

CROATICA CHEMICA ACTA CCACAA, ISSN 0011-1643, e-ISSN 1334-417X *Croat. Chem. Acta* **85** (2) (2012) 131–137. http://dx.doi.org/10.5562/cca1937

Original Scientific Article

Nano-level Monitoring of Yttrium by a Novel PVC-membrane Sensor Based on 2,9-dihydroxy-1,10-diphenoxy-4,7-dithiadecane

Mohammad Hossein Arbab Zavar,* Somayeh Heydari, Gholam Hossein Rounaghi, and Hamid Sadeghian

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Sciences, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran

RECEIVED JULY 7, 2011; REVISED JANUARY 24, 2012; ACCEPTED FEBRUARY 23, 2012

Abstract. A poly (vinyl chloride)-based membrane of 2,9-dihydroxy-1,10-diphenoxy-4,7-dithiadecane $(C_{20}H_{26}O_4S_2)$ as a neutral carrier was prepared and investigated as an Y³⁺-selective electrode. Effects of various plasticizers and anion excluders were studied in detail and improved performance was observed. The best performance was obtained for the membrane sensor having a composition of L: PVC: NPOE: PA in the ratio of 2:30:62:6 (mg). The performance of the membrane was found to be the following: A Nernstian slope of $20.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ mV}$ per decade across a broad range $(1.0 \times 10^{-9} \text{ to } 1.0 \times 10^{-1} \text{ mol dm}^{-3})$; a detection limit of $2.14 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ between the pH = 4.5 and 9.0; additionally, the response time was about 15 s; good Y^{3+} selectivity over a wide variety of other metal ions. The membrane sensor was applied as an indicator electrode in potentiometric titration of fluoride ion and also used for determination of F⁻ ion in tap water and toothpaste samples. (doi: 10.5562/cca1937)

Keywords: potentiometry; sensor PVC membrane; 2,9-dihydroxy-1,10-diphenoxy-4,7-dithia decane; ion-selective electrode

INTRODUCTION

Ion selective electrodes (ISEs) for different cations have been widely used with polymeric membranes containing appropriate carriers (*i.e.*, ionophores). These ionophores have been examined so that they could be incorporated to form complexes with metal ion within the membrane. The quest for the new ligands capable of specific and effective molecular recognition of metal ions in carrier assisted membranes or polymeric membranes based on ion selective electrodes (ISEs) are a topic of current interest. Macrocycles are a favoured class of compounds in this area as their complexes have high stability constants, lipophilicity to remain in the membrane phase and sufficient conformational flexibility for rapid ion exchange.¹ Synthetic macrocycles are a growing class of compounds with varying chemistry, a wide range of different molecular topologies and sets of donor atoms.²⁻⁶ The chemical properties of macrocyclic complexes can be tuned to force metal ions to adopt unusual coordination geometry. Currently a great deal of attention is being focussed on macrocyclic ligands because they play an important role in many aspects of chemistry, medicine and the chemical industry.

The rare-earth elements (REEs) are distributed in low concentration throughout the earth's crust and are

considered slightly toxic. REEs are being increasingly used as an important component in lasers, phosphors, magnetic bubble memory films, refractive index lenses, fiber optics, superconductors, high-intensity lightning, coloured glasses, refining industry and nuclear technology.⁷ In recent years, the monitoring and evaluation of REEs in some biological materials have received increasing attention, from both nutritional and toxicological point of view.^{8,9}

Yttrium is an important member of rare-earth family and widely used for various applications. The most important use of yttrium is in making phosphors, such as in the red phosphors in colour TV tubes and in LEDs.¹⁰ Other uses include the production of electrodes, electronic filters, lasers, superconductors, computer monitors, trichromatic fluorescent lights, temperature sensors, X-ray intensifying screens and various medical applications and also as traces in various materials to enhance their properties. Yttrium is an important element used in atomic reactors for control rods. It is also used in manufacturing of glass, ceramics and in microwave communication equipments. It is used for the production of labeled monoclonal antibodies for tumor therapy studies.^{11,12} Several reagents are reported for the spectrophotometric determination of yttrium(III) cation, but they are not sensitive nor free from interferences.¹³⁻¹⁹

^{*} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. (E-mail: arbab@um.ac.ir)



Scheme 1. Structure of macrocyclic ligand 2,9-dihydroxy-1,10-diphenoxy-4,7-dithiadecane ($C_{20}H_{26}O_4S_2$).

The solvent extraction techniques for the extraction of this metal cation are scarce.²⁰ Yttrium(III) cation can not be determined by direct atomic absorption or plasma atomic emission methods, since the ionization causes low response and it is reduced by the presence of mineral acids. Also the flame spectrometric determination of yttrium is not sensitive.²¹

Potentiometric sensors can offer an inexpensive and convenient analysis method of rare-earth ions in solution, provided that acceptable sensitivity and selectivity are achieved. In spite of the successful progress in the design of highly selective ionophores for various metal ions, there are a number of reports on the development of selective ionophores for yttrium and other lanthanide ions with relatively good selectivity and sensitivity.²²⁻²⁴ Most of the previous studies include some disadvantages such as high detection limits, narrow dynamic range and serious interfaces. In this work we report a highly selective and sensitive Y(III) sensor based on 2,9-dihydroxy-1,10-diphenoxy-4,7dithiadecane (Scheme 1) for fast monitoring of nanomolar concentration of Y(III) ions.

EXPERIMENTAL

Reagents and Standard Solutions

Tetrahydrofuran (THF) (Merck), cerium(III) nitrate (Merck), copper(II) nitrate (BDH), zinc(II) nitrate (Merck), silver nitrate (Merck), cadmium(II) nitrate (Riedel), lead(II) nitrate (BDH) ,chrominum(III) nitrate (BDH), calcium nitrate (Merck),sodium nitrate (BDH), strontium nitrate (Riedel), magnesium nitrate (Merck) , potassium nitrate (Merck), lithium nitrate (Riedel), nickel(II) nitrate (Riedel), aluminum nitrate (Riedel), were used without further purification.

Reagent grade dibutyl phthalate (DBP), dioctylphthalate (DOP), *o*-nitrophenyl octyl ether (NPOE), nithrobenzene (NB), oleic acid (OA), palmitic acid (PA), sodium tetraphenyl borate (NaTPB), ionic liquid (1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate) and high relative molecular weight PVC (all from Fluka) were used as received. All metal-ion solutions were prepared in doubly distilled water and solutions of different concentrations were made by diluting 0.1 mol dm⁻³ stock solutions.

Synthesis of 2,9-dihydroxy-1,10-diphenoxy-4,7-dithiadecane

Synthetic route for the preparation of acyclic poly ethers is described in Scheme 2. The β , β '-dihydroxydithioethers were prepared by the action of two mole equivalents of epoxides with deportonated dimercaptoethane which was formed by proton abstracting ofcarbonate anion under reflux condition and vigorous stirring. The method used here is a simple, efficientand environmentally friendly procedure with excellent yields, high regioselectivity and need not any organic solvents either for reaction medium or extracting the products. Therefore, the work follows the basic aims which are important to green chemistry.²⁵

General Procedure for Ring Opening of Epoxides with Dimercaptoethane

The ring opening of the starting epoxides was region specific by nucleophilic attack on the terminal carbon atoms affording a secondary diols. The water solubility of epoxides are decreased in the presence of high concentrations of potassium carbonate lead to decrease epoxide ring opening percentage by the protic solvent. Dimercaptoethan is deprotonated by carbonate anion (rather than water deprotonation) lead to formation of water soluble dimercaptid anion, which can react with epoxides in the boundary surface of aqueous and organic phase. This is a suitable condition of the S_N2 mechanism resulted nucleophilic attack on low substituted site.



Scheme 2. Synthetic route for preparation of acyclic polyethers.

Croat. Chem. Acta 85 (2012) 131.

Obviously, the ring opening of epoxides afforded corresponding dihydroxy dithioethers as a mixture of isomeric diastreomers.²⁹ It was notable that in low concentrations of potassium carbonate, the formation of mono substituted ethandiols instead of corresponding β , β '-dihydroxy-dithioethers, was increased. This was obvious when the results were checked by TLC and sodium metaperiodate-benzidine test of 1,2-diols.

To a solution of potassium carbonate (50 g, 350 mmol) in water (65 cm³) was added dimercaptoethane (13.5 cm³, 160 mmol) followed by epoxide (300 mmol). The mixture was refluxed in oil bath while stirring vigorously. The completion of reaction was checked by TLC (silica gel 60 F₂₅₄, benzene/ethylacetate, $\varphi = 50$ %). After completion, the mixture was cooled and the precipitated products, were filtered, washed with water $(3 \times 50 \text{ cm}^3)$ and dried in an oven at 50–55 °C for 4 h. m.p. 79 °C, ¹H NMR (CCl₄) δ / ppm: 2.39 (bs, 2H, OH), 2.71 (dd, 2H, $J_1 = 15.5$ Hz, $J_2 = 7.2$, CHC H_2 S), 2.79 (dd, 2H, $J_1 =$ 17.8 Hz, J₂ = 4.2, CHCH₂S), 2.85 (s, 4H, SCH₂CH₂S), 4.04 (dd, 2H, $J_1 = 15.8$ Hz, $J_2 = 6.2$, CH₂O), 4.12 (m, 2H, CHOH), 4.15 (dd, 2H, $J_1 = 13.7$ Hz, $J_2 = 4.1$, CH₂O), 6.84–7.38 (m, 10H, Ph); ¹³C NMR (CCl₄) δ / ppm: 33.10 (SCH₂CH₂S), 35.80 (CHCH₂S), 69.91 (CHOH), 70.92 (CH₂O), 114.90 (2,6-Ph), 121.09 (4-Ph), 120.74 (3,5-Ph), 159.23 (1-Ph); EI-MS m / z: 394 (M^+) , 287 $(M^+ - 107)$, 243 $(M^+ - 151)$, 211 $(M^+ - 183)$, $77 (C_6 H_5^+, 100 \%).^{26}$

Anal. Calcd. mass fractions of elements, w / %, for $C_{20}H_{26}O_4S_2$ ($M_r = 394.55$) are: C 60.88, H 6.64, S 16.25; found: C 61.13, H 6.72, S 16.07.

Apparatus and Potential Measurement

All potential measurements were carried out using the following cell assembly:

Ag-AgCl/KCl (sat)/internal solution 1.0×10^{-3} mol dm $^{-3}$ Y(NO_3)_3/PVC membrane/test solution//Ag-AgCl/KCl (sat)

All the potential measurements were carried out with a digital pH/Ion meter, model 692 Metrohm, at 25.0 ± 0.1 °C. The activities were calculated according to the Debye-Huckel procedure.²⁷ Standard Y(NO₃)₃ solutions were obtained by gradual dilution of 0.1 mol dm⁻³ Y(NO₃)₃ solution. The solutions were stirred and potential readings recorded when they reached a steady state values. A glass Ag-AgCl combination electrode was used for pH measurements. The electronic absorption spectra of lanthanum, ligand and the formed complex were recorded in acetonitrile solvent in the region of 200–700 nm using an Agilent UV-Vis spectrophotometer and a quartz cell of 1.0 cm path length.

Electrode Preparation

The general procedure to prepare the PVC membrane was to mix thoroughly 30 mg of powdered PVC, 62 mg of plasticizer NPOE, 6 mg of additive PA, and 2 mg of ionophore in a glass dish of 2 cm diameter. The mixture was then completely dissolved in 3 mL of THF. The solvent was evaporated slowly until an oily concentrated mixture was obtained. A Pyrex tube (2.5 mm o.d.) was dipped into the mixture for 10s so that a transparent membrane was formed. The tube was then pulled out from the mixture and kept at room temperature for 24 h. The tube was filled with internal filling solution $(1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ Y}(\text{NO}_3)_3)$. The electrode was finally conditioned for 7 h in a $1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ solution of $\text{Y}(\text{NO}_3)_3$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Complexation Study of C₂₀H₂₆O₄S₂ Ligand With Y³⁺

Trivalent lanthanide ions have the outer shell electronic configuration as [Xe] $4f^{(1-14)}5d^{1}6s^{2}$. The bonds between Yttrium ion and the macrocyclic C₂₀H₂₆O₄S₂ ionophore are mainly ion-dipole and non-directional. Thus, in order to obtain a clue about the stability and selectivity of the complex of $C_{20}H_{26}O_4S_2$ with Yttrium ion, conductometric titration in an acetonitrile solution, at 25.0 ± 0.05 °C, was performed. Figure 1 shows the changes in the conductance of 20 cm³ of 1×10^{-4} mol dm⁻³ solution of Y^{3+} , by the addition of a 2×10^{-3} mol dm⁻³ of $C_{20}H_{26}O_4S_2$ in acetonitrile. As is obvious from Figure 1, addition of $C_{20}H_{26}O_4S_2$ ligand to Y^{3+} solution shows an increase in molar conductivity with an increase in ligand concentration. This indicates that the complex is more mobile than free solvated Y^{3+} cation in acetonitrile. It is evident from Figure 1 that the slope of the corresponding molar conductivity vs $[C_{20}H_{26}O_4S_2]/$ $[Y]^{3+}$ mole ratio changes at the point where the ligand to cation mole ratio is about 2, which is an evidence for formation of a relatively stable 1:2 complex between $C_{20}H_{26}O_4S_2$ and the Y^{3+} . The conductometric results that



Figure 1. Molar conductance-mole ratio plots for the complexation of $C_{20}H_{26}O_4S_2$ with Yttrium cation in acetonitrile at 25°C.

No.	w / %				Slope /	Linear range /	Detection limit /
	PVC	Plasticizer	Ionophore	Additive	mV decade ⁻¹	mol dm ^{-3}	mol dm ⁻³
1	30	62 (NPOE)	2	6 (PA)	20.0 ± 0.2	$1.0 \times 10^{-9} - 1.0 \times 10^{-1}$	2.14×10^{-10}
2	30	61.5 (NPOE)	3	5.5 (PA)	16.6 ± 0.4	$1.0\times 10^{-5} 1.0\times 10^{-1}$	3.98×10^{-6}
3	30	62.5 (NPOE)	1.5	6 (PA)	19.9 ± 0.3	$1.0 \times 10^{-5} 1.0 \times 10 1$	3.55×10^{-6}
4	30	61 (NPOE)	2	7 (PA)	27.6 ± 0.2	$1.0\times 10^{-6} 1.0\times 10^{-3}$	3.01×10^{-7}
5	30	61.5 (NPOE)	2.5	6 (NaTPB)	5.7 ± 0.2	$1.0\times 10^{-7} 1.0\times 10^{-2}$	$4.5 imes 10^{-8}$
6	30	62 (NPOE)	2	6 (NaTPB)	6.1 ± 0.1	$1.0\times 10^{-8} 1.0\times 10^{-2}$	$9.0 imes 10^{-9}$
7	30	61.5 (NPOE)	3	5.5 (NaTPB)	8.8 ± 0.1	$1.0\times 10^{-6}1.0\times 10^{-3}$	3.09×10^{-7}
8	30	62 (NPOE)	2	6 (OA)	7.8 ± 0.2	$1.0\times 10^{-5} 1.0\times 10^{-2}$	3.09×10^{-6}
9	30	62 (NPOE)	2	6 (IL) ^(a)	14.0 ± 0.3	$1.0\times 10^{-3} 1.0\times 10^{-1}$	2.14×10^{-4}
10	30	61.5 (NPOE)	3	5.5 (IL) ^(a)	13.0 ± 0.3	$1.0\times 10^{-5} 1.0\times 10^{-3}$	2.57×10^{-6}
11	30	62 (DBP)	2	6 (PA)	30.1 ± 0.4	$1.0\times 10^{-5} 1.0\times 10^{-1}$	3.71×10^{-6}
12	30	61.5 (DBP)	3	5.5 (PA)	19.1 ± 0.2	$1.0\times 10^{-6}1.0\times 10^{-2}$	$3.8 imes 10^{-7}$
13	30	62 (DBP)	2	6 (NaTPB)	7.5 ± 0.3	$1.0\times 10^{-4}1.0\times 10^{-2}$	2.63×10^{-5}
14	30	62 (DOP)	2	6 (PA)	18.9 ± 0.2	$1.0\times 10^{-5} 1.0\times 10^{-1}$	3.23×10^{-6}
15	30	62 (NB)	2	6 (PA)	24.0 ± 0.2	$1.0\times 10^{-7} 1.0\times 10^{-1}$	$5.0 imes 10^{-8}$
16	30	61.5 (NB)	3	5.5 (PA)	17.3 ± 0.1	$1.0\times 10^{-8} 1.0\times 10^{-1}$	1.0×10^{-9}

Table 1. Optimization of membrance ingredients

^(a) IL, ionic liquid (1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate).

obtained revealed that, 2,9-dihydroxy-1,10-diphenoxy-4,7-dithiadecane could be used as a highly selective ionophore for the preparation of Y^{3+} ion-selective membrane electrode.

Effect of Membrane Composition

It is well known that some important features of the PVC-based membranes, such as the nature and amount of the ionophore, the properties of the plasticizer, the plasticizer/ PVC ratio and especially the nature of the additives used, significantly influence the sensitivity and selectivity of the ion-selective electrodes.^{28,29} Thus, different aspects of preparation of membranes based on $C_{20}H_{26}O_4S_2$ were optimized and the results are given in Table 1. In order to improve the performance of the membrane, different plasticizers (*i.e.*, DBP, DOP, o-NPOE and NB) and additives (i.e., NaTPB, PA, OA and an ionic liquid 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate) were studied. It is reported that the selectivity and working concentration range of membrane sensors are affected by the nature and amount of the plasticizer used. This is due to the influence of the plasticizer on the dielectric constant of the membrane phase, the mobility of the ionophore molecules and the state of ligands. As is seen from Table 1, among the four different plasticizers used, NPOE resulted in the best sensitivity.

It is well known that the presence of lipophilic additives sites in a cation-selective membrane electrode not only improves the response behavior and selectivity of electrode, but also increases the sensitivity of the membrane, especially where the extraction capability is poor.^{30.31} The use of ionic additives such as different tetraphenylborate salts and its more lipophilic derivative, also fatty acids such as oleic acid as lipophilic additives is widely reported in the preparation of different ion-selective electrodes. In this study the effect of palmetic acid, oleic acid, sodium tetraphenyl borate, and ionic liquid (1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate), as an additive on the response of membrane were investigated. From the data given in Table 1, it is immediately obvious that the nature and amount of additive influences the performance characteristics of the membrane sensor significantly. As shown in this table, the slopes and the linear range become better in the presence of palmitic acid (a long-chain fatty acid) additive. The palmitic acid has been used for construction of some potentiometric biosensors,³² but in this work, we used it as a very suitable additive in PVC matrix of the ion selective membrane electrode. Palmitic acid is probably interposed between the matrix (62 % NPOE, 30 % PVC) and $C_{20}H_{26}O_4S_2$ to facilitate more effective binding and it may also prevent that the active site of the ionophore to be located in deep position of the membrane.

The effect of relative amounts of 2,9-dihydroxy-1,10-diphenoxy-4,7-dithiadecane on the response function of membrane was investigated (Table 1). 2 mg of the ionophore was chosen as the optimum amount of ionophore in construction of the PVC membrane electrode. Further addition of ionophore, however, resulted



Figure 2. Calibration curve of Yttrium electrode based on $C_{20}H_{26}O_4S_2$.

in some decreases in the response of the electrode, most probably due to inhomogenity and possible saturation of the membrane.

Optimum conditioning time for the membrane sensor in a $1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ Y}^{3+}$ solution was obtained to be 7 h. Then, the electrode generates stable potentials when placed in contact with Y^{3+} solution.

Calibration Curve and Statistical Data

The plot of EMF vs –log $a(Y^{3+})$ shown in Figure 2, indicates that the sensor has a Nernstian behavior over a wide concentration ranges from 1.0×10^{-9} to 1.0×10^{-1} mol dm⁻³ of Yttrium(III) cation. The respective slopes of the resulting calibration graphs for electrode are 20.0 \pm 0.2 mV decade⁻¹ and limit of detection (LOD) was found to be 2.14×10^{-10} .

Effect of pH

In order to study the effect of pH on the performance of the sensor, the potentials were determined at two concentrations $(1.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ and } 1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3})$ of Y^{3+} as a function of pH. The pH of solutions was adjusted



Figure 3. Effect of pH of 1.0×10^{-4} mol dm⁻³ (A) and 1.0×10^{-3} mol dm⁻³ (B) of test solutions on the potential response of Y(III) ion-selective electrode.

by the addition of NaOH and HNO₃. The obtained results shown in Figure 3 indicate that the potential remains approximately constant over pH = 4.5 to pH = 9. At higher pH values, the potential decreased due to the formation of Yttrium hydroxide in solution; and at lower pH values, the potential increased, indicating that the electrode also responds to hydrogen ion.

Static and Dynamic Response Times of the Electrode

Response time is one of the most important factors for analytical applications of selective electrodes. In order to evaluate the practical static response time of the electrode, the average time required to achieve a potential within ± 1 mV of the final steady-state potential was measured by recording the potential-time plots of three different concentrations of Y³⁺ and the results are shown in Figure 4a. The results clearly indicate that, in all cases, the electrode exhibits a constant and stable potential within 15 s. Moreover, the practical dynamic re-



Figure 4. (a) Static potential-time plots of three different Yttrium concentrations (mol dm⁻³): (1) 1.0×10^{-4} , (2) 1.0×10^{-3} , and (3) 1.0×10^{-2} . (b) Dynamic response time of the Yttrium sensor with step changes in the Y³⁺ concentration (mol dm⁻³): (A) 1.0×10^{-9} , (B) 1.0×10^{-8} , (C) 1.0×10^{-7} , (D) 1.0×10^{-6} , (E) 1.0×10^{-5} , (F) 1.0×10^{-4} , (G) 1.0×10^{-3} , (H) 1.0×10^{-2} and (I) 1.0×10^{-1} .

Table 2. Selectivity coefficients of various interfering ions for the Y^{3+} ISE

Cations	Selectivity coefficient
Cu ²⁺	$3.5 imes 10^{-4}$
Ni ²⁺	$4.0 imes 10^{-4}$
\mathbf{K}^+	$3.9 imes 10^{-4}$
Ag^+	$3.2 imes 10^{-3}$
Zn^{2+}	$4.8 imes 10^{-4}$
Na ⁺	$1.7 imes 10^{-3}$
Li^+	$2.4 imes 10^{-3}$
Sr^{2+}	$4.8 imes 10^{-4}$
Pb^{2+}	$5.4 imes 10^{-4}$
Mg^{2+}	$1.9 imes 10^{-4}$
Ca ²⁺	$2.6 imes 10^{-4}$
Al^{3+}	$< 1.0 \times 10^{-6}$

sponse time of the electrode was recorded by immediate changing of Y^{3+} concentration from low-to-high over a concentration range from 1.0×10^{-9} to 1.0×10^{-1} mol dm⁻³ and the results are shown in Figure 4b. As it can be seen, by an increase in the concentration of the analyte, the potential changes very rapidly (< 10 s) and the electrode reaches its equilibrium response and remains stable with an elapse of time.

Evaluation of Selectivity Coefficients

The potentiometric selectivity coefficients, which reflect the relative response of the membrane sensor toward the primary ion over the ions present in the solutions, perhaps are the most important characteristics of an ion-selective electrode. To investigate the selectivity of the proposed membrane electrode, its potential responses were investigated in the presence of various interfering foreign cations using the separate solution method (SSM).

In the SSM method, the potential of a cell comprising a reference electrode and an ion-selective electrode is measured with each of two separate solutions, one containing the ion of interest i with the activity of a_i (but not *j*) and the other containing an interfering ion *j* with the activity of aj (but not *i*) at the same activity of $a_i = a_j$. If the measured values are E_i and E_j , the value of selectivity coefficient $K_{i,j}^{Pot}$ can be calculated as:^{33,34}

$$\log K_{i,j}^{\text{Pot}} = \frac{z_i F(E_j - E_i)}{2.303 RT} + (1 - \frac{z_i}{z_j}) \log a_i$$

where z_i and z_j are the charges on ions *i* and *j*, respectively. It should be noted that this method is recommended if the electrode possesses a Nernstian response. The resulting $K_{i,j}^{\text{Pot}}$ values thus obtained for the proposed Y^{3+} -selective electrode are summarized in Table 2. As



Figure 5. Potentiometric titration curve of 20 cm³ 1.0×10^{-3} mol dm⁻³ Y³⁺ solution with 0.01 mol dm⁻³ fluoride.

seen, the alkali, alkaline earth and transition metal ions do not significantly disturb the functioning of the proposed Y^{3+} ion selective membrane electrode.

Analytical Applications

The proposed Y³⁺selective electrode was found to work well under laboratory conditions. A typical potentiometric titration curve for titration of yttrium(III) cation $(20 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ of } 1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3})$ with a solution of sodium flouride (0.01 mol dm⁻³) is shown in Figure 5. The end point of the titration and the concentration of yttrium(III) cation in solution can be determined potentiometrically by using this ion selective electrode. The present electrode has been successfully used for the determination of fluoride ion in aqueous solutions including tap water and in the pharmaceutical preparations such as toothpaste. In each case, the pH value was adjusted to 5.0 (using a TISAB solution) and a successful titration was carried out. The determination of fluoride concentration was performed by the standard addition method. In this work, before the titration, the potential of the ISE for 10 cm³ of the sample is measured. Then the standard solutions of fluoride ion are added and the potential is measured. The electrode potential is related to the logarithm of the concentration of the fluoride ion by the Nernst equation. We also determined the

Table 2. Results of the determination of the fluoride in the different samples

Cations	Fluoride electrode ^(a) / mol dm ⁻³	Found ISE ^(b) / mol dm ⁻³
Tap water	$4.49 \times 10^{-4} \pm 0.05$	$4.61 \times 10^{-4} \pm 0.03$
Toothpaste	$1.85 \times 10^{-4} \pm 0.03$	$1.96 \times 10^{-4} \pm 0.02$

(a) Solid state fluoride electrode.

^(b) Proposed yttrium sensor.

fluoride ion concentration in a sample solution with a fluoride ion selective electrode as a reference method. The results are compared in Table 3. As is evident in this Table, there is a good agreement between the results obtained with these two ion selective electrodes.

CONCLUSION

A o-NPOE mediated PVC-membrane containing 2,9dihydroxy-1,10-diphenoxy-4,7-dithiadecane (C₂₀H₂₆O₄S₂), as a suitable ionophore, revealed the best response characteristics with a Nernstian behavior over a wide concentration range from 1.0×10^{-9} to 1.0×10^{-1} mol dm⁻³ for the Y^{3+} , with a fast response time of 10 s. The results showed that palmitic acid is a suitable lipophilic additive for the electrode construction. The main advantages of this constructed yttrium(III) cation electrode are the simplicity of its preparation, short conditioning time, fast response time, wide dynamic range, low detection limit, low cost, Nernstian behavior, and fairly good selectivity. Another major advantage of the present ptentiometric sensor, concerns its application. The electrode permits the measurement of the fluoride ion in different real samples such as pharmaceutical products and water without prior separation steps.

Acknowledgements. The authors acknowledge the support of this work by Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran.

REFERENCES

- 1. H. Tsukube, Talanta 40 (1993) 1313-1324.
- K. Y. Choi, H. Y. Lee, B. Park, J. H. Kim, J. Kim, M. W. Kim, J. W. Ryu, M. Suh, and I.-H Suh *Polyhedron* 20 (2001) 2003–2009.
- T. W. Hambley, L. F. Lindoy, J. R. Reimers, P. Turner, W. Wei, and A. N. W. Cooper, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 5 (2001) 614–620.
- E. Q. Gao, H. Y. D. Z. Liao, Z. H. Jiang, and S. P. Yan, *Polyhe-dron* 21 (2002) 359–364.
- R. R. Fenton, R. Gauci, P. C. Junk, L. F. Lindoy, R. C. Luckay, and G.V. Meehan, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* 10 (2002) 2185–2193.
- 6. S. Chandra and K. Gupta, *Transit. Metal Chem.* 27 (2002) 196–199.
- K. A. Gschneidner and L. Erying, *Handbook on Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths*, North Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1979.

- R. E. Kirk and D. F. Othmes, *Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology* 19 (1928) 851–863.
- 9. W. Yongxing, W. Xiaorong, and H. Zichum, Bull. Environ. Contamin. Toxicol. 64 (2000) 611–616.
- B. A. Morton, B. G. Bealty, A. D. Mison, P. M. Wanek, and J. D. Beatly, *Cancer Res.* 50 (1990) 1008–1010.
- J. M. Esteban, D. M. Hyams, B. G. Beatly, B. Merchant, and J. D. Beatly, *Cancer Res.* 50(1990) 989–992.
- L. C. Washburn, T. T. H. Sun, Y. C. C. Lee, B. L. Byrd, E. C. Hollway, J. E. Crook, J. B. Stubbs, M. G. Stabin, M. W. Brechbiel, O. A. Gansow, and Z. Steplewski, *Nucl. Med. Biol.* 18 (1991) 313–321.
- J. J. Christensen, D. J. Eatough, and R. M. Izatt, *Chem. Rev.* 74 (1974) 351–384.
- R. M. Izatt and J. J. Christensen (Eds.), Academic Press, New York, 1978, p25.
- R. M. Izatt and J. J. Christenses, Progress in Macrocyclic chemistry, Wiley Interscience, New York, 1979.
- W. J. MecDowell, G. N. Case, J. A. M. C. Donough, and R. A. Bartsch, *Anal. Chem.* 64 (1992) 3013–3017.
- 17. V. V. Yakshin and O. M. Viokova, Radiokhimiya 34 (1992) 68-71.
- 18. J. N. Mathur and G. R. Choppin, *Solvent Extr. Ion Exch.* 11 (1993) 1–18.
- D. J. Wood, S. Flshani, H. S. Du, N. R. Natale, and C. M. Wai, *Anal. Chem.* 7 (1993) 312–316.
- 20. H. Onishi and C. V. Bank's, Talanta 10 (1963) 399-406.
- 21. J. G. Sen Gupta, Talanta 23 (1976) 343-348.
- V. K. Gupta, S. Jain, and S. Chandra, *Anal. Chim. Acta* 486 (2003) 199–207.
- S. K. Mittal, S. K. A. Kumar, and H. K. Sharma, *Talanta* 62 (2004) 801–805.
- M. Shamsipur, M. Yousefi, M. Hosseini, and M. R. Ganjali, *Anal. Chem.* 74 (2002) 5538–5543.
- 25. J. H. Clark, Green Chem. 8 (2006) 17-21.
- S. M. Sayedi, H. Sadeghian, and M. Rezai, *Phosphorus, Sulfur, Silicon* 182 (2007) 1709–1716.
- S. Kamata, A. Bhale, and Y. Fukunaga, *Anal. Chem.* 60 (1988) 2464–2467.
- M. R. Ganjali, R. Kiani, M. Yousefi, and Faal-rastegar, *Anal. Lett.* 36 (2003) 2123–2137.
- M. Shamsipur, M. Javanbakht and A. R. Hassaninejad, *Electroanalysis* 15 (2003) 1251–1259.
- D. Ammann, E. Pretsch, W. Simon, E. Lindler, A. Bezegh and E. Pungor, *Anal. Chim. Acta* 171 (1985) 119–129.
- M. Huser, P. M. Gehrig, W. E. Morf, W. Simon, E. Lindler, J. Jeney, K. Toth, and E. Pungo, *Anal. Chem.* 63 (1991) 1380–1386.
- E. Karakus, S. Pekyardimci, and E. Kilic, *Process Biochem.* 41 (2006) 1371–1377.
- C. A. Grimes, E. C Dickey, and M. V Pishko (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Sensors*, vol. 10, 2006, pp. 1–16.
- E. Bakker, P. Buhlmann, and E. Pretsch, *Chem. Rev.* 97 (1997) 3083–3132.