2229.

Levitus S, Antonov JI, Wang J, Delworth TL, Dixon KW and Broccoli AJ. 2001. Anthropogenic warming of Earth's climate system. *Science*, **292**: 267-270.

Levitus S, Antonov J and Boyer T. 2005. Warming of the world ocean, 1955-2003. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **32**: L02604, doi10.1029/2004GL021592, pp1-4.

Lewis JB. 1963. Environmental and tissue temperature of some tropical intertidal marine animals. *Biological Bulletin*, **124**: 277-284.

Lewis JB. 1971. Comparative respiration of some tropical intertidal gastropods. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **6**: 101-108.

Li R and Brawley SH. 2004. Improved survival under heat stress in intertidal embryos (*Fucus* spp.) simultaneously exposed to hypersalinity and the effect of parental thermal history. *Marine Biology*, **144**: 205-213.

Li Y, Qin JG, Abbott CA, Li X and Benkendorff K. 2007. Synergic impacts of heat shock and spawning on the physiology and immune health of *Crassostrea gigas*: an explanation for summer mortality in Pacific oysters. *American Journal of Physiology, Regulatory and Integrative Comparative Physiology*, **293**: R2352-R2362.

Libertini A, Trisolini R and Edmands S. 2004. A cytogenetic study of the periwinkle *Littorina keenae* Rosewater, 1978 (Gastropoda: Littorinidae). *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, **70**: 299-301.

Librado P and Rozas J. 2009. DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. *Bioinformatics*, **25**: 1451-1452.

Lilly GR. 1979. The influence of diet on the oxygen uptake of the sea urchins, *Tripneustes* ventricosus and Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, **62**: 463-470.

Lima FP, Queiroz N, Ribeiro PA, Hawkins SJ and Santos AM. 2006. Recent changes in the distribution of a marine gastropod, *Patella rustica* Linnaeus, 1758, and their relationship to unusual climatic events. *Journal of Biogeography*, **33**: 812-822.

Lima FP, Ribeiro PA, Queiroz N, Hawkins SJ and Santos AM. 2007. Do distributional shifts in northern and southern species of algae match the warming pattern? *Global Change Biology*, **13**: 2592-2604.

Lima FP, Burnett NP, Helmuth B, Kish N, Aveni-Deforge K and Wethey DS. 2011. Monitoring the intertidal environment with Biomimetic Devices. Biomimetic Based Applications, Prof. Marko Cavrak (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-307-195-4, In Tech, pp499-522.

Little C. 1981. Osmoregulation and excretion in prosobranchs gastropods part I: physiology and biochemistry. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, **47**: 221-247.

Little C. 1989. Factors governing patterns of foraging activity in littoral marine herbivorous molluses. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, **55**: 273-284.

Little C and Williams GA. 1989. Distribution of littorinids gastropods at Lough Hyne. *The Irish Naturalists' Journal*, **23**: 48-53.

Littlewood DTJ, Curini-Galletti M and Herniou EA. 2000. The interrelationships of Proseriata (Platyhelminthes: Seriata) tested with molecules and Morphology. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, **16**: 449-466.

Liu Z, Yang H and Liu Q. 2001. Regional dynamics of seasonal variability in the South China Sea. *Journal of Geophysical Oceanography*, **31**: 272-284.

Liu Z, Vavrus S, He F, Wen N and Zhong Y. 2005. Rethinking tropical response to global warming: the enhanced equatorial warming. *Journal of Climate*, **18**: 4684-4700.

Lockwood BL, Sanders JG and Somero GN. 2010. Transcriptome responses to heat stress in invasive and native blue mussels (genus *Mytilus*): a molecular correlates of invasive success. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **213**: 3548-3558.

Lockwood BL and Somero GN. 2011. Transcriptome responses to salinity stress in invasive and native blue mussels (genus *Mytilus*). *Molecular Ecology*, **20**: 517-529.

Logan CA and Somero GN. 2011. Effect of thermal acclimation on transcriptional responses to acute heat stress in the eurythermal fish *Gillichthys mirabilis* (Cooper). *American Journal of Physiology, Regulatory and Integrative and Comparative Physiology*, **300**: R1373-1383.

López JL. 2005. Role of proteomics in taxonomy: the *Mytilus* complex as a model of study. *Journal of Chromatography*, **815**: 261-274.

López JL. 2007A. Application of proteomics in marine biology. *Marine Ecology Progress* Series, **332**: 275-279.

López JL. 2007B. Two-dimensional electrophoresis in proteome expression analysis. *Journal of Chromatography B*, **849**: 190-202.

López JL, Mosquera E, Fuentes J, Marina A, Vázquez J and Alvarez G. 2001. Twodimensional gel electrophoresis of *Mytilus galloprovincialis*: differences in protein expression between intertidal and cultured mussels. *Marine Ecological Progress Series*, **224**: 149-156.

López MF and Melov S. 2002. Applied proteomics: Mitochondrial proteins and effect on function. *Circulation Research*, **90**: 380-389.

López JL, Marina A, Vázquez J and Alvarez G. 2002A. A proteomic approach to the study of the marine mussels *Mytilus edulis* and *M. galloprovincialis*. *Marine Biology*, **141**: 217-223.

López JL, Marina A, Alvarez G and Vázquez J. 2002B. Application of proteomics for fast identification of species-specific peptides from marine species. *Proteomics*, **2**: 1658-1665.

López JL and Alvarez G. 2003. Genetic variability of the marine mussel *Mytilus* galloprovincialis assessed using tow-dimensional electrophoresis. *Heredity*, **90**: 432-442.

López JL, Abalde SL and Fuentes J. 2005. Proteomic approach to probe for larval proteins of the mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. *Marine Biotechnology*, **7**: 396-404.

Lowe GA. 1974. Effect of temperature change on the heart rate of *Grassostrea gigas* and *Mya arenaria* (Bivalvia). *Proceedings of Malacological Society of London*, **41**: 29-36.

Lozano I, Devoy RJN, May W and Andersen U. 2004. Storminess and vulnerability along the Atlantic coastlines of Europe: analysis of storm records and of a greenhouse gases induced climate scenarios. *Marine Geology*, **210**: 205-225.

Lucas M and Griffiths C. 2012. Environmental change: its effect on species distribution. *Quest*, **8**: 40-42.

Lund SG, Caissie D, Cunjak RA, Vijayan MM and Tufts BL. 2002. The effect of environmental heat stress on heat-shock mRNA and protein expression in Miramichi Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) parr. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science*, **59**: 1553-1562.

Lund SG, Ruberté MR and Hofmann GE. 2006. Turning up the heat: the effects of thermal acclimation on the kinetics of *hsp*70 gene expression in the eurythermal goby, *Gillichthys mirabilis*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **143**: 435-446.

Luschi P, Lutjeharms JRE, Lambardi P, Mencacci R, Hughes GR and Hays GC. 2006. A review of migratory behaviour of sea turtles off southeastern Africa. *South African Journal of Science*, **102**: 51-58.

Lutjeharms JRE and de Ruijter WPM. 1994. The influence of the Agulhas Current on the adjacent coastal oceans: possible impacts of climate change. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 7: 321-336.

Lutjeharms JRE and de Ruijter WPM. 1996. The influence of the Agulhas current on the adjacent coastal ocean: possible impacts of climate change. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 7: 321-336.

Lutjeharms JRE, Cooper J and Roberts M. 2000. Upwelling at the inshore edge of the Agulhas Current. *Continental Shelf Research*, **20**: 737-761.

Lutjeharms JRE, Monteiro PMS, Tyson PD and Obura D. 2001. The oceans around southern Africa and regional effects of global change. *South African Journal of Science*, **97**: 119-130.

Macdonald RW, Harner T and Fyfe J. 2005. Recent climate change in the Arctic and its impact on continental pathways and interpretation of temporal trend data. *Science of the Total Environment*, **342**: 5-86.

Madeira D, Narciso L, Cabral HN and Vinagre C. 2012A. Thermal tolerance and potential impacts of climate change on coastal and estuarine organisms. *Journal of Sea Research*, **70**: 32-41.

Madeira D, Narciso L, Cabral HN, Vinagre C and Diniz MS. 2012B. Thermal tolerance of the crab *Pachygrapsus marmoratus*: intraspecific differences at the physiological (CTMax) and molecular level (Hsp70). *Cell Stress and Chaperons*, **17**: 707-716.

Madeira D, Narciso L, Cabral HN, Vinagre C and Diniz MS. 2012C. Hsp70 production patterns in on coastal and estuarine organisms facing increasing temperatures. *Journal of Sea Research*, **73**: 137-147.

Maddison WP and Maddison DR. 2000. McClade: analysis of phylogeny and character evolution. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland.

Mak YM and Williams GA. 1999. Littorinids control high intertidal biofilm abundance on tropical, Hong Kong shores. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **233**: 81-94.

Malik AQ. 2011. Assessment of the potential of renewables for Brunei Darussalam. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, **15**: 427-437.

Malik AQ and Abdullah RH. 1996. Estimation of solar radiation in Brunei Darussalam. *RERIC International Energy Journal*, **18**: 75-81.

Malik AQ, Ming LC, Sheng TK and Blundell M. 2010. Influence of temperature on the performance of photovoltaic polycrystalline silicon module in the Bruneian Climate. *AJSTD*, **26**: 61-72.

Mallekh R and Lagardére JP. 2002. Effect of temperature and dissolved oxygen concentration on the metabolic rate of the turbot and the relationship between metabolic scope and feeding demand. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **60**: 1105-1115.

Mandic M, Todgham AE and Richards JG. 2009. Mechanisms and evolution of hypoxia tolerance in fish. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, **276**: 735-744.

Maree RC, Whitefield AK and Booth AJ. 2000. Effect of water temperature on the biogeography of South African estuarine fishes associated with subtropical/warm temperate subtraction zone. *South African Journal of Science*, **96**:184-188.

Marengo E, Robotti E, Antonucci F, Cecconi D, Campostrini N and Righetti PG. 2005. Numerical approaches for quantitative analysis of two-dimensional maps: a review of commercial software and home-made systems. *Proteomics*, **5**: 654-666.

Mark FC, Bock C and Pörtner HO. 2002. Oxygen-limited thermal tolerance in Antarctic fish investigated by MRI and <sup>31</sup>P-MRS. *American Journal of Physiology, Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology*, **283**: R1254-R1262.

Markel RP. 1971. Temperature relations in two species of tropical west American littorines. *Ecology*, **52**: 1126-1130.

Markel RP. 1974. Aspects of the physiology of temperature acclimation in the limpet *Acmaea limatula* Carpenter (1864): an integrated field and laboratory study. *Physiological Zoology*, **47**: 99-109.

Marsden ID, Newell RC and Ahsanullah M. 1973. The effect of starvation on the metabolism of the shore crab, *Carcinus maenas*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **45**: 195-213.

Marsden ID. 1984. Effects of submersion on the oxygen consumption of the estuarine sandhopper *Transorchestia chiliensis* (Milne Edwards, 1840). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **79**: 263-276.

Marsden ID, Shumway SE and Padilla DK. 2012. Does size matter? The effects of body size and declining oxygen tension on oxygen uptake in gastropods. *Journal of the Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, **92**: 1603-1617.

Marsh AG, Leong PKK and Manahan DT. 1999. Energy metabolism embryonic development and larval growth of an Antarctic sea urchin. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **202**: 2041-2050.

Marshall DJ and McQuaid CD. 1991. Metabolic rate depression in marine pulmonate snail: pre-adaptation for terrestrial existence? *Oecologia*, **88**: 274-276.

Marshall DJ and McQuaid CD. 1992A. Comparative aerial metabolism and water relations of the intertidal limpets *Patella granularis* L. (Mollusca: Prosobranchia) and *Siphonaria oculus* Kr. (Mollusca: Pulmonate). *Physiological Zoology*, **65**: 1040-1056.

Marshall DJ and McQuaid CD. 1992B. Relationship between heart rate and oxygen consumption in the intertidal limpets *Patella granularis* and *Siphonaria oculus*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **103**: 297-300.

Marshall DJ and McQuaid CD. 1993A. Effects of hypoxia and hypo-salinity on the heart beat of the intertidal limpets *Patella granularis* (Prosobranchia) and *Siphonaria capensis* (Pulmonata). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **106**: 65-68.

Marshall DJ and McQuaid CD. 1993B. Differential physiological and behavioural responses of intertidal mussels, *Choromytilus meridionalis* (Kr.) and *Perna perna* L., to exposure to hypoxia and air: a basis for spatial separation. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **171**: 225-237.

Marshall DJ and McQuaid CD. 1994. Seasonal and diel variation of in situ heart rate of the intertidal limpet *Siphonaria oculus* Kr. (Pulmonata). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **179**: 1-9.

Marshall DJ, Perissinotto R and Holley JF. 2003. Respiratory responses of the mysid *Gastrosaccus brevifissura* (Peracarida: Mysidacea), in relation to body size, temperature and salinity. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **134**: 257-266.

Marshall DJ and McQuaid CD. 2010. Warming reduces metabolic rate in marine snails: adaptation to fluctuating high temperature challenges the metabolic theory of ecology. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, **278**: 281-288.

Marshall DJ, McQuaid CD and Williams GA. 2010. Non-climatic thermal adaptation: implications for species' responses to climate warming. *Biology Letters*, **6**: 669-673.

Marshall DJ, Dong YW, McQuaid CD and Williams GA. 2011. Thermal adaptation in the intertidal snail *Echinolittorina malaccana* contradicts current theory by revealing the crucial roles of resting metabolism. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **214**: 3649-3657.

Marshall DJ and Chua T. 2012. Boundary layer convective heating and thermoregulatory behaviour during aerial exposure in the rock eulittoral fringe snail *Echinolittorina malaccana*. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **430-431**: 25-31.

Marshall DJ and Ng TPT. 2013. Shell standing in littorinid snails: a multifunctional behaviour associated with mating? *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, **79**: 74-75.

Martin KLM. 1995. Time and tide wait for no fish: intertidal fishes out of water. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, **44**: 165-181.

Martin TL and Huey RB. 2008. Why "suboptimum" is optimal: Jensen's inequality and ectotherm thermal preferences. *The American Naturalist*, **171**: E102-E118.

Martin S, Richier S, Pedrotti ML, Dupont S, Castejon C, Gerakis Y, Kerros ME, Oberhänsli F, Teyssié JL, Jeffree R and Gattuso JP. 2011. Early development and molecular plasticity in the Mediterranean sea urchin *Paracentrotus lividus* exposed to CO<sub>2</sub>-driven acidification. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **214**: 1357-1368.

Martinez I, Šlizyte R, Daikšas E. 2007. High resolution two-dimensional electrophoresis as a tool to differentiate wild from farmed cod (*Gadus morhua*) and to assess the protein composition of klipfish. *Food Chemistry*, **102**: 504-510.

Martínez-Fernández M, Rodríguez-Piñeiro AM, Oliveira E, de la Cadena MP and Rolán-Alvarez E. 2008. Proteomic comparison between two marine snail ecotypes reveals details about biochemistry of adaptation. *Journal of Proteome Research*, 7: 4926-4934.

Martínez-Fernández M, Bernatchez L, Rolán-Alvarez E and Quesada H. 2010A. Insight into the role of differential gene expression on the ecological adaptation of the snail *Littorina saxatilis*. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, **10**: 356pp1-14.

Martínez-Fernández M, de la Cadena MP and Rolán-Alvarez E. 2010B. The role of phenotypic plasticity on the proteomic differences between two sympatric marine snail ecotypes adapted to distinct micro-habitats. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, **10**: 65pp1-8.

Martyniuk CJ and Denslow ND. 2009. Towards functional genomics in fish using quantitative proteomics. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, **164**: 135-141.

Martyniuk CJ, Griffiths RJ and Denslow ND. 2011. Omics in aquatic toxicology: not just another microarray. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, **30**: 263-264.

Martyniuk CJ and Denslow ND. 2012. Exploring Androgen-regulated pathways in Teleost fish using transcriptomics and proteomics. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **52**: 695-704.

Mary J, Rogniaux H, Rees JF and Zal F. 2010. Response of *Alvinella pompejana* to variable oxygen stress: a proteomic approach. *Proteomics*, **10**: 2250-2258.

Matthee CA, Cockcroft AC, Gopal K and von der Heyden S. 2007. Mitochondrial variation of the west-coast rock lobster, *Jasus lalandii*: marked genetic diversity differences among sampling sites. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, **58**: 1130-1135.

McCarty JP. 2001. Ecological consequences of recent climate change. *Conservation Biology*, **15**: 320-331.

McCue MD. 2010. Starvation physiology: reviewing the different strategies animals use to survive a common challenge. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **156**: 1-18.

McDonagh B and Sheehan D. 2006. Redox proteomics in the blue mussel *Mytilus edulis*: carbonylation is not a pre-requisite for ubiquitination in acute free radical-mediated oxidative stress. *Aquatic Toxicology*, **79**: 325-333.

McDonagh B and Sheehan D. 2007. Effect of oxidative stress on protein thiols in the blue mussel *Mytilus edulis*: proteomic identification of target proteins. *Proteomics*, **7**: 3395-3403.

McDowall RM. 1978. Generalized tracks and dispersal in Biogeography. *Systematic Zoology*, **27**: 88-104.

McGregor HV, Dima M, Fischer HW and Mulitza S. 2007. Rapid 20<sup>th</sup>-Century increase in coastal upwelling off Northwest Africa. *Science*, **315**: 637-639.

McLean L, Young IS, Doherty MK, Robertson DHL, Cossins AR, Gracey AY, Beynon RJ and Whitfield PD. 2007. Global cooling: cold acclimation and the expression of soluble proteins in carp skeletal muscle. *Proteomics*, **7**: 2667-2681.

McMahon BR. 1988A. Physiological responses to oxygen depletion in intertidal animals. *American Zoology*, **28**: 39-53.

McMahon BR. 1999. Intrinsic and extrinsic influences on cardiac rhythms in crustaceans.

Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A, 124: 539-547.

McMahon BR. 2001A. Respiratory and circulatory compensation to hypoxia in crustaceans. *Respiration Physiology*, **128**: 349-364.

McMahon RF. 1988B. Respiratory response to periodic emergence in intertidal molluscs. *American Zoology*, **28**: 99-114.

McMahon RF. 1990. Thermal tolerance, evaporative water loss, air-oxygen consumption and zonation of intertidal prosobranchs: a new synthesis. *Hydrobiologia*, **193**: 241-260.

McMahon RF. 2001B. Acute thermal tolerance in intertidal gastropods relative to latitude, superfamily, zonation and habitat with special emphasis on the Littorinidae. *Journal of Shellfish Research*, **20**: 459-467.

McMahon RF and Russell-Hunter WD. 1977. Temperature relations of aerial and aquatic respiration in six littoral snails in relation to their vertical distribution. *Biological Bulletin*, **152**: 182-198.

McMahon RF and Russell-Hunter WD. 1978. Respiratory responses to low oxygen stress in marine littoral and sublittoral snails. *Physiological Zoology*, **51**: 408-424.

McMahon RF and Payne BS. 1980. Variation of thermal tolerance limits in populations of *Physa virgata* Gould (Mollusca: Pulmonata). *American Midland Naturalist*, **103**: 218-230.

McMahon RF and Russell-Hunter WD. 1981. The effect of physical variables and acclimation on survival and oxygen consumption in the high littoral salt-marsh snail, *Melampus bidentatus* Say. *Biological Bulletin*, **161**: 246-169.

McMahon RF and Wilson JG. 1981. Seasonal respiratory responses to temperature and hypoxia in relation to burrowing depth in three intertidal bivalves. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **6**: 267-277.

McMahon RF and Britton JC. 1991. The relationship between vertical distribution, rate of evaporative water loss, behaviour during emergence and morphometrics in six species of rocky shore gastropods from Princess Royal Harbour, Western Australia. Wells FE, Walker DI, Kirkam H and Lethbridge R (eds.) 1993. Proceedings of the Fifth International Marine Biological Workshop: The Marine Flora and Fauna of Rottnest Island, Western Australia. Western Australia. Western Australia.

McMahon RF, Russell-Hunter WD and Aldridge DW. 1995. Lack of metabolic temperature compensation in the intertidal gastropods, *Littorina saxatilis* (Olivi) and *L. obtusata* (L.). *Hydrobiologia*, **309**: 89-100.

McQuaid CD. 1981A. Population dynamics of *Littorina africana knysnaensis* (Philippi) on exposed rocky shore. *Journal of Experimental Biology and Ecology*, **54**: 65-76.

McQuaid CD. 1981B. The establishment and maintenance of vertical size gradients in populations of *Littorina africana knysnaensis* (Philippi) on exposed rocky shore. *Journal of Experimental Biology and Ecology*, **54**: 77-89.

McQuaid CD. 1985. Differential effects of predation by the intertidal whelk *Nucella dubia* (Kr.) on *Littorina africana knysnaensis* (Phillip) and the barnacle *Tetraclita serrata* Darwin. *Journal of Experimental Biology and Ecology*, **89**: 97-107.

McQuaid CD. 1992. Stress on the high shore: a review of age-dependent causes of mortality in *Nodilittorina knysnaensis* and *N. africana. Proceedings of the Third International Symposium on Littorinids Biology*, pp85-89.

McQuaid CD. 1996A. Biology of the gastropod family Littorinidae. I. Evolutionary aspects. *Oceanography and Marine Biology: an Annual Review*, **34**: 233-262.

McQuaid CD. 1996B. Biology of the gastropod family Littorinidae. II. Role in the ecology of intertidal and shallow marine ecosystems. *Oceanography and Marine Biology: an Annual Review*, **34**: 263-302.

McQuaid CD and Branch GM. 1984. Influence of sea temperature, substratum and wave exposure on rocky intertidal communities: an analysis of faunal and floral biomes. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **19**: 145-151.

McQuaid CD and Scherman PA. 1988. Thermal stress in a high shore intertidal environment: Morphological and Behavioural adaptations of the gastropod *Littorina africana*. In G Chelazzi, M Vannini: (Eds) Behavioural adaptations to the intertidal life. Plenum-New York, *pp 213-224*.

Meißner K and Schaarschmidt T. 2000. Ecophysiological studies of *Corophium volutator* (Amphipoda) infested by microphallid trematodes. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **202**: 143-151.

Meistertzheim AL, Tanguy A, Moraga D and Marie-Thébault MT. 2007. Identification of differentially expressed genes of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* exposed to prolonged thermal stress. *The FEBS Journal*, **274**: 6329-6402.

Melatunan S, Calosi P, Rundle SD, Moody AJ and Widdicombe S. 2011. Exposure to elevated temperature and PCO<sub>2</sub> reduces respiration rate and energy status in the periwinkle *Littorina littorea*. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, **84**: 583-594.

Meloni CJ, Cech JJ and Katzman SM. 2002. Effect of brackish salinities on oxygen consumption of bat rays (*Myliobatis californica*). *Copeia*, **2**: 462-465.

Menge BA. 1976. Organization of the New England rocky intertidal community: role of predation, competition, and environmental heterogeneity. *Ecological Monographs*, **46**: 355-393.

Menge BA and Sutherland JP. 1987. Community regulation: variation in disturbance, competition, and predation in relation to environmental stress and recruitment. *The American Naturalist*, **130**: 730-757.

Menge BA and Olson AM. 1990. Role of scale and environmental factors in regulation of community structure. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **5**: 52-57.

Menge BA, Olson AM and Dahlhoff E. 2002. Environmental stress, bottom-up effects and community dynamics: integrative molecular-physiological and ecological approaches. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **42**: 892-908.

Menge BA, Daley BA, Sanford E, Dahlhoff EP and Lubchenco J. 2007. Mussel zonation in New Zealand: an integrative eco-physiological approach. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 345: 129-140.

Menge BA, Chan F and Lubchenco J. 2008. Responses of rocky intertidal ecosystem engineer and community dominant to climate change. *Ecology Letters*, **11**: 151-162.

Meng XL, Ji TT, Dong YW, Wang QL and Dong SL. 2009. Thermal resistance in sea cucumber (*Apostichopus japonicus*) with different thermal history: the role of Hsp70. *Aquaculture*, **294**: 314-318.

Metzger R, Sartoris FJ, Langebunch M and Pörtner HO. 2007. Influences of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on thermal tolerance of the edible crab *Cancer pagurus*. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **32**:

144-151.

Mieszkowska N, Kendall MA, Hawkins SJ, Leaper R, Williamson P, Hardman-Mountford NJ and Southward AJ. 2006. Changes in the range of some common rocky shore species in Britain – a response to climate change? *Hydrobiologia*, **555**: 241-251.

Mickel TJ and Childress JJ. 1982. Effects of temperature, pressure, and oxygen concentration on the oxygen consumption rate of the hydrothermal vent crab *Bythograea thermydron* (Brachyura). *Physiological Zoology*, **55**: 199-207.

Middlebrook R, Hoegh-Guldberg O and Leggat W. 2008. The effect of thermal history on the susceptibility of reef-building corals to thermal stress. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **211**: 1050-1056.

Mikhailova NA, Gracheva YA, Backeljau T and Granovitch AI. 2009. A potential speciesspecific molecular marker suggests interspecific hybridization between sibling species *Littorina arcana* and *L. saxatilis* (Mollusca, Caenogastropoda) in natural populations. *Genetica*, **137**: 333-340.

Miller LP, O'Donnell MJ and Mach KJ. 2007. Dislodgement but not dead: survivorship of a high intertidal snail following wave dislodgement. *Journal of Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, **87**: 735-739.

Miller LP, Harley CDG and Denny MW. 2009. The role of temperature and desiccation stress in limiting the local-scale distribution of the owl limpet, *Lottia gigantea*. *Functional Ecology*, **23**: 756-767.

Miller LP and Denny MW. 2011. Importance of behavioural and morphological traits for controlling body temperature in littorinids snails. *Biological Bulletin*, **220**: 209-223.

Miller RW. 2006. On my mind: the ecological explanation for the environmental crisis. *Electronic Green Journal*, **1**: 1-10.

Mislan KAS, Wethey DS and Helmuth B. 2009. When to worry about weather: role of tidal cycle in determining patterns of risk in intertidal ecosystems. *Global Change Biology*, **15**: 3056-3065.

Mok FSY, Thiyagarajan V, and Qian PY. 2009. Proteomic analysis during larval development and metamorphosis of the spionid polychaete *Pseudopolydora vexillosa*. *Proteome Science*, **7**: 44 1-11.

Molnar JL, Gamboa RL, Revenga C and Spalding MD. 2008. Assessing the global threat of invasive species to marine biodiversity. *Frontier in Ecology and the Environment*, **6**: 485-492.

Monaco CJ, Brokordt KB and Gaymey CF. 2010. Latitudinal thermal gradient effect on the cost of living of the intertidal porcelain crab *Petrolisthes granulosus*. *Aquatic Biology*, **9**: 23-33.

Monteoliva L and Albar JP. 2004. Differential proteomics: an overview of gel and non-gel based approaches. *Briefing in Functional Genomics and Proteomics*, **3**: 220-239.

Moon TW and Pritchard AW. 1970. Metabolic adaptations in vertically-separated populations of *Mytilus californianus* Conrad. *Journal of Experimental Biology and Ecology*, **5**: 35-46.

Moore EA and Sander F. 1984. The effect of temperature-salinity combinations on oxygen consumption of the tropical gastropod, *Murex pomum*: a response-surface approach. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **77**: 679-683.

Moore P, Hawkins SJ and Thompson RC. 2007. Role of biological habitat amelioration in altering the relative responses of congeneric species to climate change. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **334**: 11-19.

Mora C and Ospína AF. 2001. Tolerance to high temperatures and potential impacts of sea warming on reef fishes of Gorgona Island (tropical eastern Pacific). *Marine Biology*, **139**: 765-769.

Mora C and Maya MF. 2006. Effect of the rate of temperatures increases of the dynamic method on the heat tolerance of fishes. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **31**: 337-341.

Moran WM and Pierce SK. 1984. The mechanism of crustacean salinity tolerance: cell volume regulation by  $K^+$  and glycine effluxes. *Marine Biology*, **81**: 41-46.

Moreira GS, McNamara JC and Hiroki K. 1981. The effect of temperature on the respiratory metabolism of selected developmental stages of *Emerita brasiliensis* Schmitt (Anomura, Hippidae). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **70**: 622-629.

Moritz A, Dowling TE and Brown WM. 1987. Evolution of animal mitochondrial DNA: relevance for population biology and systematics. *Annual Revision of Ecological Systematics*, **18**: 269-292.

Morley SA, Hirse T, Pörtner HO and Peck LS. 2009. Geographical variation in thermal tolerance within Southern Ocean marine ectotherms. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **153**: 154-161.

Morley SA, Tan KS, Day RW, Martin SM, Pörtner HO and Peck LS. 2009. Thermal dependency of burrowing in three species within the bivalve genus *Laternula*: a latitudinal comparison. *Marine Biology*, **156**: 1977-1984.

Morris S and Taylor AC. 1984. Herat rate response of the intertidal prawn *Palaemon elegans* to simulated and in situ environmental changes. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **20**: 127-136.

Morritt D, Leung KMY, De Pirro M, Yau C, Wai TC and Williams GA. 2007. Responses of the limpet, *Cellana grata* (Gould 1859), to hypo-osmotic stress during simulated tropical, monsoon rains. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **352**: 78-88.

Morton B and Blackmore G. 2001. South China Sea. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, **42**: 1236-1263.

Mouritsen KN and Poulin R. 2002. Parasitism, community structure and biodiversity in intertidal ecosystem. Parasitology, 124: S101-S117.

Mosquera E, López JL and Alvarez G. 2003. Genetic variability of the marine mussels *Mytilus galloprovincialis* using two-dimensional electrophoresis. *Heredity*, **90**: 432-442.

Muñoz JLP, Finke GR, Camus PA and Bozinovic F. 2005. Thermoregulatory behavior, heat gain and thermal tolerance in the periwinkle *Echinolittorina peruviana* in central Chile. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A*, **142**: 92-98.

Muñoz JLP, Camus PA, Labra FA, Finke GR and Bozinovic F. 2008. Thermal constraints on the daily patterns of aggregation and density along and intertidal gradient in the periwinkle *Echinolittorina peruviana*. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **33**: 149-156.

Naaby-Hansen S, Waterfield MD and Cramer R. 2001. Proteomics – post-genomic cartography to understand gene function. *TRENDS in Pharmacological Sciences*, **22**: 376-384.

Nagabhushanam R and Sarojini R. 1969. Effect of temperature and salinity on the heat tolerance on the hermit crab, Diogenes bicristimanus. *Hydrobiologia*, **34**: 126-134.

Nakano K and Iwama GK. 2002. The 70-kDa heat shock protein response in two intertidal sculpins, *Oligocottus maculosus* and *O. snyderi*: relationships of hsp70 and thermal tolerance. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **133**: 79-94.

Navarro E, Ortega MM and Madariaga JM. 1981. Effect of body size, temperature and shore level on aquatic and aerial respiration of *Actinia equine* (L.) (Anthozoa). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **53**: 153-162.

Navarro E, Ortega MM and Iglesias JIP. 1987. An analysis of variables affecting oxygen consumption in *Actinia equine* L. (Anthozoa) from two shore positions. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **86**: 233-240.

Navarro JM and Torrijos R. 1994. Seasonal variation in oxygen uptake and ammonia excretion in the predatory gastropod *Concholepas concholepas* (Bruguiére, 1789). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **108**: 39-46.

Neethling M, Matthee CA, Bowie RCK and von der Heyden S. 2008. Evidence for panmixia despite berries to gene flow in the southern African endemic, *Caffrogobius caffer* (Teleostei: Gobiidea). *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, **8**: 325-334.

Nei M. 1987. Molecular Evolutionary Genetics. Columbia University Press, New York.

Nelson G. 1974. Historical Biogeography: an alternative formalization. *Systematic Zoology*, **23**: 555-558.

Nelson SG, Armstrong DA, Knight AW and Li HW. 1977. The effect of temperature and salinity on the metabolic rate of juvenile *Marcrobranchium rosenbergii* (Crustacea: Palaemonidae). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **56**: 533-537.

Nesatyy VJ and Suter MJF. 2007. Proteomics for the analysis of environmental stress responses in organisms. *Environmental Science and Technology*, **41**: 6891-6890.

Nevo E. 1978. Genetic variation in natural populations: patterns and theory. *Theoretical Population Biology*, **13**: 121-177.

Newell RC. 1969. Effect of fluctuations in temperature on the metabolism of intertidal invertebrates. *American Zoology*, **9**: 293-307.

Newell RC. 1973. Factors affecting the respiration of intertidal invertebrates. *American Zoology*, **13**: 513-528.

Newell RC and Pye VI. 1970A. Seasonal changes in the effect of temperature on the oxygen consumption of the winkle *Littorina littorea* (L.) and *Mytilus edulis* L. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology*, **34**: 367-383.

Newell RC and Pye VI. 1970B. The influence of thermal acclimation on the relation between oxygen consumption and temperature in *Littorina littorea* (L.) and the mussel *Mytilus edulis* L. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology*, **34**: 385-397.

Newell RC and Pye VI. 1971A. Quantitative aspects of the relationship between metabolism and temperature in the winkle *Littorina littorea* (L.). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology B*, **38**: 635-650.

Newell RC and Pye VI. 1971B. Temperature-induced variations in the respiration of mitochondria from the winkle *Littorina littorea* (L.). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology B*, **40**: 249-261.

Newell RC, Pye VI and Ahsanullah M. 1971. The effect of thermal acclimation on the heat tolerance of the intertidal prosobranchs *Littorina littorea* (L.) and *Monodonta lineate* (Da Costa). *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **54**: 525-533.

Newell RC, Ahsanullah M and Pye VI. 1972. Aerial and aquatic respiration in the shore crab *Carcinus maenas* (L.). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **43**: 239-252.

Newell RC and Bayne BL. 1973. A review of temperature and metabolic acclimation in intertidal marine invertebrates. *Netherlands Journal of Sea Research*, 7: 421-433.

Newell RC and Roy A. 1973. A statistical model relating the oxygen consumption of mollusk (*Littorina littorea*) to activity, body size, and environmental conditions. *Physiological Zoology*, **46**: 253-275.

Newell RIE and Bayne BL 1980. Seasonal changes in the physiology, reproductive condition and carbohydrate content of the cockle *Cardium* (= *Cerastoderma*) *edule* (Bivalvia: Cardiidae). *Marine Biology*, **56**: 11-19.

Newell RC, Parker I and Cook PA. 1980. A possible role of  $\alpha$ -amylase isoenzymes from the style of the mussel *Choromytilus meridionalis* (Krauss) following thermal acclimation. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **47**: 1-8.

Nguyen KDT, Morley SA, Lai CH, Clark MS, Tan KS, Bates EA and Peck LS. 2011. Upper temperature limits of tropical marine ectotherms: global warming implications. *PLoS One*, **6**-ne29340.doi: pp1-8.

Ni G, Li Q, Kong L and Zheng X. 2012. Phylogeography of the bivalve *Tegillarca granosa* in coastal China: implications for management and conservation. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **452**: 119-130.

Nicastro KR, Zardi GI, McQuaid CD, Teske PR and Barker NP. 2008. Coastal topography drives genetic structure in marine mussels. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **368**: 189-195.

Nicastro KR, Zardi GI, McQuaid CD, Stephens L, Radloff S and Blatch GI. 2010. The role of gaping behaviour in habitat partitioning between coexisting intertidal mussels. *BioMed Central Ecology*, **10**: 17.1-17.11.

Nicholson S. 2002. Ecophysiological aspects of cardiac activity in the subtropical mussel *Perna viridis* (L.) (Bivalvia: Mytilidae). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **267**: 207-222.

Nomura M, Nakajima A and Inaba K. 2009. Proteomics profiles of embryonic development in the ascidian *Ciona intenstinalis*. *Developmental Biology*, **325**: 468-481.

Normant M, Dziekonski M, Drzazgwoski J and lamprecht I. 2007. Metabolic investigation of aquatic organisms with a new twin heat conductor calorimeter. *Thermochimica Acta*, **458**: 101-106.

Norton TA, Hawkins SJ, Manley NL, Williams GA and Watson DC. 1990. Scraping the living: a review of littorinids grazing. *Hydrobiologia*, **193**: 117-138.

Noy R, Lavie B and Nevo E. 1987. The niche-width variation hypothesis revisited: genetic diversity in the marine gastropods *Littorina punctata* (Gmelin) and *L. neritoides* (L.). *Journal of Experimental Biology and Ecology*, **109**: 109-116.

Nunn BL and Timperman AT. 2007. Marine Proteomics. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **332**: 281-289.

Nydam ML and Harrison RG. 2011. Introgression despite substantial divergence in a broadcast spawning marine invertebrate. *Evolution*, **65**: 429-442.

Occhipinti-Ambrogi A. 2007. Global change and marine communities: alien species and climate change. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, **55**: 342-352.

Occhipinti-Ambrogi A and Savini D. 2003. Biological invasions as a component of global change in stressed marine ecosystems. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, **46**: 542-551.

O'Connor MI, Bruno JF, Gaines SD, Halpern BS, Lester SE, Kinlan BP and Weiss JM. 2007. Temperature control or larval dispersal and the implications for marine ecology, evolution, and conservation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*, **104**: 1266-1271.

O'Connor MI, Piehler MF, Leech DM, Anton A and Bruno JF. 2009. Warming and resource availability shift food web structure and metabolism. *PLoS Biology*, **7**: 1-6.

O'Donnell MJ, Hammond LM and Hofmann GE. 2009. Predicted impact of ocean acidification on a marine invertebrate: elevated CO<sub>2</sub> alters response to thermal stress in sea urchin larvae. *Marine Biology*, **156**: 439-446.

Omann I, Stocker A and Jäger J. 2009. Climate change as threat to biodiversity: application of the DPSIR approach. *Ecological Economics*, **69**: 24-31.

Oehlers LP, Perez AN and Walter RB. 2007. Detection of hypoxia-related proteins in medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) brain tissues by differential gel electrophoresis and *de novo* sequencing of 4-sulfophenyl isothiocyanate-derived peptides by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology C*, **145**: 120-133.

Oeschger R and Storey KB. 1993. Impact of anoxia and hydrogen sulphide on the metabolism of *Arctica islandica* L. (Bivalvia). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **170**: 213-226.

Oosthuizen A, Jiwaji M and Shaw P. 2004. Genetic analysis of the *Octopus vulgaris* population on the coast of South Africa. *South African Journal of Science*, **100**: 603-607.

Ortega MM, Iglesias JIP and Navarro E. 1984. Acclimation to temperature in *Actinia aquina* L.: effects of seasonal and shore level on aquatic oxygen consumption. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **76**: 79-87.

Osovitz CJ and Hofmann GE. 2005. Thermal history-dependent expression of the hsp70 gene in purple sea urchins: biogeographic patterns and the effect of temperature acclimation. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **327**: 134-143.

Osovitz CJ and Hofmann GE. 2007. Marine macrophysiology: studying physiological variation across large spatial scales in marine systems. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **147**: 821-827.

Ospina AF and Mora C. 2004. Effect of body size on reef fish tolerance to extreme low and high temperatures. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, **70**: 339-343.

Ottaway JR. 1973. Some effects of temperature, desiccation, and light on the intertidal anemone *Actina tenebrosa* Farquhar (Cnidaria: Anthozoa). *Australian Journal of Freshwater Research*, **24**: 103-126.

Oviatt CA. 2004. The changing ecology of temperate coastal waters during a warming trend. *Estuaries*, **27**: 895-904.

Palumbi SR. 1994. Genetic divergence, reproductive isolation and marine speciation. *Annual Revision of Ecological Systematics*, **25**: 547-572.

Palumbi SR. 2003. Population genetics, demographic connectivity, and the design of marine reserves. *Ecological Applications*, **13**: S146-S158.

Panova M and Johannesson K. 2004. Microscale variation in *Aat* (aspartate aminotransferase) is supported by activity differences between upper and lower shore allozymes of *Littorina saxatilis*. *Marine Biology*, **144**: 1157-1164.

Panova M, Hollander J and Johannesson K. 2006. Site-specific divergence in parallel hybrid zones suggests nonallopatric evolution of reproductive barriers. *Molecular Ecology*, **15**: 4021-4031.

Panova M, Mäkinen T, Fokin M, André C and Johannesson K. 2008. Microsatellite crossspecies amplification in the genus *Littorina* and detection of null alleles in *Littorina saxatilis*. *Journal of Molecular Studies*, **74**: 111-117.

Panova M, Blakeslee AMH, Miller W, Mäkinen T, Ruiz GM and André C. 2011. Glacial history of the North Atlantic marine snail. *Littorina saxatilis*, inferred from distribution of mitochondrial NDA lineages. *PLoS One*, **6**: e1751 pp1-14.

Pardo LM and Johnson LE. 2005. Explaining variation in life-histories: growth rate, size and fecundity in a marine snail across an environmental gradient lacking predators. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **296**: 229-239.

Parker LM, Ross PM and O'Connor WA. 2009. The effect of ocean acidification and temperature on the fertilization and embryonic development of the Sydney rock oyster *Saccostrea glomerata* (Gould 1850). *Global Climate Change*, **15**: 2123-2136.

Parker LM, Ross PM, Raftos D, Thompson E and O'Connor WA. 2011. The proteome response of larvae of the Sydney rock oyster, *Saccostrea glomerata* to elevated pCO<sub>2</sub>. *Australian Zoologist*, **35**: 1011-1023.

Parmesan C and Yohe G. 2003. A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. *Nature*, **421**: 31-42.

Parsell DA, Taulien J, Lindquist S, Viitanen P, Jaenicke R, Horwich A, Hartl FU, Ellis RJ and Welch WJ. 1993. The role of heat-shock proteins in thermotolerance {and discussion}. *Philosophical Transactions: Biological Sciences*, **339**: 279-286.

Parsons PA. 1990. The metabolic cost of multiple environmental stresses: implications for climate change and conservation. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **5**: 315-317.

Partridge TC. 1993. Warming in the Southern Africa during the last 150,000 years: an overview. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **101**: 237-244.

Pearson RG and Dawson TP. 2003. Predicting impacts of climate change on the distribution of species: are bioclimate envelope models useful? *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **12**: 361-371.

Peck LS. 1996. Metabolism and feeding in the Antarctic Brachiopod *Liothyrella uva*: a low energy lifestyle species with restricted metabolic scope. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B*, **263**: 223-228.

Peck LS. 2002. Ecophysiology of Antarctic marine ectotherms: limits to life. *Polar Biology*, **25**: 3-40.

Peck LS and Veal R. 2001. Feeding, metabolism and growth in the Antarctic limpet, *Nacella concinna* (Strebel 1908). *Marine Biology*, **138**: 553-560.

Peck LS, Pörtner HO and Hardewig I. 2002. Metabolic demand, oxygen supply, and critical temperatures in the Antarctic bivalve *Laternula elliptica*. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, **75**: 123-133.

Peck LS, Morley SA, Pörtner HO and Clark MS. 2007. Thermal limits of burrowing capacity are linked to oxygen availability and size in the Antarctic clam *Laternula elliptica*. *Oecologia*, **154**: 479-484.

Peck LS, Massey A, Thorne MAS and Clark MS. 2009A. Lack of acclimation in *Ophionotus victoriae*: brittle starts are not fish. *Polar Biology*, **32**: 399-402.

Peck LS, Clark MS, Morley SA, Massey A and Rossetti H. 2009B. Animal temperature limits and ecological relevance: effects of size, activity and rates of change. *Functional Ecology*, **23**: 248-256.

Pelc RA, Warner RR and Gaines SD. 2009. Geographical patterns of genetic structure in marine species with constraining life history. *Journal of Biogeography*, **36**: 1881-1890.

Peng XX. 2012. Proteomics and its application to aquaculture in China: infection, immunity, and interaction of aquaculture hosts with pathogens. *Developmental and Comparative Immunology*, **39**: 63-71.

Percy JA. 1993. Energy consumption and metabolism during starvation in the Arctic hyperiid amphipod *Themisto libellula* Mandt. *Polar Biology*, **13**: 549-555.

Perez KO, Carlson RL, Shulman MJ and Ellis JC. 2009. Why are intertidal snails rare in the subtidal? Predation, growth and the vertical distribution of *Littorina littorea* (L.) in the Gulf of Maine. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **369**: 79-86.

Perry AL, Low PJ, Ellis JR and Reynolds JD. 2005. Climate change and distribution shifts in marine fishes. *Science*, **308**: 1912-1915.

Peter CI, Ripley BS and Robertson MP. 2003. Environmental limits to the distribution of *Scaevola plumieri* along the South African coast. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, **14**: 89-98.

Pether J. 1994. Molluscan evidence for enhanced deglacial advection of Agulhas water in the Benguela current, off southwestern Africa. *Palaeogeography, Palacoclimatology, Palacoecology*, **111**: 99-117.

Petrak J, Ivanek R, Toman O, Cmejla R, Cmejlova J, Vyoral D, Zivny J and Vulpe CD. 2008. Déjà vu in proteomics: a hit parade of repeatedly identified differentially expressed proteins. *Proteomics*, **8**: 1744-1749.

Phifer-Rixey M, Heckman M, Trussell GC and Schmidt PS. 2008. Maintenance of clinal variation for shell colour phenotype in the flat periwinkle *Littorina obtusata*. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **21**: 966-978.

Pickens PE. 1965. Heart rate of mussels as a function of latitude, intertidal height, and acclimation temperature. *Physiological Zoology*, **38**: 390-405.

Pickles AR and Grahame J. 1999. Mate choice in divergent morphs of the gastropod mollusc *Littorina saxatilis* (Olivi): speciation in action? *Animal Behaviour*, **58**: 181-184.

Pigliucci M. 1996. How organisms respond to environmental changes: from phenotypes to molecules (and *vice versa*). *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **11**: 168-173.

Pigliucci M, Murren CJ and Schlichting CD. 2006. Phenotypic plasticity and evolution by genetic assimilation. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **209**: 2362-2367.

Pilditch CA and Grant J. 1999. Effect of temperature fluctuations and food supply on the growth and metabolism of juvenile sea scallops (*Placopecten magellanicus*). *Marine Biology*, **134**: 235-248.

Pincebourde S, Sanford E and Helmuth B. 2008. Body temperature during low tide alters the feeding performance of a top intertidal predator. *Limnology and Oceanography*, **53**: 1562-1573.

Pincebourde S, Sanford E and Helmuth B. 2012. Temporal coincidence of environmental stress events modulates predation rates. *Ecology Letters*, **15**: 680-688.

Pineda MC, Turon X, and López-Legentil S. 2012. Stress level over time in the introduced ascidian *Styela plicata*: the effects of temperature and salinity variations on hsp70 gene expression. *Cell Stress and Chaperones*, **17**: 435-444.

Piñeiro C, Vázquez J, Marina AI, Barros-Velázquez J and Gallardo JM. 2001. Characterization and partial sequencing of species-specific sarcoplasmic polypeptides from commercial hake species by mass spectrometry following two-dimensional electrophoresis. *Electrophoresis*, **22**: 1545-1552.

Piñeiro C, Cañas B and Carrera M. 2010. The role of proteomics in the study of the influence of climate change on seafood products. *Food Research International*, **43**: 1791-1802.

Pirozzi I and Booth MA. 2009. The effect of temperature and body weight on the routine metabolic rate and postprandial metabolic response in mulloway, *Argyrosomus japonicas*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **154**: 110-118.

Place SP and Hofmann GE. 2001. Temperature interactions of the molecular chaperon Hsc70 from the eurythermal marine goby *Gillichthys mirabilis*. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **204**: 2675-2682.

Place SP, Menge BA and Hofmann GE. 2012. Transcriptome profiles link environmental variation and physiological response of *Mytilus californianus* between Pacific tides. *Functional Ecology*, **26**: 144-155.

Pleines T, Jakob SS and Blattner FR. 2009. Application of non-coding DNA regions in intraspecific analysis. *Plant Systematics and Evolution*, **282**: 218-294.

Podrabsky JE and Somero GN. 2007. An induced 70 kDA-class heat shock protein is constitutively expressed during early development and diapauses in the annual killifish *Austrofundulus limnaeus*. *Cell Stress and Chaperones*, **12**: 199-204.

Pole M. 1994. The New Zealand flora-entirely long-distance dispersal? *Journal of Biogeography*, **21**: 625-635.

Poloczanska ES, Hawkins SJ, Southward AJ and Burrows MT. 2008. Modelling the responses of populations of competing species to climate change. *Ecology*, **89**: 3138-3149.

Pörtner HO. 2001. Climate change and temperature-dependent biogeography: oxygen limitation of thermal tolerance in animals. *Naturwissenschaften*, **88**: 137-146.

Pörtner HO. 2002A. Physiological basis of temperature-dependent biogeography: trade-offs in muscle design and performance in polar ectotherms. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **205**: 2217-2230.

Pörtner HO. 2002B. Climate variations and the physiological basis of temperature dependent biogeography: systemic to molecular hierarchy of thermal tolerance in animals. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **132**: 739-761.

Pörtner HO. 2008. Ecosystem effects of ocean acidification in times of ocean warming: a physiologist's view. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **373**: 203-217.

Pörtner HO. 2010. Oxygen- and capacity-limitation of thermal tolerance: a matrix for integrating climate-related stressor effects in marine ecosystems. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **213**: 881-893.

Pörtner HO. 2012. Integrating climate-related stressor effects on marine organisms: unifying principles linking molecule to ecosystem-level changes. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **470**: 273-290.

Pörtner HO, van Dijk PLM, Hardewig I and Sommer A. 2000. Level of metabolic cold adaptation: tradeoffs in eurythermal and stenothermal ectotherms. In: Antarctic Ecosystems: models for wider ecological understanding Davidson W, Howard-Williams C and Broady P (Eds); Caxton Press, Christchurch New Zealand: pp109-122.

Pörtner HO, Mark FC and Bock C. 2004A. Oxygen limited thermal tolerance in fish? Answers obtained by nuclear magnetic resonance techniques. *Respiratory Physiology and Neurobiology*, **141**: 243-260.

Pörtner HO, Langenbuch M and Reipschläger A. 2004B. Biological impact of elevated ocean CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations: lessons from animal physiology and earth history. *Journal of Oceanography*, **60**: 705-718.

Pörtner HO, Storch D and Heilmayer O. 2005. Constrains and trade-offs in climatedependent adaptation: energy budgets and growth in a latitudinal cline. *Scientia Marina*, **69**: 278-285.

Pörtner HO, Bennett AF, Bozinovic F, Clarke A, Lardies MA, Lucassen M, Pelster B and Stillman JH. 2006. Trade-offs in thermal adaptations: the need for a molecular to ecological integration. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, **79**: 295-313.

Pörtner HO and Knust R. 2007. Climate change affects marine fishes through the oxygen limitation of thermal tolerance. *Science*, **315**: 95-97.

Poulin R and Mouritsen KN. 2006. Climate change, parasitism and the structure of intertidal ecosystem. *Journal of Helminthology*, **80**: 183-191.

Provan J and Maggs CA. 2012. Unique genetic variation at species" rear edge is under threat from global climate change. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, **279**: 39-47.

Przeslawski R, Davis AR and Benkendorff K. 2005. Synergistic effect associated with climate change and the development of rocky shore molluscs. *Global Change Biology*, **11**: 515-522.

Pulgar JM, Ojeda FP and Bozinovic F. 2006. Intraspecific geographic and seasonal physiological variability in an intertidal fish, *Girella laevifrons*, along a climatic gradient. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **68**: 975-981.

Pulgar JM, Bozinovic F and Ojeda FP. 2007. Inter-population thermal variability and physiological response in the intertidal fish *Scartichthys viridis* (Blenniidae). *Revista Chilena de Historia Natural*, **80**: 439-446.

Pye VI and Newell RC. 1973. Factors affecting thermal compensation in the oxidative metabolism of the winkle *Littorina Littorea*. *Netherlands Journal of Sea Research*, **7**: 411-420.

Qu T. 2001. Role of ocean dynamics in determining the mean seasonal cycle of the South China Sea surface temperature. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **106**: 6943-6955.

Quesada H, Posada D, Caballero A, Morán P and Rolán-Alvarez E. 2007. Phylogenetic evidence for multiple sympatric ecological diversification in a marine snail. *Evolution*, **61-67**: 1600-1612.

Quetin LB and Ross RM. 1989. Effects of oxygen, temperature and age on the metabolic rate of the embryos and early larval stages of the Antarctic krill *Euphausia superba* Dana. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **125**: 43-62.

Rabilloud T, Chevallet M, Luche S and Lelong C. 2010. Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis in proteomics: past, present and future. *Journal of Proteomics*, **73**: 2064-2077.

Radlowska M and Pempkowiak J. 2002. Stress-70 as indicator of heavy metals accumulation in blue mussel *Mytilus edulis*. *Environmental International*, **27**: 605-608.

Rahmstorf S. 2002. Ocean circulation and climate during the past 120, 000 years. *Nature*, **419**: 207-14.

Rais A, Miller N and Stillman JH. 2010. No evidence for homeoviscous adaptation in the intertidal snail: analysis of membrane fluidity during thermal acclimation, thermal acclimatization, and across thermal microhabitats. *Marine Biology*, **157**: 2407-2414.

Ralston-Hooper KJ, Sanchez B, Adamec J and Sepúlveda MS. 2011. Proteomics in aquatic amphipods: can it be used to determine mechanisms of toxicity and interspecific responses after exposure to Atrazine? *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, **30**: 1197-1203.

Ramsay PJ. 1996. 9000 years of sea-level change along the Southern African coastline. *Quaternary International*, **31**: 71-75.

Ramsay PJ and Cooper JAG. 2002. Late quaternary sea-level change in South Africa. *Quaternary Research*, **57**: 82-90.

Rao DGV and Khan MAQ. 2000. Zebra mussels: enhancement of copper toxicity by high temperature and its relationship with respiration and metabolism. *Water Environment Research*, **72**: 175-178.

Rastrick SPS and Whiteley NM. 2011. Congeneric amphipods show differing abilities to maintain metabolic rates with latitude. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, **84**: 154-165.

Rawson PD, Slaughter C and Yund PO. 2003. Patterns of gamete incompatibility between blue mussels *Mytilus edulis* and *M. trossulus. Marine Biology*, **143**: 317-325.

Re AD, Diaz F, Sierra E, Rodríguez J and Perez E. 2005. Effect of salinity and temperature on thermal tolerance of brown shrimp *Farfantepenaeus aztecus* (Ives) (Crustacea, Penaeidae). *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **30**: 618-622.

Re AD, Diaz F and Valdez G. 2006. Effect of salinity on thermoregulatory behaviour of juvenile blue shrimp *Litopenaeus stylirostris* Stimpson. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **31**: 506-513.

Reason CJC, Rouault M, Melice JL and Jagadheesha D. 2002. Interannual winter rainfall variability in SW South Africa and large scale ocean-atmosphere interactions. *Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics*, **80**: 19-29.

Reason CJC, Engelbrecht F, Landman WA, Lutjeharms JRE, Piketh S, Rautenbach CJdeW and Hewitson BC. 2006. A review of South Africa research in atmospheric science and physical oceanography during 2000-2005. *South African Journal of Science*, **102**: 35-45.

Reese ES. 1969. Behavioural adaptations of intertidal hermit crabs. *American Zoologist*, **9**: 343-355.

Rees BB, Andacht T, Skripnikova E and Crawford DL. 2010. Population proteomics: quantitative variation within and among populations in cardiac protein expression. *Molecular Ecology and Evolution*, **28**: 1271-1279.

Regnault M. 1981. Respiration and ammonium excretion of the shrimp *Crangon crangon* L.: metabolic response to prolonged starvation. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B*, **141**: 549-555.

Reipschläger A and Pörtner HO. 1996. Metabolic depression during environmental stress: the role of extracellular *versus* intracellular pH in *Sipunculus nudus*. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **199**: 1801-1807.

Reid DG. 1986. *Mainwaringia* Nevill, 1885, a littorinid genus from the Asiatic mangrove forests, and a case of protandrous hermaphroditism. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, **52**: 225-242.

Reid DG. 1989. The comparative morphology, phylogeny and evolution of the gastropod family Littorinidae. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B, Biological Sciences*, **324**: 1-110.

Reid DG. 1990. Trans-Arctic migration and speciation induced by climate change: the biogeography of *Littorina* (Mollusca: Gastropoda). *Bulletin of American Science*, **47**: 35-49.

Reid DG. 1996. Systematics and evolution of *Littorina*. The Ray Society, Andover, Hampshire, pp1-300.

Reid DG. 2002. Morphological review and phylogenetic analysis of *Nodilittorina* (Gastropoda: Littorinidae). *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, **68**: 259-281.

Reid DG. 2007. The genus *Echinolittorina* Habe, 1965 (Gastropoda: Littorinidae) in the Indo-West Pacific Ocean. *Zootaxa*, **1420**: 1-161.

Reid DG, Rumbak E and Thomas RH. 1996. DNA, Morphology and Fossils: Phylogeny and evolutionary rates of the gastropod genus *Littorina*. *Philosophical Transactions: Biological Sciences*, **351**: 877-895.

Reid DG and Geller JB. 1997. A new ovoviviparous species of *Tectarius* (Gastropoda: Littorinidae) from Niue, South Pacific, with a molecular phylogeny of the genus. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, **63**: 207-233.

Reid DG and Mak YM. 1999. Indirect evidence for ecophenotypic plasticity in radula dentition of Littoraria species (Gastropoda: Littorinidae). *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, **65**: 355-370.

Reid GD and Williams ST. 2004. The subfamily Littorininae (Gastropoda: Littorinidae) in the temperate southern hemisphere: the genera *Nodilittorina*, *Austrolittorina* and *Afrolittorina*. *Records of the Australian Museum*, **56**: 75-122.

Reid DG, Lal K, Mackenzie-Dodds J, Kaligis F, Littlewood DTJ and Williams ST. 2006. Comparative phylogeography and species boundaries in *Echinolittorina* snails in the central Indo-West Pacific. *Journal of Biogeography*, **33**: 990-1006.

Reid DG and Williams ST. 2010. Global diversification of mangrove fauna: a molecular phylogeny of *Littoraria* (Gastropoda: Littorinidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, **55**: 185-201.

Reid DG, Dyal P and Williams ST. 2012. A global molecular phylogeny of 147 periwinkle species (Gastropoda, Littorininae). *Zoological Scripta*, **41**: 125-136.

Richards JG. 2011. Physiological, behavioural and biochemical adaptations of intertidal fishes to hypoxia. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **214**: 191-199.

Richard J, Morley SA, Thorne MAS and Peck LS. 2012. Estimating long-term survival temperatures at the assemblage level in the marine environments: towards macrophysiology. *PLoS One*, 7: e34655 - pp1-9.

Richier S, Rodriquez-Lanetty M, Schnitzler CE and Weis VM. 2008. Responses of the symbiotic cnidarians *Anthopleura elegantissima* transcriptome to temperature and increase. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology D*, **3**: 283-289.

Ridgway TM, Stewart BA, Branch GM and Hodgson AN. 1998. Morphological and genetic differentiation of *Patella granularis* (Gastropoda: Patellidae): recognition of two sibling species along the coast of southern Africa. *Journal of Zoological Society of London*, 245: 317-333.

Ridgway TM, Stewart BA and Branch GM. 1999. Limited population differentiation in the bearded limpet *Patella barbara* (Gastropoda: Patellidae) along the coast of South Africa. *Journal of Marine Biological Association of United Kingdom*, **79**: 639-651.

Riegl B. 2003. Climate change and coral reefs: different effects in two high-latitude areas (Arabian Gulf, South Africa). *Coral Reefs*, **22**: 433-446.

Rivadeneira MM and Fernández M. 2005. Shifts in southern end of distribution in rocky intertidal species along the south-eastern Pacific coast. *Journal of Biogeography*, **32**: 203-209.

Roberts JL. 1957. Thermal acclimation of metabolism in the crab *Pachygrapsus crassipes* Randall. I. The influence of body size, starvation, and moulting. *Physiological Zoology*, **30**: 232-242.

Roberts MJ. 2005. Chokka squid (*Loligo vulgaris reynaudii*) abundance linked to changes in South Africa"s Agulhas Bank ecosystem during spawning and the early life cycle. *Journal of Marine Science*, **62**:33-55.

Roberts DA, Hofmann GE and Somero GN. 1997. Heat-shock protein expression in *Mytilus californianus*: Acclimatization (seasonal and tidal height comparisons) and acclimation effects. *Biological Bulletin*, **192**: 309-320.

Robertson RF, El-Haj AJ, Clarke A and Taylor EW. 2001A. Effects of temperature on specific dynamic action and protein synthesis rates in the Baltic isopod crustacean, *Saduria entomon. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **262**: 113-129.

Robertson RF, El-Haj AJ, Clarke A, Peck LS and Taylor EW. 2001B. The effects of temperature on metabolic rate and protein synthesis following a meal in the isopod crustacean, *Glyptonotus antarcticus* Eights (1852). *Polar Biology*, **24**: 677-686.

Robertson RF, Meagor J and Taylor EW. 2002. Specific dynamic action in the shore crab, *Carcinus maenas* L, in relation to acclimation temperature and to the onset of the emersion response. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, **75**: 350-359.

Rocha LA, Craig MT and Bowen BW. 2007. Phylogeography and the conservation of coral reef fishes. *Coral Reefs*, **26**: 501-512.

Rochette R and Dill LM. 2000. Mortality, behaviour and the effects of predators on the intertidal distribution of littorinids gastropods. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **253**: 165-191.

Rochette R, Dunmall K and Dill LM. 2003. The effect of life-history variation on the population size structure of a rocky intertidal snail (*Littorina sitkana*). *Journal of Sea Research*, **49**: 119-132.

Rodriguez PM, Silva TS, Dias J and Jessen F. 2012. Proteomics in aquaculture: applications and trends. *Journal of Proteomics*, **75**: 4325-4345.

Rodríguez-Ortega MJ, Grøsvik BE, López-Barea J. 2003. Changes in protein expression profiles in bivalve molluscs (*Chamaelea gillana*) exposed to four model environmental pollutants. *Proteomics*, **3**: 1535-1543.

Roelofs D, Aarts MGM, Schat H and van Staarlen NM. 2008. Functional ecological genomics to demonstrate general and specific responses to abiotic stress. *Functional Ecology*, **22**: 8-18.

Rolán-Alvarez E. 2007. Sympatric speciation as a by-product of ecological adaptation in the Galician *Littorina saxatilis* hybrid zone. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, **73**: 1-10.

Rolán-Alvarez E, Johannesson K and Erlandsson J. 1997. The maintenance of a cline in the marine snail *Littorina saxatilis*: the role of home site advantage and hybrid fitness. *Evolution*, **51**: 1838-1847.

Rolán-Alvarez E, Erlandsson J, Johannesson K and Cruz R. 1999. Mechanisms of incomplete prezygotic reproductive isolation in an intertidal snail: testing behavioural models in wild populations. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **12**: 879-890.

Rosas C, Martinez E, Gaxiola G, Brito R, Sánchez A and Soto LA. 1999. The effect of dissolved oxygen and salinity on oxygen consumption, ammonia excretion and osmotic pressure of *Penaeus setiferus* (Linnaeus) juveniles. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **234**: 41-57.

Rosen DE. 1978. Vicariant patterns and historical explanation in Biogeography. *Systematic Zoology*, **27**: 159-188.

Ross LG, McKinney RW, Cardwell SK, Fullarton JG, Roberts SEJ and Ross B. 1992. The effect of dietary protein content, lipid content and ration level on oxygen consumption and Specific Dynamic Action in *Oreochromis niloticus* L. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **103**: 573-578.

Rouault M, Penven P and Pohl B. 2009. Warming in the Agulhas Current system since the 1980's. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **36**: 1-5.

Rousch JM, Bingham SE and Sommerfeld MR. 2004. Protein expression during heat stress in thermo-intolerant and thermo-tolerant diatoms. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **306**: 231-243.

Roy C, Weeks S, Rouault M, Nelson G, Barlow R and van der Lingen C. 2001. Extreme oceanographic events recorded in the southern Benguela during 1999-2000 summer season. *South African Journal of Science*, **97**: 465-471.

Rubinoff D and Holland BS. 2005. Between two extremes: mitochondrial DNA is either the panacea nor the nemesis of phylogenetic and taxonomic inference. *Systematic Biology*, **54**: 952-961.

Sagarin RD, Barry JP, Gilman SE and Baxter CH. 1999. Climate-related change in an intertidal community over short and long time scales. *Ecological Monographs*, **69**: 465-490.

Saier B. 2000. Age-dependent zonation of the periwinkle *Littorina littorea* (L.) in the Wadden Sea. *Helgoland Marine Research*, **54**: 224-229.

Salomon M and Buchholz F. 2000. Effect of temperature on the respiration rates and the kinetics of citrate synthase in two species of *Idotea* (Isopoda: Crustacea). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology B*, **125**: 71-81.

Salvato B, Cuomo V, Di Muro P and Beltramini M. 2001. Effects of environmental parameters on the oxygen consumption of four marine invertebrates: a comparative factorial study. *Marine Biology*, **138**; 659-668.

Sanchez BC, Ralston-Hooper K and Sepúlveda MS. 2011. Review of recent proteomic application in aquatic toxicology. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, **30**: 274-282.

Sanders BM, Hope C, Pascoe VM and Martin LS. 1991. Characterization of the stress protein response in two species of *Collisella* limpets with different temperature tolerances. *Physiological Zoology*, **64**: 1471-1489.

Sandison EE. 1967. Respiratory responses to temperature and temperature tolerance of some intertidal gastropods. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **1**: 271-281.

Sanford E. 2002. Water temperature, predation, and the neglected role of physiological rate effects in rocky intertidal communities. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **42**: 881-891.

Santini G, De Pirro M and Chelazzi G. 1999. In situ and laboratory assessment of heart rate in Mediterranean limpet using a noninvasive technique. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, **72**: 198-204.

Santini G, Williams GA and Chelazzi G. 2000. Assessment of factors affecting heart rate of the limpet Patella vulgate on the natural shore. *Marine Biology*, **37**: 291-296.

Santini G, Bianchi T and Chelazzi G. 2002. Metabolic responses to food deprivation in two limpets with different foraging regimes, revealed by recording cardiac activity. *Journal of Zoological Society of London*, **256**: 11-15.

Sanpanich K, Wells FE and Chitramvong Y. 2004. Distribution of the family Littorinidae (Mollusca: Gastropoda) in Thailand. *Records of the Western Australian Museum*, **22**: 241-251.

Sastry AN and McCarthy JF. 1973. Diversity in metabolic adaptation of pelagic larval stages of two sympatric species of Brachyuran crabs. *Netherlands Journal of Sea Research*, **7**: 434-446.

Saur M. 1990. Mate discrimination in *Littorina littorea* (L.) and *L. saxatilis* (Olivi) (Mollusca: Prosobranchia). *Hydrobiologia*, **193**: 261-270.

Schiel DR, Steinbeck JR and Foster MS. 2004. Ten years of induced ocean warming causes comprehensive changes in marine benthic communities. *Ecology*, **85**: 1833-1839.

Schmidt PS, Phifer-Rixey M, Taylor GM and Christner J. 2007. Genetic heterogeneity among intertidal habitats in the flat periwinkle, *Littorina obtusata*. *Molecular Ecology*, **16**: 2393-2404.

Schneider KR and Helmuth B. 2007. Spatial variability in habitat temperature may drive patterns of selection between an invasive and native mussel species. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **339**: 157-167.

Schoville SD, Barreto FS, Moy GW, Wolff A and Burton RS. 2012. Investigating the molecular basis of local adaptation to thermal stress: population differences in gene expression across the transcriptome of the copepod *Tigriopus californicus*. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, **12**: 170.1-170.17.

Schuman EH, Cohen AL and Jury MR. 1995. Coastal sea surface temperature variability along the south coast of South Africa and the relationship to regional and global climate. *Journal of Marine Research*, **53**: 231-248.

Scott L, Steenkamp M and Beaumont PB. 1995. Palaeoenvironmental conditions in South Africa at the Pleistocene-Holocene transition. *Quaternary Science Review*, **14**: 937-947.

Seabra R, Wethey DS, Santos AM and Lima FP. 2011. Side matters: microhabitat influence on intertidal heat stress over a large geographical scale. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **400**: 200-208.
Sebens KP. 2002. Energetic constraints, size gradients, and size limits in benthic marine invertebrates. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **42**: 853-861.

Sébert P, Péqueux A, Simon B and Barthélémy L. 1995. Effect of hydrostatic pressure and temperature on the metabolism of the Chinese crab (*Eriocheir sinensis*) and the yellow eel (*Anguilla anguilla*). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **112**: 131-136.

Segal E. 1956. Microgeographic variation as thermal acclimation in an intertidal mollusc. *Biological Bulletin*, **111**: 129-152.

Segal E. 1961. Acclimation in Molluscs. American Zoologist, 1: 235-244.

Seibel BA and Childress JJ. 2000. Metabolism of benthic octopods (Cephalopoda) as a function of habitat depth and oxygen concentration. *Deep-Sea Research I*, **47**: 1247-1260.

Seibel BA and Drazen JC. 2011. The rate of metabolism in marine animals: environmental constraints, ecological demands and energetic opportunities. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, **362**: 2061-2078.

Segnini de Bravo MI, Chung KS and Pérez JE. 1998. Salinity and temperature tolerances of the green and brown mussel, *Perna viridis* and *Perna perna* (Bivalvia: Mytilidae). *Revista De Biologia Tropical*, **5**: 121-125.

Serafini L, Hann JB, Kültz D and Tomanek L. 2011. The proteome response of sea squirts (genus *Ciona*) to acute heat stress: a global perspective on the thermal stability of proteins. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology D*, **6**: 322-334.

Shannon LV, Crawford RJM, Brundrit GB and Underhill LG. 1988. Responses of fish populations in the Benguela ecosystem to environmental change. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, **45**: 5-12.

Shannon LV, Agenbag JJ, Walker ND and Lutjeharms JRE. 1990. A major perturbation in the Agulhas retroflection area in 1986. *Deep-Sea Research*, **37**: 493-512.

Shannon LV, Crawford RJM, Pollock DE, Hutchings L, Boyd AJ, Taunton-Clark J, Badenhorst A, Melville-Smith R, Augustyn CJ, Cochrane KL, Hampton I, Nelson G, Japp DW and Tarr RJQ. 1992. The 1980s – a decade of change in the Benguela ecosystem. *South African Journal of Marine Science*, **12**: 271-296.

Shaw PT and Chao SY. 1994. Surface circulation in the South China Sea. *Deep-Sea Research*, **41**: 1663-1683.

Sheehan D and McDonagh B. 2008. Oxidative stress and bivalves: a proteomic approach. *Invertebrate Survival Journal*, **5**: 110-123.

Sherman E and Eichrodt A. 1982. The effect of temperature on osmotic responses of the hermit crab *Pagurus longicarpus* Say. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **73**: 261-265.

Sherman CDH, Hunt A and Eyre D. 2008. Is life history a barrier to dispersal? Constraining patterns of genetic differentiation along an oceanographically complex coast. *Biological Journal of Linnean Society*, **95**: 106-116.

Shepard JL, Olsson B, Tedengren M and Bradley BP. 2000. Protein expression signatures identified in the *Mytilus edulis* exposed to PCBs, copper and salinity stress. *Marine Environmental Research*, **50**: 337-340.

Shevchenko A, Jensen ON, Podtelejnikov AV, Sagliocco F, Wilm M, Vorm O, Mortensen P, Shevchenko A, Boucherie H and Mann M. 1996. Linking genome and proteome by mass spectrometry: large-scale identification of yeast proteins from two dimensional gels. *Proceedings of National Academy of Science of United States of America*, **93**: 14440-14445.

Shi M, Chen C, Xu Q, Lin H, Liu G, Wang H, Wang F and Yan J. 2002. The role of Qiongzhou Straight in the seasonal variation of the South China Sea circulation. *Journal of Geophysical Oceanography*, **32**: 103-121.

Shick JM, Widdows J and Gnaiger E. 1988. Calorimetric studies of behaviour, metabolism and energetics of sessile intertidal animals. *American Zoology*, **28**: 161-181.

Shillington FA, Reason CJC, Rae CMD, Florenchie P and Penven P. 2006. Large scale physical variability of the Benguela Current Large Marine Ecosystem (BCLME). *Large Marine Ecosystems*, **14**: 47-68.

Shindell DT, Schmidt GA, Mann ME, Rind D and Waple A. 2001. Solar forcing of regional climate change during the Maunder Minimum. *Science*, **294**: 2149-2152.

Shirley TC, Denoux GJ and Stickle WB. 1978. Seasonal respiration in the marsh

periwinkle, Littorina irrorata. Biological Bulletin, 154: 322-334.

Shumway SE. 1981. Factors affecting oxygen consumption in the marine pulmonate *Amphibola crenata* (Gmelin, 1791). *Biological Bulletin*, **160**: 332-347.

Shumway SE. 1983. Oxygen consumption and salinity tolerance in four Brazilian crabs. *Crustaceana*, **44**: 76-82.

Shumway SE and Koehn RK. 1982. Oxygen consumption in the American oyster *Crassostrea virginica*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **9**: 59-68.

Shumway SE and Marsden ID. 1982. The combined effects of temperature, salinity and declining oxygen tension on oxygen consumption in the marine pulmonate *Amphibola crenata* (Gmelin, 1791). *Journal of Experimental Biology and Ecology*, **61**: 133-146.

Shumway SE, Lesser MP and Crisp DJ. 1993. Specific dynamic action demonstrated in the herbivorous marine periwinkle, *Littorina littorea* L. and *Littorina obtusata* L. (Mollusca: Gastropoda). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **106**: 391-395.

Siikavuopio SI, Mortensen A and Christiansen JS. 2008. Effects of body weight and temperature on feed intake, gonad growth and oxygen consumption in green sea urchin, *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*. *Aquaculture*, **281**: 77-82.

Silva SE, Silva IC, Madeira C, Sallema R, Paulo OS and Paula J. 2013. Genetic and morphological variation in two littorinid gastropods: evidence for recent population expansions along the East Africa coast. *Biological Journal of Linnean Society*, **108**: 494-508.

Silvestre F, Linares-Casenave J, Doroshov SI and Klutz D. 2010. A proteomic analysis of green and white sturgeon larvae exposed to heat stress and selenium. *Science of the Total Environment*, **408**: 3176-3188.

Silvestre F, Gillardin V and Dorts J. 2012. Proteomics to assess the role of phenotypic plasticity in aquatic organisms exposed to pollution and global warming. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **52**: 681-694.

Simmons MA and Knight AW. 1975. Respiratory response of *Neomysis intermedia* (Crustacea: Mysidacea) to changes in salinity, temperature and season. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **50**: 181-193.

Simonian M, Nair SV, Nell JA and Raftos DA. 2009. Proteomics clues to the identification of QX disease-resistance biomarkers in selectively bred Sydney rock oysters, *Saccostrea glomerata*. *Journal of Proteomics*, **73**: 209-217.

Simpson RJ, Wilding CS and Grahame J. 2005. Intron analyses reveal multiple calmodulin copies in *Littorina*. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, **60**: 505-512.

Sinclair BJ, Marshall DJ, Singh S and Chown SL. 2004. Cold tolerance of Littorinidae from the southern Africa: intertidal snails are not constrained to freeze tolerance. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B*, **174**: 617-624.

Sinclair ELA, Thompson MB and Seebacher F. 2006. Phenotypic flexibility in the metabolic response of the limpet *Cellana tramoserica* to thermally different microhabitats. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **335**: 131-141.

Sink KJ, Branch GM and Harris JM. 2005. Biogeographic patterns in the rocky intertidal communities in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *African Journal of Marine Science*, **27**: 81-96.

Slaughter C, McCartney MA and Yund PO. 2008. Comparison of gamete incompatibility between two blue mussel species in sympatry and in allopatry. *Biological Bulletin*, **214**: 57-66.

Small MP and Gosling EM. 2000. Genetic structure and relationships in the snail species complex *Littorina arcana* Hannaford Ellis, *L. compressa* Jeffreys and *L. saxatilis* (Olivi) in the British Isles using SSCPs of *cytochrome-b* fragments. *Heredity*, **84**: 692-701.

Small ST and Wares JP. 2010. Phylogeography and marine retention. *Journal of Biogeography*, **37**: 781-784.

Soares AG, Callahan RK and De Ruyck AMC. 1998. Microevolution and phenotypic plasticity in *Donax serra* Röding (Bivalvia: Donacidae) on high energy sandy beaches. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, **64**: 407-421.

Soares AG, Scapini F, Brown AC and McLachlan A. 1999. Phenotypic plasticity, genetic similarity and evolutionary inertia in changing environment. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, **65**: 137-139.

Sokolova EP, Sokolova IM and Pörtner HO. 2001. Composition and relative abundance of microsatellite repeats in genome of *Littorina saxatilis* (Olivi) (Gastropoda: Littorinidae). *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, **67**: 499-510.

Sokolova IM and Berger VJ. 2000. Physiological variation related to shell colour polymorphism in White Sea *Littorina saxatilis*. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **245**:1-23.

Sokolova IM, Bock C and Pörtner HO. 2000A. Resistance to freshwater exposure in White Sea *Littorina* spp. I: Anaerobic metabolism and energetics. *Journal of Comparative Physiology and Biology B*, **170**: 91-103.

Sokolova IM, Bock C and Pörtner HO. 2000B. Resistance to freshwater exposure in White Sea *Littorina* spp. II: Anaerobic metabolism and energetics. *Journal of Comparative Physiology and Biology B*, **170**: 105-115.

Sokolova IM, Granovitch AI, Berger VJ and Johannesson K. 2000C. Intraspecific physiology variability of the gastropod *Littorina saxatilis* related to the vertical shore gradient in the White and North Seas. *Marine Biology*, **137**: 297-308.

Sokolova IM and Pörtner HO. 2001A. Temperature effects on key metabolic enzymes in *Littorina saxatilis* and *L. obtusata* from different latitudes and shore levels. *Marine Biology*, **139**:113-126.

Sokolova IM and Pörtner HO. 2001B. Physiological adaptations to high intertidal life involve improved water conservation abilities and metabolic rate depression in *Littorina saxatilis*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **224**: 171-186.

Sokolova IM and Pörtner HO. 2003. Metabolic plasticity and critical temperatures for aerobic scope in a eurythermal marine invertebrate (*Littorina saxatilis*, Gastropoda: Littorinidae) from different latitudes. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **206**: 195-207.

Sokolova IM and Boulding EG. 2004. Length polymorphisms in intron of aminopeptidase N provide a useful nuclear DNA marker for *Littorina* species (Caenogastropoda). *Journal of Molecular Studies*, **70**: 165-172.

Sokolova IM and Lannig G. 2008. Interactive effects of metal pollution and temperature on metabolism in aquatic ectotherms: implications of global climate change. *Climate Research*, **37**: 181-201.

Sokolova IM, Frederich M, Bagwe R, Lannig G and Sukhotin AA. 2012. Energy homeostasis as an integrative tool for assessing limits of environmental stress tolerance in aquatic invertebrates. *Marine Environmental Research*, **79**: 1-15.

Somero GN. 1969. Enzymatic mechanisms of temperature compensation: immediate and evolutionary effects of temperature on enzymes of aquatic poikilotherms. *The American Naturalist*, **103**: 517-530.

Somero GN. 1978. Temperature adaptation of enzymes: biological optimization through structure-function compromises. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, **9**: 1-29.

Somero GN. 1995. Proteins and Temperature. Annual Reviews of Physiology, 57: 43-68.

Somero GN. 2002. Thermal physiology and vertical zonation of intertidal animals: optima, limits, and costs of living. *Integrative Composition Biology*, **42**: 780-789.

Somero GN. 2004. Adaptation of enzymes to temperature: searching for basis "strategies". *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology B*, **139**: 321-333.

Somero GN. 2005. Linking biogeography to physiology: Evolutionary and acclimatory adjustments of thermal limits. *Frontiers in Zoology*, **21**: 1-14.

Somero GN. 2010. The physiology of climate change: how potential for acclimatization and genetic adaptation will determine "winners" and "losers". *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **213**: 912-920.

Somero GN. 2011. Comparative physiology: a "crystal ball" for predicting consequence of global change. *American Journal of Physiology – Regulatory, Integrative and Composition Physiology*, **301**: R1-R14.

Somero GN. 2012. The physiology of global change: linking patterns and mechanisms. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, **4**: 39-61.

Somero GN and Hochachka PW. 1968. The effect of temperature on catalytic and regulatory functions of Pyruvate kinases of the rainbow trout and the Antarctic fish *Trematomus bernacchii*. *Biochemistry Journal*, **110**: 395-400.

Sommer A, Klein B and Pörtner HO. 1997. Temperature induced anaerobiosis in two populations of the polychaete worm *Arenicola marina* (L.). *Journal of Comparative Physiology B*, **167**: 25-35.

Soto RE and Bozinovic F. 1998. Behavioural thermoregulation of the periwinkle *Nodilittorina peruviana* inhibiting the rocky intertidal of central Chile: laboratory and field study. *Revista Chilena de Historia Natural*, **7**: 375-382.

Sørensen JG. 2010. Application of heat shock protein expression for detecting natural adaptation and exposure to stress in natural populations. *Current Zoology*, **56**: 703-713.

Sørensen JG, Kristensen TN and Loeschcke V. 2003. The evolutionary and ecology role of heat shock proteins. *Ecological Letters*, **6**: 1025-1037.

Sørensen JG and Loeschcke V. 2007. Studying stress responses in the post-genomic era: its ecological and evolutionary role. *Journal of Bioscience*, **32**: 447-456.

Sorte CJB and Hofmann GE. 2004. Changes in latitudes, changes in aptitudes: *Nucella canaliculata* (Mollusca: Gastropoda) is more stressed at its range edge. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **274**: 263-268.

Sorte CJB and Hofmann GE. 2005. Thermotolerance and heat-shock protein expression in Northeastern pacific *Nucella* species with different biogeographical ranges. *Marine Biology*, **146**: 985-993.

Sorte CJ, Williams ST and Carlton JT. 2010. Marine range shifts and species introductions: comparative spread rates and community impacts. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **19**: 303-316.

Sorte CJB, Jones SJ and Miller LP. 2011. Geographical variation in temperature tolerance as an indicator of potential population responses to climate change. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **400**: 209-217.

Southward AJ, Hawkins SJ and Burrows MT. 1995. Seventy years" observations of changes in distribution and abundance of zooplankton and intertidal organisms in the Western

English channel in relation to rising sea temperature. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **20**: 127-155.

Spaargaren DH. 1974. A study on the adaptation of marine organisms to changing salinities with special reference to the shore crab *Carcinus maenas* (L.). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **47**: 499-512.

Spaargaren DH. 1975. Changes in permeability in the shore crab *Carcinus maenas* (L.), as a response to salinity. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **51**: 549-552.

Spaargaren DH. 1977. On the metabolic adaptation of *Carcinus maenas* to reduced oxygen tensions in the environment. *Netherlands Journal of Sea Research*, **11**: 325-333.

Spaargaren DH and Achituv Y. 1977. On the heart rate response to rapid temperature changes in various marine and brackish water crustaceans. *Netherlands Journal of Sea Research*, **11**: 107-117.

Speakman JR, Król E and Johnson MS. 2004. The functional significance of individual variation in basal metabolic rate. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, **77**: 900-915.

Spicer JI and Taylor AC. 1987. Respiration in air and water of some semi- and fully terrestrial talitrids (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Talitridae). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **106**: 265-277.

Stachowicz JJ, Terwin JR, Whitlatch RB and Osman RW. 2002. Linking climate change and biological invasions: ocean warming facilitates nonindigenous species invasions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*, **9**9: 15497-15500.

Stafford R and Davies MS. 2004. Temperature and desiccation do not affect aggregation behaviour in high shore littorinids in north-east England. *Journal of Negative Results: Ecology and Evolutionary Biology*, **1**: 16-20.

Stafford R, Davies MS and Williams GA. 2007. Computer simulations of high shore littorinids predict small-scale spatial and temporal distribution patterns on rocky shores. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **342**: 151-161.

Stafford R, Davies MS and Williams GA. 2008. Self-organization of intertidal snails facilitates evolution of aggregation behaviour. *Artificial Life*, **14**: 409-423.

Stafford R, Davies MS and Williams GA. 2012. Cheats in a cooperative behaviour? Behavioural and breakdown of cooperative behaviour in aggregating, intertidal littorinids (Mollusca). *Marine Ecology*, **33**: 66-74.

Stamatakis A. 2006. RAxML-VI HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analysis with thousand of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics*, **22**: 2688-2690.

Steinhausen MF, Sandblom E, Eliason EJ, Verhille C and Farrell AP. 2008. The effect of acute temperature increase on the cardiorespiratory performance of resting and swimming sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*). *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **211**: 3915-3926.

Stenseng E. 2005. Thermal tolerance limits of heart function in *Tugela* snail congeners of the marine intertidal. *Marine Biology*, Spring: pp1-8.

Stenseng E, Braby CE, Somero GN. 2005. Evolutionary and acclimation induced variation in the thermal limits of heart function in congeneric marine snails (Genus *Tugela*): implications for vertical zonation. *Biological Bulletin*, **208**: 138-144.

Stenseth NC, Mysterud A, Ottersen G, Hurrell JW, Chan KS and Lima M. 2002. Ecological effects of climate fluctuations. *Science*, **297**: 1292-1296.

Stickle WB and Sabourin TD. 1979. Effect of salinity on the respiration and heart rate of the common mussel, *Mytilus edulis* L., and the black chiton, *Katherina tunicata* (Wood). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **41**: 257-268.

Stickle WB and Bayne BL. 1982. Effects of temperature and salinity on oxygen consumption and nitrogen excretion in *Thais* (*Nucella*) *lapillus* (L.). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **58**: 1-17.

Stickle WB, Kapper MA, Liu LL, Gnaiger E and Wang SY. 1989. Metabolic adaptations of several species of crustaceans and molluscs to hypoxia: Tolerance and microcalorimetric studies. *Biological Bulletin*, **177**: 303-312

Stillman JH. 2002. Causes and consequences of thermal tolerance limits in rocky intertidal porcelain crabs, genus *Petrolisthes*. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **42**: 790-796.

Stillman JH. 2003. Acclimation capacity underlies susceptibility to climate change. *Science*, **301**: 65.

Stillman JH. 2004. A comparative analysis of plasticity of thermal limits in porcelain crabs across latitudes and intertidal zone clines. *International Congress Series*, **1275**: 267-274.

Stillman JH and Somero GN. 1996. Adaptation to temperature stress and aerial exposure in congeneric species of intertidal porcelain crabs (genus *Petrolisthes*): correlation of physiology, biochemistry and morphology with vertical distribution. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **199**: 1845-1855.

Stillman JH and Somero GN. 1999. A comparative analysis of the upper thermal tolerance limits of eastern Pacific porcelain crabs, genus *Petrolisthes*: influences of latitude, vertical zonation, acclimation, and phylogeny. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, **73**: 200-208.

Stillman JH and Somero GN. 2000. A comparative analysis of the upper thermal tolerance limits in eastern Pacific porcelain crabs, genus *Petrolisthes*: Influence of latitude, vertical zonation, acclimation, and phylogeny. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, **73**: 200-208.

Stillman JH and Somero GN. 2001. A comparative analysis of the evolutionary patterning mechanistic basis of lactate dehydrogenase thermal stability in porcelain crabs, genus *Petroliathes. The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **204**: 767-776.

Stillman JH and Tagmount A. 2009. Seasonal and latitudinal acclimatization of cardiac transcriptome responses to thermal stress in porcelain crabs, *Petrolisthes cinctipes*. *Molecular Ecology*, **18**: 4206-4226.

Stirling HP. 1982. The upper temperature tolerance of prosobranch gastropods of rocky shores at Hong Kong and Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **63**: 133-144.

Storch D, Santelices P, Barria J, Cabeza K, Pörtner HO and Fernández M. 2009. Thermal tolerance of crustacean larvae (zoea I) in two different populations of the kelp *Taliepus dentatus* (Milne-Edwards). *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **212**: 1371-1376.

Storey KB. 1998. Survival under stress: molecular mechanisms of metabolic rate depression in animals. *South African Journal of Zoology*, **33**: 55-64.

Storey KB. 2002. Life in the slow lane: molecular mechanisms of aestivation. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **133**: 733-754.

Storey KB. 2006. Genomic and proteomic approaches in comparative biochemistry and Physiology. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, **79**: 324-332.

Storey KB and Storey MS. 1990. Metabolic rate depression and biochemical adaptation in anaerobiosis, hibernation and estivation. *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, **65**: 145-174.

Storey KB and Storey MS. 2004. Metabolic rate depression in animals: transcriptional and translational controls. *Biological Reviews*, **79**: 207-233.

Storey KB and Storey MS. 2007. Tribute to P.L. Lutz: putting life on "pause"- molecular regulation of hypometabolism. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **210**: 1700-1714.

Swofford DL. 2002. PAUP-\* phylogenetic analysis using parsimony\* (and other methods), Version 4.0b10. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland.

Sunday JM, Bates AE and Dulvy NK. 2012. Global analysis of thermal tolerance and latitude in ectotherms. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, **278**: 1823-1830.

Suryanarayanan H and Nair NB. 1979. On the desiccation, temperature and salinity tolerances of tropical littorinids. *Mahasagar-Bulletin of the National Institute of Oceanography*, **12**: 219-225.

Sveinsdóttir H, Vilhelmsson O and Gudmundsdóttir Á. 2008. Proteome analysis of abundant proteins in two age groups of early Atlantic cod (*Cadus morhua*) larvae. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology D*, **3**: 243-250.

Szathmary PL, Helmuth B and Wethey DS. 2009. Climate change in the rocky intertidal zone: predicting and measuring the body temperature of a keystone predator. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **374**: 43-56.

Tambrian T. 2012. Air quality: its impact on climate change. Quest, 8: 35-36.

Tande KS. 1988. The effect of temperature on metabolic rates of different life stages of *Calanus glacialis* in the Barents Sea. *Polar Biology*, **8**: 457-461.

Tang B, Liu B, Yang H and Xiang J. 2005. Oxygen consumption and ammonia-N excretion of *Meretrix meretrix* in different temperature and salinity. *Chinese Journal of Oceanology and Limnology*, **23**: 469-474.

Tattersall GJ, Sinclair BJ, Withers PC, Fields PA, Seebacher F, Cooper CE and Maloney SK. 2012. Coping with thermal challenges: physiological adaptations to environmental temperatures. *Comprehensive Physiology*, **2**: 2151-2202.

Tay TL, Lin Q, Seow TK, Tan KH, Hew CL and Gong Z. 2006. Proteomics analysis of protein profiles during early development of the zebrafish, *Danio rerio. Proteomics*, **6**: 3176-3188.

Taylor EW. 1981. Some effects of temperature on respiration in Decapodan crustaceans. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **6**: 239-248.

Taylor PM and Andrews EB. 1988. Osmoregulation in the intertidal gastropod *Littorina littorea*. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **122**: 35-46.

Teal JM and Carey FG. 1967. The metabolism of marsh crabs under conditions of reduced oxygen pressure. *Physiological Zoology*, **40**: 83-91.

Teare M and Price R. 1979. Respiration of the meiobenthic harpacticoid copepod, *Tachidius disciples* Giesbrecht, from an estuarine mudflat. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology Ecology*, **41**: 1-8.

Tebaldi C, Hayhoe K, Arblaster JM and Meehl GA. 2006. Going to the extremes: an intercomparison of model-simulated historical and future changes in extreme events. *Climate Change*, **79**: 185-211.

Tedengren M, Arnér M and Kautsky N. 1988. Ecophysiology and stress response of marine and brackish water *Gammarus* species (Crustacea, Amphipoda) to changes in salinity and exposure to cadmium and diesel-oil. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **47**: 107-116.

Templeton AR. 2003. Using haplotype tress for phylogeographic and species inference in fish populations. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, **69**: 7-0.

Tepler S, Mach K and Denny M. 2011. Preference versus Performance: body temperature of the intertidal snail *Chlorostoma funebralis*. *Biological Bulletin*, **220**: 107-117.

Teranishi KS and Stillman JH. 2007. A cDNA microarray analysis of the response to heat stress in hepatopancreas tissue of the porcelain crab *Petrolisthes cinctipes*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology D*, **2**: 53-62.

Terblanche JS, Deere JA, Clusella-Trullas S, Janion C and Chown SL. 2007. Ecological relevant measures of tolerance to potentially lethal temperatures. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, **274**: 2935-2942.

Terblanche JS, Hoffmann AA, Mitchell KA, Rako L, le Roux PC and Chown SL. 2011. Ecological relevant measures of tolerance to potentially lethal temperatures. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **241**: 3713-3725.

Teske PR, McQuaid CD, Froneman CD and Barker NP. 2006. Impacts of marine biogeographic boundaries on phylogeography patterns of three South African estuarine crustaceans. *Marine ecology Progress Series*, **314**: 283-293.

Teske PR, Oosthuizen A, Papadopoulos I and Barker NP. 2007A. Phylogeographic structure of *Octopus vulgaris* in South Africa revisited: identification of a second lineage near Durban harbour. *Marine Biology*, **151**: 2119-2122.

Teske PR, Papadopoulos I, Zardi GI, McQuaid CD, Edkins MT, Griffiths CL and Barker NP. 2007B. Implications of life history for genetic structure and migration rates of southern African coastal invertebrates: planktonic, abbreviated and direct development. *Marine Biology*, **152**: 697-711.

Teske PR, Barker NP and McQuaid CD. 2007C. Lack of genetic differentiation among four sympatric southeast African intertidal limpets (Siphonariidae): phenotypic plasticity in a single species? *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, **73**: 223-228.

Teske PR, Papadopoulos I, McQuaid CD, Newman BK and Barker NP. 2007D. Climate change, genetics or human choice: why were the shells of the mankind's earliest ornament larger in the Pleistocene than in Holocene? *PLoS ONE*, **2**: pp1-6.

Teske PR, Froneman P, Barker NP and McQuaid CD. 2007E. Phylogeographic structure of the caridean shrimp *Palaemon peringueyi* in South Africa: further evidence for intraspecific genetic units associated with marine biogeographical provinces. *African Journal of Marine Sciences*, **29**: 253-258.

Teske PR, Papadopoulos I, Newman BK, Dworschak PC, McQuaid CD, and Barker NP. 2008. Oceanic dispersal barriers, adaptation and larval retention: an interdisciplinary assessment of potential factors maintaining a phylogeographic breaks between sister lineages of an African prawn. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, **8**: 314.1-14.

Teske PR and Beheregaray LB. 2009. Intron-spanning primers for the amplification of the nuclear ANT gene in decapods crustaceans. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, **9**: 774-779.

Teske PR, Winker H, McQuaid CD and Barker NP. 2009. A tropical/subtropical biogeographic disjunction in southeastern Africa separates two Evolutionary Significant Units of an estuarine prawn. *Marine Biology*, **156**: 1265-1275.

Teske PR, von der Heyden S, McQuaid CD and Barker NP. 2011A. A review of marine Phylogeography in southern Africa. *South African Journal of Science*, **107**: 43-53.

Teske PR, Papadopoulos I, Mmonwa KL, Matumba TG, McQuaid CD, Barker NP and Beheregaray LB. 2011B. Climate-driven genetic divergence of limpets with different life histories across a southeast African marine biogeographic disjunction: different process, same outcome. *Molecular Ecology*, **20**: 5025-5041.

Teske PR, Rius M, McQuaid CD, Styan CA, Piggott MP, Benhissoune S, Fuentes-Grünewald C, Walls K, Page M, Attard CRM, Cooke GM, McClusky CF, Banks SC, Barker NP and Beheregaray LB. 2011C. "Nested" cryptic diversity in a widespread marine ecosystem engineer: a challenge for detecting biological invasions. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, **11**: 176.1-13.

Teske PR, Papadopoulos I, Barker NP and McQuaid CD. 2012. Mitochondrial DNA paradox: sex-specific genetic structure in marine mussel – despite maternal inheritance and passive dispersal. *BMC Genetics*, **13**: 45.1-6.

Thibault-Botha D, Lutjeharms JRE and Gibbons MJ. 2004. Siphonophore assemblages along the east coast of South Africa; mesoscale distribution and temporal variation. *Journal of Plankton Research*, **26**: 1115-1128.

Thiyagarajan V. 2010. A review on the role of chemical cues in habitat selection by barnacles: new insights from larval proteomics. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **392**: 22-36.

Thiyagarajan V and Qian PY. 2008. Proteomic analysis of larvae during development, attachment, and metamorphosis in the fouling barnacle, *Balanus Amphitrite*. *Proteomics*, **8**: 3164-3172.

Thiyagarajan V, Wong T and Qian PY. 2009. 2D gel-based proteome and phosphoproteome analysis during larval metamorphosis in two major marine befouling invertebrates. *Journal of Proteome Research*, **8**: 2708-2719.

Thomas CW, Crear BJ and Hart PR. 2000. The effect of temperature on survival, growth, feeding and metabolic activity of the southern rock lobster, *Jasus edwardsii*. *Aquaculture*, **185**: 73-84.

Thompson RC, Crowe TP and Hawkins SJ. 2002. Rocky intertidal communities: past environmental changes, present status and predictions for the next 25 years. *Environmental Conservation*, **29**: 168-191.

Thompson SN. 1983. Biochemical and physiological effects of metazoan endoparasite on their host species. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology B*, **74**: 183-211.

Thuiller W. 2007. Climate change and the ecologist. *Nature*, 448: 550-552.

Tian X, Dong S, Wang F and Wu L. 2004. The effect of temperature changes on the oxygen consumption of the juvenile Chinese shrimp *Fenneropenaeus chinensis* Osbeck. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **310**: 59-72.

Tian X, Fang J and Dong S. 2010. Effects of starvation and recovery on the growth, metabolism and energy budget of juvenile tone sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*). *Aquaculture*, **310**: 122-129.

Todd ME. 1961. Osmotic balance in *Littorina littorea*, *L. littoralis* and *L. saxatilis* (Littorinidae). *Physiological Zoology*, **37**: 33-44.

Todd ME and Dehnel PA. 1960. Effect of temperature and salinity on heat tolerance in two grapsoid crabs, *Hemigrapsus nudus* and *Hemigrapsus oregonensis*. *Biological Bulletin*, **118**: 150-172.

Tolley KA, Groeneveld JC, Gopal K and Matthee CA. 2005. Mitochondrial DNA panmixia in spiny lobster *Palinurus gilchristi* suggests a population expansion. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **297**: 225-231.

Tomanek L. 2002. The heat-shock response: Its variation, regulation and ecological importance in intertidal gastropods (genus *Tugela*). *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **42**: 797-807.

Tomanek L. 2005. Two-dimensional gel analysis of the heat-shock response in marine snails (genus *Tegula*): interspecific variation in protein expression and acclimation ability. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **208**: 3133-3143.

Tomanek L. 2008. The importance of physiological limits in determining biogeographic range shifts due to global climate change: the heat-shock response. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, **81**: 709-717.

Tomanek L. 2010. Variation in the heat shock response and its implication for predicting the effect of global climate change on species' biogeographic distribution ranges and metabolic costs. *The Journal of experimental Biology*, **213**: 971-979.

Tomanek L. 2011. Environmental proteomics: changes in the proteome of marine organisms in response to environmental stress, pollutants, infection, symbiosis, and development. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, **3**: 14.1-14.27.

Tomanek L. 2012A. Introduction to the symposium "comparative proteomics of environmental and pollution stress". *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **52**: 622-625.

Tomanek L. 2012B. Environmental proteomics of the mussel *Mytilus*: implications for tolerance to stress and change in limits of biogeographic ranges in response to climate change. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **52**: 648-664.

Tomanek L and Somero GN. 1999. Evolutionary and acclimation-induced variation in the heat-shock responses of congeneric marine snail (Genus *Tugela*) from different thermal habitats: Implications for limits of thermotolerance and biogeography. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **202**: 2925-2936.

Tomanek L and Somero GN. 2000. Time course and magnitude of synthesis of heat-shock proteins in congeneric marine snail (Genus *Tugela*) from different tidal heights. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, **73**: 249-256.

Tomanek L and Helmuth B. 2002. Physiological ecology of rocky intertidal organisms: A synergy of concepts. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **42**: 771-775.

Tomanek L and Sanford E. 2003. Heat-shock protein 70 (Hsp70) as a biochemical stress indicator: an experimental field test in two congeneric intertidal gastropods (genus: *Tugela*). *Biological Bulletin*, **205**: 276-284.

Tomanek L and Zuzow MJ. 2010. The Proteomic response of the mussel congeners *Mytilus galloprovincialis* and *M. trossulus* to acute heat stress: implications for thermal tolerance limits and metabolic costs of thermal stress. *The Journal Experimental Biology*, **213**: 3559-3574.

Tomanek L, Zuzow MJ, Ivanina AV, Beniash E and Sokolova IM. 2011. Proteomic response to elevated pCO<sub>2</sub> levels in eastern oysters, *Crassostrea virginica*: evidence for oxidative stress. *The Journal Experimental Biology*, **214**: 1836-1844.

Tomanek L, Zuzow MJ, Hitt L, Serafini L and Valenzuela JJ. 2012. Proteomic of hypersaline stress in the blue mussel congener (genus *Mytilus*): implications for biogeographic range limits in response to climate change. *The Journal Experimental Biology*, **215**: 1106-116.

Torres P, Alfiado A, Glassom D, Jiddawi N, Macia A, Reid DG and Paula J. 2008. Species composition, comparative size and abundance of the genus *Littoraria* (Gastropoda: Littorinidae) from different mangrove strata along the East African coast. *Hydrobiologia*, **614**: 339-351.

Trenberth KE and Hurrell JW. 1994. Decadal atmosphere-ocean variations in the Pacific. *Climate Dynamics*, **9**: 303-319.

Trenberth KE and Solomon A. 1994. The global heat balance: heat transports in the atmosphere and ocean. *Climate Dynamics*, **10**: 107-134.

Truebano M, Burns G, Thorne MAS, Hillyard G, Peck LS, Skibinski DOF and Clark MS. 2010. Transcriptional response to heat stress in the Antarctic bivalve *Laternula elliptica*. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **391**: 65-72.

Trueman ER and Lowe GA. 1971. The effect of temperature and littoral exposure on the heart rate of bivalve mollusc, *Isognomum alatus*, in tropical conditions. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **38**: 555-564.

Trussell GC and Etter RJ. 2001. Integrating genetic and environmental forces that shape the evolution of geographic variation a marine snail. *Genetica*, **112-113**: 321-337.

Tsuchiya M. 1983. Mass mortality in population of the mussel *Mytilus edulis* L. caused by high temperatures on rocky shores. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **66**: 101-111.

Tully O, O'Donovan V and Fletcher D. 2000. Metabolic rate and lipofuscin accumulation in juvenile European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*) in relation to stimulated seasonal changes in temperature. *Marine Biology*, **137**: 1031-1040.

Tuomainen U and Candolin U. 2011. Behavioural responses to human-induced environmental change. *Biological Reviews*, **86**: 640-657.

Turpie JK, Beckley LE and Katua SM. 2000. Biogeography and the selection of priority areas for conservation of South African coastal fishes. *Biological Conservation*, **92**: 59-72.

Ulrich PN and Marsh AG. 2008. Proteome assay of temperature stress and protein stability in extreme environments: groundwork with the heat stress response of the bivalve *Mercenaria mercenaria*. *Journal of Shellfish Research*, **31**: 241-246.

Ulrich PN and Marsh AG. 2009. Thermal sensitivity of mitochondrial respiration efficiency and protein Phosphorylation in the clam *Mercenaria mercenaria*. *Marine Biotechnology*, **11**: 608-618.

Underwood AJ and McFadyen KE. 1983. Ecology of the intertidal snail *Littorina acutispira* Smith. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **66**: 169-197.

Vahl O. 1984. The relationship between specific dynamic action (SDA) and growth in the common starfish, *Asterias rubens* L. *Oecologia*, 61: 122-125.

Van den Broeck H, Breugelmans K, De Wolf H and Backeljau T. 2008. Completely disjunct mitochondrial DNA haplotype distribution without phylogeographic break in a planktonic developing gastropod. *Marine Biology*, **153**: 421-429.

Van Senus P. 1985. The effect of temperature, size, season and activity on the metabolic rate of the amphipod, *Talorchestia capensis* (Crustacea, Talitridae). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **81**: 263-269.

Vermeij GJ. 1972. Intraspecific shore-level size gradients in intertidal molluscs. *Ecology*, **53**: 693-700.

Vernberg FJ. 1959. Studies on the physiological variation between tropical and temperate zone fiddler crabs of the genus *Uca*. II. Oxygen consumption of the whole organism. *Biological Bulletin*, **117**: 163-184.

Vernberg FJ. 1969. Acclimation of intertidal crabs. American Zoologist, 9: 333-341.

Vernberg FJ and Vernberg WB. 1966. Studies on the physiological variation between tropical and temperate zone fiddler crabs of the genus *Uca*-VII. Metabolic-temperature accli9mation responses in Southern Hemisphere crabs. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology*, **19**: 489-524.

Vernberg FJ and Vernberg WB. 1969. Thermal influence on invertebrate respiration. *Chesapeake Science*, **10**: 234-240.

Vernberg FJ and Vernberg WB. 1970. Lethal limits and the zoogeography of the faunal assemblages of coastal Carolina water. *Marine Biology*, **6**: 26-32.

Vernberg WB and Moreira GS. 1974. Metabolic-temperature responses of the copepod *Euterpina acutifrons* (Dana) from Brazil. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **49**: 757-761.

Vetter RAH, Franke HD and Buchholz F. 1999. Habitat-related differences in the responses to oxygen deficiencies in *Idotea baltica* and *Idotea emarginata* (Isopoda, Crustacea). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **239**: 259-272.

Villarreal H and Rivera JA. 1993. Effect of temperature and salinity on the oxygen consumption of laboratory produced *Penaeus californiensis* postlarvae. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **106**: 103-107.

Villarreal H, Hinojosa P and Naranjo J. 1994. Effect of temperature and salinity on the oxygen consumption of laboratory produced *Penaeus vannamei* postlarvae. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **108**: 331-336.

Vinagre C, Narciso L, Cabral HN, Costa MJ and Rosa R. 2012. Coastal versus estuarine nursery grounds: effect of differential temperature and heat waves on juvenile seabass, *Dicentrarchus labrax. Estuarine, Coastal and Shellfish*, **109**: 133-137.

Visser ME. 2008. Keeping up with a warming world; assessing the rate of adaptation to climate change. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, **275**: 649-659.

Vitousek PM. 1994. Beyond global warming: ecology and global change. *Ecology*, **75**: 1861-1876.

Volckaert FAMJ, Barbier M, Canário VM, Olsen JL, Wesnigk J, Clark M and Boyen C. 2008. Empowering marine science through genomics. *Marine Genomics*, 1: 33-35.

von der Heyden S. 2009. Why do we need to integrate population genetics into South African marine protected area planning? *African Journal of Marine Science*, **31**: 263-269.

von der Heyden S. 2010. "Carry on sampling!"- assessing marine fish biodiversity and discovery rates in southern Africa. *Diversity and Distributions*, **17**: 81-92.

von der Heyden S, Lipinski MR and Matthee CA. 2007. Mitochondrial DNA analyses of Cape hakes reveal an expanding, panmictic population for *Merluccius capensis* and population structure for mature fish in *Merluccius paradoxus*. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, **42**: 517-527.

von der Heyden S, Prochazka K and Bowie RCK. 2008. Significant population structure and asymmetric gene flow patterns amidst expanding populations of *Clinus cottoides* (Perciformes, Clinidae): application of molecular data to marine conservation planning in South Africa. *Molecular Ecology*, **17**: 4812-4826.

von der Heyden S, Bowie RCK, Prochazka K, Bloomer P, Crane NL and Bernardi G. 2011. Phylogeographic patterns and cryptic speciation across oceanographic barriers in South African intertidal fishes. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **24**: 2505-2519.

von der Heyden S, Gildenhuys E, Bernardi G and Bowie RCK. 2013. Fine-scale biogeography: tidal elevation strongly affects population genetic structure and demographic history in intertidal fishes. *Frontiers in Biogeography*, **5.1**: 29-38.

Wallace LR. 1972A. Some factors affecting vertical distribution and resistance to desiccation in the limpet, *Acmaea testudinalis* (Müller). *Biological Bulletin*, **142**: 186-193.

Wallace JC. 1972B. Activity and metabolic rate in the shore crab, *Carcinus maenas* (L.). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **41**: 523-533.

Wallace JC. 1973. Feeding, starvation and metabolic rate in the shore crab *Carcinus maenas*. *Marine Biology*, **20**: 277-281.

Walther GR. 2010. Community and ecosystems responses to recent climate change. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, **365**: 2019-2024.

Walther GR, Post E, Convey P, Menzel A, Parmesan C, Beebee TLC, Fromentin JM, Hoegh-Guldberg O and Bairlein F. 2002. Ecological responses to recent climate change. *Nature*, **416**: 389-395.

Walther K, Sartoris FJ, Bock C and Pörtner HO. 2009. Impact of anthropogenic ocean acidification on thermal tolerance of the spider crab *Hyas araneus*. *Biogeosciences Discussions*, **6**: 2836-2861.

Walther K, Anger K and Pörtner HO. 2010. Effects of ocean acidification and warming on the larval development of the spider crab *Hyas araneus* from different latitudes (54° vs. 79°N). *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **417**: 159-170.

Wang G, Chen D and Su J. 2006. Generation and life cycle of the dipole in the South China Sea summer circulation. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **111**: pp1-9.

Wang GZ, Kong XH, Wang KJ and Li SJ. 2007A. Variation of specific proteins, mitochondria and fatty acid composition in gill of *Scylla serrata* (Crustacea, Decapoda) under low temperature adaptation. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **352**: 129-138.

Wang HC, Wang HC, Leu JH, Kuo GH, Wang AHJ and Lo CF. 2007B. Protein expression profiling of the shrimp cellular response to white spot syndrome virus infection. *Developmental and Comparative Immunology*, **31**: 672-686.

Wang M, Wang D, Lin L and Hong H. 2010. Protein expression in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) brains exposed to chronic microcystin-LR. *Chemosphere*, **81**: 716-724.

Wang P, Bouwman FG and Mariman CM. 2009. Generally detected proteins in comparative proteomics – a matter of cellular stress response? *Proteomics*, **9**: 2955-2966.

Wang SF, Tang DL, He FL, Fukuyo Y and Azanza RV. 2008. Occurrence of harmful algal blooms (HABs) associated with ocean environments in South China Sea. *Hydrobiologia*, **596**: 79-93.

Warwick RM and Turk SM. 2002. Predicting climate change effects on marine biodiversity: comparison of recent and fossil molluscan death assemblages. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, **82**: 847-850.

Warwick T, Knight AJ and Ward RD. 1990. Hybridisation in the *Littorina saxatilis* species complex (Prosobranchia: Mollusca). *Hydrobiologia*, **193**: 109-116.

Waters JM. 2008A. Driven by the West Wind Drift? A synthesis of southern temperate marine biogeography, with new directions for dispersalism. *Journal of Biogeography*, **35**: 417-427.

Waters JM. 2008B. Marine biogeographical disjunction in temperate Australia: historical landbridge, contemporary currents, or both? *Diversity and Distributions*, **14**: 692-700.

Waters JM and Roy MS. 2004A. Out of Africa: the slow train to Australia. *Systematics Biologists*, **53**: 18-24.

Waters JM and Roy MS. 2004B. Phylogeography of a high-dispersal New Zealand sea-star: does upwelling block gene-flow? *Molecular Ecology*, **13**: 2797-2806.

Waters JM, King TM, O'Loughlin PM and Spencer HG. 2005. Phylogeographical disjunction in abundant high-dispersal littoral gastropods. *Molecular Ecology*, **14**: 2789-2802.

Waters JM, McCulloch GA and Eason JA. 2006. Marine biogeographic structure in two highly dispersive gastropods: implications for trans-Tasman dispersal. *Journal of Biogeography*, **34**: 678-687.

Weeks SJ, Shillington FA and Brundrit GB. 1998. Seasonal and spatial SST variability in the Agulhas retroflection and Agulhas return current. *Deep-Sea Research I*, **45**: 1611-1625.

Weinstein RB and Somero GN. 1998. Effect of temperature on mitochondrial function in the Antarctic fish *Trematomus bernacchii*. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B*, **168**: 190-196.

Wells RMG. 1999. Haemoglobin function in aquatic animals: molecular adaptations to environmental challenge. *Marine Freshwater Research*, **50**: 933-939.

Wernberg T, Russell BD, Moore PJ, Ling SD, Smale DA, Campbell A, Coleman MA, Steinberg PD, Kendrick GA and Connell SD. 2011. Impacts of climate change in a global hotspot for temperate marine biodiversity and ocean warming. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **400**: 7-16.

Wernick AM and Penteado CHS. 1983. Oxygen consumption by the hermit crab, *Clibanarius vittatus* (Bosc, 1802) in declining oxygen tension. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **74**: 749-753.

Wethey DS. 1983. Geographic limits and local zonation: the barnacles *Semibalanus* (*Balanus*) and *Chthamalus* in New England. *Biological Bulletin*, **165**: 330-341.

Wethey DS. 1984. Sun and shade mediate competition in the barnacles Geographic limits and local zonation: the barnacles *Chthamalus* and *Semibalanus*. *Biological Bulletin*, **167**: 176-185.

Wethey DS. 2002. Biogeography, competition, and microclimate: the barnacle *Chthamalus fragilis* in New England. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **42**: 872-880.

Wethey DS and Woodin SA. 2008. Ecological hindcasting of biogeographic responses to climate change in the European intertidal zone. *Hydrobiologia*, **606**: 139-151.

Wethey DS, Woodin SA, Hilbish TJ, Jones SJ, Lima FP and Brannock PM. 2011. Responses of intertidal populations to climate: effects of extreme events versus long term change. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology*, **400**: 132-144.

Whiteley NM, Taylor EW and El Haj AJ. 1997. Seasonal and latitudinal adaptation to temperature in crustaceans. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **22**: 419-427.

Whiteley NM, Robertson RF, Meagor J, El-Haj AJ and Taylor EW. 2001. Protein synthesis and specific dynamic action in crustaceans: effects of temperature. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **128**: 595-606.

Whiteley N and Faulkner LS. 2005. Temperature influences whole-animal rates of metabolism but not protein synthesis in temperate intertidal isopod. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, **78**: 227-238.

Whiteley NM, Rastrick SPS, Lunt DH and Rock J. 2011. Latitudinal variations in the physiology of marine gammarid amphipods. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology*, **400**: 70-77.

Widdows J. 1973. The effect of temperature on the metabolism and activity of *Mytilus* edulis. Netherlands Journal of Sea Research, 7: 387-398.

Widdows J, Bayne BL, Livingstone DR, Newell RIE and Donkin P. 1979. Physiological and biochemical responses of bivalve molluscs to exposure to air. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **62**: 301-308.

Wilbur AE and Hilbish TJ. 1989. Physiological energetic of the ribbed mussel *Geukensia demissa* (Dillwyn) in response to increased temperature. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology*, **131**: 161-170.

Wilding CS, Grahame J and Mill PJ. 2000A. Mitochondrial DNA COI haplotype variation in sibling species of rough periwinkles. *Heredity*, **85**: 62-74.

Wilding CS, Grahame J and Mill PJ. 2000B. Nuclear DNA restriction site polymorphisms and the phylogeny and population structure of an intertidal snail species complex (*Littorina*). *Hereditas*, **133**: 9-18.

Wilding CS, Butlin RK and Grahame J. 2001. Differential gene exchange between parapatric morphs of *Littorina saxatilis* detected using AFLP markers. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **14**: 611-619.

Wilding CS, Grahame J and Mill PJ. 2002. A GTT microsatellite repeat motif and differentiation between morphological from of *Littorina saxatilis*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **227**: 195-204.

Wilkens JL and Fingerman M. 1965. Heat tolerance and temperature relationships of the fiddler crab, *Uca pugilator*, with reference to body coloration. *Biological Bulletin*, **128**: 133-141.

Williams CJR, Kniveton DR and Layberry R. 2008. Influence of South Atlantic sea surface temperatures on rainfall variability and extremes over southern Africa. *Journal of Climate*, **21**: 6498- 6520.

Williams GA. 1990. *Littorina mariae* – a factor structuring low shores communities? *Hydrobiologia*, **193**: 139-146.

Williams GA. 1994. The relationship between shade and molluscan grazing in structuring communities on a moderately-exposed tropical rocky shore. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **178**: 79-95.

Williams GA and Morritt D. 1995. Habitat partitioning and thermal tolerance in a tropical limpet, *Cellana grata*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **124**: 89-103.

Williams GA and Brailsford TJ. 1998. Temporal variation in the parasite loading in relation to life history patterns of *Littorina obtusata* and *L. fabalis*. *Hydrobiologia*, **378**: 115-127.

Williams GA, De Pirro M, Cartwright S, Khangura K, Ng WC, Leung PTY and Morritt D. 2011. Come rain or shine: the combined effects of physical stresses on physiological and protein-level responses of an intertidal limpet in the monsoonal tropics. *Functional Ecology*, **25**: 101-110.

Williams JB. 1984. Respiratory changes in the euryhaline clam, *Mulinia lateralis* (Say), over a range of temperature and salinity combinations. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **81**: 269-280.

Williams KL. 1999. Genomics and proteomics: towards a multidimensional view of biology. *Electrophoresis*, **20**: 678-688.

Williams ST, Jara J, Gomez E and Knowlton N. 2002. The marine Indo-West Pacific break: constraining the resolving power of mitochondrial and nuclear genes. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **42**: 941-952.

Williams ST, Reid DG and Littlewood DTJ. 2003. A molecular phylogeny of the Littorininae (Gastropoda: Littorinidae): unequal evolutionary rates, morphological parallelism, and biogeography of the southern Ocean. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, **28**: 60-86.

Williams ST and Reid DG. 2004. Speciation and diversity on tropical rocky shores: A global phylogeny of snails of the genus *Echinolittorina*. *Evolution*, **58**: 2227-2251.

Wilson IF and Gosling EM. 1998. Genetic variability in *Littorina saxatilis* from different habitats on an island in Galway Bay. *Hydrobiologia*, **378**: 1-10.

Winnepenninckx B and Backeljau T. 1998. Isolation and characterization of microsatellite markers in the periwinkle *Littorina striata* Kong and Broderip, 1832 (Mollusca, Gastropoda, Prosobranchia). *Molecular Ecology*, **7**: 1253-1254.

Winnepenninckx BMH, Reid DG and Backeljau T. 1998A. Performance of 18S rRNA in Littorinid phylogeny (Gastropoda: Caenogastropoda). *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, **47**: 586-596.

Winnepenninckx B Steiner G, Backeljau T and Wachter RD. 1998B. Details of the gastropod phylogeny inferred from 18S rRNA sequences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, **9**: 55-63.

Wittmann-Liebold B, Graack HR and Pohl T. 2006. Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis as a tool for proteomics studies in combination with protein identification by mass spectrometry. *Proteomics*, **6**: 4688-4703.

Witzmann FA and Li J. 2002. Cutting-edge technology II. Proteomics: core technologies and applications in physiology. *American Journal of Physiology and Gastrointestinal Liver Physiology*, **282**: 735-741.

Wolcott TG. 1973. Physiological Ecology and intertidal zonation in limpets (Acmaea): a critical look at "limiting factors". *Biological Bulletin*, **145**: 389-422.

Wong KKW, Lane AC, Leung PTY and Thiyagarajan V. 2011. Responses of larval barnacle proteome to CO<sub>2</sub>-driven seawater acidification. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology D*, **6**: 310-321.

Wong YH, Arellano SM, Zhang H, Ravasi T and Qian PY. 2010. Dependency on de novo protein synthesis and proteomic changes during metamorphosis of the marine bryozoans *Bugula neritina*. *Proteome Science*, **8**: 25.1-25.14.

Wright PC, Noirel J, Ow SY and Fazeli A. 2012. A review of current proteomics technologies with a survey on their widespread use in reproductive biology investigations. *Theriogenology*, **77**: 738-765.

Wynberg RP and Brown AC. 1986. Oxygen consumption of the sandy-beach whelk *Bullia digitalis* (Dillwyn) at reduced oxygen tensions. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **85**: 45-47.

Xavier R, Lima FP and Santos AM. 2010. Forecasting the poleward range expansion of an intertidal species driven by climate alterations. *Scientia Marina*, **74**: 669-676.

Xia X and Xie Z. 2001. DAMBE: data analysis in molecular biology and Evolution. *Journal of Heredity*, **92**: 371-373.

Xie SP, Deser C, Vecchi GA, Ma J, Teng H and Wittenberg AT. 2009. Global warming pattern formation: sea surface temperature and rainfall. *Journal of Climate*, **23**: 966-986.

Yamada SB and Boulding EG. 1996. The role of mobile crab predators in the intertidal zonation of their gastropod prey. *Journal of Experimental Biology and Ecology*, **204**: 59-83.

Yamane L and Gilman SE. 2009. Opposite responses by an intertidal predator to increasing aquatic and aerial temperatures. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **393**: 27-36.

Yaroslavtseva LM, Sergeeva EP and Kulikova VA. 2000. Salinity adaptations in the gastropods *Littorina mandshurica* and *Littorina squalida* at different stages of ontogenesis. *Russian Journal of Marine Biology*, **26**: 264-268.

York KL, Blacket MJ and Appleton BR. 2008. The Bassian Isthmus and the major ocean currents of southeast Australia influence the phylogeography and population structure of a southern Australian intertidal barnacle *Catomerus polymerus* (Darwin). *Molecular Ecology*, **17**: 1948-1961.

Zakhartsev MV, De Watcher B, Sartoris FJ, Pörtner HO and Blust R. 2003. Thermal physiology of the common eelpout (*Zoarces viviparus*). *Journal of Comparative Physiology B*, **173**: 365-378.

Zardi GI, McQuaid CD, Teske PR and Barker NP. 2007. Unexpected genetic structure of mussel populations in South Africa: indigenous *Perna perna* and invasive *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **337**: 135-144.

Zardi GI, Nicastro KR, McQuaid CD, Hancke L and Helmuth B. 2011. The combination of selection and dispersal helps explain genetic structure in intertidal mussels. *Oecologia*, **165**: 947-958.

Zerebecki RA and Sorte CJB. 2011. Temperature tolerance and stress proteins as mechanisms of invasive species success. *PLoS ONE*, **6**: pp1-7.

Zhan A, Hu J, Hu X, Zhou Z, Hui M, Wang S, Peng W, Wang M and Bao Z. 2009. Finescale population genetic structure of Zhikong scallop (*Chlamys farreri*): do local marine currents drive geographical differentiation? *Marine Biotechnology*, **11**: 223-235.

Zhang J, Li F, Jiang J, Yu Y, Liu C, Li S, Wang B and Xiang J. 2010A. Proteomic analysis of differentially expressed proteins in lymphoid organ of *Fenneropenaeus chinensis* response to *Vibrio anguillarum* stimulation. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, **29**: 186-194.

Zhang Y, Xu Y, Arellano SM, Xiao K, Qian PY. 2010B. Comparative proteome and phosphoproteome analysis during cyprid development of the barnacle *Balanus* (*=Amphibalanus*) *amphitrite. Journal of Proteome Research*, **9**: 3146-3157.

Zippay ML, Place SP and Hofmann GE. 2004. The molecular chaperon Hsc70 from a eurythermal marine goby exhibits temperature insensitivity during luciferase refolding assays. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **138**: 1-7.

Zippay ML and Hofmann GE. 2010. Physiological tolerances across latitudes: thermal sensitivity of larval marine snails (*Nucella* spp.). *Marine Biology*, **157**: 707-714.

Zippay ML and Helmuth B. 2012. Effect of temperature change on mussel, *Mytilus*. *Integrative Zoology*, **7**: 312-327.

Zivy M and de Vienne D. 2000. Proteomics: a link between genomics, genetics and physiology. *Plant Molecular Biology*, **44**: 575-580.

Zubrzycki IZ, Lee S, Lee K, Wiacek M and Lee W. 2012. The study on highly expressed proteins as a function of elevated ultraviolet radiation in the copepod, *Tigriopus japonicus*. *Ocean Science*, **47**: 75-82.

Zulliger DE, Tanner S and Ribi MRG. 2009. Genetic structure of the high dispersing Atlanto-Mediterreanean sea star *Astropecten aranciacus* revealed by mitochondrial DNA sequences and microsatellite loci. *Marine Biology*, **156**: 597-610.

## Appendices

Appendix 2.1. Representatives of mitochondrial (mtCOI) and ribosomal (28S rRNA) nucleotides sequences for A. africana and A. knysnaensis.

## 2.1.1. mtCO1 sequences

>A.africana 615 bases

>DS 18 615 bases

>HH\_14 615 bases

>BR 13 615 bases

CATGTGATCTGGACTTGTAGGGACTGCCCTAAGTCTTCTTATTCGAGCCGAGTTAGGTCAACCCGGCGCTTTGCTGGGAGACGATCAATTATATAATGTAATTGTAACAGCTCATG CTTTTGTCATAATTTTTTTCCTGGTTATACCTATGATAATTGGTGGATTTGGGAATTGACTTGTGCCTTTAATACTAGGAGCTCCTGATATAGCATTTCCTCGGTTTAAATAATAATAA AGTTTTTGGCTCCTTCCTCCCGCTTTACTTCTCCTGCTGTCTTCAGCAGCCGTTGAAAGAGGTGTTGGGACAGGATGAACTGTATACCCTCCGCTAGCAGGATAATTTAGCTCACGC TGGRGGATCCGTAGATCTGGCAATTTTTTCTCTCTTCTTTTAGCAGGAGTTTCTTCTATTTTAGGAGCTGTAAACCATTATACAACCATTATCAATACGTTGACGAGGAATACAGT

>WN 21 615 bases

>A.knysnaensis 615 bases

#### >PA 4 615 bases

CATGTGATCTGGACTTGTGGGTACTGCCCTAAGCCTTCTTATTCGAGCTGAGCTAAGCCCAACCAGGCGCTTTACTGGGAGACGATCAATTATAAATGTAATTGTAACAGCTCATG CTTTTGTTATAATTTTTTTTTCTAGTTATACCTATAATAATTGGTGGATTTGGAAATTGACTTGTACCTTTAATATATAGGGGCCCCTGATATGGCATTCCCTCGTTTAAATAATATA AGTTTTTGACTCCTCCCCCCCCGCTCTGCTTCTTTTATTATCTTCAGCTGCCGTTGAAAGTGGTGTTGGAACAGGATGAACTGTATATCCTCCATTGTCAGGTAATTTAGCCCACGC TGGCGGATCAGTAGATTTAGCAATTTTTCTCTCTCTCTAGCAGGTGTTTCTTCTATTTTAGGAGCTGTAAACTTTATTACGACTATTATTAATACGTTGACGAGGAATACAAT TTGAACGTTTACCCCTTTTCGTTTGATCAGGTAAAATTACAGCTATTCTTCTCTCTTCTTCTTCTTCTTCTGTACTGCCGGAGCTATTACCATATTACCATATTACCAGATCGAAATTTTAAT ACTGCCTTTTTTGACCCCAGCTGGTGGTGGTGGTGGTGATCC

>MZ 07 615 bases

>GR 2 615 bases

### 2.1.2. 28S rRNA Sequences

#### >A. africana 745 bases

ACCGGCCCGTCTCGTCCGCGTTGTCGGTGAGGCGGAGCAAGAGCGTGCACGTTGGGACCCGAAAGATGGTGAACTATGCCTGAGTAGGACGAAGCCAGAGGAAACTCTGGTGGAGG TCCGCAGCGATTCTGACGTGCAAATCGATCGTCAAACTTGGGTATAGGGGCGAAAGACTAATCGAACCATCTAGTAGCTGGTTCCCTCCGAAGTTTCCCTCAGGATAGCTGGCA >BS 1 745 bases

#### >BT 10 745 bases

#### >CRB 7 745 bases

#### >A. knysnaensis 745 bases

 

#### >BR 1 745 bases

#### >BR 4 745 bases

#### >BR\_05 745 bases

>MB 03 745 bases

# Appendix 2.2. List of mitochondrial (mtCOI) and ribosomal (28S rRNA) sequences (excluding singlets; see Figure 2.2-2.3) of A. africana and

A. knysnaensis.

| mtCOI  | 28S rRNA  |
|--|---|
| A.knysnaensis BA 1 BA 4 BL 10 BL 8 BR 03 BR 05 BR 101 BR 2 BR 4 BR 6 CA 01 CA 01 CA 02 CA 1 CA 2 CA 8 CA 9 CB 04 CB 05 CB 10 CB 6 CR 010 CR 03 CR 05 CR 010 CR 03 CR 05 CB 10 CB 6 CR 010 CR 03 CR 04 CB 05 CB 10 CR 03 CR 04 CB 05 CB 10 CR 03 CR 10 CR 03 CR 10 CR 03 CR 10 CR 10 GR   | BR_1_BR_3_CA_012_CR_104_CR_5_CR_8_CRB_4_CRB_5_GR_1_G<br>R_101_GR_2_GR_6_GR_7_HH_103_HH_5_HH_6_HK_6_JB_1_LB_0<br>2_LB_2_PA_4_PA_5_PA_8_PE_1_PJ_3_PJ_5_PJ_8_PN_09_PN_3_PN<br>9_POB_3_POB_4_RE_6_RG_10_RG_6_RG_7_RG_8_SB_1_SB_6_S<br>BB_1_SBB_3_SF_4                           |
| A.africana BA_10 BA_7 BR_017 BR_12 BR_14 BR_15 BR_7 BS_10 BS_102 BS_103 BS_104 BS_105 BS_106 BS_108 BS_3 BS_6 BT_10 BT_4 BT_5 BT_8 CR_111 CR_112 CR_113 CR_115 CR_12 C   R 13 CR_14 CR_141 CR_15 CRB_6 CRB_8 DS_011 DS_012 DS_12 DS_13 DS_14 DS_15 DS_17   FH_014 FH_015 FH_14 FH_15 GO_11 GO_15 FH_10 HH_11 HH_111 HH_13 HH_132 HH_15 HH_2   13 HK_12 HM_012 HM_13 HM_15 JB_13 LR_02 LR_1 LR_10 LR_2 LR_3 LR_4 LR_9   ML 01 ML 03 ML_04 ML_06 ML_1 ML_10 ML_2 ML_3 ML_4 ML_5 ML_6 MLW_1 MR_005 MR_0   1 MR_02 MR_03 MR_05 MR_3 MRW_1 MRW_2 PA_11 PA_12 PA_14 PA_15 PA | BR_05_BR_101_CR_1_CR_14_CR_4_CR_6_CR_7_CRB_1_GO_4_HB<br>1_HB_2_HB_5_HH_10_HH_2_HH_4_HH_8_HH_9_JB_2_LB_6_MB<br>2_PA_7_PE_5_PJ_1_PJ_7_PL_6_SE_2_SF_9_YZ_9<br>BS_101_BS_104_BS_105_BS_108_CR_115_CR_141_GO_12_GO_13_<br>IB_10_ML_8_MR_5_PA_11_PA_13_PA_17_PA_19_PL_215_PR_03_S |
|  | E_09_SE_9_ZK_2_ZK_5   |
| DS_18_FH_13_FH_16_GO_12_HH_12_PL_15_SX_15  | BT_10_DS_012_DS_12_DS_15_DS_18_FH_13_FH_15_HK_12_ML_1<br>0_ML_9_PA_20_PE_8_RG_18_SB_11_SB_15_WN_21_ZK_1   |

| PA 4 PJ 9 POB 04 | CRB 7 HH 12 HH 15 HM 15 ML 3 MR 3 PA 16 PA 18 PE 10   |
|------------------|---|
|                  | PE 16 PE 18 PE 20 PJ 111 PJ 115 PJ 13 PJ 214 ZK 4     |
|                  |   |
| BR 13 BR 211     | BS 1 CRB 6 CRB 8 CRB 9 DS 16 FH 18 ML 7 MR 1 PA 12 P  |
|                  | A 15 PN 7 PR 4 SB 17 SB 18 SB 3 WN 23                 |
|                  |   |
| BR 1 BR 8        | BR 4 HB 3 HH 1 HH 114 HH 3 JB 3 PJ 6 PL 7 PL 8 POB 1  |
|                  | POB 5 PR 9 YZ 4                                       |
|                  |   |
| BS 109 BS 110    | BR 12 BS 102 BS 110 CR 13 GO 14 MR 4 MR 6 PE 3 PE 7 P |
|                  | R 5   |
|                  | -   |
| GO 13 GO 14      | BT 4 CRB 10 HH 13 MR 2 PE 13 PR 011 PR 14 PR 6 RG 15  |
|                  |   |
| GR 2 POB 07      | BA 2 BR 15 BS 1081 HH 132 HH 213 HK 15 RG 12          |
|                  |   |
| PI 214 RG 15     | BS 103 BS 4 HH 111 HM 012 MB 1 PA 14 ZK 6             |
|                  |   |
| MZ 07 SE 15      | BA 6 FH 014 FH 015                                    |
|                  |   |
| WN 21 WN 22      | BR 211 BS 109 FH 16                                   |
|                  |   |
| ZK 001 ZK 01     | DS 013 DS 14 PR 06                                    |
|                  |   |
|                  | BS 107 BT 7 SE 013                                    |
|                  |   |
|                  | CR 12 CR 15   |
|                  |   |
|                  | CR 3 RG 2   |
|                  |   |
|                  | CRB 3 RG 9  |
|                  |   |
|                  | MB 03 SB 2  |
|                  |   |
|                  | BS 10 SEW 1   |
|                  |   |
|                  |   |