

April 1987

Economic Thresholds for Central European and North American Wheat Insects

Stanley G. Wellso
Purdue University

Theo Wetzel
Martin-Luther Universitat

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholar.valpo.edu/tgle>



Part of the [Entomology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Wellso, Stanley G. and Wetzel, Theo 1987. "Economic Thresholds for Central European and North American Wheat Insects," *The Great Lakes Entomologist*, vol 20 (1)
Available at: <https://scholar.valpo.edu/tgle/vol20/iss1/6>

This Peer-Review Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Biology at ValpoScholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in *The Great Lakes Entomologist* by an authorized administrator of ValpoScholar. For more information, please contact a ValpoScholar staff member at scholar@valpo.edu.

ECONOMIC THRESHOLDS FOR CENTRAL EUROPEAN AND NORTH AMERICAN WHEAT INSECTS¹

Stanley G. Wellso² and Theo Wetzel³

ABSTRACT

The economic thresholds for implementing control of 24 wheat insect pests from Central Europe and North America are discussed. Additional studies on wheat pests are necessary to better define the existing thresholds.

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) has played a major role in human economic and social development, and provided more nourishment than any other food crop (Inglett 1974). Much information has been published about the relationship of insects to losses in wheat and their control. A primary task of many entomologists is to evaluate insect damage to a crop relative to its yield and biomass loss; from these data economic thresholds can be established to reduce future losses. For wheat, however, the situation is more complex than just described because insects usually are not the sole or primary consideration causing yield reduction, and often it is difficult to correlate the economic losses directly to insect numbers and their damage. Many other factors influence wheat yield, e.g., the genetic potential of the cultivar, or cumulative environmental and biological effects during the growth and development of the plant including diseases, weeds, and mineral and water deficiencies or excesses.

Boyer (1982) suggested that U.S. wheat productivity falls far short of the potential of the crop and noted the following wheat data from the USDA (1965): record yield, 14,500 kg/ha; avg. yield, 1880 kg/ha (or 13.0% of the record yield); loss from diseases, 336 kg/ha (2.3%); insects, 134 kg/ha (0.9%); weeds, 256 kg/ha (1.8%); other unfavorable environmental conditions, 11,900 kg/ha (82.0%). The major losses in wheat are attributed to climate and soil conditions and include drought, excess water, hail, and wind. Pesticide usage in 1955 equalled 1% of the total crop value, and this had risen to 4.6% by 1968 (Neumeyer et al. 1969). About 3.8% of all insecticides used in the U.S. in 1985 were used on wheat pests (USDA 1985). This percentage is low relative to the value of the crop and is due, in part, to compensation of wheat to insect attack by tillering.

In this paper, we compare the recommended thresholds for control of wheat insects in the United States and Central Europe (primarily the German Democratic Republic). Since the climate and some pests from the two regions are similar, evaluating the differences in small grain insect control in the two regions should bring about a better understanding of economic thresholds.

¹Michigan Agricultural Experiment Station Journal Article 11662. Part of a cooperative project between Agricultural Research Service, USDA and the Department of Entomology, Michigan State University.

²USDA, ARS, Department of Entomology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907.

³Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg, Sektion Pflanzenproduktion, Wissenschaftsbe- reich Agrochemie, 402 Halle (Saale), Ludwig-Wucherer-Strasse 2, German Democratic Republic.

CEREAL YIELDS AND QUALITY

The world's wheat acreage is concentrated in the Northern Hemisphere with over 90% of the acreage in Eurasia and North America (Reitz 1967). Cereal losses are typically determined by the components of yield, i.e., the density of heads per unit area, the number of seeds per head ("ear" or "spike"), and the seed weight often expressed as the weight/1000 seeds. The corresponding loss percentages relative to total yield are 48% with respect to the density of the stand, 29% with respect to the seeds per spike, and 23% with respect to the weight/1000 seeds (Damisch 1970). In addition, the straw of wheat is also an important article of commerce. Cereal feeding insects may affect the quality as well as quantity of the grain. Wratten (1975, 1978) and Freier and Wetzel (1976) found a reduction of grain protein of wheat and assumed that it was probably due to nitrogen depletion by aphids feeding on the heads.

INSECT PESTS OF WHEAT

Many factors must be considered before reaching the decision that insecticides should be used to control an insect pest. The following are perhaps the most important criteria that should be considered when evaluating pest damage of a crop: (1) the stage of growth at the time of the infestation (spring or fall plants, tillering or heading); (2) the size and expected duration of the infestation; (3) the transmission of diseases or the injection of toxins that injure the crop more than nutrient removal; (4) other pests attacking the crop; (5) the vigor of the plants and their ability to tolerate or withstand attack; (6) the nature of any host plant resistance; (7) the presence of predators and parasitoids to suppress the pest; (8) the yield potential or value of the crop under present light, soil, and moisture conditions; and (9) the cost of control (the insecticide and application) relative to other expenditures already incurred during the development of the crop and relative to the future anticipated monetary return.

Many insect pests of North America were introduced unknowingly by immigrants. Since most immigrants came from Europe, it is appropriate to compare and contrast the thresholds for insect control of wheat insects of North America with European thresholds. We selected only those insects that are more important pests, although other insects are occasionally classified as pests. In addition, both areas are quite large; thus we used the most typical thresholds for both continents.

Table 1 provides information on the number of insects per unit area or plant that serve as thresholds before initiating chemical control. No specific insecticides are recommended because these vary from region to region and tend to change as new products become available. Of the 24 pest groups or species listed in Table 1, eight are from both continents, and eight are each from Europe or North America. The recommended thresholds are quite similar for the species that occur on both continents.

CEREAL LEAF BEETLES

Control measures for *Oulema* spp. are recommended when three or more eggs or larvae, or both, are present per plant, or one larva or more per flag leaf. These leaf-feeding insects often prefer to attack wheat in one or two fields in an area, and their populations then may warrant insecticidal control. In the 1960's and early 1970's, the cereal leaf beetle, *Oulema melanopus* (L.), was frequently controlled in North America with insecticides. In the 1970's, four species of European parasitoids were established in North America, and the need for insecticidal control was greatly reduced from 1975 to 1985. These leaf feeding insects, *Oulema* spp., are considered sporadic pests in Europe.

Table 1. Current thresholds for control of common wheat pests in Central Europe and North America

Insect Pest	Central Europe (CE)	North America (NA)
ROOTS		
COLEOPTERA		
<i>Phyllophaga</i> spp.	N/A ^a	32–43 larvae/M ^{2b,c}
<i>Agriotes</i> spp.	2–3 larvae/0.05 × 0.15 M core causes 10% loss ^d	N/A
<i>Melanotus</i> spp.	N/A	Occasional pests
<i>Eleodes</i> spp.	N/A	do.
HOMOPTERA		
<i>Rhopalosiphum insertum</i> (Walker)	Occasional pests	N/A
STEMS AND BLADES		
ORTHOPTERA		
Grasshoppers	N/A	8/M ² on small plants ^e
LEPIDOPTERA		
<i>Pseudaletia unipunctata</i> (Haworth)	N/A	4/0.3M of row ^e
COLEOPTERA		
<i>Zabrus tenebroides</i> Goeze	1–2 larvae/M ² in autumn ^f	N/A
<i>Oulema melanopus</i> (L.)	1 or more eggs or larvae/flag leaf ^f	3 eggs or larvae/plant or 1 or more larvae/flag leaf ^e
<i>Oulema lichinis</i> (Voet)	1 or more eggs or larvae/flag leaf ^f	N/A
HEMIPTERA		
<i>Blissus leucopterus</i> Say	N/A	Occasional pest
HOMOPTERA		
<i>Schizaphis graminum</i> Rondani	15–25 aphids/plant between flowering and milk stage ^g	10–15 cm, 50 aphids/plant ^h 15–25 cm, 200 do. 46–51 cm, 300 do. > 76 cm, 800 do. Occasional Pest
<i>Sitobion avenae</i> (Fabricius)	do.	do.
<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i> Fitch	do.	do.
<i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i> (L.)	do.	do.
<i>Sitobion fragariae</i> (Walker)	do.	do.
<i>Metopolophium dirhodum</i> (Walker)	do.	N/A
DIPTERA		
<i>Delia coarctata</i> (Fallen)	125 larvae/M ² in soil Jan.–Mar. ⁱ 60–90 eggs/M ² in soil Sept. ^f	N/A
<i>Mayetiola destructor</i> (Say)	1–6 larvae/plant ^f	20% stems infested ^j
HYMENOPTERA		
<i>Cephus pygmaeus</i> (L.)	30 larvae/M ² in stubble (indicator for popln. next year) ^f	Occasional pest
<i>Cephus cinctus</i> Norton	N/A	70% tunneling – 10% loss in grain ^g
HEADS		
HOMOPTERA		
<i>Sitobion avenae</i> (Fabricius)	3–5 larvae/head at flowering and 60–80% infestation ^f	Occasional pest
DIPTERA		
<i>Sitodiplosis mosellana</i> (Gehin)	1 ♀/3 heads just before flowering (ca. 13 larvae/head) ^k	1 ♀/head at flowering ^k
<i>Contarina tritici</i> (Kirby)	1 ♀/head at start of flowering ^f	N/A
<i>Haplodiplosis equestris</i> (Wagner)	5 larvae on culm on internode below the head (13% loss)	N/A
<i>Meromyza americana</i> Fitch	N/A	Control when infestation > 15% ^m

^aN/A = not applicable^bPainter et al. (1954)(NA)^cCoppock et al. (1984)(NA)^dGriffiths et al. (1967)(CE)^eRuppel and Bird (1981)(NA)^fWetzel and Freier (1981)(NA)^gBonnemaison (1980)(CE)^hDantels (1962)(NA)ⁱMaskell and Gair (1961)(CE)^jHill et al. (1943)(NA)^kBasedow and Schutte (1973)(CE,NA)^lNijveldt and Hulshoff (1968)(CE)^mKieckhefer and Morrill (1970)(NA)

CEREAL APHIDS

Rabbinge and Coster (1984) noted that cereal aphids cause direct yield loss through assimilate consumption and changes in the nitrogen balance, and indirect yield loss through honeydew excretion affecting photosynthesis, senescence, and pythotropic fungi. In addition, their ability to transmit various cereal diseases must always be considered when evaluating the need for control.

Aphid control recommendations are more variable, with insecticidal control being implemented when aphid numbers per plant in Europe are 15–25 near flowering or in the milk stage. The guidelines for aphid control in North America typically use crop height while Europeans use host maturity, and both use number of aphids per plant or linear foot of row. Although the current recommendations are not species specific, greenbugs, *Schizaphis graminum* (Rondani), whose saliva is much more phytotoxic than the saliva of the other aphids listed in Table 1, usually cause more cereal damage (Wadley 1929). Cramer (1967), summarizing statistics for 1965 from the USDA (1965), listed the greenbug as causing more wheat yield loss (23.3%) than any other insect. Coppock et al. (1984) noted that parasitoids occasionally attack enough greenbugs in the field to reduce infestations below economic levels, and that mummified greenbugs can be used to evaluate the presence of the parasitoid. In Europe the English grain aphid, *Sitobion avenae* (Fabricius), requires control on wheat heads; in North America other aphids attack small grains, but generally only greenbugs require control. In general, economic thresholds are less precise for aphids than many other insects because of the low correlation between aphid numbers and yield loss (Rabbinge and Mantel 1981). Although the transmission of diseases by insect vectors has not been mentioned specifically, some aphids may become more mobile after receiving sublethal or slow killing insecticides (Broadbent 1969). The aphids may move more frequently from plant to plant feeding on many more plants, thus innoculating them with viruses that are transmitted mechanically on their stylets in less than 1 min. of feeding time, in contrast to the less mobile untreated insects. Fortunately, the most important insect-transmitted disease of wheat, barley yellow dwarf, is not transmitted as rapidly, and insecticidal control may be helpful, but a definitive study on barley yellow dwarf transmission is still pending. The situation becomes more complex when one notes that the barley yellow dwarf viruses (or strains) exhibit varying degrees of specificity among grass feeding aphids (Sylvester 1980).

HESSIAN FLY

The Hessian fly, *Mayetiola destructor* (Say), of European origin, is not a major pest there, but is a very serious wheat pest in North America. Insecticidal control is typically not used, but control is warranted where the percentage of stems infested is about 20% (Hill et al. 1943). The use of resistant varieties in combination with the fly-free date are the principal control methods. The causative biotype is identified based on its survival on wheats having different genes for resistance, and the proper resistance is incorporated into commercial varieties by plant breeders to suppress the infesting biotype(s). In 1974, 16 million acres in North America were planted with Hessian fly resistant varieties (Gallun 1977).

WHEAT BLOSSOM MIDGES

The orange wheat blossom midge, *Sitodiplosis mosellana* (Gehin), was not considered a major pest on either continent until 1983, when a \$30 million loss to spring wheat occurred in northeast Saskatchewan, Canada (Olfert et al. 1985). They found that one, two, three, or four larvae per kernel resulted in an infestation level of 38, 58, 78, and 96%, respectively. In addition, infestations of 30, 60, and 90% reduced spring wheat yields by 40, 65, and 79%, respectively. Usually fewer than 10 larvae are present per

wheat head (ear), and seed weight may be significantly different between infested and noninfested heads. Although the midge is not dependent upon the presence of glume blotch, *Septoria nodorum* (Berk.) Berk., to attack, Wellso and Freed (1982) found that a positive association exists between the insect and glume blotch with more larvae present in those heads infested with glume blotch. In Europe, the lemon wheat blossom midge, *Contarinia tritici* (Kirby), appears to be more abundant than the orange wheat blossom midge, and its larvae feed on the wheat flower, killing the stigma and preventing pollination and grain development. Control in Europe is recommended when one or more adults are present per ear (head) when sampled throughout the field.

WHEAT STEM SAWFLIES

The European wheat stem sawfly, *Cephus pygmaeus* (L.), attacks spring wheats more than winter wheats and is a more important pest in Europe than North America. In North America, it is found only in northeastern United States and adjacent Canada, while its European distribution is greater. Another species, the wheat stem sawfly, *Cephus cinctus* Norton, is economically more important in North America as it attacks wheat west of the Mississippi River, primarily in North Dakota, Montana, and western Canada. Solid stemmed cereals are resistant to *C. cinctus* and deter oviposition and larval development. Insecticidal control has not been very successful, and burning the straw and deep plowing have helped reduce sawfly populations (Bonnemaïson 1980).

OTHER WHEAT PESTS

The other pests listed are from either Europe or North America, and, even within these areas, may be economically detrimental in only part of the wheat growing area. In some years, grasshoppers in North America may be very important pests, especially west of the Mississippi River. They are more important in years that are drier than average, as egg hatch is often reduced in moist years by soil-inhabiting fungi. Grasshoppers require control when 6–12 nymphs/m² are present. Various cutworm species and the armyworm, *Pseudaletia unipuncta* (Haworth), may be important pests occasionally. The cyclic nature of these pests in the past seems to be related to favorable environmental conditions. With greater emphasis on minimum tillage practice, these insects may become more important economic pests. Chinch bugs, *Blissus leucopterus* Say, are only an occasional pest on small grains in Kansas and adjacent states. This insect may develop in wheat or grasses, stunting some plants or causing them to die in small areas. This insect may become a serious pest to corn and sorghum after the wheat is harvested. The wheat stem maggot, *Meromyza americana* Fitch, damages spring wheat more than other cereals with losses ranging from a maximum of 9% with averages of 1–3% in South Dakota (Kieckhefer and Morrill 1970). The larvae feed within the culm and may cause the heads to die. Wireworms, including *Melanotus* sp., *Eleodes* sp., and *Agriotes* sp. may live in the soil from 2 to 6 years with the remaining larvae moving very far from the oviposition site. They feed on the wheat roots and may cause spotty damage in the field. Like armyworms and cutworms, these insects may become more abundant under minimum tillage practices. June beetles, *Phyllophaga* spp., like wireworms, may occasionally damage the roots of cereals.

Wheat bulb fly, *Delia coarctata* (Fallen), larvae move through the soil in Eurasian cereal fields and penetrate the underground stem (crown). The larvae move upward through the culms and feed upon the terminal buds, and may cause as much as 10% crop loss (ABMAC 1965).

We have described and compared the major damage to wheat by Central European and North American insect pests. Most entomologists agree that the current recommended thresholds need to be improved and that this will occur only after we better understand the insect-plant interactions. Since environmental stresses cause the major losses, the

relationship of insects, weeds, and diseases to each other and during these various stresses must be more clearly defined relative to crop loss. We are improving our biological and environmental monitoring and sampling, and this, together with a better understanding of the tolerance of plants to withstand insect attack, will bring about a better understanding of yield losses.

LITERATURE CITED

- ABMAC (Association of British Manufacturers of Agricultural Chemicals). 1965. Agricultural chemicals for productivity. London. 40 pp.
- Basedow, T., and F. Schutte. 1973. Neve Untersuchungen uber Eiablage, wirtschaftliche Schadensschwelle und Bekampfung der Weizengall mucken (Dipt.; Cecidomyiidae). Z. ang. Entomol. 73:238-251.
- Bonnemaïson, L. 1980. Principal animal pests. pp. 59-68 in E. Hafliiger. Wheat. CIBA GEIGY Ltd., Basel, Switzerland.
- Boyer, J. S. 1982. Plant productivity and environment. Science 218:443-448.
- Broadbent, L. 1969. Disease control through vector control. pp 593-630 in K. Maramorsch. Viruses, vectors, and vegetation. Wiley-Interscience Publ. New York.
- Coppock, S., R. Burton, and J. T. Pitts. 1984. Insects on small grains and their control. Oklahoma Ext. Bull. 7176. 6 pp.
- Cramer, H. H. 1967. Plant protection and world crop production. Leverkusen, West Germany. 524 pp.
- Damisch, W. 1970. Uber die Entstehung des Kornertrages bei Getreide. Albrecht-Thaer-Arch. 14:169-179.
- Daniels, N. E. 1962. Economic greenbug control. Texas Agric. Prog. 8:27-28.
- Freier, B., and T. Wetzel. 1976. Untersuchungen zum Einfluss von Getreideblattlausen auf die Ertragsbildung bei Winterweizen. Beitr. Entomol. 26:187-196.
- Gallun, R. L. 1977. Genetic basis of Hessian fly epidemics. Ann. New York Acad. Sci. 287:223-229.
- Griffiths, D. C., F. Row, and J. R. Lofty. 1967. The effects on soil fauna of insecticides tested against wireworms (*Agriotes* spp.) in wheat. Ann. Appl. Biol. 60:479-490.
- Hill, C. C., E. J. Udine, and J. S. Pinckney. 1943. A method of estimating reduction in yield of wheat caused by Hessian fly infestation. USDA Circ. 663.
- Inglett, G. E. 1974. Wheat in perspective. in Wheat: Production and utilization. Avi Publishing Co., Westport, Conn.
- Kieckhefer, R. W., and W. L. Morrill. 1970. Estimates of loss of yield caused by the wheat stem maggot to South Dakota cereal crops. J. Econ. Entomol. 63:1426-1429.
- Maskell, F. E., and R. Gair. 1961. Further field experiments on the control of wheat bulb-fly. *Leptohylemyia coarctata* (Fall). Bull. Entomol. Res. 52:683-693.
- Nijveldt, W. C., and A. J. A. Hulshoff. 1968. Waarnemingen inzake de tarwestengelgalmug (*Haplodiplosis equestris* Wagner) in Nederland. Verslagen van Landbouwkundige Onderzoekingen 708, Wageningen, 77 pp.
- Neumeyer, J., D. G. Gibbons, and H. Trask. 1969. Pesticides. Chem. Weekbl. 104:38-68.
- Olfert, O. O., M. K. Mukerji, and J. F. Doane. 1985. Relationship between infestation levels and yield loss caused by wheat midge, *Sitodiplosis mosellana* (Gehin) (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae). in spring wheat in Saskatchewan. Canadian Entomol. 117:593-598.
- Painter, R. H., H. R. Bryson, and D. A. Wilbur, 1954. Insects and mites that attack wheat in Kansas. Kansas State College. Agric. Exp. Sta. Bull. 367. 47 pp.
- Rabbinge, R., and G. Coster. 1984. Some effects on cereal aphids on growth and yield of winter wheat. pp. 163-169 in P. Barley and D. Swinger (eds.). Proc. 4th Australian App. Entomol. Res. 24-28 Sept.
- Rabbinge, R., and W. P. Mantel. 1981. Monitoring for cereal aphids in winter wheat. Netherlands J. Plant Pathol. 87:25-29.

- Reitz, L. P. 1967. World distribution and importance of wheat. pp. 1-18 in K. S. Quisenberry and L. P. Reitz (eds.). Wheat and wheat improvement. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wisconsin.
- Ruppel, R. F., and G. W. Bird. 1981. Chemical control of insects and nematodes in field and forage crops. Michigan State Univ. Ext. Bull. E-1582. 35 pp.
- Sylvester, E. E. 1980. Circulative and propagative virus transmissions by aphids. Ann. Rev. Entomol. 25:257-286.
- USDA. 1965. Losses in Agriculture. Agric. Handb. 261. U.S. Gov. Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- . 1985. Inputs: Outlook and Situation. ERS. Various Issues. U.S. Gov. Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- Wadley, F. M. 1929. Observations on the injury caused by *Toxoptera graminum* Rond. (Homoptera:Aphididae). Proc. Entomol. Soc. Washington 31:130-134.
- Wratten, S. D. 1975. The nature of the effects of the aphids *Sitobion avenae* and *Metopolophium dirhodum* on the growth of wheat. Ann. Appl. Biol. 79:27-34.
- . 1978. Effects of feeding position of the aphids *Sitobion avenae* and *Metopolophium dirhodum* on wheat yield and quality. Ann. Appl. Biol. 90:11-20.
- Wellso, S. G., and R. D. Freed. 1982. Positive association of the wheat midge (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae) with glume blotch. J. Econ. Entomol. 75:885-887.
- Wetzel, T., and B. Freier. 1981. Bekämpfungswertwerte für Schädlinge des Getreides. Nachr.-Bl. Pflanzenschutz DDR 35:47-50.

INFORMATION FOR AUTHORS

Papers dealing with any aspect of entomology will be considered for publication in *The Great Lakes Entomologist*. Appropriate subjects are those of interest to amateur and professional entomologists in North Central States and Canada, as well as general papers and revisions directed to a larger audience while retaining an interest to readers in our geographical area.

Manuscripts must be typed, double-spaced, with wide margins on white 8-1/2 × 11" or equivalent size paper, and submitted in *duplicate*. Footnotes, legends, and captions of illustrations should be typed on separate sheets of paper. Titles should be concise, identifying the order and family discussed. The author of each species mentioned must be given fully at least once in the text. A common name for each species or group should be given at least once when such a name exists. The format of references should follow that used in recent issues. Photographs should be glossy. Drawings, charts, graphs, and maps must be scaled to permit proper reduction without loss of detail. Contributors should follow recommendations of the *Council of Biology Editors Style Manual*, 5th ed.

Papers published in *The Great Lakes Entomologist* are subject to a page charge of \$30.00 per published page. Members of the Society, who are authors without funds from grants, institutions, or industry and who are unable to pay costs from personal funds, may apply to the Society for financial assistance.

Authors will receive page proofs, together with an order blank for separates.

All manuscripts for *The Great Lakes Entomologist* should be sent to the Editor, Dr. D. C. L. Gosling, 69063 Wallowa Road, White Pigeon, Michigan 49099, USA. Other correspondence should be directed to the Executive Secretary (see inside front cover).