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#### Abstract

We obtain bounds for the expected loss of torsional rigidity of a cylinder $\Omega_{L}=(-L / 2, L / 2) \times$ $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ of length $L$ due to a Brownian fracture that starts at a random point in $\Omega_{L}$, and runs until the first time it exits $\Omega_{L}$. These bounds are expressed in terms of the geometry of the cross-section $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$. It is shown that if $\Omega$ is a disc with radius $R$, then in the limit as $L \rightarrow \infty$ the expected loss of torsional rigidity equals $c R^{5}$ for some $c \in(0, \infty)$. We derive bounds for $c$ in terms of the expected Newtonian capacity of the trace of a Brownian path that starts at the centre of a ball in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with radius 1 , and runs until the first time it exits this ball. AMS 2000 subject classifications. 35J20, 60G50. Key words and phrases. Brownian motion, torsional rigidity, heat kernel, capacity. Acknowledgment. The authors acknowledge support by The Leverhulme Trust through International Network Grant Laplacians, Random Walks, Bose Gas, Quantum Spin Systems. FdH was also supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) through Gravitationgrant NETWORKS-024.002.003.


## 1 Introduction

In Section 1.1 we formulate the problem, in Section 1.2 we recall some basic facts, in Section 1.3 we state our main theorems, and in Section 1.4 we discuss these theorems and provide an outline of the remainder of the paper.

### 1.1 Background and motivation

Let $\Lambda$ be an open and bounded set in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$, with boundary $\partial \Lambda$ and Lebesgue measure $|\Lambda|$. Let $\Delta$ be the Laplace operator acting in $\mathcal{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$. Let $\left(\bar{\beta}(s), s \geq 0 ; \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ be Brownian motion in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ with generator $\Delta$. Denote the first exit time from $\Lambda$ by

$$
\bar{\tau}(\Lambda)=\inf \left\{s \geq 0: \bar{\beta}(s) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}-\Lambda\right\}
$$

and the expected lifetime in $\Lambda$ starting from $x$ by

$$
v_{\Lambda}(x)=\overline{\mathbb{E}}_{x}[\bar{\tau}(\Lambda)], \quad x \in \Lambda
$$

where $\overline{\mathbb{E}}_{x}$ denotes the expectation associated with $\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}$. The function $v_{\Lambda}$ is the unique solution of the equation

$$
-\Delta v=1, \quad v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Lambda)
$$

where the requirement $v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Lambda)$ imposes Dirichlet boundary conditions on $\partial \Lambda$. The function $v_{\Lambda}$ is known as the torsion function and found its origin in elasticity theory. See for example [17]. The torsional rigidity $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$ of $\Lambda$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)=\int_{\Lambda} d x v_{\Lambda}(x)
$$

Torsional rigidity plays a key role in many different parts of analysis. For example, the torsional rigidity of a cross-section of a beam appears in the computation of the angular change when a beam of a given length and a given modulus of rigidity is exposed to a twisting moment [1], [14]. It also arises in the calculation of the heat content of sets with time-dependent boundary conditions [2], in the definition of gamma convergence [9], and in the study of minimal submanifolds [13]. Moreover, $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda) /|\Lambda|$ equals the expected lifetime of Brownian motion in $\Lambda$ when averaged with respect to the uniform distribution over all starting points $x \in \Lambda$.

Consider a finite cylinder in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ of the form

$$
\Omega_{L}=(-L / 2, L / 2) \times \Omega,
$$

where $\Omega$ is an open and bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, referred to as the cross-section. It follows from [5, Theorem 5.1] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}^{\prime}(\Omega) L \geq \mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{L}\right)=\mathcal{T}^{\prime}(\Omega) L-4 \mathcal{H}^{2}(\Omega) \lambda_{1}^{\prime}(\Omega)^{-3 / 2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{H}^{2}$ denotes the two-dimensional Hausdorff measure, $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}(\Omega)$ is the first eigenvalue of the twodimensional Dirichlet Laplacian acting in $\mathcal{L}^{2}(\Omega)$, and $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}(\Omega)$ is the two-dimensional torsional rigidity of the planar set $\Omega$.

We observe that in (1.1) the leading term is extensive, i.e., proportional to $L$, and that its coefficient $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}(\Omega)$ depends on the torsional rigidity of the cross-section $\Omega$. There is a substantial literature on the computation of the two-dimensional torsional rigidity for given planar sets $\Omega$. See, for example, [17] and [16]. The finiteness of the cylinder induces a correction that is at most $O(1)$.

Let $\left(\beta(s), s \geq 0 ; \mathbb{P}_{x}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ be a Brownian motion, independent of $\left(\bar{\beta}(s), s \geq 0 ; \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(\Lambda)=\inf \left\{s \geq 0: \beta(s) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}-\Lambda\right\} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote its trace in $\Lambda$ up to the first exit time of $\Lambda$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{B}(\Lambda)=\{\beta(s): 0 \leq s \leq \tau(\Lambda)\} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper we investigate the effect of a Brownian fracture $\mathfrak{B}\left(\Omega_{L}\right)$ on the torsional rigidity of $\Omega_{L}$. More specifically, we consider the random variable $\mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{L}-\mathfrak{B}\left(\Omega_{L}\right)\right)$, and we investigate the expected loss of torsional rigidity averaged over both the path $\mathfrak{B}\left(\Omega_{L}\right)$ and the starting point $y$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{T}\left(\Omega_{L}\right)=\frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{L}\right|} \int_{\Omega_{L}} d y \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{L}\right)-\mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{L}-\mathfrak{B}\left(\Omega_{L}\right)\right)\right], \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{y}$ denotes the expectation associated with $\mathbb{P}_{y}$.

### 1.2 Preliminaries

It is well known that the rich interplay between elliptic and parabolic partial differential equations provides tools for linking various properties. See, for example, the monograph by Davies [10], and $[3,4,5,7,8]$ for more recent results. As both the statements and the proofs of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 below rely on the connection between the torsion function, the torsional rigidity, and the heat content, we recall some basic facts.

For an open set $\Lambda$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ with boundary $\partial \Lambda$, we denote the Dirichlet heat kernel by $p_{\Lambda}(x, y ; t), x, y \in$ $\Lambda, t>0$. The integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\Lambda}(x ; t)=\int_{\Lambda} d y p_{\Lambda}(x, y ; t), \quad x \in \Lambda, t>0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the unique weak solution of the heat equation

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x ; t)=\Delta u(x ; t), \quad x \in \Lambda, t>0
$$

with initial condition

$$
\lim _{t \downarrow 0} u(\cdot ; t)=1 \text { in } \mathcal{L}^{1}(\Lambda)
$$

and with Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$
u(\cdot ; t) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Lambda), \quad t>0
$$

We denote the heat content of $\Lambda$ at time $t$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\Lambda}(t)=\int_{\Lambda} d x u_{\Lambda}(x ; t)=\int_{\Lambda} d x \int_{\Lambda} d y p_{\Lambda}(x, y ; t), \quad t>0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The heat content represents the amount of heat in $\Lambda$ at time $t$ when $\Lambda$ has initial temperature 1 while $\partial \Lambda$ is kept at temperature 0 for all $t>0$. Since the Dirichlet heat kernel is non-negative and is monotone in $\Lambda$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq p_{\Lambda}(x, y ; t) \leq p_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}(x, y ; t)=(4 \pi t)^{-m / 2} e^{-|x-y|^{2} /(4 t)} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (1.5) and (1.7) that

$$
0 \leq u_{\Lambda}(x ; t) \leq 1, \quad x \in \Lambda, t>0
$$

and that if $|\Lambda|<\infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq Q_{\Lambda}(t) \leq|\Lambda|, \quad t>0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the latter case we also have an eigenfunction expansion for the Dirichlet heat kernel in terms of the Dirichlet eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}(\Lambda) \leq \lambda_{2}(\Lambda) \leq \ldots$, and a corresponding orthonormal set of eigenfunctions $\left\{\varphi_{\Lambda, 1}, \varphi_{\Lambda, 2}, \ldots\right\}$, namely,

$$
p_{\Lambda}(x, y ; t)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-t \lambda_{j}(\Lambda)} \varphi_{\Lambda, j}(x) \varphi_{\Lambda, j}(y), \quad x, y \in \Lambda, t>0
$$

We note that by [10, p.63] the eigenfunctions are in $\mathcal{L}^{p}(\Lambda)$ for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. It follows from Parseval's formula that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\Lambda}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-t \lambda_{j}(\Lambda)}\left(\int_{\Lambda} d x \varphi_{\Lambda, j}(x)\right)^{2} \leq e^{-t \lambda_{1}(\Lambda)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\int_{\Lambda} d x \varphi_{\Lambda, j}(x)\right)^{2}=e^{-t \lambda_{1}(\Lambda)}|\Lambda|, \quad t>0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which improves upon (1.8). Since the torsion function is given by

$$
v_{\Lambda}(x)=\int_{[0, \infty)} d t u_{\Lambda}(x ; t), \quad x \in \Lambda
$$

we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)=\int_{[0, \infty)} d t Q_{\Lambda}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j}(\Lambda)^{-1}\left(\int_{\Omega} d x \varphi_{\Lambda, j}(x)\right)^{2} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.3 Main theorems

To state our theorems, we introduce the following notation. Two-dimensional quantities, such as the heat content for the planar set $\Omega$, carry a superscript '. The Newtonian capacity of a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is denoted by $\operatorname{cap}(K)$. For $R, L>0$ we define

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{R} & =\left\{x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:\left|x^{\prime}\right|<R\right\} \\
C_{L, R} & =(-L / 2, L / 2) \times D_{R}  \tag{1.11}\\
C_{R} & =C_{R, \infty}
\end{align*}
$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $r>0$, we write $B(x ; r)=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{3}:|y-x|<r\right\}$.
Theorem 1.1 If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is open and bounded, then
(i)

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{L}\right)-\mathcal{T}^{\prime}(\Omega) L+\frac{4}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \int_{[0, \infty)} d t t^{1 / 2} Q_{\Omega}^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{8}{L} \lambda_{1}^{\prime}(\Omega)^{-1} \mathcal{T}^{\prime}(\Omega), \quad L>0 \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{T}\left(\Omega_{L}\right) \leq 6 \lambda_{1}^{\prime}(\Omega)^{-1 / 2} \mathcal{T}^{\prime}(\Omega), \quad L>0 \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{L \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{T}\left(\Omega_{L}\right) \leq 4 \lambda_{1}^{\prime}(\Omega)^{-1 / 2} \mathcal{T}^{\prime}(\Omega) \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.2 If $\Omega=D_{R}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{L \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{T}\left(C_{L, R}\right)=c R^{5}, \quad R>0 \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{67703 \sqrt{79}-582194}{5059848192} \kappa \leq c \leq \frac{\pi}{2 j_{0}} \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j_{0}=2.4048 \ldots$ is the first positive zero of the Bessel function $J_{0}$, and

$$
\kappa=\mathbb{E}_{0}[\operatorname{cap}(\mathfrak{B}(B(0 ; 1)))]
$$

We obtain better estimates when the Brownian fracture starts on the axis of the cylinder $C_{L, R}$, with a uniformly distributed starting point. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{C}\left(C_{L, R}\right)=\frac{1}{L} \int_{(-L / 2, L / 2)} d y_{1} \mathbb{E}_{\left(y_{1}, 0\right)}\left[\mathcal{T}\left(C_{L, R}\right)-\mathcal{T}\left(C_{L, R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{L, R}\right)\right)\right] \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.3 If $\Omega=D_{R}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{L \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{C}\left(C_{L, R}\right)=c^{\prime} R^{5}, \quad R>0 \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2867 \sqrt{61}-21773}{303750} \kappa \leq c^{\prime} \leq \frac{\pi}{4}\left(1+\frac{1}{j_{0}}\right) \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.4 Discussion and outline

Theorem 1.1(i) is a refinement of (1.1), while Theorems 1.1(ii) and 1.1(iii) provide upper bounds for the expected loss of torsional rigidity. Theorem 1.2 gives a formula for the expected loss of torsional rigidity in the special case where $\Omega$ is a disc with radius $R$. Theorem 1.3 does the same when the fracture starts on the axis of the cylinder, with a uniformly distributed starting point.

Computing the bounds in (1.16) numerically, we find that the upper bound is 0.653 and the lower bound is approximately $.386 \times 10^{-5} \kappa$. Since $\kappa$ is bounded from above by $\operatorname{cap}(B(0 ; 1))=4 \pi$, the lefthand side is at most $0.485 \times 10^{-4}$. Thus, the bounds are at least 4 orders of magnitude apart. It is not clear what the correct order of $c$ should be. The bounds for $c^{\prime}$ in Theorem 1.3 are at least two orders of magnitude apart.

The remainder of this paper is organised as follows. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 2, and uses the spectral representation of the heat kernel in Section 1.2. The proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are given in Section 4, and rely on a key proposition, stated and proved in Section 3, that provides a representation of the constants $c$ and $c^{\prime}$.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1(i). We use separation of variables, and write $x=\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right), y=\left(y_{1}, y^{\prime}\right), x_{1}, y_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$, $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Since the heat kernel factorises, we have

$$
p_{\Omega_{L}}(x, y ; t)=p_{(-L / 2, L / 2)}^{(1)}\left(x_{1}, y_{1} ; t\right) p_{\Omega}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} ; t\right), \quad x, y \in \Omega_{L}, t>0
$$

where $p_{(-L / 2, L / 2)}^{(1)}\left(x_{1}, y_{1} ; t\right)$ is the one-dimensional Dirichlet heat kernel for the interval $(-L / 2, L / 2)$, and $p_{\Omega}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} ; t\right)$ is the two-dimensional Dirichlet heat kernel for the planar set $\Omega$. By integrating over $\Omega_{L}$, we see that the heat content also factorises,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\Omega_{L}}(t)=Q_{(-L / 2, L / 2)}^{(1)}(t) Q_{\Omega}^{\prime}(t), \quad t>0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{(-L / 2, L / 2)}^{(1)}$ is the one-dimensional heat content for the interval $(-L / 2, L / 2)$, and $Q_{\Omega}^{\prime}$ is the two-dimensional heat content for the planar set $\Omega$. In [5] it was shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L-\frac{4 t^{1 / 2}}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \leq Q_{(-L / 2, L / 2)}^{(1)}(t) \leq L-\frac{4 t^{1 / 2}}{\pi^{1 / 2}}+\frac{8 t}{L}, \quad t>0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (1.10), (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{L}\right) & =\int_{[0, \infty)} d t Q_{\Omega_{L}}(t) \leq \int_{[0, \infty)} d t\left(L-\frac{4 t^{1 / 2}}{\pi^{1 / 2}}+\frac{8 t}{L}\right) Q_{\Omega}^{\prime}(t) \\
& =L \mathcal{T}^{\prime}(\Omega)-\frac{4}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \int_{[0, \infty)} d t t^{1 / 2} Q_{\Omega}^{\prime}(t)+\frac{8}{L} \int_{[0, \infty)} d t t Q_{\Omega}^{\prime}(t) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

To bound the third term in the right-hand side of (2.3), we use the identities in (1.9) and (1.10) to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{[0, \infty)} d t t Q_{\Omega}^{\prime}(t) & =\int_{[0, \infty)} d t t \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-t \lambda_{j}^{\prime}(\Omega)}\left(\int_{\Omega} d x \varphi_{\Omega, j}(x)\right)^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j}^{\prime}(\Omega)^{-2}\left(\int_{\Omega} d x \varphi_{\Omega, j}(x)\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \lambda_{1}^{\prime}(\Omega)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j}^{\prime}(\Omega)^{-1}\left(\int_{\Omega} d x \varphi_{\Omega, j}(x)\right)^{2}=\lambda_{1}^{\prime}(\Omega)^{-1} \mathcal{T}^{\prime}(\Omega) \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

This completes the proof of the right-hand side of (1.12). The left-hand side of (1.12) follows from (1.10), (2.1) and the first inequality in (2.2).

Proof of Theorem 1.1(ii). Since $\Omega_{L} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \Omega$, we have that $v_{\Omega_{L}}\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right) \leq v_{\mathbb{R} \times \Omega}\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right)=v_{\Omega}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{L}\right) \leq \int_{(-L / 2, L / 2)} d x_{1} \int_{\Omega} d x^{\prime} v_{\Omega}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=L \mathcal{T}^{\prime}(\Omega) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove the upper bound in (1.13), we recall (1.4) and combine (2.5) with a lower bound for $\mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\left(\mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{L}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathfrak{B}\left(\Omega_{L}\right)\right)$ ]. We observe that, for the Brownian motion defining $\mathfrak{B}\left(\Omega_{L}\right)$ (recall (1.2) and (1.3)) with starting point $\beta(0)=\left(\beta_{1}(0), \beta^{\prime}(0)\right)$,

$$
\tau\left(\Omega_{L}\right) \leq \tau^{\prime}(\Omega)=\inf \left\{s \geq 0: \beta^{\prime}(s) \notin \Omega\right\}
$$

Hence

$$
\mathfrak{B}\left(\Omega_{L}\right) \subset\left[\max \left\{-\frac{L}{2}, \min _{0 \leq s \leq \tau^{\prime}(\Omega)} \beta_{1}(s)\right\}, \min \left\{\frac{L}{2}, \max _{0 \leq s \leq \tau^{\prime}(\Omega)} \beta_{1}(s)\right\}\right] \times \Omega
$$

Therefore $\Omega_{L}-\mathfrak{B}\left(\Omega_{L}\right)$ is contained in the union of at most two cylinders with cross-section $\Omega$ and with lengths $\left(L / 2+\min _{0 \leq s \leq \tau^{\prime}(\Omega)} \beta_{1}(s)\right)_{+}$and $\left(L / 2-\max _{0 \leq s \leq \tau^{\prime}(\Omega)} \beta_{1}(s)\right)_{+}$, respectively. For each of these cylinders we apply the lower bound in Theorem 1.1(i), taking into account that the total length of these cylinders is bounded from below by $L-\left(\max _{0 \leq s \leq \tau^{\prime}(\Omega)} \beta_{1}(s)-\min _{0 \leq s \leq \tau^{\prime}(\Omega)} \beta_{1}(s)\right)$. This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{L}-\mathfrak{B}\left(\Omega_{L}\right)\right) \geq\left(L-\left(\max _{0 \leq s \leq \tau^{\prime}(\Omega)} \beta_{1}(s)-\min _{0 \leq s \leq \tau^{\prime}(\Omega)} \beta_{1}(s)\right)\right) \mathcal{T}^{\prime}(\Omega)-\frac{8}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \int_{[0, \infty)} d t t^{1 / 2} Q_{\Omega}^{\prime}(t) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

With obvious abbreviations, by the independence of the Brownian motions $B_{1}$ and $B^{\prime}$, we have that $\mathbb{E}_{\left(y_{1}, y^{\prime}\right)}=\mathbb{E}_{y_{1}} \otimes \mathbb{E}_{y^{\prime}}$. For the expected range of one-dimensional Brownian motion it is known that (see, for example, [11])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{y_{1}}\left[\max _{0 \leq s \leq \tau^{\prime}(\Omega)} \beta_{1}(s)-\min _{0 \leq s \leq \tau^{\prime}(\Omega)} \beta_{1}(s)\right]=\frac{4 \tau^{\prime}(\Omega)^{1 / 2}}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}_{y^{\prime}}\left[\tau^{\prime}(\Omega)^{1 / 2}\right] & =\int_{[0, \infty)} d \tau \tau^{1 / 2} \mathbb{P}_{y^{\prime}}\left(\tau^{\prime}(\Omega) \in d \tau\right)=-\int_{[0, \infty)} d \tau \tau^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{d}{d \tau} \mathbb{P}_{y^{\prime}}\left(\tau^{\prime}(\Omega)>\tau\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{[0, \infty)} d \tau \tau^{-1 / 2} \mathbb{P}_{y^{\prime}}\left(\tau^{\prime}(\Omega)>\tau\right)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{[0, \infty)} d \tau \tau^{-1 / 2} \int_{\Omega} d z^{\prime} p_{\Omega}^{\prime}\left(y^{\prime}, z^{\prime} ; \tau\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by (1.6) and Tonelli's theorem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} d y^{\prime} \mathbb{E}_{y^{\prime}}\left[\tau^{\prime}(\Omega)^{1 / 2}\right]=\frac{1}{2} \int_{[0, \infty)} d \tau \tau^{-1 / 2} Q_{\Omega}^{\prime}(\tau) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

So with $\left|\Omega_{L}\right| / L=\mathcal{H}^{2}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{L}\right|} \int_{\Omega_{L}} d y \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\tau^{\prime}(\Omega)^{1 / 2}\right]=\frac{1}{2 \mathcal{H}^{2}(\Omega)} \int_{[0, \infty)} d \tau \tau^{-1 / 2} Q_{\Omega}^{\prime}(\tau) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (1.4), (2.5), (2.6) and (2.10), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{T}\left(\Omega_{L}\right) \leq \frac{8}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \int_{[0, \infty)} d t t^{1 / 2} Q_{\Omega}^{\prime}(t)+\left(\frac{2}{\pi^{1 / 2} \mathcal{H}^{2}(\Omega)} \int_{[0, \infty)} d \tau \tau^{-1 / 2} Q_{\Omega}^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathcal{T}^{\prime}(\Omega) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second integral in the right-hand side of (2.11) can be bounded from above using (1.9). This gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{\pi^{1 / 2} \mathcal{H}^{2}(\Omega)} \int_{[0, \infty)} d \tau \tau^{-1 / 2} Q_{\Omega}^{\prime}(\tau) \leq \frac{2}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \int_{[0, \infty)} d \tau \tau^{-1 / 2} e^{-\tau \lambda_{1}^{\prime}(\Omega)}=2 \lambda_{1}^{\prime}(\Omega)^{-1 / 2} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Via a calculation similar to the one in (2.4), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{8}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \int_{[0, \infty)} d t t^{1 / 2} Q_{\Omega}^{\prime}(t) \leq 4 \lambda_{1}^{\prime}(\Omega)^{-1 / 2} \mathcal{T}^{\prime}(\Omega) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13), we arrive at (1.13).
Proof of Theorem 1.1(iii). If we use the upper bound in (1.12) instead of the upper bound in (2.5), then we obtain that

$$
\mathfrak{T}\left(\Omega_{L}\right) \leq 4 \lambda_{1}^{\prime}(\Omega)^{-1 / 2} \mathcal{T}^{\prime}(\Omega)+8 L^{-1} \lambda_{1}^{\prime}(\Omega)^{-1} \mathcal{T}^{\prime}(\Omega)
$$

This in turn implies (1.14).

## 3 Key proposition

The proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 rely on the following proposition which states formulae for the constants $c$ in (1.15) and $c^{\prime}$ in (1.18), respectively. We recall definitions (1.4), (1.11) and (1.17).

Proposition 3.1 If $\Omega=D_{R}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{L \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{T}\left(C_{L, R}\right)=c R^{5}, \quad \lim _{L \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{C}\left(C_{L, R}\right)=c^{\prime} R^{5}, \quad R>0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
c & =\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{D_{1}} d y^{\prime} \mathbb{E}_{\left(0, y^{\prime}\right)}\left[\int_{C_{1}} d x\left(v_{C_{1}}(x)-v_{C_{1}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{1}\right)}(x)\right)\right] \\
c^{\prime} & =\mathbb{E}_{(0,0)}\left[\int_{C_{1}} d x\left(v_{C_{1}}(x)-v_{C_{1}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{1}\right)}(x)\right)\right] \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The proof for $\mathfrak{T}\left(C_{L, R}\right)$ comes in 10 Steps.

1. By (1.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{T}\left(C_{L, R}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{C_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\int_{C_{L, R}} d x\left(v_{C_{L, R}}(x)-v_{C_{L, R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{L, R}\right)}(x)\right)\right] \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that $x \mapsto v_{C_{L, R}}(x)-v_{C_{L, R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{L, R}\right)}(x)$ is harmonic on $C_{L, R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{L, R}\right)$, is non-negative, and equals 0 for $x \in \partial C_{L, R}$. By Lemma A. 1 in Appendix A, $N \mapsto v_{C_{N, R}}(x)-v_{C_{N, R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{L, R}\right)}(x)$ is increasing on $[L, \infty)$, and bounded by $\frac{1}{4} R^{2}$ uniformly in $x$. Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{C_{L, R}}(x)-v_{C_{L, R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{L, R}\right)}(x) & \leq \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left(v_{C_{N, R}}(x)-v_{C_{N, R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{L, R}\right)}(x)\right) \\
& =\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} v_{C_{N, R}}(x)-\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} v_{C_{N, R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{N, R}\right)}(x) \\
& =v_{C_{R}}(x)-v_{C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{L, R}\right)}(x) \\
& \leq v_{C_{R}}(x)-v_{C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x), \quad x \in C_{L, R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{L, R}\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The last inequality in (3.4) follows from the domain monotonicity of the torsion function. Inserting (3.4) into (3.3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{T}\left(C_{L, R}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{C_{L, R}} d y \int_{C_{R}} d x \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\left(v_{C_{R}}(x)-v_{C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x)\right)\right] \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $v_{C_{R}}(x)$ is independent of $x_{1}$, we have $v_{C_{R}}(x)=v_{C_{R}}\left(x-\left(y_{1}, 0\right)\right)$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{y}\left[v_{C_{R}}(x)\right]=\mathbb{E}_{\left(0, y^{\prime}\right)}\left[v_{C_{R}}\left(x-\left(y_{1}, 0\right)\right)\right] \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the stopping time $\tau\left(C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)\right)$ is independent of $y_{1}$, we also see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{y}\left[v_{C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x)\right]=\mathbb{E}_{\left(0, y^{\prime}\right)}\left[v_{C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}\left(x-\left(y_{1}, 0\right)\right)\right] . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{T}\left(C_{L, R}\right) & \leq \frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{C_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{E}_{\left(0, y^{\prime}\right)}\left[\int_{C_{R}} d x\left(v_{C_{R}}\left(x-\left(y_{1}, 0\right)\right)-v_{C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}\left(x-\left(y_{1}, 0\right)\right)\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{C_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{E}_{\left(0, y^{\prime}\right)}\left[\int_{C_{R}} d x\left(v_{C_{R}}(x)-v_{C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x)\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi R^{2}} \int_{D_{R}} d y^{\prime} \mathbb{E}_{\left(0, y^{\prime}\right)}\left[\int_{C_{R}} d x\left(v_{C_{R}}(x)-v_{C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x)\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We conclude that

$$
\limsup _{L \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{T}\left(C_{L, R}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\pi R^{2}} \int_{D_{R}} d y^{\prime} \mathbb{E}_{\left(0, y^{\prime}\right)}\left[\int_{C_{R}} d x\left(v_{C_{R}}(x)-v_{C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x)\right)\right]
$$

Scaling each of the space variables $y^{\prime}$ and $x$ by a factor $R$, we gain a factor $R^{5}$ for the respective integrals with respect to $y^{\prime}$ and $x$. Furthermore, scaling the torsion functions $v_{C_{R}}$ and $v_{C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}$, we gain a further factor $R^{2}$. This completes the proof of the upper bound for $c$.
2. To obtain the lower bound for $c$, we define $\tilde{L}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: x_{1}= \pm L / 2\right\}$ and

$$
\tilde{C}_{L, R}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right) \in C_{R}:-\frac{L}{2}+(R L)^{1 / 2}<x_{1}<\frac{L}{2}-(R L)^{1 / 2}\right\}, \quad L \geq 4 R
$$

Then, with $\mathbf{1}$ denoting the indicator function, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{T}\left(C_{L, R}\right) & \geq \frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{\tilde{C}_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\int_{C_{L, R}} d x\left(v_{C_{L, R}}(x)-v_{C_{L, R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{L, R}\right)}(x)\right)\right] \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{\tilde{C}_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{L, R}\right) \cap \tilde{L}=\emptyset\right\}} \int_{C_{L, R}} d x\left(v_{C_{L, R}}(x)-v_{C_{L, R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{L, R}\right)}(x)\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{\tilde{C}_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{L, R}\right) \cap \tilde{L}=\emptyset\right\}} \int_{C_{L, R}} d x\left(v_{C_{L, R}}(x)-v_{C_{L, R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x)\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{\tilde{C}_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\int_{C_{L, R}} d x\left(v_{C_{L, R}}(x)-v_{C_{L, R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x)\right)\right]-A_{1}, \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{1} & =\frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{\tilde{C}_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{L, R}\right) \cap \tilde{L} \neq \emptyset\right\}} \int_{C_{L, R}} d x\left(v_{C_{L, R}}(x)-v_{C_{L, R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{L, R}\right)}(x)\right)\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{\tilde{C}_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{L, R}\right) \cap \tilde{L} \neq \emptyset\right\}}\right] \int_{C_{L, R}} d x v_{C_{L, R}}(x) \\
& \leq \frac{R^{2}}{8} \int_{\tilde{C}_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{P}_{y}\left(\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{L, R}\right) \cap \tilde{L} \neq \emptyset\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\pi R^{4} L}{8} \sup _{y \in \tilde{C}_{L, R}} \mathbb{P}_{y}\left(\theta(\tilde{L}) \leq \tau\left(C_{R}\right)\right), \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\theta(K)=\inf \{s \geq 0: \beta(s) \in K\}
$$

denotes the first entrance time of $K$. The penultimate inequality in (3.9) uses the two bounds $\int_{C_{L, R}} d x v_{C_{L, R}}(x) \leq \int_{C_{L, R}} d x v_{C_{R}}(x)=\frac{1}{8} \pi R^{4} L$ and $\left|\tilde{C}_{L, R}\right| \leq \pi R^{2} L$.
3. The following lemma gives a decay estimate for the supremum in the right-hand side of (3.9) and implies that $\lim _{L \rightarrow \infty} A_{1}=0$.

## Lemma 3.2

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{y \in \tilde{C}_{L, R}} \mathbb{P}_{y}\left(\theta(\tilde{L}) \leq \tau\left(C_{R}\right)\right) \leq\left(j_{0}+1\right) \pi^{1 / 2} e^{-j_{0} L^{1 / 2} /\left(2 R^{1 / 2}\right)}, \quad L \geq 4 R \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First observe that the distance of $y$ to $\tilde{L}$ is bounded from below by $(L R)^{1 / 2}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}_{y}\left(\theta(\tilde{L}) \leq \tau\left(C_{R}\right)\right) \leq \mathbb{P}_{\left(0, y^{\prime}\right)}\left(\max _{0 \leq s \leq \tau^{\prime}\left(C_{R}\right)}\left|\beta_{1}(s)\right| \geq(L R)^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [6, (6.3),Corollary 6.4],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}_{0}^{(1)}\left(\max _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left|\beta_{1}(s)\right| \geq R\right) \leq 2^{3 / 2} e^{-R^{2} /(8 t)} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.11) and (3.12) with the independence of $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta^{\prime}$, we obtain via an integration by parts,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}_{y}(\theta(\tilde{L}) & \left.\leq \tau\left(C_{R}\right)\right) \leq 2^{3 / 2} \int_{[0, \infty)} d \tau\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \mathbb{P}_{y^{\prime}}\left(\tau^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)>\tau\right)\right) e^{-L R /(8 \tau)} \\
& =\frac{L R}{2^{3 / 2}} \int_{[0, \infty)} \frac{d \tau}{\tau^{2}} \mathbb{P}_{y^{\prime}}\left(\tau^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)>\tau\right) e^{-L R /(8 \tau)} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the semigroup property of the heat kernel, the eigenfunction expansion of the heat kernel, and the domain monotonicity of the heat kernel, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}_{y^{\prime}}\left(\tau^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)>\tau\right) & =\int_{D_{R}} d z^{\prime} p_{D_{R}}^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime}, y^{\prime} ; \tau\right) \\
& \leq\left(\pi R^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{D_{R}} d z^{\prime}\left(p_{D_{R}}^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime}, y^{\prime} ; \tau\right)\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\left(\pi R^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(p_{D_{R}}^{\prime}\left(y^{\prime}, y^{\prime} ; 2 \tau\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\left(\pi R^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-2 \tau \lambda_{j}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)}\left(\varphi_{D_{R}, j}^{\prime}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq\left(\pi R^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} e^{-\tau \lambda_{1}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right) / 2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-\tau \lambda_{j}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)}\left(\varphi_{D_{R}, j}^{\prime}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\left(\pi R^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} e^{-\tau \lambda_{1}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right) / 2}\left(p_{D_{R}}^{\prime}\left(y^{\prime}, y^{\prime} ; \tau\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq\left(\pi R^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} e^{-\tau \lambda_{1}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right) / 2}\left(p_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}^{\prime}\left(y^{\prime}, y^{\prime} ; \tau\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\frac{R e^{-j_{0}^{2} \tau /\left(2 R^{2}\right)}}{(4 \tau)^{1 / 2}} \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.13) and (3.14), and changing variables twice, we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}_{y}\left(\theta(\tilde{L}) \leq \tau\left(C_{R}\right)\right) & \leq \frac{L R^{2}}{2^{5 / 2}} \int_{[0, \infty)} \frac{d \tau}{\tau^{5 / 2}} e^{-j_{0}^{2} \tau /\left(2 R^{2}\right)-L R /(8 \tau)} \\
& =\frac{j_{0}^{3 / 2} L^{1 / 4}}{2 R^{1 / 4}} \int_{[0, \infty)} \frac{d \tau}{\tau^{5 / 2}} e^{-j_{0} L^{1 / 2}\left(\tau+\tau^{-1}\right) /\left(4 R^{1 / 2}\right)} \\
& =\frac{j_{0}^{3 / 2} L^{1 / 4}}{R^{1 / 4}} \int_{[0, \infty)} \frac{d \tau}{\tau^{4}} e^{-j_{0} L^{1 / 2}\left(\tau^{2}+\tau^{-2}\right) /\left(4 R^{1 / 2}\right)} \\
& =\pi^{1 / 2} j_{0}\left(1+\frac{2 R^{1 / 2}}{j_{0} L^{1 / 2}}\right) e^{-j_{0} L^{1 / 2} /\left(2 R^{1 / 2}\right)} \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

The last equality follows from $[12,3.472 .4]$. This proves (3.10) because $L \geq 4 R$.
4. We write the double integral in the right-hand side of (3.8) as $B_{1}+B_{2}$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{1} & =\frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{\tilde{C}_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right) \cap \hat{L}=\emptyset\right\}} \int_{C_{L, R}} d x\left(v_{C_{L, R}}(x)-v_{C_{L, R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x)\right)\right],  \tag{3.16}\\
B_{2} & =\frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{\tilde{C}_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{L, R}\right) \cap \hat{L} \neq \emptyset\right\}} \int_{C_{L, R}} d x\left(v_{C_{L, R}}(x)-v_{C_{L, R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x)\right)\right],
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\hat{L}= \pm \frac{L}{2} \mp \frac{(R L)^{1 / 2}}{2}
$$

We have that

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{2} & \leq \frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{\tilde{C}_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{P}_{y}\left(\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right) \cap \hat{L} \neq \emptyset\right) \int_{C_{L, R}} d x v_{C_{R}}(x) \\
& \leq \frac{\pi R^{4} L}{8} \sup _{y \in \tilde{C}_{L, R}} \mathbb{P}_{y}\left(\tau(\hat{L}) \leq \tau\left(C_{R}\right)\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

The distance from any $y \in \tilde{C}_{L, R}$ to $\hat{L}$ is bounded from below by $(R L)^{1 / 2} / 8$. Following the argument leading from (3.13) to (3.15) with $(R L / 4)^{1 / 2}$ replacing $(R L)^{1 / 2}$, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}_{y}\left(\tau(\hat{L}) \leq \tau\left(C_{R}\right)\right) \leq \pi^{1 / 2} j_{0}\left(1+\frac{4 R^{1 / 2}}{j_{0} L^{1 / 2}}\right) e^{-j_{0} L^{1 / 2} /\left(4 R^{1 / 2}\right)} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This, together with (3.17), shows that $\lim _{L \rightarrow \infty} B_{2}=0$. It remains to obtain the asymptotic behaviour of $B_{1}$.
5. We write $B_{1}=B_{3}+B_{4}+B_{5}$, where

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{3}=\frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{\tilde{C}_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right) \cap \hat{L}=\emptyset\right\}} \int_{C_{L, R}} d x\left(v_{C_{R}}(x)-v_{C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x)\right)\right] \\
B_{4}=\frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{\tilde{C}_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right) \cap \hat{L}=\emptyset\right\}} \int_{C_{L, R}} d x\left(v_{C_{L, R}}(x)-v_{C_{R}}(x)\right)\right], \\
B_{5}=\frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{\tilde{C}_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right) \cap \hat{L}=\emptyset\right\}} \int_{C_{L, R}} d x\left(v_{C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x)-v_{C_{L, R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x)\right)\right] . \tag{3.19}
\end{gather*}
$$

We have that

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{4} & =\frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{\tilde{C}_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{P}_{y}\left(\left\{\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right) \cap \hat{L}=\emptyset\right\}\right) \int_{C_{L, R}} d x\left(v_{C_{L, R}}(x)-v_{C_{R}}(x)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{\tilde{C}_{L, R}} d y\left(\mathcal{T}\left(C_{L, R}\right)-L \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)\right) \\
& \geq-\frac{4}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \int_{[0, \infty)} d t t^{1 / 2} Q_{D_{R}}^{\prime}(t), \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the lower bound in (1.12) for $\Omega=D_{R}$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{3}=\frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{\tilde{C}_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\int_{C_{R}} d x\left(v_{C_{R}}(x)-v_{C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x)\right)\right]-A_{2}-A_{3} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{2}=\frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{\tilde{C}_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right) \cap \hat{L}=\emptyset\right\}} \int_{C_{R}-C_{L, R}} d x\left(v_{C_{R}}(x)-v_{C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x)\right)\right], \\
A_{3}=\frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{\tilde{C}_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right) \cap \hat{L} \neq \emptyset\right\}} \int_{C_{R}} d x\left(v_{C_{R}}(x)-v_{C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x)\right)\right] . \tag{3.22}
\end{gather*}
$$

6. To bound $A_{2}$ we note that $x \mapsto v_{C_{R}}(x)-v_{C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x)$ is harmonic on $C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)$, equals 0 for $x \in \partial C_{R}$, and equals $\frac{1}{4}\left(R^{2}-\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)$ for $x \in \mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)$. Therefore

$$
v_{C_{R}}(x)-v_{C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x) \leq \frac{R^{2}}{4} \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}\left(\bar{\tau}\left(\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)\right) \leq \bar{\tau}\left(C_{R}\right)\right) .
$$

On the set $\left\{\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right) \cap \hat{L}=\emptyset\right\}$ we have that $\bar{\tau}(\hat{L}) \leq \bar{\tau}\left(\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{2} & \leq \frac{1}{4 \pi L} \int_{\tilde{C}_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[1_{\left\{\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right) \cap \hat{L}=\emptyset\right\}} \int_{C_{R}-C_{L, R}} d x \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}\left(\bar{\tau}(\hat{L}) \leq \bar{\tau}\left(C_{R}\right)\right)\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4 \pi L} \int_{\tilde{C}_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\int_{C_{R}-C_{L, R}} d x \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}\left(\bar{\tau}(\hat{L}) \leq \bar{\tau}\left(C_{R}\right)\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{R^{2}}{4}\left(1-\frac{2 R^{1 / 2}}{L^{1 / 2}}\right) \int_{C_{R}-C_{L, R}} d x \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}\left(\bar{\tau}(\hat{L}) \leq \bar{\tau}\left(C_{R}\right)\right) \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that $\bar{\tau}(\hat{L})$ equals the first hitting time of $\hat{L}$ by $\bar{\beta}_{1}$, and that $\bar{\tau}\left(C_{R}\right)$ is the first exit time of $D_{R}$ by $\bar{\beta}^{\prime}$. Furthermore, for $x \in C_{R}-C_{L, R}$ the distance from $x$ to $\hat{L}$ is equal to $(R L / 4)^{1 / 2}+x_{1}$. By (3.14),

$$
\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x^{\prime}}\left(\bar{\tau}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)>\tau\right) \leq \frac{R e^{-j_{0}^{2} \tau /\left(2 R^{2}\right)}}{(4 \tau)^{1 / 2}}
$$

It is well known that

$$
\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{0}^{(1)}\left(\max _{0 \leq s \leq \tau} \bar{\beta}_{1}(s)>R\right)=(\pi \tau)^{-1 / 2} \int_{[R, \infty)} d \xi e^{-\xi^{2} /(4 \tau)} \leq 2^{1 / 2} e^{-R^{2} /(8 \tau)}
$$

Hence

$$
\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{0}^{(1)}\left(\max _{0 \leq s \leq \tau} \bar{\beta}_{1}(s)>(R L / 4)^{1 / 2}+x_{1}\right) \leq 2^{1 / 2} e^{-\left(R L+4 x_{1}^{2}\right) /(32 \tau)}
$$

By the independence of $\bar{\beta}_{1}$ and $\bar{\beta}^{\prime}$ we have, similarly to (3.13),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}\left(\bar{\tau}(\hat{L}) \leq \bar{\tau}\left(C_{R}\right)\right) & \leq 2^{1 / 2} \int_{[0, \infty)} d \tau\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x^{\prime}}\left(\bar{\tau}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)>\tau\right)\right) e^{-\left(R L+4 x_{1}^{2}\right) /(32 \tau)} \\
& \leq \frac{R\left(R L+4 x_{1}^{2}\right)}{2^{11 / 2}} \int_{[0, \infty)} \frac{d \tau}{\tau^{5 / 2}} e^{-j_{0}^{2} \tau /\left(2 R^{2}\right)-\left(R L+4 x_{1}^{2}\right) /(32 \tau)} \\
& =\frac{R\left(R L+4 x_{1}^{2}\right)}{2^{11 / 2}} \int_{[0, \infty)} d \tau \tau^{1 / 2} e^{-j_{0}^{2} /\left(2 R^{2} \tau\right)-\left(R L+4 x_{1}^{2}\right) \tau / 32} \\
& =\frac{R\left(R L+4 x_{1}^{2}\right)}{2^{9 / 2}} \int_{[0, \infty)} d \tau \tau^{2} e^{-j_{0}^{2} /\left(2 R^{2} \tau^{2}\right)-\left(R L+4 x_{1}^{2}\right) \tau^{2} / 32} \\
& =2 \pi^{1 / 2} R\left(R L+4 x_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{j_{0}\left(R L+4 x_{1}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}{4 R}\right) e^{-j_{0}\left(R L+4 x_{1}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} /(4 R)} \\
& \leq 2 \pi^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{R^{1 / 2}}{L^{1 / 2}}+\frac{j_{0}}{4}\right) e^{-\left(j_{0}^{2} L /(32 R)\right)^{1 / 2}-\left(j_{0} x_{1}^{2} /\left(32 R^{2}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used [12, 3.472.2]. Integration of the above over $x \in C_{R}-C_{L, R}$, together with (3.23), gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}=O\left(e^{-(L /(6 R))^{1 / 2}}\right), \quad L \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

7. To bound $A_{3}$ in (3.22), we use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{3} \leq \frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{\tilde{C}_{L, R}} d y\left(\mathbb{P}_{y}\left(\theta(\hat{L}) \leq \tau\left(C_{R}\right)\right)\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\int_{C_{R}} d x\left(v_{C_{R}}(x)-v_{C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x)\right)\right]^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The probability in (3.25) decays sub-exponentially fast in $(L / R)^{1 / 2}$ by (3.18). Hence it remains to show that the expectation in (3.25) is finite. Define

$$
\hat{\mathfrak{B}}\left(C_{R}\right)=\left\{x \in C_{R}: \min _{0 \leq s \leq \tau\left(C_{R}\right)} \beta_{1}(s)<x_{1}<\max _{0 \leq s \leq \tau\left(C_{R}\right)} \beta_{1}(s)\right\}
$$

Then $\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right) \subset \hat{\mathfrak{B}}\left(C_{R}\right)$, and

$$
\mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\int_{C_{R}} d x\left(v_{C_{R}}(x)-v_{C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x)\right)\right]^{2} \leq \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\int_{C_{R}} d x\left(v_{C_{R}}(x)-v_{C_{R}-\hat{\mathfrak{B}}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x)\right)\right]^{2}
$$

For $x \in \hat{\mathfrak{B}}\left(C_{R}\right)$ we have $v_{C_{R}}(x) \leq R^{2} / 4$ and $v_{C_{R}-\hat{\mathfrak{B}}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x)=0$. Furthermore,

$$
v_{C_{R}}(x)-v_{C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x) \leq \frac{R^{2}}{4} \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}\left(\bar{\tau}\left(\hat{\mathfrak{B}}\left(C_{R}\right)\right) \leq \bar{\tau}\left(C_{R}\right)\right), \quad x \in C_{R}-\hat{\mathfrak{B}}\left(C_{R}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}_{y} & {\left[\int_{C_{R}} d x\left(v_{C_{R}}(x)-v_{C_{R}-\hat{\mathfrak{B}}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x)\right)\right]^{2} } \\
& \leq \frac{R^{4}}{8} \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\left|\hat{\mathfrak{B}}\left(C_{R}\right)\right|^{2}+\left(\int_{C_{R}-\hat{\mathfrak{B}}\left(C_{R}\right)} d x \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}\left(\bar{\tau}\left(\hat{\mathfrak{B}}\left(C_{R}\right)\right) \leq \bar{\tau}\left(C_{R}\right)\right)\right)^{2}\right] \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

The probability distribution of the range of one-dimensional Brownian motion is known (see, for example, [11, Eq. (19)]). This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{y^{\prime}}\left[\max _{0 \leq s \leq \tau^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)} \beta_{1}(s)-\min _{0 \leq s \leq \tau^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)} \beta_{1}(s)\right]^{2}=\frac{64 \log 2}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \tau^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a calculation similar to (2.8) and (2.9), we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{y^{\prime}}\left[\max _{0 \leq s \leq \tau^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)} \beta_{1}(s)-\min _{0 \leq s \leq \tau^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)} \beta_{1}(s)\right]^{2} & =\frac{64 \log 2}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \int_{[0, \infty)} d \tau \int_{D_{R}} d z^{\prime} p_{D_{R}}^{\prime}\left(y^{\prime}, z^{\prime} ; \tau\right) \\
& =\frac{64 \log 2}{\pi^{1 / 2}} v_{D_{R}}^{\prime}\left(y^{\prime}\right) \leq \frac{16 \log 2}{\pi^{1 / 2}} R^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Together with (3.27), this yields

$$
\mathbb{E}_{y}\left(\left|\hat{\mathfrak{B}}\left(C_{R}\right)\right|^{2}\right) \leq 16 \pi^{3 / 2}(\log 2) R^{6}
$$

which gives us control over the first term in the right-hand side of (3.26). To estimate the second term in the right-hand side of (3.26), we note that the set $C_{R}-\hat{\mathfrak{B}}\left(C_{R}\right)$ consists of two semi-infinite cylinders. It is instructive to calculate this term explicitly. To simplify notation, we define $C_{R}^{+}=\{x \in$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{3}: x_{1}>0,\left|x^{\prime}\right|<R\right\}, Z_{R}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: x_{1}=0,\left|x^{\prime}\right| \leq R\right\}$, and $\vartheta\left(Z_{R}\right)=\inf \left\{s \geq 0: \bar{\beta}(s) \in Z_{R}\right\}$. Then, by separation of variables and integration by parts, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}\left(\vartheta\left(Z_{R}\right) \leq \bar{\tau}\left(C_{R}^{+}\right)\right) & =\int_{[0, \infty)} \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x^{\prime}}\left(\bar{\tau}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right) \in d \tau\right) \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x_{1}}\left(\vartheta\left(Z_{R}\right) \leq \tau\right) \\
& =\int_{[0, \infty)} \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x^{\prime}}\left(\bar{\tau}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right) \in d \tau\right) \frac{2}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \int_{\left[x_{1} /\left(2 \tau^{1 / 2}\right), \infty\right)} d \xi e^{-\xi^{2}} \\
& =\int_{[0, \infty)} d \tau \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x^{\prime}}\left(\bar{\tau}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)>\tau\right) \frac{2 x_{1}}{\pi \tau^{3 / 2}} e^{-x_{1}^{2} /(4 \tau)} \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating (3.28) with respect to $x_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} d x_{1} \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}\left(\vartheta\left(Z_{R}\right) \leq \bar{\tau}\left(C_{R}^{+}\right)\right)=\frac{4}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \int_{[0, \infty)} d \tau \tau^{-1 / 2} \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x^{\prime}}\left(\bar{\tau}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)>\tau\right) \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subsequently integrating both sides of (3.29) over $x^{\prime} \in D_{R}$, we get

$$
\int_{C_{R}^{+}} d x \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}\left(\vartheta\left(Z_{R}\right) \leq \bar{\tau}\left(C_{R}^{+}\right)\right)=\frac{4}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \int_{[0, \infty)} d \tau \tau^{-1 / 2} Q_{D_{R}}^{\prime}(\tau)
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{C_{R}-\hat{\mathfrak{B}}\left(C_{R}\right)} d x \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}\left(\bar{\tau}\left(\hat{\mathfrak{B}}\left(C_{R}\right)\right) \leq \bar{\tau}\left(C_{R}\right)\right)\right)^{2}=\frac{64}{\pi}\left(\int_{[0, \infty)} d \tau \tau^{-1 / 2} Q_{D_{R}}^{\prime}(\tau)\right)^{2} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral over $\tau$ in (3.30) is finite by (2.12). We conclude that, by (3.18),

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{3} & \leq\left(\mathbb{P}_{y}\left(\theta(\hat{L}) \leq \tau\left(C_{R}\right)\right)\right)^{1 / 2}\left(2 \pi^{3 / 2}(\log 2) R^{10}+\frac{8}{\pi} R^{4}\left(\int_{[0, \infty)} d \tau \tau^{-1 / 2} Q_{D_{R}}^{\prime}(\tau)\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =O\left(e^{-j_{0} L^{1 / 2} /\left(4 R^{1 / 2}\right)}\right), \quad L \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

8. The integrand in (3.21) is independent of $y_{1}$. Since $\lim _{L \rightarrow \infty}\left(L-2(R L)^{1 / 2}\right) / L=1$, we have by (3.21), (3.24) and (3.31) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{L \rightarrow \infty} B_{3} \geq \frac{1}{\pi R^{2}} \int_{D_{R}} d y^{\prime} \mathbb{E}_{\left(0, y^{\prime}\right)}\left[\int_{C_{R}} d x\left(v_{C_{R}}(x)-v_{C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x)\right)\right] \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

9. It remains to obtain a lower bound on $B_{5}$ in (3.19) as $L \rightarrow \infty$. The integrand with respect to $x$ is a non-negative harmonic function, which can be bounded from below by enlarging the set $\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)$ to
$\hat{C}_{R, L}:=\left\{D_{R} \times\left[-\frac{L}{2}+\frac{1}{2}(R L)^{1 / 2}, \frac{L}{2}-\frac{1}{2}(R L)^{1 / 2}\right]\right\}$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{5} & \geq \frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{\tilde{C}_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{E}_{y}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right) \cap \hat{L}=\emptyset\right\}} \int_{C_{L, R}} d x\left(v_{C_{R}-\hat{C}_{R, L}}(x)-v_{C_{L, R}-\hat{C}_{R, L}}(x)\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{\tilde{C}_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{P}_{y}\left(\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right) \cap \hat{L}=\emptyset\right) \int_{C_{L, R}-\hat{C}_{R, L}} d x\left(v_{C_{R}-\hat{C}_{R, L}}(x)-v_{C_{L, R}-\hat{C}_{R, L}}(x)\right) \tag{3.33}
\end{align*}
$$

The set $C_{L, R}-\hat{C}_{R, L}$ consists of two cylinders with cross-section $D_{R}$ and length $(R L)^{1 / 2} / 2$ each. Hence, by Theorem 1.1(i), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C_{L, R}-\hat{C}_{R, L}} d x v_{C_{L, R}-\hat{C}_{R, L}}(x)=\mathcal{T}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)(R L)^{1 / 2}-\frac{8}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \int_{[0, \infty)} d t t^{1 / 2} Q_{D_{R}}^{\prime}(t)+O\left(L^{-1 / 2}\right) \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set $C_{R}-\hat{C}_{R, L}$ consists of two semi-infinite cylinders, and we integrate the torsion function for that set over two cylinders of length $(R L)^{1 / 2} / 2$, each near their base. Adopting previous notation, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{C_{L, R}-\hat{C}_{R, L}} d x v_{C_{R}-\hat{C}_{R, L}}(x)=2 \int_{\left[0,(R L)^{1 / 2} / 2\right)} d x_{1} \int_{D_{R}} d x^{\prime} v_{C_{R}^{+}}(x) \\
& =2 \int_{[0, \infty)} d t \int_{\left[0,(R L)^{1 / 2} / 2\right)} d x_{1} \int_{D_{R}} d x^{\prime} \int_{[0, \infty)} d x_{1} \int_{D_{R}} d y^{\prime} \int_{[0, \infty)} d y_{1} p_{D_{R}}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} ; t\right) p_{\mathbb{R}^{+}}\left(x_{1}, y_{1} ; t\right) \\
& =2 \int_{[0, \infty)} d t \int_{\left[0,(R L)^{1 / 2} / 2\right)} d x_{1} u_{\mathbb{R}^{+}}\left(x_{1} ; t\right) Q_{D_{R}}^{\prime}(t) \\
& =2 \int_{[0, \infty)} d t \int_{\left[0,(R L)^{1 / 2} / 2\right)} d x_{1}\left(1-\frac{2}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \int_{\left[x_{1} /(4 t)^{1 / 2}, \infty\right)} d \xi e^{-\xi^{2}}\right) Q_{D_{R}}^{\prime}(t) \\
& =\mathcal{T}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)(R L)^{1 / 2}-\frac{4}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \int_{[0, \infty)} d t Q_{D_{R}}^{\prime}(t) \int_{\left[0,(R L)^{1 / 2} / 2\right)} d x_{1} \int_{\left[x_{1} /(4 t)^{1 / 2}, \infty\right)} d \xi e^{-\xi^{2}} \\
& \geq \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)(R L)^{1 / 2}-\frac{4}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \int_{[0, \infty)} d t Q_{D_{R}}^{\prime}(t) \int_{[0, \infty)} d x_{1} \int_{\left[x_{1} /(4 t)^{1 / 2}, \infty\right)} d \xi e^{-\xi^{2}} \\
& =\mathcal{T}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)(R L)^{1 / 2}-\frac{4}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \int_{[0, \infty)} d t t^{1 / 2} Q_{D_{R}}^{\prime}(t) \tag{3.35}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.33), (3.34) and (3.35), we arrive at

$$
B_{5} \geq \frac{1}{\pi R^{2} L} \int_{\tilde{C}_{L, R}} d y \mathbb{P}_{y}\left(\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right) \cap \hat{L}=\emptyset\right)\left(\frac{4}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \int_{[0, \infty)} d t t^{1 / 2} Q_{D_{R}}^{\prime}(t)+O\left(L^{-1 / 2}\right)\right)
$$

We conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{L \rightarrow \infty} B_{5} \geq \frac{4}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \int_{[0, \infty)} d t t^{1 / 2} Q_{D_{R}}^{\prime}(t) \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

10. From (3.20), (3.32) and (3.36), we get

$$
\liminf _{L \rightarrow \infty}\left(B_{3}+B_{4}+B_{5}\right) \geq \frac{1}{\pi R^{2}} \int_{D_{R}} d y^{\prime} \mathbb{E}_{\left(0, y^{\prime}\right)}\left[\int_{C_{R}} d x\left(v_{C_{R}}(x)-v_{C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x)\right)\right]
$$

Scaling each the space variables $y^{\prime}$ and $x$ by a factor $R$, we gain a factor $R^{5}$ for the respective integrals with respect to $y^{\prime}$ and $x$. Furthermore, scaling the torsion functions $v_{C_{R}}$ and $v_{C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}$, we gain a further factor $R^{2}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\pi R^{2}} \int_{D_{R}} d y^{\prime} \mathbb{E}_{\left(0, y^{\prime}\right)}\left[\int_{C_{R}} d x\left(v_{C_{R}}(x)-v_{C_{R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{R}\right)}(x)\right)\right] \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{\pi} R^{5} \int_{D_{1}} d y^{\prime} \mathbb{E}_{\left(0, y^{\prime}\right)}\left[\int_{C_{1}} d x\left(v_{C_{1}}(x)-v_{C_{1}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{1}\right)}(x)\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the required first formula in (3.1).
The main modification for the proof for $\mathfrak{C}\left(C_{L, R}\right)$ in the second formula of (3.1) is that no averaging takes place over the cross-section $D_{R}$ as $y^{\prime}=0$ is fixed. Hence the absence of the factor $\frac{1}{\pi}$ and the integral with respect to $y^{\prime}$ over $D_{1}$ in the formula for $c^{\prime}$ in (3.2).

## 4 Proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3

The proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are given in Section 4.1 and 4.2, respectively, and rely on Proposition 3.1.

### 4.1 Proof of Theorem 1.2

To prove the upper bound we note that $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)=j_{0}^{2} / R^{2}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)=\pi R^{4} / 8$ (see [5]). This gives the upper bound $\pi R^{5} / 2 j_{0}$ for the right-hand side of (1.15), which implies the upper bound for $c$ in (1.16).

To prove the lower bound we start from (3.2). Let $a \in\left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)$. We have the following estimate:

$$
\begin{align*}
c= & \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{D_{1}} d y^{\prime} \mathbb{E}_{\left(0, y^{\prime}\right)}\left[\int_{C_{1}} d x\left(v_{C_{1}}(x)-v_{C_{1}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{1}\right)}(x)\right)\right] \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{D_{a}} d y^{\prime} \mathbb{E}_{\left(0, y^{\prime}\right)}\left[\int_{C_{1}} d x\left(v_{C_{1}}(x)-v_{C_{1}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{1}\right)}(x)\right)\right] \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{D_{a}} d y^{\prime} \mathbb{E}_{\left(0, y^{\prime}\right)}\left[\int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}:|x-\beta(0)|<a\right\}} d x\left(v_{C_{1}}(x)-v_{C_{1}-\mathfrak{B}(B(\beta(0) ; a))}(x)\right)\right] \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used that $\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{1}\right) \supset \mathfrak{B}\left(B\left(\left(0, y^{\prime}\right) ; a\right)\right)$. To estimate the second integral, we consider a fixed compact set $K \subset B\left(\left(0, y^{\prime}\right) ; a\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and derive a lower bound for $v_{C_{1}}(x)-v_{C_{1}-K}(x)$ uniformly in $\left|y^{\prime}\right| \leq a$ and $\left|x-\left(0, y^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq a$.

First note that $x \mapsto v_{C_{1}}(x)-v_{C_{1}-K}(x)$ is harmonic on $C_{1}-K$, equals 0 for $x \in \partial C_{1}$, and equals $\frac{1}{4}\left(1-\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)$ for $x \in K$. If $\left|y^{\prime}\right|<a$, then $\left|x^{\prime}\right|<2 a, x \in K$. Hence $v_{C_{1}}(x)-v_{C_{1}-K}(x) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1-4 a^{2}\right)$ for $x \in K$. We therefore have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{C_{1}}(x)-v_{C_{1}-K}(x) \geq \frac{1-4 a^{2}}{4} \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}\left(\bar{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}^{3}-K}<\bar{\tau}\left(C_{1}\right)\right), \quad x \in C_{1} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the strong Markov property, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}\left(\bar{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}^{3}-K}<\bar{\tau}\left(C_{1}\right)\right) & =\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}\left(\bar{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}^{3}-K}<\infty\right)-\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}\left(\bar{\tau}\left(C_{1}\right) \leq \bar{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}^{3}-K}<\infty\right) \\
& \geq \inf _{\left\{\left|x-\left(0, y^{\prime}\right)\right|<a\right\}} \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}\left(\bar{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}^{3}-K}<\infty\right)-\sup _{x \in \partial C_{1}} \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}\left(\bar{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}^{3}-K}<\infty\right) . \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\mu_{K}$ denote the equilibrium measure for $K$. Then (see [15])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}\left(\bar{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}^{3}-K}<\infty\right)=\int_{K} \mu_{K}(d z) \frac{1}{4 \pi|x-z|}, \quad x \in K \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $z \in K$ and $\left|x-\left(0, y^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq a$, then $|x-z| \leq 2 a$. Hence (4.4) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{\left\{\left|x-\left(0, y^{\prime}\right)\right|<a\right\}} \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}\left(\bar{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}^{3}-K}<\infty\right) \geq \frac{1}{8 \pi a} \int_{K} \mu_{K}(d z)=\frac{1}{8 \pi a} \operatorname{cap}(K) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, if $x \in \partial C_{1}, z \in K$ and $\left|y^{\prime}\right| \leq a$, then $|z-x| \geq 1-2 a$. Hence (4.4) also gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \partial C_{1}} \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}\left(\bar{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}^{3}-K}<\infty\right) \leq \frac{1}{4 \pi(1-2 a)} \operatorname{cap}(K) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4.5) and (4.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}\left(\bar{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}^{3}-K}<\bar{\tau}\left(C_{1}\right)\right) \geq \frac{1-4 a}{8 \pi a(1-2 a)} \operatorname{cap}(K), \quad K \subset B\left(\left(0, y^{\prime}\right) ; a\right),\left|x-\left(0, y^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq a\left|y^{\prime}\right| \leq a \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4.1), (4.2) and (4.7), we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
c & \geq \frac{1-4 a^{2}}{4 \pi} \frac{1-4 a}{8 \pi a(1-2 a)} \int_{D_{a}} d y^{\prime} \int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}:\left|x-\left(0, y^{\prime}\right)\right|<a\right\}} d x \mathbb{E}_{\left(0, y^{\prime}\right)}[\operatorname{cap}(\mathfrak{B}(B(\beta(0) ; a)))] \\
& =\frac{(1-4 a)(1+2 a) a^{4}}{24} \mathbb{E}_{0}[\operatorname{cap}(\mathfrak{B}(B(0 ; a)))] \\
& =\frac{(1-4 a)(1+2 a) a^{5}}{24} \kappa, \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used that $\mathcal{H}^{2}\left(D_{a}\right)=\pi a^{2},|B(0 ; a)|=\frac{4 \pi}{3} a^{3}$, and

$$
\mathbb{E}_{0}[\operatorname{cap}(\mathfrak{B}(B(0 ; a)))]=a \mathbb{E}_{0}[\operatorname{cap}(\mathfrak{B}(B(0 ; 1)))]=\kappa a .
$$

The right-hand side of (4.8) is maximal when

$$
a=\frac{\sqrt{79}-3}{28}
$$

This choice of $a$ yields the left-hand side of (1.16).

### 4.2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We first prove the upper bound. By (2.8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{0}\left[\tau^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]=\int_{[0, \infty)} d \tau \tau^{1 / 2} \mathbb{P}_{y^{\prime}}\left(\tau^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right) \in d \tau\right)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{[0, \infty)} d \tau \tau^{-1 / 2} \int_{D_{R}} d z^{\prime} p_{D_{R}}^{\prime}\left(0, z^{\prime} ; \tau\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the monotonicity of the Dirichlet heat kernel,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{D_{R}}^{\prime}\left(0, z^{\prime} ; \tau\right) \leq p_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}^{\prime}\left(0, z^{\prime} ; \tau\right)=(4 \pi \tau)^{-1} e^{-\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{2} /(4 \tau)} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4.9) and (4.10), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{0}\left[\tau^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)^{1 / 2}\right] \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{[0, \infty)} d \tau \tau^{-1 / 2} \int_{D_{R}} d z^{\prime}(4 \pi \tau)^{-1} e^{-\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{2} /(4 \tau)}=\frac{1}{2} \pi^{1 / 2} R \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.6), (2.7) and (4.11), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{0}\left[\mathcal{T}\left(C_{L, R}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{L, R}\right)\right)\right] \geq(L-2 R) \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)-\frac{8}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \int_{[0, \infty)} d t t^{1 / 2} Q_{D_{R}}^{\prime}(t) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.12) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}\left(C_{L, R}\right) \leq \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right) L-\frac{4}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \int_{[0, \infty)} d t t^{1 / 2} Q_{D_{R}}^{\prime}(t)+\frac{8}{L \lambda_{1}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)} \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (1.17), (4.12) and (4.13), we get

$$
\mathfrak{C}\left(C_{L, R}\right) \leq 2 R \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)+\frac{4}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \int_{[0, \infty)} d t t^{1 / 2} Q_{D_{R}}^{\prime}(t)+\frac{8}{L \lambda_{1}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)} \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)
$$

Since $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}\left(D_{R}\right)=\frac{\pi}{8} R^{4}$, we conclude by (2.13) with $\Omega=D_{R}$, that

$$
\limsup _{L \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{C}\left(C_{L, R}\right) \leq \frac{\pi}{4}\left(1+\frac{1}{j_{0}}\right) R^{5}
$$

To prove the lower bound we start from (3.2). Let $a \in\left(0, \frac{1}{3}\right)$. We have the following estimate:

$$
c^{\prime}=\mathbb{E}_{0}\left[\int_{C_{1}} d x\left(v_{C_{1}}(x)-v_{C_{1}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{1}\right)}(x)\right)\right] \geq \mathbb{E}_{0}\left[\int_{D_{a}} d x\left(v_{C_{1}}(x)-v_{C_{1}-\mathfrak{B}(B(\beta(0) ; a))}(x)\right)\right] .
$$

Fix a compact set $K \subset B(B(0) ; a) \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$. Note that $x \mapsto v_{C_{1}}(x)-v_{C_{1}-K}(x)$ is harmonic on $C_{1}-K$, equals 0 for $x \in \partial C_{1}$, and equals $\frac{1}{4}\left(1-\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)$ for $x \in K$. If $|x|<a$, then $\left|x^{\prime}\right|<a, x \in K$. Hence $v_{C_{1}}(x)-v_{C_{1}-K}(x) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(1-a^{2}\right)$ for $x \in K$. We therefore have

$$
v_{C_{1}}(x)-v_{C_{1}-K}(x) \geq \frac{1-a^{2}}{4} \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}\left(\bar{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}^{3}-K}<\bar{\tau}\left(C_{1}\right)\right), \quad x \in C_{1}
$$

It is straightforward to check that (4.5) holds for $y^{\prime}=0$. Furthermore, if $x \in \partial C_{1}$ and $z \in K$, then $|z-x| \geq 1-a$. Hence, by (4.4),

$$
\sup _{x \in \partial C_{1}} \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}\left(\tau_{\mathbb{R}^{3}-K}<\infty\right) \leq \frac{1}{4 \pi(1-a)} \operatorname{cap}(K)
$$

Combining (4.5) and (4.6), we get

$$
\overline{\mathbb{P}}_{x}\left(\bar{\tau}_{\mathbb{R}^{3}-K}<\bar{\tau}\left(C_{1}\right)\right) \geq \frac{1-3 a}{8 \pi a(1-a)} \operatorname{cap}(K), \quad K \subset B(\beta(0) ; a),|x| \leq a
$$

Combining (4.2), (4.5) and (4.7), we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}_{0}\left[\int_{C_{1}} d x\left(v_{C_{1}}(x)-v_{C_{1}-\mathfrak{B}\left(C_{1}\right)}(x)\right)\right] \\
& \geq \frac{1-a^{2}}{4} \frac{1-3 a}{8 \pi a(1-a)} \int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}:|x|<a\right\}} d x \mathbb{E}_{0}[\operatorname{cap}(\mathfrak{B}(B(0 ; a)))] \\
& =\frac{(1-3 a)(1+a) a^{3}}{24} \kappa \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

The right-hand side of (4.14) is maximal when

$$
a=\frac{\sqrt{61}-4}{15}
$$

This choice of $a$ yields the left-hand side of (1.19).

## A Appendix

The following estimate was used in Step 1 of the proof of Proposition 3.1.
Lemma A. 1 Let $\Omega_{1} \subset \Omega_{2}$ be non-empty open sets in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $K$ a compact set in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. Let the torsion functions for $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}, \Omega_{1}-K, \Omega_{2}-K$ be denoted by $v_{\Omega_{1}}, v_{\Omega_{2}}, v_{\Omega_{1}-K}, v_{\Omega_{2}-K}$, respectively. Suppose that $\inf \left[\operatorname{spec}\left(-\Delta_{\Omega_{2}}\right)\right]>0$. Then

$$
v_{\Omega_{2}}(x)-v_{\Omega_{2}-K}(x) \geq v_{\Omega_{1}}(x)-v_{\Omega_{1}-K}(x), \quad x \in \Omega_{1}-K
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\Omega_{2}}(x)-v_{\Omega_{2}-K}(x) \leq \frac{1}{8}\left(m+c m^{1 / 2}+8\right) \lambda\left(\Omega_{2}\right)^{-1}, \quad x \in \Omega_{1}-K \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
c=\sqrt{5(4+\log 2)} .
$$

Proof. We extend the torsion functions $v_{\Omega_{2}-K}$ and $v_{\Omega_{1}-K}$ to all of $\Omega_{1}$ by putting them equal to 0 on $K \cup\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}-\Omega_{1}\right)$. Define $h(x)=\left(v_{\Omega_{2}}(x)-v_{\Omega_{2}-K}(x)\right)-\left(v_{\Omega_{1}}(x)-v_{\Omega_{1}-K}(x)\right), x \in \Omega_{1}-K$. Then $h$ is harmonic on $\Omega_{1}-K$, and $h(x)=v_{\Omega_{2}}(x)-v_{\Omega_{1}}(x) \geq 0, x \in K$, by the domain monotonicity of the torsion function. Furthermore, $h(x)=v_{\Omega_{2}}(x)-v_{\Omega_{2}-K}(x) \geq 0, x \in \partial \Omega_{1}$, by the domain monotonicity, and $h(x) \geq 0, x \in \Omega_{1}-K$, by the maximum principle of harmonic functions. The estimate in (A.1) follows from the non-negativity of the torsion function, together with the estimate in [18].
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