The snake family Psammophiidae (Reptilia: Serpentes): Phylogenetics and species delimitation in the African sand snakes (*Psammophis* Boie, 1825) and allied genera

Christopher M.R., Nigel P. Barker, Martin H. Villet, Donald G. Broadley and William R. Branch

Abstract

This study constitutes the first evolutionary investigation of the snake family Psammophiidae—the most widespread, most clearly defined, yet perhaps the taxonomically most problematic of Africa's family-level snake lineages. Little is known of psammophiid evolutionary relationships, and the type genus *Psammophis* is one of the largest and taxonomically most complex of the African snake genera. Our aims were to reconstruct psammophild phylogenetic relationships and to improve characterisation of species boundaries in problematic *Psammophis* species complexes. We used approximately 2500 bases of DNA sequence from the mitochondrial and nuclear genomes, and 114 terminals covering all psammophild genera and incorporating approximately 75% of recognised species and subspecies. Phylogenetic reconstructions were conducted primarily in a Bayesian framework and we used the Wiens/Penkrot protocol to aid species delimitation. *Rhamphiophis* is diphyletic, with *Rhamphiophis acutus* emerging sister to *Psammophylax*. Consequently we transfer the three subspecies of Rhamphiophis acutus to the genus Psammophylax. The monotypic genus Dipsina is sister to Psammophis. The two species of Dromophis occupy divergent positions deeply nested within Psammophis, and we therefore relegate Dromophis to the synonymy of Psammophis. Our results allow division of the taxonomically problematic *Psammophis* 'sibilans' species complex into two monophyletic entities, provisionally named the 'phillipsii' and 'subtaeniatus' complexes. Within these two clades we found support for the status of many existing species, but not for a distinction between *P.p. phillipsii* and *P. mossambicus*. Additionally, P. cf. phillipsii occidentalis deserves species status as the sister taxon of P. brevirostris.

1. Introduction

Psammophiidae Bourgeois, 1968 occurs throughout Africa, the Middle East, Madagascar, southern Europe and south-central Asia, and currently includes eight genera and about 50 extant species (Table 1), of which around 30 belong to the type genus *Psammophis* Boie, 1825. Other genera include *Dipsina* Jan, 1863 (one species), *Dromophis* Peters, 1869 (two species), *Hemirhagerrhis* Boettger, 1893 (four species), *Malpolon* Fitzinger, 1826 (three species), *Mimophis* Günther, 1868 (one species), *Psammophylax* Fitzinger, 1843 (four species), and *Rhamphiophis* Peters, 1854 (five species). Monophyly of the family has been demonstrated in numerous phylogenetic studies based on immunological data (Cadle, 1994) and mitochondrial DNA sequences ([Gravlund, 2001], [Vidal and Hedges, 2002], [Kelly et al., 2003] and [Nagy et al., 2003]). Additionally, Psammophiidae is defined by several morphological synapomorphies; primarily the vestigial nature of the male genitalia (hemipenes), which are short, thin, and devoid of the ornamentation characteristic of the hemipenes of other snakes ([Bogert, 1940] and [Broadley, 1983]). Consequently, almost all morphological studies since the influential work of Bogert (1940) have recognised this assemblage as a natural group ([Bourgeois, 1968], [Dowling and Duellman, 1978] and [Zaher, 2000]).

Table 1. Generic composition of the Psammophiidae, indicating the type species of each genus, the total number of species, and the number of species included in this study

Genus	Type species	Total no. species	No. species sampled
Dipsina Jan 1863	multimaculata (A. Smith, 1847)	1	1
<i>Dromophis</i> Peters, 1869	praeornatus (Schlegel, 1837)	2	2
<i>Hemirhagerrhis</i> Boettger, 1893	kelleri Boettger, 1893	4	3
<i>Malpolon</i> Fitzinger, 1826	Natrix lacertina Wagler, 1824 (=Coluber monspessulanus Herrmann, 1804 by original designation)	3	2
<i>Mimophis</i> Günther, 1868	madagascariensis Günther, 1868	1	1
<i>Psammophis</i> Boie, 1825	sibilans (Linnaeus, 1758)	~30	23
Psammophylax Fitzinger, 1843	rhombeatus (Linnaeus, 1758)	4	4
<i>Rhamphiophis</i> Peters, 1854	rostratus Peters, 1854	5	3

No study, morphological or molecular, has analysed phylogenetic relationships within the Psammophiidae, and taxon sampling has been highly restricted in those studies with psammophiid representatives. Furthermore, whilst generic delimitations and the species boundaries within many genera have been reasonably stable (e.g., [Broadley, 1977b], [Chirio and Ineich, 1991] and [Broadley and Hughes, 2000]), taxonomic opinion on species delimitation within *Psammophis* has varied widely since systematic work on the genus began in the late 1800s ([Boulenger, 1896], [Loveridge, 1940], [Broadley, 1966], [Broadley, 1977a] and [Hughes, 1999]). The most difficult group taxonomically has become known as the *Psammophis* 'sibilans' species complex, a name coined for a group of taxa that have at some stage been considered synonyms or subspecies of *Psammophis sibilans* Linnaeus, 1758. The prevailing uncertainty in *Psammophis* species boundaries is widely viewed as one of the most protracted and challenging problems in African snake taxonomy, though substantial progress has been made in the identification and morphological delineation of southern African species ([Broadley, 1966], [Broadley, 1975], [Broadley, 1977a], [Broadley, 1983] and [Broadley, 2002]). A revision of the entire genus was conducted by Brandstätter (1995), but this was generally not considered to be authoritative ([Hughes, 1999] and [Broadley, 2002]) and will not be discussed further.

Our study seeks to address the poor phylogenetic understanding of Psammophiidae and the taxonomic disarray of *Psammophis*, and our objectives are: (1) to reconstruct the phylogeny of the Psammophiidae using an extensive taxon sample spanning the entire family; (2) to provide a provisional DNA-based hypothesis of species limits in the problematic *Psammophis* '*sibilans*' complex, which can in future be tested against and

integrated with other forms of data; and (3) to use these results to propose taxonomic alterations where the evidence for such change is deemed sufficient.

2. Methods

2.1. Taxon sampling and laboratory protocols

Our taxon set comprised 114 terminals (individual samples), including 39 of the approximately 50 recognised psammophiid species from all eight genera, five outgroup taxa from other major African snake lineages, and one outgroup from the Elapidae (Appendix A, and Table 1). Most (but not all) specimens used in this study have been seen and identified by CMRK. No recent authoritative revision of the genus Psammophis exists, and the taxonomy applied in this study follows the most recent literature dealing with subsets of the genus. Note that some *Psammophis* species and subspecies are very poorly delineated, and identification of certain specimens is tentative. The specimen from Senegal (haplotype PEM1) has not been seen by any of the authors and was not conclusively identified by its collectors. On the basis of our phylogenetic results this specimen is provisionally treated as Psammophis cf. rukwae. Hughes and Wade (2004) described Psammophis phillipsii occidentalis largely in recognition of a colour variant and no DNA data are available for the types; the specimens treated here as P. cf. phillipsii occidentalis were provisionally identified (by CMRK) on the basis of potentially labile characters associated with pattern and colouration. Similarly, the Ethiopian specimens we refer to as P. cf. sibilans are so-called to reflect their close morphological similarity to the true P. sibilans described from Egypt; they resemble the latter in colouration and head scalation (five infralabials are typically in contact with the anterior sublinguals). There is some speculation on the validity of *Psammophis sudanensis*; the specimen on which the name is based (the type of *Psammophis subtaeniatus* var. *sudanensis* Werner, 1919) was formerly allocated to P. sibilans (Broadley, 1977a), but reinspection of the specimen (by DGB) indicates that this name is probably valid.

Our mitochondrial data set included sequences from the cytochrome b (Cytb, 1100 bases) and NADH dehydrogenase subunit four genes (ND4, 693 bases), and about 169 bases from three transfer RNA (tRNA) genes flanking the 3' end of the ND4 gene (tRNA^{His}, tRNA^{Ser}, tRNA^{Leu}). Our nuclear data set comprised 570 bases from the c-mos proto-oncogene. Appendix A lists all sequences used in this study. Novel DNA sequences were generated for 105 specimens (Cytb), 106 specimens (ND4 and tRNA), and 22 specimens (c-mos). Using primers published elsewhere (Cytb: L14910, de Queiroz et al., 2002; L14919, H16064, Burbrink et al., 2000. ND4: ND4, Leu, Arévalo et al., 1994. c-mos: S77, S78, Slowinski and Lawson, 2002). These new sequences were deposited in the GenBank, with accession numbers as in Appendix A. Tissue samples were in the form of liver, muscle or blood preserved in 80-96% ethanol or in 20% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and saturated sodium chloride. Whole genomic DNA was extracted and stored following the protocols of Sambrook et al. (1989) and Burbrink et al. (2000), and PCR amplifications were carried out in 25 µl volumes using Ready-To-Go PCR Beads (Amersham Biosciences). Thermocycling conditions for mtDNA amplification included an initial denaturation step at of 5 min at 94 °C, followed by 40 cycles of (denaturation, 40 sec, 94 °C; annealing, 40 sec, 47 °C; extension, 1 min, 72 °C), and a final 5 min extension phase at 72 °C. Conditions for c-mos amplification comprised an initial denaturation of 1 min at 95 °C, 5 cycles of (30 s, 95 °C; 45 s, 48 °C; 1 min, 72 °C), 30 cycles of (30 s, 95 °C; 45 s, 54 °C; 1 min, 72 °C), and a terminal 5-min extension step at 72 °C. Fragments were isolated by electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel and purified using a GFX PCR DNA and Gel Band Purification Kit (Amersham Biosciences) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Forward and reverse sequencing of the double stranded purified fragments was carried out in 10-µl volumes using the BigDye[®] Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, ABI) and the following thermocycling conditions: 25

cycles of (10 s, 94 °C; 5 s, 50 °C; 4 min, 60 °C). Products were analysed on ABI3700 or ABI3730-automated DNA sequencers.

2.2. Phylogenetic methods

2.2.1. Alignment and genetic distances

Sequences were aligned using ClustalX 1.64 (Thompson et al., 1997) and manually adjusted with BioEdit 5.0.9 (Hall, 1999). DAMBE (Xia and Xie, 2001) was used to screen the aligned sequences for frame-shift mutations and premature stop codons, which may indicate the presence of pseudogenes (e.g., transpositions of mtDNA to the nuclear genome: [Sorenson and Fleischer, 1996] and [Bensasson et al., 2001]). Calculations of genetic distances within and between clades were based on Cytb and ND4 sequences. Regression of ND4 against Cytb for pairwise K2P distances (not shown) revealed a slope of 0.94, suggesting that these genes evolve at very similar rates, so data from the two genes were combined for distance calculations. We used MEGA2 (Kumar et al., 2001) with the K2P model of distance correction to calculate mean and maximum within-group divergences, and minimum between-group divergences.

2.2.2. Data combination and splitting of the data set

The ILD test of data homogeneity Farris et al. (1995) has been shown to be problematic (Barker and Lutzoni, 2002), so we used PAUP^{*} 4.0b10 (Swofford, 2002) to conduct non-parametric bootstrap analyses under the MP criterion for each gene. No significant bootstrap support for conflicting nodes was evident (exceeding 70%; Hillis and Bull, 1993), so we combined data from different genes for subsequent phylogenetic analyses. Since nuclear sequences were only generated for a fraction of the terminals, two overlapping data sets were created for independent analysis. The 'mitochondrial' data set comprised mitochondrial sequences from all 114 terminals, whilst the pruned 'mixed' data set included both mitochondrial and nuclear sequences from 21 ingroup and all outgroup taxa (see Appendix A).

2.2.3. Phylogenetic inference and clade support

Phylogenetic analyses were conducted using parametric (maximum likelihood, ML and Bayesian inference, BI) and non-parametric (maximum parsimony, MP) approaches. For DNA sequence data, MP can be inconsistent even under simple models of cladogenesis (Huelsenbeck and Lander, 2003), so parametric methods are generally favoured. Notwithstanding recent methodological advances in the use of ML to reconstruct phylogenies (e.g. [Stamatakis, 2006] and [Zwickl, 2006]), Bayesian approaches are still able to implement more complex (and hopefully more realistic) models of sequence evolution. Consequently, we relied primarily on Bayesian methods for phylogenetic reconstruction, whilst ML and MP approaches were used to perform non-parametric bootstrap analyses (Felsenstein, 1985). In assessing the reliability of phylogenetic hypotheses, we follow the majority of recent literature in considering Bayesian posterior probability values \geq 95% and ML and MP bootstrap proportions \geq 70% to indicate significant support.

We used MrBayes v3.1.2 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003) for phylogeny reconstruction by BI and employed the rigorous model selection procedure described by Castoe et al. (2004) to identify the model of DNA substitution and data partitioning that best fits each of our data sets. An outline of this procedure is provided below, but readers are referred to Castoe et al. (2004) for full methodological details. Initially, the optimal overall DNA substitution model and parameters for each data set were selected using hierarchical likelihood ratio tests (hLRTs) and the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), implemented in ModelTest 3.7 (Posada and Crandall, 1998). This 'global' optimal model of DNA substitution was applied in all subsequent analyses. For the mitochondrial data set (Cytb, ND4, tRNA) the tRNA genes were pooled and treated as a single tRNA partition, and three models of data partitioning were defined based on sequence properties of potential

biological relevance: (A) the unpartitioned data set, (B) protein-coding versus tRNA genes, and (C) Cytb, ND4 and tRNA partitioned independently. Within models B and C, a further two models (SSR, site-specific rates) were defined based on the degree to which evolution of the partitions is assumed to be independent. First, partitions have the same underlying parameter values and only among-site rates (overall rates, not *a* rate-heterogeneity values) are allowed to vary across-partitions (SSR model). Second, all of the parameters are unlinked across partitions and are free to achieve unique optimal values in the different partitions (SSR-unlinked model). Overall, five different models were defined: A, B-SSR, B-SSR-unlinked, C-SSR, and C-SSR-unlinked. These five models were analysed independently in MrBayes, applying the global optimal model of DNA substitution. For the mixed data set (Cytb, ND4, tRNA, c-mos), four models of data partitioning were defined: (A) as above, (B) mitochondrial versus nuclear genes, (C) mitochondrial protein-coding versus mitochondrial tRNA versus nuclear genes, and (D) all genes partitioned independently. SSR and SSR-unlinked models were defined within models B–D, to give a final total of seven different models: A, B-SSR, B-SSR-unlinked, C-SSR, C-SSR-unlinked, D-SSR, D-SSR-unlinked. As above, these models were analysed independently in MrBayes.

Bayesian analyses were conducted with flat priors as per the MrBayes defaults, and with model parameters estimated as part of the procedure. Each analysis comprised two independent runs (starting from different random trees) of one cold and three incrementally heated MCMC chains, sampling the chains every 100 generations. We used the built-in convergence diagnostic (average standard deviation of split frequencies) to monitor the mixing of chains and convergence of independent runs, and we discarded as burn-in all generations prior to this diagnostic reaching a value of 0.01. Initial analyses providing data for comparison of the different models were run for 1.4 million generations, and analyses applying the final best-fit model were run for 5 million generations.

MP bootstrap analyses (1000 pseudoreplicates) were implemented using PAUP^{*} 4.0b10, with uninformative characters excluded, alignment gaps coded as a fifth state, simple sequence addition, and tree bisection–reconnection branch swapping. ML bootstrap analyses (1000 pseudoreplicates) were performed with RAxML version 7.0.0 (Stamatakis, 2006), using the closest available equivalent to the best-fit model of DNA substitution and data partitioning selected for Bayesian analyses.

2.3. Detailed investigation of the Psammophis 'sibilans' species complex

Since c-mos sequences were only generated for a minority of *Psammophis* terminals, the following analyses were based on mitochondrial data only.

2.3.1. Phylogenetic networks

We used phylogenetic networks to visualise relationships in cases where the underlying evolutionary history of the data may not be purely hierarchical (intraspecific relationships), and also as a tool to visualise ambiguity in the data (conflicting phylogenetic signal). For network construction we used SplitsTree 4 (Huson and Bryant, 2004) to implement the Neighbor-Net procedure (Bryant and Moulton, 2004), which is a distance method based on the NJ algorithm. The K2P model of distance correction was used to account for multiple substitutions per site.

2.3.2. Provisional species delimitation

The strongest support for species hypotheses is the concordance of conclusions from multiple operational criteria and independent data sets (e.g., [Wiens and Penkrot, 2002], [Morando et al., 2003] and [Dayrat, 2005]). However, our study was limited to use of mtDNA data alone, and the species boundaries delineated here must be treated as highly provisional. Wiens and Penkrot (2002) provide an explicit tree-based species delimitation

protocol (henceforth termed the WP strategy) designed for application to phylogenies of non-recombining DNA haplotypes. This approach informs species-level decisions by applying a dichotomous key to terminals currently classified as a single species (the 'focal species'). Wiens and Penkrot suggest that their method be used in conjunction with nested clade analysis (NCA: [Templeton et al., 1987], [Templeton et al., 1995] and [Templeton and Sing, 1993]). This combined approach uses the WP strategy to delimit species at the deepest levels of divergence, then subsequent application of NCA to well-sampled clades to see whether any further splitting may be statistically justified. However, our sampling of individuals and localities within most 'focal species' is limited and likely to be insufficient for useful application of NCA. In addition, serious concerns have been raised about the high incidence of false positive results returned by NCA (e.g. [Panchal and Beaumont, 2007] and [Petit, 2008]), and we concur with Petit (2008) that use of this method should be deferred pending thorough critical evaluation. Following Wiens and Penkrot (2002), we use the term "exclusive" in place of "monophyletic" in the context of species delimitation since true monophyly may not occur at the intraspecific level. The concept of monophyly incorporates the closely related properties of "exclusivity" and "common ancestry", and above the species level these two properties coincide. However, reticulating relationships lead to a decoupling of these properties, and entities of exclusive common ancestry do not exist where reticulation predominates (de Queiroz and Donoghue, 1990). All species may at some stage in their history be nonexclusive for any given gene, due to incomplete lineage sorting of ancestral polymorphisms (Neigel and Avise, 1986). This may be especially common in situations where a widespread species gives rise to a much more restricted species, which thus develops exclusivity more rapidly than the other (Wiens and Penkrot, 2002). The WP strategy permits discovery of non-exclusive species.

3. Results

3.1. Phylogenetic analyses

3.1.1. Alignment and genetic distances

Alignment was unambiguous for all protein-coding genes, and no frame-shift mutations or premature stop codons were detected. For tRNA, eight gaps were required for preservation of homology, ranging from one to six bases in length. The mitochondrial data set comprised 1962 characters (including outgroups—1077 variable, 953 potentially parsimony-informative; excluding outgroups—979 variable, 893 potentially parsimony-informative; excluding outgroups—979 variable, 893 potentially parsimony-informative; excluding outgroups—879 variable, 685 potentially parsimony-informative) and 570 nuclear characters (including outgroups—111 variable, 35 potentially parsimony-informative; excluding outgroups—111 variable, 35 potentially parsimony-informative; excluding outgroups—111 variable, 35 potentially parsimony-informative;

Genetic distances within and between selected *Psammophis* taxa, including those in the *Psammophis* 'sibilans' complex, are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Within-taxon and between-taxon distances for selected *Psammophis* taxa, including those in the *Psammophis* '*sibilans*' complex (calculated using pooled Cytb and ND4 data and applying the K2P model of distance correction)

Taxon	Mean within-taxon distance (%)	Max. within-taxon distance ^a (%)	Min. between-taxon distance ^b (%)
Clade 3			
namibensis	_		0.39 (PEM13 ↔ <i>leightoni</i> : TM83620)
leightoni	_	_	0.39 (TM83620 \leftrightarrow namibensis: PEM13)
Clade 6			
biseriatus	0.23	0.23 (169 ↔ BK6)	11.38 (BK6 \leftrightarrow tanganicus: 90)
tanganicus	1.42	2.74 (90 ↔ 88)	11.38 (90 ↔ biseriatus: BK6)
Clade 8 (' <i>phillipsii</i> ' complex)			
leopardinus	0.17	$0.17 (\text{PEM14} \leftrightarrow \text{PEM3})$	8.02 (PEM3 \leftrightarrow brevirostris: 277)
brevirostris	0.76	1.07 (186 ↔ 237)	3.75 (277 \leftrightarrow cf. phillipsii occidentalis: 71)
			$7.02 (277 \leftrightarrow mossambicus: 376)^{c}$
cf. phillipsii occidentalis	0.87	1.30 (71 ↔ 381)	$3.75 (71 \leftrightarrow brevirostris: 277)$
			$6.62 (381 \leftrightarrow mossambicus: TM83688)^{d}$
phillipsii phillipsii			1.59 (PEM12 \leftrightarrow mossambicus: Bills)
mossambicus	2.82	4.29 (PEM15 ↔ 231)	1.59 (Bills ↔ <i>phillipsii phillipsii</i> : PEM12)
			6.62 (TM83688 ↔ cf. phillipsii occidentalis: 381) ^e
Clade 9 ('subtaeniatus'			
complex)			
cf. sibilans	0.10	0.17 (352 ↔ 358)	9.69 (358 ↔ <i>orientalis</i> : 161)
rukwae and cf. rukwae	5.32	7.80 (PEM1 ↔ BK4)	8.93 (PEM1 \leftrightarrow orientalis: 172)
subtaeniatus	0.61	0.73 (4 ↔ 249)	$8.65 (282 \leftrightarrow orientalis: 161)$
orientalis	3.15	6.59 (177 ↔ 187)	8.11 (161 \leftrightarrow sudanensis: 91)
sudanensis	2.26	4.04 (386 ↔ BK2)	8.11 (91 \leftrightarrow orientalis: 161)

Clade numbers follow Fig. 1, and haplotype labels follow Appendix A and Fig. 1, Fig. 2 and Fig. 4.^a Haplotypes between which the quoted distance was observed are enclosed in parentheses.

^b Haplotypes between which the quoted distance was observed are enclosed in parentheses, and the taxon membership of the external haplotype is also identified.

^c Min. distance to a taxon other than *cf. phillipsii occidentalis*.

^d Min. distance to a taxon other than *brevirostris*.

^e Min. distance to a taxon other than *phillipsii phillipsii*.

3.1.2. Model selection and Bayesian MCMC

For the mitochondrial data set, $TVM + I + \Gamma$ was selected as the global optimal model of DNA substitution. However, this model is not implemented in MrBayes so the closely related GTR + $I + \Gamma$ model was used instead. B-SSR-unlinked was tentatively selected as the best-fit model, but inspection of preliminary analyses revealed that certain parameters were not unique. Unlinking was thus reduced to allow only among-site rates and state frequencies to vary between partitions, and further testing resulted in choice of this refined model (B-SSR-unlink-statefreq) as the final model of best fit. For the mixed data set, GTR + $I + \Gamma$ was selected as the global optimal model of DNA substitution, and B-SSR as the model of best fit. In all analyses of both data sets, independent runs converged on identical consensus topologies and very similar parameter estimates, and all runs reached stationarity rapidly (usually within 50,000 generations).

3.1.3. Evolutionary relationships

Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 illustrate the 50% majority-rule Bayesian consensus topologies for the mitochondrial and mixed data sets, respectively, and both data sets led to identical psammophiid phylogenetic relationships. The approximate geographic distributions of clades A–D and 1–9 are presented in Fig. 3 (Maps a–1). Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 include clade support values in the form of Bayesian posterior probabilities, ML bootstrap proportions, and MP bootstrap proportions. Support is generally high, with significant support for 81% (BI), 90% (ML) and 74% (MP) of ingroup nodes in the mitochondrial topology, and 80% (BI), 85% (ML) and 40% (MP) of ingroup nodes in the support values for equivalent nodes, but two important basal nodes (marked with black circles in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2) were more strongly supported by the mixed data set than by the mitochondrial data alone.



Fig. 1. Bayesian Inference 50% majority-rule consensus phylogram from the mitochondrial data set (Cytb, ND4, tRNA), under the B-SSR-unlink-statefreq and GTR + $I + \Gamma$ models of data partitioning and nucleotide substitution. 45,000 trees from two runs (22,500 each) were sampled from the posterior distribution at stationarity, and branch lengths are averaged over all input trees. Node support (ingroup only) is in the format: Bayesian posterior probability/ML bootstrap proportion/MP bootstrap proportion. Support values not exceeding 50% are denoted by a cross (×), and asterisks identify nodes that received 100% support from all three indices. Analysis of the mixed data set resulted in substantially higher support values for the two nodes marked with black circles (see Fig. 2). Each terminal is labelled with its corresponding haplotype code (in parentheses) matching Appendix A, and clade labels are as in the text. Outgroups are listed in Appendix A.



Fig. 2. Bayesian Inference 50% majority-rule consensus phylogram from the mixed data set (Cytb, ND4, tRNA, and c-mos), under the B-SSR and GTR + $I + \Gamma$ models of data partitioning and nucleotide substitution. 40,000 trees were sampled from the posterior distribution at stationarity, and branch lengths are averaged over all input trees. Node support (ingroup only) is in the format: Bayesian posterior probability/ML bootstrap proportion/MP bootstrap proportion. Support values not exceeding 50% are denoted by a cross (×) and asterisks identify nodes that received 100% support from all three indices. The two nodes marked with black circles received substantially higher support here than in analyses of the mitochondrial data alone (see Fig. 1). Each ingroup terminal is labelled with its corresponding haplotype code (in parentheses) matching Appendix A, and clade labels are as in the text.











Clade 1



Clade 2



Clade 3





Clade 5



h

Clade 6 Clade 7



Clade 9 (part)



sudanensis orientalis I

Clade 9 (part)

Fig. 3. Maps a–1 show tha approximate distributions of the major clades identified in Fig. 1, compiled from the following sources: Multiple genera—http://www.reptile-database.org/; [Broadley, 1983], [Broadley and Howell, 1991], [Largen and Rasmussen, 1993], [Schleich et al., 1996], [Chippaux, 2001] and [Spawls et al., 2002]. *Hemirhagerrhis*—Broadley and Hughes, 2000. *Malpolon*—[Gasc et al., 1997] and [Carranza et al., 2006]. *Mimophis*—Glaw and Vences, 1994. *Psammophis* and *Dromophis*—[Loveridge, 1940], [Broadley, 1966], [Broadley, 1975], [Broadley, 1977a], [Brandstätter, 1995], [Hughes, 1999], [Broadley, 2002], [Hughes and Wade, 2002], [Hughes, 2004], [Hughes and Wade, 2004] and [Rato et al., 2007]. *Psammophylax*—Broadley, 1977b. *Rhamphiophis*—[Broadley, 1971] and [Chirio and Ineich, 1991].

No detailed topological descriptions will be attempted here, and readers are referred to Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 for details of tree topology. At a higher level, four major lineages were defined for ease of discussion, all with strong support in the mixed topology (A–D; Fig. 1 and Fig. 2). Surprisingly, *Rhamphiophis acutus* is placed in clade B as sister to *Psammophylax*, instead of grouping with its supposed congeners in clade A. In clade D the two species of *Dromophis* occupy divergent positions deeply nested within the genus *Psammophis*.

Within clade D, nine distinct lineages were defined for ease of discussion, based on analysis of the mitochondrial data set (1–9; Fig. 1). Results from the mixed data set (Fig. 2) are congruent with those from the mitochondrial data alone. The West and Central African *Dromophis praeornatus* is placed in clade 5 with *Psammophis elegans*, *Psammophis schokari* and *Psammophis punctulatus*, rather than with *Dromophis lineatus* in clade 7. Clades 8 and 9 have usually been collectively referred to as the *Psammophis 'sibilans'* complex (e.g., Broadley, 1983). Our results suggest that further subdivision of this complex is possible (Fig. 1), and we refer to these clades as the '*phillipsii*' complex (clade 8) and the '*subtaeniatus*' complex (clade 9).

3.2. Detailed investigation of the Psammophis 'sibilans' species complex

3.2.1. Evolutionary relationships

Relationships within the '*sibilans*' complex were investigated using phylogenetic networks in addition to the standard hierarchical phylogenetic methods. Fig. 4 illustrates the network diagram obtained using the Neighbor-Net procedure and applying the K2P model of distance correction. This is mostly congruent with the Bayesian analysis, and also supports subdivision of the '*sibilans*' complex into clades 8 and 9. The '*phillipsii*' complex (clade 8) contains five currently recognised taxa: *P. leopardinus*, *P. brevirostris*, *P. p. phillipsii*, *P. cf. phillipsii occidentalis*, and *P. mossambicus*. The primarily Central African *P. cf. phillipsii occidentalis* groups with the southern African *P. brevirostris* to form clade 8a (Fig. 1 and Fig. 4) rather than associating with typical *P. p. phillipsii* of West Africa, and our single representative of this latter taxon is deeply nested within the more extensive sample of *P. mossambicus* (clade 8b; Fig. 1 and Fig. 4).



Fig. 4. Phylogenetic network diagram for the *Psammophis* '*sibilans*' complex, constructed using the Neighbor-Net procedure and applying the K2P model of distance correction. The '*phillipsii*' complex (grey) and '*subtaeniatus*' complex are clearly marked and the major constituent clades of each complex are circled and labelled. In clades containing substantial phylogenetic structure, the approximate distributions of groups of terminals are given, and where necessary, terminals are identified with their haplotype codes in parentheses (see Appendix A).

The 'subtaeniatus' complex (clade 9) also contains five currently recognised taxa (assuming that our *P. cf. sibilans* specimens from Ethiopia are correctly identified): *P. cf. sibilans*, *P. rukwae*, *P. subtaeniatus*, *P. subtaeniatus*, *P. subtaeniatis*, and *P. orientalis*. A specimen of uncertain identity from Senegal (haplotype PEM1) groups with *P. rukwae* with very strong support (BI = 100%, ML = 100%, MP = 98; Fig. 1), and we provisionally treat this specimen as *P. cf. rukwae*.

3.2.2. Provisional species delimitation

Selection of 'focal species' for assessment with the WP strategy was largely according to current taxonomy, and the following nine species-level hypotheses were tested (see Fig. 1 and Fig. 4). Clade 8: *P. leopardinus*, *P. brevirostris*, *P. phillipsii* (incorporating the two taxa *phillipsii* and *cf. occidentalis*), and *P. mossambicus*. Clade

9: *P. cf. sibilans, P. rukwae, P. subtaeniatus, P. orientalis,* and *P. sudanensis.* Given the current data, all but two of these 'focal species' are exclusive and without strongly supported early-diverging lineages concordant with geographic distribution. The exceptions are *P. phillipsii* and *P. mossambicus*, and three points can be made regarding these taxa. First, haplotypes of *P. phillipsii* are non-exclusive with respect to the exclusive *P. brevirostris,* and there is no evidence of gene flow between the earliest-diverging lineages of these two groups. Second, the lone *P. p. phillipsii* haplotype is nested among *P. mossambicus* samples, rendering the latter also non-exclusive. Unfortunately only one sample of *P. p. phillipsii* was available, so no decisions were possible regarding gene flow between the two focal species. Third, within *P. mossambicus* there is good support for a disjunction between the South African haplotypes (231 and TM83688) and the remaining samples (BI = 94%, ML = 82%, MP = 94%; Fig. 1), and these two earliest-diverging lineages are concordant with geographic distribution.

4. Discussion

4.1. Phylogenetic relationships and implications for taxonomy

4.1.1. The family Psammophiidae (clades A–D)

The pattern of relationships retrieved at the generic level is in agreement with that obtained by Gravlund (2001), using 12S rRNA and 16S rRNA gene sequences from single representatives of five psammophiid genera. A more comprehensive psammophiid taxon sample was included in the immunological study of Cadle (1994)—five genera, and seven species of *Psammophis*—but the only resolved relationship at the generic level was a clade uniting *Rhamphiophis oxyrhynchus* and the monotypic genus *Dipsina*. This conflicts with our results, in which *Rhamphiophis rostratus* (at one time a subspecies of *R. oxyrhynchus*) and *R. rubropunctatus* group with the North African and Eurasian genus *Malpolon* to form clade A. Recent taxonomic work on *Malpolon* has been undertaken by Carranza et al. (2006) and Geniez et al. (2006). *Dipsina multimaculata* resembles *Rhamphiophis* morphologically and was for a long time classified as a species of the latter genus, but on the basis of differing cranial osteology, Broadley (1983) resurrected the genus *Dipsina* Jan, 1863. He considered both *Rhamphiophis* and *Dipsina* to be derived from "ancestral *Psammophylax* stock" (Broadley, 1983), but our study instead provides good support for a sister relationship between *Dipsina* (clade C) and *Psammophis* (clade D).

In clade B, the strong support for a sister relationship between *Psammophylax* and *R. acutus* renders the genus Rhamphiophis diphyletic. Broadley (1971) provides a review and taxonomic history of R. acutus, noting that in several respects (dentition and colouration) this taxon forms a link between *Rhamphiophis* and *Psammophylax*. The most distinctive synapomorphy of *Rhamphiophis* is its shortened and reinforced skull (adaptations for digging), a characteristic that is less pronounced in *R. acutus* ([Broadley, 1971] and [Chirio and Ineich, 1991]). It is clear that this character is homoplasious, and we transfer the three subspecies of *R. acutus* to the genus Psammophylax, i.e. Psammophylax acutus acutus (Günther, 1888) (comb. nov.), Psammophylax acutus jappi (Broadley, 1971) (comb. nov.) and Psammophylax acutus togoensis (Matschie, 1893) (comb. nov.). This change increases the dental variation in *Psammophylax* and necessitates the following modification to the diagnosis provided by Broadley (1977b): 'The maxilla bears 9–13 subequal teeth, separated by a diastema from two enlarged grooved fangs on the posterior end of the bone; palatine teeth 7–11; pterygoid 9–23; dentary 15–24.' In Hemirhagerrhis, the southwestern African semi-desert species H. viperina is sister to an East African clade comprising *H. hildebrandtii* and *H. kelleri*. Broadley and Hughes (2000) undertook a morphological revision of the genus and proposed an evolutionary hypothesis that implied a closer relationship between H. viperina and H. hildebrandtii than between the latter and H. kelleri. Our results contradict this hypothesis, but a complete picture of *Hemirhagerrhis* relationships awaits inclusion of the widespread *H. nototaenia*. There is substantial

well-supported phylogenetic structure in *Mimophis*, suggesting the presence of taxonomically unrecognised genetic diversity, and further work is necessary to investigate this.

Dromophis praeornatus and Dromophis lineatus occupy divergent and well-supported positions nested deeply within Psammophis, in clades 5 and 7 respectively (Fig. 1). We therefore relegate the genus Dromophis to the synonymy of *Psammophis*, forming *Psammophis praeornatus* (Schlegel, 1837) (comb. nov) and *Psammophis* lineatus (Dumèril & Bibron, 1854) (comb. nov.). Loveridge (1940) provides a taxonomic revision of both of these genera stating, "Dromophis has been included in this paper with the purpose of inviting attention to its close relationship to Psammophis sibilans as evidenced by its synonymy, and the references to P. sibilans which should properly be referred to as D. lineatus." More recently, Hughes (2004) has also noted the high incidence of misidentification of *D. lineatus* as one of several taxa from the *Psammophis* 'sibilans' species complex. Indeed, our results show a sister relationship between D. lineatus (clade 7; Fig. 1) and the 'sibilans' complex (clades 8 and 9; Fig. 1). The main character formerly used to separate *Dromophis* and *Psammophis* involves maxillary dentition - in *Psammophis* (but not in *Dromophis*) a pair of enlarged fang-like teeth is present anterior to the fangs, separated from other maxillary dentition by an interspace on each side ([Loveridge, 1940] and [Broadley, 1983]). The generic diagnosis provided for *Psammophis* by Broadley (1983) should thus be modified as follows: 'Maxillary teeth usually 10–16, 3–5 small teeth anteriorly, followed after a distinct interspace by two much enlarged recurved and fang-like teeth (below anterior border of eye), which are followed, after a second interspace, by 3–7 small teeth and then two strongly enlarged grooved fangs (below the posterior border of the eye). In P. praeornatus and P. lineatus (formerly assigned to the genus Dromophis) there is a continuous series of 10–16 small teeth (median longest) preceding the large grooved fangs below the posterior border of the eye. Anterior mandibular teeth strongly enlarged.'

4.1.2. The genus *Psammophis* (clades 1–9)

The only phylogenetic analysis incorporating several *Psammophis* taxa is the immunological study of Cadle (1994), which included the species *biseriatus*, *condanarus*, *elegans*, *phillipsii* (subspecies unknown), *punctulatus* (subspecies unknown), *rukwae*, and *subtaeniatus*. The resultant topology (Cadle's Fig. 3) showed *P. condanarus* sister to a polychotomy containing *biseriatus*, *elegans*, *punctulatus*, and a *phillipsii* + *rukwae* + *subtaeniatus* clade. This is congruent with our results. We defined nine lineages in the genus (Fig. 1) and a high proportion of nodes had significant support. The following points are noteworthy.

(1) The southern African *Psammophis crucifer* (clade 1) and the two exclusively Eurasian taxa (clade 2: *P. condanarus* and *P. lineolatus*) are the earliest-diverging lineages. The juxtaposition of these geographically distantly separated taxa raises interesting biogeographic questions.

(2) Five southern African taxa are included in clade 3 and, with the exception of *P. trigrammus*, all were considered by Broadley (1977a) to be related and were later referred to as the "*Psammophis notostictus* complex" (Broadley, 2002). (Broadley, 1977a) and (Broadley, 2002) treated *P. trigrammus* as a member of the "Afro-Asian *P. schokari* group", but our results indicate that it is the earliest-diverging lineage in the *Psammophis notostictus* group. Within this clade, three subspecies of *Psammophis leightoni* were recognised by Broadley (1975)—*leightoni, trinasalis* and *namibensis*—all of which were subsequently elevated to species status (Broadley, 2002). We were only able to include single representatives of *P. leightoni* and *P. namibensis*, and their low K2P distance (0.39%; Table 2) would suggest intra- rather than interspecific divergence. Further molecular work on the *P. leightoni* complex is necessary on a broad geographic scale to investigate the existence and limits of putative species boundaries in the group.

(3) *Psammophis angolensis* (clade 4) is a widespread species with several peculiar autapomorphies, including small size, reduced number of teeth, and a substantial reduction in the number of dorsal scale rows. It was originally described under the generic name *Amphiophis* Bocage, 1872, and Brandstätter (1995) suggested that this be revived as a monotypic subgenus. However our phylogenies place it squarely in the genus *Psammophis*.

(4) Clade 5 includes *Psammophis schokari* (subspecies uncertain, probably *schokari*), *P. punctulatus trivirgatus*, *P. elegans*, and *Dromophis* (now *Psammophis*) *praeornatus*. It is likely that *P. schokari aegyptius* and *P. punctulatus trivirgatus* both represent valid species (*aegyptius*: [Schleich et al., 1996] and [Rato et al., 2007]. *trivirgatus*: [Lanza, 1990] and [Hughes, 1999]), but our data were insufficient to address these issues. (Broadley, 1977a) and (Broadley, 2002) included the species *schokari*, *aegyptius*, *punctulatus*, *elegans* and *trigrammus* in the "*Psammophis schokari* group". With the exception of *P. trigrammus* (placed in clade 3), and with the addition of *P. praeornatus*, our results agree with Broadley's morphological assessment.

(5) The distinction between *Psammophis biseriatus* and *Psammophis tanganicus* (clade 6) was first appreciated by Loveridge (1940), who described *tanganicus* as a subspecies of *P. biseriatus* on the basis of scalation differences. Bezy and Drewes (1985) confirmed and reinforced these distinctions and discovered that the two forms were sympatric at several sites, so elevated them to species level. Subsequently Largen and Rasmussen (1993) analysed a large sample of specimens from Ethiopia and northern Somalia and found higher degrees of morphological overlap than in the earlier studies. The minimum mitochondrial K2P distance between these taxa was 11.38% (Table 2) and our phylogenetic results support their reciprocal monophyly, providing further evidence for their status as separate species.

The remainder of *Psammophis* diversity resides in the '*sibilans*' complex. The following discussion is centred on the two subdivisions of this problematic clade: the '*phillipsii*' complex (clade 8) and the '*subtaeniatus*' complex (clade 9).

4.1.3. Species delimitation in the *Psammophis* 'sibilans' complex (clades 8–9)

The use of mitochondrial DNA data in delineation of species boundaries is common (e.g., [Puorto et al., 2001], [Wiens and Penkrot, 2002], [Hebert et al., 2004] and [Roe and Sperling, 2007]), and mtDNA has an important advantage for species delimitation compared with nuclear markers (e.g., nuclear DNA and morphology): its smaller effective population size will cause alleles to coalesce approximately four times more recently than in the latter. Thus, species should become distinct in their mtDNA haplotype phylogenies well before this is evident in phylogenies from nuclear data. Mitochondrial gene trees are able to span the interface between intraspecific and interspecific evolution, and are consequently powerful tools that can be used to investigate and delimit the boundaries between species (Templeton, 2001). However, there are also several limitations of mtDNA for inference of species boundaries. It is becoming increasingly evident that ecological selection can produce a reduction in gene flow across habitat boundaries, potentially leading to reproductive isolation (ecotonal speciation: [Smith et al., 1997], [Thorpe and Richard, 2001] and [Ogden and Thorpe, 2002]). In some cases, ecological selection may be more important than historical vicariance in causing reproductive isolation (e.g., Thorpe and Richard, 2001), and in these cases real species boundaries may be very different from patterns of historical vicariance inferred using mtDNA. Other limitations of mtDNA for species delimitation include: (1) retention of ancestral polymorphism; (2) sensitivity to sexual biases in dispersal and gene flow; (3) inheritance of the entire mitochondrial genome as a single linkage unit; (4) introgression; and (5) paralogy resulting from transpositions of mtDNA to the nuclear genome (e.g., Moritz and Cicero, 2004).

There is insufficient research on the Psammophiidae to determine whether sexually biased dispersal may be an issue. Hybridization appears to be rare, and every effort was made in this study to avoid comparison of

paralogous sequences. We have based our investigation of species limits on mtDNA data alone but it is clear that species hypotheses proposed on this basis should be tested against independent data sets, so the species boundaries delineated here must be viewed as provisional. A further caveat concerns the small sample sizes for most of the focal species tested. Identification of species boundaries requires accurate characterisation of intraspecific diversity, and this can only be based on small samples if these samples extend across population subdivisions as indicated by geography and phenotypic variation ([Moritz and Cicero, 2004] and [Roe and Sperling, 2007]). Our sample of *P. mossambicus* was fairly comprehensive, and geographic coverage was reasonable for *P. orientalis* and *P. rukwae*. However for the other taxa, data were unavailable from large sectors of their geographic ranges. Noting these limitations, our results allow the following provisional conclusions.

The 'phillipsii' complex (clade 8) contains four focal species: *P. leopardinus*, *P. brevirostris*, *P. phillipsii* (including the subspecies *phillipsii* and *occidentalis*), and *P. mossambicus*, all of which have complex taxonomic histories. The first two taxa were formerly regarded as subspecies of *P. sibilans* (e.g., Broadley, 1977a), but both were elevated to species status by Broadley (2002). The original description of *P. phillipsii* (Hallowell, 1844) was based on specimens from Liberia, and (Broadley, 1977a) and (Broadley, 1983) treated this as a widespread species ranging from Senegal to South Africa. Subsequently, Brandstätter (1995) and Hughes (1999) considered *P. phillipsii* to be restricted to West Africa, and Branch (1998) was the first to use the name *P. mossambicus* Peters, 1882 to refer to the former southern and East African populations of *P. phillipsii*. This name is now in common usage. *Psammophis phillipsii occidentalis* (Werner, 1919) was initially described as a colour variant of *P. sibilans*, and Hughes and Wade (2004) resurrected it as a subspecies of *P. phillipsii*.

Our analyses provide support for the species status of *P. leopardinus* and *P. brevirostris*, but results for the other two taxa require a different interpretation. The three samples of P. cf. phillipsii occidentalis form a clade sister to P. brevirostris, and application of the WP strategy indicates that this clade represents a species-level taxon. As already noted, the identification of our P. cf. p. occidentalis specimens was based on potentially labile characters associated with pattern and colouration, and is thus somewhat uncertain. Further, all of these specimens were collected outside the known distribution range of *P. p. occidentalis*, so we refer to these specimens as P. cf. occidentalis. On the basis of morphological similarities, we predict that Psammophis zambiensis probably belongs in clade 8a with P. brevirostris and P. cf. occidentalis. The single P. p. phillipsii sample is nested among haplotypes of *P. mossambicus* in clade 8b, but since only one sample of the former was available, no decisions were possible regarding gene flow between the two focal species. A primary feature supposedly distinguishing P. p. phillipsii from P. mossambicus (and from all other West African Psammophis species) is the state of the cloacal scale: entire (CSE) in *P. p. phillipsii* and divided (CSD) in the others. However, Hughes and Wade (2004) note a marked east-west cline in this character in West Africa (high proportion of CSD in the east and high CSE in the west), and the two forms are often found in sympatry. Luiselli et al. (2004) could find no ecological differences between sympatric CSE and CSD specimens in southern Nigeria. We tentatively interpret the balance of evidence as indicating that *P. p. phillipsii* and *P.* mossambicus are conspecific, and hereafter we refer to clade 8b as P. phillipsii. Note that within this clade there is good support for a disjunction between the South African haplotypes (231 and TM83688) and the remaining samples, and these earliest-diverging lineages are concordant with geographic distribution. It is thus possible that future work could show these South African populations to represent a distinct species.

The 'subtaeniatus' complex (clade 9) contains five focal species: *P. cf. sibilans* (Ethiopian), *P. rukwae*, *P. subtaeniatus*, *P. sudanensis*, and *P. orientalis*. Largen and Rasmussen (1993) examined a large sample of Ethiopian *Psammophis cf. sibilans* and found the vast majority to agree with typical Egyptian *P. sibilans* in their

infralabial arrangement. Broadley (1966) described *Psammophis rukwae* (from southwest Tanzania) as a subspecies of *P. sibilans*, and subsequently elevated it to species status (Broadley, 1977a). *Psammophis subtaeniatus* Peters, 1882 was described from Mozambique, and *P. sudanensis* and *P. orientalis* have at various times both been considered subspecies of this taxon. *Psammophis subtaeniatus* var. *sudanensis* Werner, 1919 was described from the southern Sudan and treated as a subspecies of *P. subtaeniatus* by Loveridge (1940) and Broadley (1966). Later Broadley (1977a) considered the type to be referable to *P. sibilans*, but reinspection of the specimen (by DGB) indicates that *P. sudanensis* is probably a valid name. *Psammophis orientalis* was described as an eastern subspecies of *P. subtaeniatus* by Broadley (1977a), and later elevated to species status on the basis of sympatry with *P. s. subtaeniatus* in southern Zimbabwe.

The WP strategy provides support for the status of all focal species in the '*subtaeniatus*' complex. The unusually high intraspecific divergences in the *rukwae* and *orientalis* clades (Table 2) were in both cases due largely to single divergent haplotypes (*P. cf. rukwae* (PEM1) in the former and 177 in the latter), though in *P. rukwae* many distances involving other haplotypes were also relatively high (above 5%). The elevated divergence of PEM1 (from Senegal) is perhaps unsurprising given its geographic separation of over 5700 km from its nearest neighbour in our taxon sample. We consider the inclusion of this sample in the *P. rukwae* clade to support claims by Broadley (1977a), subsequently followed by others ([Böhme, 1978], [Böhme, 1986], [Joger, 1981] and [Böhme and Schneider, 1987]), that this taxon occurs in West Africa. However, the high levels of mitochondrial divergence within *P. rukwae* and *P. orientalis* suggest the presence of taxonomically unrecognised diversity, and additional sampling will be necessary to further investigate species boundaries in these two clades.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the Malawi Department of National Parks and Wildlife, Forestry Research Institute of Malawi, Tanzania Commission for Science and Technology, Tanzania Wildlife Research Institute, National Museums of Kenya, Kenya Wildlife Services, and the Rwanda Office of Tourism and National Parks for providing collecting permits; the Northern Flagship Institution, Port Elizabeth Museum, Natural History Museum (Bulawayo), National Museums of Kenya, University of Dar es Salaam, Woody Cotterill, David Foot, Rob and Glynis Kelly, Jono Kelly, Joe Beraducci, Lucas Chiwambo and family, David Moyer, Patrice Faye, Roland and Ang Wagner, Belinda Kameya, Pius Matolo, Damaris Rotich and Steve Spawls for support and assistance in the field; Joe Beraducci, Roger Bills, Bio-Ken Snake Farm (Watamu, Kenya), Laurent Chirio, Mike Griffin, Barry Hughes, Ulrich Joger, Lauretta Mahlangu, Ted Papenfus, the late Jens Rasmussen, Miguel Vences and Wolfgang Wüster for supplying tissue samples; Tom Cavalier-Smith and Ema Chao for provision of laboratory facilities and consumables; Paul Harvey for providing office space and computing facilities; the University of Oslo for access to valuable computing resources via their Bioportal; Wolfgang Wüster and Seb Shimeld for fruitful discussion and constructive criticism; and two anonymous reviewers for thorough and helpful comments on the manuscript. This paper is adapted from part of a thesis submitted by C.M.R.K. for the degree of D.Phil. at the University of Oxford, England. C.M.R.K. extends heartfelt thanks to T.L.J.C. and Leanne du Preez for continued love, support and encouragement. Financial support for this study was provided by the Rhodes Trust, Oxford University Department of Zoology, Merton College, Molecular Ecology and Systematics Group (Rhodes University), and generous donations from Rob and Glynis Kelly.

Appendix A

Sequence sources for the analyses presented in this paper

Classificati	on	Geographi	c origin			Voucher specimen ^a	mtDN A haploty pe	GenBar number	ık accessi , or autho	on r
Genus	Species (subspecies)	Locality	Country	Lat (deci mal degr ees)	Long (deci mal degr ees)			Cytb	ND4 and tRNA	c-mos
Ingroup for	phylogenetic	reconstructi	on							
Dipsina	multimacul ata		Namibi a			CMRK62	62	DQ48 6370	DQ48 6209	
Dipsina ^b	multimacul ata	Namaqu aland	South Africa	-29. 02	18.0 9	TM84514	TM845 14	DQ48 6357	DQ48 6332	DQ48 6181
Dromophi s	lineatus	Bujumbu ra	Burundi	-3.3 5	29.2 9	CMRK37 9	379	DQ48 6426	DQ48 6263	
Dromophi s	lineatus	Bujumbu ra	Burundi	-3.2 1	29.2 9	CMRK38 3	383	DQ48 6428	DQ48 6265	
Dromophi s	lineatus	Bello Tonga	Benin	12.0 5	3.21		Chir02	_	EU526 861	
Dromophi s	praeornatu s	Nienie	Benin	11.3 6	2.21		Chir01	_	EU526 860	
Hemirhag errhis	hildebrandt ii	Arusha Region	Tanzani a			CMRK31 9	319	DQ48 6418 (short)	DQ48 6255 (short)	
Hemirhag errhis ^b	kelleri	Kingori	Tanzani a	-3.2 8	36.9 8	CMRK77	77	DQ48 6335	DQ48 6311	DQ48 6159
Hemirhag errhis	kelleri	Kingori	Tanzani a	-3.2 8	36.9 8	CMRK40 0	400	DQ48 6436	DQ48 6272	
Hemirhag errhis	viperina	Okangw ati	Namibi a	-17. 07	13.2 7	CAS(AM B5989)	PEM1 1	DQ48 6453	DQ48 6289	_
Malpolon ^b	moilensis		Tunisia			HLMD	27	DQ48 6333	DQ48 6309	DQ48 6157
Malpolon b	monspessul anus						Malp_ 05	AY05 8965	AY05 8989 ^c	AY05 8936

Classificati	on	Geographi	c origin			Voucher specimen ^a	mtDN A haploty pe	GenBar number	nk accessi , or autho	on r
Genus	Species (subspecies)	Locality	Country	Lat (deci mal degr ees)	Long (deci mal degr ees)			Cytb	ND4 and tRNA	c-mos
Mimophis	mahafalens is (mahafalen sis)	Mont. des Francais	Madaga scar				23	DQ48 6363	DQ48 6202	
Mimophis	mahafalens is (madagasc ariensis)	Mount Ibity	Madaga scar				24_str	DQ48 6440	DQ48 6276	
Mimophis	mahafalens is (?)	Tulear District	Madaga scar				PEM2	DQ48 6461	DQ48 6297	
Mimophis	mahafalens is (madagasc ariensis)						Mim_ mad	AY18 8031		
Mimophis b	mahafalens is (mahafalen sis)						Mim_ mah	AY18 8032	AF544 662 ^c	AY18 7993
Dec		Varra	Deter	17	25.2	CMDV2C		DO 49	DO 49	DO 49
Psammop his ^b	angolensis	Kazungu la	Botswa na	-1/. 84	25.2 3	CMRK26 3	263	DQ48 6410	6248	DQ48 6189
Psammop his	angolensis	Kabwe	Zambia	-14. 58	28.2 6	CMRK28 3	283	DQ48 6416	DQ48 6254	
Psammop his	angolensis	Usa River	Tanzani a	-3.3 7	36.8 5	CMRK39 2	392	DQ48 6433	DQ48 6270	_
Psammop his	angolensis	Kasane	Botswa na	-17. 82	24.8 6	CMRK44 7	447	DQ48 6439	DQ48 6275	—
Psammop his	biseriatus	Arusha	Tanzani a	-3.3 7	36.6 8	CMRK16 9	169	DQ48 6389	DQ48 6228	_
Psammop his	biseriatus	Watamu	Kenya	-3.3 5	40.0 7	BK10724	BK6	DQ48 6448	DQ48 6284 (short)	

Classificati	on	Geographi	c origin			Voucher specimen ^a	mtDN A haploty pe	GenBar number	nk accessi , or autho	on r
Genus	Species (subspecies)	Locality	Country	Lat (deci mal degr ees)	Long (deci mal degr ees)			Cytb	ND4 and tRNA	c-mos
Psammop his	brevirostris	Maronde ra	Zimbab we	-18. 18	31.5 1	CMRK18 6	186	DQ48 6395	DQ48 6234	
Psammop his	brevirostris	Hole in the Wall	South Africa	-32. 03	29.1 1	CMRK23 7	237	DQ48 6402	DQ48 6241	_
Psammop his	brevirostris	Hole in the Wall	South Africa	-32. 03	29.1 1	CMRK23 8	237	DQ48 6403	DQ48 6242	_
Psammop his	brevirostris	Naboom spruit	South Africa	-24. 36	28.8 3	CMRK27 7	277	DQ48 6412	DQ48 6250	_
Psammop his	brevirostris	Cullinan Mine	South Africa	-25. 68	28.5 2	TM83922	TM839 22	DQ48 6470	DQ48 6306	
Psammop his ^b	condanarus						Psamm _con	AF47 1075	AY05 8987 ^c	AF47 1104
Psammop his	crucifer	Somerset East	South Africa	-32. 72	25.5 8	CMRK19	19	DQ48 6360	DQ48 6199	_
Psammop his	crucifer	Jeffrey's Bay	South Africa	-33. 94	24.9 9	CMRK70	70	DQ48 6334	DQ48 6310	_
Psammop his ^b	crucifer	Nyanga	Zimbab we	-18. 24	32.7 7	CMRK20 3	203	DQ48 6397	DQ48 6236	DQ48 6188
Psammop his	crucifer	DeHoop Nat. Res.	South Africa	-34. 43	20.4 8		S3	DQ48 6466	DQ48 6302	_
Psammop his	elegans	La Tapoa	Niger	12.0 5	2.26		Chir03		EU526 862	_
Psammop his	elegans						EF128 027		EF128 027	_
Psammop his	jallae	Kazungu la	Botswa na	-17. 95	25.2 3	CMRK25 6	256	DQ48 6409	DQ48 6247	_
Psammop his	namibensis	Port Nolloth	South Africa	-29. 25	16.8 7	PEM R15811	PEM1 3	DQ48 6455	DQ48 6291	
Psammop his ^b	leightoni	Piketber g	South Africa	-32. 90	18.7 7	TM83620	TM836 20	DQ48 6467	DQ48 6303	DQ48 6197

Classificati	on	Geographi	c origin			Voucher specimen ^a	mtDN A haploty pe	GenBar number	ık accessi , or autho	on r
Genus	Species (subspecies)	Locality	Country	Lat (deci mal degr ees)	Long (deci mal degr ees)			Cytb	ND4 and tRNA	c-mos
Psammop his	leopardinus	Opuwo	Namibi a	-18. 47	13.8 0	CAS2147 63	PEM1 4	DQ48 6456	DQ48 6292	_
Psammop his	leopardinus	Grootber g Pass	Namibi a	-19. 87	14.0 7	CAS2147 27	PEM3	DQ48 6462	DQ48 6298	
Psammop his ^b	lineolatus	Nephteza vodsk	Turkme nistan	39.2 5	63.1 8	CAS 179682	CAS17 9682	DQ48 6450	DQ48 6286	DQ48 6195
Psammop his	mossambic us	Tanga	Tanzani a	-5.1 6	38.9 8	PEM R5679	10	DQ48 6359	DQ48 6198	_
Psammop his	mossambic us	Kingori	Tanzani a	-3.2 8	36.9 8	CMRK81	81	DQ48 6373	DQ48 6212	
Psammop his	mossambic us	Kingori	Tanzani a	-3.2 8	36.9 8	CMRK82	82	DQ48 6374	DQ48 6213	
Psammop his ^b	mossambic us	Nyagatar e	Rwanda	-1.3 6	30.3 5	CMRK12 5	125	DQ48 6383	DQ48 6222	DQ48 6185
Psammop his	mossambic us	Nyagatar e	Rwanda	-1.2 9	30.2 3	CMRK12 6	126	DQ48 6384	DQ48 6223	_
Psammop his	mossambic us	Nyagatar e	Rwanda	-1.2 9	30.2 3	CMRK12 7	127	DQ48 6385	DQ48 6224	
Psammop his	mossambic us	Mikumi Nat. Pk.	Tanzani a	-7.3 5	37.0 8	CMRK17 5	175	DQ48 6392	DQ48 6231	
Psammop his	mossambic us	Sodwana Bay	South Africa	-27. 69	32.3 7	CMRK23 1	231	DQ48 6400	DQ48 6239	_
Psammop his	mossambic us	Pandama tenga	Botswa na	-18. 64	25.6 3	CMRK26 8	268	DQ48 6411	DQ48 6249	
Psammop his	mossambic us	Butare	Rwanda	-2.6 9	29.7 1	CMRK37 6	376	DQ48 6423	DQ48 6260	_
Psammop his	mossambic us	Maun	Botswa na	-19. 98	23.4 2		Bills	DQ48 6442	DQ48 6278	_
Psammop his	mossambic us	Makuyu	Kenya	-0.9 0	37.1 8	BK10357	BK5	DQ48 6447	DQ48 6283	

Classificati	on	Geographi	c origin			Voucher specimen ^a	mtDN A haploty pe	GenBar number	lk accessi , or autho	on r
Genus	Species (subspecies)	Locality	Country	Lat (deci mal degr ees)	Long (deci mal degr ees)			Cytb	ND4 and tRNA	c-mos
Psammop his	mossambic us	Moebase	Mozam bique	-17. 06	38.6 9	PEM R13258	PEM1 5	DQ48 6457	DQ48 6293	_
Psammop his	mossambic us	Namagur e	Mozam bique	-17. 06	38.6 9	PEM R13217	PEM1 5	DQ48 6460	DQ48 6296	
Psammop his	mossambic us	Palaborw a	South Africa	-23. 95	31.1 2	TM83688	TM836 88	DQ48 6468 (short)	DQ48 6304	
Psammop his	notostictus	Grahams town	South Africa	-33. 30	26.5 1	PEM R5660	21	DQ48 6362	DQ48 6201	
Psammop his ^b	notostictus	Mtn. Zebra Nat. Pk.	South Africa	-32. 17	25.2 7	PEM R5682	55	DQ48 6366	DQ48 6205	DQ48 6182
Psammop his	notostictus	Mtn. Zebra Nat. Pk.	South Africa	-32. 17	25.2 7	PEM R5669	56	DQ48 6367	DQ48 6206	
Psammop his	notostictus	Port Nolloth	South Africa	-29. 25	16.8 7	PEM	PEM6	DQ48 6463	DQ48 6299	
Psammop his	orientalis	Handeni	Tanzani a	-5.4 3	38.0 2	CMRK89	89	DQ48 6380	DQ48 6219	
Psammop his	orientalis	Gilgil	Kenya	-0.5 0	36.3 2	NMK O/3597	161	DQ48 6386	DQ48 6225	
Psammop his	orientalis	Nguru Mtns	Tanzani a	-5.4 3	37.4 5	CMRK17 1	171	DQ48 6390	DQ48 6229	
Psammop his	orientalis	Nguru Mtns	Tanzani a	-5.4 3	37.4 5	CMRK17 2	172	DQ48 6391	DQ48 6230	
Psammop his	orientalis	Udzung wa Nat. Pk.	Tanzani a	-7.5 8	36.3 6	CMRK17 7	177	DQ48 6393	DQ48 6232	_
Psammop his	orientalis	Gorongo sa	Mozam bique	-18. 18	34.1 1	CMRK18 7	187	DQ48 6396	DQ48 6235	_

Classificati	on	Geographi	c origin			Voucher specimen ^a	mtDN A haploty pe	GenBar number	nk accessi , or autho	on r
Genus	Species (subspecies)	Locality	Country	Lat (deci mal degr ees)	Long (deci mal degr ees)			Cytb	ND4 and tRNA	c-mos
Psammop his	orientalis	Moma	Mozam bique	-16. 76	39.2 2	PEM R15622	PEM1 7	DQ48 6459	DQ48 6295	
Psammop his	phillipsii (phillipsii)	Loango Nat. Pk.	Gabon	-2.3 6	9.64	PEM R5451	PEM1 2	DQ48 6454	DQ48 6290	_
Psammop his	cf. phillipsii (occidentali s)	Serenje	Zambia	-12. 76	30.9 3	CMRK71	71	DQ48 6371	DQ48 6210	_
Psammop his	cf. phillipsii (occidentali s)	Kizuka	Burundi	-3.9 0	29.3 9	CMRK37 7	377	DQ48 6424	DQ48 6261	
Psammop his	cf. phillipsii (occidentali s)	Bubanza	Burundi	-3.0 6	29.4 3	CMRK38 1	381	DQ48 6427	DQ48 6264	_
Psammop his ^b	punctulatus (trivirgatus)	Lolkisale	Tanzani a	-3.7 7	36.4 2	CMRK16 7	167	DQ48 6387	DQ48 6226	DQ48 6186
Psammop his	punctulatus (trivirgatus)	Arusha Region	Tanzani a			CMRK39 1	391	DQ48 6432	DQ48 6269	_
Psammop his	punctulatus (trivirgatus)	Watamu	Kenya	-3.3 5	40.0 2	BK10476	BK3	DQ48 6445	DQ48 6281	_
Psammop his	rukwae	Kondoa Region	Tanzani a	-4.9 0	35.7 8	CMRK83	83	DQ48 6375	DQ48 6214	
Psammop his	rukwae	Kondoa Region	Tanzani a	-4.9 0	35.7 8	CMRK85	85	DQ48 6376	DQ48 6215	
Psammop his	rukwae	Lake Baringo	Kenya	0.47	35.9 7	BK10358	BK1	DQ48 6443	DQ48 6279	
Psammop his	rukwae	Kakuyun i	Kenya	-3.2 2	40.0 0	BK10620	BK4	DQ48 6446	DQ48 6282	_
Psammop	cf. rukwae		Senegal	13.9	-14.	MNHN	PEM1	DQ48	DQ48	—

Classificati	on	Geographi	c origin			Voucher specimen ^a	mtDN A haploty pe	GenBar number	nk accessi , or autho	on r
Genus	Species (subspecies)	Locality	Country	Lat (deci mal degr ees)	Long (deci mal degr ees)			Cytb	ND4 and tRNA	c-mos
his				8	57			6452	6288	
Psammop his	schokari (? schokari)	Hazoua	Tunisia			HLMD	26	DQ48 6364	DQ48 6203	_
Psammop his	schokari (? schokari)	Tantan	Morocc o				43	DQ48 6365	DQ48 6204	
Psammop his ^b	schokari (? schokari)	Bou Hedma	Tunisia			HLMD	25_str	DQ48 6441	DQ48 6277	DQ48 6194
Psammop his	cf. sibilans	Keriyo Hamlet	Ethiopia	9.40	38.6 5	CMRK35 2	352	DQ48 6419	DQ48 6256	
Psammop his	cf. sibilans	Keriyo Hamlet	Ethiopia	9.40	38.6 5	CMRK35 8	358	DQ48 6420	DQ48 6257	_
Psammop his	cf. sibilans	Keriyo Hamlet	Ethiopia	9.40	38.6 5	CMRK36 4	364	DQ48 6422	DQ48 6259	
Psammop his	cf. sibilans	Derba	Ethiopia	9.40	38.6 7		C29sb	DQ48 6449	DQ48 6285	
Psammop his	subtaeniatu s	Kwekwe	Zimbab we	-18. 92	29.8 2	NMZB	4	DQ48 6358		
Psammop his	subtaeniatu s	Kazungu la	Botswa na	-17. 96	25.2 3	CMRK24 9	249	DQ48 6408		
Psammop his	subtaeniatu s	Kariba	Zimbab we	-16. 52	28.8 0	CMRK28 2	282	DQ48 6415	DQ48 6253 (short)	
Psammop his ^b	sudanensis	Kingori	Tanzani a	-3.2 8	36.9 8	CMRK91	91	DQ48 6382	DQ48 6221	DQ48 6184
Psammop his	sudanensis	Loitokito k	Kenya	-2.8 4	37.5 2	CMRK33 4	334		DQ48 6307	
Psammop his	sudanensis	Athi River	Kenya	-1.4 5	36.9 8	CMRK38 5	385	DQ48 6429	DQ48 6266	_
Psammop his	sudanensis	Athi River	Kenya	-1.4 5	36.9 8	CMRK38 6	386	DQ48 6430	DQ48 6267	

Classification	on	Geographi	c origin			Voucher specimen ^a	mtDN A haploty pe	GenBar number	nk accessi , or autho	on r
Genus	Species (subspecies)	Locality	Country	Lat (deci mal degr ees)	Long (deci mal degr ees)			Cytb	ND4 and tRNA	c-mos
Psammop his	sudanensis	Namang a	Tanzani a	-2.8 7	36.7 2	CMRK39 0	390	DQ48 6431	DQ48 6268	
Psammop his	sudanensis	Tsavo Nat. Pk.	Kenya	-2.9 8	38.4 7	BK10603	BK2	DQ48 6444	DQ48 6280	_
Psammop his	tanganicus	Dodoma Region	Tanzani a			CMRM86	86	DQ48 6377	DQ48 6216	
Psammop his ^b	tanganicus	Dodoma Region	Tanzani a			CMRK87	87	DQ48 6378	DQ48 6217	DQ48 6183
Psammop his	tanganicus	Dodoma Region	Tanzani a			CMRK88	88	DQ48 6379	DQ48 6218	
Psammop his	tanganicus	Arusha Region	Tanzani a			CMRK90	90	DQ48 6381	DQ48 6220	
Psammop his ^b	trigrammus	Sesfontei n	Namibi a	-19. 17	13.5 7	CAS2147 51	PEM1 6	DQ48 6458	DQ48 6294	DQ48 6196
Psammop his	trigrammus	Brandber g	Namibi a	-21. 13	14.5 8	TM83873	TM838 73	DQ48 6469	DQ48 6305	_
Psammop hylax	multisquam is	Doroba	Ethiopia	9.33	38.9 2	CMRK36 1	361	DQ48 6421	DQ48 6258	_
Psammop hylax ^b	rhombeatus	Grahams town	South Africa	-33. 30	26.5 1	CMRK23 4	234	DQ48 6342	DQ48 6318	DQ48 6166
Psammop hylax ^b	tritaeniatus	Mvuma	Zimbab we	-19. 53	30.7 3	CMRK27 9	279	DQ48 6414	DQ48 6252	DQ48 6190
Psammop hylax	variabilis (variabilis)	Udzung wa Mtns	Tanzani a	-8.2 8	35.9 0	CMRK41 3	413	EU52 6863	EU526 859	_
Rhamphio phis ^b	acutus (acutus)	Gitaba	Burundi	-3.8 5	29.9 8	CMRK37 8	378	DQ48 6425	DQ48 6262	DQ48 6192
Rhamphio phis	acutus (acutus)	Luzamba	Angola	-9.1 2	18.0 6	PEM R13485	PEM8	DQ48 6464	DQ48 6300	

Classification	on	Geographi	c origin			Voucher specimen ^a	mtDN A haploty pe	GenBar number	hk accession, or author	on r
Genus	Species (subspecies)	Locality	Country	Lat (deci mal degr ees)	Long (deci mal degr ees)			Cytb	ND4 and tRNA	c-mos
Rhamphio phis	rostratus	Dodoma Region	Tanzani a			CMRK80	80	DQ48 6336	DQ48 6312	
Rhamphio phis ^b	rostratus	Bubye River	Zimbab we	-21. 70	30.5 1	CMRK18 5	185	DQ48 6394	DQ48 6233	DQ48 6187
Rhamphio phis	rubropunct atus	Kilimanj aro Airport	Tanzani a	-3.4 3	37.0 7	CMRK30 3	303	DQ48 6417	_	
Outgroups	for phylogenet	ic reconstru	ction					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Aspidelap s ^b	scutatus							AF21 7828	AY05 8969 ^c	AY05 8923
Atractaspi s ^b	bibronii							AY18 8008	U4931 4 ^c	AY18 7969
Buhoma ^b	procterae	Udzung wa Nat. Pk.	Tanzani a	-8.3 7	35.9 7	ZMUC R631315		DQ48 6353	DQ48 6328	DQ48 6177
Duberria ^b	lutrix	Limuru	Kenya	-1.1 1	36.6 1	NMK O/3578		DQ48 6337	DQ48 6313	DQ48 6161
Lamproph is ^b	guttatus	Ngotshe District	South Africa	-27. 85	31.3 3	TM84363		DQ48 6355	DQ48 6330	DQ48 6179
Pseudaspi s ^b	cana ^b	Nata	Botswa na	-19. 92	26.1 5	CMRK24 6		DQ48 6343	DQ48 6319	DQ48 6167

Original sequences are in bold, and where available, voucher and locality data are given for the associated specimens. Haplotype labels are reflected in the figures, tables and text. Psammophiid taxonomy follows the most recent literature and does not incorporate the changes proposed in this paper.^a Institutional codes for voucher specimens: BK, Bio-Ken collection, Watamu, Kenya; CAS, California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, USA; CMRK, Christopher M. R. Kelly, private collection; HLMD, Hessisches Landesmuseum Darmstadt, Germany; MNHN, Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France; NMK, National Museums of Kenya; NMZB, Natural History Museums of Zimbabwe, Bulawayo; PEM, Port Elizabeth Museum, South Africa; TM, Northern Flagship Institution, Pretoria, South Africa; ZMUC, Zoological Museum, University of Copenhagen, Denmark.

^b Taxa comprising the pruned 'mixed' data set in which mitochondrial and nuclear sequences were combined. ^c Sequences lacking tRNA data.

References

Arévalo et al., 1994 E. Arévalo, S.K. Davis and J.W. Sites Jr., Mitochondrial DNA sequence divergence and phylogenetic relationships among eight chromosome races of the Sceloporus grammicus complex (Phrynosomatidae) in Central Mexico, Syst. Biol. 43 (1994), pp. 387–418.

Barker and Lutzoni, 2002 F.K. Barker and F.M. Lutzoni, The utility of the incongruence length difference test, Syst. Biol. 51 (2002), pp. 625–637.

Bensasson et al., 2001 D. Bensasson, D.-X. Zhang, D.L. Hartl and G.M. Hewitt, Mitochondrial pseudogenes: evolution's misplaced witnesses, Trends Ecol. Evol. 16 (2001), pp. 314–321.

Bezy and Drewes, 1985 R.L. Bezy and R.C. Drewes, Specific status of the East African colubrid snake, Psammophis tanganicus, J. Herpetol. 19 (1985), pp. 246–253.

Bogert, 1940 C.M. Bogert, Herpetological results of the Vernay Angola expedition. Part 1. Snakes, including an arrangement of the African Colubridae, Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist. 77 (1940), pp. 1–107.

Böhme, 1978 W. Böhme, Zur Herpetofaunistik des Senegal, Bonn. Zool. Beitr. 29 (1978), pp. 360-417.

Böhme, 1986 W. Böhme, Preliminary note on the taxonomic status of Psammophis leucogaster Spawls, 1983 (Colubridae: Psammophini), Litter. Serpent. 6 (1986), pp. 171–180.

Böhme and Schneider, 1987 W. Böhme and B. Schneider, Zur Herpetofaunistik Kameruns (III) mit Bescreibung einer neuen Cardioglossa (Anura: Arthropleptidae), Bonn. Zool. Beitr. 38 (1987), pp. 241–263.

Boulenger, 1896 G.A. Boulenger, Catalogue of the Snakes in the British Museum (Natural History) vol. 3, Taylor and Francis, London (1896).

Bourgeois, 1968 M. Bourgeois, Contribution à la morphologie comparée du crâne des Ophidiens de l'Afrique Centrale, Publications de l'Université Officielle du Congo vol. XVIII (1968), pp. 1–293.

Branch, 1998 W.R. Branch, A Field Guide to the Snakes and other Reptiles of Southern Africa, Struik Publishers, Cape Town (1998).

Brandstätter, 1995 Brandstätter, F., 1995. Eine Revision der Gattung Psammophis mit Berücksichtigung der Schwestergattungen innerhalb der Tribus Psammophiini (Colubridae: Lycodontinae). Teil 1: Die Gattungen und Arten der Tribus Psammophiini. Teil 2: Rasterelektronenmikroskopische Untersuchungen zur Schuppenultrastruktur bei den Arten der Tribus Psammophiini mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Arten der Gattung Psammophis. Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften, Universität des Saarlandes, Saarbrücken, 480 pp.

Broadley, 1966 D.G. Broadley, A review of the African Stripe-bellied Sand-snakes of the genus Psammophis, Arnoldia (Rhod.) 2 (1966), pp. 1–9.

Broadley, 1971 D.G. Broadley, A review of Rhamphiophis acutus (Günther) with the description of a new subspecies from Zambia (Serpentes: Colubridae), Arnoldia (Rhod.) 5 (1971), pp. 1–8.

Broadley, 1975 D.G. Broadley, A review of Psammophis leightoni and Psammophis notostictus in Southern Africa (Serpentes: Colubridae), Arnoldia (Rhod.) 7 (1975), pp. 1–17.

Broadley, 1977a D.G. Broadley, A review of the Genus Psammophis in southern Africa (Serpentes: Colubridae), Arnoldia (Rhod.) 8 (1977), pp. 1–29.

Broadley, 1977b D.G. Broadley, A revision of the African snakes of the genus Psammophylax Fitzinger (Colubridae), Occ. Pap. Natl. Mus. Rhod., Ser. B 6 (1977), pp. 1–44.

Broadley, 1983 D.G. Broadley, FitzSimons' Snakes of Southern Africa revised ed., Delta Books, Johannesburg (1983).

Broadley, 2002 D.G. Broadley, A review of the species of Psammophis Boie found south of Latitude 12 S (Serpentes: Psammophiinae), Afr. J. Herpetol. 51 (2002), pp. 83–119.

Broadley and Howell, 1991 D.G. Broadley and K.M. Howell, A check list of the reptiles of Tanzania, with synoptic keys, Syntarsus 1 (1991), pp. 1–70.

Broadley and Hughes, 2000 D.G. Broadley and B. Hughes, A revision of the African genus Hemirhagerrhis Boettger 1893 (Serpentes: Colubridae), Syntarsus 6 (2000), pp. 1–17.

Bryant and Moulton, 2004 D. Bryant and V. Moulton, Neighbor-Net: an agglomerative method for the construction of phylogenetic networks, Mol. Biol. Evol. 21 (2004), pp. 255–265.

Burbrink et al., 2000 F.T. Burbrink, R. Lawson and J.B. Slowinski, Mitochondrial DNA phylogeography of the polytypic North American rat snake (Elaphe obsoleta): a critique of the subspecies concept, Evolution 54 (2000), pp. 2107–2118.

Cadle, 1994 J.E. Cadle, The colubrid radiation in Africa (Serpentes: Colubridae): phylogenetic relationships and evolutionary patterns based on immunological data, Zool. J. Linn. Soc. 110 (1994), pp. 103–140.

Carranza et al., 2006 S. Carranza, E.N. Arnold and J.M. Pleguezuelos, Phylogeny, biogeography, and evolution of two Mediterranean snakes, Malpolon monspessulanus and Hemorrhois hippocrepis (Squamata, Colubridae), using mtDNA sequences, Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 40 (2006), pp. 532–546.

Castoe et al., 2004 T.A. Castoe, T.M. Doan and C.L. Parkinson, Data partitions and complex models in Bayesian analysis: the phylogeny of Gymnophthalmid lizards, Syst. Biol. 53 (2004), pp. 448–469.

Chippaux, 2001 J.-P. Chippaux, Les serpents d'Afrique occidentale et centrale, IRD Éditions, Paris (2001).

Chirio and Ineich, 1991 Chirio, L., Ineich, I. 1991. Les genres Rhamphiophis Peters, 1854 et Dipsina Jan, 1863 (Serpentes: Colubridae): revue des taxons reconnus et description d'une espèce nouvelle. Bull. Mus. natl. Hist. nat., Paris., 4e sér., 13A, 217-235.

Dayrat, 2005 B. Dayrat, Towards integrative taxonomy, Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 85 (2005), pp. 407-415.

de Queiroz and Donoghue, 1990 K. de Queiroz and M.J. Donoghue, Phylogenetic systematics or Nelson's version of cladistics?, Cladistics 6 (1990), pp. 61–75.

de Queiroz et al., 2002 A. de Queiroz, R. Lawson and J.A. Lemos-Espinal, Phylogenetic relationships of North American garter snakes (Thamnophis) based on four mitochondrial genes: How much DNA sequence is enough?, Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 22 (2002), pp. 315–329.

Dowling and Duellman, 1978 H.G. Dowling and W.E. Duellman, Systematic herpetology: a synopsis of families and higher categories, HISS Publications, New York (1978).

Farris et al., 1995 J.S. Farris, M. Kallersjo, A.G. Kluge and C. Bult, Constructing a significance test for incongruence, Syst. Biol. 44 (1995), pp. 570–572.

Felsenstein, 1985 J. Felsenstein, Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap, Evolution 39 (1985), pp. 783–791

Gasc et al., 1997 Gasc, J.P., Cabela, A., Crnobrnja-Isailovic, J., Dolmen, D., Grossenbacher, K., Haffner, P., Lescure, J., Martens, H., Martínez Rica, J.P., Maurin, H., Oliveira, M.E., Sofianidou, T.S., Veith, M., Zuiderwijk, A. (Eds.), 1997. Atlas of amphibians and reptiles in Europe. In: Collection Patrimoines Naturels, vol. 29. Societas Europaea Herpetologica, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle & Service du Petrimone Naturel, Paris.

Geniez et al., 2006 P. Geniez, A. Cluchier and C.C. de Haan, A multivariate analysis of the morphology of the colubrid snake Malpolon monspessulanus in Morocco and Western Sahara: biogeographic and systematic implications, Salamandra 42 (2006), pp. 65–82.

Glaw and Vences, 1994 F. Glaw and M. Vences, A field guide to the amphibians and reptiles of Madagascar (second ed.), Vences and Glaw, Köln (1994).

Gravlund, 2001 P. Gravlund, Radiation within the advanced snakes (Caenophidia) with special emphasis on African opistoglyph colubrids, based on mitochondrial sequence data, Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 72 (2001), pp. 99–114.

Hall, 1999 T.A. Hall, BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT, Nucleic Acids Symp. Ser. 41 (1999), pp. 95–98.

Hebert et al., 2004 P.D.N. Hebert, E.H. Penton, J.M. Burns, D.H. Janzen and W. Hallwachs, Ten species in one: DNA barcoding reveals cryptic species in the neotropical skipper butterfly Astraptes fulgerator, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101 (2004), pp. 14812–14817.

Hillis and Bull, 1993 D.M. Hillis and J.J. Bull, An empirical test of bootstrapping as a method for assessing confidence in phylogenetic analysis, Syst. Biol. 42 (1993), pp. 182–192.

Huelsenbeck and Lander, 2003 J.P. Huelsenbeck and K.M. Lander, Frequent inconsistency of parsimony under a simple model of cladogenesis, Syst. Biol. 52 (2003), pp. 641–648.

Hughes, 1999 B. Hughes, Critical review of a revision of Psammophis (Linnaeus 1758) (Serpentes, Reptilia) by Frank Brandstätter, Afr. J. Herpetol. 48 (1999), pp. 63–70.

Hughes, 2004 B. Hughes, Misidentification of Dromophis lineatus (Dumèril & Bibron, 1854) as Psammophis sibilans (Linnè 1758) and the perpetuation of error, Afr. J. Herpetol. 53 (2004), pp. 63–76.

Hughes and Wade, 2002 B. Hughes and E. Wade, On the African leopard whip snake, Psammophis leopardinus Bocage, 1887 (Serpentes, Colubridae), with the description of a new species from Zambia, Bull. Nat. Hist. Mus. Lond. (Zool.) 68 (2002), pp. 75–81.

Hughes and Wade, 2004 B. Hughes and E. Wade, Is Psammophis sibilans occidentalis Werner, 1919 a junior synonym of P. phillipsii (Hallowell, 1844)?, Herpetozoa 16 (2004), pp. 127–132.

Huson and Bryant, 2004 Huson, D.H., Bryant, D., 2004. Estimating Phylogenetic Trees and Networks Using Splitstree 4. Software available from http://www-ab.informatik.uni-tuebingen.de/software.

Joger, 1981 U. Joger, Zur Herpefaunistik Westafrikas, Bonn. Zool. Beitr. 32 (1981), pp. 297-340.

Kelly et al., 2003 C.M. Kelly, N.P. Barker and M.H. Villet, Phylogenetics of advanced snakes (Caenophidia) based on four mitochondrial genes, Syst. Biol. 52 (2003), pp. 439–459.

Kumar et al., 2001 S. Kumar, K. Tamura, I.B. Jakobsen and M. Nei, MEGA2: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis software, Bioinformatics 17 (2001), pp. 1244–1245.

Lanza, 1990 B. Lanza, Amphibians and reptiles of the Somali Democratic Republic: checklist and biogeography, Biogeographia 14 (1990), pp. 407–465.

Largen and Rasmussen, 1993 M.J. Largen and J.B. Rasmussen, Catalogue of the snakes of Ethiopia (Reptilia Serpentes), including identification keys, Trop. Zool. 6 (1993), pp. 313–434.

Loveridge, 1940 A. Loveridge, Revision of the African snakes of the genera Dromophis and Psammophis, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. 87 (1940), pp. 1–69.

Luiselli et al., 2004 L. Luiselli, G.C. Akani, F.M. Angelici, E.A. Eniang, L. Ude and E. Politano, Local distribution, habitat use, and diet of two supposed 'species' of the Psammophis 'phillipsi' complex (Serpentes: Colubridae), sympatric in southern Nigeria, Amphibia–Reptilia 25 (2004), pp. 415–423.

Morando et al., 2003 M. Morando, L.J. Avila and J.W. Sites Jr., Sampling strategies for delimiting species: genes, individuals, and populations in the Liolaemus elongatus kriegi complex (Squamata: Liolaemidae) in Andean–Patagonian South America, Syst. Biol. 52 (2003), pp. 159–185.

Moritz and Cicero, 2004 C. Moritz and C. Cicero, DNA barcoding: promise and pitfalls, PLoS Biol. 2 (2004), p. e354.

Nagy et al., 2003 Z.T. Nagy, U. Joger, M. Wink, F. Glaw and M. Vences, Multiple colonization of Madagascar and Socotra by colubrid snakes: evidence from nuclear and mitochondrial gene phylogenies, Proc. R. Soc. B 270 (2003), pp. 2613–2621.

Neigel and Avise, 1986 J.E. Neigel and J.C. Avise, Phylogenetic relationships of mitochondrial DNA under various demographic models of speciation. In: E. Nevo and S. Karlin, Editors, Evolutionary Processes and Theory, Academic Press, New York (1986), pp. 515–534.

Ogden and Thorpe, 2002 R. Ogden and R.S. Thorpe, Molecular evidence for ecological speciation in tropical habitats, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99 (2002), pp. 13612–13615.

Panchal and Beaumont, 2007 M. Panchal and M.A. Beaumont, The automation and evaluation of nested clade phylogeographic analysis, Evolution 61 (2007), pp. 1466–1480.

Petit, 2008 R.J. Petit, The coup de grâce for the nested clade phylogeographic analysis?, Mol. Ecol. 17 (2008), pp. 516–518.

Posada and Crandall, 1998 D. Posada and K.A. Crandall, MODELTEST: testing the model of DNA substitution, Bioinformatics 14 (1998), pp. 817–818.

Puorto et al., 2001 G. Puorto, M. Da Graça Salomão, R.D.G. Theakston, R.S. Thorpe, D.A. Warrell and W. Wüster, Combining mitochondrial DNA sequences and morphological data to infer species boundaries: phylogeography of lanceheaded pitvipers in the Brazilian Atlantic forest, and the status of Bothrops pradoi (Squamata: Serpentes: Viperidae), J. Evol. Biol. 14 (2001), pp. 527–538.

Rato et al., 2007 C. Rato, J.C. Brito, M.A. Carretero, S. Larbes, B. Shacham and D.J. Harris, Phylogeography and genetic diversity of Psammophis schokari (Serpentes) in North Africa based on mitochondrial DNA sequences, Afr. Zool. 42 (2007), pp. 112–117.

Roe and Sperling, 2007 A.D. Roe and F.A.H. Sperling, Patterns of evolution of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase I and II DNA and implications for DNA barcoding, Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 44 (2007), pp. 325–345.

Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003 F. Ronquist and J.P. Huelsenbeck, MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models, Bioinformatics 19 (2003), pp. 1572–1574.

Sambrook et al., 1989 J. Sambrook, E.F. Frisch and T.E. Maniatis, Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, Cold Spring Harbour Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbour, New York (1989).

Schleich et al., 1996 H.-H. Schleich, W. Kastle and K. Kabisch, Amphibians and Reptiles of North Africa, Koeltz Scientific, Koenigstein (1996).

Slowinski and Lawson, 2002 J.B. Slowinski and R. Lawson, Snake phylogeny: evidence from nuclear and mitochondrial genes, Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 24 (2002), pp. 194–202.

Smith et al., 1997 T.B. Smith, R.K. Wayne, D.J. Girman and M.W. Bruford, A role for ecotones in generating rainforest biodiversity, Science 276 (1997), pp. 1855–1857.

Sorenson and Fleischer, 1996 M.D. Sorenson and R.C. Fleischer, Multiple independent transpositions of mitochondrial DNA control region sequences to the nucleus, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93 (1996), pp. 15239–15243.

Spawls et al., 2002 S. Spawls, K. Howell, R. Drewes and J. Ashe, A Field Guide to the Reptiles of East Africa, Academic Press, London (2002).

Stamatakis, 2006 A. Stamatakis, RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models, Bioinformatics 22 (2006), pp. 2688–2690.

Swofford, 2002 Swofford, D.L., 2002. PAUP*: phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (* and other methods), version 4b10. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.

Templeton, 2001 A.R. Templeton, Using phylogeographic analyses of gene trees to test species status and processes, Mol. Ecol. 10 (2001), pp. 779–791.

Templeton et al., 1987 A.R. Templeton, E. Boerwinkle and C.F. Sing, A cladistic analysis of phenotypic associations with haplotypes inferred from restriction endonuclease mapping. I. Basic theory and an analysis of alcohol dehydrogenase activity in Drosophila, Genetics 117 (1987), pp. 343–351.

Templeton et al., 1995 A.R. Templeton, E. Routman and C.A. Phillips, Separating population structure from population history: a cladistic analysis of the geographical distribution of mitochondrial DNA haplotypes in the Tiger Salamander, Ambystoma tigrinum, Genetics 140 (1995), pp. 767–782.

Templeton and Sing, 1993 A.R. Templeton and C.F. Sing, A cladistic analysis of phenotypic associations with haplotypes inferred from restriction endonuclease mapping. IV. Nested analyses with cladogram uncertainty and recombination, Genetics 134 (1993), pp. 659–669.

Thompson et al., 1997 J.D. Thompson, T.J. Gibson, F. Plewniak, F. Jeanmougin and D.G. Higgins, The CLUSTAL_X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools, Nucleic Acids Res. 25 (1997), pp. 4876–4882.

Thorpe and Richard, 2001 R.S. Thorpe and M. Richard, Evidence that ultraviolet markings are associated with patterns of molecular gene flow, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98 (2001), pp. 3929–3934.

Vidal and Hedges, 2002 N. Vidal and S.B. Hedges, Higher-level relationships of caenophidian snakes inferred from four nuclear and mitochondrial genes, C. R. Biol. 325 (2002), pp. 987–995.

Wiens and Penkrot, 2002 J.J. Wiens and T.A. Penkrot, Delimiting species using DNA and morphological variation and discordant species limits in spiny lizards (Sceloporus), Syst. Biol. 51 (2002), pp. 69–91.

Xia and Xie, 2001 X. Xia and Z. Xie, DAMBE: software package for data analysis in molecular biology and evolution, J. Hered. 92 (2001), pp. 371–373.

Zaher, 2000 H. Zaher, Hemipenial morphology of the South American Xenodontine snakes, with a proposal for a monophyletic Xenodontinae and a reappraisal of colubroid hemipenes, Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist. 240 (2000), pp. 1–168.

Zwickl, 2006 Zwickl, D.J., 2006. Genetic Algorithm Approaches for the Phylogenetic Analysis of Large Biological Sequence Datasets under the Maximum Likelihood Criterion. The University of Texas, Austin.