Dr. Ivan Lončar

UDK: 51 Znanstveni rad

Fakultet organizacije i informatike V a r a z d i n

# CONTINUITY OF THE TYCHONOFF FUNCTOR $\tau$

ABSTRACT. Let C be a class of the inverse systems  $X = \{X_{\lambda}, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ . We say that a functor **F** is C-continuous if  $F(\lim X)$  is homeomorphic with lim F(X).

In the present paper the continuity of Tychonoff functor  $\tau$  is investigated.

Section Two contains some theorems concerning the non-emptyness and w-compactness of the limit of inverse systems of w-compact spaces.

Section Three is the main section. Some theorems concering C-continuity of the Tychonoff functor  $\tau$  are proved, where C is a class of the inverse systems of w-compact,  $\tau$ -compact, H-closed or R-clased spaces.

Mathematics subjectclassification (1980): Primary 54H25, Secondary 46H40

Key words and phrases: functor; inverse system, continuity, w-compact, τ-compact

### 0. INTRODUCTION

0.1. The set of all continuous, real-valued (bounded) function on a topological spaces X will be denoted by C(X) (C (X)).

Unliess otherwise stated, no separation axioms will be assumed.

0.2. A set  $A \subseteq X$  is regularly closed (open) if A = Int A(A = Int  $\overline{A}$ ).

0.3. A set  $A \subseteq X_1$  is said to be zero-set if there is an  $f \in C$  (X) such that  $A = f^{-1}$  (O). The zero-set of f is denoted by Z(f) or by  $Z_X(f)$ .

A cozero-set is a complement of zero-set. It is well-known [3] that (i)  $z(f) = z (|f|) = z(f^n) = z (|f| \land 1)$ (ii) Evry zero-set is  $G_{\delta}$ (iii)  $z (fg) = z(f) \cup z(g)$ (iv)  $z (f^2 + g^2) = Z (|f| + |g|) = z(f) \land z(g)$ (v) The countable intersection of zero-set is zero-set.

0.4. Two subsets A and B of X are said<sub>\*</sub>to be completely separtated in X if there exists a function  $f \in C(X)$  such that f(x) = 0 for all  $x \in A$ , and f(x) = 1 for all  $x \in B$ .

0.5. A space X is said to be completely regular [3] provided that it is Hausdorff space such that each closed set  $F \subseteq X$  and each  $x \notin F$  are completely separated.

0.6. A space X is said to be almost regular [9] if for each regularly closed F  $\subset$  X and each x  $\in$  X\F there exist disjoint open sets U and V such that x  $\in$  U and F  $\subset$  V.

0.7. By cf (A) we denote the cofinality of the well-ordered set A i.e. the smallest ordinal which is cofinal in A.

0.7. We say that a space X is quasicompact if every centred family of closed subsets of X has a non-empty interesection.

0.8. A space X is functionally Hausdorff of for each distinct points x and y of X there is a continuous function  $f : X \longrightarrow [0,1]$  such that f(x) = 0 and f(y) = 1. Each functionally Hausdorff space is Hausdorff.

0.9. It follows that in a functionally Hausdorff space X for each distinct points x and y there are cozero-sets  $U_x$  and  $U_y$  such  $x \in U_x - \{y\}$  and  $y \in U_y \subseteq X - \{x\}$ .

0.10. If U is a cozero-set containing  $x \in X$ , there exist a cozero-set  $V \ni x$  such that  $x \in V \subset \overline{V} \subset U$ . Namely, if  $f : X \longrightarrow [0,1]$  is a function such that  $x \in f^{-1}([0,1]) = U$ , then we define a function F :  $[0,1] \longrightarrow [0,1]$  such that F(y) = 0 for  $y \leq f(x) / 2$  and F (y) = ((2y - f(x) : (2 - f(x)) for y > f(x) / 2. Now, let G = Ff. We have  $\overline{G^{-1}(0,1)} \subseteq U$ .

0.11. If X is functionally Hausdorff, then  $\{x\} = \cap \{\overline{U} : U \text{ is the cozero-set containing } x \in X\}$ . The proof holds from 0.8., 0.9. and 0.10.

1. FUNCTOR  $\tau$ 

Let X be a topological space. We define an equivalence relation  $\rho$  on X such that x  $\rho$  y iff f(x) = f(y) for each  $f \in C(X)$ . Let  $\tau$  (X) = X/ $\tau$  be a set of all equivalence classes equiped with the smaltest topology in which are continuous all functions g such that g.  $\tau_X \in C(X)$ , where  $\tau_X : X \longrightarrow X/\tau$  is the natural projections. In [3:41] is actually proved that  $\tau$  (X) is completely regular.

By [x] we denote the equivalence class containing x  $\varepsilon$  X.

1.1. LEMMA. If f : X ---> Y is a continuous mapping into a completely regural space Y, then there exist a continuous mapping g :  $\tau(x)$  ---> Y such that f = g .  $\tau_{y}$ .

Proof. If  $x \int y$  then must be f(x) = f(y) since  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ implies that there is  $f' \in C(Y)$  such that f'(x) = 0, f'(y) = 1. This is in contradiction with  $x \rho y$  since  $ff' \in C(X)$ . This means that for  $x' \in \tau(X)$  one cane define g(x') = f(x),  $x \in x'$ .

1.2. COROLLARY. If f : X ---> Y is a continuous mapping, then ther exists a continuous mapping  $\tau$  (f) :  $\tau$  (X) --->  $\tau$  (Y) such that  $\tau$  (f)  $\tau_{\rm X} = \tau_{\rm V}$  f.

1.3. LEMMA. If X is functionally Hausdorf, then  $\tau_X$ : X --->  $\tau(X)$  is one-to-one.

Proor. Trivial. An open set U⊆X is τ-open is U is the union of the cozero-sets. We say that a space X is w-compact [4] (quasi-H-closed)

if for each centred family  $\{U_{\mu} : \mu \in M\}$  of  $\tau$ -open (open) sets  $U_{\mu} \subseteq X$  the set  $\cap \{\overline{U}_{\mu} : \mu \in M\}$  is non-empty.

1.4. THEOREM. If X is w-compact, then  $\tau$  (x) is a compact space ( =  $T_2$  quasi-compact).

Proof. It suffices to prove that  $\tau(X)$  is quasi-H-closed since each regular H-closed is compact. Let  $\{U_{\mu} : \mu \in M\}$  be a centred family of open sets in  $\tau(X)$ . This means  $U_{\mu}$  is  $\tau$ -open in X. It follows that  $\cap \{\overline{U}_{\mu} : \mu \in M\} \neq 0$ , where  $\overline{U}_{\mu}$  is a closure in X. Let x  $\in \{\overline{U}_{\mu} : \mu \in M\}$ . From the continuity of  $\tau_X$  we have  $\tau_X(x) \in \cap \{\overline{U}_{\mu} : \mu \in M\}$  where now  $\overline{U}$  is a closure in  $\tau(X)$ . The proof is completed. A space X is said to be  $\tau$ -compact [4] iff each cover  $\{U_{\mu} : \mu \in M\}$ of X consisting of the cozero-sets  $U_{\mu}$  has a finite subcover.

1.5. THEOREM. If X is  $\tau$ -compact, then  $\tau$  (X) is compact.

Proof. Trivial since each open set in  $\tau$  (X) is  $\tau$ -open in X. A space X is said to be perfectly w-compact ( $\tau$ -compact, H-closed, R-closed) if  $\tau_{X}^{-1}(y)$  is copmact for each  $y \in \tau$  (X)i.e. every equivalence class [y] is compact.

2. INVERSE SYSTEMS OF W-COMPACT AND  $\tau$  - COMPACT SPACES We start with the following theorem. 2.1. THEOREM. Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system of  $\tau$ -compact (w-compact) functionally Hausdorff spaces  $X_{\alpha}$ . If  $X_{\alpha}, \alpha \in$ A, are non-empty, then  $X = \lim \underline{X}$  is non-empty. Moreower, if  $f_{\alpha\beta}$ are onto, then the projections  $f_{\alpha} : X \longrightarrow X_{\alpha}, \alpha \in A$ , are onto mappings.

Proof. From 1.2. it follows that  $\underline{X}_{\tau} = \{\tau (X_{\alpha}), \tau (f_{\alpha\beta}), A\}$  is an inverse systems. In view of Lemma 1.3. there is a mapping  $\tau : \underline{X}_{\tau} \to X_{\tau}$  such that  $\tau = (\tau_{X_{\alpha}} : X \to \tau (X_{\alpha}))$  and  $\tau_{X_{\alpha}}, \alpha \in A$ , is identity mapping. The mapping  $\tau$  induces a mapping  $\lim \tau : \lim \underline{X}_{\tau} \to 0$  iff  $\lim \underline{X}_{\tau} \neq 0$ . Since  $\underline{X}_{\alpha}$  is the inverse system of compact spaces  $\tau (X_{\alpha})$ , we have  $\lim \tau (X) \neq 0$ . The proof is completed.

Since each quasi-H-closed space is w-compact, we have 2.2. THEOREM. LET  $\underline{X} = \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system of functionally Hausdorff non-empty quasi-H-closed spaces  $X_{\alpha}$ . Then X= lim X is non-empty.

We say that a regular (almost regular) space X is R-closed (AR-closed) if it is closed in each regular (almost regular) space in which it can be embedded [9]. Each completely regular R-closed (AR-closed) space X is compact since  $X \subset \beta X$  [2]. If X is R-closed, Y regular, and f : X ---> Y a continuous mapping then Y is R-closed.

2.3. THEOREM. Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system of

non-empty functionally Hausdorff R-closed spaces  $X_{\mu}$ . Then X = lim X is non-empty. Proof. The space  $\tau$  (X<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>) is completely regular R-closed i.e. a Hausdorf compact space. See the proof of Theorem 2.1. We say that a mapping f : X ---> Y is  $\tau$  -open if f(U) is  $\tau$  -open for each  $\tau$  -open set U c X. 2.4. THEOREM. Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system of w-compact functionally Hausdorff spaces  $X_{\alpha}$  If the projections  $f_{\alpha}$ :  $\lim X \longrightarrow X_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are  $\tau$  -open, then  $X = \lim X$  is functionally Hausdorff and w-compact. Proof. Let  $U = \{U_{\mu} : \mu \in M\}$  be a maximal centred family of  $\tau$ -open sets in X. For each  $\alpha \in A$  let  $U_{\alpha} = \{f_{\alpha} (U_{\mu}) : \mu \in M\}$ . We prove that  $U_{\alpha}$  is the maximal centred family of  $\tau$  -open sets in  $X_{\alpha}$ (f is  $\tau$  -open!). Suppose that  $V_{\alpha}$  is  $\tau$  -open in  $X_{\alpha}$  such that  $V_{\alpha} \cap$  $f_{\alpha}(U_{\mu})$  is non-empty for each  $U_{\mu} \in U_{\alpha}$ . This means that  $f_{\alpha}^{-1}(V_{\alpha})$  is  $\tau$  -open set wich meets each U. From the maximality of U it follows that  $f_{\alpha}^{-1}(U_{\alpha}) \in U$  i.e.  $V_{\alpha} \in U_{\alpha}$ . Hence,  $U_{\alpha}$  is maximal. From the w-compactness of  $X_{\alpha}$  it follows that  $Y_{\alpha} = \cap \left\{ \overline{f_{\alpha}(U_{\mu})} : U_{\mu} \in U \right\}$ is non-empty. From the maximality of U  $_{\alpha}$  it follows that U  $_{\alpha}$ contains all neighborhoods of all  $y_{\alpha} \in Y_{\alpha}$  From 0.11. it follows that  $Y_{\alpha} = \{y_{\alpha}\}$ , where  $y_{\alpha} \in X_{\alpha}$ . For each  $\alpha \in A$  let  $W_{\alpha}$  be a family of all  $\tau$  -open sets containing  $y_{\alpha}$ . From the maximality of  $U_{\beta}, \beta \geq \alpha$ it follows that  $U_{\beta}$  contains  $f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(U_{\alpha}) = \{f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(U) : U \in U_{\alpha}\}$ This means that  $f_{\alpha\beta}(y_{\beta}) = y_{\alpha}, \beta \ge \alpha$ . Hence  $y = (y_{\alpha} : \alpha)$ 

 $\in A$  is a point of X. It is readily seen that  $y \in \cap \{U : U \in \}$ . The proof is completed since it is clear that X is functionally Hausdorff.

2.5. THEOREM. Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system of perfect w-compact ( $\tau$ -compact, H-closed, R-closed) spaces  $X_{\alpha}$ . A space  $X = \lim \underline{X}$  is non-empty iff the spaces  $X, \alpha \in A$ , are non-empty.

# 3. CONTINUITY OF THE FUNCTOR $\tau$

Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system and let  $\tau$  be a Tychonoff functor described in Section One. From 1.2. it follows that  $\tau(\underline{X}) = \{\tau(X_{\alpha}), \tau(f_{\alpha\beta}), A\}$  is an inverse system. Let C be a class of the inverse systems. We say that the functor  $\tau$  is C - c o n t i n u o u s if  $\tau(\lim X)$  is homeomorphic to  $\lim \tau(\underline{X})$  for each  $\underline{X}$  in C. The functor  $\tau$  is said to be continuous if  $\tau$  is C - c ontinuous for each class C.

3.1. LEMMA. If  $\underline{X}$  is an inverse system, then there exists a continuous mapping  $\tau_1 : \tau$  (lim  $\underline{X}$ ) ---> lim  $\tau$  ( $\underline{X}$ ). Proof. Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system and let  $\tau$  ( $\underline{X}$ ) =  $\{\tau(\underline{X}), \tau(f_{\alpha\beta}), A\}$ . From 1.2. it follows that there is  $\tau_1 : \tau$ (lim  $\underline{X}$ ) ---> ( $\underline{X}_{\alpha}$ ) such that  $\tau_{x\alpha} f_{\alpha} = \tau_1 \tau$ , where  $\tau$  : lim  $\underline{X}$  --->  $\tau$ (lim  $\underline{X}$ ). It is readily seen that  $\tau_{1\alpha} = \tau(f_{\alpha\beta})$ .  $\tau_{1\beta}, \beta \ge \alpha$ . This means that the mappings  $\tau_{1\alpha}, \alpha \in A$ , induce a continuous mapping  $\tau_1$ :  $\tau(\lim \underline{X})$  ---> lim  $\tau(X)$ . The proof is completed.

3.2. LEMMA.  $\lim \tau = \tau_1 \tau$ Proof. From the definition of  $\tau_1$  it follows  $\tau_1 = f'_{\alpha} \tau_1$ , where  $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}_{\alpha}': & \lim \tau \ (\underline{X}) \ ---> \ \tau(\underline{X}_{\alpha}) \text{ is a projection. Moreower, } \tau_{\underline{X}_{\alpha}} \ \mathbf{f}_{\alpha} = \tau_{1_{\alpha}} \\ \text{and } \tau_{\underline{X}_{\alpha}} \ \mathbf{f}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{f}_{\alpha}' \text{ . lim } \tau \text{ . It follows that } \tau_{1_{\alpha}} \ \mathbf{\tau} = \mathbf{f}_{\alpha}' \text{ lim } \tau \text{ and } \tau_{1_{\alpha}} \\ = \mathbf{f}_{\alpha}' \text{ . } \tau_{1} \text{ . } \tau \text{ i.e. lim } \tau = \tau_{1}\tau \text{ . } Q.E.D. \end{aligned}$ 

3.3. THEOREM. Let C be the class of all inverse systems  $\underline{X} = \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  such that  $X_{\alpha}, \alpha \in A, X = \lim \underline{X}$  is w-compact ( $\tau$ -compact) functionally Hausdorf. If the projections  $f_{\alpha} : X \longrightarrow X_{\alpha}, \alpha \in A$ , are onto, then the Tychonoff functor  $\tau$  is C -cointinuous. Proof. From Lemma 1.3. it follows that each  $\tau_{X_{\alpha}}, \alpha \in A$ , is 1-1. This means that  $\lim \tau$  is 1-1. Since  $\lim \underline{X}$  is functionally Hausdorf we infer by 1.3. that  $\tau : \lim \underline{X} \longrightarrow \tau$  ( $\lim \underline{X}$ ) is 1-1. It follows that  $\tau_1 : \tau$  ( $\lim \underline{X}$ ) ---Y lim  $\tau$  (X) is one-to-one. Since  $\lim \tau$  ( $\underline{X}$ ) and  $\tau$  ( $\lim \underline{X}$ ) are compact (1.4. THEOREM) we infer that  $\tau_1$  is a homeomorphism. The proof is completed.

3.4. COROLLARY. Let C be the class of all inverse systems an in Theorem 2.4. Then the Tychonoff functor  $\tau$  is C -continuous.

3.5. REMARK. In [4] is proved that if  $\{X_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$  is a family of w-compact spaces  $X_{\alpha}$ , then  $\prod X_{\alpha}$  is w-compact an  $\tau (\prod X_{\alpha}) = \prod \tau (X_{\alpha})$ .

3.6. THEOREM: Let H be a class of the inverse systems  $\underline{X} = \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha\beta} A\}$  such that  $X_{\alpha} \alpha \in A$ ,  $X = \lim \underline{X}$  are functionally Hausdorff H-closed (R-closed). If the projections  $f_{\alpha} : X \longrightarrow X_{\alpha} \alpha \in A$ , are onto mappings, then the functor  $\tau$  is H -continuous.

Proof. The spaces  $\tau(X_{\alpha})$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , and the spaces  $\tau$  (lim X), lim  $\tau$  (X) are compact (See the proof of 2.3. and 3.3.).

In [14] it is proved that  $\lim \underline{X}$  is H-closed if  $X_{\alpha}$  are H-closed,  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  open and that  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  are onto if  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  are open onto. Hence, from 3.6. we obtain.

3.7. THEOREM. Let H be a class of the inverse system of H-closed functionally Hausdorff spaces  $X_{\alpha}$  and open onto mappings  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  Then the functor  $\tau$  is H-continuous.

From [6] it follows that  $\lim X$  is R-closed (AR-closed) f  $X_{\alpha}$  are R-closed (AR-closed) and if  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  are open-closed. By similar method of proof we have.

3.8. THEOREM. Let R be a class of the inverse systems of R-closed (AR-closed) functionally Hausdorf spaces  $X_{\alpha}$  and open-closed onto mappings  $f_{\alpha\beta}$ . Then the functor  $\tau$  is R -continuous.

We say that an inverse system  $\underline{X} = \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  is factorisable (or f-system) [10] it for each continuous mapping f : lim X---> [0,1] there exists a continuous mapping  $g_{\alpha} : X_{\alpha} \longrightarrow [0,1]$  such thaf  $f = g_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}$ , where  $f_{\alpha} : \lim X \longrightarrow X_{\alpha}$  is the natural projection.

3.9. LEMMA. If X is an f-system, then the mapping  $\tau_1 : \tau$  (lim X) ---> lim  $\tau$  (X) is one-to-one. P r o o f. Let [x] and [y] be two distinct points of  $\tau$  (lim X), where x, y  $\in$  lim X. This means that there exists an f : lim X ---> [0,1] such that f (x) = 0 and f (y) = 1. Since X is f-system there is an  $\alpha \in A$  and  $g_{\alpha} : X_{\alpha} \longrightarrow [0,1]$  such that  $f = g_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}$ . It follows that  $[f_{\alpha}(x)] \neq [f_{\alpha}(y)]$  since  $g_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}(x) = 0$  and  $g_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}(y)=1$ . This means that  $\tau_1([x]) \neq \tau_1([y])$ . The proof is completed. 3.10. THEOREM. Let W be a class of the inverse f-system X =  $\{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  such that all  $X_{\alpha}$  and X = lim X are w-compact (H-closed,  $\tau$ -compact, R-closed, AR-closed). Then the Tychonoff functor  $\tau$  is W -cotinuous.

Proof: From 1.4. Theorem it follows that  $\tau$  (lim X) and lim  $\tau$  (X) are compact. By virtue of 3.5. Lemma it follows that  $\tau_1$  is the homemorphism Q.E.D.

3.11. LEMMA. [11]. Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be a well-ordered inverse system such that w  $(X_{\alpha}) < \tau$  and  $cf(A) > \tau > \aleph_{o}$ . If  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  are

perfect (open or X is continuous) then w (limX) <  $\tau$ .

We close this Section with the following 3.12. THEOREM. Let C be a class of the inverse systems X as in 3.11. If lim X is w-compact ( $\tau$ -compact, H-closed, R-closed, AR-closed) adn if the projections  $f_{\alpha}$ : X --->  $\alpha \in A$ , are onto, then the functor  $\tau$  is C -continuous. Proof. In view of Theorem 3.10. it suffices to prove that X is an f-system. Let  $X = \lim X$  and let  $f : X \longrightarrow [0,1]$  be a real-valued function. For each  $z \in [0,1]$  let N<sub>z</sub> be a countable family of open sets such that  $\cap \{U : U \in \mathbb{N}_{z}\} = \{z\}$ . We can asume that N =  $\{N_z : z \in [0,1]\}$  is countable. It is readily seen that for each  $U_i \in f^{-1}(N)$  there exist an  $\alpha \in A$  and open  $U_{\alpha_i} \subseteq X_{\alpha_i}$  such that  $U_i = f_{\alpha_i}^{-1} (U_{\alpha_i}) [7]$  (See also [12]). Since the cardinality  $|N| \leq \aleph_0$  and cf (A) > N there exist an  $\alpha \in A$  such that  $\alpha > \alpha_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{R}$ N. Let  $Y_z$  be a set  $\cap \{U_{\alpha}: f_{\alpha}^{-1}(U_{\alpha}) \in f_{\alpha}^{-1}(N_z)\}$ . It is clear that  $Y_z$  $\land Y_{z}$ , =  $\emptyset$  iff  $z \neq z'$  and that  $X_{\alpha} = \bigcup \{Y_{z} : z \in [0, 1]\}$ . This means that for each  $x_{\alpha} \in X_{\alpha}$  there is only one  $z \in [0,1]$  such that  $x_{\alpha} \in$  $Y_z$ . Put  $g_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha}) = z$ . We define  $g_{\alpha}: X_{\alpha} \longrightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f = g_{\alpha}f_{\alpha}$ . In order to complete the proof we prove that g, is continuous. Let  $x_{\alpha} \in X_{\alpha}$  and let  $g_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha}) = z$ . For each neighborhoods V  $\varepsilon$  N there is a neighborhood  $U_{\alpha}$  of x such that  $f_{\alpha}^{-1}(U_{\alpha}) = V$ . This means that  $g_{\alpha}$  $(U_{\sim}) = V$ . The proof is completed.

4. CONNECTEDNESS OF THE LIMIT SPACE

We start with following theorem 4.1. THEOREM: A topological space X is connected iff  $\tau(X)$  is connected.

#### Zbornik radova (1990), 14

Proof. If X is connected, then  $\tau$  (X) is connected since  $\tau_X$ : X --->  $\tau$  (X) is continuous surjection. Conversely, let  $\tau$ (X) be connected. If X is disconnected, then there exist two disjoint open sets U, V  $\subseteq$  X such that X = U  $\cup$  V.

Let g : X ---> [0,1] be a mapping such that g (x) = 0 if x  $\in$  U and g (x) = 1 if x  $\in$  V. Clearly, g is continuous. From the definition of  $\tau$  (X) it follows that  $\tau_X(U) \cap \tau_X(V) = \emptyset$  and  $\tau_X(U) \cup \tau_X(V) =$  $\tau$  (X), where  $\tau_X(U)$  is the image of U. Let f :  $\tau$  (X) ---> [0,1] be a mapping such that f $[\tau_X(U)] = 0$ , f $[\tau_X(V)] = 1$ . Clearly, f  $\tau = g$ . Since g  $\in$  C (X), from the definition fo  $\tau$  (X) it follows that is continuous i.e. f  $\in$  C ( $\tau$  X)). This means that  $\tau_X(U) = f^{-1}(0)$ and  $\tau_X(V) = f^{-1}(1)$  i.e.  $\tau_X(U)$  and  $\tau_X(V)$  are disjoint open sets in  $\tau$  (X). This contradiction with the connectedness of  $\tau$  (X). The proof is completed.

4.2. THEOREM. Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system such that the functor  $\tau$  is X-continuous. The space X = lim X is connected iff lim  $\tau$  X is connected.

P r o o f. The space  $\tau$  (lim X) is connected since it is homemorphic with lim  $\tau$  X. From 4.2. it follows that lim X is connected iff  $\tau$  (lim X) is connected. Q.E.D.

Now, from Theorems 4.1. and 4.2. and from theorems of Section Three we obtain the following theorems.

4.3. THEOREM. Let X be an inverse system as in Theorem 2.4. Then  $X = \lim X$  is connected iff  $X_{\alpha} \quad \alpha \in A$ , are connected.

4.4. THEOREM. Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system such that  $X_{\alpha}, \alpha \in A, X = \lim \underline{X}$  are functionally Hausdorff H-closed (R-closed). If the projections  $f_{\alpha} : X \longrightarrow X_{\alpha}, \alpha \in A$ , are onto

mappings, then X is connected iff  $X_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are connected.

4.5. THEOREM. Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system of H-closed functionally Hausdorf spaces  $X_{\alpha}$  and open onto mappings  $f_{\alpha\beta}$ . The space  $X = \lim \underline{X}$  is connected iff  $X_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are connected.

4.6. THEOREM. Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system of R-closed (AR-closed) functionally Hausdorf spaces  $X_{\alpha}$  and open-closed onto mappings  $f_{\alpha\beta}$ . The space  $X = \lim \underline{X}$  is connected iff the spaces  $X_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are connected.

4.7. THEOREM. Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse f-system such that all  $X_{\alpha}$  and  $X = \lim \underline{X}$  are w-compact ( $\tau$ -compact, H-closed, R-closed). X is connected iff  $X_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are connected.

#### REFERENCES:

- (1) Arhangel'skij A.V., Ponomarev V.I., Osnovy ob{~ej topologii v zada~ah i upra'nenijah, Nauka, Moskva, 1974.
- (2) Engelking R., General Topology, PWN, Warszawa, 1977.
- (3) Gillman L. and Jerison M., Rings of Continuous Functions, D. van Nostrand Comp., New York, 1960.
- (4) Ishii T., Some results on w-compact spaces, (in russian), UMN 35 (1980), 61-66.

(5) Lon~ar I., Applications of  $\Theta$ -clsed and  $\mu$ -closed sets, Zbornik radova Fakulteta organizacije i informatike

Vara'din 8 (1984), 237-254.(6) ...., Inverse systems of R-closed spaces, Math. Balkan., 2(1988), 172 - 181.
(7) ...., Lindelöfov broj i inverzni sistemi, Zbornik radova FOI Vara'din, 7 (1983), 245-252.

(8) Sigal M.K. and Asha Mathur, A note on almost completely

regular spaces, Glasnik matemati~ki 6 (26) (1971), 345-349. (9) ....., On minimal almost regular spaces, Glasnik matemati~ki 6 (26) (1971), 179-185. (10) [~epin E.V.:, Funktory i nes~etnye stepeni kompaktov, UMN 36 (1981), 3-62. (11) Tka~enko M.G., Some results on inverse spectra I., Commentationes math. univ. carol. 22 (1981), 621-633. (12) ....., Some results on inverse spectra II., Commentationes math. univ. carol. 22 (1981), 819-841. (13) ..... Cepi i kardinaly, DAN SSSR 239 (1978),546-549. (14) Vinson T.O. and Dickman R.H., Inverse limits and absolutes of H-closed spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 66 (1977), 351-358.

Priml, jeno: 1990-05-29

Lončar I. Neprekidnost Tihnovljevog funktora

# SADRZAJ

U radu je istrazivana neprekidnost Tihonovljevog funktora  $\tau$ . Pri tome kazemo da je funktor F C-neprekidan ako su prostori F(lim X) i limF X homeomorfni, gdje je C klasa inverznih sistema X = =

 $\{X_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}.$ 

U odjeljku 1. dana je definicija i osnovna svojstva funktora τ. Drugi odjeljak sadrzi teoreme o nepraznosti i w-kompaktnosti limesa inverznih sistema w-kompaktnih prostora.

Teoremi iz drugog odjeljka sluze za dokazivanje teorema o C-neprekidnosti funktora  $\tau$ , gdje je C klasa inverznih sistema w-kompaktnih (t-kompaktnih, H-zatvorenih ili R-zatvorenih) prostora.

226