KoG•15-2011

G. Wallner, F. Gruber: Interactive Modeling and Subdivision of Flexible Equilateral....

Original scientific paper Accepted 23. 12. 2011.

## Günter Wallner Franz Gruber

# Interactive Modeling and Subdivision of Flexible Equilateral Triangular Mechanisms

# Interactive Modeling and Subdivision of Flexible Equilateral Triangular Mechanisms

### ABSTRACT

Based on the requests from architects, we developed a system which allows to interactively design and subdivide flexible triangular surfaces. Due to economical reasons the number of different types of building elements should be small. For that reason we only use equilateral base triangles of unique size with the possibility of subdivision. To allow to interactively move vertices and to ensure constant edge length we use force-directed methods instead of inverse kinematics. This paper describes the data structure, the algorithm and the influence of subdivision on the kinematic flexibility of the mesh.

Key words: subdivision, uniform 1-to-4 split, flexible mechanism, force directed algorithm

MSC 2000: 00A67, 65D17

### **1** Introduction

In order to design the booth of the University of Applied Arts Vienna at the Vienna Fair  $2011^1$  (see Figure 1), the Department of Geometry was asked how to interactively model a surface consisting of equilateral triangles of different sizes. This surface, covered with sound-absorbing material (see Figure 2), was floating above the booth like a cloud and was therefore called Acoustic Cloud by responsible architect Juliana Herrero. For the arrangement of the triangles she required a tool which allows her to individually subdivide triangles of the mesh and to interactively move vertices while keeping the side lengths of the triangles constant. This yields a triangular mechanism whose kinematic behavior - to our knowledge - can not be simulated with existing software packages. Just as well, the theoretical solution of the task is almost impossible. Therefore, we developed a tool which attempts a numerical solution by using force-directed methods. In this paper we present the algorithm and discuss the kinematic restric-

# Interaktivno modeliranje i razdioba fleksibilnog mehanizma jednakostraničnih trokuta

### SAŽETAK

Prema zahtjevima arhitekata razvijamo sustav koji dozvoljava interaktivnu tvorbu i razdiobu fleksibilne triangulirane plohe. Broj različitih sastavnih dijelova iz ekonomskih razloga treba biti mali. Zbog toga koristimo samo sukladne jednakostranične bazne trokute s mogućnošću razdiobe. Kako bismo dozvolili interaktivno kretanje vrhova i osigurali konstantnost duljine bridova umjesto inverzne kinematike koristimo metodu upravljanja silom. Rad opisuje strukturu podataka, algoritam i utjecaj razdiobe na kinematičku fleksibilnost mreže.

**Ključne riječi:** razdioba, uniformna 1-4 podjela, fleksibilni mahanizam, algoritam upravljanja silom

tions which come along with the subdivision of the surface. Depending on the subdivision levels of adjacent triangles subdivision can enhance flexibility or not, as can be seen from the example depicted in Figure 6 and Figure 9.

Before we will describe our algorithm in Section 2 we will review related work. However, since this paper is mainly concerned with the practical application of a forcedirected algorithm to a kinematic problem, we will restrict us to a short overview. Usually, force directed algorithms are associated with the drawing of graphs, where they are used to position the nodes of the graph in an aesthetically pleasing way by assigning forces to edges and nodes. Historically, the work of Tutte [9] can be considered as one of the first force-directed algorithms. Tutte only used springs of ideal length zero and no repulsive forces. Eades [3] and Fruchterman and Reingold [4] used spring forces, similar to those in Hooke's law. Both methods apply repulsive forces between all nodes and attractive forces to nodes connected by edges. However, force-directed algorithms have been applied to other domains as well.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Vienna Fair is an international contemporary art fair focusing on Central and Eastern Europe. http://www.viennafair.at



Figure 1: The booth of the University of Applied Arts Vienna at the Vienna Fair 2011. (Photo by Virgil Widrich)



Figure 2: A close-up of the Acoustic Cloud. (Photo by Virgil Widrich)

Examples include the work of Quinn and Breuer [8] who describe a force-directed method to place components on printed circuit boards. Provot [7] used a force-directed algorithm to simulate the behavior of cloth by approximating it by a deformable surface composed of a network of masses and springs. Djidjev [1] published a force-directed method to smooth unstructured triangular and tetrahedral meshes. Recently, Gruber et al. [5] described a method which optimizes given grids with rectangular topology on an arbitrary parametric double-curved surface in regard to orthogonality and, optionally, locally almost constant grid size.

### 2 Algorithm

### 2.1 Basic Data Structure

In the following we consider an initial equilateral triangular mesh M = (V, T) where V is a set of vertices and T is



Figure 3: Subdivision of a triangle with a 1-to-4 split.

a set of equilateral non-subdivided (Level 0) triangles with side-length *a*. At this point we should stress that equilaterality is not a necessity and the algorithm can be easily adapted to arbitrary triangular meshes. Additionally a set of edges *E* is stored. Basically, a vertex *v* is defined by its position in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and an edge  $e = (v_0, v_1)$  connects vertex  $v_0$  with  $v_1$ . An edge also stores references to its adjacent triangles  $n_0$  and  $n_1$ . Note, that a triangle is only considered adjacent if both vertices  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  are corners of the triangle.

A triangle  $t = (v_0, v_1, v_2)$  is defined by the three corners  $v_0, v_1$  and  $v_2$ . Furthermore, references to the three edges  $e_0 = (v_0, v_1), e_1 = (v_1, v_2)$  and  $e_2 = (v_2, v_0)$  are stored. These data structures are shown in Appendix A in Listing 1, Listing 2 and Listing 3 along with further variables which will be described later.

### 2.2 Subdivision

For subdivision we use a uniform 1-to-4 split, which divides a triangle  $t^i$  at subdivision level *i* into four triangles  $t_0^{i+1}$ ,  $t_1^{i+1}$ ,  $t_2^{i+1}$  and  $t_3^{i+1}$  as depicted in Figure 3. The 1-to-4 split is a commonly used method for subdivision and remeshing of triangles, e.g., Loop subdivision [6] and Butterfly subdivision [2] both use 1-to-4 refinement. To recursively traverse the hierarchy it is necessary that  $t^i$  stores pointers to these four child triangles which in turn have to store a pointer to their parent  $t^i$ . The level itself is also stored in the data structure of the triangle.

A uniform 1-to-4 split introduces new vertices  $v_{c0}$ ,  $v_{c1}$  and  $v_{c2}$  at the center of the edge  $e_0^i$ ,  $e_1^i$  and  $e_2^i$  of  $t^i$ . These vertices are only added to V if a vertex with the same coordinates does not already exist. We will refer to  $e_j^i$ ,  $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  as the *support edge* of  $v_{cj}$  and to  $v_{cj}$  as the *center vertex* of  $e_j^i$ .

Further, each edge  $e_j^i$ ,  $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  will be divided into two parts  $e_{j1}^{i+1}$  and  $e_{j2}^{i+1}$ .  $e_j^i$  stores references to these two child edges which in turn store a pointer to their parent  $e_j^i$ . Also,  $e_j^i$  stores a pointer to the corresponding center vertex  $v_{cj}$ . These six edges are added to *E* along with the three new edges  $e_{new0}^{i+1}$ ,  $e_{new1}^{i+1}$  and  $e_{new2}^{i+1}$  of  $t_3^{i+1}$ . In the following we



Figure 4: Two inflexible center vertices  $v_k, v_l$  (red) of a subdivided triangle t induce a further inflexible vertex  $v_m$  (yellow).



Figure 5: An example for propagation of inflexibility: 1. the two inflexible vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  will cause  $v_a$  to become inflexible. 2.  $v_a$  together with the already existing inflexible vertex  $v_3$  induce a further inflexible point  $v_b$ .

will call an edge  $e^0$  with only one neighboring triangle (either  $n_0$  or  $n_1$ ) a *border edge*.

### 2.3 Kinematic Restrictions

Different subdivision levels between adjacent triangles restrict the movability of the mechanism in certain ways, i.e, just because an edge has been subdivided it does not mean that it is allowed to fold at its center vertex.

### 2.3.1 Inflexible Vertices

We will refer to a vertex as *flexible* if the corresponding support edge is allowed to fold, otherwise *inflexible*. All vertices which are corners of a triangle at level 0 are flexible. The flexibility of vertices  $v_{cj}$ ,  $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  introduced during subdivision is algorithmically determined by performing the following test. If there already exists a vertex  $v^*$  with the same coordinates then  $v^*$  is marked as flexible. Otherwise, we check if the corresponding support edge  $e_j$ is a border edge. If it is,  $v_{cj}$  is flexible, if it is not  $v_{cj}$  is inflexible. In other words, a center vertex v with support edge e is inflexible if and only if one of the adjacent triangles of e is subdivided, otherwise v is flexible.



Figure 6: An example of a 3D mechanism. Different subdivision levels result in inflexible vertices (shown in red and yellow). Such vertices prevent folding of their support edge and therefore restrict the movability of the mechanism in regard to interactive displacement.

### 2.3.2 Induced Inflexible Vertices

However, the test in Section does not capture all kinematic restrictions because it does not take into account the configuration of the neighborhood. Certain configurations can cause a propagation of inflexibility through the mesh, in other words, inflexible vertices can induce further inflexible vertices what we therefore call *induced inflexible vertices* in the following. To detect these induced inflexible vertices we run a second test after the above mentioned test.

If a triangle *t* is subdivided and two of the three center vertices  $v_k$  and  $v_l$  of its edges are inflexible (as shown in Figure 4) then the third center vertex  $v_m$  also becomes inflexible because of the following reason. If  $v_k$  is inflexible then the corresponding support edge  $e_k$  is not allowed to fold, the same holds true for  $v_l$  and its support edge  $e_l$ . Therefore the edge  $e_{kl}$  between  $v_k$  and  $v_l$  is also rigid, even if the sub-triangles of *t* are further subdivided.  $e_k$  and  $e_l$  together with  $e_{kl}$  as a distance piece between them make the triangle *t* rigid.

The introduction of an induced inflexible vertex can lead to further induced inflexible vertices. A simple example is shown in Figure 5. To handle this possible series of reactions, the test has to be repeated until no further induced inflexible vertices are found. Figure 6 shows a 3D example of a surface with different subdivision levels and the different types of inflexible vertices.

### 2.4 Force-directed Placement

An important feature of the tool is that the surface can be interactively modeled by moving vertices. Since a theoretical calculation with inverse kinematic of such a mechanism is almost impossible, we attempt a numerical solution with force-directed methods. The iterative force-directed algorithm is responsible for arranging the vertices in a way that



Figure 7: In order to keep the distance between two inflexible vertices  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  constant, their displacements have to be applied twice to the corresponding vertices  $v_{lever0}$  and  $v_{lever1}$  instead to  $v_0$  and  $v_1$ .

guarantees that edges keep their predefined ideal length. In case of equilateral triangles the *idealLength* =  $0.5^i \cdot a$ , where *i* is the subdivision level of the edge and *a* is the side length of a level 0 triangle. However, the set of edges *E* contains more information than necessary for the simulation. Therefore, we derive a minimal set of edges  $F \subseteq E$  which completely describes the flexible mechanism.

To determine the edges of F, we initially loop only through edges e which do not have a parent<sup>2</sup>. In order to decide which parts of the edge hierarchy of e belong to F, we start the following recursive process. If e does not have any children, the edge itself belongs to F. Otherwise, we check if the center vertex of e is inflexible in which case e is also part of F. However, if the center vertex is flexible then the process is repeated recursively for  $e = e_{c0}$  and  $e = e_{c1}$  where  $e_{c0}$  and  $e_{c1}$  are the two child edges of e.

For each vertex v a displacement vector  $v^{disp}$  is stored which is set to zero at the beginning of each iteration. Afterward, the algorithm loops through each edge  $e = (v_0, v_1) \in F$  and calculates the deviation  $\Delta$  from the actual edge length  $\Delta = e_{ideal} - ||v_{01}||$  where  $v_{01} = v_1^{pos} - v_0^{pos}$ . If  $v_0$  is flexible then  $d := \varepsilon \cdot \Delta \cdot \frac{v_{01}}{||v_{01}||}$  is subtracted from  $v_0^{disp}$ , where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is a small constant. Similarly d is added to  $v_1^{disp}$  if  $v_1$  is flexible.

No displacement is added to inflexible points because their position has to remain at the center of their rigid support edge. This calculation will be performed later. However, if both vertices of an edge are inflexible, as depicted in Figure 7, a problem arises because the edge would not have the effect of a distance piece anymore. We solved the problem by transferring the edges' distance keeping force onto other suitable vertices. Let  $e_0$  be the support edge of  $v_0$ ,  $e_1$  the support edge of  $v_1$  and t the triangle which contains  $e_0$  and  $e_1$ . Further, let  $e_2$  be the third edge of t. Then the displacements caused by e will be applied twice to the corresponding vertices  $v_{lever0}$  and  $v_{lever1}$  of  $e_2$ .





Once all edges have been processed the aggregated displacement of each vertex is added to its position. Finally, the calculation of the position of inflexible vertices is performed. This calculation has to be carried out in a hierarchical top to down manner to make sure that the center vertex of the parent of an edge f is already at the right position before calculating the position of a possible center vertex of f.

These steps are summarized in Listing 4 in Appendix A.

2.4.1 Planarity Condition and Convergence Speed

Because the algorithm described above only takes edge lengths and not planarity into account it will converge slowly to the solution. However, an (induced) inflexible vertex will not only make its support edge rigid but will also make parts of the surface planar. We take advantage of this theoretical fact to improve the converge speed of the algorithm. Let us assume that v is an (induced) inflexible vertex with *e* being its support edge and let  $t_0^i$  and  $t_1^i$  be the adjacent triangles of e at level i. In the following let us consider  $t_0^i$  to be subdivided (the same process is performed for  $t_1^i$ if it is subdivided). Figure 8 shows a possible configuration. Inside the hierarchy of  $t_0^i$  we search for three triangles incident to v with the following properties:

- 1. All three triangles are on the same subdivision level m
- 2. There is no higher level *k* > *m* which fulfills condition (1), i.e., *m* is maximal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Note, that this are not only edges on subdivision level 0.



Figure 9: An example of a mesh with subdivisions up to level 2 which was designed with the described tool (level 0 = green, level 1 = blue and level 2 = red). Whereas triangles on subdivision level 0 and 1 have high flexibility, the level 2 triangles – as chosen in this example – have no further influence on the kinematic behavior of the mechanism. Inflexible vertices are shown in red and induced inflexible vertices are colored yellow.

All vertices of these three triangles have to be coplanar, because the support edge e of v can be thought of as a frame joint for the two triangles  $t_a$  and  $t_b$  as seen in Figure 8. Together with the remaining triangle  $t_c$  they form an isosceles trapezoid. v stores these vertices in an array called trapezoidVertices0 in the data structure. After displacement of the vertices in the force-directed algorithm, the vertices of this array are orthogonally projected onto their regression plane. This procedure increases the speed of the algorithm considerably.

### 2.4.2 Termination Condition

The steps outlined above are repeated iteratively until all displacements are below a certain threshold, mathematically  $v_{disp} < \varepsilon \quad \forall v \in V$ .

### **3** Operations

An important aspect in the development of the system was interactivity. Users should be able to easily work with the mesh in order to facilitate the design process. Therefore all operations can be performed with a single mouse click together with keyboard shortcuts.

The system allows to append edges of side length a to corners of level 0 triangles. If adding a new edge yields a new base triangle (i.e., a triangle at subdivision level 0) then this triangle is automatically added to T. Only edges with no adjacent triangles can be removed. Existing triangles - independent of their subdivision level - can be subdivided with a 1-to-4 split, as described in Section 2.2. When deleting a triangle two cases can occur: If a triangle  $t^i$  with i = 0 (base triangle) is deleted then edges not adjacent to any remaining triangles are deleted automatically as well. In case i > 0 all four triangles of the parent triangle from  $t^i$ , including edges and vertices which are no longer part of a remaining triangle, are deleted in order to guarantee a welldefined subdivision hierarchy. Dragging a vertex with the left (right) mouse button moves it horizontally (vertically). Once the position of a vertex changes the arrangement of the mesh has to be recalculated which is handled by the force-directed algorithm described in Section 2.4.

### 4 Conclusions

In this paper we described a tool to interactively design triangular mechanisms by extending the mesh, subdividing triangles, and moving vertices (Figure 9 shows an example). Although we restricted ourselves – due to the initial artistic concept – to equilateral triangles it should be noted that the algorithm can be easily modified to general triangles.

To simulate the kinematic behavior of the mesh we used an iterative force directed algorithm. As it turned out, subdivisions must not necessarily increase the flexibility, because in many cases inflexibility propagates quite easily. This means that subdivision has to be applied well-considered in order to raise flexibility. From a designer's point of view, this may be very restrictive. In general the flexibility can be improved if one allows small deviations from the ideal edge length. Experiments showed that ignoring the planarity condition (see Section 2.4.1) and allowing for the edge length to deviate only by 1% from its predefined ideal length, increases the flexibility already considerably.

### A Data Structures and Pseudo Code

```
struct sVertex
{
  float[3] position;
  float[3] displacement;
  bool flexible;
  bool inducedInflexible;
  sEdge *supportEdge;
  Array<sVertex*> trapezoidVertices0;
  Array<sVertex*> trapezoidVertices1;
};
```

Listing 1: Vertex data structure

```
struct sEdge
{
   sVertex *v0;
   sVertex *v1;
   sVertex *center;

   sEdge *c0; // child 0
   sEdge *c1; // child 1
   sEdge *parent;

   sTriangle* n0;
   sTriangle* n1;

   float idealLength;
   int level;
};
```

# struct sTriangle { sVertex\* v0; sVertex\* v1; sVertex\* v2; sEdge\* e0; sEdge\* e1; sEdge\* e2; sTriangle\* c0; // child 0 sTriangle\* c1; // child 1 sTriangle\* c2; // child 2 sTriangle\* c3; // child 3 sTriangle\* parent;

int level;

};

Listing 3: Triangle data structure

```
foreach (sVertex v)
  v.displacement = (0,0,0);
foreach (sEdge e) {
  float[3] l = e.v0.position - e.v1.position;
  float \Delta = e.idealLength - l.length();
  l.normalize();
  if (e.v0.flexible == true)
    e.v0.displacement += -\epsilon * \Delta * 1;
  if (e.v1.flexible == true)
    e.v1.displacement += \epsilon * \Delta * 1;
  if (e.v0.flexible==false &&
      e.v1.flexible==false) {
    // find lever0 and lever1, see Fig. 6
    lever0.displacement += -2*\epsilon*\Delta*1;
    lever1.displacement += 2*\epsilon*\Delta*1;
  }
}
foreach (sVertex v)
  v.position += v.displacement;
calcPositionOfInflexiblePoints();
foreach (trapezoid t) {
  Plane regPlane = calcRegressionPlane(t);
  foreach (Vertex v in t.vertices)
    regPlane.orthoProject(v);
3
```

Listing 4: Pseudocode for the force-directed algorithm from Section 2.4

Listing 2: Edge data structure

### References

- H. DJIDJEV, Force-Directed Methods For Smoothing Unstructured Triangular And Tetrahedral Meshes. *Proceedings of the 9th International Meshing Roundtable* (2000), 395–406
- [2] N. DYN, J. GREGORY, D. LEVIN. A BUTTER, A Butterfly Subdivision Scheme for Surface Interpolation with Tension Control. ACM Trans. Graph. 9 (1990), 160–169
- [3] P. A. EADES, A heuristic for graph drawing. Congressus Numerantium 42 (1984), 149–160
- [4] T. M. J. FRUCHTERMAN, E. M. REINGOLD, Graph Drawing by Force-directed Placement. Software -Practice and Experience 21 (1991), 1129–1164
- [5] F. GRUBER, G. WALLNER, G. GLAESER, Force Directed Near-Orthogonal Grid Generation on Surfaces. *Journal for Geometry and Graphics* 14 (2010), 135– 145
- [6] C. LOOP., Smooth subdivision surfaces based on triangles. *Masters Thesis*, Utah University (1987)

- [7] X. PROVOT, Deformation constraints in a massspring model to describe rigid cloth behavior. *Graphics Interface* (1995), 147–154
- [8] N. QUINN, M. BREUER, A force directed component placement procedure for printed circuit boards. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems* (1979), 377–388
- [9] W. T. TUTTE, How to draw a graph. Proceedings of The London Mathematical Society 13 (1963), 743– 767

### Günter Wallner

e-mail: guenter.wallner@uni-ak.ac.at

### Franz Gruber

e-mail: franz.gruber@uni-ak.ac.at

University of Applied Arts Vienna Department of Geometry 1010 Vienna, Austria