Some remarks on Laplacian eigenvalues and Laplacian energy of graphs

GHOLAM HOSSEIN FATH-TABAR¹ AND ALI REZA ASHRAFI^{1,*}

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Kashan, Kashan 87317-51167, Iran

Received August 24, 2009; accepted April 7, 2010

Abstract. Suppose $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_n$ are Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph G. The Laplacian energy of G is defined as $LE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i - 2m/n|$. In this paper, some new bounds for the Laplacian eigenvalues and Laplacian energy of some special types of the subgraphs of K_n are presented.

AMS subject classifications: 05C50

Key words: Laplacian eigenvalue, energy, Laplacian energy

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let G be a graph of order n with the vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and edge set $\mathcal{E}(G)$. The first Zagreb index $M_1(G)$ is defined as the sum of squares of degrees in G. It is well known that $M_1(G) = \sum_{e=uv \in E(G)} [d(u) + d(v)]$. The adjacency matrix $A(G) = [a_{ij}]$ of G is a square matrix of order n whose (i, j)-entry is equal to the number of edges between the vertices v_i and v_j .

In the case that G is a simple graph, the adjacency matrix A(G) will be a (0,1)matrix. The spectrum of G is defined as the set of eigenvalues of A(G), together
with their multiplicities. Let $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \cdots \leq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of G. The energy
of G is the sum of absolute values of eigenvalues of G. This quantity, introduced by
Ivan Gutman, has noteworthy chemical applications; see [6-9,11] for details.

Let $D(G) = [d_{ij}]$ be a diagonal matrix associated with the graph G, where $d_{ii} = deg(v_i)$ and $d_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$. Define L(G) = D(G) - A(G). L(G) is called the Laplacian matrix of G. The Laplacian polynomial of G is the characteristic polynomial of its Laplacian matrix, $\phi(G, \mu) = det(\mu I_n - L(G))$. Let $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_n$ be the Laplacian eigenvalues of G, i. e., the roots of $\phi(G, \mu)$. It is well known that $\mu_1 = 0$ and that the multiplicity of 0 equals the number of connected components of G.

The Laplacian energy of G is a very recently defined graph invariant [10], defined as $LE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mu_i - 2m/n|$, where n and m are the number of vertices and edges of G, respectively. LE is a proper extension of the graph-energy concept. An interested reader should consult the papers [1, 2, 5, 16 - 18, 20 - 22] for the main properties of the Laplacian energy of graphs.

 $^{{\}rm *Corresponding \ \ author.} \quad {\it Email \ \ addresses:} \quad {\rm fathtabar@kashanu.ac.ir} \ ({\rm G.\,H.\,Fath-Tabar}), \\ {\rm ashrafi@kashanu.ac.ir} \ ({\rm A.\,R.\,Ashrafi})$

The complement of a graph G is denoted by \bar{G} , where $e \in E(G)$ if and only if $e \notin E(\bar{G})$. Suppose G and H are two graphs with disjoint vertex and edge sets. The disjoint union of G and H is a graph T such that $V(T) = V(G) \bigcup V(H)$ and $E(T) = E(G) \bigcap E(H)$.

For the sake of completeness, we mention below some results which are important throughout the paper.

Theorem A [Polya-Szego Inequality, [15]]. Suppose a_i and b_i , $1 \le i \le n$, are positive real numbers. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^2 \le \frac{1}{4} \left(\sqrt{\frac{M_1 M_2}{m_1 m_2}} + \sqrt{\frac{m_1 m_2}{M_1 M_2}} \right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i \right)^2,$$

where

 $M_1 = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i, \ M_2 = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} b_i, \ m_1 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i \ and \ m_2 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} b_i.$

Theorem B [Ozeki's Inequality, [14]]. If a_i and b_i , $1 \le i \le n$, are nonnegative real numbers, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i)^2 \le \frac{n^2}{4} (M_1 M_2 - m_1 m_2)^2,$$

where M_i and m_i are defined similarly to Theorem A.

Theorem C [Mohar, [13]]. Let G be a graph and $e \in E(G)$. Then

$$\mu_1(G - e) \le \mu_1(G) \le \mu_2(G - e) \le \mu_2(G) \le \dots \le \mu_n(G - e) \le \mu_n(G).$$

Theorem D [Biggs, [3]]. Suppose λ_i and μ_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, are eigenvalues and Laplacian eigenvalues of G, respectively. Then

$$\sum \lambda_i = 0, \ \sum \lambda_i^2 = 2m, \ \sum \mu_i = 2m \ and \ \sum \mu_i^2 = 2m + M_1(G).$$

Throughout this paper C_n , P_n and K_n denote the cycle, path and complete graphs on n vertices. The complete bipartite graph with a partition by m and n vertices is denoted by $K_{m,n}$. A graph G is called r-regular, if for any vertex x, deg(x) = r. Our other notations are standard and taken mainly from [3, 4, 12].

2. The Laplacian eigenvalues of some subgraphs of complete graphs

A matching or edge-independent set of a graph G is a set of edges without common vertices in G. In this section, the Laplacian eigenvalues and Laplacian energy of some subgraphs of K_n are investigated.

Lemma 1. If G is a subgraph of K_n with n' < n vertices, then the Laplacian eigenvalues of $K_n - \mathcal{E}(G)$ are as follows:

$$0, n - \mu_1(G), \cdots, n - \mu_{n'}(G), \underbrace{n, \cdots, n}_{n-n'-1 \text{ times}}.$$

Proof. Suppose $G'_n = G \cup \{V(K_n) - V(G)\}$. Then $K_n - \mathcal{E}(G) = \bar{G}'_n$ and by [4, 2.6], $\phi(\bar{G}'_n, x) = (-1)^n \frac{x}{x-n} \phi(G'_n, n-x)$. We now apply [4, 2.4] to prove $\phi(G'_n, x) = (-1)^n \frac{x}{x-n} \phi(G'_n, x)$ $\phi(G,x)x^{n-n'}$. Therefore,

$$\phi(\bar{G}'_n, x) = (-1)^n \frac{x}{x - n} \phi(G, n - x)(n - x)^{n - n'} = (-1)^n x \phi(G, n - x)(n - x)^{n - n' - 1}$$

and a direct calculation implies the theorem.

Corollary 1. Suppose $E_i \subseteq \mathcal{E}(K_n)$ is a matching with i elements. Then the Laplacian eigenvalues of $K_n - E_i$ are as follows:

$$0, \underbrace{n-2, \cdots, n-2}_{i \text{ times}}, \underbrace{n, \cdots, n}_{n-i-1 \text{ times}}.$$

Proof. The complement of $K_n - E_i$ consists of i copies of K_2 and n - 2i copies of K_1 . Thus its spectrum is 0 (n-i times) and 2 (i times). So the result follows from the Lemma 1 and [4, 2.6].

Corollary 2. If G_{2s} is a Cocktail-Party graph with 2s vertices, then the Laplacian eigenvalues of G_{2s} are $0, \underbrace{2s-2, \cdots, 2s-2}_{s \ times}, \underbrace{2s, \cdots, 2s}_{s-1 \ times}$.

$$s \ times$$
 $s-1 \ times$

Corollary 3. $LE(K_n - E_i) = 2(n - i - 1) - (4i/n)(i + 1)$.

Lemma 2. The Laplacian eigenvalues of $K_{n,n}$ – e are computed as follows:

$$0, \frac{3n-2-\sqrt{n^2+4n-4}}{2}, \underbrace{n, \cdots, n}_{n-3 \text{ times}}, \frac{3n-2+\sqrt{n^2+4n-4}}{2}.$$

Therefore, $LE(K_{n,n} - e) = n + 2 - 4/n + \sqrt{n^2 + 4n - 4}$.

Proof. We know that

$$0, \underbrace{n, n, \cdots, n}_{n-2 \ times}, 2n$$

are the Laplacian eigenvalues of $K_{n,n}$ [3]. By Theorem C, the Laplacian eigenvalues of $K_{n,n} - e$ satisfy the following inequalities:

$$0 = \mu_1 \le \mu_2 \le \mu_3 = n = \dots = \mu_{2n-1} \le \mu_{2n}.$$

 $\sum_{i} \mu_{i} = 2m, \sum_{i} \mu_{i}^{2} = 2m + M_{1}(G), \ \mu_{2} + \mu_{2n} = 3n - 2 \text{ and } \mu_{2}^{2} + \mu_{2n}^{2} = 5n^{2} - 4n, \\ \mu_{2}\mu_{2n} = 2(n-1)^{2}. \text{ This concludes that}$

$$\mu_2 = \frac{3n - 2 - \sqrt{n^2 + 4n - 4}}{2}$$
 and $\mu_{2n} = \frac{3n - 2 + \sqrt{n^2 + 4n - 4}}{2}$.

For the second part, we notice that $LE(K_{n,n}-e)=\sum_{i=1}^{2n}|\mu_i-n+1/n|=n+2 4/n + \sqrt{n^2 + 4n - 4}$, proving the result.

Theorem 1. Suppose G is a graph. Then |LE(G-e) - LE(G)| < 4 and 4 is the best possible bound.

Proof. Define $\mu'_i = \mu_i(G - e)$. By Theorem C, $\mu_i - \mu'_i \ge 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n [\mu_i - \mu'_i] = 2$. So, there exists $i, 1 \le i \le n$, such that $\mu_i > \mu'_i$. This implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \mu_i - \mu'_i - \frac{2}{n} \right| < \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[|\mu_i - \mu'_i| + \frac{2}{n} \right]$$

and we have:

$$|LE(G - e) - LE(G)| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mu_i - 2\frac{m}{n}| - |\mu'_i - 2\frac{m-1}{n}| \right|$$

$$= \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[|\mu_i - 2\frac{m}{n}| - |\mu'_i - 2\frac{m-1}{n}| \right] \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| |\mu_i - 2\frac{m}{n}| - |\mu'_i - 2\frac{m-1}{n}| \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| |\mu_i - \mu'_i - \frac{2}{n}| \right|$$

$$< \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[|\mu_i - \mu'_i| + \frac{2}{n} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[|\mu_i - \mu'_i| + \frac{2}{n} \right] = 4.$$

To complete the argument we construct a sequence $\{G_n\}_{n\geq 2}$ of graphs such that $|LE(G_n-e)-LE(G_n)|\longrightarrow 4$. Define $G_n=\bar{K}_n+e$. Then $LE(G_n)=4-\frac{4}{n}$ and $LE(G_n-e)=0$ and so $|LE(G_n-e)-LE(G_n)|=4-\frac{4}{n}\longrightarrow 4$. This completes the argument.

3. Bounds on the Laplacian eigenvalues and the Laplacian energy of graphs

In this section, a variety of upper and lower bounds for the Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph G and its Laplacian energy are presented. At first, we apply Ozeki's theorem to obtain a simple inequality on the energy of graphs. By Theorem B,

$$E(G) \ge \sqrt{2mn - \frac{n^2}{4}(a_n - a_1)^2},$$

where a_1 and a_n are minimum and maximum values of the set $\{|\lambda_i| \mid 1 \le i \le n\}$.

Theorem 2. Suppose zero is not an eigenvalue of G. Then

$$E(G) \ge \frac{2\sqrt{2mn}\sqrt{a_1 a_n}}{a_1 + a_n},$$

where a_1 and a_n are minimum and maximum of the absolute value of λ_i 's. In particular, if G is k-regular, then

$$LE(G) = E(G) \ge \frac{2nk\sqrt{2a_1}}{a_1 + k}.$$

Proof. Suppose λ_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, are the eigenvalues of G. We also assume that $a_i = |\lambda_i|$, where $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_n$ and $b_i = 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Apply Theorem A to show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i|^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1^2 \le \frac{1}{4} \left(\sqrt{\frac{|\lambda_n|}{|\lambda_1|}} + \sqrt{\frac{|\lambda_1|}{|\lambda_n|}} \right)^2 (\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i|)^2.$$

Therefore, by $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i|^2 = 2m$ and a simple calculation,

$$E(G) \ge \frac{2\sqrt{2mn}\sqrt{a_1 a_n}}{a_1 + a_n}.$$

To prove the second part, it is enough to notice that $a_n = k$ and 2m = nk for k-regular graphs.

Theorem 3. Let G be a connected graph with the smallest and largest positive Laplacian eigenvalues μ_2 and μ_n , respectively. Then

$$\sqrt{\mu_n/\mu_2} + \sqrt{\mu_2/\mu_n} \ge (\sqrt{n-1}/m)\sqrt{2m + M_1(G)}$$
.

In particular, if G is an n-vertex tree, then $\sqrt{\mu_n/\mu_2} + \sqrt{\mu_2/\mu_n} \ge \sqrt{(6n-8)/(n-1)}$.

Proof. Suppose $a_i = 1$ and $b_i = \mu_i$, $2 \le i \le n$. Apply Theorem B to show that,

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} 1^{2} \sum_{i=2}^{n} \mu_{i}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mu_{n}}{\mu_{2}}} + \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{2}}{\mu_{n}}} \right)^{2} \left(\sum_{i=2}^{n} \mu_{i} \right)^{2}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} \mu_i^2 = 2m + M_1(G), \quad \sqrt{\mu_n/\mu_2} + \sqrt{\mu_2/\mu_n} \ge (\sqrt{n-1}/m)\sqrt{2m + M_1(G)}.$$

When G is a tree with at least three vertices, $d(u)+d(v) \geq 3$ and so $M_1(G) \geq 3(n-1)$, as desired.

Corollary 4. With notation of Theorem 3, $\mu_n/\mu_2 + \mu_2/\mu_n \ge \frac{n-1}{m}(2 + \frac{4m}{n}) - 2$. In particular, when G is an n-vertex tree, $\mu_n/\mu_2 + \mu_2/\mu_n \ge 4 - \frac{4}{n}$.

Theorem 4. Suppose G is a graph without isolated vertices. Then

$$\mu_n - \mu_2 \ge \frac{4}{(n-1)^2} [(n-1)(2m + M_1(G)) - 4m^2].$$

Proof. Suppose $a_i = 1$ and $b_i = \mu_i$, $2 \le i \le n$. Apply Theorem B to show that

$$(n-1)\sum_{i=2}^{n}\mu_i^2 - (\sum_{i=2}^{n}\mu_i)^2 \le \frac{(n-1)^2}{4}(\mu_n - \mu_2)^2.$$

Since

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} \mu_i^2 = 2m + M_1(G), \quad \mu_n - \mu_2 \ge \frac{4}{(n-1)^2} [(n-1)(2m + M_1(G)) - 4m^2],$$

which proves the theorem.

We now prove some new bounds for the energy and Laplacian energy of graphs.

Theorem 5. Suppose G is a graph. Then

with equality if and only if G is an empty graph. In particular, if G is a non-empty graph, then

$$LE(G) > \frac{2m}{n} + \sqrt{2m - \frac{4m^2}{n^2} + 2\binom{n-1}{2}} \sqrt[n-1]{\frac{n^2}{4m^2}\phi(G, \frac{2m}{n})^2}.$$
 (2)

Moreover, if T is an n-vertex tree, $n \geq 3$, then

$$LE(T) > \frac{2(n-1)}{n} + \sqrt{M_1(T) + (n-1)(6 - \frac{4}{n^2}) + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n}} {\sqrt[n-1]{\frac{n}{4(n-1)^2}}}.$$
 (3)

Proof. By a well-known theorem of algebraic graph theory, $\mu_1 = 0$ and so,

$$LE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mu_i - \frac{2m}{n}| = \frac{2m}{n} + \sum_{i=2}^{n} |\mu_i - \frac{2m}{n}|.$$
 (4)

If $x = \sum_{i=2}^{n} |\mu_i - \frac{2m}{n}|$, then by the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality,

$$x^{2} = \sum_{i=2}^{n} |\mu_{i} - \frac{2m}{n}|^{2} + 2 \sum_{i \neq j, i, j=2, 3, \dots, n} |\mu_{i} - \frac{2m}{n}| |\mu_{j} - \frac{2m}{n}|$$

$$= M_{1} + 2m - \frac{4m^{2}}{n} - \frac{4m^{2}}{n^{2}} + 2 \sum_{i \neq j, i, j=2, 3, \dots, n} |\mu_{i} - \frac{2m}{n}| |\mu_{j} - \frac{2m}{n}|$$

$$\geq M_{1} + 2m - \frac{4m^{2}}{n} - \frac{4m^{2}}{n^{2}} + 2\binom{n-1}{2} (\prod_{i=2}^{n} |\mu_{i} - \frac{2m}{n}|^{2(n-2)})^{1/(n-1)(n-2)}$$

$$= M_{1} + 2m - \frac{4m^{2}}{n} - \frac{4m^{2}}{n^{2}} + 2\binom{n-1}{2} (\prod_{i=2}^{n} (\mu_{i} - \frac{2m}{n}))^{2/(n-1)}$$

$$= M_{1} + 2m - (n+1)\frac{4m^{2}}{n^{2}} + 2\binom{n-1}{2} {n-1 \choose 2} {n-1 \choose 2} {n-1 \choose 2} {n-1 \choose 2} (\frac{2m}{n})^{2}.$$
(5)

Equation (1) is a direct consequence of (4) and (5) with equality if and only if $|\mu_i - 2m/n| |\mu_j - 2m/n| = |\mu_r - 2m/n| |\mu_s - 2m/n|, 2 \le i, j \le n, 2 \le r, s \le n$. We claim that these equalities hold if and only if $\mu_2 = \mu_3 = \cdots = \mu_n = 2m/n$. To prove this, we assume that one of μ_i is equal to 2m/n.

Then by a simple calculation, $\mu_2 = \mu_3 = \cdots = \mu_n = 2m/n$. Otherwise, $\mu_i \neq 2m/n, 2 \leq i \leq n$. If $\mu_i, \mu_j \geq 2m/n$ or $\mu_i, \mu_j \leq 2m/n$, then $\mu_i = \mu_j$. Otherwise, $\mu_i + \mu_j = 4m/n$. Thus Laplacian eigenvalues of G are

$$0, \underbrace{\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_1}_{k_1 \ times}, \underbrace{\mu_2, \cdots, \mu_2}_{k_2 \ times},$$

where $k_1 + k_2 = n - 1$ and $\mu_1 + \mu_2 = 4m/n$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i = 2m$, $k_1\mu_1 + k_2\mu_2 = 2m$. Thus

$$\mu_1 = \frac{2m}{n} \left(\frac{2k_1 - n + 2}{2k_1 - n + 1} \right) = \frac{2m}{n} \left(\frac{k_1 - k_2 + 3}{k_1 - k_2 + 2} \right).$$

Since $(k_1 - k_2 + 3)/(k_1 - k_2 + 2) > 1$, $\mu_1 > 2m/n$, a contradiction. Thus, in Equation (1) equality holds if and only if $\mu_2 = \mu_3 = \cdots = \mu_n = 2m/n$ if and only if G is an empty graph.

It is a well-known fact that $M_1 \geq \frac{4m^2}{n}$. Equation (2) is now derived from Equation (1) by this inequality.

To prove (3), suppose $\phi(G, \frac{2m}{n}) = 0$. Thus 2m/n is a Laplacian eigenvalue and so it is an algebraic integer. So, by a well-known result in algebraic number theory $\frac{2m}{n}$ is an integer. But for tree $\frac{2m}{n} = \frac{2(n-1)}{n}$, a contradiction. Thus $\phi(G, \frac{2m}{n}) \neq 0$. Suppose $\phi(G, x) = x^n + b_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + b_1x$. Then

$$\phi(G, \frac{2m}{n}) = (\frac{2m}{n})^n + b_{n-1}(\frac{2m}{n})^{n-1} + \dots + b_1 \frac{2m}{n} \neq 0.$$

This implies that $n^n |\phi(G, \frac{2m}{n})|$ is an integer and so $|\phi(G, \frac{2m}{n})| \ge \frac{1}{n^n}$. This completes the third part of the theorem.

Suppose G is an n-vertex tree. Since $\sqrt[n]{n} \longrightarrow 1$ and for any positive constant c, $\sqrt[n]{c} \longrightarrow 1$, one can see that for large n,

$$LE(G) \ge \frac{2(n-1)}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)(n^2+4)}{n^2} + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2n}}.$$

Corollary 5. If $\frac{2m}{n} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$LE(G) \geq \frac{2m}{n} + \sqrt{M_{_1} + 2m - (n+1)\frac{4m^2}{n^2} + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n}} \sqrt[n-1]{\frac{n}{4m^2}}.$$

In particular, for large n, the Laplacian energy of G is greater than or equal to

$$\frac{2m}{n} + \sqrt{2m - \frac{4m^2}{n^2} + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2n}}.$$

Proof. Apply part (2) of Theorem 5 and this fact that $|\phi(G, \frac{2m}{n})| \geq \frac{1}{n^n}$.

Corollary 6. If G is tree, then

$$LE(G) \geq \frac{2(n-1)}{n} + \sqrt{M_1 + \frac{2(n-1)(2-n^2)}{n^2} + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n}} - \sqrt[n-1]{\frac{n}{4(n-1)^2}}.$$

Acknowledgement

We are greatly indebted to the referees for their critical suggestions that led us to correct our main result.

References

- [1] N. M. M. DE ABREU, C. T. M. VINAGRE, A. S. BONIFACIO, I. GUTMAN, *The Laplacian Energy of Some Laplacian Integral Graphs*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **60**(2008), 447–460.
- [2] T. Aleksić, Upper bounds for Laplacian energy of graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **60**(2008), 435–439.
- [3] N. L. BIGGS, Algebraic graph theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1974.
- [4] D. M. CVETKOVIĆ, M. DOOB, H. SACHS, Spectra of graph theory and applications, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [5] G. H. FATH-TABAR, A. R. ASHRAFI, I. GUTMAN, Note on Laplacian energy of graphs, Bull. Acad. Serb. Sci. Arts (Cl. Math. Natur.) 137(2008), 1-10.
- [6] I. GUTMAN, Acyclic systems with extremal Hückel π -electron energy, Theoret. Chim. Acta 45(1977), 79–87.
- [7] I. GUTMAN, *The energy of a graph*, Ber. Math.-Statist. Sekt. Forschungszentrum Graz. **103**(1978), 1–22.
- [8] I. Gutman, The energy of a graph: Old and new results, in: Algebraic Combinatorics and Applications, (A. Betten, A. Kohnert, R. Laue and A. Wassermann, Eds.), Springer, 2001, 196–211.

- [9] I. GUTMAN, X. LI, J. ZHANG, Graph energy, in: Analysis of Complex Networks. From Biology to Linguistics, (M. Dehmer and F. Emmert-Streib, Eds.), Wiley, 2009, 145– 174.
- [10] I. GUTMAN, B. ZHOU, Laplacian energy of a graph, Linear Algebra Appl. 414(2006), 29-37.
- [11] B. J. McClelland, Properties of the latent roots of a matrix: the estimation of π -electron energies, J. Chem. Phys. **54**(1971), 640–643.
- [12] D. MITRINOVIĆ, J. E. PEČARIĆ, A. M. FINK, Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [13] B. MOHAR, The Laplacian spectrum of graphs, in: Graph Theory, Combinatorics, and Applications, (Y. Alavi, G. Chartrand, O. R. Oellermann and A. J. Schwenk, Eds.), Wiley, 1991, 871–898.
- [14] N. OZEKI, On the estimation of inequalities by maximum and minimum values, J. College Arts Sci. Chiba Univ. 5(1968), 199-203, in Japanese.
- [15] G. Polya, G. Szego, Problems and Theorems in analysis, Series, Integral Calculus, Theory of Functions, Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [16] S. RADENKOVIĆ, I. GUTMAN, Total-electron energy and Laplacian energy: How far the analogy goes?, J. Serb. Chem. Soc. **72**(2007), 1343–1350.
- [17] M. ROBBIANO, R. JIMÉNEZ, Applications of a theorem by Ky Fan in the theory of Laplacian energy of graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 62(2009), 537-552.
- [18] H. WANG, H. HUA, Note on Laplacian energy of graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 59(2008), 373–380.
- [19] Y. S. YOON, J. K. KIM, A relationship between bounds on the sum of squares of degrees of a graph, J. Appl. Math. & Comput. 21(2006), 233-238.
- [20] B. Zhou, I. Gutman, T. Aleksić, A Note on Laplacian Energy of Graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 60(2008), 441–446.
- [21] B. ZHOU, I. GUTMAN, On Laplacian energy of graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 57(2007), 211–220.
- [22] B. Zhou, New upper bounds for Laplacian energy, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 62(2009), 553-560.