The Great Lakes Entomologist

Volume 26 Number 1 - Spring 1993 Number 1 - Spring 1993

Article 4

April 1993

Aphid Prey of Passaloecus Cuspidatus (Hymenoptera: Sphecidae)

John M. Fricke Concordia College

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.valpo.edu/tgle



Part of the Entomology Commons

Recommended Citation

Fricke, John M. 1993. "Aphid Prey of Passaloecus Cuspidatus (Hymenoptera: Sphecidae)," The Great Lakes Entomologist, vol 26 (1)

Available at: https://scholar.valpo.edu/tgle/vol26/iss1/4

This Peer-Review Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Biology at ValpoScholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Great Lakes Entomologist by an authorized administrator of ValpoScholar. For more information, please contact a ValpoScholar staff member at scholar@valpo.edu.

APHID PREY OF *PASSALOECUS CUSPIDATUS* (HYMENOPTERA: SPHECIDAE)

John M. Fricke¹

ABSTRACT

Provisioning activity of Passaloecus cuspidatus extended from 29 May through 6 August 1987. Eighty trap-nests contained 281 provisioned cells containing 9,618 aphids. The average number of aphids per cell was 34.2 and the average number of cells provisioned per day was 0.73. Passaloecus cuspidatus stored the following aphids as provisions: Cinaria sp., Euceraphis sp., Macrosiphum euphorbiae, Myzus sp., Myzus cerasi, Myzus monardae, and Sitobium avenae. The number of aphids provisioned per cell was inversely related to aphid size. The number of aphids provisioned per cell varied significantly (9-74).

Passaloecus species provision their nests with aphids (Fye 1965 and Krombein 1955, 1956, 1958, 1960, 1961, 1967) and a certain Passaloecus sp. may prey on more than six different aphid species (Fye, 1965). Nesting sites include beetle borings in wooden cowshed walls, artificial borings in elderberry and chinaberry, pine trap-nests, and soda straws. Nests are linear and usually partitioned and closed with pine resin. Prey records of Passaloecus cuspidatus Smith provided by Fye (1965), Krombein (1956, 1958, 1963, 1967), and Vincent (1978) include the following aphids: Cinara, Macrosiphum, Masonaphis, Myzus, and Rhopalosiphum. Aphid predators are more closely associated with particular habitats than they are to particular aphids (Dixon 1973).

Passaloecus are flexible in their prey selection. The number of prey provisioned by Passaloecus spp. is extremely varied. Prey provisioned per cell ranged from 7 to 63; prey per nest ranged from 50 to 200. Corbet and Backhouse (1975) suggested that, in favorable conditions, a single female could capture 1500 aphids based upon one cell provisioned per day (30 aphids) and a wasp lifespan of 50 days. The mean number of aphids provisioned per cell has not been reported in the literature. Neither are there reports on whether the species of aphid provisioned influences the number of aphids provisioned.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Passloecus cuspidatus Smith was selected for investigation of aphid provisioning because its large size, markings and behavior made field identification possible. P. cuspidatus rings nest openings with resin prior to provision-

 $^{^1\}mathrm{Natural}$ Science and Mathematics Division, Concordia College, Ann Arbor, MI 48105.

Table 1. Aphids provisioned by Passaloecus cuspidatus, 1987.

Aphid Species*	Number of Trap-nests	Mean Number of Cells	# of Aphids ± S.D.			
Myzus monardae	46	160	37.0 ± 11.8			
Cinaria sp.	9	35	26.8 ± 7.6			
Macrosiphum euphorbiae	12	39	21.7 ± 8.2			
Euceraphis sp., Myzys sp.	3	8	28.5 ± 7.7			
Myzus cerasi	3	8	43.0 ± 17.5			
Sitobium avenae.	1	6	34.8 ± 9.0			

ing, facilitating field observations. The study site was located on the campus of Concordia College, Ann Arbor, Michigan during the summer of 1987.

Paraffin coated, pre-split trap-nests of seven bore diameters (2.4-7.2 mm, with 0.8 mm increments) were provided as nesting material. The available frequencies of bore diameters were as follows: 2.4 mm - 211; 3.2 mm - 307; 4.0 mm - 307; 4.8 mm - 307; 5.6 mm - 211; 6.4 mm - 211 and 7.2 mm - 211.

Trap-nests were removed from the field within one to two days of closure and were replaced with trap-nests of similar bore diameter. Closed trapnests were opened and the contents of each cell were removed, aphids counted and, with the wasp egg or larva, were transferred to a rearing vial. Two aphids from each provisioned cell were retained for identification.

Passaloecus cuspidatus was presumably active in the study area prior to

site establishment on 29 May 1987. Five trap-nests were ringed with resin as of 1 June 1987. Trap-nest provisioning was observed on 1 June (4 trips, 2:39 pm-3:20 pm); 3 June (7 trips, 12:31 pm-1:35 pm); 18 June (16 trips, 10:17 am-11:43 am); and 5 July (8 trips, 4:31 pm to 5:13 pm). Nesting activities continued through the first week of August with no trapnests ringed or closed with resin after 6 August 1987. Observations on 8, 14, and 21 August 1987 provided no evidence of additional activity. Passaloecus cuspidatus used trapnests at the following frequencies: 2.4 mm-9; 3.2 mm-37; 4.0 mm-28; 4.8 mm-8; 5.6 mm-1, and 6.4 mm-1. The minimum provisioning period for this wasp population was seventy days. This corresponds well with the range of flight dates (14 June-14 August) for P. cuspidatus material from the Museum of Zoology of the University of Michigan and the Entomology Museum of Michigan State University, and is supported by previous field observations of this species. In 1984, provisioning activity was first observed

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

between 4 and 12 June and terminated between 4 and 13 August. In 1985, emergence of *P. cuspidatus* from a natural nest occurred 10 May and nesting

Passaloecus cuspidatus provisioned its cells with Cinaria sp., Euceraphis sp., Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Thomas), Myzus sp., Myzus cerasi (Fabricius), Myzus monardae (Davis), and Sitobium avenae Fabricius (Table 1). Multiple t(II) tests for differences in number of aphids provisioned were significant for Myzus monardae, Cinaria sp., and Macrosiphum euphorbiae, [Myzus monardae and Cinaria sp., t(II) = 7.05053, df = 193, p < .001; Cinaria sp. and Macrosiphum euphorbiae, t(II) = 3.62055, df = 72, p < .001; and Myzus monardae and Macrosiphum euphorbiae, t(II) = 10.7478, df = 197, p < .001]. Differences in number of aphids provisioned per cell were inversely related to

activity ceased 20 August.

Table 2. Aphids provisioned by *Passaloecus cuspidatus* during Summer 1987. Provisioning period is divided into 14 5-day intervals beginning 24 May and ending 11 Aug. Upper # is number of aphids; lower # is number of cells.

	Endi	ding Dates For 14 Consecutive 5-day Provisioning Periods													
	May June			July							August				
Aphids	28	2	7	12	17	22	27	7	12	17	22	27	1	6	11
Myzus monardae			263 7	652 20	555 16	424 13	845 24	1056 29	556 17	669 14	871 19	35 1			***************************************
Cinaria sp.	356 15	441 15	163 5												
Macrosiphum euphorbiae							76 2	374 14	182 9	45 2	89 6	37 3	9 1	$^{38}_{\ 2}$	
Euceraphis sp. and Myzus sp.	158 6	70 2													
Myzus cerasi							63 3	202 4	$\frac{82}{2}$						
Sitobium ave na e	27 1	182 5													
undetermined aphids	$\begin{array}{c} 71 \\ 31 \end{array}$			41 1	43			$\begin{array}{c} 112 \\ 2 \end{array}$	562 9	$\frac{90}{2}$	170 5	30 1			
Total Aphids Total Cells	550 22	734 25	426 12	693 21	598 17	424 13	984 29	1744 49	1382 37	804 18	960 25	242 9	39 2	38 2	

aphid size, with Myzus monardae the smallest and Macrosiphum euphorbiae the largest.

Two trap-nests had an extraordinarily high number of provisions. One contained 430 aphids (eight cells, 53.75 aphids per cell) and another contained 334 aphids (five cells, 66.80 aphids per cell). Unfortunately, aphid samples for identification were not taken from these trap-nests.

Table 2 summmarizes data on the seasonal changes in aphid provisioning by *P. cuspidatus* and relative numbers of aphids provisioned. *Passloecus cuspidatus* was not restricted to a particular aphid species. Peaks in provisioning rates are assumed to be related to increased aphid numbers. Between 29 May and 7 June, *Cinara* sp., *Euceraphis* sp., *Myzus* sp., and *Sitobion avenae* Fabricus were used as provisions. From 8 June through 27 July *Myzus monardae* (Davis) was the preferred prey while *Macrosiphum euphorbiae* (Thomas) and *Myzus cerasi* (Fabricius) were provisioned in significant numbers between 28 June and 17 July .

Provisioning rates were varied and estimated on the basis of number of cells provisioned and dates of bore ringing and closure. Trap-nests with estimated provisioning periods of one to three days contained from one to ten provisioned cells. Trap-nests with estimated provisioning periods of six to eight days contained two to eight provisioned cells. Trap-nests with provisioning periods of 10 to 21 days contained one to five provisioned cells. The estimated number of cells provisioned per day ranged from 0 to 5.

Several variables could influence provisioning rates. Exceptionally high

Several variables could influence provisioning rates. Exceptionally high provisioning rates could be the result of close proximity of aphids, closure materials and the nesting site. Aphids and closure materials somewhat distant from the nesting site could result in low provisioning rates. A possible cause for low provisioning rates is a temporary cessation of provisioning activity. This cessation might be necessary to allow the development of additional ova following a period of provisioning and oviposition. Other factors including inclement weather, low aphid population levels and disruptive

behavior of parasitoids could influence provisioning rates. Cells (281) were provisioned during a cumulative provisioning period of 381 days. The average provisioning rate per trapnest was 0.73 cells per day. Trap-nests used less than four days had a higher than average provisioning rate and trap-nests used longer than four days had lower than average provisioning rates.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Aphid specimens were identified by Dr. Manya Stoetzel, USDA.

LITERATURE CITED

- Corbet, S. A., and M. Backhouse. 1975. Aphid hunting wasps: a field study of Passa-loecus. Trans. Roy. Entomol. Soc. London. 127:11-30.
- Dixon, A. F. G. 1973. Biology of Aphids. The Institute of Biology's Studies in Biology no. 44, 58 pp. Edward Arnold (Publishers) Limited, London.
- Fricke, J. M. 1991. Trap-nest bore diameter preferences among sympatric *Passaloecus* spp. (Hymenoptera: Sphecidae). Great Lakes. Entomol. 24:123-125.
- Fye, R. E. 1965. The biology of the Vespidae, Pompilidae and Sphecidae from trapnests in northwestern Ontario. Canad. Entomol. 97:716-744.
- Krombein, K. V. 1955. Miscellaneous prey records of solitary wasps. I. Bull. Brooklyn Entomol. Soc. 50:13-17.
- _____. 1956. Miscellaneous prey records of solitary wasps. II. Bull. Brooklyn Entomol. Soc. 51:42-44.
- _____. 1958. Miscellaneous prey records of solitary wasps. III. Proc. Biol. Soc. Washington. 71:21-26.
- _____. 1960. Biological notes on some Hymenoptera that nest in sumach pith. Entomol. News. 71:29-36, 63-69.
- _____ 1961. Miscellaneous prey records of solitary wasps. IV. Bull. Brooklyn Entomol. Soc. 56: 62-65.
- _____. 1967. Trap-nesting Wasps and Bees: Life Histories, Nests, and Associates, iii-vi + 570 pp. Smithsonian Press, Washington D.C.
- Vincent, D. L. 1978. A revision of the genus Passaloecus (Hymenoptera: Sphecidae) in America north of Mexico. Wasmann J. Biol. 36:127-198.