

TRANSACTIONS OF THE  
 AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
 Volume 354, Number 5, Pages 1749–1757  
 S 0002-9947(02)02920-3  
 Article electronically published on January 7, 2002

## ON THE STRUCTURE OF $P(n)_*P((n))$ FOR $p = 2$

CHRISTIAN NASSAU

ABSTRACT. We show that  $P(n)_*(P(n))$  for  $p = 2$  with its geometrically induced structure maps is *not* an Hopf algebroid because neither the augmentation  $\epsilon$  nor the coproduct  $\Delta$  are multiplicative. As a consequence the algebra structure of  $P(n)_*(P(n))$  is slightly different from what was supposed to be the case. We give formulas for  $\epsilon(xy)$  and  $\Delta(xy)$  and show that the inversion of the formal group of  $P(n)$  is induced by an antimultiplicative involution  $\Xi : P(n) \rightarrow P(n)$ . Some consequences for multiplicative and antimultiplicative automorphisms of  $K(n)$  for  $p = 2$  are also discussed.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE RESULTS

Let  $BP$  denote the Brown-Peterson spectrum for  $p = 2$  and recall that  $BP_* = \mathbf{Z}_{(2)}[v_1, v_2, \dots]$  with  $|v_n| = 2(2^n - 1)$ . As usual we let  $v_0 = 2$ .  $BP$  is a commutative ring spectrum and there are related ring spectra  $P(n) = BP/(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , which are connected by the Baas-Sullivan cofiber sequences

$$\Sigma^{|v_n|}P(n) \xrightarrow{\cdot v_n} P(n) \xrightarrow{\cdot \eta_n} P(n+1) \xrightarrow{\partial_n} \Sigma^{|v_n|+1}P(n).$$

From the Baas-Sullivan cofiber triangles one obtains Bockstein operations  $Q_{n-1} = \partial_{n-1}\eta_{n-1} : P(n) \rightarrow \Sigma^{|v_{n-1}|+1}P(n)$ .

There are essentially two multiplications  $m, \bar{m}$  on  $P(n)$  that are worth consideration. These are characterised recursively by the fact that they make  $P(n)$  into a  $P(n-1)$  algebra spectrum (cf. [W1] with corrections in [N]). Here we let  $P(0) = BP$ . Both of them are noncommutative: one has  $\bar{m} = mT$ , where  $T : P(n) \wedge P(n) \rightarrow P(n) \wedge P(n)$  is the switch map. More explicitly their relation is given by (cf. [M])

$$(1) \quad \bar{m} = m + v_n m(Q_{n-1} \wedge Q_{n-1}).$$

Furthermore  $Q_{n-1}$  is a derivation with respect to both products. For all this the reader is referred to [R1], [R2], [W1] and [W2].

We prove the following lemma in section 2 which also contains a formula for  $\Delta(xy)$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\epsilon : P(n)_*(P(n)) \rightarrow P(n)_*$  be the augmentation defined by  $\epsilon = \pi_*(m)$ . Then for all  $x, y \in P(n)_*(P(n))$  we have*

$$(2) \quad \epsilon(xy) = \epsilon(x)\epsilon(y) + v_n \epsilon(Q_{n-1}x)\epsilon(Q_{n-1}y).$$

Received by the editors July 3, 2000.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55N22; Secondary 55P43.

*Key words and phrases.* Hopf algebroids, Morava  $K$ -theory, bordism theory, noncommutative ring spectra.

Recall from [KW] the elements  $a_i, 0 \leq i < n$ , in  $P(n)_*(P(n))$  with  $|a_i| = 2^{i+1} - 1$ . Recall also that  $BP_*(BP) = BP_*[t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots]$  with  $|t_i| = 2(2^i - 1)$ . We will denote the image of  $t_i$  under the canonical map  $BP_*(BP) \rightarrow P(n)_*(P(n))$  again by  $t_i$ . Let  $\overline{P(n)}$  denote the spectrum  $P(n)$  with multiplication  $\overline{m}$ .

Lemma 1 leads immediately to the following modification of the main result of [KW].

**Theorem 2** ([KW]).  $P(n)_*(P(n))$  and  $P(n)_*(\overline{P(n)})$  are polynomial algebras over  $P(n)_*$  on the generators

$$\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\} \cup \{t_i \mid i > n\}.$$

There are relations

$$a_i^2 = \begin{cases} t_{i+1} + v_{i+1} & \text{in } P(n)_*(P(n)), \\ t_{i+1} & \text{in } P(n)_*(\overline{P(n)}). \end{cases}$$

Here  $v_k$  is to be interpreted as 0 if  $k < n$ .

For commutative ring spectra  $E$  and  $X$  that satisfy Kronecker duality it is well known that Kronecker duality sets up a one-to-one correspondence between morphisms of ring spectra  $X \rightarrow E$  and algebra morphisms  $E_*(X) \rightarrow E_*$ . Lemma 1 shows that this is no longer true for the spectra  $P(n)$  since the augmentation  $\epsilon$  is the Kronecker dual of the identity  $\text{id} : P(n) \rightarrow P(n)$ . We investigate this problem in section 3 and prove the following two theorems.

**Theorem 3.** *There is an antimultiplicative involution  $\Xi : P(n) \rightarrow P(n)$  which on Euler classes of complex line bundles  $\mathcal{L}$  is given by  $\mathbf{e}(\mathcal{L}) \mapsto \mathbf{e}(\mathcal{L}^{-1})$ .*

Let  $K(n)$  denote the  $n$ th Morava  $K$ -theory at  $p = 2$ . It inherits two multiplications from  $P(n)$  of which each is the opposite of the other. Let  $\text{Mult}^+$  (resp.  $\text{Mult}^-$ ) denote the set of all multiplicative (resp. antimultiplicative) automorphisms of  $K(n)$ . Then  $\text{Mult}^\pm := \text{Mult}^+ \cup \text{Mult}^-$  is a group. Recall from [Y] that the map  $\mathbf{Z} \ni k \mapsto [k]_{F_n}(x) \in \text{End}(F_n)$  extends to an isomorphism  $\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong \text{End}(F_n)$ , where  $F_n$  is the formal group law associated to  $K(n)$ ,  $\text{End}(F_n)$  is the endomorphism ring of  $F_n$  (considered as a formal group over the graded ring  $K(n)_*$ ) and  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  is the ring of 2-adic integers.

**Theorem 4.** *The canonical map  $\text{Mult}^\pm \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_n)$  is an isomorphism of groups.  $\text{Mult}^+$  (resp.  $\text{Mult}^-$ ) corresponds to those  $x \in \mathbf{Z}_2^*$  that are congruent to 1 (resp.  $-1$ ) modulo 4.*

This paper is a condensed version of my Diplomarbeit [N] which I wrote under the supervision of Professor R. Kultze. I want to take this opportunity to thank him for paying close attention to certain parts of that work. I also thank the Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes for support during my studies. Finally, my thanks also go to Andrey Lazarev and the referee for pointing out some minor inconsistencies.

## 2. PROOFS

Regard  $n$  as fixed and write  $v$  instead of  $v_n$ ,  $Q$  instead of  $Q_{n-1}$ . Choose once and for all an admissible multiplication  $m : P(n) \wedge P(n) \rightarrow P(n)$ . We will repeatedly make use of the following fact, mostly without explicitly mentioning it.

**Useful fact.** Left and right multiplication by  $v$ , denoted  $L_v$  and  $R_v$ , agree, that is we have  $m(v \wedge \text{id}) = m(\text{id} \wedge v)$  as maps  $P(n) \rightarrow P(n)$ .

*Proof.* Suppressing the coherent chain of identifications  $S \wedge P(n) \cong P(n) \cong P(n) \wedge S \cong S \wedge P(n)$  from the notation we can write  $m(v \wedge \text{id}) = mT(\text{id} \wedge v) = m(\text{id} \wedge v) + vm(Q \wedge Qv)$ . But  $Qv = 0$  since  $v$  is in the image of the canonical map  $BP_* \rightarrow P(n)_*$  and  $Q = \eta_{n-1}\partial_{n-1}$  annihilates this ( $\partial_{n-1}$  already does).  $\square$

The benefit of this observation is that we don't have to worry about the nonco-mutativity of the multiplication as long as one factor is  $v_n$ .

We can now prove Lemma 1. The proof is straightforward: just draw the relevant diagrams and check that they commute. This diagrammatic reasoning is, however, not very enlightening. (Such proofs were given in [N], and anybody who had a glimpse of that will agree instantly. This is especially true for the proof of Lemma 5 which would require at least A3 paper.) The simple facts behind it become much clearer when written out using fictitious elements of our spectra as placeholders as in the following

*Proof of Lemma 1.* Recall that the Pontrjagin product of  $x, y \in P(n)_*(P(n))$  is the composite of  $x \wedge y : S \cong S \wedge S \rightarrow P(n) \wedge P(n) \wedge P(n) \wedge P(n)$  with

$$P(n) \wedge P(n) \wedge P(n) \wedge P(n) \xrightarrow{\text{id} \wedge T \wedge \text{id}} P(n) \wedge P(n) \wedge P(n) \wedge P(n)$$

and

$$P(n) \wedge P(n) \wedge P(n) \wedge P(n) \xrightarrow{m \wedge m} P(n) \wedge P(n).$$

So  $\epsilon(xy) = \pi_*(m)(xy)$  is naturally given as a composite of  $x \wedge y : S \rightarrow P(n)^{\wedge 4}$  and a certain map  $\phi$  from  $P(n)^{\wedge 4}$  to  $P(n)$  which is made up of multiplications and transpositions only. Fictitious elements  $a, b, c, d \in P(n)$  can be used to keep track of these multiplications and transpositions; then, for example,  $\epsilon$  is given by  $(a, b) \mapsto ab$  and the Pontrjagin product of  $x = (a, b)$  and  $y = (c, d)$  is  $(ac, bd)$ . In this notation  $\phi$  becomes

$$P(n)^{\wedge 4} \ni (a, b, c, d) \mapsto (a, c, b, d) \mapsto (ac, bd) \mapsto acbd \in P(n).$$

We compare this with  $\epsilon(x)\epsilon(y)$ . This can be decomposed similarly as  $\psi(x \wedge y)$  with  $\psi : P(n)^{\wedge 4} \rightarrow P(n)$  given by

$$(a, b, c, d) \mapsto (ab, cd) \mapsto abcd.$$

Writing (1) as  $cb = bc + vQ(b)Q(c)$  we obtain

$$\phi(a, b, c, d) = \psi(a, b, c, d) + v\psi(a, Q(b), Q(c), d).$$

If one observes that  $(a, Q(b)) = Q_*(x)$  and  $(Q(c), d) = Q(y)$  this can be rephrased as saying that

$$(3) \quad \epsilon(xy) = \epsilon(x)\epsilon(y) + v_n\epsilon(Q_*(x))\epsilon(Q(y)).$$

This is (2) except that we have  $Q_*(x)$  instead of  $Q(x)$ . But we shall see later that  $Q_* : P(n)_*(P(n)) \rightarrow P(n)_*(P(n))$  and  $Q : P(n)_*(P(n)) \rightarrow P(n)_*(P(n))$  are identical, so this finishes the proof.  $\square$

The categorically minded reader will probably (and rightly so) frown on these "elements" since obviously their sole purpose is to hide some general nonsense facts about monoidal categories. I could not find a convenient reference, however, and it wouldn't really have improved the exposition.

Next we show how Lemma 1 afflicts the algebra structure of  $P(n)_*(P(n))$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Following the proof of Theorem 1.4 in [KW] up to the middle of page 199 one finds that the additive structure of  $P(n)_*(P(n))$  and enough of the multiplicative structure have been determined to leave open only the question whether  $a_{n-1}^2 = t_n$  or  $a_{n-1}^2 = t_n + v_n$ . It is shown that

$$a_{n-1}^2 = \begin{cases} t_n & \text{if } \epsilon(a_{n-1}^2) = 0, \\ t_n + v_n & \text{if } \epsilon(a_{n-1}^2) = v_n. \end{cases}$$

In Lemma 2.2 the authors also observed that  $Q_{n-1}(a_{n-1}) = 1$ , and a similar argument shows that  $Q_{n-1*}(a_{n-1}) = 1$ , too. From (3) it follows that

$$\epsilon(a_{n-1}^2) = \epsilon(a_{n-1})^2 + v_n \epsilon(Q_{n-1*}(a_{n-1})) \epsilon(Q_{n-1}(a_{n-1})) = v_n,$$

so that  $a_{n-1}^2 = t_n + v_n$  in  $P(n)_*(P(n))$ .

To prove the claim about the structure of  $P(n)_*(\overline{P(n)})$  we will first prove that

$$(4) \quad x \bar{*} y = x \star y + v_n Q_*(x) \star Q_*(y)$$

for  $x, y \in P(n)_*(P(n))$ . Here  $Q = Q_{n-1}$  and (just for this proof)  $\star$  (resp.  $\bar{*}$ ) denotes Pontrjagin multiplication in  $P(n)_*(P(n))$  (resp.  $P(n)_*(\overline{P(n)})$ ). This is easily accomplished using fictitious elements again. With  $x = (a, b)$ ,  $y = (c, d)$  we have

$$x \bar{*} y = (a, b) \bar{*} (c, d) = (ac, db), \quad x \star y = (a, b) \star (c, d) = (ac, bd).$$

Using (1) we get

$$(ac, db) = (ac, bd) + v_n(ac, Q(b)Q(d)) = x \star y + v_n Q_*(x) \star Q_*(y).$$

From this we get  $a_{n-1} \bar{*} a_{n-1} = t_n + v_n + v_n = t_n$  as claimed. (4) shows also that  $x \star y = x \bar{*} y$  whenever  $x$  or  $y$  lies in the subalgebra generated by  $a_0, \dots, a_{n-2}$  and the  $t_i$ ; since this subalgebra comes from  $P(n-1)_*(P(n-1))$  it is annihilated by  $Q$  and  $Q_*$ . So the rest of the multiplicative structure is not affected.  $\square$

Note that both  $Q : P(n)_*(P(n)) \rightarrow P(n)_*(P(n))$  and  $Q_* : P(n)_*(P(n)) \rightarrow P(n)_*(P(n))$  are derivations. In the proof just given we noted that they agree on the algebra generators of Theorem 2, so we obtain another

**Useful fact.**  $Q, Q_* : P(n)_*(P(n)) \rightarrow P(n)_*(P(n))$  are equal.

This has been used in the proof of Lemma 1 above and will be used henceforth without explicit reference.

To give a formula for  $\Delta(xy)$  we first have to recall the definition of the coproduct

$$\Delta : P(n)_*(P(n)) \rightarrow P(n)_*(P(n)) \otimes_{P(n)_*} P(n)_*(P(n)).$$

There are two ingredients: firstly the map

$$\bar{\Delta} : P(n)_*(P(n)) \cong \pi_*(P(n) \wedge S \wedge P(n)) \xrightarrow{\pi_*(\text{id} \wedge i \wedge \text{id})} \pi_*(P(n) \wedge P(n) \wedge P(n)),$$

where  $i : S \rightarrow P(n)$  is the unit. Secondly

$$\chi : P(n)_*(P(n)) \otimes_{P(n)_*} P(n)_*(P(n)) \rightarrow \pi_*(P(n) \wedge P(n) \wedge P(n))$$

which is given by  $x \otimes y \mapsto (\text{id} \wedge m \wedge \text{id})(x \wedge y)$  and which is an isomorphism since  $P(n)_*(P(n))$  is  $P(n)_*$ -flat.  $\Delta$  is defined to be  $\chi^{-1} \bar{\Delta}$ .

Recall that  $P(n)_*(P(n))$  is a bilateral  $P(n)_*$ -module, courtesy of the left and right unit maps  $\eta_L, \eta_R : P(n)_* \rightarrow P(n)_*(P(n))$ . The “ $\otimes$ ”s above are to be understood with respect to this bimodule structure. Luckily,  $v_n$  is invariant in  $P(n)_*$ , so we don’t need to discriminate between  $v_n x \otimes y, xv_n \otimes y, x \otimes v_n y$  and  $x \otimes yv_n$ .

**Lemma 5.** *For all  $x, y \in P(n)_*(P(n))$  we have*

$$\Delta(xy) = \Delta(x)\Delta(y) + v_n ([(\text{id} \otimes Q_{n-1})\Delta(x)][(Q_{n-1} \otimes \text{id})\Delta(y)]).$$

*Proof.* First note that there is an obvious algebra structure on  $\pi_*(P(n) \wedge P(n) \wedge P(n))$ . With elements  $(a, b, c)$  and  $(d, e, f)$  this is given by

$$(a, b, c) \cdot (d, e, f) = (ad, be, cf).$$

$\overline{\Delta}$  is given by  $(a, b) \mapsto (a, 1, b)$  so it is obviously multiplicative.

$\chi$ , however, is not multiplicative. Let  $x = (a, b) \otimes (c, d), y = (e, f) \otimes (g, h)$  be elements of  $P(n)_*(P(n)) \otimes_{P(n)_*} P(n)_*(P(n))$ . Then  $xy = (ae, bf) \otimes (cg, dh)$  and

$$\chi(xy) = (ae, bfcg, dh).$$

On the other hand  $\chi(x) = (a, bc, d), \chi(y) = (e, fg, h)$  so that

$$\chi(x)\chi(y) = (ae, bcfg, dh).$$

Using  $fc = cf + v_n Q(c)Q(f)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(xy) &= (ae, bfcg, dh) + (ae, bQ(c)v_n Q(f)g, dh) \\ (5) \quad &= \chi(x)\chi(y) + M_v \chi((\text{id} \otimes Q)x)\chi((Q_* \otimes \text{id})y). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used that  $(\text{id} \otimes Q)x = (a, b) \otimes (Q(c), d)$  and  $(Q_* \otimes \text{id})y = (e, Q(f)) \otimes (g, h)$ .  $M_v$  denotes multiplication by  $v_n$  in the middle.

Using (5) we can verify the formula for  $\Delta(xy)$  given in the lemma: we have to show that

$$\chi(\Delta(x)\Delta(y) + v_n ([(\text{id} \otimes Q)\Delta(x)][(Q_* \otimes \text{id})\Delta(y)]) = \overline{\Delta}(xy).$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\chi(\Delta(x)\Delta(y) + v_n ([(\text{id} \otimes Q)\Delta(x)][(Q_* \otimes \text{id})\Delta(y)]) \\ &= \chi(\Delta(x)\Delta(y)) + M_v \chi([(\text{id} \otimes Q)\Delta(x)][(Q_* \otimes \text{id})\Delta(y)]) \\ &= \chi(\Delta(x))\chi(\Delta(y)) \\ &+ M_v \chi([(\text{id} \otimes Q)\Delta(x)]) \chi([(Q_* \otimes \text{id})\Delta(y)]) \\ &+ M_v \chi([(\text{id} \otimes Q)\Delta(x)]) \chi([(Q_* \otimes \text{id})\Delta(y)]) \\ &+ M_v^2 \chi([(\text{id} \otimes Q)^2 \Delta(x)]) \chi([(Q_* \otimes \text{id})^2 \Delta(y)]) \\ &= \chi(\Delta(x))\chi(\Delta(y)) \quad (\text{since } Q^2 = Q_*^2 = 0) \\ &= \overline{\Delta}(x)\overline{\Delta}(y) \\ &= \overline{\Delta}(xy). \end{aligned}$$

□

Using the identities  $(Q \otimes \text{id})\Delta(x) = \Delta(Qx)$  and  $(\text{id} \otimes Q_*)\Delta(x) = \Delta(Q_*(x))$  one can check that Lemma 5 says that  $\Delta$  is an algebra homomorphism

$$P(n)_*(\overline{P(n)}) \rightarrow P(n)_*(P(n)) \otimes_{P(n)_*} P(n)_*(P(n)).$$

This observation might at least mnemonically be useful.

3. MULTIPLICATIVE AND ANTIMULTIPLICATIVE MAPS

To get at multiplicative or antimultiplicative maps  $P(n) \rightarrow P(n)$  or  $K(n) \rightarrow K(n)$  we need to be able to characterise them in terms of their Kronecker duals. The following lemma is a first step.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $E$  and  $X$  be ring spectra and suppose that the Kronecker homomorphism*

$$E^*(X \wedge X) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{E_*}(E_*(X \wedge X), E^*)$$

*is an isomorphism. Assume that  $E_*(X)$  is a flat  $E_*$ -module. Then a  $\theta : X \rightarrow E$  is multiplicative iff*

$$(6) \quad \epsilon(\theta_*(xy)) = \epsilon(\theta_*(x)\theta_*(y))$$

*holds for all  $x, y \in E_*(X)$ .*

*Proof.*  $\theta$  is multiplicative iff  $\theta m_X = m_E(\theta \wedge \theta)$ . Both are maps from  $X \wedge X$  to  $E$ , so by Kronecker duality this is equivalent to

$$\epsilon((\theta m_X)_*(z)) = \epsilon((m_E(\theta \wedge \theta))_*(z))$$

for all  $z \in E_*(X \wedge X)$ . Since  $E_*(X)$  is a flat  $E_*$ -module, the exterior homology product  $\Delta : E_*(X) \otimes_{E_*} E_*(X) \rightarrow E_*(X \wedge X)$  is an isomorphism. Hence we can assume that  $z = x \Delta y$  for  $x, y \in E_*(X)$ . The lemma follows because  $\theta_*(x \Delta y) = \theta_*(x) \Delta \theta_*(y)$ ,  $xy = (m_X)_*(x \Delta y)$  and  $\theta_*(x)\theta_*(y) = (m_E)_*(\theta_*(x) \Delta \theta_*(y))$ .  $\square$

For the  $P(n)$  this gives

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $\theta : P(n) \rightarrow P(n)$  be any map and denote its Kronecker dual by  $\bar{\theta}$ . Then  $\theta$  is multiplicative iff*

$$\bar{\theta} : P(n)_*(\overline{P(n)}) \rightarrow P(n)_*$$

*is an algebra homomorphism. It is antimultiplicative iff*

$$\bar{\theta} : P(n)_*(P(n)) \rightarrow P(n)_*$$

*is an algebra homomorphism.*

*Proof.* We prove the assertion about multiplicative maps only, the antimultiplicative case being completely analogous.

According to Lemma 6  $\theta$  is multiplicative iff (6) holds. Using (2) this may be rewritten

$$(7) \quad \epsilon(\theta_*(xy)) = \epsilon(\theta_*(x))\epsilon(\theta_*(y)) + v_n\epsilon(Q\theta_*(x))\epsilon(Q\theta_*(y)).$$

Let  $\bar{\times}$  denote the multiplication in  $P(n)_*(\overline{P(n)})$ . We recall from the proof of Theorem 2 that  $x \bar{\times} y = xy + v_nQ(x)Q(y)$ . So  $\bar{\theta} : P(n)_*(\overline{P(n)}) \rightarrow P(n)_*$  is multiplicative iff

$$(8) \quad \epsilon(\theta_*(xy + v_nQ(x)Q(y))) = \epsilon(\theta_*(x))\epsilon(\theta_*(y)).$$

From both (7) and (8) we can conclude that

$$(9) \quad \epsilon(\theta_*(x))\epsilon(\theta_*(y)) = \epsilon(\theta_*(xy)) \quad \text{if } Qx = 0 \text{ or } Qy = 0.$$

So to show the equivalence of (7) and (8) we may assume (9). But from (9) it follows easily that

$$v_n\epsilon(Q\theta_*(x))\epsilon(Q\theta_*(y)) = v_n\epsilon(\theta_*(Q(x)Q(y))),$$

which is the difference between (7) and (8). (Use that  $QQ = 0$  and  $Q\theta_* = \theta_*Q$ .)  $\square$

To prove Theorems 3 and 4 we have to recall the relation of the  $P(n)$  to formal group laws. [R1], especially Appendix 2, is a good reference for most of this material.

$(P(n)_*, P(n)_*(BP))$  inherits from  $(BP_*, BP_*(BP))$  an Hopf algebroid structure. Recall that  $P(n)_*(BP)$  is the  $P(n)_*$ -subalgebra of  $P(n)_*(P(n))$  generated by the  $t_i$ . For a ring  $R$  of characteristic 2 the set of ring homomorphisms  $P(n)_* \rightarrow R$  may naturally be identified with the set  $\mathcal{F}G_n(R)$  of all 2-typical formal group laws of height  $\geq n$  over  $R$ . Similarly, the ring  $P(n)_*(BP)$  corepresents the set  $\mathcal{S}I_n(R)$  of triples  $(F, f, G)$  with  $F, G \in \mathcal{F}G_n(R)$  and  $f : G \rightarrow F$  a strict isomorphism. Given  $\phi : P(n)_*(BP) \rightarrow R$  the triple  $(F, f, G)$  is obtained as follows:  $F$  (resp.  $G$ ) is the formal group law classified by  $\phi\eta_L$  (resp.  $\phi\eta_R$ ). The isomorphism  $f(x)$  is then defined by

$$f^{-1}(x) = x +_F \sum_{i \geq 1}^F \phi(t_i)x^{2^i}.$$

*Proof of Theorem 3.* We are particularly interested here in the natural transformation  $\mathcal{F}G_n(R) \ni F \mapsto (F, [-1]_F(x), F) \in \mathcal{S}I_n(R)$ . This is induced by a ring homomorphism  $\delta_n : P(n)_*(BP) \rightarrow P(n)_*$ . These  $\delta_n$  are obviously compatible as  $n$  varies and, obviously again,  $\delta_n\eta_L = \delta_n\eta_R = \text{id}$ , so  $\delta_n$  is  $P(n)_*$ -linear. If we can extend this to an algebra map

$$\overline{\delta}_n : P(n)_*(P(n)) \rightarrow P(n)_*$$

its Kronecker dual  $\Xi : P(n) \rightarrow P(n)$  will be antimultiplicative by Lemma 7 and will by construction have the stated effect on Euler classes.

We don't have much choice in extending  $\delta_n$ : since  $|a_i|$  is odd for every  $i$  and  $P(n)_*$  does not have nonzero odd dimensional elements we have to let  $\overline{\delta}_n(a_i) = 0$ , so the required multiplicativity implies that there is at most one such extension. All we have to do is to check that this is consistent with the relations  $a_i^2 = t_{i+1}$ ,  $0 \leq i < n - 1$  and  $a_{n-1}^2 = t_n + v_n$ . So we have to show that  $\delta_n(t_i) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$  and that  $\delta_n(t_n) = v_n$ .

The first requirement follows easily from dimensional considerations. To see that  $\delta_n(t_n) = v_n$  recall that with Araki's  $v_k$  we have

$$[2]_F(x) = 2x +_F v_1x^2 +_F v_2x^4 +_F \cdots +_F v_kx^{2^k} +_F \cdots$$

for the formal group law  $F$  of  $BP$ . For the formal group  $P_n$  of  $P(n)$  this gives  $[2]_{P_n}(x) = v_nx^{2^n} +$  higher terms. Comparing coefficients in  $[-1]_{P_n}(x) +_{P_n} [2]_{P_n}(x) = x$  then gives the result.

That  $\Xi$  is an involution is quite clear: since  $\Xi^2$  is multiplicative its Kronecker dual  $\overline{\tau}$  is an algebra homomorphism  $P(n)_*(\overline{P(n)}) \rightarrow P(n)_*$ . Since it is the identity on Euler classes of complex line bundles its restriction to  $P(n)_*(BP)$  agrees with  $\epsilon$ . Since  $\overline{\tau}$  and  $\epsilon$  both are multiplicative extensions of this restriction, uniqueness implies  $\overline{\tau} = \epsilon$ , i.e.  $\Xi^2 = \text{id}$ .  $\square$

To prove Theorem 4 we first have to carry over some of the results on  $P(n)$  to  $K(n)$ . Let  $K(n)_* = \mathbf{F}_2[v_n, v_n^{-1}]$  as a module over  $P(n)_*$ . Then  $X \mapsto K(n)_* \otimes_{P(n)_*} P(n)_*(X) =: K(n)_*(X)$  is a homology theory on the stable homotopy category and  $X \mapsto \text{Hom}_{K(n)_*}(K(n)_*(X), K(n)_*)$  a cohomology theory, and both are represented by the same spectrum  $K(n)$ . The two multiplications  $m$  and  $\overline{m}$  on  $P(n)$  give similar

ring spectrum structures on  $K(n)$  that will be denoted by the same symbols. The Bockstein  $Q_{n-1}$ , too, carries over to  $K(n)$  and (1) still holds. If we denote the augmentation of  $K(n)_*(K(n))$  again by  $\epsilon$  then (2) continues to hold, too. Let  $\overline{K(n)}$  have the obvious meaning.

To get at the structure of  $K(n)_*(K(n))$  and  $K(n)_*(\overline{K(n)})$  let

$$\Sigma_n = K(n)_* \otimes_{P(n)_*} P(n)_*(BP) \otimes_{P(n)_*} K(n)_*$$

be the  $n$ th Morava stabiliser algebra (cf. [R1], ch. 6). The following lemma follows easily from Theorem 2 and the definitions.

**Lemma 8.** *We have*

$$K(n)_*(K(n)) = \Sigma_n[a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}]$$

with relations  $a_i^2 = t_{i+1} + v_{i+1}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$  and

$$K(n)_*(\overline{K(n)}) = \Sigma_n[a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}]$$

with relations  $a_i^2 = t_{i+1}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . □

Lemma 7 carries over, too, as does its proof.

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $\theta : K(n) \rightarrow K(n)$  be any map and denote its Kronecker dual by  $\bar{\theta}$ . Then  $\theta$  is multiplicative iff*

$$\bar{\theta} : K(n)_*(\overline{K(n)}) \rightarrow K(n)_*$$

*is an algebra homomorphism. It is antimultiplicative iff*

$$\bar{\theta} : K(n)_*(K(n)) \rightarrow K(n)_*.$$

*is an algebra homomorphism.* □

Finally recall (and there will be no more recollections, I promise) that graded ring homomorphisms  $\Sigma_n \rightarrow K(n)_*$  classify strict graded automorphisms of the canonical formal group law  $F_n$  over  $K(n)_*$  and that the group  $\text{Aut}(F_n)$  of such automorphisms is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}_2^*$ , the isomorphism being given by  $\mathbf{Z}_2^* \ni k \mapsto [k]_{F_n}(x) \in \text{Aut}(F_n)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 4.* For each  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(F_n)$ ,  $\phi : \Sigma_n \rightarrow K(n)_*$ , we have to provide a multiplicative extension to either  $K(n)_*(K(n)) \rightarrow K(n)_*$  or  $K(n)_*(\overline{K(n)}) \rightarrow K(n)_*$ . As in the proof of Theorem 3, an extension to the former will exist (and be unique) iff  $\phi(t_i) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$  and  $\phi(t_n) = v_n$ . The first condition is automatically satisfied for dimensional reasons. Similarly, an extension to the latter exists iff  $\phi(t_n) = 0$ . Since 0 and  $v_n$  are the only elements in  $K(n)_*$  of degree  $|t_n|$  exactly one of these conditions is fulfilled. (We leave it to the interested reader to verify that  $\phi(t_n)$  depends on the congruence class of  $\phi$  modulo 4 in the way claimed.) Thus we obtain a well-defined map

$$\text{Aut}(F_n) \rightarrow \text{Mult}^\pm$$

which is an inverse to the geometrically defined map

$$\text{Mult}^\pm \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_n)$$

that gives the effect on Euler classes. Since the latter is obviously a group homomorphism, we are done. □



## REFERENCES

- [KW] R. Kultze and U. Würgler, *A note on the algebra  $P(n)_*(P(n))$  for the prime 2*, Manuscripta Math. 57 (1987), 195-203 MR **88e**:55018
- [M] O. K. Mironov, *Multiplications in cobordism theories with singularities and Steenrod – tom Dieck operations*, Math. USSR-IZV 13, (1979), 89-106 MR **80d**:55005
- [N] C. Nassau, *Eine nichtgeometrische Konstruktion der Spektren  $P(n)$ , Multiplikative und antimultiplikative Automorphismen von  $K(n)$* , Diplomarbeit, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, October 1995
- [R1] D. Ravenel, *Complex cobordism and stable homotopy groups of spheres*, Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, 1986 MR **87j**:55003
- [R2] D. Ravenel, *Nilpotence and periodicity in stable homotopy theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies 128, Princeton University Press, 1992 MR **94b**:55015
- [W1] U. Würgler, *Commutative ring spectra of characteristic 2*, Comment. Math. Helvetici 61 (1986), 33-45 MR **87i**:55008
- [W2] U. Würgler, *Morava  $K$ -theories: A survey in Algebraic Topology, Poznań 1989 Proceedings*, Springer Lecture Notes 1474 (1991), 111-138 MR **92j**:55007
- [Y] N. Yagita, *On the Steenrod algebra of Morava  $K$ -theory*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 22 (1980), 423-438 MR **82f**:55027

JOHANN WOLFGANG GOETHE-UNIVERSITÄT FRANKFURT, FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, ROBERT  
MAYER STRASSE 6-8, 60054 FRANKFURT, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* `nassau@math.uni-frankfurt.de`