PRINTED IN DENMARK

Acta Cryst. (1976). B32, 1398

The Structure of Aliphatic Amine Adducts of Uranyl Acetylacetonate. I. Dioxobis-(2,4-pentanedionato)mono-(2-N-methylaminopentan-4-one)uranium(VI)

BY JOHN M. HAIGH

School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Rhodes University, Grahamstown, South Africa

AND LUIGI R. NASSIMBENI, RICHARD A. PAUPTIT, ALLEN L. RODGERS AND GEORGE M. SHELDRICK* Department of Physical Chemistry, University of Cape Town, South Africa

(Received 15 September 1975; accepted 22 October 1975)

Crystals of the title compound are monoclinic with a=8.314 (5), b=22.723 (9), c=12.589 (6) Å, $\beta=123.0$ (2)°, Z=4, space group $P2_1/c$. The structure was determined by Patterson and Fourier methods and refined by full-matrix least squares to a final R of 0.030 for 2043 independent reflexions. The U atom has pentagonal bipyramidal coordination and the *N*-methylacetylacetoneamine is bonded to U via O. There are two intramolecular N-H···O hydrogen bonds which govern the geometry of the adduct molecule.

Introduction

The reaction of uranyl nitrate with acetylacetone (HAA) in the presence of ammonia and a variety of primary and secondary amines has recently been reinvestigated (Haigh & Thornton, 1971). It was found that the product of the reaction of uranyl nitrate with acetylacetone in the presence of methylamine could be formulated as the β -ketoamine adduct of uranylacetylacetonate, $UO_2(AA)_2[CH_3-CO-CH=C(CH_3)-$ NHCH_3]. The stoichiometry of the complex was deduced from IR and PMR measurements, and it was suggested that the structure was probably analogous to that established for the hydrate, $UO_2(AA)_2(H_2O)$, (Frasson, Bombieri & Panattoni, 1966), which is pentagonal bipyramidal. It was suggested (Haigh & Thornton, 1971), that the adduct molecule would be bonded to U via the O atom, but no suggestions were made concerning the spatial arrangements of the three ring systems present in the complex. We are under-taking the structural determination of a series of compounds of this type to determine whether the adduct is bonded through O or N and to investigate the hydrogen bonding. The methylamine derivative was chosen first due to its crystalline excellence.

Experimental

The compound was prepared as previously described (Haigh & Thornton, 1971).

A single crystal was ground to a sphere of radius 0.14 mm and the lattice constants were obtained from a least-squares analysis of the settings of 25 reflexions measured on a four-circle diffractometer with Mo $K\alpha$

^{*} Visiting Scholar at the University of Cape Town, permanent address: University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge, CB2 1EW, England.

Table 1. Crystal data

Molecular formula	C ₁₆ H ₂₅ NO ₇ U
M.W.	581
Space group	$P2_1/c$ (monoclinic, 2nd setting)
a = 8.314(5) A	
b = 22.723(9)	$D_m = 1.84 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$
c = 12.589(6)	$D_c = 1.94$ for $Z = 4$
$\beta = 123.0 \ (2)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 103.59 \text{ cm}^{-1}$
$V = 1993 \cdot 59 \text{ Å}^3$	F(000) = 1104

radiation. The crystal data are listed in Table 1. The density was measured by flotation in a mixture of dijodomethane and *m*-xylene.

Intensities were collected on a Philips PW 1100 computer-controlled four-circle diffractometer operating in the ω -scan mode (scan width $0.9^{\circ}\theta$, scan speed $0.03^{\circ}\theta \text{ s}^{-1}$). With graphite-monochromated Mo Ka radiation ($\lambda = 0.7107$ Å), 2528 reflexions up to $2\theta =$ 44° were measured. Throughout the data collection, three reference reflexions were recorded after every 56 measured reflexions. They remained constant to within $\pm 4\%$. 147 reflexions were rejected as being systematically absent or space-group equivalent. With the criterion $F_{rel} < 2.5\sigma(F_{rel})$ for an observed reflexion, a further 338 reflexions were omitted as unobserved, leaving 2043 unique reflexions which were employed in the structural determination. The data were corrected for Lorentz-polarization effects. Absorption corrections were carried out with A^* for a sphere with $\mu R =$ 1.45 (International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, 1967).

Solution and refinement of the structure

The U atom was located from a Patterson map. A difference map was calculated with the weighting scheme $w = \exp \left[-g \sin^2 \theta / \lambda^2 s^2\right]$, in which s is the value of $\sin \theta / \lambda$ for which the weight is half that at $\theta = 0$ (Sheldrick, 1976). With a value of s = 0.5 the difference map yielded the positions of all the non-hydrogen atoms. Full-matrix least-squares refinement (SHEL-X program system; Sheldrick, 1976) with U anisotropic and the remaining non-hydrogen atoms isotropic, yielded an R of 0.050. At this stage a difference map showed the position of some of the H atoms. The H atoms were constrained to be 1.08 Å from their corresponding C atoms, their positions being dictated by the geometry of the molecule. The methyl H were refined as rigid

groups and, because the geometry of the β -ketoamine showed that N(1) is trigonal planar, H(1) was appropriately located and constrained to ride at 1.08 Å from N(1).

The final full-matrix least-squares refinement was carried out with the U and the seven O atoms anisotropic, the remaining non-hydrogen atoms having individual isotropic temperature factors. The isotropic temperature factors of the H atoms were refined as two single parameters, one for the methine and amine hydrogens [H(1), H(131), H(031), H(081)] and the other for the methyl H atoms. These refined to U=0.108and 0.122 Å² respectively. This technique of constrained least-squares refinement, with rigid groups, bond length constraints and location and refinement of H atoms, is discussed by Sheldrick (1976). The refinement converged to $R_w = \sum w^{1/2} |F_o - F_c| / \sum w^{1/2} |F_o|$ =0.028 and R = 0.030 with $w = 1/\sigma^2$. Table 2 shows an analysis of variance computed after the final cycle. As a check of the correctness of the structure a difference map was computed. This had no peaks >0.25 e Å⁻³.

Table 3. Fractional atomic coordinates of the heavy atoms (×10⁴)

	x	У	Z
U	3586 (0)	1364 (0)	1819 (0)
O(1)	5084 (9)	1981 (3)	2342 (6)
O(2)	2083 (9)	746 (3)	1277 (6)
O(3)	2704 (9)	1576 (3)	3291 (5)
O(4)	863 (9)	1975 (3)	851 (5)
O(5)	2764 (9)	1558 (3)	-260(5)
O(6)	5752 (8)	876 (3)	1475 (5)
O(7)	5784 (8)	899 (3)	3807 (5)
C(1)	924 (18)	1578 (6)	4254 (11)
C(2)	1061 (13)	1676 (4)	3123 (8)
C(3)	- 535 (13)	1882 (4)	2038 (8)
C(4)	- 524 (12)	2053 (4)	987 (8)
C(5)	-2212(13)	2381 (4)	-67 (8)
C(6)	2214 (15)	1665 (5)	-2275 (9)
C(7)	3345 (12)	1401 (4)	-952 (8)
C(8)	4800 (12)	1032 (4)	-619 (8)
C(9)	6001 (12)	787 (4)	597 (8)
C(10)	7646 (13)	409 (4)	870 (9)
C(11)	6947 (15)	-45(5)	3753 (10)
C(12)	6686 (13)	452 (4)	4426 (8)
C(13)	7473 (13)	392 (4)	5731 (9)
C(14)	7350 (12)	818 (4)	6462 (8)
C(15)	8390 (15)	725 (5)	7897 (9)
C(16)	6187 (16)	1777 (5)	6704 (10)
N(1)	6363 (10)	1294 (3)	5994 (7)

Table 2. Analysis of variance

0 . 0

<i>a</i>)	As a funct	ion of si	n 0									
	$\sin \theta$	0.00-0.	17-0.	22-0.	25-0.	27-0.	30-0.	32-0.	33-0-	35-0-	36-0-3	8
	N	212	240	198	173	282	235	108	265	126	204	
	V	332	265	232	246	228	197	185	189	209	173	
(b)	As a funct	ion of ()	$F/F_{\rm max}$	$(x)^{1/2}$								
	$(F/F_{\rm max})^{1/2}$	0.00-0.	22-0.	27-0.	30-0.	34-0.	39-0.	42-0-	46-0.	51-0.	59-1.00	0
	N	211	243	161	203	248	162	212	202	207	194	
	V	215	201	236	241	236	236	221	219	238	277	

Table 4. Temperature factors of the heavy atoms $(Å^2 \times 10^3)$

U_{11}	U_{22}	U_{33}	U_{23}	U_{13}	U_{12}
46 (0)	49 (0)	32 (0)	0 (0)	23 (0)	4 (0)
81 (5)	62 (5)	51 (4)	3 (3)	24 (4)	-7(4)
68 (4)	70 (5)	72 (4)	0 (4)	38 (4)	-7(4)
78 (5)	108 (6)	45 (4)	21 (4)	38 (4)	50 (4)
73 (4)	88 (5)	44 (4)	18 (3)	37 (3)	30 (4)
76 (4)	116 (6)	49 (4)	19 (4)	44 (4)	38 (4)
61 (4)	91 (5)	46 (3)	12 (3)	36 (3)	25 (4)
71 (4)	56 (4)	43 (3)	12 (3)	29 (3)	30 (3)
U		l	IJ		U
94 (4)	C(7)	52	(2)	C(13)	63 (3)
58 (2)	C(8)	55	(2)	C(14)	55 (2)
54 (2)	C(9)	52	(2)	C(15)	79 (3)
51 (2)	C(10) 63	(3)	C(16)	88 (3)
62 (3)	C(11)) 79	(3)	N(1)	59 (2)
75 (3)	C(12) 55	(2)		
	$U_{11} \\ 46 (0) \\ 81 (5) \\ 68 (4) \\ 78 (5) \\ 73 (4) \\ 76 (4) \\ 61 (4) \\ 71 (4) \\ U \\ 94 (4) \\ 58 (2) \\ 54 (2) \\ 54 (2) \\ 51 (2) \\ 62 (3) \\ 75 (3) \\ \end{cases}$	$\begin{array}{cccc} U_{11} & U_{22} \\ 46 & (0) & 49 & (0) \\ 81 & (5) & 62 & (5) \\ 68 & (4) & 70 & (5) \\ 78 & (5) & 108 & (6) \\ 73 & (4) & 88 & (5) \\ 76 & (4) & 116 & (6) \\ 61 & (4) & 91 & (5) \\ 71 & (4) & 56 & (4) \\ U \\ \hline \\ 94 & (4) & C(7) \\ 58 & (2) & C(8) \\ 54 & (2) & C(9) \\ 51 & (2) & C(10) \\ 62 & (3) & C(11) \\ 75 & (3) & C(12) \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 5. Fractional atomic coordinates of the hydrogen atoms $(\times 10^3)$

	x	у	Z
H(1)	558 (1)	134 (0)	498 (1)
H(011)	-51(2)	150 (1)	402 (1)
H(012)	154 (2)	195 (1)	490 (1)
H(013)	179 (2)	119 (1)	470 (1)
H(031)	-186(1)	192 (0)	200 (1)
H(051)	-348(1)	231 (0)	-5(1)
H(052)	-250(1)	226 (0)	-99(1)
H(053)	-181(1)	284 (0)	11 (1)
H(061)	267 (2)	155 (1)	-290(1)
H(062)	222 (2)	214 (1)	-219(1)
H(063)	78 (2)	150 (1)	-266(1)
H(081)	508 (1)	91 (0)	-134(1)
H(101)	771 (1)	37 (0)	4 (1)
H(102)	744 (1)	-2(0)	114 (1)
H(103)	897 (1)	60 (0)	164 (1)
H(111)	778 (2)	-44(1)	419 (1)
H(112)	741 (2)	16(1)	319(1)
H(113)	545 (2)	-16(1)	316 (1)
H(131)	822 (1)	-1(0)	619 (1)
H(151)	889 (2)	28 (1)	810(1)
H(152)	745 (2)	80 (1)	822 (1)
H(153)	960 (2)	102 (1)	838 (1)
H(161)	531 (2)	214 (1)	611 (1)
H(162)	764 (2)	193 (1)	734 (1)
H(163)	563 (2)	162 (1)	725 (1)

Tables 3, 4 and 5 show the final atomic coordinates and temperature factors.*

Description of the structure and discussion

A perspective view of the molecule with the numbering is shown in Fig. 1. The β -ketoamine coordinates through O, and the U atom exhibits pentagonal bipyramidal coordination in agreement with prediction (Haigh & Thornton, 1971). The principal bond lengths and angles are shown in Tables 6 and 7. The coordination geometry round U closely resembles that found in other pentagonal bipyramidal uranyl compounds. The apical U–O distances (mean 1.75 Å) are, as expected, considerably shorter than the equatorial (mean 2.37 Å). An interesting feature of the complex is the strong hydrogen bond N(1)–H(1)···O(7), with H(1)···O(7) at 1.87 Å. It is because of this hydrogen bond that the β -ketoamine adopts a ring-like structure and in fact displays pseudo-aromaticity as evidenced by its planarity. It is also of interest to note a second, weaker hydrogen bond N(1)–H(1)···O(3), with H(1)···O(3) at 2.23 Å. It is probably due to the presence of this bond that the β -ketoamine is situated only at a slight angle (32.0°) to the plane defined by the five equatorial O atoms.

Table 6. Bond lengths (Å)

U0(1)	1.748 (6)	C(2) - C(3)	1.37 (1)
U	1.751 (6)	C(3) - C(4)	1.38 (1)
U	2.385 (5)	C(4) - C(5)	1.50 (1)
UO(4)	2.351(5)	C(6) - C(7)	1.52 (1)
UO(5)	2.358 (5)	C(7) - C(8)	1.34 (1)
UO(6)	2.347 (5)	C(8)-C(9)	1.41(1)
UO(7)	2.395 (5)	C(9) - C(10)	1.49 (1)
O(3) - C(2)	1.283(9)	C(11) - C(12)	1.50(1)
O(4) - C(4)	1.266(8)	C(12) - C(13)	1.41(1)
O(5) - C(7)	1.256 (8)	C(13) - C(14)	1.38 (1)
O(6) - C(9)	1.248 (8)	C(14) - C(15)	1.53 (1)
O(7) - C(12)	1.250 (9)	C(14) - N(1)	1.289 (9)
C(1) - C(2)	1.51 (1)	N(1)C(16)	1.47 (1)







Fig. 2. Molecule viewed along O(3)–U–O(6) bisector. H atoms have been omitted.

^{*} A list of structure factors has been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 31475 (14 pp., 1 microfiche). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 13 White Friars, Chester CH1 1NZ, England.

Table 7. Bond angles (°)

O(1)-UO(2)	79.2 (3)	UO(5)C(7)	136.8 (5)
O(1)-UO(3)	90.2 (3)	O(5) - C(7) - C(8)	125.7 (7)
O(1)-UO(4)	90.5 (2)	O(5)C(7)C(6)	113.9 (7)
O(1)-U-O(5)	89.1 (2)	C(8)-C(7)-C(6)	120.3 (7)
O(1)-UO(6)	89.3 (2)	C(7) - C(8) - C(9)	124.9 (8)
O(1)-UO(7)	88.8 (2)	C(8)-C(9)-O(6)	122.5 (7)
O(2)-U-O(3)	90.5 (2)	C(8) - C(9) - C(10)	119.8 (7)
O(2)-UO(4)	89.4 (2)	O(6) - C(9) - C(10)	117.7 (7)
O(2)-U-O(5)	90.2 (2)	C(9)-O(6)-U	139.0 (5)
O(2)-UO(6)	90.3 (2)		
O(2)-UO(7)	91.7 (2)	UO(7)C(12)	150.1 (5)
		O(7) - C(12) - C(11)	118.8 (7)
O(3)-UO(4)	70.5 (2)	O(7) - C(12) - C(13)	123.1 (8)
O(4)-UO(5)	72.6 (2)	C(13)-C(12)-C(11)	118.1 (8)
O(5)-UO(6)	70.8 (2)	C(12)-C(13)-C(14)	123.8 (8)
O(6)-UO(7)	76.0 (2)	C(13)-C(14)-N(1)	123.0 (8)
O(7)-UO(3)	70.1 (2)	C(13)-C(14)-C(15)	119.3 (8)
		N(1)-C(14)-C(15)	117.7 (7)
U - O(3) - C(2)	131.2 (5)	C(14)-N(1)C(16)	126.6 (7)
O(3) - C(2) - C(3)	126.3 (8)	101 101 1	
O(3)-C(2)-C(1)	116.1 (8)		
C(3)-C(2)-C(1)	117.6 (8)		
C(2)-C(3)-C(4)	122.8 (8)		
C(3)-C(4)-O(4)	124.8 (7)		
C(3)-C(4)-C(5)	119.6 (7)		
O(4) - C(4) - C(5)	115.5 (7)		
C(4)-O(4)-U	134.7 (5)		

The hydrogen bond data are listed in Table 8. Table 9 gives the equations of selected least-squares planes and interplanar angles.

Table 8. Hydrogen bonding

N(1)—H(1)	1.08 Å	H(1)O(3)	2.23 Å
H(1)—O(7)	1.87 Å	N(1)H(1)-O(3)	142·3°
N(1)H(1)-O(7)	128·8°	$N(1) \cdots O(3)$	3.15 Å
$N(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(7)$	2.68 Å		

Fig 2 views the complex along the bisector of the O(3)–U–O(6) angle, with the H atoms omitted for clarity, and shows that one acetylacetone ring is folded about an axis through its O atoms (angle between planes 2 and 5 is $23 \cdot 0^\circ$). The corresponding angle in the other ring is much smaller (angle between planes 3 and 6 is $3 \cdot 4^\circ$).

All calculations were carried out on a UNIVAC 1106 computer at the University of Cape Town.

We thank the CSIR (Pretoria) for the diffractometer data collection and the CSIR and the University of Cape Town for research grants.

Table 9. Least-squares planes

The equations of the planes are expressed in orthogonalized space as lX+mY+nZ=P. *d* is the perpendicular distance (Å) from each atom to the plane. Atoms marked (*) were not included in the least-squares calculation.

Plane 1	(4.123)X	+(18.397)	' + (-0.090).	Z = 3.987	
	d		d		d
U(1) O(3)	-0.015 - 0.002	O(4) O(5)	-0.006 0.021	O(6) O(7)	$-0.017 \\ 0.018$
Plane 2	(1.021).	X + (21.134)	Y + (2.811)Z	z = 4.524	
	d		d		d
U(1)* O(3) O(4)	-0.764 0.008 -0.023	C(1)* C(2) C(3)	$0.101 \\ 0.004 \\ -0.028$	C(4) C(5)*	0·039 0·264
Plane 3	(4·484)X	(+(17.953))	(-0.626)	Z = 4.059	
	d		d		d
U(1)* O(5) O(6)	-0.117 - 0.007 - 0.001	C(6)* C(7) C(8)	0.065 0.016 -0.016	C(9) C(10)*	0.008 0.048
Plane 4	(7·323)X	(+(10.046))	(-4.258)	Z = 3.460	
	d		d		d
U(1)* O(7) C(11) C(12)	$- \begin{array}{c} 0.239 \\ 0.057 \\ - 0.016 \\ 0.005 \end{array}$	C(13) C(14) C(15)	-0.036 -0.008 0.049	C(16) N(1) H(1)*	0.001 - 0.053 - 0.143
Plane 5	(4.166) X	(+(18·343))	(-0.147)	Z = 3.969	
	d		d		d
U(1)	0.000	O(3)	0.000	O(4)	0.000
Plane 6	(4.126).	X + (18.253)	Y + (0.068)Z	z = 3.982	
	d		d		d
U(1)	0.000	O(5)	0.000	O(6)	0.000

Angles between normals to planes (°)

Plane 1 to plane	4	32.0
Plane 2 to plane	5	23.0
Plane 3 to plane	6	3.4

References

FRASSON, E., BOMBIERI, G. & PANATTONI, C. (1966). Coord. Chem. Rev. 1, 145–150.

HAIGH, J. M. & THORNTON, D. A. (1971). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 33, 1787–1797.

International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1967). Vol. II. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.

SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). To be published.

1401