brought to you by CORE

Contact Lens: Science & Clinical Practice, 41(5), 262–267. https://doi.org/10.1097/ICL.000000000000116

1	Repeatability and Diurnal Variation of Tear Ferning Test
2	
3	Ali M. Masmali ^{a,*} , Jarallah M. Al-Bahlal ^a , Gamal A. El-Hiti ^a , Saeed Akhtar ^a , Christine
4	Purslow ^b , Paul J. Murphy ^c , Turki Almubrad ^a
5	
6	^a Cornea Research Chair (CRC), Department of Optometry, College of Applied Medical
7	Sciences, King Saud University, P.O. Box 10219, Riyadh 11433, Saudi Arabia
8	^b School of Optometry and Vision Sciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK
9	^c School of Optometry and Vision Science, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Canada
10	
11	The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.
12	
13	* Corresponding author at: Cornea Research Chair (CRC), Department of Optometry, College of
14	Applied Medical Sciences, King Saud University, P.O. Box 10219, Riyadh 11433, Saudi Arabia.
15	Tel.: +966 11 4693547; fax: +966 11 4693536
16	E-mail address: amasmali@ksu.edu.sa (A. Masmali).
17	
18	Number of Tables: 2
19	Number of Figures: 4
20	Fund has been received from the College of Applied Medical Sciences Research Canter and the
21	Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University.
22	
23	

Objectives: To investigate tear ferning test repeatability between sessions by observing changes
in the tear fern pattern during the day.

26

Methods: Twenty-three healthy young adults (15 male and 8 female), ranging in age from 20 to 27 32 years (mean±SD: 22.9±3.3 years) without signs or symptoms of dry eye disease, ocular 28 disease or contact lens wear, were enrolled in the study. Schirmer I, tear break up time (TBUT) 29 test and McMonnies questionnaire were used to screen volunteers. Schirmer I and TBUT tests 30 were applied to both eyes in each subject. Four samples of tear fluid were collected from the 31 right eye of each subject using glass capillaries, at set intervals during a single day (9am, 11am, 32 2pm and 4pm). The tear ferning (TF) patterns obtained from samples were classified according 33 to the Masmali TF grading scale, to increments of 0.1. 34

35

Results: The median values obtained from the McMonnies, Schirmer and TBUT tests were 36 4.0±2.0, 30.0±7.0mm (OD), and 16.0±10.0s (OD), respectively. There were no statistically 37 significant differences between the TF grades for tear samples collected at different times of the 38 day (Wilks' Lambda, p = 0.351). The majority (84.8%) of TF grades were between 0.0 and 1.5; 39 the remaining 15.2% of subjects had TF between grades 1.6 and 1.9. The overall mean grade for 40 the tear ferning was 1.1 ± 0.3 . There were small, insignificant correlations between TF grades and 41 the McMonnies questionnaire (r = 0.1.30) and TBUT (r = 0.248), and a negligible correlation 42 with Schirmer test (r = -0.046). 43

44

45 Conclusions: The results found no significant differences within the tear ferning for tear samples
46 collected at different times of the day, suggesting that there is little diurnal variation evident.

47

48 Keywords: Tear ferning; non-dry eye subjects; Masmali grading scale; Schirmer test; Tear break
49 up time; McMonnies questionnaire

50

51 **INTRODUCTION**

Tear production is very important for clear vision and eye health. Dry eye patients suffer from discomfort, such as sensitivity to light, stinging, burning, blurriness and grittiness, or complain of scratchy and itchy eyes.^{1–3} The multiple causes of dry eye make its diagnoses and treatment challenging.⁴ Moreover, the current available methods for the diagnosis of dry eye are far from perfect, with poor correlations between signs and symptoms, and between diagnostic tests.⁵

The ideal test should be simple to use, repeatable, sensitive and specific to dry eye 58 disease, and should ideally correlate with symptoms. Several clinical tests focus on examination 59 of tear film quantity (volume), stability, or quality (composition). Tear volume assessment can be 60 carried out using the Schirmer's test⁶ or by tear meniscus measurement.⁷ The Schirmer's test is 61 the most common method for the evaluation of tear production, $^{8-10}$ but its invasive approach 62 makes it liable to reflex tearing.¹¹ The phenol red thread test (PRT) can also be used, and has 63 advantages over Schirmer's test in being more comfortable for the patient, requiring less time 64 and there is no need for anaesthesia⁸, but there is still a question on what exactly the thread is 65 measuring – whether it is the basal secretion rate¹² or perhaps related to wetting characteristics of 66 the thread .¹³ Tear meniscus measurement has the advantage of being non-invasive, depending 67 on technique, but the test lacks universal cut-off values for normative data.² 68

Tear film stability can be assessed by measuring tear break-up time (TBUT).¹⁴ However, 69 further studies are needed to refine the sensitivity, specificity and reproducibility of the test.² 70 Tear clearance assessment can be evaluated by the fluorescein clearance test.^{15,16} The test 71 evaluates reflex tears, basal tears and tear clearance simultaneously with the advantage of being 72 relatively easy to perform and inexpensive.¹⁷ However, low specificity and sensitivity for tear 73 evaluation and reflex tears production are disadvantages.^{17,18} Non-invasive tear break-up time 74 (NITBUT) can assess tear stability, but it has not been confirmed whether this test is evaluating 75 changes in tear stability from changes to the lipid layer or to the overall tear film.¹⁹ 76

Some aspects of the tear film chemical properties can be assessed using tear osmolarity.^{20–22} Osmolarity is a measure of the solute concentration, particularly of ions such as sodium and potassium, in the tear film, and is expressed by the unit mOsm/L. A reduction in tear volume by increased evaporation of decreased production may result in hyper-osmolarity. The TearLabTM osmolarity system (TearLabTM Corp., San Diego, California) can measure the osmolarity of tears efficiently, but the cost associated with the running of this test is high, and repeatability requires multiple testing.²³

An alternative for assessing tear film composition is to use tear ferning (TF), which has showed good specificity and sensitivity.^{24,25} Bodily fluids, when allowed to dry on a glass slide at room temperature and low humidity, produce ferns of specific patterns.²⁶ The process of the TF test involves the use of a glass capillary tube to collect a sample of tears from the inferior tear meniscus.^{25,27} The sample is expelled from the capillary tube and the tears are allowed to dry in air at room temperature.^{26,28} The ferning patterns produced are then observed under light microscopy.²⁹ at magnification levels ranging from 10–100X.^{30,31} 91 In 1984, Rolando suggested a tear ferning (TF) grading scale consisting of four types (I-IV), in which Types I and II were more commonly observed in normal eye subjects, while, 92 Types III and IV were typically observed in dry eye patients.³² Recently, the Masmali 5-point TF 93 grading scale has been developed ³³ which overcomes some of the limitations associated with the 94 Rolando scale.³⁴ The Masmali TF grading scale was found to have good validity in describing 95 TF patterns ³⁵, with Grades ≥ 2 classified as abnormal.^{35,36} With using this new grading scale, the 96 97 TF test has the potential to be practiced in the clinic and can be used as a support for other dry eye tests. 98

99 This paper reports on a study that investigates one aspect of the validity of the TF test:
100 testing the repeatability of tear ferning pattern during different times of the day, using the
101 Masmali grading scale.

102

103 **METHODS**

104 Subjects

105 Twenty-three healthy young adults (15 male and 8 female) who ranged in age from 20 to 32 years (mean±SD: 22.9±3.3 years) were recruited from King Saud University students and 106 staff in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Ethical approval was obtained from the College of Applied 107 Medical Science Research Centre, King Saud University. This study followed the tenets of the 108 Declaration of Helsinki, in which informed consent was obtained from the subjects after an 109 explanation of the nature and possible consequences of the study. Subjects were then examined 110 with routine slit lamp biomicroscopy examination to assess the anterior part of the eye and to 111 confirm the absence of ocular diseases. At this point volunteers also completed the McMonnies' 112 questionnaire to exclude dry eye patients. Dry eye was diagnosed for a score >14.5.^{37,38} In 113

addition, Schirmer I and tear break-up time (TBUT) tests were applied for both eyes of each
subject to assist in assessing exclusion criteria.

A single tear sample (first sample: 9am) was collected prior to the Schirmer test 116 screening to avoid bias, and after applying Schirmer's test, ten minutes was allowed to expire 117 prior to TBUT assessment. All subjects were examined in the same laboratory, where room 118 temperature remained stable at 23°C and 40% humidity (one room was selected for this study 119 and temperature and humidity were checked every day during the study). Subjects spent the day 120 in the building at room temperature, and were examined indoors between 9am and 4pm. All tear 121 samples were collected from the subjects by the same investigator using the same method and 122 under the same condition. 123

The TearFlo[™] Schirmer filter paper strips were purchased from Contacare Ophthalmics and Diagnostics (Gujarat, India) and were applied to both eyes at the same time; a value above 10 mm was considered as normal. The tear break-up time (TBUT) was performed three times in each eye and the average time was recorded. The cut-off value for dry eye was <10 seconds.</p>

The study design was masked to avoid any bias. The McMonnies' questionnaire, slitlamp examination, Schirmer's test and tear collections were completed by one investigator, and the imaging of the tear ferning patterns slides and the grading of the ferning patterns was completed by another investigator, who was blind to the subject's other test results.

132

Tear collection

The tear samples were collected at four different times during the day (9am, 11am, 2pm
and 4pm). Each sample (1µl) was collected from the lower meniscus of the right eye only using a
glass capillary tube (10µl, Drummond Scientific Company, USA) and allowed to dry on a clean,

unused glass slide for 10 minutes under normal room temperature (23°C) and humidity (40%).
Samples were immediately observed under digital microscope (Olympus DP72) with 10X
magnification.³⁵ Each ferning pattern observed was graded using the Masmali TF grading scale ³³
in 0.1 increments to improve grade refinement.³⁹

141

142 Statistical Analysis

Data were collated using Excel (Microsoft Office 2010) and analysed using SPSS 143 software (IBM Software, version 20). Data were examined for normality using Kolmogorov-144 Smirnov tests and were found to be normally distributed (Kolmogorov-Smirnov, p >0.05) for TF 145 grades and not normally distributed (Kolmogorov-Smirnov, p <0.05) for McMonnies, Schirmer 146 and TBUT tests. The mean±standard deviation (SD) was used to describe the results from TF 147 148 grades, while the median±inter-quartile range (IQR) was used to describe the results for McMonnies, Schirmer and TBUT tests. The parametric test (one-way repeated measures 149 ANOVA) was used to compare TF grade at different time points. Since the data collected from 150 151 both eyes for Schirmer and TBUT were correlated (Schirmer's test: Spearman's rho= 0.52; TBUT: Spearman's rho= 0.74), the measurements for the right eye only were used. In normal eye 152 studies, it has been recommended that when the data from both eyes is highly correlated only one 153 eye per participant can be used.⁴⁰ Spearman's correlation was used to investigate the relationship 154 between all data obtained (McMonnies, Schirmer, TBUT and TF grades). Correlation test was 155 used to study the relationship between TF grade, McMonnies, Schirmer and TBUT results. 156 Correlation coefficients were graded as: small (0.10 to 0.29), medium (0.30 to 0.49) and large 157 (0.50 to 1.00).⁴¹ The Coefficient of variation between the four sessions was calculated using the 158 formula (100 X SD)/overall mean).42,43 159

160 **RESULTS**

161 The median (\pm IQR) score for the McMonnies questionnaire was 4.0 \pm 2.0. The median 162 (\pm IQR) values obtained from the Schirmer and TBUT tests were 30.0 \pm 7.0 mm (OD) and 163 16.0 \pm 10.0 s (OD), respectively.

164

165 **Tear Ferning**

There were no significant differences between the TF grades for the four samples, collected at different sessions and different times during the day, within each subject (Wilks' Lambda, p = 0.351), and there were no statistically significant differences between the pair-wise comparisons of any two samples (Table 1).

- 170
- 171 172

Table 1 here

The mean±SD TF grading pattern for the four samples collected from each subject at different times during the day is shown in Figure 1. The average coeffiecent of variation was 0.30% and the cohort range was 0.05% to 1.6%.

- 176
- 177

Figure 1 here

178

As an example, the tear ferning patterns for the four samples collected from one subject at 9am (A), 11am (B), 2pm (C) and 4pm (D), illustrated in Figure 2, showed no significant differences.

182

Figure 2 here

183

184	The Bland-Altman plot showing the mean differences between the four sessions and the			
185	±2SD limits of agreement for all subjects is presented in Figure 3.			
186				
187 188	Figure 3 here			
189	The tear fern grading scale results for the right eye only showed that the majority (84.8%)			
190	of TF grades were between 0.0 and 1.5, with the remaining 15.2% of subjects having TF grades			
191	between 1.6 and 1.9. The mean tear ferning grade for all samples collected during the day was in			
192	the range of 1.0–1.1 (mean \pm SD: 1.1 \pm 0.3), based on the Masmali TF grading scale. ³³ It was found			
193	that the most observed tear ferning patterns (76.1%) corresponding to grades between 0.6 and			
194	1.0. The TF grading scale range percentages are shown in Figure 4.			
195				
196	Figure 4 here			
197				
198	There were small, but not significant, correlations between the TF grades and the			
199	McMonnies questionnaire (Spearman; $r = 0.130$) and TBUT (Spearman; $r = 0.248$), and a			
200	negligible negative correlation with Schirmer test (r = -0.046). A medium (and significant)			
201	correlation was found between McMonnies questionnaire and Schirmer's test, with a Spearman's			
202	correlation (r) of 0.461 (Table 2).			
203				
204	Table 2 here			
205				
206				
207				

208 **DISCUSSION**

209 Tear ferning has been reported to have potential to become a simple clinical test that can evaluate the quality of tear compositions.⁴⁴ By drying a small tear sample on a clean glass slide 210 211 to produce a tear ferning pattern, aspects of tear composition, especially of electrolyte and macromolecule concentration, can be observed.⁴⁵ Tear ferning has its origins in examining the 212 quality of mucins from mucous secreting tissues⁴⁴, but work by Rolando showed its potential for 213 assessing tear film quality.⁴⁶ A significant development was the availability of the Rolando tear 214 fern scale to grade the ferning pattern produced. More recently, in response to weaknesses in the 215 design of the Rolando scale, the Masmali scale was developed. With this new scale, there is 216 potential for tear ferning to become a more regularly included test for the tear film clinician. 217

However, to make a clinical test useful, its repeatability must be known, and should be 218 within acceptable limits. Indeed, the validity of any measurement is absent when it is totally 219 unrepeatable.⁴⁷ The results from this study show good repeatability, with no significant 220 differences in the TF patterns between the four tear samples collected from one eye at different 221 222 times in the day (9am, 11am, 2pm and 4pm), using the Masmali scale. This matches the results of a previous study ³⁵ investigating repeatability with the Rolando scale, which found no 223 significant difference between tear samples collected at only two times of the day (once in the 224 morning and once in the afternoon). However, this study has improved over the previous study, 225 by having four samples for comparison (two samples at different times in the morning and two 226 samples at different times in the afternoon) rather than only two samples during the day, as well 227 as using the Masmali TF grading scale to classify the ferning patterns. 228

A previous study found similar levels of good repeatability, where no significant difference in tear fern pattern was found between five tear samples collected from one eye over the same session, and where no significant difference was found between five drops dried from a
single tear sample.³⁵ The average grade observed also matches previous results for a normal
cohort using the Masmali grading scale.³⁶ The most observed grade was Grade 1 and the mean
was Grade 1.1.

Repeatability of the ferning pattern produced from a tear sample can be potentially 235 influenced by the collection method, and also by the grading scheme.⁴⁸ Norn ⁴⁸ studied the 236 repeatability of two tear sample collection methods - the use of glass rods sampling produced 237 high variability (a coefficient of variation of 99–128%), and while lower variability results were 238 239 obtained by using capillary tubes (coefficient of variation: 35%) for sampling a random volume, 240 and (coefficient of variation: 6.4%) for collecting a standardized tear volume, these coefficients are still high. In contrast, the use of the Masmali grading scale in this study showed excellent 241 242 repeatability for the tear ferning test with a 0.30% average coefficient of variation.

This study has a limitation that it has been done only on healthy subjects, and dry eye 243 subjects may show different result. A significant diurnal variation of visual function and ocular 244 surface physiology,⁴⁹ and of tear osmolarity⁵⁰ have been found in dry eye subjects. So it could be 245 assumed that variation in a dry eye cohort may produce some variability and so the next study 246 that needs doing is to repeat this one using a cohort of dry eye subjects. This study also used 247 fluorescein BUT, and non-invasive TBUT would reveal different characteristics of the tear film, 248 which might be helpful in assessing correlation of tear ferning with other clinical tests for dry 249 250 eye.

The results from this study show that tear ferning has good repeatability, and that the use of the Masmali grading scale, in a healthy subject cohort, will produce consistent grading results. It has also shown that a tear sample collected a different time points will produce a similar ferning pattern. These results support the tear ferning test and suggest that it has potential for clinical and research use, as part of a routine tear film examination.

256

257

258 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors extend their appreciation to the College of Applied Medical Sciences Research Center and the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University for its funding of this research.

262

263 **REFERENCES**

- Jumblatt MM, McKenzie RW, Steele PS, Emberts CG, Jumblatt JE. MUC7 expression in
 the human lacrimal gland and conjunctiva. *Cornea* 2003; 22:41–45.
- 266 2. Abelson MB, Ousler G 3rd. The pros and cons of dry-eye test. *Rev Ophthalmol* 2011;
 7:62–65.
- Abelson MB, Ousler G 3rd, Nally LA, Emory TB. Dry eye syndromes: diagnosis, clinical trials and pharmaceutical treatment "improving clinical trials". *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2002; 506:1079–1086.
- 4. Kent C. Dry eye diagnosis: 21st Century tools. *Rev Ophthalmol* 2013; 13:28–41.
- Savini G, Prabhawsat P, Kojima T, Grueterich M, Espana E, Goto E. The challenge of
 dry eye diagnosis. *Clin Ophthalmol* 2008; 2:31–55.
- Schirmer O. Studien zur physiologie und pathologie der tranen-absonderung und
 tranenabfuhr. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 1903; 56:197–291.
- 276 7. Tiffany JM. Surface tension in tears. *Arch Soc Exp Ophthalmol* 2006; 81:363–366.

- 8. Masmali A, Alqahtani TA, Alharbi A, El-Hiti GA. Comparative study of repeatability of
 phenol red thread test versus Schirmer's test in normal adults in Saudi Arabia. *Eye Contact Lens* 2014; 40:127–131.
- Bawazeer AM, Hodge WG. One-minute Schirmer test with anesthesia. *Cornea* 2003;
 22:285–287.
- 10. de Monchy I, Gendron G, Miceli C, Pogorzalek, N, Mariette X, Labetoulle M.
 Combination of the Schirmer I and phenol red thread tests as a rescue strategy for
 diagnosis of ocular dryness associated with Sjögren's Syndrome. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2011; 52:5167–5173.
- 286 11. Cho P, Yap M. Schirmer test I. A review. *Optom Vis Sci* 1993; 70:152–156.
- 287 12. Sakamoto R, Bennett ES, Henry VA, et al. The phenol red thread tear test: a cross288 cultural study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1993;34:3510–3514.
- Tomlinson A, Blades KJ, Pearce EI. What does the phenol red thread test actually
 measure? *Optom Vis Sci* 2001;78:142–146.14.
- Lemp MA. Breakup of the tear film. *Int Ophthalmol Clin* 1973; 13:97–102.
- Prabhasawat P, Tseng SCG. Frequent association of delayed tear clearance in ocular
 irritation. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1998; 82:666–675.
- 16. Pflugfelder SC, Tseng SCG, Sanabria O, Kell H, Garcia, CG, Felix C, Feuer W, Reis BL.
- Evaluation of subjective assessments tear-film disorders known to cause ocular irritation. *Cornea* 1998; 17:38–56.
- 17. Jordan A, Baum J. Basic tear flow. Does it exit? *Ophthalmology* 1980; 87:920–930.
- 18. Foulks GN. Challenges and pitfalls in clinical trials of treatments for dry eye. *Ocul Surf*2003; 1,20–30.

- 19. 2007 Report of the International Dry Eye WorkShop (DEWS). *Ocul Surf* 2007; 5(2).
- 20. Lemp MA, Bron, AJ, Baudouin C, Benítez Del Castilo JM, Geffen D, Tauber J, Foulks
- 302 GN, Pepose JS, Sullivan D. Tear osmolarity in the diagnosis and management of dry eye
 303 disease. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2011; 151:792–799.
- Szalai E, Berta A, Szekanecz Z, Szûcs G, Módis L. Evaluation of tear osmolarity in non Sjögren and Sjögren syndrome dry eye patients with the TearLab system. *Cornea* 2012;
 31:867–871.
- 307 22. Masmali A, Alrabiah S, Alharbi A, El-Hiti GA, Almubrad T. Investigation of tear
 308 osmolarity using the TearLabTM osmolarity system in normal adults in Saudi Arabia. *Eye*309 *Contact Lens* 2014; 40:74–78.
- 310 23. Khanal S, Millar TJ. Barriers to clinical uptake of tear osmolarity measurments. *Br J*311 *Ophthalmol* 2012; 96:341–344.
- 312 24. Maragou M, Vaikousis E, Ntre A, Koronis N, Georgiou P, Hatzidimitriou M, Sotsiou F,
- Dantis P. Tear and saliva ferning tests in Sjogren's syndrome (SS). *Clin Rheumatol* 1996;
 15:125–132.
- Masmali A, Purslow C, Murphy PJ. The Tear ferning test: a simple clinical technique to
 evaluate the ocular tear film. *Clin Exp Optom* 2014; 97:399–406.
- Abou-Shabanah EH, Plotz EJ. A biochemical study of the cervical and mucus fern
 phenomenon. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1957; 74:559–568.
- 319 27. Norn M. Quantitative tear ferning. Clinical investigations. *Acta Ophthalmol.* 1994;
 320 72:369–372.
- 321 28. Kogbe O, Liotet S, Tiffany JM. Factors responsible for tear ferning. *Cornea* 1991;
 322 10:433–444.

- 323 29. Golding TR, Brennan NA. The basis of tear ferning. *Clin Exp Optom* 1989; 72:102–112.
- 30. Norn M. Ferning in conjunctival-cyctologic preparations. Crystallisation in stained
 semiquantitative pipette samples of conjunctival fluid. *Acta Ophthalmol* 1987; 66:201–
 205.
- 327 31. Ravazzoni L, Ghini C, Macri A, Rolando M. Forecasting of hydrophilic contact lens
 328 tolerance by means of tear ferning test. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 1998;
 329 236:354–358.
- 330 32. Rolando M. Tear mucus ferning test in normal and keratoconjunctivitis sicca eyes.
 331 *Chibret Int J Ophthalmol* 1984; 2:32–41.
- 332 33. Masmali AM, Murphy PJ, Purslow C. Development of a new grading scale for tear
 333 ferning. *Cont Lens Anterior Eye* 2014; 37:178–184.
- 334 34. Tabbara KF, Okumoto M. Ocular ferning test. A qualitative test for mucus deficiency.
 335 *Ophthalmology* 1982; 89:712–714.
- 336 35. Masmali AM. Development of a tear ferning test protocol and a new grading scale. PhD
 337 thesis, Cardiff University, 2010.
- 338 36. Masmali AM, Al-Qhtani S, Al-Gasham TM, El-Hiti GA, Purslow C, Murphy PJ.
- Application of a new grading scale for tear ferning in healthy and dry eye subjects. *Cont Lens Anterior Eye* 2014; in press; doi: 10.1016/j.clae.2014.09.007.
- 341 37. McMonnies CW, Ho A. Responses to a dry eye questionnaire from a normal population.
 342 *J Am Optom Assoc* 1987; 58:588–591.
- 343 38. Nichols KK, Nichols JJ, Mitchell G, Lynn M. The reliability and validity of McMonnies
 344 dry eye index. *Cornea* 2004; 23:365–371.

345	39.	Bailey IL, Bullimore MA, Raasch TW, Taylor HR. Clinical grading and the effects of
346		scaling. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1991; 32:422-432.

- McAlinden C, Khadka J, Pesudovs K. Statistical methods for conducting agreement
 (comparison of clinical tests) and precision (repeatability or reproducibility) studies in
 optometry and ophthalmology. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2011; 31:330–338.
- 41. Cohen JW. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. Hillsdale NJ, Lawrence
 Erlbaum Associates, 1988.
- Li H, Leung, CKS, Cheung CYL, Wong L, Pang CP, Weinred RN, Lam DSC.
 Repeatability and reproducibility of anterior chamber angle measurement with anterior
 segment optical coherence tomography. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2007; 91:1490–1492.
- 43. Polito A, Del Berrello M, Zemella N, Bandello F. Repeatability and reproducibility of
 fast macular thickness mapping with stratus optical coherence tomography. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2005; 123:1330–1337.
- Tabbara KF, Okumoto M. Ocular ferning test. A qualitative test for mucus deficiency. *Ophthalmology* 1982; 89: 712–714.
- Kogbe O, Liotet S, Tiffany JM. Factors responsible for tear ferning. *Cornea* 1991; 10:
 433–444.
- 362 46. Rolando M. Tear mucus ferning test in normal and keratoconjunctivitis sicca eyes.
- 363 *Chibret Int J Ophthalmol* 1984; 2: 32–41.
- 364 47. Chinn S. Statistics in respiratory medicine. 2. Repeatability and method comparison.
 365 *Thorax* 1991; 46:454–456.
- 48. Norn M. Quantitative tear ferning. Methodologic and experimental investigations. *Acta Ophthalmol* 1988; 66:201–205.

368	49.	Walker PM, Lane KL, Ousler GW, 3rd, Abelson MB. Diurnal variation of visual function
369		and the signs and symptoms of dry eye. Cornea 2010; 29:607-612.
370	50.	Li M, Du C, Zhu D, Shen M, Cui L, Wang J. Daytime variations of tear osmolarity and
371		tear meniscus volume. Eye Contact Lens 2012; 38:282-287.
372		
373		
374	Figure	es Legend
375	FIG. 2	1. The mean±SD TF grade for the four samples collected from each subject at different
376	time d	uring the day.
377		
378	FIG. 2	2. Tear ferning patterns of the four samples collected from one subject at 9am (A), 11am
379	(B), 2p	pm (C) and 4pm (D), showing no significant differences (Grade 0).
380		
381	FIG. (3. Bland- Altman plot showing the mean differences between the four sessions and the
382	±2SD	limits of agreement for all subjects.
383		
384	FIG. 4	• Percentages of the TF grades range during the day.
385		
386		
387		
388		
389		
390		

Tear		Mean	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval of the 94	
Samples		Differences		Differences	395
				Lower	Upper
	2	-0.004	1	-0.266	0.25396
1	3	0.035	1	-0.184	0.253
	4	0.135	0.797	-0.115	0.38 3 97
	1	0.004	1	-0.258	0.266
2	3	0.039	1	-0.234	0.31 3 98
	4	0.139	0.598	-0.095	0.374
	1	-0.035	1	-0.253	0.184
3	2	-0.039	1	-0.312	0.234
	4	0.100	1	-0.160	0.360
	1	-0.135	0.797	-0.385	0.11501
4	2	-0.139	0.598	-0.374	0.095
	3	-0.100	1	-0.360	0.16 <u>0</u> 02

TABLE 1. Mean Differences and Confidence Interval for Repeatability of TF Grades

404 TABLE 2. Correlation Between TF Grade, McMonnies Score, Schirmer and TBUT Tests
405

Test/Correlation		TF	McMonnies	Schirmer	TBUT
TF	Spearman's Correlation	1	0.130	-0.046	0.248
11	Sig. (2-tailed)		0.553	0.834	0.254
	Ν	23	23	23	23
McMonnies	Spearman's Correlation	0.130	1	0.461 ^{<i>a</i>}	-0.183
Wiewionnies	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.553		0.027	0.403
	Ν	23	23	23	23
Schirmer (OD)	Spearman's Correlation	-0.046	0.461 ^{<i>a</i>}	1	-0.189
Seminer (OD)	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.834	0.027		0.389
	Ν	23	23	23	23
TRUT (OD)	Spearman's Correlation	0.248	-0.183	-0.189	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.254	0.403	0.389	
	Ν	23	23	23	23

a Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level.



Figure 4











C(2pm)



