



University of Dundee

Pseudomonas aeruginosa intensive care unit outbreak

Parcell, Benjamin J.; Oravcova, Katarina; Pinheiro, Miguel; Holden, Matthew T. G.; Phillips, Gabby; Turton, Jane F.; Gillespie, Stephen H.

Published in: Journal of Hospital Infection

DOI: 10.1016/j.jhin.2017.12.005

Publication date: 2017

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Parcell, B. J., Oravcova, K., Pinheiro, M., Holden, M. T. G., Phillips, G., Turton, J. F., & Gillespie, S. H. (2017). Pseudomonas aeruginosa intensive care unit outbreak: winnowing of transmissions with molecular and genomic typing. Journal of Hospital Infection. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhin.2017.12.005

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Accepted Manuscript

Pseudomonas aeruginosa intensive care unit outbreak: winnowing of transmissions with molecular and genomic typing

Benjamin J. Parcell, Katarina Oravcova, Miguel Pinheiro, Matthew T.G. Holden, Gabby Phillips, Jane F. Turton, Stephen H. Gillespie

PII: S0195-6701(17)30654-0

DOI: 10.1016/j.jhin.2017.12.005

Reference: YJHIN 5297

To appear in: Journal of Hospital Infection

Received Date: 6 November 2017

Accepted Date: 3 December 2017

Please cite this article as: Parcell BJ, Oravcova K, Pinheiro M, Holden MTG, Phillips G, Turton JF, Gillespie SH, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* intensive care unit outbreak: winnowing of transmissions with molecular and genomic typing, *Journal of Hospital Infection* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2017.12.005.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Pseudomonas aeruginosa intensive care unit outbreak: winnowing of transmissions with molecular and genomic typing

Benjamin J. Parcell,^a# Katarina Oravcova,^b* Miguel Pinheiro^b, Matthew T.G. Holden,^b Gabby Phillips,^c Jane F. Turton,^d Stephen H. Gillespie^b

Ninewells Hospital & Medical School, Dundee^a; School of Medicine, University of St Andrews, St Andrews^b; Ninewells Hospital & Medical School, Dundee^c; Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcare Associated Infections Reference Unit (AMRHAI), Public Health England, Colindale^d

Running title: Pseudomonas Outbreak Detection by WGS

*Present address: Katarina Oravcova, Glasgow Institute of Biodiversity, Animal Health and Comparative Medicine, College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow

Address correspondence to Dr Benjamin J. Parcell b.parcell@nhs.net Consultant in Medical Microbiology Ninewells Hospital & Medical School Dundee DD1 9SY Tel: +44(0)1382 632855 Fax: +44(0)1382 496462

Word count (title, abstract, manuscript, references, tables, figures) 5179

Summary

Background: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* healthcare outbreaks can be time consuming and difficult to investigate. Guidance does not specify which typing technique is most practical to base decisions on.

Aim: We explore the usefulness of whole genome sequencing (WGS) in the investigation of a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* outbreak describing how it compares with pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) and variable number tandem repeat (VNTR) analysis.

Methods: Six patient isolates and six environmental samples from an Intensive Care Unit (ICU) positive for *P. aeruginosa* over two years underwent VNTR, PFGE and WGS.

Findings: VNTR and PFGE were required to fully determine the potential source of infection and rule out others. WGS results unambiguously distinguished linked isolates giving greater assurance of the transmission route between wash hand basin (WHB) water and two patients supporting control measures employed.

Conclusion: WGS provided detailed information without need for further typing. When allied to epidemiological information it can be used to understand outbreak situations rapidly and with certainty. Implementation of WGS in real-time would be a major advance in day-to-day practice. It could become a standard of care as it becomes more widespread due to its reproducibility and reduction in costs.

Summary word count 191 Keywords: Sequencing, Pseudomonas, Resistance, Outbreak, Water

Introduction

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a Gram-negative bacterium that is ubiquitous in moist hospital environments [1,2]. It is an opportunistic pathogen in immunocompromised patients causing a wide range of infections [2-6]. Hospital water can be a source of outbreaks in neonatal units and both adult and paediatric intensive care units colonising and forming biofilms in water taps, sinks, toilets, showers and drains [2,7-13]. Routes of transmission include environment-to-patient either directly from contaminated water or splashes from water outlets, or indirectly from contaminated hands or equipment. Transmission from colonised patients to the environment and between patients can occur during clinical procedures that create aerosols. Infection can be acquired and arise from the patient's own gut microbiota after pseudomonads have been selected out by antibiotics [14]. Multidrug resistance in *P. aeruginosa* species is common, and the mortality rate in invasive infections is up to 29%; controlling the spread of this organism is therefore important [15,16].

Differentiating strains is essential to identify routes of transmission of organisms, to identify reservoirs and plot potential chains of transmission. Variable number tandem repeat (VNTR) typing, a PCR-based method represents an improvement in speed and reproducibility over pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) whilst providing a similar level of discrimination [1,16]. Turton *et al.* suggested that isolates similar by VNTR, with no strong epidemiological links between them should be confirmed by PFGE [1]. Newer methods of whole genome sequencing (WGS) offer the potential to give greater resolution, reproducibility and may be faster at identifying strains in an outbreak and deducing the lines of transmission. WGS has been used in the investigation of a variety of bacterial outbreaks, and in some instances for the investigation of pseudomonas outbreaks, but to our knowledge we are the first to report data comparing the utility of rapid whole genome sequencing (WGS) versus the current typing methods VNTR and PFGE [17-27].

Materials and Methods

Four patients were identified as being colonized or infected with a strain of *P. aeruginosa* with an unusual resistance profile in an Intensive Care Unit of a teaching hospital (Ninewells Hospital, Dundee) between January 2013 and May 2013. A case finding exercise was carried out using the definition 'a sample positive with *P. aeruginosa* resistant to imipenem isolated from a patient admitted to ICU since 2012'. The case finding exercise yielded a further 5 patients however, only two patients had isolates that had been stored by the hospital laboratory therefore a total 6 patient isolates were available for further testing. Water (pre-and post-flush samples) was sampled from 14 water outlets in ICU for *P. aeruginosa* on 16th May 2013 (Table I). Monitoring swabs were also taken from 11 water outlet drains on the same day (domestic service room wash hand basin [WHB], Bed 7 WHB, Bed 8 WHB, kitchen sink, kitchen drinking water tap, domestic service room sink, ventilator room sink, ICU entrance WHB, ward area WHB 1, ward area WHB 2, Bed 4 WHB). Caldicott Guardian approval was gained in order to protect patient confidentiality and enable appropriate information sharing.

Samples and Susceptibility Testing

All clinical specimens had been collected during routine care and processed at the Department of Medical Microbiology Laboratory, Ninewells Hospital, Dundee, UK. Environmental samples from each water outlet drain were incubated on MacConkey agar aerobically at 37°C and *Pseudomonas* CN Selective Agar (Oxoid Ltd, United Kingdom) at 35°C and examined after 24 and 48 hours. Vitek 2 (bioMérieux, Marcy L'Etoile, France) was used for organism identification and antibiotic susceptibility testing using minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) according to the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST). An external contractor sampled all water outlets for *P. aeruginosa* using pre- and post-flush samples. Water samples were processed by a UKAS (United Kingdom Accreditation Service) approved laboratory within four hours of collection.

VNTR and PFGE

Environmental and patient isolates were sent to the Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcare Associated Infections Reference Unit (AMRHAI), Public Health England, Colindale for typing (VNTR typing at nine loci and PFGE) as described previously [1].

WGS and Phylogenetic Analysis

Isolates were stored on beads at -80°C until processed. The cultures were re-cultured by the Infection Group, School of Medicine, University of St Andrews. DNA was then extracted using QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The quality of the DNA was measured as A280 nm/A260 nm ratio on NanoVue (GE Healthcare) and the concentration of double stranded DNA assessed using dsDNA BR Kit on a Qubit 2.0 fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Amounts of 1 ng of DNA were used for constructing the libraries with Nextera® XT kit (Illumina Inc, San Diego, CA, USA). The normalized libraries were sequenced using a 2×250 pair-end read of a 500-cycle v2 kit on a MiSeq platform (Illumina Inc, San Diego, CA, USA) using a resequencing workflow. The Illumina sequences generated were deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive under the study accession number ERP023446. Using SMALT (Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute;

www.sanger.ac.uk/resources/software/smalt/, reads were initially mapped to the chromosome of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (accession number AE004091) and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were identified as previously described [28]. In addition, the chromosomes of a representative selection of *P. aeruginosa* strains: B136-33 (accession number CP004061), DK2 (CP003149), LES431 (CP006937), LESB58 (FM209186), M18 (CP002496), MTB-1 (CP006853), NCGM2.S1 (AP012280), PA1 (CP004054), PA38182 (HG530068), RP73 (CP006245), SCV20265 (CP006931), UCBPP-PA14 (CP000438), VRFPA04 (CP008739), and YL84 (CP007147), were used to provide a wider context for the hospital isolates. For each of these additional *P. aeruginosa* strains, artificial 250bp pair-end reads fastq files were generated using a python script. The generated fastq files were mapped along with the outbreak isolates to the chromosome of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 and SNPs called. Recombination was detected in the genomes using Gubbins (http://sanger-pathogens.github.io/gubbins/) [29].

The core genome regions of the PAO1 and UCBPP-PA14 chromosome were defined by human curation using pairwise Blast comparisons with each other, and other *P. aeruginosa* strains [30]. The Artemis Comparison Tool (ACT) was used to visualize the comparisons [31]. SNPs falling inside Mobile Genetic Elements (MGEs regions) were excluded from the

core genome, as well as those falling in regions predicted by Gubbins to have occurred by recombination. Phylogenetic trees were constructed separately using RAxML v7.0.4 for all sites in the core genomes containing SNPs, using a General Time Reversible (GTR model) with a gamma correction for among site rate variation. [32,33]. For a higher resolution phylogeny, ICU isolates that clustered on a branch with UCBPP-PA14 (CP000438), were mapped to this sequence as described above.

Results

Epidemiology

The ICU ward had been free from outbreaks in 2013. It was an eight-bed unit of a Teaching Hospital with approximately 950 acute beds (Ninewells Hospital, Dundee). The infection prevention and control team (IPCT) were initially concerned that between January 2013 and May 2013 there were four patients colonised/infected with a strain of *P. aeruginosa* with the same resistance profile. All patient isolates were sensitive to gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, piperacillin-tazobactam, ceftazidime and resistant to imipenem; most patients had received carbapenem treatment at some point during their admission. Patients had a mixture of diagnoses on admission.

Fluids such as bed bath water and endotracheal aspirate (ETA) were disposed of in the hand wash stations. The IPCT visited the ward and gave advice in line with national guidance for the appropriate disposal of these potentially contaminated fluids. Procedures for the decontamination of two small pieces of equipment, the ventilator flow sensor and temperature probes, were also reviewed. These items were decontaminated by immersion in a sink filled with hot soapy water. This method was discontinued and sporicidal wipes utilised after ascertaining their suitability with the manufacturer. The decontamination sink in the back room was found to have crusting on taps; these taps were replaced. This was the sink that probes were decontaminated in. WHBs were supplied by Pillar mixer taps with integral thermostats (Supplementary Figure 1). The flow from the tap ran close to the sink drains, causing splashing. Taps with flow straighteners were at risk of contamination by biofilm. To become more compliant with NHS building regulations these were removed and sink basins were replaced to remove overflow drains. The ice machine was supplied by cold water via a flexible hose. This was identified as an area where bacteria could proliferate; the hose was

therefore replaced by a WRAS-approved hose. Localised cleaning of all affected outlets was carried out. An increased flushing regimen was introduced to remove any biofilm that was present within the affected outlets. Recommended flushing regimen was twice per day for 2 minutes at a time. Remediation works were successful as there was no growth of pseudomonas on repeat testing of outlets and water following these changes.

Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing

All isolates were confirmed to be *P. aeruginosa* and five isolates had an indistinguishable antibiotic susceptibility pattern (Patient A abdominal drain fluid, Patient B ETA, Patient D ETA, Patient E ETA, and Bed 8 WHB).

Environmental Investigation

Water (pre- and post-flush samples) was sampled from 14 water outlets in ICU for *P*. *aeruginosa* (Table I). Four areas were found to be positive: ice machine (pre and post), domestic service room WHB (pre and post), bed 7 WHB (pre and post), and bed 8 WHB (pre). The initial results suggested that the pseudomonas contamination was most likely local to the outlets as the post samples had yielded much lower growth results and negative results compared with the pre flush samples. Monitoring swabs were also taken from 11 water outlet drains and three were positive (bed 7 WHB water outlet drain, bed 4 WHB water outlet drain and ICU entrance WHB water outlet drain).

VNTR and PFGE Analysis

VNTR analysis of the isolates from the ICU identified that 6 of the isolates belonged to a cluster of related profiles, which included Patients B and D and the four environmental isolates from Bed 8 WHB water, Bed 4 WHB water outlet drain, Bed 7 WHB water outlet drain and kitchen ice machine water (Table II). All of these isolates had VNTR profiles that were similar to the PA14 strain, one of the most abundant clonal complexes in the *P*. *aeruginosa* population, which can be readily isolated from aquatic sources causing infections in humans.³⁴ The close relationship of these isolates in the PA14 cluster suggested that these isolates may be part of an outbreak. In contrast the isolates from patients A, C, E and F had distinct VNTR profiles, both from one another and the PA14 cluster, and also from the remaining environmental samples suggesting that these were unlinked and therefore could be ruled out of the outbreak.

PFGE was used to distinguish the PA14 cluster isolates. Analysis of the banding pattern divided the isolates into three distinct subtypes designated NINE04PA-1 (Bed 8 WHB water, Patient D ETA, Patient B ETA), NINE04PA-1' (Bed 4 and 7 WHB water outlet drain) and NINE04PA-1" (Kitchen ice machine water). There were clear and definite band differences between the ice machine isolate and the patient isolates.

Genome Sequencing and Phylogenetic Reconstruction

WGS and phylogenetic analysis were performed in order to resolve the fine-scale relationship between outbreak isolates and explore epidemiological links between the isolates (Figure 1). In order to provide a wider genetic context and a snapshot of diversity within the species, 15 additional *P. aeruginosa* genome sequences from EMBL nucleotide database were included in the analysis. For this overview of the *P. aeruginosa* population, the WGS reads of the isolates were mapped to chromosome of the reference strain PA01 [25]. In total, 182,476 SNP sites were identified amongst all analyzed isolates and revealed a diverse population structure, throughout which were distributed the ICU isolates. The cluster of isolates identified by VNTR as belonging to PA14 clone formed a distinct clade in the phylogenetic tree that included the reference isolate UCBPP-PA14, which belonged to PA14 clone. The next closest isolates to the PA14 cluster were those belonging to patient C ETA and patient F ETA and differed from the cluster by ~49,000 SNPs and ~50,000 SNPs, respectively.

In order to provide greater resolution for the relationship of the PA14 cluster isolates, the WGS reads were remapped to the reference chromosome of UCBPP-PA14 [26]. This isolate was genetically closer to the outbreak isolates than PAO1, and therefore remapping to this isolates chromosome would provide increased genomic coverage and consequently greater resolution. Initial phylogenetic analysis of the SNP data mapped to UCBPP-PA14 differentiated the isolates into two separate clusters and a further outlier that was each distinguished by over 1000 SNPs: one cluster containing Patient D ETA, Patient B ETA and Bed 8 WHB water, which was distinguished from the second cluster containing Bed 4 WHB water outlet drain isolate and Bed 7 WHB water outlet drain isolate by 1852 SNPs, which in turn was distinguished from the kitchen ice machine water isolate by 1852 SNPs. Analysis of the distribution of SNPs in the chromosome identified regions of high SNP density, indicative of this variation arising by homologous recombination. Utilizing Gubbins to detect potential regions of recombination identified five regions that distinguished the PA14 clone ICU

population. Excluding the SNPs in these regions from the phylogenetic reconstruction reduced the apparent genetic diversity of the PA14 group, but still maintained the distinction of the two clusters and the outlier. In the Patient D ETA, Patient B ETA and Bed 8 WHB water cluster the Patient B ETA and Bed 8 WHB water isolates were indistinguishable, and differed from the Patient D ETA isolate by four SNPs. The minimal genetic distance between these isolates strongly supports transmission between the Bed 8 WHB water and patients D and B and is within the range of SNP distances observed in a study that investigated *P*. *aeruginosa* transmission in a hospital setting [26]. These patients were not in the ICU department at the same time. An overview of conventional typing and genomic analysis and the timeline for the delivery of these results is illustrated in Figure 2.

Discussion

The IPCT had urgent questions to answer: is there an outbreak, is there a common source, who is involved, how did the outbreak arise? In this study, we were able to evaluate the relative utility of typing methods to answer these questions. As one moves from routine methods of antibiogram, through VNTR, PFGE to WGS, the understanding of the nature of this outbreak becomes apparent. There is a progressive winnowing of possibly involved patients and infection sources. The antibiogram showed a linkage between the Bed 8 WHB water and patients B and D but also included patients A and E. VNTR correctly identified the two patients who were part of the outbreak but also identified several false positive environmental links. This left the IPCT considering various routes of transmission for instance patient care using ice machine water. PFGE was required for complete clarification. The clinical benefit of using WGS in this situation is that it rapidly provides absolute clarity in distinguishing the isolates in one step, negating the need for IPCT to spend unnecessary time contemplating other scenarios of how the transmission came about without certainty. In this situation, this information was combined with epidemiology. These patients were not in the unit at the same time, suggesting that the water supply had acted as a reservoir and source of on-going infection.

Recognised interventions to prevent transmission from water to patients were effective in preventing further transmission. These included removal of taps with flow straighteners, replacement of sink basins with overflow drains, introduction of increased flushing regimen and monitoring of water temperature to become fully compliant with national guidance. The

IPCT also reviewed procedures for the decontamination of the ventilator flow sensor and temperature probes, in addition to making recommendations for the disposal of potentially contaminated fluids to prevent transmission of organisms from patients to sink drains and distal ends of taps. ICU staff supported changes to their decontamination practices and training on new cleaning protocol for sinks was also given. Following this, areas were resampled and it was confirmed that remediation works were successful.

We have shown that WGS is a potent tool to direct effective intervention in outbreak situations, in comparison to and providing additional information to that generated by molecular typing methods. We found that WGS can provide results within 7 days; however it should be noted that current start-up costs for this technology remain high. For WGS to be introduced into routine clinical microbiology laboratories investment in infrastructure including bioinformatics and expertise for the interpretation, management and storage of data is required. Standard operating procedures, validation of methods and quality control measures are in place for VNTR and PFGE testing and will be required for WGS to take place in clinical laboratories. Our results are limited by the fact that some isolates were not stored and not all were successfully recultured. We processed only one colony from each sample and this may limit assessment of the diversity of pseudomonas in patient and environment samples.

WGS would be of particular use when there are no obvious epidemiological links between the patients enabling IPCTs to have timely results using one method. WGS alone provided the necessary resolution to identify the transmission pathway, demonstrating unequivocally the spread between single water supply to patients, and eliminating other potential transmission events and sources. Thought should be given as to how to make these powerful data available routinely in a timely manner, and in a format that is easily interpretable and clinically relevant. Establishing tools such as sequencing machines locally can reduce the turnaround time. It is essential that clinicians develop a new approach to investigate hospital outbreaks and escalate to WGS at an early stage to allow accurate and rapid description of the causes. It is only by using WGS in real-time that it will be used as a powerful tool to improve patient outcomes.

Funding Source

Bioinformatics and computational biology analyses were supported by the University of St Andrews Bioinformatics Unit which is funded by a Wellcome Trust ISSF award [grant 097831/Z/11/Z]. BJP, KO, MP, MTGH, GP and SHG are funded by the Chief Scientist Office through the Scottish Infection Research Network, a part of the SHAIPI consortium grant reference number SIRN/10.

Competing Interests

None.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge Patricia Kydd, Harry McEwan, Derek Foote and Wai-Lum Sung for their assistance in data collection, sampling and development of graphics. In addition we acknowledge the Grampian Biorepository and Tayside Biorepository for their approval for use of isolates.

References

 Turton JF, Turton SE, Yearwood L, Yarde S, Kaufmann ME, Pitt TL. Evaluation of a nine-locus variable-number tandem-repeat scheme for typing of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Clin Microbiol Infect 2010;16:1111-6.

- Martin K, Baddal B, Mustafa N, Perry C, Underwood A, Constantidou C, et al. Clusters of genetically similar isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from multiple hospitals in the UK. J Med Microbiol 2013;62:988-1000.
- 3. Walker JT, Jhutty A, Parks S, Willis C, Copley V, Turton JF, et al. Investigation of healthcare-acquired infections associated with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms in taps in neonatal units in Northern Ireland. J Hosp Infect 2014;**86**:16-23.
- 4. Kerr KG, Snelling AM. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: a formidable and ever-present adversary. J Hosp Infect 2009;73:338-44.
- Pier GB, Ramphal R. *Pseudomonas aeurginos,*. In Mandell GL, Bennett JE, Dolin R, editors, *Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases*, 7th ed. United States: Churchill Livingstone; 2010. P. 2835-60.
- Giamarellou H. Prescribing guidelines for severe *Pseudomonas* infections. *JAC* 2002;49:229-233.
- 7. Durojaiye OC, Carbarns N, Murray S, Majumdar S. Outbreak of multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in an intensive care unit. J Hosp Infect 2011;78:154-5.
- Cholley P, Thouverez M, Floret N, Bertrand X, Talon D. The role of water fittings in intensive care rooms as reservoirs for the colonization of patients with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Intensive Care Med 2008;34:1428-33.
- 9. Anaissie EJ, Penzak SR, Dignani MC. The hospital water supply as a source of nosocomial infections: a plea for action. Arch Intern Med 2002;162:1483-92.
- Aumeran C, Paillard C, Robin F, Kanold J, Baud O, Bonnet R, et al. *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa and *Pseudomonas putida* outbreak associated with contaminated water outlets in an oncohaematology paediatric unit. J Hosp Infect 2007;65:47-53.
- 11. Gershman MD, Kennedy DJ, Noble-Wang J, Kim C, Gullion J, Kacica M, et al, Pseudomonas fluorescens Investigation Team. Multistate outbreak of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* bloodstream infection after exposure to contaminated heparinized saline flush prepared by a compounding pharmacy. Clin Infect Dis 2008;47:1372-9.
- 12. Hota S, Hirji Z, Stockton K, Lemieux C, Dedier H, Wolfaardt G, et al. Outbreak of multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* colonization and infection secondary to imperfect intensive care unit room design. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2009;30:25-33
- Snyder LA, Loman NJ, Faraj LA, Levi K, Weinstock G, Boswell TC, et al. Epidemiological investigation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates from a six-year-

long hospital outbreak using high-throughput whole genome sequencing. Euro Surveill 2013;18:1-9.

- 14. HFS, HPS and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and Water (Scotland) Group Guidance for neonatal units (NNUs) (levels 1, 2 & 3), adult and paediatric intensive care units (ICUs) in Scotland to minimise the risk of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection from water version 2.0 published July 2014
- 15. Castanheira M, Deshpande LM, Costello A, Davies TA, Jones RN. Epidemiology and carbapenem resistance mechanisms of carbapenem-non-susceptible *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa collected during 2009-11 in 14 European and Mediterranean countries. J Antimicrob Chemother 2014;69:1804-14.
- 16. Kelsey M. *Pseudomonas* in augmented care: should we worry? *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2013;68:2697-700.
- 17. Robinson ER, Walker TM, Pallen MJ. Genomics and outbreak investigation: from sequence to consequence. *Genome Med* 2013;5;5:36.
- Mellmann A, Harmsen D, Cummings CA, Zentz EB, Leopold SR, Rico A, et al. Prospective genomic characterization of the German enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O104:H4 outbreak by rapid next generation sequencing technology. PLoS One 2011;6:e22751.
- Köser CU, Holden MT, Ellington MJ, Cartwright EJ, Brown NM, Ogilvy-Stuart AL, et al. A neonatal MRSA outbreak investigation using rapid whole genome sequencing. N Engl J Med 2012;366:2267–75.
- 20. Harris SR, Cartwright EJ, Török ME, Holden MT, Brown NM, Ogilvy-Stuart AL, et al. Whole-genome sequencing for analysis of an outbreak of meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: a descriptive study. Lancet Infect Dis 2013; 13:130-6.
- 21. Vogel U, Szczepanowski R, Claus H, Jünemann S, Prior K, Harmsen D. Ion torrent personal genome machine sequencing for genomic typing of *Neisseria meningitidis* for rapid determination of multiple layers of typing information. J Clin Microbiol 2012;50:1889-94.
- 22. Reuters S, Harrison TG, Köser CU, Ellington MJ, Smith GP, Parkhill J, et al. A pilot study of rapid whole-genome sequencing for the investigation of a *Legionella* outbreak. BMJ Open 2013;3:pii: e002175.
- 23. Walker TM, Ip CL, Harrell RH, Evans JT, Kapatai G, Dedicoat MJ et al. Wholegenome sequencing to delineate Mycobacterium tuberculosis outbreaks: a retrospective observational study. Lancet Infect Dis 2013;13:137-46.

- 24. Chin CS, Sorenson J, Harris JB, Robins WP, Charles RC, Jean-Charles RR, et al. The origin of the Haitian cholera outbreak strain. N Engl J Med 2011;364:33-42.
- 25. Davis RJ, Jensen SO, Van Hal S, Espedido B, Gordon A, Farhat R et al. Whole Genome Sequencing in Real-Time Investigation and Management of a Pseudomonas aeruginosa Outbreak on a Neonatal Intensive Care Unit. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2015;36:1058-64.
- 26. Quick J, Cumley N, Wearn CM, Niebel M, Constantinidou C, Thomas CM, et al. Seeking the source of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections in a recently opened hospital: an observational study using whole-genome sequencing. BMJ Open 2014;4:1-10.
- 27. Mellmann A, Bletz S, Böking T, Kipp F, Becker K, Schultes A, et al. Real-Time Genome Sequencing of Resistant Bacteria Provides Real-Time Genome Sequencing of Resistant Bacteria Provides Precision Infection Control in an Institutional Setting. J Clin Microbiol 2016;54:2874 –2881
- 28. Hsu LY, Harris SR, Chlebowicz MA, Lindsay JA, Koh TH, Krishnan P et al. Evolutionary dynamics of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* within a healthcare system. Genome Biol 2015;16:81.
- 29. Croucher NJ, Page AJ, Connor TR, Delaney AJ, Keane JA, Bentley SD, et al. Rapid phylogenetic analysis of large samples of recombinant bacterial whole genome sequences using Gubbins. Nucl. Acids Res 2014;43:e15.
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 1990;215:403–410.
- Carver TJ, Rutherford KM, Berriman M, Rajandream MA, Barrell BG, Parkhill J. ACT: The Artemis Comparison Tool. Bioinformatics 2005; 21:3422-3423.
- 32. Stamatakis A. RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. Bioinformatics 2006;22: 2688-2690.
- 33. Harris SR, Feil EJ, Holden MT, Quail MA, Nickerson EK, Chantratita N, et al. Evolution of MRSA during hospital transmission and intercontinental spread. Science 2010;327:469-474.
- 34. Mikkelsen H, McMullan R, Filloux A. The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Reference Strain PA14 Displays Increased Virulence Due to a Mutation in ladS. PLoS One 2011;6: e29113

Figure 1. Phylogenomic analysis of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates.

Legend

Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree built with core SNPs identified by mapping to the PAO1 reference genome is presented on the left of the figure. The box on the right contains a maximum likelihood phylogeny of the ICU isolates belonging to the PA14 clone, where reads were mapped to the PA14 reference genome of UCBPP-PA14R. The tree was built with core SNPs, excluding SNPs identified in regions that had arisen by recombination (the number of SNPs associated with recombination is given in red text above the branches on which they were identified). Scale bars illustrating the relative SNPs distances of the phylogenetic trees are displayed.

Figure 2. Overview of conventional typing and genomic analysis

Supplementary Figure 1. Example photograph of the type of sink that was used on the unit at the time

Table I. Results of microbiological detection for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in water (pre and post flush samples) samples from water outlets in the Intensive Care Unit.

Source	Pseudomonas count (cfu/ml)	Pseudomonas count (cfu/ml)		
	pre-flush samples	post-flush samples		
Ice Machine	>100	>100		
Domestic Service Room WHB	37	1		
Bed 7 WHB	>100	28		
Bed 8 WHB	41	0		
Kitchen Sink	0	0		
Kitchen Drinking Water Tap	0	0		
Kitchen Hydroboil	0	0		
Domestic Service Room Sink	0	0		
Ventilator Room Sink	0	0		
ICU Entrance WHB	0	0		
Chilled Drinking Water	0	0		
Dispenser				
Ward Area WHB 1	0	0		
Ward Area WHB 2	0	0		
Bed 4 WHB	0	0		

Key: WHB wash hand basin

	Table	II. VNTR	profiles	of Pseudom	onas aerugino.	sa isolates	from the	Intensive	Care Unit
--	-------	----------	----------	------------	----------------	-------------	----------	-----------	-----------

Source	Date of Sampling	VNTR
Bed 4 WHB Water Outlet Drain	16/05/2013	12,2,1,5,5,2,4,5,11
Bed 7 WHB Water Outlet Drain	16/05/2013	12,2,1,5,5,2,4,5,11
Bed 8 WHB Water	16/05/2013	12,2,1,5,5,2,4,5,12
Domestic Service Room WHB Water	16/05/2013	12,3,6,3,1,4,14,5,10
ICU Entrance WHB Water Outlet Drain	16/05/2013	12,3,-,3,1,4,14,5,10
Ice Machine Water	16/05/2013	12,2,1,5,5,2,4,5,14
Patient A Abdominal Drain Fluid	11/03/2012	12,6,7,5,3,4,8,1,11
Patient B ETA	21/09/2012	12,2,1,5,5,2,4,5,12
Patient C ETA	04/01/2013	11,2,6, ,3,6,6,6,12
Patient D ETA	15/04/2013	12,2,1,5,5,2,4,5,12
Patient E ETA	11/05/2013	12,4,-,-,3,1,6,4,13
Patient F ETA	05/05/2013	12,2,-,3,2,2,-,5,6

Key: WHB wash hand basin



VNTR (2 days) PFGE (7 days) WGS (7 days or less) Antibiogram (48 hours) Bed 8 Bed 8 Bed 8 Bed 8 Bed 4 Α В В Bed 7 В D D Ice Machine D Ε В D DSR Bed 4 Bed 4 Bed 4 Bed 7 Bed 7 Bed 7 **ICU Entrance** DSR Α ICU Entrance С Ice Machine Е F F С Ice Machine Ice Machine Ε Α ICU Entrance DSR С F

Environmental samples

Bed 4: Bed 4 WHB Water Outlet Drain

Bed 7: Bed 7 WHB Water Outlet Drain

Bed 8: Bed 8 WHB Water

DSR: Domestic Service Room WHB Water

ICU Entrance: ICU Entrance WHB Water

Outlet Drain

Ice Machine: Water

Patient samples

A: Patient A Abdominal Drain Fluid

B: Patient B ETA

C: Patient C ETA

D: Patient D ETA

E: Patient E ETA

F: Patient F ETA

Strains deemed "in" by typing Strains deemed "out" by typing