



Reading Horizons: A Journal of Literacy and Language Arts

Volume 26
Issue 1 *october 1985*

Article 7

10-1-1985

Individualized Vocabulary Instruction in Developmental Reading

Loren C. Thompson
University of Houston

Alan M. Frager
Miami University, Oxford, Ohio

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.wmich.edu/reading_horizons

 Part of the [Education Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Thompson, L. C., & Frager, A. M. (1985). Individualized Vocabulary Instruction in Developmental Reading. *Reading Horizons: A Journal of Literacy and Language Arts*, 26 (1). Retrieved from https://scholarworks.wmich.edu/reading_horizons/vol26/iss1/7

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Special Education and Literacy Studies at ScholarWorks at WMU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Reading Horizons: A Journal of Literacy and Language Arts by an authorized editor of ScholarWorks at WMU. For more information, please contact maira.bundza@wmich.edu.



INDIVIDUALIZED VOCABULARY INSTRUCTION

IN DEVELOPMENTAL READING

Loren C. Thompson
Univ. of Houston

Alan M. Frager
Miami Univ., Oxford, Ohio

Planned, individualized vocabulary instruction is an essential ingredient of developmental reading classes. The importance of the knowledge acquired through such instruction is underscored by re-examining just what it means to know a word. Although it is still not clear "if Ludwig Wittgenstein and Bertrand Russell were left in a room for three hours, they could decide that they really knew the meaning of 'dog'" (Anderson and Freebody, 1981), it is certain that words symbolize concepts and that conceptual knowledge is a requisite for reading comprehension. As Roe, Stoodt and Burns (1983) explain, "Words are labels for thoughts, ideas, concepts, and for the relationships among them; thus, words permit the manipulation of ideas."

Vocabulary instruction deserves special attention in developmental reading classes since expanding word knowledge is the one area related to reading comprehension in which daily or weekly increments of student progress can be directly observed and measured by the learner. Unlike assessing the development of skill in inferencing or critical reading, indicators of progress in vocabulary acquisition--such as the number of words learned per week --is easily monitored and graphically illustrated. Such immediate and concrete feedback about learning can make a critical contribution toward improving the attitudes and performances of academically deficient students who may be experiencing considerable frustration and self-doubt.

Methods for teaching vocabulary in developmental reading, or any classes, should be based on research findings and sound educational theory. Although there has not been an abundance of recent research on effective methods of vocabulary instruction, key studies and the experiences of teachers strongly indicates two factors which appear to exert the most positive influence upon vocabulary acquisition. The first of these is the utilization of each student's personal experience and existing knowledge base to aid with the integration of new, unfamiliar words into that individual's vocabulary bank. In their review

of research on vocabulary instruction, Manzo and Sherk (1971-72) concluded that "teaching vocabulary may be a relatively simple matter of exploiting experiences, and exploiting or using vocabulary as a means of getting the most from experiences." Successful use of such strategies for using student experiences has been reported by Manzo (1983) and Spiegel (1984).

The second factor is the use of context, or how a word's meaning is determined by other words which surround it in text. Such use of context in vocabulary instruction is supported by the recent research of Gipe (1978-79, 1980) and Duffelmeyer (1984), as well as by experiences of Sinatra (1977) and Mateja (1982).

A Teaching Strategy


Our strategy for teaching vocabulary integrates the utilization of individual students' needs and experiences with the use of sentence context in determining specific situational word meaning. The activity is designed to provide practice with the dictionary and to refine the vocabulary acquisition process through carefully structured, active individual involvement. Specific instructional goals are: (1) to improve the learner's reading vocabulary level on a highly individualized basis; (2) to facilitate the learner's understanding of, and ability to successfully use the dictionary as an interactive tool in conjunction with other approaches to determining word meaning and (3) to develop expertise with a vocabulary expansion system which may be used in academic, as well as personal, reading pursuits.

Activity procedures are as follows: Each week students are required to identify ten previously unknown (or partially known) words from within the printed materials they encounter. Words may, at the instructor's prerogative, be drawn from as broad or narrowly focused an area of reading material as is deemed appropriate. (For example, word choices might well be limited to a specific class textbook where knowledge of subject-specific vocabulary is the predominant need, or expanded to include such supplemental readings as related journal articles.) Word choices may also be left unrestricted, even to the extent that access to any sort of printed materials is permitted--novels, newspapers, or popular periodicals.

In addition to making choices regarding the type and range of materials from which vocabulary may be drawn,

the instructor must also decide upon the word categories which best meet learner needs. The procedure might be designed to facilitate practice with the identification and assimilation of "key" or pivotal vocabulary items, i.e., words which render central conceptions within the discipline or reading assignments more accessible to readers. No matter what choices are made to delimit word categories targeted for study, each student must have the freedom within those limits to select words he or she chooses to learn. Student interests and personal experiences must be allowed to guide such subjective decisions.

Once initial instructional decisions regarding print sources and word selection parameters have been resolved, the following procedures must be explained to students. During reading activities where unfamiliar words are likely to be encountered, a small stack of 3 x 5 library cards--blank on one side and lined on the other--must be kept at hand. When a word is encountered that the learner wishes to incorporate into existing vocabulary schema, the new word must be clearly printed upon the card's blank side.



Next, the card is turned over and the sentence in which the word was found is written upon the bottom three or four lines (lengthy sentences may be excerpted so long as the segments are large enough to provide sufficient context). The word itself should always be underlined within the sentence.

The principal sum was
left in trust for numerous
heirs.

A second item should also be kept on hand during reading--a dictionary of collegiate size--from which two additional pieces of information are to be drawn. These will be placed, along with the sentence, on the lined side of the card, completing its contents. The top line will contain the pronunciation guide. The second is the specific definition or word meaning which fulfills the semantic demands of the sentence.

prin'sō-pəl
wealth (money or prop-
erty) which brings interest.
The principal sum was left in
trust for numerous heirs.

This definition should be as brief and succinct as possible (ideally, an accurate synonym), and must be phrased in the student's own words. Active learning requires that the student read and consider all definitions, cognitively process each in light of sentence context, identify and condense the appropriate meaning, and then write it on the card. (Mere copying loses the major element of this activity.) Semantic appropriateness or inappropriateness will be easily detectable to

the teacher when cards are checked; either the definition fulfills the sentence's meaning or it does not. Examples of semantically inappropriate definitions, drawn from actual student cards, follow:

Card--"In perfect hindsight, perhaps it should not come as such a shock."

Definition--"a rear sight on a firearm"

Card--"Hussein is not sanguine about his country's prospects of winning its war with Iran."

Definition--"anything blood red"

Such errors offer the instructor a unique opportunity to interact with individual students by examining the examples, explaining semantic inaccuracies, and modeling how to process multiple dictionary definitions before determining which is most appropriate. Whether done one-to-one or via an overhead projector for class instruction, directly-elicited student responses coupled with instructor feedback will provide insight into successful approaches to context-specific vocabulary learning.

It must be pointed out that although this activity bears a surface resemblance to many time-honored "flash card" drill activities which use 3x5 cards, it is designed to provide a great deal more instructional scope and flexibility. Here, since cards enhance individual student/instructor relations rather than use solely by students. This opportunity for direct teacher and student contact establishes a unique framework for one-to-one--a teaching method much lauded but seldom actually encountered.

Evaluation

Truly individualized instruction must be evaluated on individual student basis. Although individualization often demands much extra time and effort of the instructor, evaluating students' progress in vocabulary acquisition does not need to be significantly time- or energy-consuming. The following guidelines can simplify the process:

1. Cards should be checked individually with each student, while the rest of the class is engaged in a reading or writing activity from which individuals are taken for some 3 to 6 minutes.

2. Card checking should be conducted on two levels:

1) initially only for correct form and definitional choices, and then 2) periodically for objective evaluation of student knowledge of word meaning. Initial evaluation can occur twice or three times during the early part of the school term, to clarify instructor expectations and eliminate procedural misunderstandings. Objective evaluation is carried on throughout the entire term, or for the duration of the procedure's implementation.

3. During the objective evaluation of newly gained word knowledge, students give their deck to the instructor, who then selects cards and holds each up. The student should be able to pronounce the word and give in his own words, a simple, accurate definition. If an uncued definition is not forthcoming, the sentence upon the card may be read aloud so as to provide contextual clues. It is always appropriate to explain meaning more carefully if the student is close enough to evidence previous study. (Assigning grade values are a matter for the individual instructor.)

Week by week, as each student's card deck enlarges, the individual's confidence in his or her own ability grows with it. As repetitive study aids in retention of word meanings, it is advisable to require that all previous cards be brought to each evaluation session to be randomly sampled as a part of the evaluation. There is little point to students being able to retain word meanings just long enough for a single evaluation, thereby failing to have permanently incorporated these meanings into existing cognitive schema for long term use.

Vocabulary instruction of the type described is both practical and essential for students in developmental reading classes. The strategy works by eliciting active student involvement in an area of learning where progress is quickly made and easily observed by the learner. Knowledge and confidence gained by students can help them understand and enjoy more of what they read.

REFERENCES

- Anderson, Richard C. and Peter Freebody. "Vocabulary Knowledge." In J.T.Guthrie (Ed.) Comprehension and Teaching. Newark, DE: IRA, 1981.

- Duffelmeyer, F.A. "The Effect of Context Clues on the Vocabulary Test Performance of Word Dominant and Paragraph Dominant Readers." Journal of Reading Vol 27 (March 1984), pp. 508-513.
- Gipe, J. P. "Investigating Techniques for Teaching Word Meanings." Reading Research Quarterly, Vol. 14 (1978-79), pp. 624-644.
- "Use of a Relevant Context Helps Kids Learn New Word Meanings." The Reading Teacher, Vol. 33 (Jan.1980), pp. 398-402.
- Manzo, A. V. "Subjective Approach to Vocabulary Acquisition (Or '...I Think My Brother is Arboreal.')" Reading Psychology Vol. 3 (Apr-June, 1983), p. 155-160.
- Manzo, A.V. & J. Sherk. "Some Generalizations and Strategies to Guide Vocabulary Acquisition." Journal of Reading Behavior, Vol. 4 (1971-72), pp. 78-89.
- Mateja, J. "Musical Cloze: Background, Purpose, and Sample." The Reading Teacher, Vol. 35 (Jan 1982), pp. 444-448.
- Roe, B. D., Stoodt, B. D., Burns, P. C. Secondary School Reading Instruction: The Content Areas (2nd ed.). Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1983.
- Sinatra, Richard. "The Cloze Technique for Reading Comprehension and Vocabulary Development." Reading Improvement, vol. 14 (Summer 1977), pp. 86-92.
- Spiegel, Dixie L. "Enhancing Independent Vocabulary Growth." Reading Horizons, Vol. 24 (Spring 1984), pp. 179-185.