



Jefferys, A., Lenguerrand, E., Cornthwaite, K., Johnson, A., Lynch, M., Hyde, J., ... Siassakos, D. (2017). Deflation versus maintained inflation of gastric band in pregnancy: A national cohort study. *Obstetric Medicine*, 10(2), 67-73. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1753495X16681200>

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1177/1753495X16681200](https://doi.org/10.1177/1753495X16681200)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Sage at <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1753495X16681200> . Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.


University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/pure/about/ebr-terms>


Figure 1.

a. Maternal Outcomes: Laparoscopic Adjustable Gastric Band vs National Data*



*Only $p < 0.05$ presented. Rate ratio derived from unadjusted Modified Poisson regression. †Labour induced: Deflated vs National Data: Relative Risk (RR) 1.56 95%CI (0.99-2.46). ‡Labour induced: Inflated vs National Data: RR 2.19 95%CI(1.61-2.98). §Caesarean section: Deflated vs National Data: RR 2.04 95%CI (1.51-2.76). ||Caesarean section: Inflated vs National Data: RR 1.77 95%CI (1.30-2.41). ¶Gestational Hypertension: Deflated vs National Data: RR 4.74 95%CI(1.88-11.98)

b. Perinatal Outcomes: Laparoscopic Adjustable Gastric Banding vs National



Data*

*Only $p < 0.05$ presented. Rate ratio derived from unadjusted Modified Poisson regression. †Low birth weight: Inflated vs National Data: Relative Risk (RR) 2.05 95%CI (1.03-4.08). ‡Macrosomia: Deflated vs National Data: RR 2.04 95%CI (1.19-3.51).