

Characteristic classes of vector bundles over $CP(j) \times HP(k)$ and involutions fixing $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(k)^*$

YANYING WANG^{1,†} AND YANCHANG CHEN¹

¹ *College of Mathematics and Information Science, Hebei Normal University,
Shijiazhuang 050 016, P. R. China*

Received August 8, 2010; accepted December 18, 2010

Abstract. In this paper, we determine the total Stiefel-Whitney classes of vector bundles over the product of the complex projective space $CP(j)$ with the quaternionic projective space $HP(k)$. Moreover, we show that every involution fixing $CP(2m+1) \times HP(k)$ bounds.

AMS subject classifications: 57R85, 57S17, 55N22

Key words: Stiefel-Whitney class, involution, fixed point set

1. Introduction

In 1962, Steenrod raised to Conner the following questions:

Given a smooth closed manifold F (not necessarily connected), does there exist a non-trivial smooth involution T on a smooth closed manifold M with F as its fixed point set? Can we determine all involutions (M, T) up to bordism for the manifold F ?

When F is the disjoint union of some spaces, there have been many results, see [3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12]. But there are few results for the case that F is the product of some spaces, see [6, 10, 13]. We shall particularly be concerned with the case in which $F = CP(2m + 1) \times HP(k)$, where by $CP(2m + 1)$ and $HP(k)$ we denote a $(2m + 1)$ -dimensional complex projective space and a k -dimensional quaternionic projective space, respectively.

From [1], we know that the bordism class of an involution (M, T) with F as its fixed point set is determined by the bordism class of the normal bundle over F . To calculate characteristic numbers of the normal bundle over $F = CP(2m+1) \times HP(k)$, we need to know the possible form of the total Stiefel-Whitney classes of vector bundles over it. We have the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *The total Stiefel-Whitney class of a vector bundle ξ over $CP(j) \times HP(k)$ has the form*

$$w(\xi) = (1 + \alpha)^a (1 + \beta)^b (1 + \alpha^2 + \beta)^d (1 + \alpha^i \beta^{\frac{2^s - 2i}{4}})^\varepsilon,$$

*This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10971050).

†Corresponding author. *Email addresses:* wyanying2003@yahoo.com.cn (Y. Wang), cyc810707@163.com (Y. Chen)

where $\alpha \in H^2(CP(j); Z_2)$, $\beta \in H^4(HP(k); Z_2)$ are nonzero classes, a, b, d are non-negative integers, and $\varepsilon = 0$ or 1 . When $\varepsilon = 1$, we must have

$$\begin{cases} i = 2^t(2p + 1), & t \geq 1, \\ j = 2^t(2p + 1) + x, & 0 \leq x < 2^t, \\ 4k = 2^s - 2^{t+1}(2p + 1) + y, & 0 \leq y < 2^{t+1}. \end{cases}$$

By using this result, we prove

Theorem 2. *Every involution fixing $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(k)$ bounds.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1. In Section 3, we discuss the existence of involutions fixing $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(k)$ and prove Theorem 2.

2. Characteristic classes of vector bundles

Let

$$H^*(CP(j) \times HP(k); Z) = Z[\alpha]/\alpha^{j+1} \otimes Z[\beta]/\beta^{k+1},$$

where $\alpha \in H^2(CP(j); Z)$, $\beta \in H^4(HP(k); Z)$ are generators. For convenience, we also denote generators of $H^2(CP(j); Z_2)$, $H^4(HP(k); Z_2)$ by α, β .

Let $P_1 : CP(j) \times HP(k) \rightarrow CP(j)$, $P_2 : CP(j) \times HP(k) \rightarrow HP(k)$ be the projection maps. We have a complex line bundle $P_1^*(L_\alpha)$ over $CP(j) \times HP(k)$, which is the pullback of the canonical complex line bundle L_α over $CP(j)$ with the total Chern class $c(P_1^*(L_\alpha)) = 1 + \alpha$, and a 2-dimensional complex bundle $P_2^*(L_\beta)$ over $CP(j) \times HP(k)$, which is the pullback of the canonical quaternionic line bundle L_β over $HP(k)$ with total Chern class $c(P_2^*(L_\beta)) = 1 + \beta$.

Lemma 1. *The total Chern class of the bundle $P_1^*(L_\alpha) \otimes P_2^*(L_\beta)$ over $CP(j) \times HP(k)$ is $c(P_1^*(L_\alpha) \otimes P_2^*(L_\beta)) = 1 + 2\alpha + \alpha^2 + \beta$.*

Proof. We define a map $i_1 : CP(j) \rightarrow CP(j) \times HP(k)$ by $i_1(x) = (x, pt_1)$, $x \in CP(j)$ and a map $i_2 : HP(k) \rightarrow CP(j) \times HP(k)$ by $i_2(x) = (pt_2, x)$, $x \in HP(k)$, where $pt_1 \in HP(k)$, $pt_2 \in CP(j)$ are fixed points. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 i_1 : CP(j) &\rightarrow CP(j) \text{ is the identity on } CP(j), \\ P_2 i_2 : HP(k) &\rightarrow HP(k) \text{ is the identity on } HP(k). \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$(P_1 i_1)^*(L_\alpha) = i_1^* P_1^*(L_\alpha) = L_\alpha \tag{1}$$

and

$$(P_2 i_2)^*(L_\beta) = i_2^* P_2^*(L_\beta) = L_\beta. \tag{2}$$

From (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} i_1^*(c(P_1^*(L_\alpha) \otimes P_2^*(L_\beta))) &= c(i_1^* P_1^*(L_\alpha) \otimes i_1^* P_2^*(L_\beta)) = c(L_\alpha \otimes C^2) \\ &= c(L_\alpha \otimes (C \oplus C)) = c(L_\alpha \oplus L_\alpha) = 1 + 2\alpha + \alpha^2, \tag{3} \end{aligned}$$

where C^i is an i -dimensional trivial complex bundle over $CP(j)$. Similarly, from (2) we have $i_2^*(c(P_1^*(L_\alpha) \otimes P_2^*(L_\beta))) = c(L_\beta) = 1 + \beta$.

Let $c(P_1^*(L_\alpha) \otimes P_2^*(L_\beta)) = 1 + \varepsilon_0\alpha + \varepsilon_1\alpha^2 + \varepsilon_2\beta$. Then

$$i_1^*(c(P_1^*(L_\alpha) \otimes P_2^*(L_\beta))) = i_1^*(1 + \varepsilon_0\alpha + \varepsilon_1\alpha^2 + \varepsilon_2\beta) = 1 + \varepsilon_0\alpha + \varepsilon_1\alpha^2.$$

From (3), $\varepsilon_0 = 2$ and $\varepsilon_1 = 1$. Similarly, we have $\varepsilon_2 = 1$. □

Lemma 2. *There is a 4-dimensional real vector bundle η over $CP(j) \times HP(k)$ such that the total Stiefel-Whitney class $w(\eta) = 1 + \alpha^2 + \beta$.*

Proof. Consider the 2-dimensional complex bundle $P_1^*(L_\alpha) \otimes P_2^*(L_\beta)$ as a real bundle. Let η be the real bundle. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$w(\eta) = c(P_1^*(L_\alpha) \otimes P_2^*(L_\beta)) \bmod 2 = 1 + \alpha^2 + \beta.$$

□

Lemma 3. *Let the total Stiefel-Whitney class of a vector bundle ξ be $w(\xi) = 1 + w_{2^s} +$ higher terms. Then $w_{2^s+l} = 0$ and $Sq^l w_{2^s} = 0$ for $0 < l < 2^{s-1}$, where Sq^l is the Steenrod operation.*

Proof. If $0 < l < 2^{s-1}$, then $w_{2^{s-1}+l} = 0$. Using the Wu formula

$$Sq^i w_j = \sum_{t=0}^i \binom{j-i-1+t}{t} w_{i-t} w_{j+t} \quad \text{for } i < j,$$

we have that for $0 < l < 2^{s-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = Sq^{2^{s-1}} w_{2^{s-1}+l} &= \sum_{t=0}^{2^{s-1}} \binom{l-1+t}{t} w_{2^{s-1}-t} w_{2^{s-1}+l+t} \\ &= \binom{2^{s-1}+l-1}{2^{s-1}} w_0 w_{2^s+l} = w_{2^s+l}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $Sq^l w_{2^s} = \binom{2^s-1}{l} w_0 w_{2^s+l} = 0$. □

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $P_1^*(L_\alpha), P_2^*(L_\beta)$ as above. Consider $P_1^*(L_\alpha)$ and $P_2^*(L_\beta)$ as real bundles. We have $w(P_1^*(L_\alpha)) = 1 + \alpha$ and $w(P_2^*(L_\beta)) = 1 + \beta$. We write $a\xi$ for $\underbrace{\xi \oplus \dots \oplus \xi}_a$ and $\zeta = \xi - \eta$ for $\zeta \oplus \eta = \xi$.

If $w(\xi) = 1 + a_1\alpha +$ higher terms, then we have $w(\xi - a_1P_1^*(L_\alpha)) = 1 + a_2\alpha^2 + b_1\beta +$ higher terms. Since $w(2P_1^*(L_\alpha)) = 1 + \alpha^2$, $w(\xi - a_1P_1^*(L_\alpha) - 2a_2P_1^*(L_\alpha) - b_1P_2^*(L_\beta)) = 1 + w_8 +$ higher terms. We have

$$w(4P_1^*(L_\alpha)) = 1 + \alpha^4, w(2P_2^*(L_\beta)) = 1 + \beta^2, w(\eta) = 1 + \alpha^2 + \beta$$

and

$$w(2P_1^*(L_\alpha) + P_2^*(L_\beta) - \eta) = \frac{(1 + \alpha^2)(1 + \beta)}{1 + \alpha^2 + \beta} = 1 + \alpha^2\beta + \text{higher terms.}$$

By subtracting multiples of these bundles, we may obtain a sum θ of vector bundles such that $w(\xi - \theta) = 1 + w_{16} + \text{higher terms}$. Proceeding inductively, we may suppose that there is a sum θ' of vector bundles such that $w(\xi - \theta') = 1 + w_{2^s} + \text{higher terms}$.

Since

$$w(2^{s-1}P_1^*(L_\alpha)) = 1 + \alpha^{2^{s-1}}, \quad w(2^{s-2}P_2^*(L_\beta)) = 1 + \beta^{2^{s-2}}$$

and

$$w(2^{s-3}(2P_1^*(L_\alpha) + P_2^*(L_\beta) - \eta)) = 1 + \alpha^{2^{s-2}}\beta^{2^{s-3}} + \text{higher terms,}$$

we may also suppose that $w_{2^s}(\xi - \theta')$ is a sum of monomials $\alpha^i\beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}}$ with $i \neq 0, 2^{s-2}, 2^{s-1}$. Among all such monomials we may suppose that the values of i are all divisible by 2^t ($2 \leq 2^t < 2^{s-2}$) with at least one odd multiple of 2^t occurring. If a monomial $\alpha^h\beta^{\frac{2^s-2h}{4}}$ with $h = 2^t(2p+1)$ occurs, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Sq^{2^{t+1}}(\alpha^h\beta^{\frac{2^s-2h}{4}}) &= \binom{h}{2^t}\alpha^{h+2^t}\beta^{\frac{2^s-2h}{4}} + \binom{\frac{2^s-2h}{4}}{2^t-1}\alpha^h\beta^{\frac{2^s-2h+2^{t+1}}{4}} \\ &= \alpha^{h+2^t}\beta^{\frac{2^s-2h}{4}} + \alpha^h\beta^{\frac{2^s-2h+2^{t+1}}{4}} \end{aligned}$$

If h is an even multiple of 2^t , then $Sq^{2^{t+1}}(\alpha^h\beta^{\frac{2^s-2h}{4}}) = 0$. Thus, we have

$$0 = Sq^{2^{t+1}}w_{2^s} = \sum_h Sq^{2^{t+1}}(\alpha^h\beta^{\frac{2^s-2h}{4}}) = \sum_{h=2^t(\text{odd})} (\alpha^{h+2^t}\beta^{\frac{2^s-2h}{4}} + \alpha^h\beta^{\frac{2^s-2h+2^{t+1}}{4}}).$$

However if h, h' are odd multiples of 2^t and $h \neq h'$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{h+2^t}\beta^{\frac{2^s-2h}{4}} &\neq \alpha^{h'+2^t}\beta^{\frac{2^s-2h'}{4}}, & \alpha^{h+2^t}\beta^{\frac{2^s-2h}{4}} &\neq \alpha^{h'}\beta^{\frac{2^s-2h'+2^{t+1}}{4}}, \\ \alpha^h\beta^{\frac{2^s-2h+2^{t+1}}{4}} &\neq \alpha^{h'+2^t}\beta^{\frac{2^s-2h'}{4}}, & \alpha^h\beta^{\frac{2^s-2h+2^{t+1}}{4}} &\neq \alpha^{h'}\beta^{\frac{2^s-2h'+2^{t+1}}{4}}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. cancellation does not occur among $Sq^{2^{t+1}}(\alpha^h\beta^{\frac{2^s-2h}{4}})$ and $Sq^{2^{t+1}}(\alpha^{h'}\beta^{\frac{2^s-2h'}{4}})$. So, if w_{2^s} is nonzero, there must be a monomial $\alpha^i\beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}}$ with $i = 2^t(2p+1)$ for which $\alpha^{i+2^t}\beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}}$ and $\alpha^i\beta^{\frac{2^s-2i+2^{t+1}}{4}}$ are zero. For $\alpha^i\beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}}$ to be nonzero, we have $i \leq j$ and $\frac{2^s-2i}{4} \leq k$. We must have $j < i + 2^t$ and $k < \frac{2^s-2i+2^{t+1}}{4}$ so that $\alpha^{i+2^t}\beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}}$ and $\alpha^i\beta^{\frac{2^s-2i+2^{t+1}}{4}}$ are zero. Since every other monomial in w_{2^s} is of the form $\alpha^h\beta^{\frac{2^s-2h}{4}}$ with h divisible by 2^t and $h \neq i$, then either $h > i$ or $\frac{2^s-2h}{4} > \frac{2^s-2i}{4}$, and so the monomials are zero. Thus $w_{2^s} = \alpha^i\beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}}$ and

$$\begin{cases} i = 2^t(2p+1), & t \geq 1, \\ j = 2^t(2p+1) + x, & 0 \leq x < 2^t, \\ 4k = 2^s - 2^{t+1}(2p+1) + y, & 0 \leq y < 2^{t+1}. \end{cases}$$

From Lemma 3, we have $w_{2^s+l} = 0$ for $0 < l < 2^{s-1}$. For $l \geq 2^{s-1}$, suppose that w_{2^s+l} contains a monomial $\alpha^u \beta^v$ with $2u + 4v = 2^s + l \geq 2^s + 2^{s-1}$. If $u \geq i + 2^t$, then $u > j$. If $u < i + 2^t$, then

$$v \geq \frac{2^s + 2^{s-1} - 2u}{4} > \frac{2^s + 2^{s-1} - 2i - 2^{t+1}}{4} \geq \frac{2^s - 2i + 2^{t+1}}{4} > k.$$

For both cases we have $\alpha^u \beta^v = 0$. So $w_{2^s+l} = 0$ for $l > 0$.

The proof is completed. □

Corollary 1. *If ν is a non-bounding vector bundle over $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(k)$ with the total Stiefel-Whitney class $w(\nu) = (1 + \alpha)^a(1 + \beta)^b(1 + \alpha^2 + \beta)^d(1 + \alpha^i \beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}})^\varepsilon$, then a is odd.*

Proof. ν has a nonzero characteristic number because it is non-bounding. A nonzero characteristic number must contain the monomial $\alpha^{2m+1} \beta^k$. Since the total Stiefel-Whitney class of $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(k)$ is of the form $w = (1 + \alpha)^{2m+2}(1 + \beta)^{k+1}$ which contains only even powers of α , the class $w(\nu)$ must involve an odd power of α . By Theorem 1, we know that i is even. Thus the odd power of α can only be given by $(1 + \alpha)^a$ and a is odd. □

3. Existence of involutions and their classification

Since $F = CP(2m + 1) \times HP(k)$ bounds, there exists a manifold $V^{4m+2+4k+1}$ such that $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(k) = \partial V$. Let $\xi^r \rightarrow V$ be the r -dimensional trivial bundle over V . If ν^r is the boundary of $\xi^r \rightarrow V$, then the disc bundle $D\xi^r$ has the boundary $D\nu^r \cup S\xi^r$. Multiplying the fibers of ξ^r by -1 induces an involution on $D\xi^r$. The restriction on $S\xi^r$ of the involution is free and on $D\nu^r$ is to multiply the fibers by -1 , so it fixes the zero section, which is $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(k)$. The normal bundle over $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(k)$ is ν^r . Thus there is a bounding involution $(M^{4m+2+4k+r}, T)$ fixing $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(k)$ for every $r \geq 0$. However, we are interested in whether there is a non-bounding involution fixing $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(k)$.

Let us recall some results about the bordism of involutions. Suppose that (M, T) is a closed manifold M with involution T and the fixed point set of T is $F = CP(2m + 1) \times HP(k)$. Let ν denote the normal bundle of F in M . From [1] we know that the bordism class of (M, T) is determined by the bordism class of the bundle (F, ν) . Further, the real projective space bundle $RP(\nu)$ bounds in the bordism of RP^∞ , where the map into RP^∞ classifies the double cover of $RP(\nu)$ by the sphere bundle $S(\nu)$.

The mod 2 cohomology of $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(k)$ is

$$H^*(CP(2m + 1) \times HP(k); Z_2) = Z_2[\alpha, \beta]/(\alpha^{2m+2} = \beta^{k+1} = 0),$$

where α is the 2-dimensional class coming from $CP(2m+1)$ and β is the 4-dimensional class coming from $HP(k)$. The total Stiefel-Whitney class of $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(k)$ is

$$w = (1 + \alpha)^{2m+2}(1 + \beta)^{k+1}.$$

Let

$$u = 1 + u_1 + u_2 + \cdots + u_r \in H^*(CP(2m + 1) \times HP(k); Z_2)$$

denote the total Stiefel-Whitney class of ν^r . Then the cohomology of $RP(\nu^r)$ is

$$Z_2[\alpha, \beta, c]/(\alpha^{2m+2} = \beta^{k+1} = 0; c^r + u_1c^{r-1} + u_2c^{r-2} + \cdots + u_r = 0)$$

and the total Stiefel-Whitney class of $RP(\nu^r)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} w(RP(\nu^r)) &= w\{(1 + c)^r + u_1(1 + c)^{r-1} + \cdots + u_r\} \\ &= (1 + \alpha)^{2m+2}(1 + \beta)^{k+1}\{(1 + c)^r + u_1(1 + c)^{r-1} + \cdots + u_r\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c \in H^1(RP(\nu^r); Z_2)$ is the Stiefel-Whitney class of the double cover of $RP(\nu^r)$ by $S(\nu^r)$ (see [1, p. 75]).

The class of $RP(\nu^r)$ in the bordism of RP^∞ is determined by the characteristic numbers

$$w_{i_1}(RP(\nu)) \cdots w_{i_s}(RP(\nu))c^t[RP(\nu)],$$

where $i_1 + \cdots + i_s + t = \dim RP(\nu^r) = 4m + 2 + 4k + r - 1$. In order to find the value of such numbers, we have a formula of Conner [2, (3.1)]

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^i \beta^j c^t [RP(\nu)] &= \alpha^i \beta^j \bar{u}_{4m+2+4k-2i-4j} [CP(2m + 1) \times HP(k)] \\ &= \text{coefficient of } \alpha^{2m+1} \beta^k \text{ in } \alpha^i \beta^j \bar{u}_{4m+2+4k-2i-4j}, \end{aligned}$$

where $2i + 4j + t = 4m + 2 + 4k + r - 1$ and $\bar{u} = 1/u$ is the dual Stiefel-Whitney class of ν^r .

For convenience, we introduce the following characteristic classes which were initially introduced in [9].

$$\begin{aligned} w[j] &= \frac{w(RP(\nu^r))}{(1 + c)^{r-j}} \\ &= w\{(1 + c)^j + u_1(1 + c)^{j-1} + \cdots + u_j + u_{j+1}(1 + c)^{-1} + \cdots\} \\ &= 1 + w[j]_1 + w[j]_2 + \cdots + w[j]_{4m+2+4k+r-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for which $w[j]_i$ is a polynomial in the classes $w_s(RP(\nu))$ and c . These classes satisfy (see [9])

$$\begin{aligned} w[i]_{2i} &= w_i c^i + \text{terms with smaller powers of } c, \\ w[i]_{2i+1} &= (w_{i+1} + u_{i+1})c^i + \text{terms with smaller powers of } c, \\ w[i]_{2i+2} &= u_{i+1}c^{i+1} + \text{terms with smaller powers of } c. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} w[0]_1 &= u_1 + w_1, \\ w[0]_2 &= u_1c + (w_2 + u_1w_1 + u_2), \\ w[0]_4 &= u_1c^3 + (u_2 + w_1u_1)c^2 + (u_3 + w_2u_1)c + w_4 + w_3u_1 + w_2u_2 + w_1u_3 + u_4. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $(M^{4m+2+4k+r}, T)$ is an involution fixing $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(k)$. When $r \geq 4m + 2 + 4k$, from [5] we know that the involution bounds. When $r=0$ or

$r=1$, it is not difficult to prove that every involution bounds. Then we assume that $1 < r < 4m + 2 + 4k$.

The proof of Theorem 2 is divided into two cases: (I) $k = 2n$, (II) $k = 2n + 1$.

(I) $k = 2n$

Proposition 1. *Every involution fixing $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(2n)$ bounds.*

Proof. If there is a non-bounding involution fixing $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(2n)$, then the normal bundle ν^r is non-bounding. By Corollary 1, we know that a is odd. Then $u_1 = 0$, $u_2 = \alpha$, $w_2 = 0$ and $w[0]_2 = u_1c + (w_2 + u_1w_1 + u_2) = \alpha$. Let $2m + 1 = 2^p(2q + 1) - 1$ ($p \geq 1$, $q \geq 0$). Then

$$\begin{aligned} w(RP(\nu^r)) &= (1 + \alpha)^{2m+2}(1 + \beta)^{2n+1}\{(1 + c)^r + u_1(1 + c)^{r-1} + \dots + u_r\} \\ &= (1 + \alpha^{2^p})^{2q+1}(1 + \beta)^{2n+1}\{(1 + c)^r + u_1(1 + c)^{r-1} + \dots + u_r\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha^{2^p(2q+1)} = 0$ and $\beta^{2n+1} = 0$. Thus $(1 + \alpha^{2^p})^{2q+1} = 1 + \alpha^{2^p} + \dots + \alpha^{2^p \cdot 2q}$ and $(1 + \beta)^{2n+1} = 1 + \beta + \dots + \beta^{2n}$.

If r is odd, then

$$w_{2^{p+1} \cdot 2q + 8n + r - 1}(RP(\nu^r)) = \alpha^{2^p \cdot 2q} \beta^{2n} (rc^{r-1} + (r - 1)u_1c^{r-2} + \dots + u_{r-1})$$

is the top-dimensional class in $w(RP(\nu^r))$, and

$$\begin{aligned} w[0]_2^{2^p-1} w_{2^{p+1} \cdot 2q + 8n + r - 1}(RP(\nu^r)) [RP(\nu^r)] &= \alpha^{2m+1} \beta^{2n} (rc^{r-1} + (r - 1)u_1c^{r-2} + \dots + u_{r-1}) [RP(\nu^r)] \\ &= r\alpha^{2m+1} \beta^{2n} c^{r-1} [RP(\nu^r)] \\ &= r\alpha^{2m+1} \beta^{2n} [CP(2m + 1) \times HP(2n)] = r, \end{aligned}$$

which is a nonzero characteristic number. Since we know that $RP(\nu^r)$ bounds, this is a contradiction.

If $r = 4h + 2$, then $\binom{r}{2} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $\binom{r-2}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} w[0]_2^{2^p-1} w_{2^{p+1} \cdot 2q + 8n + r - 2}(RP(\nu^r)) c [RP(\nu^r)] &= \alpha^{2m+1} \beta^{2n} (c^{r-1} + \dots + u_{r-2}c) [RP(\nu^r)] \\ &= \alpha^{2m+1} \beta^{2n} c^{r-1} [RP(\nu^r)] \\ &= \alpha^{2m+1} \beta^{2n} [CP(2m + 1) \times HP(2n)] = 1 \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

we get a contradiction.

If $r = 4h$, then $\binom{r}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $\binom{r-2}{2} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} w[0]_2^{2^p-2} w_{2^{p+1} \cdot 2q + 8n + r - 2}(RP(\nu^r)) c^3 [RP(\nu^r)] &= \alpha^{2m} \beta^{2n} (\alpha c^{r-1} + \dots + u_{r-2}c^3) [RP(\nu^r)] \\ &= \alpha^{2m+1} \beta^{2n} c^{r-1} [RP(\nu^r)] \\ &= \alpha^{2m+1} \beta^{2n} [CP(2m + 1) \times HP(2n)] \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

we also get a contradiction.

So every involution fixing $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(2n)$ bounds. □

(II) $k = 2n + 1$

Suppose that $2m + 1 = 2^p(2q + 1) - 1$ and $2n + 1 = 2^{p'}(2q' + 1) - 1$, where $p \geq 1$, $q \geq 0$, $p' \geq 1$ and $q' \geq 0$. To determine the bordism classification of all involutions fixing $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(2n + 1)$, we explore the conditions under which the bundle with class $u = (1 + \alpha)^a(1 + \beta)^b(1 + \alpha^2 + \beta)^d(1 + \alpha^i\beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}})^\varepsilon$ bounds.

Lemma 4. *Suppose that ν^r is the normal bundle of the fixed point set of a non-bounding involution fixing $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(2n + 1)$ with $u = (1 + \alpha)^a(1 + \beta)^b(1 + \alpha^2 + \beta)^d(1 + \alpha^i\beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}})^\varepsilon = u'(1 + \alpha^i\beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}})^\varepsilon$, where $u' = (1 + \alpha)^a(1 + \beta)^b(1 + \alpha^2 + \beta)^d$. If $\varepsilon = 1$ and $\frac{2^s-2i}{4}$ is odd, then $u'_{4m+8n+4} = \alpha^{2m}\beta^{2n+1}$ and $u_{4m+8n+4} = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\frac{2^s-2i}{4} = 2l - 1$ ($l > 0$). Then $i = 2^{s-1} - 4l + 2 = 2(2^{s-2} - 2l + 1)$. By Theorem 1, $2m + 1 = i + 1$ and $8n + 4 = 2^s - 2i$. Thus $i = 2m$ and $\frac{2^s-2i}{4} = 2n + 1$. We assert $u'_{4m+8n+4} \neq 0$. If $u'_{4m+8n+4} = 0$, then $u_{4m+8n+4} = u'_{4m+8n+4} + \alpha^{2m}\beta^{2n+1} = \alpha^{2m}\beta^{2n+1} \neq 0$. So $r \geq 4m + 8n + 4$. Since $r < 4m + 2 + 8n + 4$, we have $r = 4m + 8n + 4$ or $r = 4m + 1 + 8n + 4$.

(1) For $r = 4m + 8n + 4$, we have $w = (1 + \alpha)^{2m+2}(1 + \beta)^{2n+2}$, $w_1 = w_{r+1} = w_2 = w_{r+2} = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} w[r - 1]_{2r} &= u_r c^r + u_r w_1 c^{r-1} + w_{r+1} c^{r-1} + u_r w_2 c^{r-2} + w_{r+2} c^{r-2} \\ &\quad + \text{terms with smaller powers of } c \\ &= u_r c^r + \text{terms with smaller powers of } c \\ &= u_r (u_1 c^{r-1} + u_2 c^{r-2} + \dots + u_r) \\ &\quad + \text{terms with dimension smaller than } 2r \\ &= u_r u_2 c^{r-2} + \text{terms with smaller power of } c \\ &= \alpha^{2m+1} \beta^{2n+1} c^{r-2} + \text{terms with smaller power of } c. \end{aligned}$$

Then $w[r - 1]_{2r} c[RP(\nu^r)] = \alpha^{2m+1} \beta^{2n+1} c^{r-1} [RP(\nu^r)] \neq 0$, which is a contradiction.

(2) For $r = 4m + 1 + 8n + 4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w[r - 2]_{2(r-1)} &= u_{r-1} c^{r-1} + \text{terms with smaller power of } c \\ &= \alpha^{2m} \beta^{2n+1} c^{r-1} + \text{terms with smaller power of } c. \end{aligned}$$

So $w[0]_2 w[r - 2]_{2(r-1)} [RP(\nu^r)] = \alpha^{2m+1} \beta^{2n+1} c^{r-1} [RP(\nu^r)] \neq 0$, which is a contradiction. Thus $u'_{4m+8n+4} \neq 0$, and it contains a monomial $\alpha^{i'} \beta^{j'}$ with $i' \leq 2m + 1$, $j' \leq 2n + 1$ and $2i' + 4j' = 4m + 8n + 4$. Such a monomial must be $\alpha^{2m} \beta^{2n+1}$. So $u'_{4m+8n+4} = \alpha^{2m} \beta^{2n+1}$ and $u_{4m+8n+4} = 0$. \square

Lemma 4 shows that terms of the form α^{odd} , $\alpha^{odd}\beta^{odd}$, $\alpha^{odd}\beta^{even}$, $\alpha^{even}\beta^{odd}$, β^{odd} in u can only be given by u' .

Lemma 5. *If ν^r is the normal bundle of the fixed point set of a non-bounding involution fixing $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(2n + 1)$ with $u = (1 + \alpha)^a(1 + \beta)^b(1 + \alpha^2 + \beta)^d(1 + \alpha^i\beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}})^\varepsilon$, then b and d are odd.*

Proof. If b and d are even, by Lemma 4, u and w contain only even power of β , where w denotes the total Stiefel-Whitney class of $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(2n + 1)$. Thus ν^r bounds, which is a contradiction.

By Corrolary 1, we know that a is odd. If b is even and d is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} u' &= (1 + \alpha)^a(1 + \beta)^b(1 + \alpha^2 + \beta)^d \\ &= (1 + \alpha)(1 + \alpha^2 + \beta)(1 + \alpha)^{a-1}(1 + \beta)^b(1 + \alpha^2 + \beta)^{d-1} \\ &= (1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 + \alpha^3 + \beta + \alpha\beta)\left(\sum \alpha^{even}\beta^{even}\right). \end{aligned}$$

If b is odd and d is even, then

$$\begin{aligned} u' &= (1 + \alpha)^a(1 + \beta)^b(1 + \alpha^2 + \beta)^d \\ &= (1 + \alpha)(1 + \beta)(1 + \alpha)^{a-1}(1 + \beta)^{b-1}(1 + \alpha^2 + \beta)^d \\ &= (1 + \alpha + \beta + \alpha\beta)\left(\sum \alpha^{even}\beta^{even}\right). \end{aligned}$$

For both cases, we have $w[0]_2 = u_1c + (w_2 + u_1w_1 + u_2) = \alpha$ and

$$\begin{aligned} w[0]_4 &= u_1c^3 + (u_2 + w_1u_1)c^2 + (u_3 + w_2u_1)c + w_4 + w_3u_1 + w_2u_2 + w_1u_3 + u_4 \\ &= \alpha c^2 + \varepsilon_1\alpha^2 + \beta, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon_1 = 0$ or 1 . Then

$$w[0]_2^{2m+1}w[0]_4^{2n+1}c^{r-1}[RP(\nu^r)] = \alpha^{2m+1}\beta^{2n+1}c^{r-1}[RP(\nu^r)] \neq 0,$$

which is a contradiction. So b and d are odd. □

Lemma 6. *Suppose that ν^r is a vector bundle over $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(2n + 1)$ and the total Stiefel-Whitney class of ν^r has the form $u = (1 + \alpha)^a(1 + \beta)^b(1 + \alpha^2 + \beta)^d(1 + \alpha^i\beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}})^\varepsilon$, for which a, b and d are odd. Then for $2m + 1 \geq 5$, ν^r bounds if and only if*

- (1) $2m + 1 < 2^{p'+1} - 2, 2n + 1 = 2^{p'}(2q' + 1) - 1$, where $p' \geq 1$ and $q' \geq 0$,
- (2) $2m + 1 < 2^{t+1} - 2$, where $b - d = 2^t(2f + 1)$,
- (3) $\varepsilon = 0$ or $\varepsilon = 1$ and $2m + 1 \neq 2^{j+1} - 1$, where 2^j is the largest power of 2 in the common terms of the 2-adic expansions of $2m + 1$ and $8n + 4$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} u &= (1 + \alpha)^a(1 + \beta)^b(1 + \alpha^2 + \beta)^d(1 + \alpha^i\beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}})^\varepsilon \\ &= (1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^2\beta + \beta^2 + \alpha^3\beta + \alpha\beta^2)\hat{u}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{u} = (1 + \alpha)^{a-1}(1 + \beta)^{b-1}(1 + \alpha^2 + \beta)^{d-1}(1 + \alpha^i\beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}})^\varepsilon$. Since $2m + 1 \geq 5$, we have $i \neq 2, u_1 = 0, u_2 = \alpha, u_3 = 0, u_4 = \varepsilon_1\alpha^2, u_5 = 0, u_6 = \varepsilon_1\alpha^3, u_7 = 0$ and $u_8 = \alpha^2\beta + \varepsilon_2\alpha^4 + \varepsilon_3\beta^2$, where $\varepsilon_k = 0$ or 1 ($1 \leq k \leq 2$) and $\varepsilon_3 \equiv \binom{b}{2} + \binom{d}{2} + bd \equiv \binom{b+d}{2} \pmod{2}$.

Since $w = (1 + \alpha)^{2m+2}(1 + \beta)^{2n+2} = (1 + \alpha)^{2m+2}(1 + \beta^{2^{p'}})^{2q'+1}$, we have

$$w_{2i'+1} = 0, \quad w_{2i'} = \binom{2m+2}{i'} \alpha^{i'} \text{ for } i' < 2^{p'+1}$$

$$w_{2^{p'+2}} = \beta^{2^{p'}} + \binom{2m+2}{2^{p'+1}} \alpha^{2^{p'+1}}.$$

Let $\tilde{w}_{2^{p'+2}} = w_{2^{p'+2}} + \binom{2m+2}{2^{p'+1}} u_2^{2^{p'+1}} = \beta^{2^{p'}}$.

If $2m + 1 > 2^{p'+1} - 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{w}_{2^{p'+2}}^{2q'} (u_8 + \epsilon_2 u_2^4)^{2^{p'}-1} u_2^{2m+1-2(2^{p'}-1)} [CP(2m+1) \times HP(2n+1)] \\ = \beta^{2^{p'} \cdot 2q'} (\alpha^2 \beta + \epsilon_2 \beta^2)^{2^{p'}-1} \alpha^{2m+1-2(2^{p'}-1)} [CP(2m+1) \times HP(2n+1)] \\ = \alpha^{2m+1} \beta^{2n+1} [CP(2m+1) \times HP(2n+1)], \end{aligned}$$

which is nonzero. Thus the bundle ν^r does not bound.

So we suppose $2m + 1 < 2^{p'+1} - 2$. The following argument is divided into two cases: (1) $u_8 = \alpha^2 \beta + \epsilon_2 \alpha^4$, (2) $u_8 = \alpha^2 \beta + \epsilon_2 \alpha^4 + \beta^2$.

(1) $u_8 = \alpha^2 \beta + \epsilon_2 \alpha^4$

In this case, $\epsilon_3 \equiv \binom{b+d}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then $b + d$ is divisible by 4. We write $b + d = 2^k \cdot (\text{odd})$ with $2^k \geq 4$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} u'' &= \frac{u}{(1 + u_2)^a} \\ &= (1 + \beta)^b (1 + \alpha^2 + \beta)^d (1 + \alpha^i \beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}})^\epsilon \\ &= [(1 + \beta)^{2^k-1} (1 + \alpha^2 + \beta)]^d (1 + \beta)^{b-(2^k-1)d} (1 + \alpha^i \beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}})^\epsilon \\ &= [1 + \beta^{2^k} + \alpha^2 (1 + \beta)^{2^k-1}]^d (1 + \beta)^{b+d-2^k d} (1 + \alpha^i \beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}})^\epsilon \\ &= [1 + \alpha^2 + \alpha^2 \beta + \dots + \alpha^2 \beta^{2^k-2} + (\alpha^2 \beta^{2^k-1} + \beta^{2^k})]^d (1 + \beta)^{b+d-2^k d} \\ &\quad \times (1 + \alpha^i \beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}})^\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

with $b + d - 2^k d \equiv 2^k \cdot (\text{odd}) - 2^k \cdot (\text{odd}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{k+1}}$.

- (i) If $2^k > 2^{p'}$, then the characteristic ring of ν^r (i.e. the subring of $H^*(CP(2m+1) \times HP(2n+1); Z_2)$ generated by the classes u_i and w_i) contains $\alpha, \alpha^2 \beta, \dots, \alpha^2 \beta^{2^{p'}-1}$ and $\beta^{2^{p'}}$. So we have a nonzero characteristic number

$$(\beta^{2^{p'}})^{2q'} (\alpha^2 \beta^{2^{p'}-1}) \alpha^{2m+1-2} [CP(2m+1) \times HP(2n+1)].$$

- (ii) If $2^k \leq 2^{p'}$, then $\alpha, \alpha^2 \beta, \dots, \alpha^2 \beta^{2^k-2}$ and $\alpha^2 \beta^{2^k-1} + \beta^{2^k}$ are characteristic classes. Let $2n + 1 = 2^k - 1 + 2^k \cdot l$. We have a nonzero characteristic number for $2m + 1 \geq 5$

$$(\alpha^2 \beta^{2^k-1} + \beta^{2^k})^l \alpha^2 \beta^{2^k-2} \alpha^2 \beta \alpha^{2m+1-4} [CP(2m+1) \times HP(2n+1)].$$

These nonzero characteristic numbers show that the bundle is always non-bounding for $u_8 = \alpha^2\beta + \epsilon_2\alpha^4$.

$$(2) \quad u_8 = \alpha^2\beta + \epsilon_2\alpha^4 + \beta^2$$

In this case, $\epsilon_3 \equiv \binom{b+d}{2} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, so $b+d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $b-d \equiv b+d-2d \equiv 2-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. We write $b-d = 2^t(2f+1)$ with $2^t \geq 4$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} u'' &= \frac{u}{(1+u_2)^a} = (1 + \alpha^2 + \alpha^2\beta + \beta^2)^d(1 + \beta)^{b-d}(1 + \alpha^i\beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}})^\epsilon \\ &= (1 + \alpha^2 + \alpha^2\beta + \beta^2)^d(1 + \beta)^{2^t(2f+1)}(1 + \alpha^i\beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}})^\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

and

$$u''' = \frac{u''}{(1 + u_2^2 + u_8 + \epsilon_2u_2^4)^d} = (1 + \beta)^{2^t(2f+1)}(1 + \alpha^i\beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}})^\epsilon.$$

If $\epsilon = 0$ and $2^t < 2^{p'}$, the characteristic ring of the bundle is generated by the classes α , $\alpha^2\beta + \beta^2$ and β^{2^t} . If $\epsilon = 0$ and $2^t \geq 2^{p'}$, the characteristic ring of the bundle is generated by the classes α , $\alpha^2\beta + \beta^2$ and $\beta^{2^{p'}}$.

If $\epsilon = 1$, then write $2^j < 2m+1 < 2^{j+1}$, where 2^j is the largest common term of $2m+1$ and $8n+4$ ($8n+4 = 2^{p'+2}2q'+2^{p'+2}-4 = 2^{p'+2}2q'+2^{p'+1}+\dots+2^{j+1}+2^j+\dots+4$, $j \leq p'$). By Theorem 1, $2m+1 = 2^j(2g+1)+x < 2^{j+1}$. It forces $g = 0$, $i = 2^j$ and $8n+4 = 2^s-2^{j+1}+y = 2^{s-1}+\dots+2^{j+1}+y = 2^{p'+2}2q'+2^{p'+1}+\dots+2^{j+1}+2^j+\dots+4$.

Thus $y = 2^j + \dots + 4$, $8n+4 = 2^{s-1} + \dots + 2^{j+1} + 2^j + \dots + 4 = 2^s - 4$ and $2n+1 = 2^{s-2} - 1 = 2^{p'} - 1$. If $2^t < 2^{p'}$, then the characteristic ring of ν is generated by the classes α , $\alpha^2\beta + \beta^2$, β^{2^t} and $\alpha^i\beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}}$. If $2^t \geq 2^{p'}$, then $\beta^{2^{p'}} = \beta^{2^t} = 0$. The characteristic ring is generated by the classes α , $\alpha^2\beta + \beta^2$ and $\alpha^i\beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}}$.

For $\epsilon = 0$ or 1 , write $2n+1 = 2^t - 1 + 2^tl$. If $2^{t+1} - 2 < 2m+1 < 2^{p'+1} - 2$, we have

$$(\alpha^2\beta + \beta^2)^{2^t-1}(\beta^{2^t})^l\alpha^{2m+1-(2^{t+1}-2)}[CP(2m+1) \times HP(2n+1)] \neq 0,$$

which shows that the bundle is non-bounding.

Now we suppose $2m+1 < 2^{t+1} - 2$.

If the class $\alpha^i\beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}}$ is present (i.e. $\epsilon = 1$), then $\beta^{2^{p'}} = 0$, $\alpha^i\beta^{\frac{2^s-2i}{4}} = \alpha^{2^j}\beta^{\frac{2^s-2^{j+1}}{4}}$ $= \alpha^{2^j}\beta^{2^{p'}-2^{j-1}}$ and $(\alpha^{2^j}\beta^{2^{p'}-2^{j-1}})^2 = 0$. Since $2^t + 2^{p'} - 2^{j-1} \geq 2^{p'}$, we have $\beta^{2^t} \cdot \alpha^{2^j}\beta^{2^{p'}-2^{j-1}} = 0$. The only possible characteristic number involving $\alpha^{2^j}\beta^{2^{p'}-2^{j-1}}$ which could be nonzero would be of the form

$$\alpha^{x'}(\beta(\alpha^2 + \beta))^{y'}(\alpha^{2^j}\beta^{2^{p'}-2^{j-1}})[CP(2m+1) \times HP(2n+1)],$$

and the value of this class is the coefficient of $\alpha^{2m+1-2^j-x'}\beta^{2^{j-1}-1}$ in $(\beta(\alpha^2 + \beta))^{y'}$, where $2x'+8y' = 4m+2+8n+4-2^{j+1}-(2^{p'+2}-2^{j+1}) = 4m-2$ and $y' \leq 2^{j-1}-1$. The coefficient is

$$\binom{y'}{\frac{2m+1-2^j-x'}{2}} \equiv \binom{y'}{2y'-(2^{j-1}-1)} \equiv \binom{y'}{2^{j-1}-1-y'} \pmod{2}.$$

It is nonzero if and only if $y' = 2^{j-1} - 1$, and in this case $2m + 1 = 2^{j+1} - 1$.

If $\varepsilon = 0$, or $\varepsilon = 1$ and $2m + 1 \neq 2^{j+1} - 1$, then the characteristic numbers which could be nonzero would involve only polynomials in α , $\alpha^2\beta + \beta^2$ and $\beta^{2^{k'}}$, where $2^{k'} = \min(2^t, 2^{p'})$. We will show that every characteristic number involving α , $\alpha^2\beta + \beta^2$ and $\beta^{2^{k'}}$ is zero.

Suppose that there exist some \tilde{x} , \tilde{y} and \tilde{z} such that

$$\alpha^{\tilde{x}}(\beta(\alpha^2 + \beta))^{\tilde{y}}\beta^{2^{k'} \cdot \tilde{z}}[CP(2m + 1) \times HP(2n + 1)] = \binom{\tilde{y}}{\frac{2m+1-\tilde{x}}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{2},$$

where

$$\begin{cases} 2\tilde{x} + 8\tilde{y} + 2^{k'+2} \cdot \tilde{z} = 4m + 2 + 8n + 4, \\ 2\tilde{y} - \frac{2m+1-\tilde{x}}{2} + 2^{k'} \cdot \tilde{z} = 2n + 1. \end{cases}$$

If $\tilde{x} = 2m + 1$, we have $2\tilde{y} + 2^{k'} \cdot \tilde{z} = 2n + 1$, which is impossible since $k' \geq 1$. So $\tilde{x} < 2m + 1$ and \tilde{x} is odd.

Writing $2n + 1 = 2^{k'} - 1 + 2^{k'}l$, we have $\beta^{2^{k'}(l+1)} = 0$. Thus $\tilde{z} \leq l$. Recall that $2m + 1 < 2^{k'+1} - 2$, then $(\alpha^2\beta + \beta^2)^{2^{k'}} = \beta^{2^{k'+1}}$. Suppose $\tilde{y} < 2^{k'}$. We have $4\tilde{y} < 2^{k'+2}$. From

$$\begin{aligned} 4\tilde{y} &= 4n + 2 + 2m + 1 - 2^{k'+1}\tilde{z} - \tilde{x} \\ &= 2^{k'+1} - 2 + 2^{k'+1}l + 2m + 1 - 2^{k'+1}\tilde{z} - \tilde{x} \\ &= 2^{k'+1}(l - \tilde{z}) + 2^{k'+1} - 2 + 2m + 1 - \tilde{x} \\ &\geq 2^{k'+1}(l - \tilde{z}) + 2^{k'+1}, \end{aligned}$$

we know that $\tilde{z} = l$ and $4\tilde{y} = 2^{k'+1} - 2 + 2m + 1 - \tilde{x}$. Thus $\tilde{y} = 2^{k'-1} + \frac{2m+1-\tilde{x}-2}{4}$.

$$\binom{\tilde{y}}{\frac{2m+1-\tilde{x}}{2}} \equiv \binom{\tilde{y}}{2\tilde{y} - (2^{k'} - 1)} \equiv \binom{\tilde{y}}{2^{k'} - 1 - \tilde{y}} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

implies $\tilde{y} = 2^{k'} - 1$. So $2m + 1 = 2^{k'+1} - 2 + \tilde{x} \geq 2^{k'+1} - 2$, and this is a contradiction. Thus every characteristic number involving α , $\alpha^2\beta + \beta^2$ and $\beta^{2^{k'}}$ is zero and ν^r bounds.

The proof is completed. □

Proposition 2. For $2m + 1 = 2^p - 1$ and $2n + 1 = 2^{p'} - 1$, every involution fixing $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(2n + 1)$ bounds.

Proof. If $2m + 1 = 2^p - 1$ and $2n + 1 = 2^{p'} - 1$, then $w = (1 + \alpha^{2^p})(1 + \beta^{2^{p'}}) = 1$. So the bordism class of the normal bundle ν^r is totally determined by the class u .

By R_* we denote the characteristic ring of the map of $RP(\nu^r)$ into RP^∞ , i.e. the subring of $H^*(RP(\nu^r); Z_2)$ generated by c and the classes $w_i(RP(\nu^r))$, where

$$w(RP(\nu^r)) = (1 + c)^r + u_1(1 + c)^{r-1} + \cdots + u_r.$$

Since $c \in R_*$, we can solve inductively to obtain $u_i \in R_*$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. So R_* contains the characteristic ring of ν^r (i.e. the classes u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r). For every partition ω of $4m + 2 + 8n + 4$, we have $u_\omega[CP(2m + 1) \times HP(2n + 1)] = u_\omega c^{r-1}[RP(\nu^r)] = 0$. So ν^r bounds. □

Lemma 7 (See [5]). *Let (M^n, T) be a smooth involution on a closed n -dimensional manifold with the fixed point data $(F, \nu) = \bigsqcup_r (F^{n-r}, \nu^r)$. If $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a symmetric polynomial over Z_2 in n variables of degree at most n , then*

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n)[M^n] = \sum_r \frac{f(1 + y_1, \dots, 1 + y_r, z_1, \dots, z_{n-r})}{\prod_1^r (1 + y_i)} [F^{n-r}],$$

where the expressions are evaluated by replacing the elementary symmetric functions $\sigma_i(x)$, $\sigma_i(y)$, and $\sigma_i(z)$ by the Stiefel-Whitney classes $w_i(M)$, $w_i(\nu^r)$, and $w_i(F)$, respectively, and taking the value of the resulting cohomology class on the fundamental homology class of M or F .

Lemma 8 (See [5, p. 317]). *Let $\sigma_j(x_1, \dots, x_r, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n)$ be the j -th elementary symmetric function in n variables. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_j(1 + y_1, \dots, 1 + y_r, z_1, \dots, z_{n-r}) \\ &= \sum_{p+q \leq j} \binom{r-p}{j-p-q} \sigma_p(y_1, \dots, y_r) \sigma_q(z_1, \dots, z_{n-r}). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3. *For $2m + 1 \geq 5$, every involution fixing $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(2n + 1)$ bounds.*

Proof. If there is a non-bounding involution fixing $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(2n + 1)$, then the total Stiefel-Whitney class of the normal bundle ν^r has the form

$$\begin{aligned} u &= (1 + \alpha)^a (1 + \beta)^b (1 + \alpha^2 + \beta)^d (1 + \alpha^i \beta^{\frac{2^s - 2i}{4}})^\epsilon \\ &= (1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^2 \beta + \beta^2 + \alpha^3 \beta + \alpha \beta^2) \hat{u}, \end{aligned}$$

where a , b and d are all odd and $\hat{u} = (1 + \alpha)^{a-1} (1 + \beta)^{b-1} (1 + \alpha^2 + \beta)^{d-1} (1 + \alpha^i \beta^{\frac{2^s - 2i}{4}})^\epsilon$. Since $2m + 1 \geq 5$, we have $2^s \geq 16$. So $u_1 = 0$, $u_2 = \alpha$, $u_3 = 0$, $u_4 = \epsilon_1 \alpha^2$, $u_5 = 0$, $u_6 = \epsilon_1 \alpha^3$, $u_7 = 0$ and $u_8 = \alpha^2 \beta + \epsilon_2 \alpha^4 + \epsilon_3 \beta^2$, where $\epsilon_k = 0$ or 1 ($1 \leq k \leq 3$).

The following argument is divided into two cases: (1) $u_8 = \alpha^2 \beta + \epsilon_2 \alpha^4$, (2) $u_8 = \alpha^2 \beta + \epsilon_2 \alpha^4 + \beta^2$.

$$(1) \quad u_8 = \alpha^2 \beta + \epsilon_2 \alpha^4$$

Just as in Lemma 6, write $b + d = 2^k \cdot$ (odd) with $2^k \geq 4$.

(i) If $2^k > 2^{p'}$, the characteristic ring of ν^r contains the classes α , α^2 , $\alpha^2 \beta$, \dots , $\alpha^2 \beta^{2^{p'} - 2}$, $\alpha^2 \beta^{2^{p'} - 1}$ and $\beta^{2^{p'}}$.

For $2^{p'} = 2$, we have $w_1 = w_2 = w_3 = w_5 = w_7 = 0$, $w_4 = \binom{2m+2}{2} \alpha^2$, $w_6 = \binom{2m+2}{3} \alpha^3$ and $w_8 = \binom{2m+2}{4} \alpha^4 + \beta^2$. From Lemma 8, we know

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2(1 + y, z) &= \binom{r}{2} + \binom{r-1}{1} \sigma_1(y) + \binom{r}{1} \sigma_1(z) + \sigma_2(y) + \sigma_1(y) \sigma_1(z) + \sigma_2(z) \\ &= \binom{r}{2} + \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\sigma'_2(x) = \sigma_2(x) + \binom{r}{2}$. Then $\sigma'_2(1+y, z) = \sigma_2(1+y, z) + \binom{r}{2} = \alpha$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_8(1+y, z) &= \sum_{p+q \leq 8} \binom{r-p}{8-p-q} \sigma_p(y) \\ \sigma_q(z) &= \binom{r}{8} + \binom{r-1}{7} \sigma_1(y) + \binom{r}{7} \sigma_1(z) + \binom{r-2}{6} \sigma_2(y) + \binom{r-1}{6} \sigma_1(y) \sigma_1(z) \\ &\quad + \binom{r}{6} \sigma_2(z) + \binom{r-3}{5} \sigma_3(y) + \binom{r-2}{5} \sigma_2(y) \sigma_1(z) + \binom{r-1}{5} \sigma_1(y) \sigma_2(z) \\ &\quad + \binom{r}{5} \sigma_3(z) + \binom{r-4}{4} \sigma_4(y) + \binom{r-3}{4} \sigma_3(y) \sigma_1(z) + \binom{r-2}{4} \sigma_2(y) \sigma_2(z) \\ &\quad + \binom{r-1}{4} \sigma_1(y) \sigma_3(z) + \binom{r}{4} \sigma_4(z) + \binom{r-5}{3} \sigma_5(y) + \binom{r-4}{3} \sigma_4(y) \sigma_1(z) \\ &\quad + \binom{r-3}{3} \sigma_3(y) \sigma_2(z) + \binom{r-2}{3} \sigma_2(y) \sigma_3(z) + \binom{r-1}{3} \sigma_1(y) \sigma_4(z) \\ &\quad + \binom{r}{3} \sigma_5(z) + \binom{r-6}{2} \sigma_6(y) + \binom{r-5}{2} \sigma_5(y) \sigma_1(z) + \binom{r-4}{2} \sigma_4(y) \sigma_2(z) \\ &\quad + \binom{r-3}{2} \sigma_3(y) \sigma_3(z) + \binom{r-2}{2} \sigma_2(y) \sigma_4(z) + \binom{r-1}{2} \sigma_1(y) \sigma_5(z) \\ &\quad + \binom{r}{2} \sigma_6(z) + \binom{r-7}{1} \sigma_7(y) + \binom{r-6}{1} \sigma_6(y) \sigma_1(z) + \binom{r-5}{1} \sigma_5(y) \sigma_2(z) \\ &\quad + \binom{r-4}{1} \sigma_4(y) \sigma_3(z) + \binom{r-3}{1} \sigma_3(y) \sigma_4(z) + \binom{r-2}{1} \sigma_2(y) \sigma_5(z) \\ &\quad + \binom{r-1}{1} \sigma_1(y) \sigma_6(z) + \binom{r}{1} \sigma_7(z) + \sigma_8(y) + \sigma_7(y) \sigma_1(z) + \sigma_6(y) \sigma_2(z) \\ &\quad + \sigma_5(y) \sigma_3(z) + \sigma_4(y) \sigma_4(z) + \sigma_3(y) \sigma_5(z) + \sigma_2(y) \sigma_6(z) + \sigma_1(y) \sigma_7(z) + \sigma_8(z) \\ &= \binom{r}{8} + \binom{r-2}{6} \alpha + \varepsilon_1 \binom{r-4}{4} \alpha^2 + \binom{r}{4} \binom{2m+2}{2} \alpha^2 + \varepsilon_1 \binom{r-6}{2} \alpha^3 \\ &\quad + \binom{r-2}{2} \binom{2m+2}{2} \alpha^3 + \binom{r}{2} \binom{2m+2}{3} \alpha^3 + \alpha^2 \beta + \varepsilon_2 \alpha^4 \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_1 \binom{2m+2}{2} \alpha^4 + \binom{2m+2}{3} \alpha^4 + \binom{2m+2}{4} \alpha^4 + \beta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'_8(x) &= \sigma_8(x) + \binom{r}{8} + \binom{r-2}{6} \sigma'_2(x) + \varepsilon_1 \binom{r-4}{4} \sigma'_2(x)^2 + \binom{r}{4} \binom{2m+2}{2} \sigma'_2(x)^2 \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_1 \binom{r-6}{2} \sigma'_2(x)^3 + \binom{r-2}{2} \binom{2m+2}{2} \sigma'_2(x)^3 + \binom{r}{2} \binom{2m+2}{3} \sigma'_2(x)^3 \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_2 \sigma'_2(x)^4 + \varepsilon_1 \binom{2m+2}{2} \sigma'_2(x)^4 + \binom{2m+2}{3} \sigma'_2(x)^4 + \binom{2m+2}{4} \sigma'_2(x)^4. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\sigma'_8(1+y, z) = \alpha^2 \beta + \beta^2$. Taking $f(x) = (\sigma'_8(x))^{2q'+1} (\sigma'_2(x))^{2m+1-2}$ with $\deg f = 8(2q'+1) + 2(2m+1-2) = 4m+2 + 8n+4 < \dim M = 4m+2 + 8n+4+r$, by

Lemma 7 we have

$$0 = f(x)[M] = \frac{(\alpha^2\beta + \beta^2)^{2q+1}\alpha^{2m+1-2}}{\prod_{i=1}^r (1 + y_i)} [CP(2m + 1) \times HP(2n + 1)] = 1,$$

which is a contradiction.

For $2^{p'} \geq 4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_{2i'} &= \binom{2m+2}{i'} \alpha^{i'} w_{2i'+1} = 0 \quad (0 \leq i' < 2^{p'+1}), \quad w_{2^{p'+2}} = \binom{2m+2}{2^{p'+1}} \alpha^{2^{p'+1}} + \beta^{2^{p'}}, \\ u_{4i'} &= \alpha^2 \beta^{i'-1} + \varepsilon_{i'} \alpha^{2i'}, \quad u_{4i'+1} = 0, \quad u_{4i'+2} = \gamma'_i \alpha^3 \beta^{i'-1} + \delta'_i \alpha^{2i'+1}, \\ u_{4i'+3} &= 0 \quad (2 \leq i' \leq 2^{p'}). \end{aligned}$$

Using the above method, we get $\sigma'_2(x)$ and $\sigma'_8(x)$ such that $\sigma'_2(1 + y, z) = \alpha$ and $\sigma'_8(1 + y, z) = \alpha^2\beta$. In the same way, adding a polynomial in $\sigma'_2(x)$ and $\sigma'_8(x)$ to $\sigma_{12}(x)$ to get $\sigma'_{12}(x)$ such that $\sigma'_{12}(1 + y, z) = \alpha^2\beta^2$, adding a polynomial in $\sigma'_2(x)$, $\sigma'_8(x)$ and $\sigma'_{12}(x)$ to $\sigma_{16}(x)$ to get $\sigma'_{16}(x)$ such that $\sigma'_{16}(1 + y, z) = \alpha^2\beta^3, \dots$, adding a polynomial in $\sigma'_2(x)$, $\sigma'_8(x), \dots, \sigma'_{2^{p'+2}-4}(x)$ to $\sigma_{2^{p'+2}}(x)$ to get $\sigma'_{2^{p'+2}}(x)$ such that $\sigma'_{2^{p'+2}}(1 + y, z) = \alpha^2\beta^{2^{p'}-1} + \beta^{2^{p'}}$ and taking

$$f(x) = (\sigma'_{2^{p'+2}}(x))^{2q+1} (\sigma'_2(x))^{2m+1-2},$$

from Lemma 7 we get a contradiction.

(ii) If $4 \leq 2^k \leq 2^{p'}$, writing $2n + 1 = 2^k - 1 + 2^k l$, from Lemma 6 we know that the characteristic ring of ν^r contains the classes $\alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^2\beta, \dots, \alpha^2\beta^{2^k-2}$ and $\alpha^2\beta^{2^k-1} + \beta^{2^k}$. So $u_{4i'} = \alpha^2\beta^{i'-1} + \varepsilon'_i \alpha^{2i'}, u_{4i'+1} = 0, u_{4i'+2} = \gamma'_i \alpha^3 \beta^{i'-1} + \delta'_i \alpha^{2i'+1}, u_{4i'+3} = 0 \quad (2 \leq i' \leq 2^k - 1)$ and $u_{2^{k+2}} = \alpha^2\beta^{2^k-1} + \beta^{2^k} + \varepsilon_{2^k} \alpha^{2^{k+1}}$.

Using the above method, we get a series of symmetric function $\sigma'_2(x), \sigma'_8(x), \dots, \sigma'_{2^{k+2}-4}(x)$ and $\sigma'_{2^{k+2}}(x)$ such that $\sigma'_2(1 + y, z) = \alpha, \sigma'_8(1 + y, z) = \alpha^2\beta, \dots, \sigma'_{2^{k+2}-4}(1 + y, z) = \alpha^2\beta^{2^k-2}$ and $\sigma'_{2^{k+2}}(1 + y, z) = \alpha^2\beta^{2^k-1} + \beta^{2^k}$. Taking

$$f(x) = (\sigma'_{2^{k+2}}(x))^l \sigma'_{2^{k+2}-4}(x) \sigma'_8(x) (\sigma'_2(x))^{2m+1-4},$$

from Lemma 7 we get a contradiction. So $u_8 = \alpha^2\beta + \varepsilon_2\alpha^4$ does not occur.

(2) $u_8 = \alpha^2\beta + \varepsilon_2\alpha^4 + \beta^2$

From Lemma 6, we need to consider the following cases:

- (a) $\varepsilon = 1$ and $2m + 1 = 2^{j+1} - 1$,
- (b) $2^{p'} > 2^t \geq 4$ and $2m + 1 > 2^{t+1} - 2$, where $b - d = 2^t(2f + 1)$ with $2^t \geq 4$,
- (c) $2 \leq 2^{p'} \leq 2^t$ and $2m + 1 > 2^{p'+1} - 2$.

In the case (a), $\varepsilon = 1$ implies $2n + 1 = 2^{p'} - 1$. By Proposition 2 we know that every involution fixing $CP(2m + 1) \times HP(2n + 1)$ bounds.

In the case (b), $w_{2i'} = \binom{2m+2}{i'} \alpha^{i'}$ and $w_{2i'+1} = 0$ ($0 \leq i' < 2^{t+1}$).
 $u_{2i'} = \lambda_{i'}(\alpha^2\beta + \beta^2)^{j'} \alpha^{i'-4j'} + \epsilon_{i'} \alpha^{i'}$, where $\lambda_{i'}$ and $\epsilon_{i'}$ are 0 or 1 ($4 < i' < 2^{t+1}$).
 $u_{2^{t+2}} = \beta^{2^t} + \lambda_{2^{t+1}}(\alpha^2\beta + \beta^2)^{j'} \alpha^{2^{t+1}-4j'} + \epsilon_{2^{t+1}} \alpha^{2^{t+1}}$. Let $\sigma'_2(x)$ and $\sigma'_8(x)$ as in (1)-(i). Then $\sigma'_2(1 + y, z) = \alpha$ and $\sigma'_8(1 + y, z) = \alpha^2\beta + \beta^2$. We can add a polynomial in $\sigma'_2(x)$ and $\sigma'_8(x)$ to $\sigma_{2^{t+2}}(x)$ to get $\sigma'_{2^{t+2}}(x)$ such that $\sigma'_{2^{t+2}}(1 + y, z) = \beta^{2^t}$. Writing $2n + 1 = 2^t - 1 + 2^t l$ and taking

$$f(x) = (\sigma'_{2^{t+2}}(x))^l (\sigma'_8(x))^{2^t-1} (\sigma'_2(x))^{2m+1-(2^{t+1}-2)},$$

from Lemma 7 we get a contradiction.

In the case (c), we have $w_{2i'} = \binom{2m+2}{i'} \alpha^{i'}$, $w_{2i'+1} = 0$ ($0 < i' < 2^{p'+1}$) and $w_{2^{p'+2}} = \beta^{2^{p'}} + \binom{2m+2}{2^{p'+1}} \alpha^{2^{p'+1}}$. For $2^{p'} = 2$ and r odd,

$$\begin{aligned} w(RP(\nu^r)) &= (1 + \alpha^{2^p})^{2q+1} (1 + \beta^2)^{2q'+1} \{(1 + c)^r + u_1(1 + c)^{r-1} + \dots + u_r\} \\ &= \alpha^{2^p \cdot 2q} \beta^{4q'} (rc^{r-1} + (r - 1)u_1c^{r-2} \\ &\quad + \dots + u_{r-1}) + \text{terms with a smaller dimension.} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$w_{2^{p'+1} \cdot 2q + 16q' + r - 1}(RP(\nu^r)) = \alpha^{2^p \cdot 2q} \beta^{4q'} (rc^{r-1} + (r - 1)u_1c^{r-2} + \dots + u_{r-1})$$

is the top-dimensional class in $w(RP(\nu^r))$. Since $w[0]_2 = u_1c + (w_2 + u_1w_1 + u_2) = \alpha$ and

$$\begin{aligned} w[0]_8 &= u_1c^7 + u_2c^6 + (u_3 + w_2u_1)c^5 + (u_4 + w_2u_2)c^4 + (u_5 + w_4u_1)c^3 \\ &\quad + (u_6 + w_4u_2)c^2 + (u_7 + w_2u_5 + w_4u_3 + w_6u_1)c + u_8 + w_2u_6 \\ &\quad + w_4u_4 + w_6u_2 + w_8 \\ &= \alpha c^6 + \varepsilon_1 \alpha^2 c^4 + \alpha^2 \beta + \varepsilon_2 \alpha^4 + \varepsilon_1 \binom{2m+2}{2} \alpha^4 + \binom{2m+2}{3} \alpha^4 + \binom{2m+2}{4} \alpha^4 \\ &\quad + \left(\varepsilon_1 + \binom{2m+2}{2} \right) \alpha^3 c^2, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} w[0]_2^{2^p-3} w_{2^{p'+1} \cdot 2q + 16q' + r - 1}(RP(\nu^r)) w[0]_8[RP(\nu^r)] \\ &= \alpha^{2m-1} \beta^{4q'} (rc^{r-1} + (r - 1)u_1c^{r-2} + \dots + u_{r-1}) w[0]_8[RP(\nu^r)] \\ &= r \alpha^{2m+1} \beta^{2n+1} c^{r-1} [RP(\nu^r)] = r, \end{aligned}$$

which is a nonzero characteristic number. We know that $RP(\nu^r)$ bounds, so this is a contradiction.

For $2^{p'} = 2$ and $r = 4h + 2$, we have $\binom{r}{2} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $\binom{r-2}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &w[0]_2^{2^p-3} w_{2^{p+1} \cdot 2q+16q'+r-2}(RP(\nu^r))w[0]_8c[RP(\nu^r)] \\ &= \alpha^{2m+1} \beta^{2n+1} (c^{r-1} + \dots + u_{r-2}c)[RP(\nu^r)] \\ &= \alpha^{2m+1} \beta^{2n+1} c^{r-1} [RP(\nu^r)] \\ &= 1 \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

For $2^{p'} = 2$ and $r = 4h$, we have $\binom{r}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $\binom{r-2}{2} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &w[0]_2^{2^p-4} w_{2^{p+1} \cdot 2q+16q'+r-2}(RP(\nu^r))w[0]_8c^3[RP(\nu^r)] \\ &= \alpha^{2m} \beta^{2n+1} (\alpha c^{r-1} + \dots + u_{r-2}c^3)[RP(\nu^r)] \\ &= \alpha^{2m+1} \beta^{2n+1} c^{r-1} [RP(\nu^r)] \\ &= 1 \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is also a contradiction.

For $2^{p'} \geq 4$, we have $u_1 = u_3 = u_5 = u_7 = 0$, $u_2 = \alpha$, $u_4 = \varepsilon_1 \alpha^2$, $u_6 = \varepsilon_1 \alpha^3$, $u_8 = \alpha^2 \beta + \varepsilon_2 \alpha^4 + \beta^2$ and $u_{2i'} = \delta_{i'} (\alpha^2 \beta + \beta^2)^{j'} \alpha^{i'-4j'} + \lambda_{i'} \alpha^{i'}$ ($4 < i' \leq 2^{p'+1}$), where $\delta_{i'}$ and $\lambda_{i'}$ are 0 or 1.

Using the method in (1), we get the symmetric functions $\sigma'_2(x)$, $\sigma'_8(x)$ and $\sigma'_{2^{p'+2}}(x)$ such that $\sigma'_2(1 + y, z) = \alpha$, $\sigma'_8(1 + y, z) = \alpha^2 \beta + \beta^2$ and $\sigma'_{2^{p'+2}}(1 + y, z) = \beta^{2^{p'}}$. Taking

$$f(x) = (\sigma'_{2^{p'+2}}(x))^{2q'} (\sigma'_8(x))^{2^{p'}-1} (\sigma'_2(x))^{2m+1-(2^{p'+1}-2)},$$

from Lemma 7, we get a contradiction.

This completes the proof. □

Proposition 4. *Every involution fixing $CP(3) \times HP(2n + 1)$ bounds.*

Proof. If $\varepsilon = 1$, then $2n + 1 = 2^{p'} - 1$. By Proposition 2, every involution bounds. Thus we need only to consider the case $\varepsilon = 0$, i.e. $u = (1 + \alpha)^a (1 + \alpha^2 + \beta)(1 + \beta)^{b'}$.

(1) If $\binom{b'-1}{2} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, then $u_8 = \alpha^2 \beta$, $b' - 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $b' + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Let $b' + 1 = 2^k(2f + 1)$ ($k \geq 2$).

$$\begin{aligned} u &= (1 + \alpha)^a [(1 + \alpha^2 + \beta)(1 + \beta)^{2^k-1}] (1 + \beta)^{b'-2^k+1} \\ &= (1 + \alpha)^a [1 + \beta^{2^k} + \alpha^2(1 + \beta)^{2^k-1}] (1 + \beta^{2^{k+1}})^f \\ &= (1 + \alpha)^a (1 + \alpha^2 + \alpha^2 \beta + \dots + \alpha^2 \beta^{2^k-1} + \beta^{2^k}) (1 + \beta^{2^{k+1}})^f. \end{aligned}$$

If $2^k > 2^{p'}$, the characteristic ring of ν^r is generated by α , $\alpha^2 \beta$, $\alpha^2 \beta^2$, \dots , $\alpha^2 \beta^{2^{p'}-1}$ and $\beta^{2^{p'}}$. Just as (1)-(i) in the proof of Proposition 3, taking

$$f(x) = (\sigma'_{2^{p'+2}}(x))^{2q'+1} \sigma'_2(x)^{2m+1-2},$$

from Lemma 7 we get a contradiction. If $2^k \leq 2^{p'}$, the characteristic ring of ν^r is generated by α , $\alpha^2\beta$, $\alpha^2\beta^2$, \dots , $\alpha^2\beta^{2^k-2}$, $\alpha^2\beta^{2^k-1} + \beta^{2^k}$ and $\beta^{2^{p'}}$. None of these monomials can give a monomial $\alpha^3\beta^{2n+1}$, so ν^r bounds.

(2) If $\binom{b'-1}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, we write $b'-1 = 2^t(2f+1)$. The characteristic ring of ν^r is generated by α , $\alpha^2\beta + \beta^2$ and β^{2^k} , where $k = \min(t, p')$.

For $2^{p'} = 2$ and r odd, we have $\binom{2n+2}{2n} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} w(RP(\nu^r)) &= (1 + \alpha^4)(1 + \beta)^{2n+2}\{(1+c)^r + u_1(1+c)^{r-1} + \dots + u_r\} \\ &= (1 + \beta)^{2n+2}\{rc^{r-1} + (r-1)u_1c^{r-2} + \dots + u_{r-1}\} \\ &\quad + \text{terms with a dimension smaller than } r-1\} \\ &= \beta^{2n}(rc^{r-1} + (r-1)u_1c^{r-2} + \dots + u_{r-1}) \\ &\quad + \text{terms with a dimension smaller than } 8n+r-1, \\ w_{8n+r-1}(RP(\nu^r)) &= \beta^{2n}(rc^{r-1} + (r-1)u_1c^{r-2} + \dots + u_{r-1}), \\ w[0]_2 &= u_1c + (w_2 + u_1w_1 + u_2) = \alpha, \\ w[0]_8 &= u_1c^7 + u_2c^6 + (u_3 + w_2u_1)c^5 + (u_4 + w_2u_2)c^4 + (u_5 + w_4u_1)c^3 \\ &\quad + (u_6 + w_4u_2)c^2 + (u_7 + w_2u_5 + w_4u_3 + w_6u_1)c \\ &\quad + u_8 + w_2u_6 + w_4u_4 + w_6u_2 + w_8 \\ &= \alpha c^6 + \varepsilon_1 \alpha^2 c^4 + \alpha^2 \beta + \varepsilon_1 \binom{2m+2}{2} \alpha^4 + \binom{2m+2}{3} \alpha^4 \\ &\quad + \binom{2m+2}{4} \alpha^4 + (\varepsilon_1 + \binom{2m+2}{2}) \alpha^3 c^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} (w[0]_8)' &= w[0]_8 + w[0]_2 c^6 + \varepsilon_1 w[0]_2^2 c^4 + (\varepsilon_1 + \binom{2m+2}{2}) w[0]_2^3 c^2 \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_1 \binom{2m+2}{2} w[0]_2^4 + \binom{2m+2}{3} w[0]_2^4 + \binom{2m+2}{4} w[0]_2^4 \\ &= \alpha^2 \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Then $w[0]_2(w[0]_8)' w_{8n+r-1}(RP(\nu^r))[RP(\nu^r)] \neq 0$, which is a contradiction.

For $2^{p'} = 2$ and $r = 4h+2$, we have $\binom{r}{2} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $\binom{r-2}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} w(RP(\nu^r)) &= \beta^{2n} \left[\binom{r}{2} c^{r-2} + \binom{r-1}{2} u_1 c^{r-3} + \binom{r-2}{2} u_2 c^{r-4} + \dots + u_{r-2} \right] \\ &\quad + \text{terms with a dimension smaller than } 8n+r-2, \\ w_{8n+r-2}(RP(\nu^r)) &= \beta^{2n} \left[\binom{r}{2} c^{r-2} + \binom{r-1}{2} u_1 c^{r-3} + \binom{r-2}{2} u_2 c^{r-4} + \dots + u_{r-2} \right], \\ w[0]_2 &= u_1c + (w_2 + u_1w_1 + u_2) = \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Just as above, we also get $(w[0]_8)'$ such that $(w[0]_8)' = \alpha^2\beta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} w[0]_2(w[0]_8)' w_{8n+r-2}(RP(\nu^r))c[RP(\nu^r)] \\ = \alpha^3\beta^{2n+1}(c^{r-1} + \dots + u_{r-2}c)[RP(\nu^r)] \\ = \alpha^3\beta^{2n+1}c^{r-1}[RP(\nu^r)] \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

For $2^{p'} = 2$ and $r = 4h$, we have $\binom{r}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $\binom{r-2}{2} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (w[0]_8)' w_{8n+r-2}(RP(\nu^r))c^3[RP(\nu^r)] \\ = \alpha^2\beta^{2n+1}(\alpha c^{r-4} + \dots + u_{r-2})c^3[RP(\nu^r)] \\ = \alpha^3\beta^{2n+1}c^{r-1}[RP(\nu^r)] \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is also a contradiction.

So for $2^{p'} = 2$, there is no non-bounding involution fixing $CP(3) \times HP(2n + 1)$.

For $2^{p'} > 2$, suppose that there exist some \tilde{x} , \tilde{y} and \tilde{z} such that

$$\alpha^{x'}(\alpha^2\beta + \beta^2)^{y'}\beta^{2^k \cdot z'}[CP(3) \times HP(2n + 1)] \neq 0,$$

where $2x' + 8y' + 2^{k+2} \cdot z' = 6 + 8n + 4$, i.e. $x' + 4y' + 2^{k+1} \cdot z' = 3 + 4n + 2$. Then x' is odd. Since $x' \leq 3$, $x' = 1$ or 3 . If $x' = 3$, then $2y' + 2^k \cdot z' = 2n + 1$, which is impossible. If $x' = 1$, then $\binom{y'}{1} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, i.e. y' is odd. Thus $1 + 2(y' - 1) + 2^k \cdot z' = 2n + 1 = 2^{p'}(2q' + 1) - 1$, which is also impossible. So ν^r bounds.

The proof is completed. □

Proposition 5. *Every involution fixing $CP(1) \times HP(2n + 1)$ bounds.*

Proof. In this case, $\alpha^2 = 0$. From Theorem 1 and Lemma 5, we know that every involution fixing $CP(1) \times HP(2n + 1)$ has the total Stiefel-Whitney class $u = (1 + \alpha)(1 + \beta)^{b+d}$, where b and d are odd. So we cannot obtain any odd power of β from u and w and every involution fixing $CP(1) \times HP(2n + 1)$ bounds. □

Combining Propositions 1, 3, 4 and 5 together, we have Theorem 2.

Acknowledgement

The authors are indebted to the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10971050) for financial support during this work.

References

- [1] P. E. CONNER, *Differentiable Periodic Maps*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
- [2] P. E. CONNER, *The bordism class of a bundle space*, Michigan Math. J. **14**(1967), 289–303.
- [3] D. HOU, B. TORRENCE, *Involution fixing the disjoint union of odd-dimensional projective spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. **37**(1994), 66–74.
- [4] S. M. KELTON, *Involution fixing $RP^j \cup F^n$* , Topology Appl. **142**(2004), 197–203.

- [5] C. KOSNIOWSKI, R. E. STONG, *Involutions and characteristic numbers*, *Topology* **17**(1978), 309–330.
- [6] J. LI, Y. WANG, *Characteristic classes of vector bundles over $RP(h) \times HP(k)$* , *Topology Appl.* **154**(2007), 1778–1793.
- [7] Z. LÜ, *Involutions fixing $RP^{odd} \cup P(h, i)$ I*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **354**(2002), 4539–4570.
- [8] Z. LÜ, *Involutions fixing $RP^{odd} \cup P(h, i)$ II*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356**(2003), 1291–1314.
- [9] P. L. Q. PERGHER, R. E. STONG, *Involutions fixing $\{point\} \cup F^n$* , *Transform. Groups* **6**(2001), 78–85.
- [10] P. L. Q. PERGHER, *Involutions fixing an arbitrary product of spheres and a point*, *Manuscripta Math.* **89**(1996), 471–474.
- [11] D. C. ROYSTER, *Involutions fixing the disjoint union of two projective spaces*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **29**(1980), 267–276.
- [12] R. E. STONG, *Involutions fixing projective spaces*, *Michigan Math. J.* **13**(1966), 445–447.
- [13] R. E. STONG, *Involutions fixing product of circles*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **119**(1993), 1005–1008.