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#### Abstract

This thesis makes a contribution to the solution of Hadamard's problem for some relativistic wave equations on Petrov type III space-times. For the conformally invariant scalar wave equation we show that if any one of the spin coefficients $\alpha, \beta, \pi$ or Ricci spin coefficient $\Phi_{11}$ vanishes in an appropriate null tetrad, then Huygens' principle is not satisfied on Petrov type III space-times. We also show that the corresponding problem for the non-self-adjoint scalar wave equation can be reduced to the conformally invariant scalar equation case. Finally, we prove that there are no Petrov type III space-times on which either the conformally invariant scalar equation, Weyl's neutrino equation or Maxwell's equations satisfy Huygens' principle in the strict sense. In order to obtain the above results we have employed Newman-Penrose spin coefficient formalism and Penrose's two-component spinor formalism, together with their implementations available in the computer algebra system Maple, to determine the components of the tensorial relations given by the imposition of Huygens' principle. The resulting system of polynomial equations is then analysed by using a variant of Buchberger's algorithm, available in Maple.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

In this Thesis we are concerned with the validity of Huygens' principle for wave propagation in curved space-times. Probably the first systematic study of wave propagation through a medium was made by Christiaan Huygens [46] in his "Traité de la Lumière", in 1690. The term "Huygens' principle", however, was not clear until its precise mathematical meaning, within the context of the theory of hyperbolic partial differential equations, was given by Hadamard [42] in 1923. His formulation, given in his Yale lectures on the Cauchy problem is the following:
(A) (major premise). The action of phenomena produced at the instant $t=0$ on the state of matter at the later time $t=t_{0}$ takes place by the mediation of every intermediate instant $t=t^{\prime}$, i.e. (assuming $0<t^{\prime}<t_{0}$ ), in order to find out what takes place for $t=t_{0}$, we can deduce from the state at $t=0$ the state at $t=t^{\prime}$ and, from the latter, the required state at $t=t_{0}$.
(B) (minor premise). If, at the instant $t=0$, or more precisely, in the short interval $-\epsilon \leq t \leq 0$, we produce a luminous disturbance localized in the immediate neighbourhood of $O$, the effect at the subsequent instant $t=t^{\prime}$ is localized in a very thin spherical shell with centre $O$ and radius $c t^{\prime}$, where $c$ is the velocity of the light.
(C) (conclusion). In order to calculate the effect of the initial phenomenon produced at $O$ at $t=0$, we may replace it by a proper system of disturbances taking place at $t=t^{\prime}$ and distributed over the surface of the sphere with centre $O$ and radius $c t^{\prime}$.

Premise (A) can be considered as a statement of the causality principle. Premise (C) is the principle of superposition of secondary waves, or diffusion of waves, which holds for all kinds of wave propagation. What was considered by Hadamard as the proper Huygens' principle, or "Huygens' principle in the narrow sense" is premise (B). Unlike
the diffusion of waves, premise (B), which essentially asserts that signals emitted sharply will propagate sharply, is a very exceptional phenomenon, since a small perturbation in the wave equation will destroy the property.

Huygens' principle is more precisely formulated using the concept of Cauchy's problem. Let us consider the general second order hyperbolic equation in $n$ dimensions, with $C^{\infty}$ coefficients:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P[u]:=\sum_{i, j=0}^{n} g^{i j}(x) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}+\sum_{i=0}^{n} A^{i}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{i}}+B(x) u=f(x) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Cauchy problem for (1.1) is the problem of determining a solution which assumes given values of $u$ and its normal derivative on a given space-like ( $n-1$ )-dimensional manifold $S$. These given values are called Cauchy data. The first general solution to Cauchy's problem for (1.1)was given by Hadamard [42]. He has shown that in general, for the second order hyperbolic equation (1.1) the solution $\boldsymbol{u}(\xi)$, at fixed point $\xi$, depends on the data in the interior of the region defined by $S \cap C^{-}(\xi)$, where $S$ is the initial surface and $C^{-}(\xi)$ is the past characteristic conoid with vertex at $\xi$. If the solution depends only on the data in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of $S \cap C^{-}(\xi)$ for every Cauchy problem and for every point of the manifold, we say that the equation satisfies Huygens' principle, or that it is a Huygens' equation. The simplest examples of Huygens' equations are the ordinary wave equations, also called trivial equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}-\Delta_{N}\right) u\left(t, x^{1}, \ldots, x^{N}\right)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{N}:=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}}+\cdots \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\left(x^{N}\right)^{2}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $N:=n-1$. For the initial data

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0, x)=0, \quad u_{t}(0, x)=\delta(x) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the fundamental solutions have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{2}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{H\left(t^{2}-|x|^{2}\right)}{\sqrt{t^{2}-|x|^{2}}} \quad N=2  \tag{1.5}\\
& G_{3}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \delta\left(t^{2}-|x|^{2}\right) \quad N=3 \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $H$ is the Heaviside function, defined by $H(t)=1$ if $t \geq 0$, and $H(t)=0$ if $t<0$, and $\delta$ is the Dirac delta functional. Equation (1.6) shows that for $N=3$ the support of
the fundamental solution is located only on the characteristic cone surface, i.e., for $t>0$ the solution will always stay concentrated on the surface of a sphere of radius $|x|=t$, propagating sharply. Thus, Huygens' principle is satisfied in this case. For $N=2$, (1.5) shows that the support of the fundamental solution is contained in the interior of the characteristic cone and, for $t>0$, the solution is concentrated on the surface of a disk $|x| \leq t$. Propagation here is not sharp in general. Once a signal has reached a point in space, it persists there indefinitely as a reverberation, thus violating Huygens' principle. Hadamard also showed [42] that in order that Huygens' principle be valid for (1.1) it is necessary that $n \geq 4$ be even. For (1.1) it can be shown [42] [32] that for $n$ even, the forward and backward fundamental solutions $G_{ \pm}$of the operator $P$ split in two parts as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{ \pm}(x)=G_{ \pm}^{\operatorname{sing}}(x)+G_{ \pm}^{r e g}(x), \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp} G_{ \pm}^{\operatorname{sing} g}(x)=C^{ \pm}(x), \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{ \pm}^{r e g}(\xi)=\int_{D_{ \pm}(\xi)} K(x, \xi) f(\xi) d^{m} \xi \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is a smooth kernel. It is from this "tail term" $K$ that the necessary conditions for the validity of Huygens' principle can be obtained. In general there are some coefficients of (1.1) which make this kernel vanish at all points. For $n$ odd however, it can be shown that such decomposition is impossible. An example is given by (1.5), which is singular on $C^{-}$.

Two equations of the form (1.1) are said to be equivalent if they are related by one or a combination of the following transformations, called trivial transformations which preserve the Huygens' character of the differential equation:
(a) a transformation of coordinates;
(b) multiplication of both sides of the equation by a non-vanishing factor $e^{-2 \phi}$, where $\phi(x)$ is an arbitrary function of the coordinates (this transformation induces a conformal transformation of the metric);
(c) replacement of the unknown $u$ by $\lambda u$, where $\lambda(x)$ is a non-vanishing functions of the coordinates.

Hadamard's problem is the problem of finding all Huygens' equations. For the scalar wave equation it can be formulated as follows:

Determine explicitly all equivalence classes of Huygens' equations modulo the trivial transformations, on the set of all second order linear hyperbolic partial differential equations of the form (1.1).

Although this problem has been studied extensively, it is still far from being solved. A conjecture that R. Courant [26] attributed to Hadamard states that the only Huygens' operators are the trivial ones. This is known as Hadamard's conjecture. Mathisson [56] Hadamard [43] and Asgeirsson [8] proved this conjecture for the case of four-dimensional Minkowskian space-times. They have considered hyperbolic equations of the form (1.1) with $g^{i j}=$ const. and proved that they satisfy Huygens' principle if and only if they are equivalent to the ordinary wave equation, i.e., $A^{i}=0, B=0$. However, Stellmacher [76] showed in 1953 that this conjecture is not valid for Minkowski spaces with $N$ odd and $N \geq 5$. These non-trivial hyperbolic equations are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}-\Delta_{N}\right) u+\frac{2}{\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}} u=0, \quad\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}-\Delta_{N}\right) u+\frac{2}{(t)^{2}} u=0 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Stellmacher also proved that for $N=5$ the above equations exhaust all possible cases for non-trivial Huygens' equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}-\Delta_{5}\right) u+B\left(t, x^{1}, \ldots, x^{5}\right) u=0 \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further generalizations for Minkowski spaces were obtained by Stellmacher, Lagnese, [77] [51] [52] [53] [54], Berest and Veselov [13] [15] [14].

For many years there was a suspicion that the four-dimensional Minkowski space, and the Riemannian space-times conformally related to it, were the only instances of spacetimes in which Huygens' principle for the second order linear hyperbolic operator is valid. However, in 1965 Günther [40] established the existence of non-conformally flat spacetimes for which the scalar wave equation (1.1), with $A^{i}=0$ and $B=0$, is a Huygens' equation. These space-times are described by the following metric:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=2 d x^{0} d x^{1}-a_{i j} d x^{i} d x^{j} \quad(i, j=2,3) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The symmetric matrix ( $a_{i j}$ ) is positive definite with components which are functions only of $x^{0}$. This family of metrics had been previously studied by Petrov [68], who classified it as a maximum mobility space-time of type $\mathbf{T}_{2}$. This metric had also been studied by Ehlers and Kundt [31] in a coordinate system where it has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=2 d v\left[d u+\left(D z^{2}+\bar{D} \bar{z}^{2}+e z \bar{z}\right) d v\right]-2 d z d \bar{z} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D=D(v)$ and $e=e(v)=\bar{e}$. This is the exact plane gravitational wave solution of the vacuum or Einstein-Maxwell equations. Up to now these are the only known nontrivial Huygens' equations for $n=4$. In particular, McLenaghan [58] proved the following result:

The general scalar wave equation on space-times that are conformally related to an empty space-time ( $R_{a b}=0$ ), satisfies Huygens' principle only if it is equivalent to the plane-wave metric (1.13).

Thus, Hadamard's problem was solved for this case. One special aspect of the spacetimes with metric (1.12) is that their conformal group is nontrivial in the sense that in any space-time which is conformally equivalent to (1.12), the conformal group is wider than the group of isometric motions [49]. It was shown by Ibragimov [47] [48] [49] that in space-times with non-trivial conformal group, conformal invariance of the associated scalar operator implies the validity of Huygens' principle.

By imposing that the tail term on the elementary solution vanishes, Hadamard was able to establish a necessary and sufficient condition for the validity of Huygens' principle, for the scalar wave equation. From this criteriion, necessary conditions, involving the coefficients of the equation, were obtained, allowing deeper investigations about the nature of Huygens' equations. These conformally invariant, symmetric, trace-free tensorial expressions are the result of work of several researchers (see Section 2.3.2). By considering these necessary conditions, Carminati and McLenaghan [18] have outlined a program for the solution of Hadamard's problem in four dimensions based on the Petrov classification of the Weyl conformal curvature tensor, in the respective five disjoint cases. This seems to be a very effective way to deal with the problem, since the Petrov type is invariant under a general conformal transformation.

Hadamard's problem for (1.1) was completely solved for Petrov type N space-times by Carminati, McLenaghan and Walton [19] [20] [61], and the following result was found:

Every Petrov type $N$ space-time on which equation (1.1) satisfies Huygens' principle is conformally related to an exact plane wave space-time (1.13).

Equation (1.1) with $A^{i}=0$ and $B=R / 6$, where $R$ is the Ricci scalar associated to the metric $g_{i j}$, is known as the conformally invariant scalar wave equation. Equation (1.1) with $A^{i} \#$ is called non-self-adjoint equation. In Petrov type D space-times the following result was obtained by Carminati, McLenaghan and Williams [21] [62] and Wünsch [87]:

There exist no Petrov type $D$ space-times on which the conformally invariant scalar wave equation satisfies Huygens' principle.

In all other cases the results are partial, but there are indications that the following conjecture may be true [19] [20] [23]:

Every space-time on which the conformally invariant scalar wave equation satisfies Huygens' principle is conformally related to the plane-wave spacetime (1.13) or is conformally flat.

In this Thesis we are also concerned with Hadamard's problem formulated for Maxwell's equations for the electromagnetic field [40]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \omega=0, \quad \delta \omega=0 \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ is the 2-form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \omega_{i j} d x^{i} \wedge d x^{j} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The symbols $d$ and $\delta$ denote the exterior differentiation and codifferentiation operators, respectively. The initial value problem for (1.14) was studied by Duff [30] in 1953, using the Riesz kernel formalism [71], and Lichnerowicz [55] in 1961, using the distribution formalism. Günther [40] used the first method to establish the first necessary condition for the validity of Huygens' principle, which is the vanishing of Bach's tensor.

We are also concerned with Weyl's neutrino equation. It can be expressed in a unified form with Maxwell's equations in the following form [85]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(M \varphi)_{A_{1} \ldots A_{m-1} \dot{X}_{0}}:=\nabla^{K} \dot{X}_{0} \varphi_{K A_{1} \cdots A_{m-1}}=0 \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi$ is a symmetric ( $m, 0$ )-spinor field, and $\nabla^{K} \dot{X}$ is the covariant derivative on 2 spinors. For $m=1$ we have the Weyl neutrino equation and for $m=2$ we have the homogeneous Maxwell's equations.

Günther and Wünsch [39] [41] [82] [84] [85] [86] have formulated Cauchy's problem for these equations and several other spinor field equations by defining the Riesz spinor kernel. By using Hadamard's criteria for the resulting representation formulas, necessary conditions for the validity of Huygens' principle were obtained for Maxwell's equations and Weyl's neutrino equation [39] [41] [82] [84] [85] [36] [3]. Using these conditions, Carminati and McLenaghan [21], Wünsch [87], and McLenaghan and Williams [62] obtained the following result:

There exist no Petrov type $D$ space-times on which Maxwell's equations (1.14) or Weyl's neutrino equation (1.16) satisfy Huygens' principle.

For Maxwell's equations and Weyl's neutrino equation on Petrov type N space-times, Hadamard's problem was solved recently by Wünsch [89]:

Every Petrov type $N$ space-time or C-space-time ${ }^{1}$ on which Maxwell's equations or Weyl's neutrino equation satisfy Huygens' principle is conformally related to the exact plane-wave space-time (1.13).

In this Thesis we make contributions to the study of Hadamard's problem for the non-self-adjoint and self-adjoint scalar wave equations, Maxwell's equations and Weyl's neutrino equation on Petrov type III space-times. A physical example of a Petrov type III space-time was given by Robinson and Trautman [73]. For this purpose we have followed a research program started by Carminati and McLenaghan [18] which consists in analysing the necessary conditions for each Petrov type, using the tools of two-component spinor formalism of Penrose [67] [69] and the spin-coefficient formalism of Newman and Penrose [64], [24] (see Appendix C). Essentially, the analysis starts with the use of the conformal invariance of the problem and a convenient choice of local basis (dyads) to simplify the necessary conditions. The tensorial expressions for these conditions must be converted first to their corresponding spinor form and then decomposed in a dyad basis.

The task of representing tensorial expressions in a spinor dyad basis involves lengthy calculations, especially when the expressions contain derivatives of third order of the Weyl tensor. However, using the Maple computer algebra package NPspinor, developed by Czapor and McLenaghan [27] [29], we were able to do these computations very efficiently. After the conversion to the dyad form is completed, we still have to face the problem of using the expressions obtained from the necessary conditions together with the NP Ricci identities, NP Bianchi identities and NP commutation relations to obtain useful Pfaffian and polynomial relations. Although the expressions involved are in general very large, NPspinor provides efficient tools for their manipulation.

The final problem is to solve the resulting (very large, in general) polynomial system, involving the NP scalars. For this purpose we have used with success the Maple package grobner, developed by Czapor [28], which makes use of Buchbergers' algorithm to find Gröbner bases for systems of polynomial equations (see Appendix A). Our experience shows that a direct application of Buchberger's algorithm to our problem, using the procedure gbasis from grobner or the package Gb [34] [33], in total degree or lexicographical ordering, is not practical. However, the procedure gsolve from grobner has proved to be very successfull in this case. This procedure computes a collection of reduced lexicographic Gröbner bases corresponding to a set of polynomials. The system corresponding to the set is first subdivided by factorization. Then a variant of Buchberger's algorithm which factors all intermediate results is applied to each subsystem. The result is a list of reduced subsystems whose roots are those of the original system, but whose variables

[^0]have been successively eliminated and separated as far as possible. This means that instead of trying to find a Gröbner basis, the package attempts to factor the polynomials that form the system after each step of the reduction algorithm.

Next we summarize the contents of the chapters that form this Thesis.
In Chapter 2 we present a review for the Cauchy problem and Huygens' principle for the second order linear partial differential equations of normal hyperbolic type in curved space. In Section 2.1 we present some basic definitions. Section 2.2 is based on Friedlander's book [32], and McLenaghan's works [57] [60], and summarizes the procedures for the construction of the fundamental solution of (1.1) and the representation formula. This approach is based on the work of Hadamard [42], although the theory of scalar distributions is used. As we shall see, he fundamental solution consists of the sum of two terms: a singular part, with support on the characteristic cone, and a regular part, with support in the interior of the cone. By imposing that the regular part vanish, we can obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the validity of Huygens' principle. Finally, in Section 2.3 we present a review based on McLenaghan's fundamental paper [60] showing how to derive explicit necessary conditions from the expansion of the diffusion kernel in a Taylor series in normal coordinates. The form of the known necessary conditions is presented.

Chapter 3 is dedicated to the study of Hadamard's problem for the conformally invariant scalar equation (also called the self-adjoint scalar equation) on Petrov type III space-times. Carminati and McLenaghan [22], using the two- and four- index necessary conditions and the Newman-Penrose formalism have proved the following result:

If any one of the following three conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{A B C D ; E \dot{E}}{ }^{A}{ }_{\iota}{ }^{B}{ }_{\iota}{ }_{\iota}{ }_{\iota} \bar{o}_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}=0,  \tag{1.17}\\
& \Psi_{A B C D ; B \dot{E}}{ }^{A}{ }_{\iota}{ }^{B}{ }_{o}{ }^{D}{ }_{o}{ }^{E} \dot{\imath} \dot{E}=0,  \tag{1.18}\\
& \Psi_{A B C D ; E \dot{E}} \iota^{A} \iota_{\iota}^{B}{ }_{\iota}{ }_{o}{ }^{E} \bar{o}^{\dot{E}}=0, \tag{1.19}
\end{align*}
$$

is satisfied, then there exist no Petrov type III space-times on which the conformally invariant scalar wave equation (1.1) satisfies Huygens' principle.

This is the same as stating that, with the particular choice of tetrad and conformal gauge used to deal with this problem, space-times for which any one of the NP coefficients, $\alpha, \beta$ or $\pi$ is zero, violate Huygens' principle. Using the six-index necessary condition of Rinke and Wünsch [72], we have found a system of polynomials involving $\alpha, \beta, \pi$ and the constant NP Ricci component $\Phi_{11}$. Although the complete solution of the problem was not yet achieved, we give a step further towards its solution by proving that,

On Petrov type III space-times, the validity of Huygens' principle for the conformally invariant equation (3.1) implies that $\Phi_{A B \dot{A} \dot{B}} o^{A^{A}}{ }^{B} \bar{o}^{\dot{A}} \dot{\bar{L}}^{\dot{B}} \neq 0$.

Chapter 4 is dedicated to the non-self-adjoint scalar equation. Here we have used a five-index condition derived Anderson and McLenaghan [5] [6], extending the results found recently by the same authors [7]. Our main result can be stated in the following way:

If a non-self-adjoint scalar equation satisfies Huygens' principle on Petrov type III space-times, then it must be equivalent to the conformally invariant scalar wave equation.

In Chapter 5 we study Hadamard's problem for Maxwell's equations and Weyl's neutrino equation on Petrov type III space-times. In Sections 5.2 and 5.3 we give a review based mainly on Wünsch and Günther's papers [39] [41] [82] [84] [85]. We show how Riesz kernel theory can be used for Maxwell's equations and some spinor equations, to determine necessary and sufficient conditions for the validity of Huygens' principle. We also show how the theory of conformally invariant, rational integral, metric differential concomitants [78] [70] [82] can provide a very elegant tool in the determination of the necessary conditions [36] [37] [3]. In Section 5.4 we describe some important results for the Hadamard problem and present the known necessary conditions. Finally, in Section 5.6 we use the five-index necessary condition derived by Alvarez, Gerlach and Wünsch [3] [4] [36] to prove the main result of the Chapter, which solves Hadamard's problem in this case [63]:

There exist no Petrov type III space-times on which Maxwell's equations or Weyl's equation satisfy Huygens' principle.

In Chapter 6 we present the conclusions of this work and prospects for future research on this subject.

Appendix A is a brief introduction to the theory of Gröbner bases.
Appendix B makes a brief description of the two-component spinor formalism of Penrose [67] [69] and the spin-coefficient formalism of Newman and Penrose [64], [24].

Appendix C lists the NP field equations and commutation relations.
Appendix D lists the conventions used in this Thesis.
Appendix E gives a list of some Maple codes used to perform the NP calculations.

## Chapter 2

## Huygens' Principle for the Scalar Wave Equation

### 2.1 Definitions

Let us consider the general linear second-order hyperbolic equation with $C^{\infty}$ coefficients:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P[u]:=\sum_{i, j=0}^{n} g^{i j}(x) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}+\sum_{i=0}^{n} A^{i}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{i}}+\dot{B}(x) u=0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation can be interpreted as a generalized wave equation in a pseudo-Riemannian space $\mathcal{M}^{n}$ with a metric defined by the principal part of the operator $P$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=g_{i j}(x) \mathrm{d} x^{i} \mathrm{~d} x^{j}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\|g_{i j}(x)\right\|=: g=\left\|g^{i j}(x)\right\|^{-1}$. The hyperbolic character of (2.1) implies that the metric (2.2) has a Lorentzian signature ( $+,-, \cdots,-$ ). The operator $P$ can be expressed in covariant form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\square+A^{k}(x) \nabla_{k}+B(x), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square:=g^{i j} \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(\sqrt{-g} g^{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}\right), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $g:=\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i j}(x)\right)$, is the Laplace-Beltrami operator defined on $\mathcal{M}^{n}$, and $\nabla_{k}$ is the covariant derivative defined in terms of the metric (2.2).

The Cauchy problem for the wave equation $P u=f$, where $f$ is $C^{\infty}$, consists of finding a $C^{2}$ solution in a neighborhood of a ( $n-1$ )-dimensional space-like hyper surface $S$, given $u$ and $\nabla u$ on $S$. We denote a point in $\mathcal{M}^{n}$ by $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x^{0}, x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$.

The considerations here will be local. This means that we will be restricted to an open connected neighborhood $\Omega \in \mathcal{M}^{n}$ of a point $x_{0}$. The null (characteristic) conoid through any point $x_{0} \in \Omega$ will be denoted by $C\left(x_{0}\right)$. It is defined as the set of points $x$ such that $\Gamma\left(x_{0}, x\right)=0$, where $\Gamma\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ is the square of the geodesic distance of $x$ from $x_{0}$. The null cone is separated into two null semi-conoids $C^{+}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $C^{-}\left(x_{0}\right)$, called respectively the future (or forward) and past (or backward) conoid, respectively, such that $C\left(x_{0}\right)=C^{+}\left(x_{0}\right) \cup C^{-}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $C^{+}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap C^{-}\left(x_{0}\right)=\left\{x_{0}\right\}$. The corresponding open subsets of $\Omega$ bounded by $C^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}\right)$ are denoted by $D^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{+}\left(x_{0}\right) \cup D^{-}\left(x_{0}\right)=\left\{x \in \Omega, \Gamma\left(x_{0}, x\right)>0\right\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The closure of $\left.D^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}\right), \overline{D^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}\right)}\right)$, is called the future (past) emission of $x_{0}$ and is denoted by $J^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}\right)$.

Let $C_{0}^{k}$ denote the class of all functions in $C^{k}$ with compact support. The members of $C_{0}^{\infty}$ are called test functions and will be denoted by $\phi$. A distribution $\langle f, \phi\rangle$ is defined as a continuous linear functional on $C_{0}^{\infty}$. The symbol $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega)$ denotes the vector space of distributions in $\Omega$.

It is useful to reformulate Huygens' principle, in the sense of Hadamard's premise (B), in a more general way. The homogeneous equation $P u=0$ satisfies Huygens' principle if $u(x)$ depends only on the Cauchy data in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of $C^{-}(x) \cap S$ (the intersection between the backward characteristic conoid with vertex at $x$ and the initial surface $S$ ), for arbitrary Cauchy data on $S$, arbitrary $S$, and for all points $\boldsymbol{x}$ in the future of $S$.

The forward (backward) fundamental solution of the operator $P$ is defined as a distribution $G^{ \pm} \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
P\left[G^{ \pm}\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right]=\delta_{x_{0}}(x)  \tag{2.6}\\
\operatorname{supp} G^{ \pm}\left(x, x_{0}\right) \subseteq \overline{D^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}\right)} \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\delta_{x_{0}}(x)$ is a Dirac delta-measure on $\mathcal{M}^{n}$ concentrated at the point $x_{0}$.
In Subsection 2.2 .5 it will be shown that the operator $P$, defined by (2.3), is a Huygens' operator in a connected open set $\Omega \subset \mathcal{M}^{n}$ if and only if for every point $\boldsymbol{z}_{0} \in \Omega$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp} G^{ \pm}\left(x, x_{0}\right) \subseteq C^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2 Fundamental solutions and representation formula

### 2.2.1 The ordinary wave equation

Let us consider the ordinary wave equation on a four-dimensional Minkowskian spacetime,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square u=\left(\partial_{0}^{2}-\partial_{1}^{2}-\partial_{2}^{2}-\partial_{3}^{2}\right) u=f \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial_{i}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}$, and $x$ denotes a point on $\mathbf{R}^{4}$, with Cartesian coordinates $(t, X)=$ ( $x^{0}, x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}$ ). This can be also considered, in a fixed coordinate system, as a partial differential equation on $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{4}}$.

A fundamental solution of (2.9) is a distribution $G$ such that $\square G(x)=\delta(x)$. This means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle G, \square \phi\rangle=\langle\square G, \phi\rangle=\phi(0), \quad \text { for all } \phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{4}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we shall show how to construct the fundamental solution for the ordinary wave equation (2.9). First, we need to enunciate a lemma from distribution theory [32]:

Lemma 2.1 Let $\rho(x) \in C_{0}^{\infty}, x \in \mathbf{R}$, be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(x) \geq 0, \quad \operatorname{supp} \rho \subset\{x ;|x| \leq 1\}, \quad \int \rho d x=1 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $f(x) \in C^{k}$, and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{e}(x)=\epsilon^{-n} \int f(y) \rho\left(\frac{x-y}{\epsilon}\right) d y \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is a positive number and $y \in \mathbf{R}$. Then $f_{e} \in C^{\infty}$, and its support is contained in an $\epsilon$-neighborhood of $\operatorname{supp} f$. Moreover, if $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, then $\partial^{\alpha} f_{\epsilon} \rightarrow \partial^{\alpha} f$ for all $\alpha$ with $|\alpha| \leq k$, uniformly in any compact set.

We are now ready to prove the following theorem:
Theorem 2.1 Let $r$ denote the Euclidean norm of $X \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{3}}$. The two distributions

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{+}=\frac{\delta(t-r)}{4 \pi r}, \quad G^{-}=\frac{\delta(t+r)}{4 \pi r} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle G^{+}, \phi\right\rangle=\int \frac{\phi(r, X)}{4 \pi r} d X, \quad\left\langle G^{-}, \phi\right\rangle=\int \frac{\phi(-r, X)}{4 \pi r} d X \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d X$ is the volume element on $\mathbf{R}^{3}$, are fundamental solutions of the wave equation (2.9).

Proof: If $\psi(t) \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$, then the function $(X, t) \rightarrow \psi(t-r) / r$ is locally integrable, and so can be identified with the distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\frac{\psi(t-r)}{r}, \phi\right\rangle=\int \frac{\psi(t-r)}{r} \phi(t, X) d t d X . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\square \frac{\psi(t-r)}{r}, \phi\right\rangle=\left\langle\frac{\psi(t-r)}{r}, \square \phi\right\rangle=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{r \geq e} \frac{\psi(t-r)}{r} \square \phi d t d X . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\square[\psi(t-r) / r]=0$ on $r \geq \epsilon$, the last integral can be written in the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{r \geq e}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial X^{2}}\right)\left[\frac{\psi(t-r)}{r} \phi\right] d t d X=\int_{r \geq e}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\frac{\psi(t-r)}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}+\frac{\psi^{\prime}(t-r)}{r} \phi\right]-\right. \\
\left.\nabla_{X} \cdot\left[\frac{\psi(t-r)}{r} \nabla_{X} \phi-\phi \nabla_{X}\left(\frac{\psi(t-r)}{r}\right)\right]\right\} d t d X \tag{2.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

The integral of the $\partial / \partial t$ term is zero, since $\psi(t)$ has compact support. The remaining terms are, according to the divergence theorem, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d t \int_{r=e}\left[\frac{\psi(t-r)}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}-\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{\psi(t-r)}{r}\right] r^{2} d w, \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d w$ is the surface element on $S^{2}$. This can also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d t \int \phi(t, \epsilon \theta) \psi(t-\epsilon) d w+O(\epsilon), \quad \theta \in S^{2} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\square \frac{\psi(t-r)}{r}, \phi\right\rangle=4 \pi \int d t \psi(t) \phi(t, 0)=4 \pi\langle\psi(t) \otimes \delta(X), \phi(t, X)\rangle . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square \frac{\psi(t-r)}{r}=4 \pi \delta(t) \otimes \delta(X) . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us take $\psi(t) \geq 0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(t) \geq 0, \quad \operatorname{supp} \psi \subset\{t ;|t| \leq 1\}, \quad \int \psi d t=1 . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by Lemma 2.1, there exists a function $\psi_{\nu} \in C^{\infty}$, where $\nu$ is a positive number, that tends to the distribution $\delta(t)$ when $\nu \rightarrow 0$. Replacing $\psi$ by $\psi_{\nu}$ in (2.15), and changing the variables of integration on the right-hand-side, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\frac{\psi_{\nu}(t-r)}{r}, \phi\right\rangle=\int \psi_{\nu}(t) d t \int \frac{\phi(t+r, X)}{r} d X . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, from Lemma 2.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\frac{\psi_{\nu}(t-r)}{r}, \phi\right\rangle=\int \frac{\phi(r, X)}{r} d X=\left\langle G^{+}, \phi\right\rangle \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $\psi_{\nu} \otimes \delta(x) \rightarrow \delta(t) \otimes \delta(X)=\delta(x)$, as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$, we have from (2.21)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \square \frac{\psi_{\nu}(t-r)}{r}=\square \frac{\delta_{\nu}(t-r)}{r}=\square G^{+}=\delta(x) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof for the advanced potential of $\phi$ is similar.
The support of $G^{ \pm}$is the future (past) null semi-cone $C^{ \pm}(0)=\{(t, X) ; \pm t=$ $r=|X|\}$ with vertex on the origin of the coordinate system.
2.2.2 Manifestly invariant derivation of the fundamental solutions of the ordinary wave equation

The square of the geodesic distance of a point $x=(t, X)$ from the origin is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(x)=\eta_{i j} x^{i} x^{j}=t^{2}-|X|^{2} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $D^{+}(0)$ will denote the interior of the future null semi-cone $C^{+}(0)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{+}(0)=\{x=(t, X) ; t>|X|\} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\nabla \gamma \neq 0$ in $D^{+}(0)$, a theorem of distribution theory ${ }^{1}$ guarantees that we can define a distribution $\delta_{+}(\gamma-\epsilon) \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(D^{+}(0)\right)$, where $\epsilon$ is a positive number, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\delta_{+}(\gamma-\epsilon), \phi\right\rangle=\int_{\gamma=e} \phi \mu_{\gamma}, \quad \phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(D^{+}(0)\right) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{\gamma}$ is the Leray form, such that $d \gamma \wedge \mu_{\gamma}=d x$, with $d x$ being the volume element on $\mathcal{M}^{4}$. We shall denote the support of $\delta_{+}(\gamma-\epsilon)$, which is the hyperboloid $\{x, \gamma(x)=\epsilon\}$, by $\Sigma_{\epsilon}^{+}$. We can extend $\delta_{+}(\gamma-\epsilon)$ to $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(M^{4}\right)$ by setting it to zero in $\left\{x=(t, X), t<\sqrt{|X|^{2}+\epsilon}\right\}$, so that, instead of (2.28) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\delta_{+}(\gamma-\epsilon), \phi\right\rangle=\int_{\Sigma_{\varepsilon}^{+}} \phi \mu_{\gamma}, \quad \phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(M^{4}\right) \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar expression for $\delta_{-}(\gamma-\epsilon)$, with support on the lower sheet $\Sigma_{\epsilon}^{-}$of $\gamma(x)=\epsilon$ can be obtained.

[^1]Since, from (2.26), $d \gamma=2 t d t-2 X d X$, in order to satisfy $d \gamma \wedge \mu_{\gamma}=d x$, we can take $\mu_{\gamma}=d X / 2 t$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\delta_{ \pm}(\gamma-\epsilon), \phi\right\rangle=\int_{\Sigma_{\epsilon}^{ \pm}} \phi \mu_{\gamma}=\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\phi\left( \pm \sqrt{|X|^{2}+\epsilon}, X\right)}{\sqrt{|X|^{2}+\epsilon}} d X \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\delta_{ \pm}(\gamma), \phi\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\phi( \pm|X|, X)}{|X|} d X \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing this to (2.14) we obtain the Lorentz invariant forms of $G^{ \pm}$:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{ \pm}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \delta^{ \pm}(\gamma)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \delta_{ \pm}(\gamma-\epsilon) \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next section we deal with the general second order linear hyperbolic scalar equation, using the basic ideas that were presented above for the ordinary wave equation.

### 2.2.3 Singular part of the fundamental solution

A method for finding necessary and sufficient conditions for the validity of Huygens' principle, in terms of the coefficients of $P$, was provided by Hadamard [42]. Using the initial value formulation he determined a fundamental (elementary) solution for the problem. Thus, the conditions can be obtained by making vanish those parts of the solution which do not propagate along the characteristic surfaces of the differential equation. In what follows we shall summarize this procedure using the modern approach of distribution theory on a curved space-time [57] [32].

Suppose that the connected open set $\Omega \subset \mathcal{M}^{4}$ is a geodesically convex domain, i.e., any two points $x_{0}$ and $x$ in $\Omega$ are joined by a unique geodesic in $\Omega$. This implies that $\Omega$ is time-orientable. In what follows, $x_{0}$ is considered to be fixed. Let us now consider the scalar differential operator (2.3) defined on $\Omega$. The fundamental solution of $P$ is a distribution $\left\langle G_{x_{0}}(x), \phi(x)\right\rangle$ in $D^{\prime}(\Omega)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P G_{x_{0}}(x)=\delta_{x_{0}}(x) \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle P G_{x_{0}}, \phi\right\rangle=\left\langle G_{x_{0}},{ }^{t} P \phi\right\rangle=\phi\left(x_{0}\right), \quad \phi \in C_{0}^{\infty} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{t} P$ is the adjoint of $P$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{t} P \phi=\square \phi-\nabla_{i}\left(A^{i} \phi\right)+B \phi \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The study of the Minkowski case suggests that the fundamental solutions of $P$ have the form $U \delta_{ \pm}(\Gamma)$, where $U$ is a $C^{\infty}$ function which depends on $x$ and $x_{0}$.

Since we can always construct a local coordinate system which is normal and Minkowskian at $x_{0}$, defined for all geodesically convex neighborhoods $\Omega$, the distributions $\delta_{ \pm}(\Gamma)=\lim _{e \rightarrow 0} \delta_{ \pm}(\Gamma-\epsilon)$ exist. However, since this distribution has support on the null cone, and since we expect that Huygens' principle is not satisfied in the general case, we could also guess that this is only part of the solution. In fact, as we shall see, this is the singular part.

Let us first examine the effect of $P$ on $U \delta_{+}(\Gamma)$. Using the chain rule for distributions, which states that for a distribution $f(S), S \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega), \nabla f(S)=f^{\prime}(S) \nabla S$, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(U \delta_{+}(\Gamma-\epsilon)\right)=P(U) \delta_{+}(\Gamma-\epsilon)+4 \Gamma U \delta_{+}^{\prime \prime}(\Gamma-\epsilon) \\
& +\left(2 \nabla_{i} \Gamma \nabla^{i} U+\left(\square \Gamma+A^{i} \nabla_{i} \Gamma\right) U\right) \delta_{+}^{\prime}(\Gamma-\epsilon) \tag{2.36}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $t \delta^{\prime \prime}(t)+2 \delta^{\prime}(t)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Gamma-\epsilon) \delta_{+}^{\prime \prime}(\Gamma-\epsilon)=-2 \delta_{+}^{\prime}(\Gamma-\epsilon) \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \Gamma \delta_{+}^{\prime \prime \prime}(\Gamma-\epsilon)=4 \epsilon \delta_{+}^{\prime \prime}(\Gamma-\epsilon)-8 \delta_{+}^{\prime}(\Gamma-\epsilon) \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, (2.36) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(U \delta_{+}(\Gamma-\epsilon)\right)=P(U) \delta_{+}(\Gamma-\epsilon)+4 \epsilon U \delta_{+}^{\prime \prime}(\Gamma-\epsilon) \\
& +\left(2 \nabla_{i} \Gamma \nabla^{i} U+\left(\square \Gamma+A^{i} \nabla_{i} \Gamma-8\right) U\right) \delta_{+}^{\prime}(\Gamma-\epsilon) \tag{2.39}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\delta_{+}^{\prime}(\Gamma-\epsilon)$ does not tend to a limit when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we choose $U$ as a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \nabla_{i} \Gamma \nabla^{i} U+\left(\square \Gamma+A^{i} \nabla_{i} \Gamma-8\right) U=0 \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $s \rightarrow x(s)$ is a geodesic such that $x(0)=x_{0}$, $s$ being an affine parameter, then ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \Gamma=2 s \frac{d x}{d s} \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

along this geodesic. We can then see that (2.40) is in fact a first order ordinary differential equation whose characteristic curves are the geodesics through $x$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 s \frac{d U}{d s}+\left(\square \Gamma+A^{i} \nabla_{i} \Gamma-8\right) U=0 \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]The general solution for this equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(x_{0}, x\right)=\exp \left[-\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{s(x)}\left(\square \Gamma+A^{i} \nabla_{i} \Gamma-8\right) \frac{d t}{t}\right] \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integration is carried out along the geodesic $x_{0} x$. We made the constant of integration equal to one, so that $U\left(x_{0}, x_{0}\right)=1$. To obtain a more explicit form of this integral we introduce local coordinates that are normal through $x_{0}$. Then, with $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ having coordinates $x=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i j}(x) x^{j}=g_{i j}(0) x^{j}, \quad \Gamma=g_{i j}(0) x^{i} x^{j} . \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla^{i} \Gamma=2 g^{i j}(x) g_{j k}(0) x^{k}=2 g^{i j}(x) g_{j k}(x) x^{k}=2 x^{i},  \tag{2.45}\\
\square \Gamma= \\
=\nabla^{i} \nabla_{i} \Gamma=\nabla_{i}\left(2 x^{i}\right)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_{i}\left(\sqrt{-g} x^{i}\right)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}}\left[\sqrt{-g} \delta_{i}^{i}+x^{i} \partial_{i} \sqrt{-g}\right]  \tag{2.46}\\
=8+2 x^{i} \partial_{i}(\log (\sqrt{-g}) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Multiplying (2.40) by $(-g)^{1 / 4}$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& (-g)^{1 / 4}\left[4 x^{i} \nabla_{i} U+\left(2 x^{i} \partial_{i}\left(\log (\sqrt{-g})+A_{i} 2 x^{i}\right) U\right]\right. \\
& =2\left(2(-g)^{1 / 4} x^{i} \nabla_{i} U+\frac{x^{i} \partial_{i} \sqrt{-g}}{\sqrt{-g}}(-g)^{1 / 4}+A_{i} x^{i} U\right)=0, \tag{2.47}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{i} \partial_{i}\left[(-g)^{1 / 4} U\right]+\frac{1}{2} A_{i} x^{i}(-g)^{1 / 4} U=0 . \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x$ is replaced by $r x, 0 \leq r \leq 1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[\left.(-g)^{1 / 4} U\right|_{r x}\right]=-\left.\frac{1}{2} A_{i} x^{i}(-g)^{1 / 4} U\right|_{r x} \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\log \left|(-g)^{1 / 4} U\right|_{r x} \mid\right)=-\frac{1}{2} A_{i} x^{i} . \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, since $U\left(x_{0}\right)=U(0)=1$, the solution of (2.39) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x)=\left|\frac{g(0)}{g(x)}\right|^{1 / 4} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} A_{i}(r x) x^{i} d r\right] . \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the second member is a $C^{\infty}$ function of $x$, and the exponential map depends smoothly on $x_{0}, U \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega)$.
Now let us investigate the term that remains in (2.39):

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(U \delta_{+}(\Gamma-\epsilon)\right)=P(U) \delta_{+}(\Gamma-\epsilon)+4 \epsilon U \delta_{+}^{\prime \prime}(\Gamma-\epsilon) . \tag{2.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the limit $\delta_{+}(\Gamma-\epsilon) \rightarrow \delta_{+}(\Gamma)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ exists, we also have, by continuity of the map $P: \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega), P\left(U \delta_{+}(\Gamma-\epsilon)\right) \rightarrow P\left(\delta_{+}(\Gamma)\right)$. In normal coordinates, $\Gamma\left(x, x_{0}\right)=\gamma(x)=\eta_{i j} x^{i} x^{j}$. Thus $4 \epsilon U \delta_{+}^{\prime \prime}(\Gamma-\epsilon)=4 \epsilon U \delta_{+}^{\prime \prime}(\gamma-\epsilon)$. In distributional notation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle 4 \epsilon U \delta_{+}^{\prime \prime}(\Gamma-\epsilon), \phi\right\rangle=4 \epsilon\left\langle\delta_{+}^{\prime \prime}(\gamma-\epsilon), U(x) \phi(x) \sqrt{-g}\right\rangle . \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the chain rule for distributions, the relation $t \delta^{\prime \prime}(t)+2 \delta^{\prime}(t)=0$, and (2.32), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} 4 \epsilon \delta_{+}^{\prime \prime}(\gamma-\epsilon)=2 \pi \delta(x) . \tag{2.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (2.53) tends to $2 \pi U(0) \phi(0) \sqrt{-g}$ when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. But $U(0)=1$ and $g(0)=1$. Thus, from (2.52) we obtain, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(U \delta_{+}(\Gamma)\right)=P(U) \delta_{+}(\Gamma)+2 \pi \delta_{x_{0}} . \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(U \delta_{-}(\Gamma)\right)=P(U) \delta_{-}(\Gamma)+2 \pi \delta_{x_{0}} \tag{2.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $P(U)=0$ on $C^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}\right)$ then $U\left(x, x_{0}\right) \delta_{ \pm}\left(\Gamma\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right)$ is a fundamental solution of $P$, with support on $C^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}\right)$. If $P(U) \neq 0$, the term $P(U) \delta_{ \pm}(\Gamma)$ in (2.55-2.56) has to be eliminated, i.e., we have to find the regular part of the fundamental solution.

### 2.2.4 The general equation - regular part of the fundamental solution

Using the Heaviside function $H(t)$, and the fact that $\nabla_{x} \Gamma \neq 0$ in $D^{+}\left(x_{0}\right)$, we can define the distribution $H_{+}(\Gamma-\epsilon), \epsilon>0$, extended to $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega)$ (cf. eq. 2.28), by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle H_{+}(\Gamma-\epsilon), \phi\right\rangle=\left\langle H(t), \int_{\Sigma_{t}^{+}} \phi \mu_{\Gamma}\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right\rangle, \quad \phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega), \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Sigma_{t}^{+}=\left\{x ; x \in D^{+}\left(x_{0}\right), \Gamma\left(x, x_{0}\right)-\epsilon=t>0\right\}$, and $\mu_{\Gamma}$ is the Leray form such that $d_{x} \Gamma\left(x, x_{0}\right) \wedge \mu_{\Gamma}=\mu(x)$ ( $\mu$ is the invariant volume element). When $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ this distribution tends to the characteristic function $J^{+}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{+}(\Gamma)=1 \text { if } x \in J^{+}\left(x_{0}\right), \quad H_{+}(\Gamma)=0 \text { if } x \notin J^{+}\left(x_{0}\right) . \tag{2.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, $H_{-}(\Gamma)$ is the characteristic function of $J^{-}\left(x_{0}\right)$. We can now begin to construct the complete fundamental solution of $P$ in the form $U \delta_{ \pm}(\Gamma)+W H_{ \pm}(\Gamma), W \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega)$. The first step will be the investigation of the action of $P$ on $W H_{+}(\Gamma)$. Since $H^{\prime}(t)=\delta(t)$ and $\lim _{c \rightarrow 0} \delta^{\prime}(\Gamma-\epsilon)$ does not exist, we first calculate $P\left(W H_{+}(\Gamma-\epsilon)\right), \epsilon>0$. Using the chain rule for distributions we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(W H_{+}(\Gamma-\epsilon)\right)=H_{+}(\Gamma-\epsilon) P(W)+ \\
& +\left[2 \nabla_{i} \Gamma \nabla^{i} W+\left(\square \Gamma+A^{i} \nabla_{i} \Gamma\right) W\right] \delta_{+}(\Gamma-\epsilon)+4 W \Gamma \delta_{+}^{\prime}(\Gamma-\epsilon) . \tag{2.59}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon \Gamma \delta_{+}^{\prime}(\Gamma-\epsilon)=0^{3}$, we have, using $t \delta^{\prime}(t)+\delta(t)=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \Gamma \delta_{+}^{\prime}(\Gamma-\epsilon)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\epsilon \delta_{+}^{\prime}(\Gamma-\epsilon)-\delta_{+}(\Gamma-\epsilon)\right)=-\delta_{+}(\Gamma) \tag{2.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(W H_{+}(\Gamma)\right)=P(W) H_{+}(\Gamma)+\left[2 \nabla_{i} \Gamma \nabla^{i} W+\left(\square \Gamma+A^{i} \nabla_{i} \Gamma-4\right) W\right] \delta_{+}(\Gamma) \tag{2.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now prove that there exists a $V_{0} \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \nabla_{i} \Gamma \nabla^{i} V_{0}+\left(\square \Gamma+A^{i} \nabla_{i} \Gamma-4\right) V_{0}=-P U \tag{2.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing the above equation by $U$, and using (2.40) to eliminate $\nabla_{i} \Gamma \nabla^{i} U$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \nabla_{i} \Gamma \nabla^{i}\left(\frac{V_{0}}{U}\right)+4 \frac{V_{0}}{U}=-\frac{P U}{U} \tag{2.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider this equation in local coordinates. Let $[0,1] \ni r \rightarrow z(r)=h\left(x_{0}, r \theta\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right)$ be the solutions of the differential equations of the geodesic $x_{0} x$ with initial values $z(0)=x_{0}$, $\partial z(0) / \partial r=\theta$. Then, on this geodesic,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\nabla^{i} \Gamma\right|_{x=z(r)}=2 r \frac{\partial z^{i}}{\partial r} \tag{2.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \nabla_{i} \Gamma \nabla^{i}\left(\frac{V_{0}}{U}\right)=\left.4 r \frac{\partial z^{i}}{\partial r} \nabla^{i}\left(\frac{V_{0}}{U}\right)\right|_{x=z(r)}=\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\frac{V_{0}}{U}\right) \tag{2.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (2.63) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\left.\frac{r V_{0}}{U}\right|_{x=x(r)}\right)=-\left.\frac{1}{4} \frac{P U}{U}\right|_{x=z(r)} \tag{2.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bounded solution for this equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.V_{0}\right|_{x=z(r)}=-\left.\left.\frac{1}{4 r} U\right|_{x=z(r)} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{P U}{U}\right|_{x=z(s)} d s \tag{2.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, since $z(1)=x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0}\left(x, x_{0}\right)=\left.\frac{1}{4} U\left(x, x_{0}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{P U}{U}\right|_{x=x(s)} d s \tag{2.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if $W=V_{0}$ on $C^{+}\left(x_{0}\right),(2.61)$ and (2.62) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(W H_{+}(\Gamma)\right)=P(W) H^{+}(\Gamma)-P(U) \delta_{+}(\Gamma) \tag{2.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]Adding this to (2.55) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(U \delta_{+}(\Gamma)+W H_{+}(\Gamma)\right)=P(W) H_{+}(\Gamma)+2 \pi \delta_{x_{0}} \tag{2.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(U \delta_{-}(\Gamma)+W H_{-}(\Gamma)\right)=P(W) H_{-}(\Gamma)+2 \pi \delta_{x_{0}} \tag{2.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result shows that we can obtain the fundamental solution of $P$ if we can solve the characteristic initial value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
P V^{ \pm}=0, x \in D^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}\right) ; \quad V^{ \pm}=V_{0}, x \in C^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{2.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, for $W=V^{ \pm},(2.42)$ and (2.43) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{x_{0}}^{ \pm}=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(U \delta_{ \pm}(\Gamma)+V^{ \pm} H_{ \pm}(\Gamma)\right) \tag{2.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a fundamental solution of $P$, whose support is contained in $J^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}\right)$. It can be shown that this problem is well posed [32].
We shall prove now that the characteristic initial value problem (2.72) can be formally solved by the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} V_{\nu} \frac{\Gamma^{\nu}}{\nu!} \tag{2.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{\nu}, \nu \geq 1$, satisfy an appropriate set of transport equations. Applying $P$ to (2.74) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(V_{\nu} \frac{\Gamma^{\nu}}{\nu!}\right)=P\left(V_{\nu}\right) \frac{\Gamma^{\nu}}{\nu!}+\left[2 \nabla_{i} \Gamma \nabla^{i} V_{\nu}+\left(\square \Gamma+A^{i} \nabla_{i} \Gamma\right) V_{\nu}\right] \frac{\Gamma^{\nu-1}}{(\nu-1)!} \\
& +4 \Gamma V_{\nu}(\nu-1) \frac{\Gamma^{\nu-2}}{(\nu-1)!} \\
& =P\left(V_{\nu}\right) \frac{\Gamma^{\nu}}{\nu!}+\left[2 \nabla_{i} \Gamma \nabla^{i} V_{\nu}+\left(\square \Gamma+A^{i} \nabla_{i} \Gamma+4 \nu-4\right) V_{\nu}\right] \frac{\Gamma^{\nu-1}}{(\nu-1)!} \tag{2.75}
\end{align*}
$$

If we suppose that $V_{\nu}$ can be chosen so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \nabla_{i} \Gamma \nabla^{i} V_{\nu}+\left(\square \Gamma+A^{i} \nabla_{i} \Gamma+4 \nu-4\right) V_{\nu}=-P V_{\nu-1} \quad(\nu=1,2, \ldots) \tag{2.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(V_{\nu} \frac{\Gamma^{\nu}}{\nu!}\right)=P\left(V_{\nu}\right) \frac{\Gamma^{\nu}}{\nu!}-P\left(V_{\nu-1}\right) \frac{\Gamma^{\nu-1}}{(\nu-1)!} \tag{2.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

These equations have the same form as (2.61) and (2.62). If we eliminate the term $\square \Gamma+A^{i} \nabla_{i} \Gamma$ in (2.76), using (2.40), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \nabla_{i} \Gamma \nabla^{i} V_{\nu}+\left(-2 \nabla_{i} \Gamma \frac{\nabla^{i} U}{U}+4 \nu+4\right) V_{\nu}=-P V^{\nu-1} \tag{2.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

In local coordinates, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\nabla^{i} \Gamma\right|_{x=z(r)}=2 r \frac{\partial z^{i}}{\partial r} \tag{2.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2.78) assumes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.4 r \frac{\partial V_{\nu}}{\partial r}\right|_{x=x(r)}+\left(-\left.\frac{4 r}{U} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|_{x=x(r)}+4 \nu+4\right) V_{\nu}=-P V_{\nu-1}, \tag{2.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\left.\frac{V_{\nu}}{U}\right|_{x=z(r)}\right)+\left.(\nu+1) \frac{V_{\nu}}{U}\right|_{x=z(r)}=-\left.\frac{1}{4} \frac{P V_{\nu-1}}{U}\right|_{x=z(r)} \tag{2.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we multiply this by $r^{\nu}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\left.r^{\nu+1} \frac{V_{\nu}}{U}\right|_{x=x(r)}\right)=\left.\frac{1}{4} r^{\nu} \frac{P V_{\nu-1}}{U}\right|_{x=x(r)} \tag{2.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that $V_{\nu-1}$ has already been determined, we can solve this for $V_{\nu}$, and determine the only solution that remains bounded when $r \rightarrow 0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{V_{\nu}}{U}\right|_{x=x(r)}=-\left.\frac{1}{4 r^{\nu+1}} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{P V_{\nu-1}}{U}\right|_{x=z(s)} s^{\nu} d s . \tag{2.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $r=1$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\nu}(y, x)=-\left.\frac{1}{4} U(y, x) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{P V_{\nu-1}}{U}\right|_{x=x(x)} s^{\nu} d s \tag{2.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the analytical case, i.e., when the space-time has an analytical structure and analytic metric, and the coefficients of $P$ are analytic, the series (2.74) converges to a solution of the characteristic initial problem (2.72), for sufficient small $\left|\Gamma\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right|^{4}$ In the general $C^{\infty}$ case the series does not converge. However, by multiplying each term of the series by a suitable factor, we can convert it into a convergent series. The sum is a $C^{\infty}$ function $\bar{V}$ such that $\bar{V}=V_{0}$ on $C\left(x_{0}\right)$, and $P \bar{V}$ vanishes to all orders on $C\left(x_{0}\right)$. This is formally expressed in the following lemma [32]:

Lemma 2.2 Let $\sigma(t) \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ be such that $0 \leq \sigma(t) \leq 1$, that $\sigma(t)=1$ for $|t| \leq 1 / 2$, and that $\sigma(t)=0$ for $|t| \geq 1$. Then there exists a sequence of positive numbers $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots$, strictly increasing and tending to infinity, such that the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} V_{\nu} \frac{\Gamma^{\nu}}{\nu!} \sigma\left(k_{\nu} \Gamma\right) \tag{2.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^4]converges to a function $S\left(x, x_{0}\right) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega)$, uniformly in every compact subset of $\Omega \times \Omega$. Also, $S \rightarrow 0$ when $\Gamma \rightarrow 0$, and
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\Gamma \rightarrow 0} \Gamma^{-\mu}\left(S-\sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu} \frac{\Gamma^{\nu}}{\nu!}\right)=0 . \quad(\mu=1,2 \ldots) \tag{2.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The last relation implies that the series (2.74) is an asymptotic expansion of $S$, when $\Gamma \rightarrow 0$. Applying (2.70) to a test function $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we get, using (2.33),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi\left(x_{0}\right)+\int_{J^{+}\left(x_{0}\right)} K\left(x_{0}, x\right) \phi(x) \mu(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{C^{+}\left(x_{0}\right)} U\left(x_{0}, x\right)^{t} P \phi(x) \mu_{\Gamma}(x) \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{J^{+}\left(x_{0}\right)} W\left(x_{0}, x\right)^{t} P \phi(x) \mu(x) \tag{2.87}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K=1 /(2 \pi) P W\left(x_{0}, x\right), W=V_{0}$ when $x \in C^{+}\left(x_{0}\right)$, and $\mu_{\Gamma}$ is the Leray form such that $d_{x} \Gamma\left(x, x_{0}\right) \wedge \mu_{\Gamma}(x)=\mu(x)$. This can be viewed as an integral equation for $\phi$. If this can be solved, then we can define the linear form ${ }^{t} P \phi \rightarrow \phi\left(x_{0}\right)$, which will be a fundamental solution of $P$, if it is a distribution.

The next step is to build the fundamental solutions in a causal domain. First we introduce the concept of a parametrix [55]: A $C^{\infty}$ parametrix of $P$ is a distribution $\overline{G_{x_{0}}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \tilde{G_{x_{0}}}-\delta_{x_{0}} \in C^{\infty}(\Omega) \tag{2.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be proved that it has the form ${ }^{5}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{G_{x_{0}}^{ \pm}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(U \delta_{+}(\Gamma)+\bar{V} H_{+}(\Gamma)\right) \tag{2.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{V}=V_{0}+S=V_{0}+\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} V_{\nu} \frac{\Gamma^{\nu}}{\nu!} \sigma\left(k_{\nu} \Gamma\right) \tag{2.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

A causal domain is a connected open set $\Omega_{0}$ if (i) there is a geodesically convex domain $\Omega$ such that $\Omega \subset \Omega_{0}$, and (ii) for all pairs of points $x, x_{0}$ in $\Omega_{0}, J^{+}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap J^{-}(x)$ is a compact subset of $\Omega$, or void. Condition (ii) is a simplified version of the condition of global hyperbolicity, suitable for domains that satisfy (i). In a causal domain an important theorem can be established:

Theorem 2.2 Theorem 2.4 Let $\Omega_{0}$ be a causal domain, and let $K\left(x, x_{0}\right)$ be a function defined on $\Omega_{0} \times \Omega_{0}$ whose support is contained in the closed set

$$
\Delta^{+}=\left\{\left(x, x_{0}\right) ;\left(x, x_{0}\right) \in \Omega_{0} \times \Omega_{0}, x \in J^{+}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\}
$$

[^5]and which is continuous on $\Delta^{+}$. Then there exists a function $L\left(x, x_{0}\right)$, also supported in $\Delta^{+}$and continuous on $\Delta^{+}$, such that, for every $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ the equation
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x_{0}\right)+\int K\left(x, x_{0}\right) \phi(x) \mu(x)=\psi\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{2.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(x_{0}\right)+\int L\left(x, x_{0}\right) \psi(x) \mu(x)=\psi\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{2.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, if $K \in C^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{0} \times \Omega_{0}\right)$, then $L \in C^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{0} \times \Omega_{0}\right)$.

The proof can be found in [32] (p.151).
The fundamental solution to $P$ in a causal domain can then be given by the following theorem:

Theorem 2.3 Let $\Omega_{0}$ be a causal domain, and let $P=\square+A^{i} \nabla_{i}+B$, where $A$ and $B$ are in $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$, be a scalar differential operator defined on $\Omega_{0}$. Then $P$ has a fundamental solution $G_{x_{0}}^{ \pm}$in $\Omega_{0}$, such that $P G_{x_{0}}^{ \pm}=\delta_{x_{0}}$ and $\operatorname{supp} G_{x_{0}}^{ \pm} \subset J^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}\right)$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{x_{0}}^{+}=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(U \delta^{ \pm}(\Gamma)+V^{ \pm}\right) \tag{2.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

where supp $V^{ \pm} \subset \Delta^{ \pm}$and $V^{ \pm} \in C^{\infty}\left(\Delta^{ \pm}\right)$. Moreover, when $\Gamma \rightarrow 0$ for $\left(x, x_{0}\right) \in \Delta^{ \pm}$, we have the following asymptotic expansion for $V^{ \pm}$:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{ \pm} \sim \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} V_{\nu} \frac{\Gamma^{\nu}}{\nu!} \tag{2.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of the first part consists of taking $W=\bar{V}$ in (2.87). Then, using the parametrix properties (2.88), (2.89) and (2.90), we use Theorem 1.6 to solve the corresponding integral equation. It has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{C^{+}\left(x_{0}\right)} U\left(x, x_{0}\right)^{t} P \phi(x) \mu \Gamma(x)+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int V^{+}\left(x, x_{0}\right)^{t} P \phi(x) \mu(x) . \tag{2.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, using (2.34), equation (2.93) follows.
The two fundamental solutions $G_{x_{0}}^{ \pm}$can now be used to build the theory of wave equations in the curved space-time. ${ }^{6}$

The distribution $G_{x_{0}}^{+}$can be considered as the field of a point source at $x_{0}$, acting on a previously undisturbed background. This fundamental solution consists of two parts:

[^6](i) a singular part $U \delta_{+}(\Gamma)$ that is a measure supported on the future semicone with vertex $C^{+}\left(x_{0}\right)$;
(ii) a regular part $V^{+}$, which is a function $x \rightarrow V^{+}\left(x, x_{0}\right)$, with support contained in $J^{+}\left(x_{0}\right)$, and $V^{+} \in C^{\infty}\left(J^{+}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$.

The regular part, also called the tail term of the fundamental solution, does not appear in the Minkowskian case, where $G_{x_{0}}^{+}$is "sharp", with support only in $C^{+}\left(x_{0}\right)$.

### 2.2.5 Representation formula

In order to state more precisely the necessary and sufficient conditions for the validity of Huygens' principle, we need the representation formula for the solutions of the scalar wave equation [55] [57]. At this point we change some of our conventions to those of McLenaghan [57] [60]. He defines the fundamental solution of the scalar operator $P$ as the scalar distribution satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{t} P\left(G_{x_{0}}\right)=\delta_{x_{0}} \tag{2.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

instead of $P\left(G_{x_{0}}\right)=\delta_{x_{0}}$. Thus, instead of (2.68) we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0}\left(x, x_{0}\right)=\left.\frac{1}{4} U\left(x, x_{0}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t P U}{U}\right|_{x=x(s)} d s \tag{2.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

We must also change $A_{i}$ by $-A_{i}$, and the form of the expressions in the previous sections remains valid.

The reason for this is that later we shall use Green's theorem, applied to $P$ and ${ }^{t} P$, in order to obtain a representation formula. This is the method used by McLenaghan [57]. The explicit assumption that $\Omega$ is a causal domain is not necessary in the process, although we still keep it. Let $S$ be a non-compact space-like 3 -manifold contained in a causal domain $\Omega_{0}$, and let $x_{0}$ be a point in $J^{+} \backslash S$. Consider the operators $P$ and ${ }^{t} P$, defined in (2.3) and (2.35). For the functions $u$ and $v$ in $C^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$, we can use Green's theorem ${ }^{7}$ to form the expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v P(u)-u^{t} P(v)=\delta[u d v-v d u-\alpha u v] \tag{2.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=A_{i} d x^{i}$, and $\delta T$ is the coderivative of the tensor $T$, defined in local coordinates by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\delta T)^{j_{1} \ldots j_{j_{1}}} \ldots i_{i_{r}}=-\nabla_{i} T^{i j_{1} \ldots j_{1_{1}} \ldots i_{1}} \tag{2.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^7]Lichnerowicz [55] defined the biscalar fundamental solutions (also called elementary kernels) $G^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}, x\right) \in \Omega_{0} \times \Omega_{0}$ in terms of the fundamental solutions $G_{x_{0}}^{ \pm}(x)$ as follows: For $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle G^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}, x\right), \phi\left(x_{0}, x\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle G_{x_{0}}^{ \pm}(x), \phi\left(x_{0}, x\right)\right\rangle . \tag{2.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, (2.96) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{t} P_{x}\left(G^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}, x\right)\right)=\delta\left(x_{0}, x\right) \tag{2.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ is the biscalar distribution defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\delta\left(x_{0}, x\right), \phi\left(x_{0}, x\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle\delta_{x_{0}}(x), \phi\left(x_{0}, x\right)\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega_{0}} \phi\left(x_{0}, x_{0}\right) \mu\left(x_{0}\right) . \tag{2.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider the inhomogeneous equation $P u=\square u+A^{k} \nabla_{k} u+B u=f$. Substituting $v=G^{-}\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ in (2.98), and using (2.101), gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{-}\left(x_{0}, x\right) f(x)-u(x) \delta\left(x_{0}, x\right)=\delta_{x} A^{-}(u), \tag{2.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{-}(u)=-G^{\prime-}\left(x_{0}, x\right) d x u(x)+u^{\prime}(x) G^{-}\left(x_{0}, x\right) d x-\alpha(x) u(x) G^{-}\left(x_{0}, x\right) \tag{2.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $D^{+}$denotes the future of the initial surface $S$, and $H^{+}(S, x)$ be the characteristic function of $D^{+}$. Since the support of $A^{-}$is in $D^{-}\left(x_{0}\right)$, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\int_{\Omega_{0}} * \delta_{x} A^{-}(u) H^{+}(S, x) \tag{2.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a well-defined distribution, because the integrand has compact support. Thus, for a scalar $\theta\left(x_{0}\right)$ defined in $\Omega_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\phi\left(x_{0}\right), \theta\left(x_{0}\right)\right\rangle=\int_{D_{S}^{+}} * \delta_{x}\left\langle A^{-}(u), \theta\left(x_{0}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =-\int_{\partial D_{S}^{+}} *\left\langle A^{-}(u), \theta\left(x_{0}\right)\right\rangle=-\left\langle\int_{S} * A^{-}(u), \theta\left(x_{0}\right)\right\rangle \tag{2.106}
\end{align*}
$$

where Stokes' theorem was used, and $S$, the boundary of $D_{S}^{+}$in $\Omega_{0}$, is oriented in the future direction. On the other hand, from (2.103),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\phi\left(x_{0}\right), \theta\left(x_{0}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left(G^{-}\left(x_{0}, x\right) P_{x}(u)-u(x) \delta\left(x_{0}, x\right)\right) H^{+}(S, x) \mu(x), \theta\left(x_{0}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\int_{\Omega_{0}} G^{-}\left(x_{0}, x\right) f^{+}(x) \mu(x), \theta\left(x_{0}\right)\right\rangle-\left\langle u^{+}\left(x_{0}\right), \theta\left(x_{0}\right)\right\rangle \tag{2.107}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{+}(x)=f(x) H^{+}(S, x), \quad u^{+}\left(x_{0}\right)=f\left(x_{0}\right) H^{+}\left(S, x_{0}\right) \tag{2.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (2.107) and (2.106), we obtain the retarded weak solution of Cauchy's problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{+}=\int_{\Omega_{0}} G^{-}\left(x_{0}, x\right) f^{+}(x) \mu(x)+\int_{S} * A^{-}(u) . \tag{2.109}
\end{equation*}
$$

The advanced solution can be obtained from the above by interchanging + and - .
If we now substitute the expression (2.93) in (2.109), considering the homogeneous case $f=0$, we get the formal solution:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u\left(x_{0}\right)=\int_{S} *\left[\left(u \nabla_{i} U-U \nabla_{i} u+u V^{-} \nabla_{i} \Gamma-u \dot{U} A_{i}\right) \delta_{-}\left(\Gamma\left(x_{0}, x\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+u U \nabla_{i} \Gamma \delta_{-}^{\prime}\left(\Gamma\left(x_{0}, x\right)\right)+u \nabla_{i} V^{-}-V^{-} \nabla_{i} u-u V^{-} A_{i}\right] d x^{i} . \tag{2.110}
\end{align*}
$$

For signal propagation to be sharp, $u\left(x_{0}\right)$ can depend only on the Cauchy data in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of $S \cap C^{-}\left(x_{0}\right)$. It is clear that a sufficient condition for the validity of Huygens' principle is that $V^{-}\left(x_{0}, x\right)=0$ for any $x_{0}$ and all $x \in D^{-}\left(x_{0}\right)$. We can show that this is also a necessary condition. Therefore, in order that the solution (2.110) be independent of the Cauchy data on $S \cap D^{-}\left(x_{0}\right)$, we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S} *\left(u \nabla_{i} V^{-}-V^{-} \nabla_{i} u-u V^{-} \nabla_{i} A\right) d x^{i}=0 . \tag{2.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now choose coordinates $\left(x^{0}, x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)=(t, X)$, such that, at each point on $S \cap$ $D^{-}\left(x_{0}\right), x^{0}$ is normal to $S$. Then, since $d x^{0}=d t=0$ on $S$, the above equation becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S \cap D-\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(u \partial_{t} V^{-}-V^{-} \partial_{t} u-u V^{-} \partial_{t} A\right) \sqrt{-g} d x^{1} \wedge d x^{2} \wedge d x^{3}=0 . \tag{2.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

But this equation must be valid for any initial condition on $S$. Since $u$ and $u_{t}$ can be chosen independently, we take $u=0$ on $S$. Then (2.112) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S \cap D-\left(x_{0}\right)} V^{-} \partial_{t} u \sqrt{-g} d x^{1} \wedge d x^{2} \wedge d x^{3}=0 \tag{2.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we must have $V^{-}=0$ on $S \cap D^{-}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Since this must be true for all $S$ and $x$ the necessary and sufficient condition for the validity of Huygens' principle for the retarded Cauchy problem is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{-}\left(x_{0}, x\right)=0, \quad \forall x_{0}, \quad \forall x \in D^{-}\left(x_{0}\right) . \tag{2.114}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, for the advanced solution,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{+}\left(x_{0}, x\right)=0, \quad \forall x_{0}, \quad \forall x \in D^{+}\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{2.115}
\end{equation*}
$$

This condition is not very useful, however. A more convenient necessary and sufficient condition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{t} P U=0, \quad x \in C\left(x_{0}\right)=C^{+}\left(x_{0}\right) \cup C^{-}\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{2.116}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove this, consider first the case when $V^{ \pm}=0$. Then, since $U \neq 0$ in general, we have from (2.68) and (2.72),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1 t} \frac{P U}{U} d s=0, \quad x \in C\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{2.117}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies ${ }^{t} P U=0$ on $C\left(x_{0}\right)$. Conversely, suppose ${ }^{t} P U=0$ on $C\left(x_{0}\right)$ holds. Then, by (2.68) and (2.72), $V^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}, x\right)=0$ for $x \in C^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Since this must hold for any $x_{0}$, and since $V^{ \pm}$is continuous, (2.114-2.117) follows.

### 2.3 Necessary conditions for the validity. of Huygens' principle

### 2.3.1 The trivial transformations

As we shall show in this section, the Huygens' character of the second order differential operators (2.3) is preserved under the following local transformations, called trivial (or elementary) transformations:
(a) a transformation of coordinates,
(b) the multiplication of $P u=0$ by a factor $e^{2 \varphi(x)}$ (equivalent to a conformal transformation of the metric),
(c) replacing the dependent variable $u$ by $\lambda(x) u$, where $\lambda(x)$ is a nowhere vanishing function.

We need to define the following tensors on $\mathcal{M}^{4}$, which will be useful in the subsequent analysis:

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{i j}:=A_{[i, j]}  \tag{2.118}\\
& C_{i j k l}:=R_{i j k l}-2 g_{[i[l} L_{j] k]}  \tag{2.119}\\
& S_{i j k}:=L_{i[j ; k]}  \tag{2.120}\\
& L_{i j}:=-R_{i j}+\frac{R}{6} g_{i j} \tag{2.121}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $A_{i}:=g_{i k} A^{k}, R_{\text {abcd }}$ denotes the Riemann tensor, $C_{i j k l}$ the Weyl tensor, $R_{i j}:=$ $g^{c d} R_{c i j d}$, the Ricci tensor, and $R:=g^{i j} R_{i j}$ the Ricci scalar associated to the metric
$g_{i j}$. We shall now, following McLenaghan [60], use the trivial transformations to find convenient parameters $\varphi$ and $\lambda$ that simplify the process of obtaining the explicit forms of the necessary conditions for the validity of Huygens' principle. These will be obtained, for general space-times, from a covariant Taylor expansion of the diffusion kernel. We shall first show that the Huygens' character of the operator $P$ is preserved under Hadamard's trivial transformations.

We shall also use an additional transformation defined by Hadamard [43], that we shall denominate as (bc):
(bc) Replacement of the function $u$ in $P u=0$ by $\lambda u,(\lambda(x) \neq 0)$, and simultaneous multiplication of the equation by $\lambda^{-1}$.

In what follows, we shall use the notation $\bar{a}$ to refer to a quantity obtained by applying (b) to $a$. The symbol $\bar{a}$ denotes the effect of both (b) and (bc). The transformation (b) and (bc) transform the differential operator $P[u]$ into a similar operator $\bar{P}[u]$, as we shall see next. The specialization for $n=4$ will be made later.

Transformation (b) induces a conformal transformation on the metric:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{g}^{i j}=e^{-2 \varphi} g^{i j}, \quad \bar{g}_{i j}=e^{2 \varphi} g_{i j} \tag{2.122}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which one obtains the following transformation laws

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i  \tag{2.123}\\
j k
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i \\
j k
\end{array}\right\}+2 \delta_{(j}^{i} \varphi_{k)}-g_{j k} \varphi^{i}
$$

where $\varphi_{i}=\partial_{i} \varphi$ and $\varphi^{i}=g^{i j} \varphi_{j}$. Thus, applying (b) and (bc) to $P u$ we get
so we must have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{A}_{i}=A_{i}+2 \nabla_{i}(\log \lambda)-(n-2) \nabla_{i} \varphi  \tag{2.125}\\
& \bar{B}=e^{-2 \varphi}\left(B+\lambda^{-1} \square \lambda+A^{i} \nabla_{i}(\log \lambda)\right. \tag{2.126}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us define

$$
\begin{equation*}
F:={ }^{t} P \tag{2.127}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{t} P$ denotes the adjoint operator of $P$, defined in (2.35). Then, according to (2.124), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F} v=\lambda e^{-n \varphi} F\left[\lambda^{-1} e^{(n-2) \varphi} v\right] \tag{2.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the fundamental solutions $\bar{G}_{x_{0}}^{ \pm}$of $\bar{F} v$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}\left[\bar{G}_{x_{0}}^{ \pm}(x)\right]=\bar{\delta}_{x_{0}}(x), \tag{2.129}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\delta}_{x_{0}}(x):=e^{-\pi \varphi} \delta_{x_{0}}(x)$. From these two equations we then get

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left[\dot{\lambda} \lambda^{-1} e^{(n-2) \varphi} \bar{G}_{x_{0}}^{ \pm}(x)\right]=\delta_{x_{0}}(x) \tag{2.130}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{\lambda}:=\lambda\left(x_{0}\right)$. Thus by uniqueness of the elementary solutions we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\bar{G}_{x_{0}}^{ \pm}(x)=\lambda \stackrel{i}{\lambda}^{-1} e^{-(n-2) \varphi} G_{x_{0}}^{ \pm}(x)\right] \tag{2.131}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus supp $\bar{G}^{ \pm}\left(x, x_{0}\right) \subseteq J^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}\right)$ provided supp $G^{ \pm}\left(x, x_{0}\right) \subseteq J^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}\right)$. We can now obtain the transformation properties of $[U], V^{ \pm}$, and $[F(U)]$, where the brackets [ ] denote the restriction of the enclosed function to $C\left(x_{0}\right)=C^{+}\left(x_{0}\right) \cup C^{-}\left(x_{0}\right) \cdot[F(U)]$ is called the diffusion kernel. Thus, from (2.93), we have, for $n=4$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{U} \delta^{ \pm}\left(\bar{\Gamma}\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right)+\bar{V}^{ \pm}\left(x, x_{0}\right)=i^{0-1} \lambda e^{-2 \varphi}\left[U \delta^{ \pm}\left(\Gamma\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right)+V^{ \pm}\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right] \tag{2.132}
\end{equation*}
$$

We must now find a relation between $\delta(\Gamma)$ and $\delta(\bar{\Gamma})$. Since $\Gamma=0$ if and only if $\bar{\Gamma}=0$, we write $\bar{\Gamma}$ in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\Gamma}=a_{1} \Gamma+a_{2} \Gamma^{2}+\ldots, \tag{2.133}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $a_{i}$ are functions of $x_{0}$ and $x$ to be determined. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i} \Gamma \nabla^{i} \Gamma=4 \Gamma, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{i} \bar{\Gamma} \bar{\nabla}^{i} \bar{\Gamma}=4 \bar{\Gamma}, \tag{2.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain, on substituting the series in the second of the equations above, and equating coefficients of equal powers of $\Gamma$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \frac{d a_{1}}{d s}+a_{1}=e^{2 \varphi} \tag{2.135}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution to this equation, regular at $s=0$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=\frac{1}{s} \int_{0}^{s(x)} e^{2 \varphi} d t \tag{2.136}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integration is carried along the null geodesic $x_{0} x$ with respect to an affine parameter. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(\Gamma)=\left.\frac{d \bar{\Gamma}}{d \bar{\Gamma}}\right|_{\Gamma=0} \delta(\bar{\Gamma}) \tag{2.137}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain from (2.132),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(\bar{\Gamma})=a_{1}^{-1} \delta(\Gamma) \tag{2.138}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (2.132) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\widetilde{U}]=\lambda^{-1} a_{1}\left[\lambda e^{-2 \varphi} U\right] \tag{2.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{V}^{ \pm}=\lambda^{-1} \lambda e^{-2 \varphi} V^{ \pm} . \tag{2.140}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we differentiate (2.97) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d s}\left[\frac{s V^{ \pm}}{U}\right]=-\left[\frac{F(U)}{4 U}\right] . \tag{2.141}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar expression must hold for the transformed operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \tilde{s}}\left[\frac{\bar{s} \bar{V}^{ \pm}}{\bar{U}}\right]=-\left[\frac{\bar{F}(\bar{U})}{4 \bar{U}}\right] \tag{2.142}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{s}$ is an affine parameter along the generators of $\bar{C}\left(x_{0}\right)=C\left(x_{0}\right)$ (null geodesics are preserved under conformal transformations), related to $s$ along a fixed null geodesic by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{s}=\int_{0}^{s} e^{2 \varphi} d t \tag{2.143}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.139), (2.140) and (2.143) into (2.142) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-2 \varphi} \frac{d}{d s}\left[\frac{s V^{ \pm}}{U}\right]=-\left[\frac{\bar{F}(\bar{U})}{4 \grave{\lambda}^{0^{-1} \lambda e^{-2 \varphi} U a_{1}}}\right] \tag{2.144}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing this equation to (2.141) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}(\bar{U})=\lambda^{-1} a_{1}\left[\lambda e^{-4 \varphi} F(U)\right], \tag{2.145}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the transformation law for the diffusion kernel. Thus, the necessary and sufficient condition (2.116) is invariant under the trivial transformations.

We can now specify a choice for transformations (b) and (bc) that will simplify future calculations. We begin with transformation (bc). From (2.43) and (2.125) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{U}=\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{a(x)} \nabla^{i}(\log \lambda) \nabla_{i} \Gamma \frac{d t}{t}\right\} U . \tag{2.146}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{i} \Gamma=2 t \frac{d x^{i}}{d t}, \tag{2.147}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2.146) simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{U}=\lambda^{-1} \lambda U . \tag{2.148}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can now express $U$ in a form different from (2.43), using the identity [74]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square \Gamma=8+\nabla^{i} \nabla_{i}(\log \rho), \tag{2.149}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=16 \sqrt{g(x) g\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\operatorname{det}\left|\frac{\partial^{2} \Gamma}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}\right|\right) . \tag{2.150}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.149) into (2.43) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} \exp \left\{\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{s} A^{i} \nabla_{i} \frac{d t}{t}\right\} \tag{2.151}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we choose, for a given point $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(x)=\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{s} A^{i} \nabla_{i} \frac{d t}{t},\right\} \tag{2.152}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\AA=1$. Consequently, from (2.148) and (2.151) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{U}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} \tag{2.153}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this in (2.46) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{s(x)} \bar{A}^{i} \nabla_{i} \Gamma \frac{d t}{t}=0 \tag{2.154}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \Omega$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{A} \nabla_{i} \Gamma=0 . \tag{2.155}
\end{equation*}
$$

To choose a transformation (b) we first note that, under (b), (2.121) transforms as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{L}_{i j}=L_{i j}-2 \nabla_{j} \varphi_{i}+2 \varphi_{i} \varphi_{j}-g_{i j} \varphi_{k} \varphi^{k} \tag{2.156}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following Günther [38], at any point $x_{0}$ we can choose the derivatives of $\phi$ at $x_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\stackrel{\circ}{\tilde{L}}_{i j}=0  \tag{2.157}\\
\stackrel{\circ}{\tilde{L}}_{(i j ; k)}=0 \tag{2.158}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{\bar{L}}_{(i j ; k l)}=0 \tag{2.159}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\stackrel{\circ}{\bar{L}}_{i j}=\bar{L}_{i j}\left(x_{0}\right)$, and so on. From now on we assume that the chosen transformations (b) and (bc) have been made and drop tildes and bars.

Contracting (2.157), and using the definition of $L_{i j}$ given in (2.121), we find at $x_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{R}=0, \quad \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{i j}=0, \quad \stackrel{\circ}{C}_{i j k l}=\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{i j k l} \tag{2.160}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from the Ricci identity we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{i j ; k l}=\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{i j ; l k}, \quad \stackrel{\circ}{L}_{i j ; k l}=\stackrel{\circ}{L}_{i j ; l k} \tag{2.161}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.158), we get the following

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{\circ}{R}, i_{=}^{=}, \quad \stackrel{\circ}{L}_{i j ; k}=-\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{i j ; k}, \quad \square \stackrel{\circ}{R}=0  \tag{2.162}\\
& \square \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{i j}=-\frac{5}{3} \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{i i j}=\square \stackrel{\circ}{L}_{i j} \quad \square \stackrel{\circ}{L}_{i j ; k}=\frac{4}{3} \tag{2.163}
\end{align*}
$$

where $S_{i j k}:=L_{i[j ; k]}$. From (2.159) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{L}_{i j ; k l}=\frac{5}{3} \stackrel{\circ}{S}_{(i j)(k ; l)}-\frac{1}{3} \stackrel{\circ}{S}_{(k l)(i ; j)} \tag{2.164}
\end{equation*}
$$

It still remains to choose transformation (a). A convenient choice is a system of normal coordinates $x^{i}$ around the point $x_{0}$. We can use (2.51) and (2.155) to find the form of $U$ in these coordinates ${ }^{8}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \equiv\left(\frac{\circ}{g}\right)^{1 / 4} \tag{2.165}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this equation we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{, k} \equiv-\frac{1}{4} U g^{i j} g_{i j, k}, \quad \square U=-\frac{1}{4} U_{\gamma} \tag{2.166}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma:=\left(g^{i j} g^{k l} g_{k l, i}\right)_{, j}+\frac{1}{4} g^{i j} g_{i j, k} g^{k l} g^{m n} g_{m n, k} \tag{2.167}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma:=-\frac{4 F(U)}{\bar{U}} \tag{2.168}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^8]then, in the normal coordinates, using (2.35), we find
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \stackrel{*}{=} \gamma+A^{k} g^{i j} g_{i j, k}+4 A_{, i}^{i}-4 B \tag{2.169}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

From (2.165) we see that $U\left(x_{0}, x\right) \neq 0$ for $x$ in the convex neighborhood $\Omega$. Then $[\sigma]=0 \Leftrightarrow[F(U)]=0$. Thus, Huygens' principle is valid if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\sigma\left(x_{0}, x\right)\right]=0 \tag{2.170}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any point $x_{0}$ in the manifold.

### 2.3.2 Expansion of the diffusion kernel and necessary conditions

The next step consists in obtaining a covariant Taylor expansion of $\sigma$ about an arbitrarily chosen point $x_{0}$. The calculations are lengthy and we shall present only the method and some results. Details can be found in [57] [58] [60]. The terms to expand are those that define $\sigma: g_{i j}, g^{i j}, A^{i}$, and $B$. This expansion is made in a normal coordinate system $x^{i}$, with origin in $x_{0}$. The covariant expansion of $g_{i j}$ and $g^{i j}$, to sixth and fourth order respectively was obtained by McLenaghan [58], using the methods of Herglotz [44] and Günther [38]. When the obtained results are substituted in (2.167), and the conformal transformation (2.157)-(2.159) is assumed, we obtain $\gamma$, expanded terms of the tensor of Riemann (and its derivatives), the Weyl tensor, and the metric tensor, all evaluated at $x_{0}$, and in terms of the coordinates $x^{i}$. For example, to second order we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma[0] \doteq 0, \gamma[1] \equiv 0, \quad \gamma[2] \equiv \frac{6}{5}\left(\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{i j ; k l}-\frac{2}{9} \stackrel{\circ}{C}_{m i j n} \stackrel{\circ}{C}^{m}{ }_{k l}^{n}\right) \stackrel{\circ}{g}\left(i j x^{k l)}\right. \tag{2.171}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $A^{i}$, an expansion is performed about $x_{0}$, to fifth order, using the condition $A^{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}=$ 0 that comes from (2.45), (2.51) and (2.155). Then, in order to make the expansion covariant, partial derivatives must be expressed in terms of covariant derivatives. The result, to second order, is

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{i} \stackrel{\Xi}{=}_{i j} x^{j}+\frac{2}{3} \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{i j ; k} x^{k} x^{j}  \tag{2.172}\\
A_{, i}^{i}=\frac{2}{3} \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{j_{k ; j}} x^{k}+\frac{3}{4}\left(\stackrel{\circ}{H}^{k}(k ; j l)-\stackrel{\circ}{C}^{k}{ }_{(k j}^{m} \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{|m| l)}\right) x^{j} x^{l}  \tag{2.173}\\
A_{i} A^{i} \stackrel{\neq \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{k i} \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{j}^{k} x^{i} x^{j}}{ } \tag{2.174}
\end{gather*}
$$

The expansion for the scalar $B$ is trivial, since in normal coordinates ( $x^{i}$ ) we have the following property for scalars:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{; i_{1} \ldots i_{n}} x^{i_{1}} \ldots x^{i_{n}} \stackrel{*}{=} B_{, i_{1} \ldots i_{n}} x^{i_{1}} \ldots x^{i_{n}} \tag{2.175}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, to second order,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \doteq \stackrel{\circ}{B}^{+}+\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{i ;} x^{i}+\frac{1}{2} \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{; i j} x^{i} x^{j} . \tag{2.176}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, on $C\left(x_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma \doteq \stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}+\stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}_{, i} x^{i}+\frac{1}{2} \stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}, i j x^{i} x^{j}+\ldots=0 \\
& \equiv \stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}+\stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}_{; i} x^{i}+\frac{1}{2} \stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}_{; i j} x^{i} x^{j}+\ldots=0 \tag{2.177}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the necessary conditions are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}=0, \stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}, i=0, \quad T S\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}_{i i j}\right)=0, \ldots, \tag{2.178}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T S()$ denotes the operation which forms the trace-free symmetric tensor from the enclosed tensor.

Order of magnitude [0]:
According to (2.169) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}=-4 \stackrel{\circ}{B} . \tag{2.179}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{B}=0 . \tag{2.180}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is valid only for our special choice of trivial transformations. So, we have to find a quantity that reduces to $B$ in $x_{0}$, when the special trivial transformations are taken, and that satisfies, in general, a relation invariant under trivial transformations. The required quantity is the Cotton invariant denoted by $\mathcal{C}$. In fact, under trivial transformations we have $\bar{C}=e^{-2 \varphi} \mathcal{C}$. Thus, the first necessary condition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}:=B-\frac{1}{2} A_{i ;}^{i}-\frac{1}{4} A_{i} A^{i}-\frac{1}{6} R=0 . \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

Order of magnitude [1]:
Since,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i  \tag{2.182}\\
j i
\end{array}\right\} \equiv \frac{1}{2} g_{i k, j} g^{i k},
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2 A_{; i}^{i}+g^{i k} g_{i k, j} A^{j} \doteq-2 A_{, i}^{i} . \tag{2.183}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.181) and (2.183) we can write $\sigma$ in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \doteq \gamma-\frac{2}{3} R+2 A_{, i}^{i}-A^{i} A_{i} . \tag{2.184}
\end{equation*}
$$

By differentiating the equation above, evaluating at $x_{0}$, and using (2.173), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{\sigma}_{, i}=2 \stackrel{\circ}{A} \underset{, k i}{k}=\frac{4}{3} \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{i ; k}^{k}=0 \tag{2.185}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the special gauge. But this is valid also in general, since, under trivial transformations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla}_{k} \bar{H}_{i}^{k}=e^{-2 \varphi} \nabla_{k} H_{i}^{k} \tag{2.186}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the second condition is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{a ; k}^{k}=0, \quad H_{a b}:=A_{[a ; b]} \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

The third, fourth and fifth conditions are calculated in the same way and are given respectively by

$$
\begin{gather*}
(I I I) \quad S_{a b k ;}^{k}-\frac{1}{2} C_{a b}^{k} L_{k l}=-5\left(H_{a k} H_{b}^{k}-\frac{1}{4} g_{a b} H_{k l} H^{k l}\right)  \tag{2.188}\\
(I V) \quad T S\left(3 S_{a b k} H_{c}^{k}+C_{a b}^{k} H_{c k ; l}\right)=0 \tag{2.189}
\end{gather*}
$$

(V)

$$
\begin{align*}
& T S\left(3 C^{k}{ }_{a b}^{l}{ }^{m} C_{k c d l ; m}+8 C^{k}{ }_{a b}^{l}{ }_{; c} S_{k l d}+40 S_{a b}^{k} S_{c d k}-8 C_{a b}^{k} S_{k l c ; d}\right. \\
& -24 C_{a b}^{k}{ }_{a b}^{l} S_{c d k ; l}+4 C^{k}{ }_{a b}^{l} C_{l}^{m}{ }_{c k} L_{d m}+12 C_{a b}^{k}{ }_{a b}^{l} C^{m}{ }_{c d l} L_{k m}+12 H_{k c ; d e} H^{k} \\
& \left.-16 H_{k e ; d} H_{e ; f}^{k}-84 H_{c}^{k} C_{k d e l} H_{f}^{l}-18 H_{k c} H_{d}^{k} L_{e f}\right)=0 \tag{2.190}
\end{align*}
$$

(VI) $\quad T S\left(36 C_{a b}^{k}{ }^{l} C_{l c d m ; k} H^{m}{ }_{e}-6 C^{k}{ }_{a b}{ }^{l}{ }_{; c} C_{l d e}{ }^{m} H_{k m}-138 S_{a b}{ }^{k} C_{k c d l} H_{e}^{l}\right.$

$$
+6 S_{a b k} H_{c ; d e}^{k}+6 C_{a b}^{k}{ }_{; c}^{l} H_{k d ; l e}-24 S_{a b k ; c} H_{d ; e}^{k}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+12 C_{a b}^{k} L_{k c} H_{l d ; e}-9 C_{a b}^{k}{ }^{l}{ }^{\prime} L_{k d} H_{l e}-9 S_{a b k} L_{c d} H_{e}^{k}\right)=0 \tag{2.191}
\end{equation*}
$$

A seventh necessary condition, valid for the self-adjoint scalar equation, has the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(V I I) \quad T S\left(Q_{a b c d e f}^{(1)}-10 Q_{a b c d e f}^{(2)}+4 Q_{a b c d e f}^{(3)}+5 Q_{a b c d e f}^{(4)}+Q_{a b c d e f}^{(5)}\right)=0 \tag{2.192}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{a b c d e f}^{(1)}= & 3 C_{a b}^{k}{ }^{l} ;{ }_{e} C_{k d e l ; m f}+C_{a b ; c d}^{k}\left(10 S_{k l e ; f}+6 S_{e f k ; l}\right)+64 S_{a b k ; c} S_{d e}{ }_{; f}^{k} \\
& -C_{a b}^{k}{ }^{l}\left(3 C^{m}{ }_{e d k ; e f} L_{l m}+5 C_{k e d l ; m e} L^{m}{ }_{f}+7 C^{m}{ }_{c d k ; l e} L_{m f}\right. \\
& \left.+13 S_{k l c ; d} L_{e f}+12 S_{c d k ; l} L_{e f}+71 S_{c d k ; c} L_{l f}\right) \tag{2.193}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{a b c d e f}^{(2)}=C^{k}{ }_{a b}{ }^{l} ; c\left(S_{k l d ; e f}+3 S_{d e k ; l f}+2 S_{a b k ; c d} S_{e f}{ }^{k}-5 S_{a b k} S_{c d} L_{e f}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} C^{k}{ }_{a b}{ }^{l}{ }_{c c}\left(2 C^{m}{ }_{k l d ; e} L_{m f}+3 C^{m}{ }_{d e k ; i} L_{m f}+S_{k l d} L_{e f}\right. \\
& \left.+3 C_{k d e}{ }^{m}{ }_{; f} L_{l m}+15 S_{d e k} S_{l f}\right)-C_{a b}^{k}{ }^{l}\left(C_{k c d}{ }^{m}{ }_{; e} L_{(l m ; f)}\right. \\
& \left.+S_{c d k} L_{(l e ; f)}-\frac{1}{12} R_{; c} C_{k d e l ;} f\right) \text {, }  \tag{2.194}\\
& Q_{a b c d e f}^{(3)}=-C^{k}{ }_{a b}^{l}\left(2 C_{k}{ }^{m n}{ }_{c} C_{l n m d ; e f}-10 C^{m n}{ }_{c d} C_{k e f l ; m n}+20 C_{l c d}{ }^{m} S_{k m e ; f}\right) \\
& -5 C_{k}{ }^{m n}{ }_{a} C_{l m n b} C^{k}{ }_{c d}{ }^{l} ; e f+C_{a b}^{k}{ }^{l}\left(7 C_{k}{ }^{m n}{ }_{c} C_{l m n d} L_{e f}\right. \\
& \left.-10 C_{k e f l} C^{m}{ }_{c d}{ }^{n} L_{m n}\right) \text {, }  \tag{2.195}\\
& Q_{a b c d e f}^{(4)}=-C_{a b}^{k}\left(2 C_{k}{ }^{m n}{ }_{c ; d} C_{l m n d ; e f}+54 C_{l c d}{ }^{m}{ }_{; e} S_{k m f}+74 C_{l c d}{ }^{m} ; k S_{e f m}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{76}{3} C_{c k l}{ }^{m}{ }_{; d} S_{e f m}-\frac{404}{3} S_{c d k} S_{e f l}\right)+6 C_{k}{ }^{m n}{ }_{a} C^{k}{ }_{b c ; d} C_{l e f m ; n},  \tag{2.196}\\
& Q_{a b c d e f}^{(5)}=-C^{k}{ }_{a b}{ }^{l} C_{l c d}{ }^{m} L_{k m} L_{e f}+\frac{1}{6} C^{k}{ }_{a b}{ }^{l} C_{k e d l}\left(87 L^{m}{ }_{e} L_{m f}+19 R L_{e f}\right) . \tag{2.197}
\end{align*}
$$

Condition $I$ was already known by Cotton in 1900 [25]. He proved that the necessary and sufficient conditions for the $n$-dimensional general wave equation $P u=0$ to be equivalent to the $n$-dimensional ordinary wave equation are

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{i j k l}=0,  \tag{2.198}\\
H_{i j}:=A_{[i, j]}=0,  \tag{2.199}\\
C:=B-\frac{1}{2} A_{; i}^{i}-\frac{1}{4} A_{i} A^{i}-\frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R=0 . \tag{2.200}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hölder [45] also found condition $I$ in the case $A^{a}=B=0$. Mathisson [56], Hadamard [43], and Asgeirson [8] obtained conditions $I, I I$ and $I I I$ in the case $g^{i j}$ constant. Conditions $I$ to $I V$ were obtained in the general case by Günther [38]. Condition $V$ was obtained by McLenaghan [58] in the case $R_{a b}=0$, and by Wünsch [81] for $A^{a}=0$. Condition $V I$ was found by Anderson and McLenaghan [6]. In the general case, condition $V$ was obtained by McLenaghan [60]. Condition VII was obtained by Rinke and Wünsch [72] for $A^{a}=0$. The term on the right side of condition $I I I$ is also called Bach tensor.

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{a b}:=S_{a b k ;}^{k}-\frac{1}{2} C_{a b}^{k} L_{k l} . \tag{2.201}
\end{equation*}
$$

Günther [39] observed that conditions $I I$ and $I I I$ display some interesting formal analogies. If we consider $A_{a}$ as a four-potential then (2.187) represents the homogeneous Maxwell equations. On the right side of (2.188) we would have the electromagnetic energy-momentum tensor. The tensor on the left side of (2.188), called Bach tensor and denoted by $C_{a b}$, can be obtained from the variational principle applied to the conformally invariant integral $\int C^{i j k l} C_{i j k l} d x[9]$ and has null divergence, $C^{i j}{ }_{; j}=0$. Thus (2.188) is analogue to Einstein's field equations, but it is conformally invariant.

It is expected that the use of a finite number of necessary conditions would be sufficient to solve Hadamard's problem, but this is not yet proved.

### 2.4 Necessary conditions in NP form

We can use the relations (B.37)-(B.40), given in Appendix B to convert the necessary conditions $I I-V I I$, given respectively by (2.181), (2.187), (2.188), (2.189), (2.190), (2.191) and (2.192) to their spinor forms.

For condition $I I$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{a ; k}^{k}=0, \tag{2.202}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain, using the correspondence (B.38),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{A ; K \dot{K}}^{K} \varepsilon_{\dot{A}}^{\dot{K}}+\bar{\phi}^{\dot{K}}{ }_{\dot{A} ; K \dot{K}} \varepsilon^{K}{ }_{A}=-\phi_{A ; K \dot{A}}^{K}-\bar{\phi}^{\dot{K}}{ }_{\dot{A} ; A \dot{K}}=0 . \tag{2.203}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $H_{a b}=A_{[a, b]}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{[a b ; c]}=0, \tag{2.204}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, in spinor form,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{A B ; C \dot{C}} \varepsilon_{\dot{A} \dot{B}}+\bar{\phi}_{\dot{A} \dot{B} \dot{C}, C \varepsilon_{A B}+\phi_{C A ; B \dot{B}} \varepsilon_{\dot{C} \dot{A}}+\bar{\phi}_{\dot{C} \dot{A} ; \dot{B} B} \varepsilon_{C A}}^{+\phi_{B C ; A \dot{A}} \varepsilon_{\dot{B} \dot{C}}+\bar{\phi}_{\dot{B} \dot{C} ; \dot{A} A} \varepsilon_{B C}=0 .} .
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying this equation by $\varepsilon^{B C} \varepsilon_{\dot{B} \dot{A}}$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{A B ;}^{B}{ }_{\dot{C}}-\bar{\phi}_{\dot{B} \dot{C} ;} \dot{B}_{A}=0 \tag{2.206}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{A K}{ }_{\dot{A}}^{K}-\bar{\phi}_{\dot{A} \dot{K}} \dot{K}_{A}=0 . \tag{2.207}
\end{equation*}
$$

By comparing this equation with (2.203) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I I s) \quad \phi_{A K}{ }^{K}{ }_{A}=0 . \tag{2.208}
\end{equation*}
$$

The four dyad components of this equation are the NP source-free Maxwell equations:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
D \phi_{1}-\bar{\delta} \phi_{0}=(\pi-2 \alpha) \phi_{0}+2 \rho \phi_{1}+(\rho-2 \epsilon) \phi_{2}, \\
D \phi_{2}-\delta \phi_{1}=-\lambda \phi_{0}+2 \pi \phi_{1}+(\rho-2 \epsilon) \phi_{2},  \tag{2.209}\\
\delta \phi_{1}-\Delta \phi_{0}=(\mu-2 \gamma) \phi_{0}+2 \tau \phi_{1}-\sigma \phi_{2}, \\
\delta \phi_{2}-\Delta \phi_{1}=-\nu \phi_{0}+2 \mu \phi_{1}+(\tau-2 \beta) \phi_{2} .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

For condition $I I I$ a direct application of the correspondence relations yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{A B K L}{ }^{K}{ }_{\dot{A}} L_{\dot{B}}+\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{A} \dot{B} \dot{K} \dot{L}_{;} \dot{K}_{A} \dot{L}_{B}}+\Psi_{A B}{ }^{K L} \Phi_{K L \dot{A} \dot{B}}+\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{A} \dot{B}}{ }^{\dot{K} \dot{L}_{\Phi_{\dot{K} \dot{L} A B}}+10 \phi_{A B} \bar{\phi}_{\dot{A} \dot{B}}=0 .} \tag{2.210}
\end{equation*}
$$

Instead of (2.210) we shall use a stronger form of this condition, obtained by McLenaghan and Williams [62]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I I I s) \quad \nabla^{K}{ }_{\dot{A}} \nabla_{\dot{B}}^{L} \Psi_{A B L K}+\Phi^{K L}{ }_{\dot{A} \dot{B}} \Psi_{A B K L}+5 \phi_{A B} \bar{\phi}_{\dot{A} \dot{B}}=0 . \tag{2.211}
\end{equation*}
$$

While the original necessary condition (2.210) is Hermitian, (2.211) is complex. Its dyad components can be obtained now by using the NPspinor package. The conversion of the remaining conditions to the respective spinor form and then the determination of the dyad components is better done automatically by defining templates in the NPspinor package (see Appendix E). In the definition of the templates for the necessary conditions that involve the trace-free symmetric part of a tensor, we use the following theorem (see [79] for the proof):

Theorem 2.4 If $X_{\mathrm{r}_{1} \cdots \tau_{p}}$ is a real trace-free symmetric tensor and

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{r_{l} \cdots r_{p}} \leftrightarrow X_{A_{t} \cdots A_{p} \dot{B}_{1} \cdots \dot{B}_{p}} \tag{2.212}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{A_{1} \cdots A_{p} \dot{B}_{1} \cdots \dot{B}_{p}}=X_{\left(A_{1} \cdots A_{p}\right)\left(\dot{B}_{1} \cdots \dot{B}_{p}\right)} \tag{2.213}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Chapter 3

## The Conformally Invariant Scalar Wave Equation

### 3.1 Previous results

This chapter is devoted to the solution of Hadamard's problem for the conformally invariant scalar wave equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square u+\frac{1}{6} R u=0 \text {, } \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Petrov type III space-times are characterized by the existence of a spinor field $o^{A}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{A B C D} O^{C} O^{D}=0, \quad \Psi_{A B C D} O^{D} \neq 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a spinor field is called a repeated principal spinor of the Weyl spinor and is determined by the latter up to an arbitrary variable complex factor. Let $\iota^{A}$ be any spinor field satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
o_{A} c^{A}=1 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ordered set $o_{A}, \iota_{A}$, called a dyad, defines a basis for the 1 -spinor fields on $\mathcal{M}^{4}$.
The main results, obtained by Carminati and McLenaghan for Petrov type III spacetimes, using conditions $I I I$ and $V$, can be stated as follows [22]:

Theorem 3.1 The validity of Huygens' principle for the conformally invariant scalar wave equation (3.1), on any Petrov type III space-time implies that the space-time is
conformally related to one in which every repeated principal spinor field $o_{A}$ of the Weyl spinor is recurrent, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
o_{A ; B \dot{B}}=o_{A} I_{B \dot{B}}, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{B \dot{B}}$ is a 2-spinor, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Psi_{A B C D ; E \dot{E} \iota^{A}{ }_{\iota}{ }_{\iota} \iota^{C}{ }_{o} D_{o} E_{0} \dot{E}}=0  \tag{3.5}\\
R=0, \quad \Phi_{A B \dot{A} \dot{B}} o^{A} o^{B}=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem 3.2 If any one of the following three conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{A B C D ; E \dot{E}}{ }^{A} \iota^{B}{ }_{\iota} D_{\iota} E_{\bar{o}} \dot{E}=0,  \tag{3.7}\\
& \Psi_{A B C D ; E \dot{E} \iota^{A} \iota^{B}{ }_{0} D_{0} E_{\imath} \dot{E}}=0,  \tag{3.8}\\
& \Psi_{A B C D ; E \dot{E} \iota^{A} \iota^{B} \iota^{D} D^{E} E_{o} \dot{E}}=0, \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

is satisfied, then there exist no Petrov type III space-times on which the conformally invariant scalar wave equation (3.1) satisfies Huygens' principle.

For the sake of completeness, in the remaining part of this Section we shall obtain the results of Carminati and McLenaghan [22], that lead to the proof of the above theorems. A generalization of their result will be obtained subsequently.

In Petrov type III space-times the Weyl spinor has the form ${ }^{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{A B C D}=\alpha_{(A} \alpha_{B} \alpha_{C} \beta_{D)}, \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{A}$ and $\beta_{A}$ are the principal spinors. If we choose the spin basis such that $\alpha_{A}$ is proportional to the dyad basis spinor $o_{A}$ and $\beta_{A}$ proportional to $\iota_{A}$, we obtain from (B.42):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{A B C D}=-4 \Psi_{3} o_{\left(A B C^{L} D\right)} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (B.87) we can see that we can choose the tetrad such that $\Psi_{3}=-1$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{A B C D}=4 o_{\left(A B C^{\ell} D\right)} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Condition (3.12) determines uniquely the spinor dyad $\left\{o_{a}, \iota_{A}\right\}$
In order to make this expression conformally invariant, it is clear from (B.104) that we must set $r=-1$.

[^9]We now start examining the consequences that follow from the necessary conditions $I I I$ and $V$. This can be done by running the appropriate codes listed in the Appendix E, which use the package NPspinor in Maple [29]. The input of these codes consists of the tensorial expressions of the necessary conditions III and $V$ and the output consists of the independent dyad components obtained by contracting the resulting dyad expressions with appropriate products of $o^{A}, \iota^{A}$ and their complex conjugates. The conversion from the tensorial form to the spinorial one is done automatically by defining templates in the beginning of the programs. The conversion to the respective dyad form is carried out by using expressions (B.42), (B.44) and (B.48).

It follows from the conformal invariance of conditions III and $V$ [60] [82] that each dyad equation must be individually invariant under the conformal transformation (B.100). We begin by substituting the expression (3.12) for $\Psi_{A B C D}$ in condition $V$. The contraction of the resulting dyad expression with $o^{A B C D} \bar{L}_{\bar{L}} \dot{A} \dot{B} \dot{C} \dot{D}$ and $o^{A B C}{ }_{\iota}{ }^{D} \bar{D}^{\dot{A} \dot{B} \dot{C}}{ }_{l} \dot{D}$ implies, respectively:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=0, \quad \sigma=0 . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

These conditions, which are invariant under general dyad transformations (B.65) and conformal transformations (B.100), imply that the repeated principal null congruence of $C_{a b c d}$ defined by the principal null vector field $l^{a}$, is geodesic and shear-free. We use now the conformal freedom to restrict $\rho$. From (B.101) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\bar{\rho}}+\bar{\rho}=e^{-2 \phi}(\bar{\rho}+\rho-2 D \phi) . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by imposing $\mathrm{D} \phi=(\bar{\rho}+\rho) / 2$, we obtain $\overline{\bar{\rho}}+\bar{\rho}=0$ or, dropping tildes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=-\bar{\rho} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By contracting $\iota^{\left.\left(A_{o} B\right) \bar{o}^{\dot{A} \dot{B}} \text { with } I I I \text {, and } \iota^{(A B}{ }_{o}^{C D}\right)_{\iota}(\dot{A} \dot{B}-\bar{C} \dot{D})}$ with $V$, we get, respectively:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-\Phi_{00}+6 \rho \epsilon-4 \rho^{2}+2 \epsilon \bar{\epsilon}-2 \bar{\epsilon} r+2 D \rho-2 \epsilon^{2}-2 D \epsilon=0, \\
15 \rho^{2}+10 \rho \bar{\epsilon}-10 \epsilon \rho-10 \epsilon \bar{\epsilon}-\Phi_{00}-D \epsilon-\epsilon^{2}-D \bar{\epsilon}-\bar{\epsilon}^{2}=0 . \tag{3.17}
\end{array}
$$

Adding (3.16) to its complex conjugate, and subtracting the result from (3.17), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
19 \rho^{2}-12 \bar{\epsilon} \epsilon-14 \rho(\epsilon+\bar{\epsilon})=0 . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving this equation for $\rho$ and using (3.15), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=0, \quad \epsilon=0 . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the Newman-Penrose Ricci identities ${ }^{2}$ (NP1), (NP3), (NP4), (NP5), (NP11), (NP21) and from the contraction of condition $I I I$ with $\iota^{A B} \bar{o}^{\dot{A} \dot{B}}$ and $\iota^{\left(A o^{B}\right)_{i}\left(\dot{A} \bar{o}^{\dot{B}}\right)}$ we have, respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{00}=0, \quad \Phi_{01}=0, \quad D \alpha=0, \quad D \beta=0, \quad D \Phi_{1 I}=0, \quad D \pi=0, \quad D \tau=0 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We still have enough conformal freedom, preserving (3.19), to set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=0 \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (B.101), this further restriction is possible if there exists a solution for the following system of partial differential equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D} \phi=0, \quad \delta \phi=\tau, \quad \bar{\delta} \phi=\bar{\tau} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to establish that this system has a solution we must show that the integrability conditions for (3.22) are satisfied. They are given by the following NP commutation relations applied on $\phi$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[\delta, \mathrm{D}]=(\bar{\alpha}+\beta-\bar{\pi}) \mathrm{D}}  \tag{3.23}\\
& {[\bar{\delta}, \mathrm{D}]=(\bar{\alpha}+\beta-\pi) \mathrm{D}}  \tag{3.24}\\
& {[\bar{\delta}, \delta]=(\bar{\mu}-\mu) \mathrm{D}+(\alpha-\bar{\beta}) \delta+(\beta-\bar{\alpha}) \bar{\delta}} \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

It can be easily verified that the above conditions are satisfied when applied to $\phi$.
From (NP16) and (NP17) we now obtain, using (3.21),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{02}=0, \quad \Lambda=0 \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Bianchi identities (NP23) and (NP22) we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \Phi_{11}=0, \quad \delta \Phi_{11}=0 \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expressions in (3.20) which are not conformally invariant can also be recovered.
The above results can be summarized as follows: Necessary conditions $I I I$ and $V$ imply that there exists a dyad $\left\{o_{A}, \iota_{A}\right\}$ and a conformal transformation $\phi$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \kappa=\sigma=\rho=\tau=\epsilon=0  \tag{3.28}\\
& \Psi_{0}=\Psi_{1}=\Psi_{2}=\Psi_{4}=0, \Psi_{3}=-1  \tag{3.29}\\
& \Phi_{00}=\Phi_{01}=\Phi_{02}=\Lambda=0  \tag{3.30}\\
& \mathrm{D} \alpha=\mathrm{D} \beta=\mathrm{D} \pi=0  \tag{3.31}\\
& \delta \Phi_{11}=\mathrm{D} \Phi_{11}=0 \tag{3.32}
\end{align*}
$$

[^10]We notice that the expressions (3.29) determine the tetrad uniquely. On the other hand, conditions (3.28) are invariant under any conformal transformation satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D} \phi=0, \quad \delta \phi=0, \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that we still have some conformal freedom. Under (3.33) the transformation law for $\Phi_{11}$, given by (B.107), becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\Phi}_{11}=e^{-2 \phi} \Phi_{11} . \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we can choose $\phi$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{11}=c, \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a constant. Conditions (3.33) are satisfied in view of (3.32). Although it simplifies calculations, this last specification of the conformal gauge is not strictly necessary for our proof, i.e., our results do not depend on the Pfaffian $\Delta \Phi_{11}$.

### 3.2 Main Theorem

Carminati and McLenaghan [22] used the above conditions to prove that Huygens' principle is not satisfied if any of the spin coefficients $\alpha, \beta$ or $\pi$ vanish. We shall extend the proof for the case in which $\alpha \beta \pi \neq 0$ and $\Phi_{11}=0$, i.e., we shall prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3.3 (Main Theorem) Let $\mathcal{M}^{4}$ be any space-time which admits a spinor dyad with the properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
o_{A ; B \dot{B}}=o_{A} I_{B \dot{B}}, \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{B \dot{B}}$ is a 2-spinor, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Psi_{A B C D ; E \dot{E}} C^{A} \iota_{\iota} C_{0} D_{o}{ }_{o}^{E} \dot{\bar{E}}=0,  \tag{3.37}\\
R=0, \quad \Phi_{A B \dot{A} \dot{B}} o^{A} o^{B}=0 . \tag{3.38}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then the validity of Huygens' principle for the conformally invariant equation (3.1) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{A B \dot{A} \dot{B}} O^{A}{ }^{B} \bar{o}^{\dot{A}} \bar{L}_{\bar{B}} \neq 0 . \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3 Proof of the Main Theorem

In what follows we assume that $\alpha \beta \pi \neq 0$, since the case in which this is not true was already considered in [22].

By contracting condition $I I I$ with $\iota^{A} o^{B} \bar{\iota}^{\dot{A} \dot{B}}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \beta=-\beta(\bar{\alpha}+\beta) \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the Bianchi identities, using the above conditions, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& D \Phi_{12}=2 \bar{\pi} \Phi_{11},  \tag{3.41}\\
& D \Phi_{22}=-2(\beta+\bar{\beta})+2 \Phi_{21} \bar{\pi}+2 \Phi_{12} \pi  \tag{3.42}\\
& \delta \Phi_{12}=2 \bar{\alpha}+4 \bar{\pi}+2 \bar{\lambda} \Phi_{11}-2 \bar{\alpha} \Phi_{12}  \tag{3.43}\\
& \bar{\delta} \Phi_{12}=-2 \beta+2 \bar{\mu} \Phi_{11}-2 \bar{\beta} \Phi_{12} . \tag{3.44}
\end{align*}
$$

From the Ricci identities we get the following relevant Pfaffians:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D \gamma=\bar{\pi} \alpha+\beta \pi+\Phi_{11}  \tag{3.45}\\
& \mathrm{D} \lambda=(1 / 2) \delta \alpha-(11 / 2) \bar{\beta} \alpha+\pi^{2}-2 \pi \alpha-11 \pi \bar{\beta}-(3 / 2) \alpha^{2}  \tag{3.46}\\
& \delta \bar{\pi}=D \bar{\lambda}-\bar{\pi}^{2}-\overline{\pi \alpha}+\bar{\pi} \beta  \tag{3.47}\\
& D \bar{\nu}=\Delta \bar{\pi}+\overline{\pi \mu}+\bar{\lambda} \pi+\overline{\pi \gamma}-\bar{\pi} \gamma-1+\Phi_{12}  \tag{3.48}\\
& \delta \alpha=\bar{\delta} \beta+\alpha \bar{\alpha}+\beta \bar{\beta}-2 \beta \alpha+\Phi_{11}  \tag{3.49}\\
& \delta \pi=D \mu-\bar{\pi} \pi+\pi \bar{\alpha}-\beta \pi \tag{3.50}
\end{align*}
$$

We can obtain useful integrability conditions for the above Pfaffians, by using NP commutation relations. By substituting them in the commutator expression $[\delta, D] \Phi_{22}$ $[\Delta, D] \Phi_{12}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \bar{\beta}=-2 \Phi_{11}-\bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}-4 \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}-2 D \bar{\mu}-\beta \bar{\beta}+2 \bar{\pi} \pi \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

By contracting condition $V$ with $\iota^{A B C D} \bar{l}_{\bar{L}} \dot{A} \dot{B} \dot{\delta} \dot{C} \dot{D}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
20 \bar{\beta} \pi+12 \bar{\beta} \alpha+6 \pi \alpha+3 \alpha^{2}+\bar{\delta} \alpha+2 \bar{\delta} \pi+\overline{\delta \beta}+\bar{\beta}^{2}=0 \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting (3.35) into this equation we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(2 \bar{\pi}+\bar{\alpha})=-20 \bar{\pi} \beta-11 \beta \bar{\alpha}-6 \bar{\pi} \bar{\alpha}-3 \bar{\alpha}^{2} \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.49), (3.50) and (3.35) we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(2 \pi+\alpha)=2 \pi \bar{\alpha}+\alpha \bar{\alpha}-6 \beta \pi-3 \beta \alpha-\Phi_{11} \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

By contracting condition $V$ with $\iota^{A B C}{ }_{o} D_{\bar{L}} \dot{A} \dot{B} \dot{C}_{\bar{o}}{ }^{\dot{D}}$, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& -6 \delta \pi-15 \alpha \bar{\pi}-10 \alpha \bar{\alpha}-68 \pi \bar{\pi}-15 \pi \bar{\alpha}-3 \delta \alpha-126 \bar{\beta} \beta \\
& +5 \mathrm{D} \bar{\gamma}+10 \mathrm{D} \bar{\mu}-24 \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}-3 \bar{\delta} \bar{\alpha}-6 \bar{\delta} \bar{\pi}-15 \delta \bar{\beta}-3 \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \\
& +5 \mathrm{D} \gamma+10 \mathrm{D} \mu-15 \bar{\delta} \beta-24 \beta \alpha-3 \beta \pi-4 \Phi_{11}=0 . \tag{3.55}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.45), (3.50) and the complex conjugate of (3.51), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
9 \Phi_{11}+10 \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}+5[D \mu+D \bar{\mu}]-2 \bar{\pi} \alpha-12 \beta \bar{\beta}-2 \alpha \bar{\alpha}-16 \bar{\pi} \pi+10 \beta \pi-2 \pi \bar{\alpha}=0 . \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the NP commutator $[\bar{\delta}, \delta](\alpha+2 \pi)=(\alpha-\bar{\beta}) \delta(\alpha+2 \pi)+(-\bar{\alpha}+\beta) \bar{\delta}(\alpha+$ $2 \pi$ ), yields the following expression

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \pi \beta \bar{\beta}+22 \pi \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}+43 \pi \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}-22 \bar{\pi} \pi^{2}+\bar{\beta} \mathrm{D} \mu+22 \pi \mathrm{D} \bar{\mu}+12 \alpha \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha} \\
& +6 \bar{\beta} \Phi_{11}-12 \alpha \bar{\pi} \pi+11 \alpha \Phi_{11}+18 \pi \Phi_{11}+24 \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta} \alpha+12 \alpha \mathrm{D} \bar{\mu}=0 . \tag{3.57}
\end{align*}
$$

Eliminating $\mathrm{D} \bar{\mu}$ between (3.56) and (3.57), and solving for $\mathrm{D} \dot{\mu}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D} \mu=-\frac{1}{5}\left(108 \pi \Phi_{11}-44 \pi^{2} \bar{\alpha}-24 \bar{\pi} \alpha^{2}-68 \pi \alpha \bar{\alpha}\right. \\
& 144 \alpha \beta \bar{\beta}+53 \alpha \Phi_{11}-274 \pi \beta \bar{\beta}+120 \pi \beta \alpha-24 \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha} \\
& -242 \bar{\pi} \pi^{2}+220 \beta \pi^{2}-176 \alpha \bar{\pi} p-60 \alpha \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}-30 \bar{\beta} \Phi_{11} \\
& +5 \pi \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta}-110 \bar{\alpha} \pi \bar{\beta}) /(-\bar{\beta}+12 \alpha+22 \pi) \tag{3.58}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have assumed that the denominator of the expression above, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1}:=-\bar{\beta}+12 \alpha+22 \pi \tag{3.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

is non-zero. The case $d_{1}=0$ will be considered later.
Substituting expression (3.58) for $D \mu$ into (3.56) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D} \bar{\mu}=-\frac{1}{5}\left(90 \pi \Phi_{11}+12 \beta \bar{\beta}^{2}-10 \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi}+55 \alpha \Phi_{11}+122 \alpha \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}-110 \bar{\pi} \pi^{2}-60 \alpha \bar{\pi} \pi\right. \\
& \left.+62 \alpha \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}+21 \bar{\beta} \Phi_{11}+231 \pi \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}+112 \bar{\alpha} \pi \bar{\beta}\right) /(-\bar{\beta}+12 \alpha+22 \pi) \tag{3.60}
\end{align*}
$$

One side relation can now be obtained by subtracting the complex conjugate of (3.58) from (3.60). We obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}:=\frac{1}{5}\left(720 \bar{\alpha}^{2} \bar{\beta} \alpha+2904 \pi^{2} \bar{\pi}^{2}-12 \beta^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2}+288 \bar{\alpha}^{2} \alpha^{2}+528 \pi^{2} \bar{\alpha}^{2}+528 \bar{\pi}^{2} \alpha^{2}\right. \\
& +2420 \bar{\alpha} \pi \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}+3056 \bar{\alpha} \pi \beta \bar{\beta}+2888 \bar{\alpha} \alpha \bar{\pi} \pi+1320 \bar{\alpha} \pi \beta \alpha+1320 \bar{\pi} \beta \alpha^{2} \\
& +1606 \bar{\alpha} \beta \bar{\beta} \alpha+5802 \bar{\pi} \pi \beta \bar{\beta}+1320 \bar{\pi} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta} \alpha+2420 \bar{\pi} \beta \alpha \pi+1320 \pi \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +3056 \bar{\pi} \beta \alpha \bar{\beta}+2552 \pi \bar{\pi}^{2} \alpha+305 \beta \Phi_{11} \alpha+570 \beta \Phi_{11} \dot{\pi}-51 \beta \Phi_{11} \bar{\beta} \\
& +24 \bar{\alpha} \Phi_{11} \alpha-86 \bar{\alpha} \Phi_{11} \pi+305 \bar{\alpha} \Phi_{11} \bar{\beta}+816 \pi \alpha \bar{\alpha}^{2}+2552 \bar{\alpha} \bar{\pi} \pi^{2} \\
& +816 \bar{\alpha} \bar{\pi} \alpha^{2}-86 \bar{\pi} \alpha \Phi_{11}-396 \bar{\pi} \pi \Phi_{11}+720 \beta \bar{\alpha} \alpha^{2}+570 \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta} \Phi_{11} / \\
& \quad((-12 \alpha-22 \pi+\bar{\beta})(12 \bar{\alpha}+22 \bar{\pi}-\beta))=0 \tag{3.61}
\end{align*}
$$

We notice that (3.58) and (3.60) have the same denominator. Thus, if we keep these expressions for $\mathrm{D} \mu$ and $\mathrm{D} \bar{\mu}$, the Pfaffians $\delta \bar{\beta}, \delta \alpha, \delta \pi$, given by (3.51), (3.47) and (3.50), respectively, and their complex conjugates, also have the same denominator. This procedure is crucial to keep the expressions to be obtained from the integrability conditions within a reasonable size. Except for $\bar{\delta} \alpha$, all Pfaffians involving $\delta, \bar{\delta}$, applied to $\alpha, \beta, \pi$ are explicitly determined.

The following expression for $\bar{\delta} \alpha$ can be obtained from the NP commutator $[\bar{\delta}, \delta] \bar{\beta}=$ $(\bar{\mu}-\mu) \mathrm{D} \bar{\beta}+(\alpha-\bar{\beta}) \delta \bar{\beta}+(\beta-\bar{\alpha}) \overline{\delta \beta}:$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\delta} \alpha=\left(2 \pi \mathrm{D} \bar{\mu}-2 \bar{\delta}(\mathrm{D} \bar{\mu})-3 \bar{\pi} \alpha^{2}-8 \bar{\beta} \mathrm{D} \bar{\mu}-11 \alpha \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}-2 \bar{\pi} \pi^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-4 \alpha \bar{\pi} \pi-4 \bar{\beta} \Phi_{11}-14 \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}\right) / \bar{\pi} . \tag{3.62}
\end{align*}
$$

By substituting (3.58) and (3.60) into this equation we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\delta} \alpha: & =-\frac{1}{5}\left(19519 \Phi_{11} \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha+8570 \Phi_{11} \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2}+1950 \Phi_{11} \pi \alpha^{2}+35850 \Phi_{11} \pi \bar{\beta}^{2}\right. \\
& +2900 \Phi_{11} \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}-3180 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi}-210 \alpha^{3} \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}+150 \alpha^{3} \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}-1307 \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi} \\
& -180 \alpha^{2} \beta \bar{\beta}^{2}+628 \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\alpha}-1280 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\alpha}+1950 \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}^{3} \alpha+4668 \alpha \bar{\beta}^{3} \beta \\
& +3520 \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\alpha} \pi+8160 \beta \pi \bar{\beta}^{3}+330 \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\pi} \pi+975 \Phi_{11} \alpha^{3}-860 \bar{\beta}^{3} \Phi_{11} \\
& +17950 \Phi_{11} \bar{\beta} \alpha \pi-420 \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \pi+300 \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta} \pi \bar{\alpha}-4116 \alpha \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi} \pi \\
& \left.+588 \alpha \pi \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}^{2}+175 \bar{\beta}^{3} \alpha \bar{\pi}\right) /\left(\bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi}-14 \alpha \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}+10 \bar{\beta} \alpha \bar{\alpha}+20 \bar{\beta} \pi \bar{\alpha}\right. \\
& \left.+65 \alpha \Phi_{11}-12 \beta \bar{\beta}^{2}+130 \pi \Phi_{11}-31 \bar{\beta} \Phi_{11}-28 \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \pi-6 \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\alpha}\right) \tag{3.63}
\end{align*}
$$

where, for now, we assume that the denominator in the expression above:

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{2}:= & \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi}-14 \alpha \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}+10 \bar{\beta} \alpha \bar{\alpha}+20 \bar{\beta} \pi \bar{\alpha}+65 \alpha \Phi_{11}-12 \beta \bar{\beta}^{2} \\
& +130 \pi \Phi_{11}-31 \bar{\beta} \Phi_{11}-28 \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \pi-6 \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\alpha} \tag{3.64}
\end{align*}
$$

is non-zero.
Contracting condition $V I I$ with $\iota^{A B C D E}{ }_{\sigma^{F}} \bar{\sigma}^{\dot{B} \dot{C} \dot{D}}{ }_{\bar{\iota}} \dot{A} \dot{E} \dot{F}$ yields

$$
V I_{13}:=648 \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha \bar{\pi}-165 \pi \bar{\pi} \overline{\delta \beta}-40 \pi \mathrm{D} \Phi_{21}+1557 \delta \bar{\beta} \alpha \pi
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +270 \alpha \bar{\beta} \delta \pi+144 \delta \alpha \alpha \bar{\beta}+378 \alpha \bar{\beta} D \bar{\gamma}+2058 \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi} \pi \\
& +570 \mathrm{D} \mu \overline{\delta \beta}+630 \mathrm{D} \mu \bar{\beta}^{2}+21 \mathrm{D} \lambda \bar{\delta} \bar{\alpha}-201 \mathrm{D} \bar{\gamma} \bar{\delta} \pi \\
& -36 \pi^{2} \delta \bar{\alpha}-954 \bar{\delta} \beta \bar{\beta}^{2}-864 \bar{\delta} \beta \overline{\delta \beta}-864 \beta \bar{\beta}^{3}-132 \delta \alpha \delta \bar{\alpha} \\
& +1116 \alpha^{3} \bar{\alpha}-366 \alpha^{2} \bar{\delta} \bar{\alpha}-900 \alpha \bar{\beta} \delta \bar{\beta}-20 \alpha \mathrm{D} \Phi_{21}+1454 \Phi_{11} \pi \bar{\beta} \\
& +771 \bar{\delta} \bar{\pi} \pi \bar{\beta}+423 \bar{\delta} \alpha \bar{\alpha} \pi+939 \bar{\alpha} \alpha \bar{\delta} \pi-1038 \mathrm{D} \bar{\mu} \alpha \pi \\
& -90 \lambda \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{D} \bar{\mu})+45 \pi \bar{\delta}(\delta \bar{\beta})-15 \pi \bar{\delta}(\mathrm{D} \bar{\gamma})-30 \pi \bar{\delta}(\mathrm{D} \bar{\mu}) \\
& +84 \alpha \bar{\beta} \bar{\delta} \bar{\pi}-774 \bar{\delta} \alpha \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}-570 \overline{\delta \beta} \bar{\alpha} \pi+180 \bar{\delta} \alpha \beta \bar{\beta} \\
& +135 \mathrm{D}(\delta \bar{\beta}) \lambda-45 \lambda \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{D} \bar{\gamma})-186 \bar{\delta} \bar{\beta} \alpha \bar{\pi}+570 \alpha \bar{\beta} \mathrm{D} \bar{\mu} \\
& +30 \mathrm{D} \lambda \alpha \bar{\pi}-39 \mathrm{D} \lambda \bar{\alpha} \pi-78 \mathrm{D} \lambda \bar{\pi} \pi-744 \bar{\alpha} \alpha \overline{\delta \beta}-924 \bar{\alpha} \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta} \\
& -387 \pi^{2} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}-21 \mathrm{D} \lambda \bar{\alpha} \alpha+351 \mathrm{D} \bar{\gamma} \pi \bar{\beta}-1773 \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \bar{\delta} \pi \\
& +216 \bar{\alpha} \pi \bar{\delta} \pi+870 \mathrm{D} \mu \alpha \bar{\beta}-198 \bar{\delta} \bar{\alpha} \alpha \bar{\beta}+762 \bar{\delta} \alpha \bar{\alpha} \alpha \\
& +378 \beta \alpha \overline{\delta \beta}+828 \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta} \beta-1674 \alpha \bar{\beta} \bar{\delta} \beta-594 \beta \bar{\beta} \overline{\delta \beta}+636 \pi^{2} \bar{\alpha} \alpha \\
& +2289 \alpha^{2} \pi \bar{\alpha}+36 \mathrm{D} \lambda \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}-660 \alpha \pi \bar{\delta} \bar{\alpha}+1773 \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi} \pi-555 \mathrm{D} \bar{\gamma} \alpha \pi \\
& +2187 \beta \pi \bar{\beta}^{2}+2619 \beta \pi \overline{\delta \beta}-888 \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha-126 \bar{\delta} \alpha \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta} \\
& +3681 \beta \pi \alpha \bar{\beta}-2055 \alpha \pi \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}-6951 \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \alpha \pi-1476 \alpha \bar{\beta}^{2} \beta \\
& +150 \bar{\delta} \alpha \bar{\pi} \pi-63 D \lambda \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}-30 \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\alpha} \pi+934 \Phi_{11} \alpha \pi-465 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \\
& -1608 \alpha \pi \bar{\delta} \bar{\pi}+63 \mathrm{D} \lambda \beta \bar{\beta}+20 \bar{\beta} \mathrm{D} \Phi_{21}+567 \pi^{2} \beta \bar{\beta} \\
& -426 \bar{\delta} \bar{\pi} \bar{\delta} \pi+18 \mathrm{D} \lambda \mathrm{D} \bar{\mu}-39 \pi^{2} \mathrm{D} \bar{\gamma}+39 \pi^{3} \bar{\alpha}-78 \pi^{2} \mathrm{D} \bar{\mu} \\
& +78 \pi^{3} \bar{\pi}+9 \mathrm{D} \lambda \mathrm{D} \bar{\gamma}+324 \delta \alpha \overline{\delta \beta}+324 \delta \alpha \bar{\beta}^{2} \\
& -189 \bar{\delta} \bar{\delta} \bar{\delta} \pi+276 \overline{\delta \beta} \mathrm{D} \gamma+306 \bar{\beta}^{2} \mathrm{D} \gamma+432 \alpha^{3} \bar{\pi} \\
& +1080 \alpha^{2} \delta \bar{\beta}-144 \delta \alpha \bar{\delta} \bar{\pi}+360 \delta \alpha \delta \bar{\beta}-372 \alpha^{2} \bar{\delta} \bar{\pi} \\
& +630 \overline{\delta \beta} \delta \pi+630 \bar{\beta}^{2} \delta \pi+528 \mathrm{D} \bar{\mu} \pi \bar{\beta}+408 \bar{\pi} \pi \bar{\delta} \pi \\
& +246 \alpha \bar{\pi} \bar{\delta} \pi+639 \bar{\delta} \bar{\alpha} \pi \bar{\beta}+156 \alpha \bar{\beta} \mathrm{D} \gamma-2592 \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \\
& +204 \bar{\delta} \alpha \alpha \bar{\pi}+117 \beta \bar{\beta} \bar{\delta} \pi-657 \delta \bar{\beta} \pi \bar{\beta}+1128 \pi^{2} \alpha \bar{\pi} \\
& -108 \bar{\delta} \alpha \mathrm{D} \bar{\gamma}-240 \bar{\delta} \alpha \mathrm{D} \bar{\mu}-720 \alpha^{2} \mathrm{D} \bar{\mu}-324 \alpha^{2} \mathrm{D} \bar{\gamma} \\
& +567 \delta \bar{\beta} \bar{\delta} \pi-30 \mathrm{D} \lambda \bar{\delta} \bar{\pi}-27 \mathrm{D} \lambda \delta \bar{\beta}+117 \pi^{2} \delta \bar{\beta} \\
& -378 \mathrm{D} \bar{\mu} \bar{\delta} \pi-102 \Phi_{11} \mathrm{D} \lambda+182 \Phi_{11} \pi^{2}+366 \Phi_{11} \bar{\delta} \pi \\
& -600 \Phi_{11} \overline{\delta \beta}-600 \Phi_{11} \bar{\beta}^{2}+1008 \Phi_{11} \alpha^{2}+336 \Phi_{11} \bar{\delta} \alpha+1704 \Phi_{11} \alpha \bar{\beta} \\
& +30 \pi \mathrm{D}(\bar{\delta} \bar{\gamma})-90 \pi \delta(\overline{\delta \beta})+60 \pi \mathrm{D}(\overline{\delta \bar{\mu}})-90 \mathrm{D}(\overline{\delta \beta}) \mu \\
& -90 \pi \mathrm{D}(\Delta \bar{\beta})-660 \bar{\beta} \pi \mathrm{D} \gamma-270 \alpha \bar{\delta}(\delta \bar{\beta})-30 \alpha \bar{\delta}(\bar{\delta} \bar{\alpha}) \\
& -60 \alpha \bar{\delta}(\bar{\delta} \bar{\pi})+30 \bar{\beta} \bar{\delta}(\mathrm{D} \gamma)+60 \bar{\beta} \bar{\delta}(\mathrm{D} \mu)+90 \alpha \bar{\delta}(\mathrm{D} \bar{\gamma}) \\
& +180 \alpha \bar{\delta}(\mathrm{D} \bar{\mu})-180 \bar{\beta} \bar{\delta}(\delta \pi)-90 \bar{\beta} \bar{\delta}(\delta \alpha)+45 \pi \bar{\delta}(\bar{\delta} \bar{\alpha})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +90 \pi \bar{\delta}(\bar{\delta} \bar{\pi})+30 \bar{\beta} \mathrm{D}(\bar{\delta} \gamma)+60 \bar{\beta} \mathrm{D}(\bar{\delta} \mu)+15 \lambda \mathrm{D}(\bar{\delta} \bar{\alpha}) \\
& +30 \lambda \mathrm{D}(\bar{\delta} \bar{\pi})+60 \bar{\alpha} \bar{\delta}(\bar{\delta} \alpha)-90 \bar{\beta} \bar{\delta}(\bar{\delta} \beta)-90 \bar{\beta} \mathrm{D}(\Delta \alpha) \\
& -180 \bar{\beta} \mathrm{D}(\Delta \pi)+180 \beta \bar{\delta}(\overline{\delta \beta})+120 \bar{\alpha} \bar{\delta}(\bar{\delta} \pi)+60 \gamma \mathrm{D}(\bar{\delta} \alpha) \\
& +120 \gamma \mathrm{D}(\bar{\delta} \pi)-180 \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta} \bar{\delta} \pi-60 \bar{\beta} \mathrm{D} \mu \pi-315 \bar{\beta} \bar{\delta} \beta \pi \\
& +180 \bar{\beta} \delta \pi \pi=0 . \tag{3.65}
\end{align*}
$$

The second-order terms $\mathrm{D}(\bar{\delta} \bar{\gamma}), \mathrm{D}(\bar{\delta} \gamma), \mathrm{D}(\bar{\delta} \bar{\mu}), \mathrm{D}(\Delta \bar{\beta}), \mathrm{D}(\Delta \alpha), \mathrm{D}(\Delta \pi)$, can be expressed in terms of known Pfaffians and $\bar{\delta} \alpha$, by using the NP commutation relations involving each pair of operators. After the substitutions we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& V I I_{13}:=\frac{2}{5}\left(760 \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi} \pi \bar{\delta} \alpha+9600 \bar{\beta} \Phi_{11} \bar{\delta} \alpha \pi+25040 \bar{\beta} \bar{\delta} \alpha \bar{\alpha} \pi^{2}\right. \\
& \quad-23440 \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \pi^{2} \bar{\delta} \alpha+2400 \beta \pi \bar{\delta} \alpha \bar{\beta}^{2}-240 \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\delta} \alpha \bar{\alpha} \pi \\
& \quad+2880 \alpha \bar{\beta}^{2} \beta \bar{\delta} \alpha+8600 \alpha \Phi_{11} \bar{\delta} \alpha \pi+360 \alpha \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi} \bar{\delta} \alpha \\
& \quad+600 \alpha \bar{\delta} \alpha \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}^{2}+24880 \alpha \bar{\beta} \bar{\delta} \alpha \bar{\alpha} \pi-22160 \alpha \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \pi \bar{\delta} \alpha \\
& \quad+8940 \alpha \Phi_{11} \bar{\delta} \alpha \bar{\beta}-5280 \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \bar{\delta} \alpha+6240 \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha} \alpha^{2} \bar{\delta} \alpha-9885300 \alpha \Phi_{11} \pi^{2} \bar{\beta} \\
& \quad+113040 \alpha \beta \bar{\beta}^{4}-1200 \Phi_{11} \bar{\delta} \alpha \alpha^{2}+48400 \alpha \pi^{3} \Phi_{11}+40200 \Phi_{11} \pi \alpha^{3} \\
& \quad+22400 \Phi_{11} \bar{\delta} \alpha \pi^{2}-720 \bar{\beta}^{3} \beta \bar{\delta} \alpha-300 \bar{\delta} \alpha \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}^{3}+142635 \alpha \bar{\beta}^{3} \Phi_{11} \\
& -910512 \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta}^{3} \beta-351912 \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha^{3} \bar{\pi}-5085800 \bar{\beta} \pi^{3} \Phi_{11}-1467420 \Phi_{11} \bar{\beta} \alpha^{3} \\
& \quad-1760 \Phi_{11} \bar{\delta} \alpha \bar{\beta}^{2}-3600 \Phi_{11} \alpha^{4}+35640 \bar{\beta}^{3} \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi}-360060 \bar{\alpha}^{3} \alpha^{2} \\
& \quad+120000 \Phi_{11} \pi^{2} \alpha^{2}-633396 \Phi_{11} \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha^{2}+8640 \alpha^{3} \beta \bar{\beta}^{2}-15840 \alpha^{4} \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \\
& -155352 \alpha^{3} \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\alpha}+18720 \alpha^{4} \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}+176880 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\pi}+205920 \beta \pi \bar{\beta}^{4} \\
& \quad+85800 \bar{\beta}^{4} \bar{\alpha} \pi+47100 \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}^{4} \alpha+227170 \Phi_{11} \pi \bar{\beta}^{3}-932620 \Phi_{11} \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2} \\
& -2702400 \pi^{2} \beta \bar{\beta}^{3}-1041760 \pi^{3} \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\alpha}-2977440 \pi^{3} \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi}-1064800 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\alpha} \\
& -835840 \alpha^{2} \pi \bar{\alpha}^{2}-6584360 \Phi_{11} \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2} \pi+74640 \alpha^{3} \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\alpha} \\
& -66480 \alpha^{3} \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \pi+75120 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}-70320 \pi^{2} \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}-2193544 \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi} \pi \\
& +7200 \alpha^{2} \beta \pi \bar{\beta}^{2}-4461264 \alpha \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi}-3128928 \alpha \beta \pi \bar{\beta}^{3} \\
& -1237920 \alpha \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\alpha} \pi+161720 \alpha \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\pi} \pi-1662504 \alpha \Phi_{11} \pi \bar{\beta}^{2} \\
& \left.-1572528 \alpha \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\alpha}-25 \bar{\beta}^{4} \Phi_{11}\right) /(-\bar{\beta}+12 \alpha+22 \pi)^{2}=0 . \tag{3.66}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving this equation for $\bar{\delta} \alpha$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\delta} \alpha: & =-\left(227170 \pi \bar{\beta}^{3} \Phi_{11}+40200 \pi \alpha^{3} \Phi_{11}+176880 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\pi}+18720 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{4} \bar{\alpha}\right. \\
& -1467420 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{3} \Phi_{11}-5085800 \bar{\beta} \pi^{3} \Phi_{11}+85800 \pi \bar{\beta}^{4} \bar{\alpha}-1064800 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\alpha} \\
& +8640 \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha^{3} \beta-932620 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2} \Phi_{11}-15840 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{4} \bar{\pi}+205920 \pi \bar{\beta}^{4} \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -2977440 \pi^{3} \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi}-1041760 \pi^{3} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}^{2}-2702400 \pi^{2} \beta \bar{\beta}^{3}-360060 \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\alpha} \\
& -351912 \alpha^{3} \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi}-155352 \alpha^{3} \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\alpha}-633396 \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2} \Phi_{11}-910512 \alpha^{2} \beta \bar{\beta}^{3} \\
& +35640 \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\pi}+47100 \bar{\beta}^{4} \alpha \bar{\alpha}+142635 \bar{\beta}^{3} \alpha \Phi_{11}+113040 \bar{\beta}^{4} \alpha \beta \\
& -3600 \alpha^{4} \Phi_{11}-1572528 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha \bar{\alpha}-1662504 \pi \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha \Phi_{11}+161720 \pi \bar{\beta}^{3} \alpha \bar{\pi} \\
& -835840 \pi \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}-1237920 \pi \bar{\beta}^{3} \alpha \bar{\alpha}-4461264 \pi^{2} \alpha \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi} \\
& -2193544 \alpha^{2} \pi \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi}+7200 \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha^{2} \pi \beta-3128928 \bar{\beta}^{3} \alpha \pi \beta \\
& -9885300 \bar{\beta} \pi^{2} \alpha \Phi_{11}+75120 \bar{\beta} \pi^{2} \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}-70320 \bar{\beta} \pi^{2} \bar{\pi} \alpha^{2} \\
& -6584360 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2} \pi \Phi_{11}+74640 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{3} \pi \bar{\alpha}-66480 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{3} \bar{\pi} \pi+48400 \pi^{3} \alpha \Phi_{11} \\
& \left.+120000 \alpha^{2} \pi^{2} \Phi_{11}-25 \bar{\beta}^{4} \Phi_{11}\right) /\left(-1760 \bar{\beta}^{2} \Phi_{11}-300 \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\alpha}-720 \beta \bar{\beta}^{3}\right. \\
& +360 \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha \bar{\pi}-240 \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\alpha} \pi+2400 \beta \pi \bar{\beta}^{2}+600 \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha+2880 \alpha \bar{\beta}^{2} \beta \\
& +760 \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi} \pi-5280 \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}-22160 \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \alpha \pi+25040 \pi^{2} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta} \\
& +8940 \bar{\beta} \alpha \Phi_{11}+9600 \bar{\beta} \pi \Phi_{11}+6240 \bar{\alpha} \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta}-23440 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \\
& \left.+24880 \alpha \pi \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}+22400 \pi^{2} \Phi_{11}-1200 \alpha^{2} \Phi_{11}+8600 \pi \alpha \Phi_{11}\right), \tag{3.67}
\end{align*}
$$

where the denominator of (3.67),

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{3}:= & -1760 \bar{\beta}^{2} \Phi_{11}-300 \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\alpha}-720 \beta \bar{\beta}^{3}+2880 \alpha \bar{\beta}^{2} \beta \\
& +360 \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha \bar{\pi}-240 \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\alpha} \pi+2400 \beta \pi \bar{\beta}^{2}+600 \bar{\alpha}^{2} \alpha \\
& +760 \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi} \pi-5280 \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}-22160 \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \alpha \pi+25040 \pi^{2} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta} \\
& +8940 \bar{\beta} \alpha \Phi_{11}+9600 \bar{\beta} \pi \Phi_{11}+6240 \bar{\alpha} \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta}-23440 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \\
& +24880 \alpha \pi \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}+22400 \pi^{2} \Phi_{11}-1200 \alpha^{2} \Phi_{11}+8600 \pi \alpha \Phi_{11} \tag{3.68}
\end{align*}
$$

is supposed to be non-zero for now.
Subtracting (3.63) from (3.67) and taking the numerator

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{1}: & =684288 \vec{\beta}^{5} \beta^{2} \alpha+286000 \pi^{3} \alpha \Phi_{11}^{2} \\
& -7290900 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2} \Phi_{11}^{2}-7913100 \alpha^{3} \Phi_{11}^{2} \bar{\beta}+165600 \vec{\beta}^{5} \bar{\alpha}^{2} \alpha \\
& -655680 \pi^{3} \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\alpha}^{2}+295488 \alpha^{3} \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\pi}^{2}-205632 \alpha^{3} \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\alpha}^{2}-582090 \pi \bar{\beta}^{3} \Phi_{11}^{2} \\
& -1157435 \bar{\beta}^{2} \Phi_{11}^{2} \alpha^{2}-1517760 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{4} \bar{\alpha}^{2}-447840 \bar{\beta}^{4} \bar{\alpha}^{2} \alpha^{2} \\
& +299000 \pi^{2} \alpha^{2} \Phi_{11}^{2}+123540 \vec{\beta}^{5} \Phi_{11} \beta+303600 \pi \vec{\beta}^{5} a c^{2}+51450 \vec{\beta}^{5} \bar{\alpha} \Phi_{11} \\
& -126720 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{4} \bar{\pi}^{2}-30643000 \pi^{3} \bar{\beta} \Phi_{11}^{2}-23040 \bar{\beta}^{4} \bar{\pi}^{2} \alpha^{2}+78000 \pi \alpha^{3} \Phi_{11}^{2} \\
& +31 i 1840 \pi^{3} \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\pi}^{2}-361718 \vec{\beta}^{3} \Phi_{11}^{2} \alpha+25 \vec{\beta}^{5} \bar{\pi} \Phi_{11}+1296000 \pi \vec{\beta}^{5} \beta^{2} \\
& -14398260 \pi \bar{\beta}^{2} \Phi_{11} \bar{\alpha} \alpha^{2}-57600 \bar{\beta}^{5} \bar{\alpha} \alpha \bar{\pi}+781920 \bar{\beta}^{4} \bar{\alpha} \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi} \\
& +89856 \alpha^{3} \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\pi}+301945 \bar{\beta}^{4} \Phi_{11}^{2}-138240 \vec{\beta}^{5} \beta \alpha \bar{\pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +1886976 \bar{\beta}^{4} \beta \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi}-1119744 \bar{\beta}^{4} \beta \bar{\alpha} \alpha^{2}+682560 \bar{\beta}^{5} \bar{\alpha} \alpha \beta \\
& +972820 \bar{\beta}^{4} \bar{\alpha} \alpha \Phi_{11}-3755902 \vec{\beta}^{3} \bar{\alpha} \alpha^{2} \Phi_{11}-266205 \bar{\beta}^{4} \Phi_{11} \alpha \bar{\pi} \\
& +1749108 \bar{\beta}^{4} \Phi_{11} \alpha \beta+3627158 \bar{\beta}^{3} \Phi_{11} \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi}-3766320 \vec{\beta}^{3} \beta \alpha^{2} \Phi_{11} \\
& +599880 \alpha^{3} \Phi_{11} \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi}-3021720 \alpha^{3} \Phi_{11} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}^{2}+6871680 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{4} \bar{\pi} \beta \\
& -14919600 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{3} \Phi_{11} \beta-13552520 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{3} \Phi_{11} \bar{\alpha}-1389792 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\alpha}^{2} \alpha \\
& +4357632 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\pi}^{2} \alpha+2915280 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{4} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\pi}+13578100 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{3} \Phi_{11} \bar{\pi} \\
& -3718080 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{4} \bar{\alpha} \beta-60662200 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta} \Phi_{11}^{2} \alpha-713952 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\pi} \alpha \bar{\alpha} \\
& -8470120 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2} \Phi_{11} \bar{\pi} \alpha-22343840 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2} \Phi_{11} \alpha \bar{\alpha}+46000 \pi^{2} \alpha^{2} \Phi_{11} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta} \\
& -64400 \pi^{2} \alpha^{2} \Phi_{11} \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}-26400 \pi^{2} \alpha \Phi_{11} \beta \bar{\beta}^{2}-105600 \pi \bar{\beta}^{5} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\pi} \\
& +1806460 \pi \bar{\beta}^{4} \Phi_{11} \bar{\alpha}-38468250 \pi \bar{\beta} \Phi_{11}^{2} \alpha^{2}-111360 \pi \bar{\beta}^{4} \bar{\pi}^{2} \alpha \\
& +3379920 \pi \bar{\beta}^{4} \Phi_{11} \beta-474200 \pi \bar{\beta}^{4} \Phi_{11} \bar{\pi}-253440 \pi \bar{\beta}^{5} \bar{\pi} \beta \\
& +1268640 \pi \bar{\beta}^{5} \bar{\alpha} \beta-1647600 \pi \bar{\beta}^{4} \bar{\alpha}^{2} \alpha-6010420 \pi \bar{\beta}^{2} \Phi_{11}^{2} \alpha \\
& -939744 \pi \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\alpha}^{2} \alpha^{2}+1993248 \pi \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\pi}^{2} \alpha^{2}+3021840 \pi \bar{\beta}^{4} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\pi} \alpha \\
& +51264 \pi \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\pi} \bar{\alpha} \alpha^{2}+14037554 \pi \bar{\beta}^{3} \Phi_{11} \alpha \bar{\pi}-14255872 \pi \bar{\beta}^{3} \Phi_{11} \bar{\alpha} \alpha \\
& -15000840 \pi \bar{\beta}^{3} \Phi_{11} \alpha \beta-592500 \pi \bar{\beta}^{2} \Phi_{11} \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi}-4074624 \pi \bar{\beta}^{4} \bar{\alpha} \alpha \beta \\
& +7198848 \pi \bar{\beta}^{4} \bar{\pi} \alpha \beta-14400 \pi \alpha^{2} \Phi_{11} \beta \bar{\beta}^{2}-16800 \pi \alpha^{3} \Phi_{11} \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \\
& +12000 \pi \alpha^{3} \Phi_{11} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}-9855600 \pi^{3} \bar{\beta}^{2} \Phi_{11} \bar{\pi}-11178800 \pi^{3} \bar{\beta}^{2} \Phi_{11} \bar{\alpha} \\
& -929760 \pi^{3} \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\pi} \bar{\alpha}+44000 \pi^{3} \alpha \Phi_{11} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}-61600 \pi^{3} \alpha \Phi_{11} \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}=0 . \tag{3.69}
\end{align*}
$$

At this point we suppose that $\Phi_{11}=0$. In this case, $N_{1}$ surprisingly factors in the following form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}:=-12 \bar{\beta} p_{1} p_{2} \tag{3.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{1}:= & 12 \beta \bar{\beta}+2 \pi \bar{\alpha}+2 \alpha \bar{\alpha}+5 \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}+6 \bar{\pi} \pi+2 \bar{\pi} \alpha,  \tag{3.71}\\
p_{2}:= & 1188 \bar{\beta} \beta \alpha+240 \alpha \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}+440 \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \pi-1265 \bar{\beta} \pi \bar{\alpha} \\
& -2250 \bar{\beta} \beta \pi+6830 \pi^{2} \bar{\alpha}+7647 \alpha \pi \bar{\alpha}+2142 \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha} \\
& -690 \bar{\beta} \alpha \bar{\alpha}-10805 \bar{\pi} \pi^{2}-11529 \alpha \bar{\pi} \pi-3078 \bar{\pi} \alpha^{2} . \tag{3.72}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us consider first the case in which $p_{2}=0$. Applying $\cdot \bar{\delta}$ to (3.72) and solving for $\bar{\delta} \alpha$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\delta} \alpha:= & -\left(690120 \bar{\beta} \beta \alpha^{3}-177100 \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\alpha} \pi+2475000 \bar{\beta} \alpha \pi^{2} \beta-97175 \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}^{3} \alpha\right. \\
& -186390 \alpha \bar{\beta}^{3} \beta+1716210 \bar{\alpha}^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2}-1131915 \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi}-4470219 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{3} \bar{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -3875990 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi}-341280 \beta \pi \bar{\beta}^{3}+5751820 \pi^{2} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}^{2}+9784170 \alpha^{3} \bar{\pi} \pi \\
& +2573586 \alpha^{2} \beta \bar{\beta}^{2}+8639361 \alpha^{3} \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}+8903160 \pi^{2} \beta \bar{\beta}^{2}-28683640 \pi^{3} \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha} \\
& -11970480 \pi^{2} \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}+18687060 p^{2} \bar{\pi} \alpha^{2}-6346350 \alpha^{3} \pi \bar{\alpha}+9567000 \beta \pi \alpha \bar{\beta}^{2} \\
& +2615220 \bar{\beta} \beta \pi \alpha^{2}+33800 \bar{\beta}^{3} \alpha \bar{\pi}+61600 \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\pi} \pi+90203190 \pi^{2} \alpha \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \\
& -1119420 \alpha^{4} \bar{\alpha}-4188240 \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi} \alpha \pi+6302070 \alpha \bar{\beta}^{2} \pi \bar{\alpha} \\
& -24866544 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2} \pi \bar{\alpha}-46210320 \bar{\beta} \alpha \pi^{2} \bar{\alpha}+48333948 \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \pi \\
& \left.+56154880 \pi^{3} \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}+1705860 \alpha^{4} \bar{\pi}-7513000 \pi^{3} \alpha \bar{\alpha}+11885500 \bar{\pi} \pi^{3} \alpha\right) / \\
& ((-12 \alpha-22 \pi+b c)(115 \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}+126 \beta \bar{\beta}-40 \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}+783 \bar{\pi} \alpha-1634 \pi \bar{\alpha} \\
& +1448 \pi \bar{\pi}-921 \alpha \bar{\alpha})) \tag{3.73}
\end{align*}
$$

where the denominator of the expression above, given by

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{4}:= & (-12 \alpha-22 \pi+\bar{\beta})(115 \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}+126 \beta \bar{\beta}-40 \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}+783 \bar{\pi} \alpha-1634 \pi \bar{\alpha} \\
& +1448 \pi \bar{\pi}-921 \alpha \bar{\alpha}) \tag{3.74}
\end{align*}
$$

is assumed to be non-zero, for now.
Here $N_{1}$, and all equations obtained by comparing different expressions for $\bar{\delta} \bar{\alpha}$, are polynomials in three complex variables $\alpha, \beta$ and $\pi$. One complex variable can be eliminated by introducing the following new variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}:=\frac{\alpha}{\pi}, \quad x_{2}:=\frac{\beta}{\bar{\pi}} . \tag{3.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows we first prove that the necessary conditions imply that both $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are constants. Then, later, we shall prove that this leads to a contradiction.

In the new variables defined by (3.75), (3.72) assumes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{2}= & -2250 \overline{x_{2}} x_{2}-1188 \overline{x_{2}} x_{2} x_{1}-3078 x_{1}^{2}-11529 x_{1}-10805 \\
& +7647 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+6830+2142 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}}-1265 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}+440 \overline{x_{2}}-690 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +240 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+2142 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}=0 . \tag{3.76}
\end{align*}
$$

Subtracting (3.73) from (3.67) (with $\Phi_{11}=0$ ), and taking the numerator, gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{2}: & =-75130000{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} x_{1}-568034312{\overline{x_{2}} x_{1}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}-162662000 x_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}}=-263829680{\overline{x_{2}}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}+69828000 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}} x_{2} x_{1}+91299060{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}}=-105963000 \overline{x_{2}} x_{2} x_{1}+328900{\overline{x_{2}}}^{4}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}-3248115{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}-97977600 x_{1}^{4} \\
& -12927600 x_{1}^{5}-278399100 x_{1}^{3}+37400{\overline{x_{2}}}^{4}-16070400 x_{1}^{4} \overline{x_{2}} x_{2} \\
& -277285752 \bar{x}_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{2}+6646212 x_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{2}+78647544 x_{1}^{4} \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -48437136 x_{1}^{3}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{2}+15461670 x_{1}{\overline{x_{1}}}_{{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}-39517398 x_{1}^{3}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}, ~} \\
& +12081744{\overline{x_{1}} x_{1}^{3}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{2}+593329572 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}+4160700 x_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} \overline{x_{1}}, ~}_{1} \\
& -221403987{x_{1}^{2}}_{\bar{x}_{2}}{ }^{2} \overline{x_{1}}-42924720 \overline{x_{1}}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{2}-459117172{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2} \\
& +1679968716 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2}+112691520{\overline{x_{1}}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{2}, ~}_{2} \\
& +163297776{\overline{x_{1}}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{2} x_{1}-413617894 \overline{x_{1}}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}+125141836{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1} .} \\
& +14366310 \overline{x_{1}}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}-169509600 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{2}} x_{2}-15940800 x_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{2}^{2} \\
& +114001200 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{2}} x_{2} \overline{x_{1}}+62078400 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{2}} x_{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +11275200 x_{1}^{4} \overline{x_{2}} x_{2} \overline{x_{1}}-45650088 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}{ }^{3} x_{2}-213660 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} x_{2} \\
& +77697000 \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{2}-12134448 \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{2} \\
& -165106878{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{2}}+66914052{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} x_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+383646000 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +136587600 x_{1}^{4} \overline{x_{1}}-1405233644 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{2}}-495836310 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -14850000 x_{2}^{2} x_{1}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}-121050{\overline{x_{2}}}^{4} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-11901168 x_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{2}^{2} \\
& -4276800 x_{1}^{3}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{2}^{2}-22290588{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} x_{1}^{4} \overline{x_{2}}+11929896{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} x_{1}^{3}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \\
& -3427140{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} x_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}-65630268 x_{1}^{4} \overline{x_{2}}+13886406{x_{1}^{3}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}}^{2} \\
& -939210{x_{1}^{2}}_{\bar{x}_{2}}{ }^{3}-11744220{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}+78035680{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+20400{\overline{x_{2}}}^{4} \boldsymbol{x}_{1} \\
& +1533600 x_{2}^{2} \overline{x_{2}}+146164809{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}+750960 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}{ }^{4} x_{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +179400{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{4} \boldsymbol{x}_{1}-90396000 \boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{2}} \boldsymbol{x}_{2}-12687610 x_{1}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} \\
& +25076106{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{1} x_{2}-528822552{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1} x_{2}-3492935{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{1} \\
& +23644920{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{2}-397830{\overline{x_{2}}}^{4} x_{2}-336008520{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{2}-6426000{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} x_{1}^{5} \\
& -131992500 x_{1}^{3}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}+18230400 x_{1}^{5} \overline{x_{1}}+824256 x_{1}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{4} x_{2}^{2} \\
& +1395480 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} x_{2}-221925 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}-47574000 x_{1}^{4}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}-166397000 x_{1} \\
& -42109200 \bar{x}_{2}^{2} \overline{x_{2}}{ }^{3}-836819360 \overline{x_{2}}-1770562898 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+224037000 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +478803300 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}-351507100 x_{1}^{2}+999889240 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +2115717928 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}=0 \text {. } \tag{3.77}
\end{align*}
$$

We now apply the Maple procedure gsolve to the system of polynomial equations $\left\{p_{2}=0, N_{2}=0\right\}$. In the algorithm, the variables $x_{1}, x_{2}$ and their complex conjugates, $\overline{x_{1}}$ and $\overline{x_{2}}$, are treated as independent variables. In the subsequent analysis we use the fact that they are complex conjugates of each other.

In the present case, despite the size of one the equations, the set of solutions was promptly obtained and is given as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}:=\left[-8+23 \overline{x_{1}}, 11 \overline{x_{2}}+8,66 x_{1}+125\right], \tag{3.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{2}:=\left[9108 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+247,-8+23 \overline{x_{1}}, 66 x_{1}+125\right],  \tag{3.79}\\
& G_{3}:=\left[828 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+75 \overline{x_{2}}+77,-8+23 \overline{x_{1}}, 66 x_{1}+125\right],  \tag{3.80}\\
& G_{4}:=\left[271 x_{1}+138 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+517,-8+23 \overline{x_{1}}\right],  \tag{3.81}\\
& G_{5}:=\left[36 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+7-5 \overline{x_{1}}, 6 x_{1}+11\right],  \tag{3.82}\\
& G_{6}:=\left[671514624{x_{2}^{2}}_{\bar{x}_{2}}{ }^{2}+488374272 x_{2}^{2} \overline{x_{2}}-220446720 \overline{x_{1}} x_{2}\right. \\
& +35785728{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{2}+88473600 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}-27979776 x_{1} x_{2}+69101568 x_{2} \\
& -167878656{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}+181020672{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} \overline{x_{2}}-26599040{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} \\
& +73852416{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}-132857600 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}+23168456 \overline{x_{1}}-26978094 \boldsymbol{x}_{1} \\
& -49722705-2204136 x_{1}^{2}+48043776 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+111324800 \overline{x_{2}}-7645440{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \text {, } \\
& 847872 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}} x_{2}-294912 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+423936 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}-382720 \overline{x_{1}}{ }^{2} \\
& -218112 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}+323928 \overline{x_{1}}-54450 x_{1}-169493+24576 \overline{x_{2}} \text {, } \\
& 139392{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{2}+202752{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{2}+73728 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+69696{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +38456{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}-54656 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}-33280 \overline{x_{1}}-4224 x_{1}+10432-11616 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +22544 \overline{\boldsymbol{x}_{2}}+2827{\overline{\boldsymbol{x}_{2}}}^{2}-11616{\overline{\boldsymbol{x}_{2}}}^{3}-7986{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \boldsymbol{x}_{1}, 304128 x_{1} x_{2} \overline{\boldsymbol{x}_{2}} \\
& +576000 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+66240 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}-59800 \overline{x_{1}}-191598 x_{1}-279575-17424 x_{1}^{2} \\
& \left.+198000 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+351960 \overline{x_{2}}, 192 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-282 x_{1}-505+280 \overline{x_{1}}\right] \text {. } \tag{3.83}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the fact that the pairs $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\overline{x_{1}}, \overline{x_{2}}\right)$ are complex conjugates of each other, we conclude that the sets $G_{1}$ to $G_{5}$ provide solutions which are either impossible or imply that $x_{1}$ is constant. Only $G_{6}$ is not immediately trivial. Its smallest term is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
192 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-282 x_{1}-505+280 \overline{x_{1}}=0 . \tag{3.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subtracting (3.84) from its complex conjugate we obtain the conclusion that $x_{1}$ is real, which implies that it must be constant. Let us consider now the case

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}=12 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+6+2 x_{1}+2 \overline{x_{1}}+2 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+5 \overline{x_{1} x_{2}}=0 . \tag{3.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now use the side relation $S_{1}$ given by (3.61). Its numerator takes the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{num}\left(S_{1}\right):=-6 x_{2}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+1210 x_{2} x_{1}+1276 x_{1}+1276 \overline{x_{1}}+360 x_{2} x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +2901 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+1528 x_{1} x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+660 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}+660 x_{2} x_{1}^{2}+408 x_{1}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} \\
& +660{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} \overline{x_{2}}+408 \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}^{2}+264{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}+144 x_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}+1452+264 x_{1}^{2} \\
& +1210 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}+1444 \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}+1528 x_{2} \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}+660 x_{2} \overline{x_{1}} x_{1} \\
& +803 x_{2} x_{1} \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}+360 x_{1}{\overline{x_{2}}}_{\bar{x}_{1}}{ }^{2} \text {. } \tag{3.86}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying gsolve to $p_{1}$ and $n u m\left(S_{1}\right)$ we obtain the following system of equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{1}:=6 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+31 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+56 x_{1}+\overline{x_{1}}+3=0  \tag{3.87}\\
& s_{2}:=72 x_{2} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+132 x_{1} x_{2}+31 x_{1}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}+56 \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}+{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}+3 \overline{x_{1}}=0,  \tag{3.88}\\
& s_{3}:=\overline{x_{1} x_{2}}-22 x_{1}-12 \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}=0 . \tag{3.89}
\end{align*}
$$

Subtracting (3.87) from its complex conjugate yields $\overline{x_{1}}=x_{1}$. Subtracting (3.89) from its complex conjugate now gives $x_{2}=\overline{x_{2}}=12 x_{1}+22$. Substituting these relations back in (3.87) and (3.88) results in a system with no solution.

Let us consider now the cases where each of the denominators $d_{2}, d_{3}$ and $d_{4}$, that appeared in the preceeding equations are zero.
(i) $\mathrm{d}_{2}=0$

In this case, from (3.64) and (3.75), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{2}:=-12 \overline{x_{2}} x_{2}-6 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}-14 x_{1}+10 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+\overline{x_{2}}+20 \overline{x_{1}}-28=0 \tag{3.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that the numerator of (3.63) is also zero:

$$
\begin{align*}
n_{2}:= & -1280 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}+175{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}+628 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}+150 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -210 x_{1}^{3}+3520{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} 3180 \overline{x_{2}}+8160 x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+1950{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} \bar{x}_{2} x_{1} \\
& -1307{\overline{x_{2}}}_{2}^{2}+4668 \bar{x}_{1}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{2}+330{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+300 x_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}-420 x_{1}^{2} \\
& +588 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}-4116 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}-180 x_{1}^{2} x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}=0 . \tag{3.91}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying gsolve to these two equations we obtain the following equivalent set of equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1152 \overline{x_{2}} x_{2}+576 \overline{x_{1} x_{2}}-520 \overline{x_{1}}+163-66 x_{1}-96 \overline{x_{2}}=0  \tag{3.92}\\
& 280 \overline{x_{1}}-505+192 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-282 x_{1}=0 \tag{3.93}
\end{align*}
$$

From the real part of (3.93) we obtain that $\overline{x_{1}}=x_{1}$ and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
192 x_{1}^{2}-2 x_{1}-505=0 \tag{3.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying gsolve to (3.94) and (3.92) we find that $\overline{x_{2}}=x_{2}$, which implies that $x_{2}=$ const., $x_{1}=$ const.
(ii) $\mathrm{d}_{3}=0$

Here, from (3.68) and (3.75) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{3}:= & -15{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}-36 x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+18 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}-12 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}+120 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+30 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +144 x_{1} x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+38 \overline{x_{2}}-264 x_{1}^{2}-1108 x_{1}+1252 \overline{x_{1}}+312 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}-1172 \\
& +1244 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}=0 \tag{3.95}
\end{align*}
$$

The numerator of (3.67) must also be zero, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
n_{3}: & =51480{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{2}-1115316 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}-548386 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{2}}+40430{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1} \\
& +8910 x_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}-87978 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{2}}+18660 x_{1}^{3} \bar{x}_{1}-675600 x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \\
& -260440{\overline{x_{1}}}^{x_{2}}+18780 \bar{x}_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}-266200{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+21450{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +4680 x_{1}^{4} \overline{x_{1}}-90015 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{2}} \bar{x}_{1}-38838 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}+2160 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{3} x_{2} \\
& +1800 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}-309480{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-208960 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -393132 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{1}}+44220{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}-17580 x_{1}^{2}-16620 x_{1}^{3}-3960 x_{1}^{4} \\
& -744360 \overline{x_{2}}+11775{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-78232 \bar{x}_{2}^{2} x_{1} x_{2}+28260 \bar{x}_{1}^{3} x_{1} x_{2} \\
& -227628 x_{1}^{2} \bar{x}_{2}^{2}=0 . \tag{3.96}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying gsolve to $d_{3}$ and $n_{3}$ given above we obtain the following sets of solutions

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{1}:=\left[36 x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+216 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+15{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+82 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}-48 \overline{x_{1}}+4 \overline{x_{2}}+24,\right. \\
& 7+3 x_{1} \text { ] }  \tag{3.97}\\
& g_{2}:=\left[232848 x_{2} \overline{x_{1}}+13860 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}-232848 x_{2}+80443{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}+13872 \overline{x_{1}}\right. \\
& +8400 \overline{x_{2}}-94315,1452 x_{2} \bar{x}_{2}^{2}+29252 \overline{x_{1}}+880 \overline{x_{2}^{2}}+3343 \overline{x_{2}}-29252, \\
& \left.-16 \overline{x_{2}}+11 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}-84 \overline{x_{1}}+84,5+6 x_{1}\right] \text {, }  \tag{3.98}\\
& g_{3}:=\left[49680 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} x_{2}+1867536 \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}+6305904 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{1}} x_{2}+5454900 x_{2} \overline{x_{1}}\right. \\
& -17280 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}-2081376 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}-7018704 x_{1} x_{2}-5900400 x_{2}+20700 \overline{x_{2}}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} \\
& +666990{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} x_{1}^{2}+2171355{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} x_{1}+1836400{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}-1267005 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -7200 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}-471870 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}-782825 \overline{x_{1}}-1349040 x_{1}-318240 x_{1}^{2} \\
& -1401200,-2831220 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{1}}-12488256 x_{1}^{3} x_{2}+4001940 x_{1}^{3}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} \\
& -1909440 x_{1}^{3}+11205216 x_{1}^{3} x_{2} \overline{x_{1}}-11594880 x_{1}^{2}-13346640 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +20750580{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} x_{1}^{2}-65764224 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}+59057424 \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}^{2} x_{2} \\
& +104387400 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} x_{2}-20709225 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+36279765 \bar{x}_{1}^{2} x_{1}-23246640 x_{1} \\
& -115160400 x_{1} x_{2}+61987500 x_{2} \overline{x_{1}}-15413200-67050000 x_{2} \\
& -10555975 \overline{x_{1}}+21429800{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}, 46332 x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+196560 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +19305{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+96849 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}+199728 x_{1}^{2}-179208 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +24354 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+1133088 x_{1}-914928 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+38214 \overline{x_{2}}-1051354 \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +1435744,46332 x_{1} x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+87750 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+4140 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}-121734 x_{1}^{2} \\
& +133398 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+23760 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}-365673 x_{1}+434271 \dot{x}_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+43560 \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +367280 \overline{x_{1}}-264005,10512 x_{1}^{2}-9432 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+1170 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -1440 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+35448 x_{1}-31848 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-2640 \overline{x_{2}}+1965 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}-27550 \overline{x_{1}}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+29800] \tag{3.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

The only set whose incompatibility is not evident is the third one, $g_{3}$. The system is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1}:=49680 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} x_{2}+1867536 \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}+6305904 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} x_{2}+5454900 x_{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -17280 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}-2081376 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}-7018704 x_{1} x_{2}-5900400 x_{2}+20700{\overline{x_{2}}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}}^{2} \\
& +666990{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} x_{1}^{2}+2171355{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} x_{1}+1836400{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}-1267005 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -7200 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}-471870 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}-782825 \overline{x_{1}}-1349040 x_{1}-318240 x_{1}^{2} \\
& -1401200=0,  \tag{3.100}\\
& F_{2}:=-2831220 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{1}}-12488256 x_{1}^{3} x_{2}+4001940 x_{1}^{3}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}-1909440 x_{1}^{3} \\
& +11205216 x_{1}^{3} x_{2} \overline{x_{1}}-11594880 x_{1}^{2}-13346640 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+20750580{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} x_{1}^{2} \\
& -65764224 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}+59057424 \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}+104387400 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} x_{2} \\
& -20709225 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+36279765{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} x_{1}-23246640 x_{1}-115160400 x_{1} x_{2} \\
& +61987500 x_{2} \overline{x_{1}}-15413200-67050000 x_{2}-10555975 \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +21429800{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}=0 \text {, }  \tag{3.101}\\
& F_{3}:=46332 x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+196560 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+19305{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}}+96849 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}+199728 x_{1}^{2} \\
& -179208 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+24354 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+1133088 x_{1}-914928 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+38214 \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -1051354 \overline{x_{1}}+1435744=0,  \tag{3.102}\\
& F_{4}:=46332 x_{1} x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+87750 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+4140 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}-121734 x_{1}^{2}+133398 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +23760 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}-365673 x_{1}+434271 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+43560 \overline{x_{2}}+367280 \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -264005=0 \text {, }  \tag{3.103}\\
& F_{5}:=10512 x_{1}^{2}-9432 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+1170 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-1440 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+35448 x_{1} \\
& -31848 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-2640 \overline{x_{2}}+1965 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}-27550 \overline{x_{1}}+29800=0 . \tag{3.104}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying $\bar{\delta}$ to $d_{3}$, given by (3.95), and solving for $\bar{\delta} \alpha$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\delta} \alpha:= & -\left(28080 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{3} \beta-644600 \bar{\pi} \pi^{3} \alpha+688600 \pi^{3} \alpha \bar{\alpha}+66000 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta} \beta \alpha\right. \\
& +900 \bar{\beta}^{4} \beta+375 \bar{\beta}^{4} \bar{\alpha}-11970 \pi \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\alpha}+184168 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi}-132284 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\alpha} \\
& -37344 \pi \bar{\beta}^{3} \beta+1062440 \pi^{2} \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}-2955536 \pi^{3} \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}+2766408 \pi^{3} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta} \\
& +177648 \pi^{2} \beta \bar{\beta}^{2}-982120 \pi^{2} \bar{\pi} \alpha^{2}-2480 \pi \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\pi}-23512 \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\alpha} \\
& +545580 \alpha^{3} \pi \bar{\alpha}-397614 \alpha^{3} \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}+409686 \alpha^{3} \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}+78876 \alpha^{2} \beta \bar{\beta}^{2} \\
& +49193 \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi}-497700 \alpha^{3} \bar{\pi} \pi-7155 \bar{\beta}^{3} \alpha \bar{\alpha}-21552 \bar{\beta}^{3} \alpha \beta \\
& +93240 \alpha^{4} \bar{\alpha}-83880 \alpha^{4} \bar{\pi}+4384668 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta} \alpha \bar{\alpha}+190260 \pi \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha \bar{\pi} \\
& +2319796 \pi \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}-115006 \pi \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha \bar{\alpha}-4541152 \pi^{2} \alpha \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.-2326802 \alpha^{2} \pi \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}+87480 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2} \pi \beta+243240 \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha \pi \beta-1345 \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\pi} \alpha\right) \\
& /(5(-12 \alpha-22 \pi+\bar{\beta})(36 \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}+84 \beta \bar{\beta}-\bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}+26 \bar{\pi} \alpha-8 \pi \bar{\alpha} \\
& +64 \bar{\pi} \pi+2 \alpha \bar{\alpha})) \tag{3.105}
\end{align*}
$$

where the denominator, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{5}:=5(-12 \alpha-22 \pi+\bar{\beta})(36 \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}+84 \beta \bar{\beta}-\bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}+26 \bar{\pi} \alpha-8 \pi \bar{\alpha}+64 \bar{\pi} \pi+2 \alpha \bar{\alpha}) \tag{3.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

is assumed to be non-zero for now.
Subtracting this expression from (3.63) and taking the numerator, we get, in the variables defined by (3.75):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{3}:=33445536{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} x_{2}-230934{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{1}-7320000{\overline{x_{1}}}^{4}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}-222048{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} \\
& +47400{\overline{x_{2}}}^{4}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}+15950{\overline{x_{2}}}^{4} \overline{x_{1}}+811536{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{2}-157828632 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -119432728 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{2}}+11283368{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}+9900 x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{5} \overline{x_{1}}+32172800 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +10800 x_{2}^{2} \overline{x_{2}}-111188912{\overline{x_{2}}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} x_{1}+5761996{x_{1}^{2}}_{\bar{x}_{2}}{ }^{2}, ~}_{2} \\
& -40209168 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{2}}+49253600 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{1}}-36104928{x_{2}}_{\bar{x}_{2}}{ }^{2} \\
& +137812704 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}+64980800 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}-30351096 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +877252{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} \overline{x_{1}}+16608000{\overline{x_{1}}}^{4} \overline{x_{1}}+30537208{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} x_{1}-900 x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{5} \\
& -28082000{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} x_{1}^{2}-55553440{\overline{x_{2}}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}-13772000{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} x_{1}-18048800 x_{1}, ~}_{1} \\
& -22269136 x_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+71015616 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}-2908800 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{3} x_{2} \\
& -7382400 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}-45102916{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+210053312 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +277320136 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+7374120{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}-35932400 x_{1}^{2}-26826800 x_{1}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -375{\overline{x_{2}}}^{5} \overline{x_{1}}+811142{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-51957168{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1} x_{2}+623352{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{1} x_{2} \\
& -24682752 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+518400{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{2}^{2} x_{1}^{3}+1382400{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{2}^{2} x_{1}^{2} \\
& +792000{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{2}^{2} x_{1}-3479668{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} x_{1}-28348656 x_{1}^{3}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +14811556{x_{1}^{2}}_{\bar{x}_{1}}{ }^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+2150{\overline{x_{2}}}^{4}+8685 x_{1}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{4} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +2381796 x_{1}^{3}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+23520 x_{1}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{4}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}-21494000 x_{1}^{3}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} \\
& +2102400 x_{1}^{5} \overline{x_{1}}-1108800 x_{1}^{5}-936000 x_{1}^{5}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}+982260 x_{1}^{3}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \\
& -5082912 x_{1}^{4} \overline{x_{2}}-12915120 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}+4521600 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} x_{2} \\
& +22815360 x_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} x_{2}-5887200 x_{1} x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+1170 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \\
& \text {-3858624 }{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}+101088{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}+9753606 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} x_{2} \\
& -60066 x_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}-3416384{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}+52344 x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{4}+9046656 x_{1}^{4} \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -3650904 x_{1}^{3}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+133488{\overline{x_{2}}}^{4} x_{1} x_{2}^{2}-13932864{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{1} x_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -3773952 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}-12947904 x_{2}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}+237312 \overline{x_{2}} x_{2}^{2} \\
& +28044 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}} x_{2}+203736 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}{ }^{4} \overline{x_{1}}-345600 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{4} x_{2} \\
& +3640896 x_{1}^{3}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} x_{2}+691200 x_{1}^{4} \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} x_{2}-3519936 x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +6943200 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} x_{2}+107676 x_{2} x_{1} \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}-13461720 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}{ }^{3} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +47820240{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1} x_{2} \overline{x_{1}}-3616992 x_{1}^{4}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} \overline{x_{2}}-885414{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} x_{1}^{2} \\
& +20887648{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}+183120{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}=0 \text {. } \tag{3.107}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying gsolve to $N_{3}$, given by (3.107), and $d_{3}$, given by (3.95), we now obtain the sets of solutions:

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{1}:= & {\left[4 x_{1}+12 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+8+5 \overline{x_{2}}, \overline{x_{1}}-1\right], }  \tag{3.108}\\
h_{2}:= & {\left[18 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+9 \overline{x_{2}}+7, \overline{x_{1}}-1,-3 \overline{x_{2}}+10+12 x_{1}\right], }  \tag{3.109}\\
h_{3}:= & {\left[-23218+84708 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+33819 \overline{x_{2}}+22950 x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+10125{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}, \overline{x_{1}}-1,\right.} \\
& \left.-3 \overline{x_{2}}+10+12 x_{1}\right],  \tag{3.110}\\
h_{4}:= & {\left[\overline{x_{1}}-1,7+3 x_{1}, \overline{x_{2}}+6\right], }  \tag{3.111}\\
h_{5}:= & {\left[108 x_{2}+49 \overline{x_{1}}-2,7+3 x_{1}, \overline{x_{2}}+6\right], }  \tag{3.112}\\
h_{6}:= & {\left[16236 x_{2}+4515 \overline{x_{1}}-122 x_{1}+2461,451 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-391 x_{1}+833 \overline{x_{1}}-678,\right.} \\
& \left.\overline{x_{2}}+6\right],  \tag{3.113}\\
h_{7}:= & {\left[7+3 x_{1}, \overline{x_{2}}+6\right], }  \tag{3.114}\\
h_{8}:= & {\left[15300 x_{1} x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+26868 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}-360 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}-340 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+12275 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}\right.} \\
& +678 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}-3602 \overline{x_{1}}-664 x_{1}+6915 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+102 x_{1}^{2}-600 \overline{x_{2}}-1646, \\
& 6375{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+54200 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}+14910 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-530060 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -129888 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}-546508 \overline{x_{1}}+15300 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -18550 \overline{x_{2}}+56472 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -9090 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+491516+468244 x_{1}+112608 x_{1}^{2},-84456 x_{1}^{3}+97416 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -499212 x_{1}^{2}+570492 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+6894 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{2}}-10674 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}-987180 x_{1} \\
& +405{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+24390 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}-41400 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+1107060 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -270{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}+709812 \overline{x_{1}}-40106 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}-651912+810 \overline{x_{2}} \bar{x}_{1}  \tag{3.115}\\
& \left.+21536{\overline{x_{2}}-450 \bar{x}_{2}}^{2}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

The only set that is not obviously incompatible is (3.115), which forms the following system:

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{1}:= & 15300 x_{1} x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+26868 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}-360 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}-340 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+12275 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +678 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}-3602 \overline{x_{1}}-664 x_{1}+6915 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+102 x_{1}^{2}-600 \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -1646=0, \tag{3.116}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{2}:= & 6375{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+54200 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}+14910 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-530060 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -129888 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}-546508 \overline{x_{1}}+15300 x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}-18550 \overline{x_{2}}+56472 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -9090 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+491516+468244 x_{1}+112608 x_{1}^{2}=0,  \tag{3.117}\\
K_{3}:= & -84456 x_{1}^{3}+97416 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{1}}-499212 x_{1}^{2}+570492 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+6894 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -10674 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}-987180 x_{1}+405{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+24390 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -41400 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+1107060 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-270{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}+709812 \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -40106 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}-651912+810{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+21536 \overline{x_{2}}-450{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}=0 . \tag{3.118}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying gsolve to $K_{3}$ and $F_{5}$, given respectively by (3.118) and (3.104), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{1}:= & {\left[23 \overline{x_{1}}+17,13 \overline{x_{2}}-24,78 x_{1}+131\right], }  \tag{3.119}\\
m_{2}:= & {\left[\overline{x_{1}}-1,13 \overline{x_{2}}+44,78 x_{1}+131\right], }  \tag{3.120}\\
m_{3}:= & {\left[1035 \overline{x_{1}}+2368,450 \overline{x_{2}}-2927,78 x_{1}+131\right], }  \tag{3.121}\\
m_{4}:= & {\left[\overline{x_{2}}+6,7+3 x_{1}\right], }  \tag{3.122}\\
m_{5}:= & {\left[\overline{x_{1}}-1,3 \overline{x_{2}}-10-12 x_{1}, 252279144 x_{1}^{3}\right.} \\
& \left.+1392655797 x_{1}^{2}+2509004949 x_{1}+1475636585\right],  \tag{3.123}\\
m_{6}:= & {\left[\overline{x_{1}}-1,3 \overline{x_{2}}-10-12 x_{1}\right], }  \tag{3.124}\\
m_{7}:= & {\left[\overline{x_{2}}+6,7+3 x_{1}\right], }  \tag{3.125}\\
m_{8}:= & {\left[1788390861 \overline{x_{1}}-1589992456,11543996797+2140199424 \overline{x_{2}},\right.} \\
& \left.1536 x_{1}+3515\right],  \tag{3.126}\\
m_{9}:= & {\left[1125 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}-63294 \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}-109126 \overline{x_{1}}+1980 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}-35100 x_{1}^{2}-81996 x_{1}\right.} \\
& +4020 \overline{x_{2}}-32944,124398 \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}^{2}-165168 x_{1}^{2}+423963 \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}-601128 x_{1} \\
& +359684 \overline{x_{1}}-547064,-10530 x_{1}^{3}+594 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2}-66915 x_{1}^{2}+2619 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \\
& \left.-140031 x_{1}+2787 \overline{x_{2}}-96734\right] . \tag{3.127}
\end{align*}
$$

The non-trivial system here is $m_{9}$, with equations

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{1}:= & 1125 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}-63294 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-109126 \overline{x_{1}}+1980 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}-35100 x_{1}^{2}-81996 x_{1} \\
& -32944+4020 \overline{x_{2}}=0,  \tag{3.128}\\
D_{2}:= & 124398 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}-165168 x_{1}^{2}+423963 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-601128 x_{1}+359684 \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -547064=0  \tag{3.129}\\
D_{3}:= & -10530 x_{1}^{3}-66915 x_{1}^{2}+594 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{2}}+2619 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}-140031 x_{1}-96734 \\
& +2787 \overline{x_{2}}=0 . \tag{3.130}
\end{align*}
$$

If we now apply gsolve to $D_{1}, \overline{D_{2}}, D_{3}, K_{1}, \overline{K_{1}}$ and $K_{3}$, we find that there are no solutions.

When the denominator $d_{5}$, given by (3.106), is zero, we have, in terms of the variables defined in (3.75),

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{5}:=\left(22-\overline{x_{2}}+12 x_{1}\right)\left(26 x_{1}+2 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+64-8 \overline{x_{1}}+36 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}+84 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}-\overline{x_{2}}\right)=0 . \tag{3.131}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying gsolve to $d_{3}$ (cf. (3.95)), $\overline{d_{3}}, d_{5}, \overline{d_{5}}$, and $F_{5}$ (cf. (3.104)), we find again that the system allows no solution.
(iii) $\mathrm{d}_{4}=0$

From (3.74) and (3.75) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{4}:=115 \overline{\bar{x}_{2} x_{1}}+126 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}-40 \overline{x_{2}}+783 x_{1}-1634 \overline{x_{1}}+1448-921 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}=0 . \tag{3.132}
\end{equation*}
$$

The numerator of (3.73) now satisfies:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi_{4}:=11970480 \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}^{2}+7513000 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+28683640 \overline{x_{2} \overline{x_{1}}} \\
& -90203190 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}-18687060 x_{1}^{2}-2475000 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \\
& +46210320 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}-56154880 \overline{x_{2}}+3875990 \overline{x_{2}}{ }^{2} \\
& -9784170 x_{1}^{3}-61600{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}-1705860 \bar{x}_{1}^{4}-5791820 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +341280 \overline{x_{2}}{ }^{3} x_{2}+177100 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}+1119420 \bar{x}_{1}^{4} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -8903160 x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+6346350 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{1}}-48333948 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2} \\
& +4188240{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}-33800{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{1}+1131915 x_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \\
& -8639361 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{3}-690120 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}+97175 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} x_{1} \\
& -6302070{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-2615220 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2} x_{2} \\
& +24866544 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}-9567000 \overline{x_{2}^{2}}{ }^{2} x_{1} x_{2} \\
& +186390{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{1} x_{2}-2573586 \bar{x}_{2}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2} \\
& -1716210{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+4470219 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{1}}-11885500 x_{1}=0 . \tag{3.133}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying gsolve to system of polynomials formed by $d_{4}=0, n_{4}=0$ and $p_{2}=0$ (cf. (3.76)) we obtain a set of solutions where $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are constants.
(iv) $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}=$ const.

Let us consider now the case in which $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are constants. We must then have $\delta x_{2}=0$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\delta} \alpha:=-11 \bar{\beta} \alpha-4 \pi \alpha-18 \pi \bar{\beta}-3 \alpha^{2} . \tag{3.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this in $\bar{\delta} x_{1}=0$ we obtain, in the coordinates $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{2}+5 x_{2} x_{1}+2 x_{1}+9 x_{2}=0 . \tag{3.135}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $\delta x_{1}=0$ we now obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{1}+2\right)\left(6 x_{1}+11\right)\left(2 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+2 x_{1}+5 \overline{x_{2} x_{1}}+12 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+2 x_{1}+6\right) . \tag{3.136}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $\bar{\delta} x_{2}=0$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& 60 x_{2} x_{1}^{2}-6 x_{2}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+5 x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}-31 x_{1} x_{2} \overline{x_{1} x_{2}}+78 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}+110 x_{2} x_{1} \\
& +151 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}-56 x_{2} \overline{x_{1} x_{1}}+24 x_{1}^{2}+24 \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}^{2}+132+44 \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +60 \overline{x_{1} x_{2} x_{1}}+68 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+116 x_{1}+110 x_{2} \overline{x_{1}}=0 . \tag{3.137}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying gsolve to (3.135), (3.136), their complex conjugates and (3.137), we find that this system has no solutions.
(v) $\mathrm{d}_{1}=0$

Let us consider finally the case in which the denominator of $D \mu$, given by (3.58), is zero. Here we shall suppose that $\Phi_{11}$ is not necessarily zero, so we can illustrate how is the form of the equation that appear when we try to solve this problem in the general case. According to (3.59) and (3.75),

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1}:=22+12 x_{1}-\overline{x_{2}}=0 . \tag{3.138}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.58) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{1}:=-53 x_{1} \phi_{11}+242-220 x_{2}+24 \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}^{2}+30 \overline{x_{2}} \phi_{11}+274 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -5 \overline{x_{2}}+110 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}+24 x_{1}^{2}+44 \overline{x_{1}}+144 x_{1} x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}-108 \phi_{11} \\
& -120 x_{1} x_{2}+68 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+176 x_{1}+60 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}=0, \tag{3.139}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi_{11}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{11}:=\frac{\Phi_{11}}{\pi \bar{\pi}} . \tag{3.140}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying $\bar{\delta}$ to $f_{1}$, using (3.35), (3.47) and (3.45), and solving for $\bar{\delta} \alpha$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\delta} \alpha=120 \bar{\beta} \alpha+66 \pi \alpha+220 \pi \bar{\beta}+33 \alpha^{2}-\bar{\beta}^{2} . \tag{3.141}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying $\delta$ to $d_{1}$, now using (3.49), (3.50), (3.51) and (3.56) and solving for $D \mu$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D} \mu=\left(4 \bar{\pi} \alpha+29 \beta \bar{\beta}+64 \alpha \bar{\alpha}-370 \beta \pi+32 \bar{\pi} \pi-190 \beta \alpha+114 \pi \bar{\alpha}+5 \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}-68 \Phi_{11}\right) / 20 \tag{3.142}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subtracting $D \bar{\mu}$, given by (3.56), from the complex conjugate of (3.142), gives

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{2}:= & 20 \phi_{11}-2 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}-22 x_{1}-24 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+66 x_{2}+35 x_{1} x_{2}-22 \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +35 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}+66 \overline{x_{2}}=0 . \tag{3.143}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying $\bar{\delta}$ to (3.143) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{3}:= & 1168 x_{1} \phi_{11}+3980 x_{2} x_{1}^{2}-264+14520 x_{2}-3584 \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}^{2}-255 \overline{x_{2}} \phi_{11} \\
& -2838 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}-9482 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-24200 \overline{x_{2}}-12870 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}-12870 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -3784 x_{1}^{2}-1210{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}-4928 \overline{x_{1}}-1514 x_{1} x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+20 \bar{x}_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}-625{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +2046 \phi_{11}+15180 x_{1} x_{2}-7480 x_{1}-6835 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}=0 . \tag{3.144}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying gsolve to $d_{1}, \overline{f_{1}}, E_{1}, \overline{E_{1}}, E_{2}$ and $E_{3}$, we find that this system has no solution.
Thus, for Huygens' principle to be satisfied on Petrov type III space-times we must have $\Phi_{11} \neq 0$. Using Theorem 3.1, the Main Theorem, which states this result in a conformally invariant way, is proved.

### 3.4 Discussion

In order to obtain the above result ${ }^{3}$. We have used the six-index necessary condition VII, derived by Rinke and Wünsch [72], which had not been employed in [22]. It was essential for the proof that $\mathrm{D} \mu$ and $\mathrm{D} \bar{\mu}$ could be expressed in such a way that they both have the same denominator. As a result the integrability conditions obtained from the commutation relations turned out to be relatively simple. The most general case, in which $\Phi_{11}, \alpha, \beta$ and $\pi$ are not necessarily zero, has been reduced to a system of polynomial equations in one real and two complex variables. These polynomial equations are obtained by applying the operator $\bar{\delta}$ to $N_{1}$, given in (3.69), and then solving for $\bar{\delta} \alpha$. A new side relation can then be obtained by subtracting this $\bar{\delta} \alpha$ from (3.63). Using the variables defined in (3.75) and (3.140) we obtain equations in one real and two complex variables. The system formed by the side relation $S_{1}=0$ (cf. (3.61)) and $N_{1}=0$ was not yet solved in the general case in which $\Phi_{11} \not \equiv 0$, but its size is relatively small. If any of these variables can be shown to be zero, then Hadamard's problem will be solved for this case.
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## Chapter 4

## The Non-Self-Adjoint Scalar Wave Equation

### 4.1 Previous results and Main Theorem

In this chapter we consider the general linear second-order hyperbolic equation, in four space-time dimensions, with $C^{\infty}$ coefficients:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square u+A^{k}(x) \nabla_{k} u+B(x) u=0 . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is also referred as the non-self-adjoint scalar equation.
McLenaghan and Walton [61] have shown that any non-self-adjoint equation (4.1) on any Petrov type N space-time satisfies Huygens' principle if and only if it is equivalent to a scalar equation with $A^{i}=0$ and $B=0$, on an space-time corresponding to the exact plane-wave metric (1.12). For the case of Petrov type III space-times, Anderson, McLenaghan and Walton ([7]) have proved the following theorems:

Theorem 4.1 The validity of Huygens' principle for any non-self-adjoint scalar wave equation (4.1) on any Petrov type III space-time implies that the space-time is conformally related to one in which every repeated null vector field of the Weyl tensor $l_{a}$, is recurrent, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{[i} l_{; k]}=0 . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.2 There exist no non-self-adjoint Huygens' equation (4.1) on any Petrov type III space-time for which the following conditions hold

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\Psi_{A B C D ; E \dot{E}^{L} \iota^{A}{ }^{B} C^{C}{ }_{o}{ }_{o}{ }^{E} \bar{\sigma}^{\dot{E}}}=0,\right\}  \tag{4.3}\\
& \Psi_{A B C D ; E \dot{E}^{L}{ }^{A}{ }^{B}{ }_{\iota} C_{l}{ }^{D}{ }_{o}{ }^{E} \bar{o}^{\dot{E}}=0 .}
\end{align*}
$$

In this chapter we show that the restrictions imposed by these two theorems can be removed thus obtaining a stronger result, which can be stated as follows:

Theorem 4.3 (Main Theorem) If a non-self-adjoint scalar wave equation of the form (4.1) satisfies Huygens' principle on any Petrov type III space-time, then it must be equivalent to a conformally invariant scalar wave equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{a b} \nabla_{a} \nabla_{b} u+\frac{1}{6} R u=0 . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.2 Proof of the Main Theorem

In the first part of this proof we get the same result obtained by Anderson et al. [7]. The procedure is, however, slightly different. The final part, which consists in the proof of the Main Theorem, is our original contribution.

We shall prove first, using the necessary conditions $I I$ to $V I$ (see (2.187) - (2.191)), that the assumption $H_{i j}:=A_{[i ; j]} \neq 0$ leads to a contradiction. In terms of the dyad components of the Maxwell tensor $H_{a b}$, this is the same as proving that the necessary conditions imply $\phi_{0}=\phi_{1}=\phi_{2}=0$. Finally we invoke a lemma by Günther [38] that states that every equation of the form (4.1) for which $A_{[a, b]}:=0$ is related by a trivial transformation to one for which $A_{i}=0$. It then follows from condition $I$ (2.181) that $B=R / 6$.

In this Chapter we shall use a notation for the dyad components of the necessary conditions in the form $X_{a b}$, where X is the Roman numeral corresponding to the necessary condition, $a$ denotes the number of indices corresponding to the dyad spinor $\iota$ and $b$ the number of dotted indices corresponding to the dyad spinor $i$.

As a starting point we use condition $I V$. The contraction with $o^{A B C} \dot{\sigma}_{\bar{o}}\left(\dot{A} \dot{B}_{\dot{L}}-\dot{C}\right)$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
I V_{32}=\kappa \bar{\phi}_{0}=0 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us suppose that $\kappa \neq 0$. Then $\phi_{0}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I V_{31}=\kappa \phi_{1}=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies $\phi_{1}=0$. It then follows from

$$
\begin{equation*}
I V_{21}=\kappa\left(3 \bar{\phi}_{2}+\phi_{2}\right)=0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

that $\phi_{2}=0$ and $\phi_{A B}=0$, which contradicts the initial assumption. Thus, we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=0 . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $I I_{22}$ we then have immediately that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{0}=0 . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \phi_{1}=0 . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\sigma \neq 0$ then $\phi_{1}=0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I I I_{20}=9 \sigma \bar{\phi}_{2}-\sigma \phi_{2}=0 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies $\phi_{2}=0$, which again contradicts our initial assumption. Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=0 . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I I_{11}=\mathrm{D} \phi_{1}-2 \rho \phi_{1}=0, \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I V_{21}=\mathrm{D} \phi_{1}+\rho \phi_{1}+6(\bar{\epsilon}-\bar{\rho})=0, \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}(2 \bar{\epsilon}-2 \bar{\rho}+\rho)=0 . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we suppose that $\phi_{1} \neq 0$, then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\epsilon}=\bar{\rho}-\frac{1}{2} \rho . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, according to (B.101) and (B.113), the equations (4.8) (4.9) (4.12) are conformally invariant, we use this freedom to impose again condition (3.15), i.e., $\rho=-\bar{\rho}$, so (4.16) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon=\frac{3}{2} \rho . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the NP equation (C1) we now obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D} \rho=-\rho^{2}+\Phi_{00} . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding (4.18) to its complex conjugate we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{00}=-\rho^{2} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting these results in $V_{11}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1} \bar{\phi}_{1} \rho^{2}=0 \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $\rho=\epsilon=\Phi_{00}=0$. Now, substituting these results into NP equations (NP1), (NP3) and (NP11) we obtain $\mathrm{D} \tau=\mathrm{D} \beta=\Phi_{01}=0$. From $I I I_{11}$ this would imply finally that $\phi_{1}=0$, which contradicts the initial assumption, which must then be false and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}=0 \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conditions $I I_{00}$ and $I I_{01}$ now become, respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \phi_{2}=\phi_{2}(\tau-2 \beta)  \tag{4.22}\\
& \mathrm{D} \phi_{2}=\phi_{2}(\rho-2 \epsilon) \tag{4.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting these equations into $I V_{10}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\delta} \phi_{2}=(-6 \bar{\beta}-2 \alpha+6 \bar{\tau}) \phi_{2}+(2 \alpha+4 \pi+\bar{\tau}) \bar{\phi}_{2} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.19) is still valid:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{00}=-\rho^{2} \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $I V_{11}, N P 1$ and $I I I_{12}$ we now have, respectively,

$$
\begin{gather*}
s_{1}:=(3 \rho+2 \bar{\epsilon}) \phi_{2}+(-3 \rho+2 \epsilon) \bar{\phi}_{2}=0  \tag{4.26}\\
\mathrm{D} \rho=(\epsilon+\bar{\epsilon}) \rho  \tag{4.27}\\
\mathrm{D} \epsilon=-\frac{3}{2} \rho^{2}+4 \epsilon \rho+\epsilon \bar{\epsilon}-\epsilon^{2} \tag{4.28}
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting the previous Pfaffians into $V_{22}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{2}:=4 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2} \rho^{2}+24 \epsilon \bar{\epsilon}+28 \epsilon \rho-41 \rho^{2}-28 \epsilon \rho=0 \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the operator D to (4.29) yield a third side relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{3}:=\bar{\epsilon} \rho^{2}+\epsilon \rho^{2}=0 \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us suppose that $\rho \neq 0$. Then, from (4.30) it follows that $\bar{\epsilon}=-\epsilon$. This implies that, from (4.27), that $\mathrm{D} \rho=0$. By subtracting (4.28) from its complex conjugate we get $\mathrm{D} \epsilon=0$. Then, (NP3), (NP11), (NP4) and (NP5) give, respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D} \tau=(\tau+\bar{\pi}) \rho+2 \epsilon \tau+\Phi_{01},  \tag{4.31}\\
& \delta \rho=\rho(\bar{\alpha}+\beta+2 \tau)+\Phi_{01},  \tag{4.32}\\
& \mathrm{D} \alpha=\bar{\delta} \epsilon+(\epsilon-\rho) \beta+(\bar{\pi}-\bar{\alpha}) \epsilon,  \tag{4.33}\\
& \mathrm{D} \beta=\delta \epsilon+(\epsilon-\rho)+(\bar{\pi}-\bar{\lambda}) \epsilon . \tag{4.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $I I I_{11}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D} \beta+\delta \epsilon+\rho(2 \tau-5 \beta)-\Phi_{01}+\epsilon(\beta-\bar{\alpha}-4 \tau-\bar{\pi})=0, \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can now determine the Pfaffians $\mathrm{D} \alpha, \mathrm{D} \beta$ and $\delta \epsilon$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D} \alpha=-\frac{1}{2 \phi_{2}}\left(\rho \alpha \bar{\phi}_{2}+3 \rho \overline{\beta \phi_{2}}-6 \rho \bar{\tau} \bar{\phi}_{2}-21 \phi_{2} \rho \bar{\beta}+26 \phi_{2} \rho \bar{\tau}\right. \\
& -3 \phi_{2} \rho \alpha-2 \phi_{2} \Phi_{10}+14 \epsilon \phi_{2} \bar{\beta}-16 \epsilon \phi_{2} \bar{\tau}+2 \epsilon \phi_{2} \alpha-10 \pi \epsilon \bar{\phi}_{2} \\
& \left.-2 \bar{\beta} \epsilon \bar{\phi}_{2}+2 \epsilon \alpha \bar{\phi}_{2}+10 \rho \pi \bar{\phi}_{2}+\Phi_{10} \bar{\phi}_{2}\right) .  \tag{4.36}\\
& \mathrm{D} \beta=\rho(-\tau+2 \beta)+\epsilon(\bar{\pi}+2 \tau)+\frac{1}{2} \Phi_{01},  \tag{4.37}\\
& \delta \epsilon=\rho(\tau+3 \beta)+\epsilon(2 \tau-\beta+\bar{\alpha})+\frac{1}{2} \Phi_{01} . \tag{4.38}
\end{align*}
$$

$I V_{11}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi_{2}-\bar{\phi}_{2}\right)(3 \rho-2 \epsilon)=0 . \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also from (NP11), $I I I_{11}$ and $I I I_{12}$, we have, respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \rho=\rho(\bar{\alpha}+\beta+2 \tau)+\Phi_{01},  \tag{4.40}\\
& \delta \epsilon=\epsilon(2 \tau-\beta-\tau+\bar{\alpha})+3 \rho \beta+\frac{1}{2} \dot{\Phi}_{01},  \tag{4.41}\\
& (2 \epsilon-\rho)(2 \epsilon-3 \rho)=0 . \tag{4.42}
\end{align*}
$$

Regarding (4.42), let us consider first the case $\epsilon=\rho / 2$. Then, from (4.39), $\phi_{2}=\bar{\phi}_{2}$. The expression $\delta(\epsilon-\rho / 2)=0$, using (4.40) and (4.41), gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(2 \beta-\tau)=0 \tag{4.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $t=2 \beta$. The conditions $s_{1}, V_{23}$ and $I I I_{02}$ now become, respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{2}(2 \beta+\bar{\pi})=0,  \tag{4.44}\\
& \rho^{2} \phi_{2}(5 \alpha-21 \bar{\beta})=0,  \tag{4.45}\\
& D \pi=-\rho \pi-\Phi_{10} . \tag{4.46}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e., $\bar{\pi}=-2 \beta$ and $\bar{\beta}=(5 / 21) \alpha$. Applying $D$ to (4.45) and solving for $\Phi_{10}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{10}=2 \rho \bar{\beta} \tag{4.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

$V_{12}$ and $V_{22}$ give, respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho \alpha\left(-11+4 \phi_{2}^{2}\right)=0  \tag{4.48}\\
& \epsilon^{2}\left(-19+4 \phi_{2}^{2}\right)=0 \tag{4.49}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies $\alpha=\beta=\pi=\tau=\Phi_{10}=0$ and $-19+4 \phi_{2}{ }^{2}=0$. From $V_{20}$, now we have $\epsilon \bar{\lambda}=0$, and from (NP16), $\Phi_{02}=-2 \epsilon \bar{\lambda}$, so $\Phi_{02}=0$.
The remaining conditions $I I I$ are $I I I_{00}$ and $I I I_{01}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \epsilon \bar{\nu}+2 \delta \gamma+5 \delta \mu+2 \Phi_{12}+5 \phi_{2}^{2}=0  \tag{4.50}\\
& \epsilon(-7 \mu+o \mu-3 \gamma+\bar{\gamma})+2 \Phi_{11}-\Delta \epsilon+2 \mathrm{D} \mu+\mathrm{D} \gamma=0 \tag{4.51}
\end{align*}
$$

Almost all Pfaffians in (4.50) and (4.51) can be determined from NP equations. From (NP6), (NP8), (NP15) and (NP17) we obtain, respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D} \gamma=\Delta \epsilon-\epsilon(\gamma+\bar{\gamma})-\Lambda+\Phi_{11}  \tag{4.52}\\
& \mathrm{D} \mu=-2 \epsilon \mu+2 \Lambda  \tag{4.53}\\
& \delta \gamma=-\epsilon \bar{\nu}+\Phi_{12},  \tag{4.54}\\
& \Delta \epsilon=\epsilon(\bar{\mu}+\gamma+\bar{\gamma})-\Lambda . \tag{4.55}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, (4.50) and (4.51) have the following form, respectively:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 5 \phi_{2}^{2}+4 \Phi_{12}-\frac{8}{3} \epsilon \bar{\nu}+4 \delta \mu=0  \tag{4.56}\\
& \epsilon(-11 \mu-4 \gamma+\bar{\mu})+3 \Phi_{11}+3 \Lambda=0 \tag{4.57}
\end{align*}
$$

From (NP21) and (NP27) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D} \Phi_{11}=-2(\bar{\mu}-2 \mu-2 \gamma-2 \bar{\gamma}) \epsilon^{2}\left(-4 \Delta \epsilon-6 \Phi_{11}\right) \epsilon  \tag{4.58}\\
& \mathrm{D} \Lambda=-\frac{4}{3}(2 \gamma+2 \bar{\gamma}-\mu-\bar{\mu}) \epsilon^{2}-\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{D} \Phi_{11}+\frac{8}{3} \Delta \epsilon \tag{4.59}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying $D$ to (4.57) three times successively, using (4.58) and (4.59), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1}:=-\epsilon(17 \mu+3 \bar{\mu})+6 \Lambda+8 \Phi_{11}=0  \tag{4.60}\\
& F_{2}:=\epsilon(-33 \mu+\bar{\mu})+16 \Lambda+18 \Phi_{11}=0,  \tag{4.61}\\
& F_{3}:=-\epsilon(69 \mu+3 \bar{\mu})+28 \Lambda+38 \Phi_{11}=0 . \tag{4.62}
\end{align*}
$$

The solutions for the system formed by these last three equations, together with (4.57) are easily obtained by gsolve and yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma=\frac{3}{2} \mu,  \tag{4.63}\\
& \Phi_{11}=\Lambda=\epsilon \mu,  \tag{4.64}\\
& \bar{\mu}=-\mu . \tag{4.65}
\end{align*}
$$

From (NP13),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \mu=-4 \epsilon \nu-1-\Phi_{21} . \tag{4.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.65) and (4.66), (4.56) now becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2 \epsilon \bar{\nu}+1+3 \Phi_{12}+5 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} . \tag{4.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving this equation for $\bar{\nu}$ and substituting in (NP18) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
4+24 \Phi_{21}+45 \phi_{2}{ }^{2}=0 . \tag{4.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving this for $\Phi_{21}$ and substituting in (NP9) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{2}{ }^{2}+4=0, \tag{4.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is impossible.
Let us consider again (4.42) in the case $\rho=(2 / 3) \epsilon$. From $I I_{11}, I I I_{02}$ and $V_{22}$, we have, respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{01}=-\frac{2}{3} \tau \epsilon  \tag{4.70}\\
& \mathrm{D} \pi=-\frac{2}{3} \epsilon\left(2 \alpha+\frac{4}{3} \bar{\tau}+\Phi_{10}+7 \pi\right),  \tag{4.71}\\
& \epsilon^{2}\left(-11+4 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}\right)=0 . \tag{4.72}
\end{align*}
$$

By using (4.71) and (4.72) in $V_{12}$ to eliminate $D \pi$ and $\bar{\phi}_{2}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}:=(252 \bar{\tau}-328 \bar{\beta}+64 \pi) \phi_{2}{ }^{2}+242 \alpha+484 \pi+121 \bar{\tau}=0 . \tag{4.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying $D$ to the previous equation two times successively we obtain (after discarding factors in $\epsilon$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{2}:=(700 \bar{\tau}+232 \pi-984 \bar{\beta}+64 \alpha) \phi_{2}{ }^{2}+363 \bar{\tau}+726 \alpha+1452 \pi=0,  \tag{4.74}\\
& t_{3}:=(1864 \bar{\tau}+808 \pi-2952 \bar{\beta}+424 \alpha) \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \\
& +1089 \bar{\tau}+2178 \alpha+4356 \pi=0 . \tag{4.75}
\end{align*}
$$

By applying $\delta$ to (4.72) we obtain a fourth equation in the same variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{4}=(308 \bar{\tau}-353 \bar{\beta}-88 \alpha){\phi_{2}}^{2}+1221 \bar{\tau}+242 \alpha+484 \pi \tag{4.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying gsolve to the set $\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}\right\}$ we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\beta=\pi=\tau=\Phi_{01}=0 \tag{4.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conditions $I I I_{00}$ and $I I I_{01}$ now become

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \epsilon \bar{\nu}+6 \delta \gamma+8 \delta \mu+6 \Phi_{12}+15 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}=0  \tag{4.78}\\
& \epsilon(7 \mu-\bar{\mu}+3 \gamma-\bar{\gamma})+6 \Phi_{11}+\Delta \epsilon+6 \mathrm{D} \mu+3 \mathrm{D} \gamma=0 \tag{4.79}
\end{align*}
$$

From (NP6), (NP8), (NP15) remain the same as (4.52), (4.53) and (4.54) while (NP17), (NP21) and (NP27) imply

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta \epsilon=\epsilon(\bar{\mu}+\gamma+\bar{\gamma})-3 \Lambda  \tag{4.80}\\
& \mathrm{D} \Phi_{11}=-\frac{2}{9}(\bar{\mu}-2 \mu-2 \gamma-2 \bar{\gamma}) \epsilon^{2}-\left(\frac{4}{9} \Delta \epsilon+2 \Phi_{11}\right) \epsilon  \tag{4.81}\\
& \mathrm{D} \Lambda=-\frac{4}{27}(2 \gamma+2 \bar{\gamma}-\mu-\bar{\mu}) \epsilon^{2}-\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{D} \Phi_{11}+\frac{8}{27} \epsilon \Delta \epsilon \tag{4.82}
\end{align*}
$$

Expression (4.79) now becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon(3 \mu-5 \bar{\mu}+4 \gamma)-2 \Lambda+9 \Phi_{11}=0 \tag{4.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying $D$ to this equation two times consecutively, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \epsilon(-3 \mu+7 \bar{\mu})+6 \Lambda+24 \Phi_{11}=0  \tag{4.84}\\
& \epsilon(13 \bar{\mu}+19 \mu)+48 \Lambda-66 \Phi_{11}=0 \tag{4.85}
\end{align*}
$$

By applying grobner to (4.83), (4.84) and its complex conjugate, and (4.85) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\mu} & =-\mu  \tag{4.86}\\
\gamma & =-\frac{5}{2} \mu \tag{4.87}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4.86) we obtain from (NP13),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \mu=\frac{4}{3} \overline{\epsilon \bar{\nu}}+1+\Phi_{12} \tag{4.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.65) now becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{3} \epsilon \bar{\nu}-1+\Phi_{12}+5 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}=0 \tag{4.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving this equation for $\bar{\nu}$ and substituting it in (NP18), using (4.87) (4.88), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{21}=\frac{2}{5}-\frac{5}{4} \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2} . \tag{4.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting in (NP9) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{6}{5}-\frac{5}{2} \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}=0 \tag{4.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts (4.72). Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=0 \tag{4.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we now go back to (4.30). From $I I I_{11}$ and $I I I_{12}$ we have, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{01}=\epsilon=0 \tag{4.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

We notice now that, according to (B.101) and (B.113), equations (4.8), (4.12), (4.21), (4.8), (4.92), (4.93) are conformally invariant. Thus, we have exactly the same case that was already discussed, for the self-adjoint scalar equation, in Chapter 3 (cf. (3.21) and the discussion that follows). Thus, the remaining gauge freedom is used to set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=0 \tag{4.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the NP equations we now obtain immediately:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{00}=\Phi_{01}=\Phi_{02}=\Lambda=0 \tag{4.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D} \alpha=\mathrm{D} \beta=\mathrm{D} \Phi_{11}=0 \tag{4.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have proved the lemmas of Anderson et al. [7]:

Lemma 4.1 For the non-self-adjoint scalar wave equation of the form (4.1), on Petrov type III space-times, the necessary conditions II, III, IV, V and VI together with the assumption that the Maxwell spinor $\phi_{A B}$ is nonzero, imply that there exists a spinor dyad $\left\{o_{A}, \iota_{A}\right\}$ and a conformal transformation such that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\kappa=\sigma=\rho=\tau=\epsilon=0  \tag{4.97}\\
\Psi_{0}=\Psi_{1}=\Psi_{4}=0, \Psi_{3}=-1 \\
\Phi_{00}=\Phi_{01}=\Phi_{02}=\Lambda=0 \\
D \alpha=D \beta=D \Phi_{11}=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

This proves Theorem 4.1, as can be verified from the following lemma, proved by Carminati and McLenaghan [22]:

Lemma 4.2 If, for any space-time, there exists a spinor dyad $\left\{o_{A}, \iota_{A}\right\}$ and a conformal transformation such that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\kappa=\sigma=\rho=\tau=\epsilon=0  \tag{4.98}\\
\Psi_{0}=\Psi_{1}=\Psi_{4}=0, \Psi_{3}=-1 \\
\Phi_{00}=\Phi_{01}=\Phi_{02}=\Lambda=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

then every repeated principal null vector field of the Weyl tensor is recurrent.

We now proceed with the proof of the Main Theorem, using the necessary conditions conditions (4.97). Let us assume initially that $\alpha \beta \pi \neq 0$.

From $I I_{01}, I I_{00}, I I I_{10},(N P 6)$ and (NP25) we have, respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D} \phi_{2}=0  \tag{4.99}\\
& \delta \phi_{2}=-2 \phi_{2} \beta  \tag{4.100}\\
& \delta \beta=-\beta(\bar{\alpha}+\beta)  \tag{4.101}\\
& \mathrm{D} \gamma=\alpha \bar{\pi}+\beta \pi+\Phi_{11},  \tag{4.102}\\
& \delta \Phi_{21}=2\left(\alpha+2 \pi+\lambda \Phi_{11}-\alpha \Phi_{21}\right) . \tag{4.103}
\end{align*}
$$

By adding (NP22) to the complex conjugate of (NP23), and solving for $\mathrm{D} \Phi_{12}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D} \Phi_{12}=2 \bar{\pi} \Phi_{11} \tag{4.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \Phi_{11}=0 \tag{4.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subtracting (NP24) from (NP29) and solving for $\bar{\delta} \Phi_{12}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\delta} \Phi_{12}=2\left(-\beta+\Phi_{11} \bar{\mu}-\bar{\beta} \Phi_{12}\right) \tag{4.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding (NP24) to two times (NP29) and solving for $\mathrm{D} \Phi_{22}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D} \Phi_{22}=2\left(-\beta-\bar{\beta}+\Phi_{21} \bar{\pi}+\Phi_{12} \pi\right) \tag{4.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting (4.100) into $I V_{10}$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\delta} \phi_{2}=2\left(3 \bar{\beta} \phi_{2}-\alpha \phi_{2}+2 \pi \bar{\phi}_{2}+\alpha \bar{\phi}_{2}\right) \tag{4.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.107) and (4.108), $V_{20}$ and $V I_{03}$ can be written respectively as

$$
\begin{align*}
& -24 \bar{\phi}_{2} \phi_{2} \beta^{2}+24 \bar{\pi} \bar{\alpha}+80 \bar{\pi} \beta+\phi_{2}{ }^{2}\left(-6 \overline{\alpha \pi}+18 \beta \bar{\pi}+9 \beta \bar{\alpha}-\epsilon \bar{\alpha}^{2}-2 \delta \bar{\pi}-\delta \bar{\alpha}\right) \\
& +12 \bar{\alpha}^{2}+4 \delta \bar{\alpha}+8 \delta \bar{\pi}+44 \beta \bar{\alpha}=0 \tag{4.109}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta\left(\phi_{2}\left(\delta \bar{\alpha}+2 \delta \bar{\pi}+3 \bar{\alpha}^{2}-18 \bar{\pi} \beta+6 \overline{\alpha \pi}-9 \bar{\alpha} \beta\right)+24 \bar{\phi}_{2} \beta^{2}\right)=0 . \tag{4.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating $\delta(\bar{\alpha}+2 \pi)$ from (4.109) and (4.110) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\beta^{2}}{\phi_{2}^{2}-4}\left(19 \bar{\pi} \phi_{2}+10 \bar{\alpha} \phi_{2}-12 \beta \bar{\phi}_{2}\right)=0 . \tag{4.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

The denominator $-\phi_{2}{ }^{2}+4$ in the expression above must be nonzero, since $\phi_{2}$ cannot be constant. Otherwise, from (4.100), we would have $\beta=0$.

In order to determine further side relations we still need to find the Pfaffians $\delta \alpha, \delta \bar{\beta}$, and $\delta \pi$, in terms of $\bar{\delta} \alpha$. From (NP6), (NP7), (NP8), (NP9) and (NP12) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D} \gamma=\alpha \bar{\pi}+\beta \pi+\Phi_{11}  \tag{4.112}\\
& \bar{\delta} \pi=\mathrm{D} \lambda-\pi^{2}-\pi \alpha+\pi \bar{\beta}  \tag{4.113}\\
& \delta \pi=\mathrm{D} \mu-\pi \bar{\pi}+\pi \bar{\alpha}-\beta \pi  \tag{4.114}\\
& \mathrm{D} \nu=\Delta \pi+\pi \mu+\pi \mu+\bar{\pi} \lambda+\pi \gamma-\pi \bar{\gamma}-1+\Phi_{21}  \tag{4.115}\\
& \delta \alpha=\bar{\delta} \beta+\alpha \bar{\alpha}+\beta \bar{\beta}-2 \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}+\Phi_{11} . \tag{4.116}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4.104) and (4.107), we now evaluate the NP commutator $[\delta, D] \Phi_{22}-[\Delta, D] \Phi_{12}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& -2 \delta \bar{\beta}+\mathrm{D} \Delta \Phi_{12}+2 \bar{\pi} \Phi_{11} \bar{\gamma}+2 \beta \bar{\beta}+4 \beta^{2}+2 \pi \bar{\lambda} \Phi_{11}-6 \beta \Phi_{21} \bar{\pi}+4 \pi \bar{\pi}+2 \pi \bar{\alpha} \\
& +4 \beta \bar{\alpha}-\mathrm{D} \delta \Phi_{22}-4 \bar{\alpha} \Phi_{12} \pi-2 \beta \Phi_{12} \pi-2 \bar{\alpha} \Phi_{21} \bar{\pi}+2 \bar{\pi} \Phi_{11} \gamma-2 \bar{\pi} \Phi_{11} \bar{\mu} \\
& +4 \bar{\pi} \Phi_{11} \mu+2 \bar{\pi} \Phi_{12} \pi+2 \Phi_{21} \delta \bar{\pi}+2 \Phi_{12} \delta \pi-2 \Phi_{11} \Delta \bar{\pi}+2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}-6 \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta} \\
& +2 \Phi_{21} \bar{\pi}^{2}+2 \pi \Phi_{12} \bar{\beta}=0 . \tag{4.117}
\end{align*}
$$

## From $[\Delta, D] \Phi_{12}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{D} \Delta \Phi_{12} & =2 \Phi_{11} \Delta \bar{\pi}-2 \bar{\pi} \Phi_{11} \gamma-2 \bar{\pi} \Phi_{11} \bar{\gamma}+2 \pi \bar{\alpha}+4 \pi \bar{\pi}+2 \pi \bar{\lambda} \Phi_{11}-2 \bar{\alpha} \Phi_{12} \pi \\
& -2 \bar{\pi} \beta+2 \bar{\pi} \Phi_{11} \bar{\mu}-2 \bar{\pi} \Phi_{12} \bar{\beta} . \tag{4.118}
\end{align*}
$$

From (NP26),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \Phi_{22}=\Delta \Phi_{12}+2 \bar{\gamma}+4 \bar{\mu}-2 \bar{\nu} \Phi_{11}+2 \bar{\lambda} \Phi_{21}+2 \Phi_{12} \bar{\gamma}+2 \Phi_{12} \mu-2 \Phi_{22} \beta-2 \Phi_{22} \bar{\alpha} . \tag{4.119}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4.119), (4.118), (4.102), (4.112), (4.113), (4.114) and (4.115) into (4.117) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \bar{\beta}=-\bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}-4 \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta}-2 \mathrm{D} \bar{\mu}-\beta \bar{\beta}+2 \pi \bar{\pi}-2 \Phi_{11} . \tag{4.120}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.99), (4.100), (4.108), (4.114), (4.116) and (4.120) in the commutator $[\bar{\delta}, \delta] \phi_{2}$, and solving for $\mathrm{D} \mu$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D} \mu=-\frac{1}{12 \bar{\phi}_{2}}\left(8 \bar{\phi}_{2} \alpha \bar{\pi}+24 \bar{\phi}_{2} \beta \pi-8 \phi_{2} \alpha \bar{\pi}+10 \bar{\phi}_{2} \Phi_{11}+12 \beta \bar{\phi}_{2} \alpha-12 \bar{\alpha} \phi_{2} \bar{\beta}\right. \\
& \left.+4 \bar{\phi}_{2} \pi \bar{\pi}+4 \bar{\alpha} \bar{\phi}_{2} \alpha-4 \bar{\alpha} \phi_{2} \alpha-2 \phi_{2} \Phi_{11}-24 \phi_{2} \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta}+8 \bar{\phi}_{2} \pi \bar{\alpha} .\right) \tag{4.121}
\end{align*}
$$

The explicit form of $\mathrm{D} \lambda$ can be obtained by substituting (4.113) into (4.109) and (4.110):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D} \lambda=\frac{1}{2\left(-\bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}+4\right)}\left(\bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}\left(3 \alpha^{2}-2 \pi^{2}+4 \alpha \pi-16 \bar{\beta} \pi-9 \bar{\beta} \alpha+\bar{\delta} \alpha\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.+8 \pi^{2}-16 \pi \alpha-12 \alpha^{2}+24 \bar{\phi}_{2} \phi_{2} \bar{\beta}^{2}-88 \pi \bar{\beta}-44 \bar{\beta} \alpha-4 \bar{\delta} \alpha\right)\right) . \tag{4.122}
\end{align*}
$$

From $V_{12}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D} \pi=0 . \tag{4.123}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have now determined all Pfaffians needed for finding new side relations, using integrability conditions. Before we proceed let us go back to (4.111) and introduce a further simplification by expressing $\phi_{2}$ in terms of $\bar{\phi}_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{2}=\frac{\bar{\phi}_{2}}{12 \bar{\beta}}(19 \pi+10 \alpha) . \tag{4.124}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4.124) into the numerator of the complex conjugate of (4.111), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}:=361 \pi \bar{\pi}+190 \alpha \bar{\pi}+190 \pi \bar{\alpha}+100 \alpha \bar{\alpha}-144 \beta \bar{\beta}=0 . \tag{4.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the NP commutator $[\bar{\delta}, \delta](\alpha+2 \pi)$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(-820 \alpha^{2} \Phi_{11}+95 \pi^{2} \Phi_{11}+2680 \alpha^{3} \bar{\alpha}-5448 \alpha \bar{\beta} \Phi_{11}-10236 \pi \bar{\beta} \Phi_{11}\right. \\
& +5360 \alpha^{3} \bar{\pi}-9648 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2} \beta-38016 \pi^{2} \beta \bar{\beta}-13926 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}-2508 \pi^{2} \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta} \\
& -3816 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}-720 \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta}+61628 \alpha \pi^{2} \bar{\pi}+40128 \pi^{3} \bar{\pi}+20064 \pi^{3} \bar{\alpha} \\
& +30814 \pi^{2} \alpha \bar{\alpha}+15752 \pi \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}-38376 \pi \bar{\beta} \alpha \beta+31504 \pi \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi} \\
& \left.-14592 \alpha \pi \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}-2688 \pi \alpha \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta}-1508 \pi \alpha \Phi_{11}\right) /(19 \pi+10 \alpha)=0 . \tag{4.126}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (4.124) that the numerator $19 \pi+10 \alpha$ in the preceeding equation must be non-zero. Solving this equation for $\Phi_{11}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{11} & =\left(2680 \alpha^{3} \bar{\alpha}+5360 \alpha^{3} \bar{\pi}-9648 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2} \beta-38016 \pi^{2} \beta \bar{\beta}-13926 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}\right. \\
& -2508 \pi^{2} \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta}-3816 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}-720 \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta}+61628 \alpha \pi^{2} \bar{\pi}+40128 \pi^{3} \bar{\pi} \\
& +20064 \pi^{3} \bar{\alpha}+30814 \pi^{2} \alpha \bar{\alpha}+15752 \pi \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}-38376 \pi \bar{\beta} \alpha \beta+31504 \pi \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi} \\
& -14592 \alpha \pi \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}-2688 \pi \alpha \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta}) / \\
& \left(820 \alpha^{2}-95 \pi^{2}+5448 \bar{\beta} \alpha+10236 \pi \bar{\beta}+1508 \pi \alpha\right), \tag{4.127}
\end{align*}
$$

where, for now, we assume that the denominator in the expression above,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1}:=820 \alpha^{2}-95 \pi^{2}+5448 \bar{\beta} \alpha+10236 \pi \bar{\beta}-1508 \pi \alpha \tag{4.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

is non-zero.
Evaluating $\delta \phi_{2}+2 \phi_{2} \beta=0$ (cf. Eq. (4.104)), using (4.124), Rand solving for $\Phi_{11}$ we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{11} & =\left(700 \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}+5300 \alpha \pi \bar{\pi}+2650 \pi \alpha \bar{\alpha}+2508 \pi^{2} \bar{\alpha}+1400 \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi}\right. \\
& -2520 \bar{\beta} \alpha \beta-4752 \pi \beta \bar{\beta}-1650 \pi \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}-132 \pi \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta}+5016 \pi^{2} \bar{\pi} \\
& -900 \bar{\beta} \alpha \bar{\alpha}-72 \alpha \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta}) /(-437 \pi+372 \bar{\beta}-230 \alpha) \tag{4.129}
\end{align*}
$$

where we assume, for now, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{2}:=437 \pi-372 \bar{\beta}+230 \alpha \neq 0 \tag{4.130}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evaluating the commutators $[\bar{\delta}, \delta] \bar{\beta}$ and $[\bar{\delta}, \delta] \bar{\phi}_{2}$, and solving each one for $\bar{\delta} \alpha$ we find, respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\delta} \alpha= & \left(-308 \pi \alpha \Phi_{11}+8016 \pi \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi}+234 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2} \beta+3972 \pi \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}+78 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}\right. \\
& +759 \alpha \bar{\beta} \Phi_{11}-29 \alpha^{2} \Phi_{11}+1440 \alpha^{3} \bar{\pi}+702 \alpha^{3} \bar{\alpha}+1386 \pi \bar{\beta} \Phi_{11} \\
& -513 \pi^{2} \Phi_{11}+1296 \pi \bar{\beta} \alpha \beta+528 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}+14872 \alpha \pi^{2} \bar{\pi}+7436 \pi^{2} \alpha \bar{\alpha} \\
& \left.+1584 \pi^{2} \beta \bar{\beta}+4598 \pi^{3} \bar{\alpha}+9196 \pi^{3} \bar{\pi}+432 \alpha \pi \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}-108 \pi \alpha^{2} \beta-54 \alpha^{3} \beta\right) \\
& /\left(12 \pi \bar{\alpha}+6 \alpha \bar{\alpha}+36 \beta \pi+18 \beta \alpha+3 \Phi_{11}\right)  \tag{4.131}\\
\bar{\delta} \alpha= & -\frac{6 \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi}-572 \pi \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta}-286 \pi \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}-157 \bar{\beta} \alpha \bar{\alpha}+3 \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}-314 \alpha \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta}}{\bar{\alpha}+2 \bar{\pi}} \tag{4.132}
\end{align*}
$$

where we assume, for the moment, that

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{3}:=4 \pi \bar{\alpha}+2 \alpha \bar{\alpha}+12 \beta \pi+6 \beta \alpha+\Phi_{11} \neq 0  \tag{4.133}\\
& d_{4}:=\alpha+2 \pi \neq 0 \tag{4.134}
\end{align*}
$$

By subtracting (4.131) from (4.132) and solving for $\Phi_{11}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{11} & =\left(-864 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}+7436 \pi^{2} \alpha \bar{\alpha}-3168 \alpha \pi \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}+4008 \pi \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}+4598 \pi^{3} \bar{\alpha}\right. \\
& -2904 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}+720 \alpha^{3} \bar{\alpha}+9196 \pi^{3} \bar{\pi}-8712 \pi^{2} \beta \bar{\beta}+14872 \alpha \pi^{2} \bar{\pi} \\
& \left.-2592 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2} \beta+8016 \pi \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi}+1440 \alpha^{3} \bar{\pi}-9504 \pi \bar{\beta} \alpha \beta\right) / \\
& \left(-288 \bar{\beta} \alpha+20 \alpha^{2}-528 \pi \bar{\beta}+513 \pi^{2}+308 \pi \alpha\right) \tag{4.135}
\end{align*}
$$

where the denominator of (4.135),

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{5}:=-288 \bar{\beta} \alpha+20 \alpha^{2}-528 \pi \bar{\beta}+513 \pi^{2}+308 \pi \alpha \tag{4.136}
\end{equation*}
$$

is assumed to be nonzero for the moment.
Subtracting (4.127) from (4.129) and taking the numerator we find

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{2}: & =39914208 \pi^{2} \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi}+19957104 \pi^{2} \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}+35332704 \pi^{3} \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta} \\
& +12279168 \pi^{3} \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}+1190400 \bar{\alpha} \alpha^{4}-12773376 \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\alpha} \pi \alpha-3483648 \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\alpha} \alpha^{2} \\
& +1200960 \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha} \alpha^{3}-11708928 \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\alpha} \pi^{2}-20739456 \pi \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2} \beta \\
& +8008704 \alpha^{2} \pi \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}+30335616 \pi \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta}+56708640 \pi^{2} \alpha \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta} \\
& -32440608 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta} \alpha \beta-16161552 \pi^{3} \beta \bar{\beta}+8022720 \pi \alpha^{3} \bar{\alpha}+5408640 \alpha^{3} \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta} \\
& +16045440 \pi \alpha^{3} \bar{\pi}+8529708 \pi^{4} \bar{\alpha}+43221504 \alpha \pi^{3} \bar{\pi}+21610752 \pi^{3} \alpha \bar{\alpha} \\
& +17059416 \pi^{4} \bar{\pi}+2380800 \alpha^{4} \bar{\pi}+17343792 \alpha \pi^{2} \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}-10139904 \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta \\
& -124416 \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta}^{2}-418176 \pi^{2} \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta}^{2}-456192 \pi \alpha \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta}^{2}-4285440 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{3} \beta \\
& -37407744 \pi \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha \beta-34499520 \pi^{2} \beta \bar{\beta}^{2}=0 \tag{4.137}
\end{align*}
$$

By subtracting (4.129) from (4.135) and taking the numerator, we find the third side relation:

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{3}:= & -9374472 \pi^{2} \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi}-4687236 \pi^{2} \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}+6137076 \pi^{3} \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta}+5150178 \pi^{3} \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha} \\
& -179600 \bar{\alpha} \alpha^{4}-2128896 \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\alpha} \pi \alpha-580608 \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\alpha} \alpha^{2}+686160 \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha} \alpha^{3} \\
& -1951488 \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\alpha} \pi^{2}+4189824 \pi \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2} \beta+4040184 \alpha^{2} \pi \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha} \\
& +5272368 \pi \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta}+9852984 \pi^{2} \alpha \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta}+8913384 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta} \alpha \beta \\
& +6244920 \pi^{3} \beta \bar{\beta}-1505080 \pi \alpha^{3} \bar{\alpha}+940320 \alpha^{3} \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta}-3010160 \pi \alpha^{3} \bar{\pi} \\
& -3295930 \pi^{4} \bar{\alpha}-12877972 \alpha \pi^{3} \bar{\pi}-6438986 \pi^{3} \alpha \bar{\alpha}-6591860 \pi^{4} \bar{\pi} \\
& -359200 \alpha^{4} \bar{\pi}+7909932 \alpha \pi^{2} \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}-1689984 \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta-20736 \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta}^{2} \\
& -69696 \pi^{2} \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta}^{2}-76032 \pi \alpha \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta}^{2}+646560 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{3} \beta-6234624 \pi \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha \beta \\
& -5749920 \pi^{2} \beta \bar{\beta}^{2}=0 . \tag{4.138}
\end{align*}
$$

We can eliminate one complex variable by defining new variables $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}:=\frac{\alpha}{\pi}  \tag{4.139}\\
& x_{2}:=\frac{\beta}{\pi} \tag{4.140}
\end{align*}
$$

The side relations now assume the form (modulo non-zero factors)

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}:=361+190 x_{1}+190 \overline{x_{1}}+100 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-144 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}=0 \tag{4.141}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{2}:=-1663092 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}-99200 \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}^{4}-2527968 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{2}}+975744 \overline{x_{2}}{ }^{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -1023264 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}+2874960 x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}-4725720 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}-198400 x_{1}^{4} \\
& -3326184 x_{1}^{2}-1337120 x_{1}^{3}+34848{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}-3601792 x_{1}-710809 \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -2944392 \overline{x_{2}}+844992{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}+1064448{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} x_{1} \\
& +290304{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}^{2}-100080 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}^{3}+1728288 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2} x_{2} \\
& -667392 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}+2703384 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} x_{2}+1346796 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}-668560 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -450720 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{2}}-1800896 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+10368 x_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+38016 x_{1}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \\
& -1445316 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}+357120 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{3} x_{2} \\
& +3117312{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1} x_{2}-1421618=0 \text {, }  \tag{4.142}\\
& S_{3}:=2343618 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+89800 \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}^{4}-2636184 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{2}}+975744{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -2575089 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}+2874960 x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+3295930-4926492 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+179600 x_{1}^{4} \\
& +4687236 x_{1}^{2}+1505080 x_{1}^{3}+34848{\overline{\boldsymbol{x}_{2}}}^{2}+6438986 x_{1}+1647965 \overline{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}} \\
& -3068538 \overline{x_{2}}+844992{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}+1064448{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} x_{1} \\
& +290304{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}^{2}-343080 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}^{3}-2094912 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2} x_{2} \\
& -2020092 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}-4456692 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} x_{2}-3122460 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+752540 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -470160 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{2}}+3219493 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+10368 x_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+38016 x_{1}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \\
& -3954966 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}-323280 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}+3117312{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1} x_{2}=0 \text {. } \tag{4.143}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying gsolve to the set of equations formed by $S_{2}, S_{3}$, their complex conjugates, and $S_{1}$, we find the the only possible solution for which $x_{1} \neq 0$ and $x_{2} \neq 0$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
324 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}-1=0, \quad 6 x_{1}+11=0, \quad 6 \overline{x_{1}}+11=0 \tag{4.144}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting (4.144) into any of the previous expressions for $\Phi_{11}$ we find that $\Phi_{11}=0$. Using this and $\pi=-\alpha 6 / 11$ in $V_{11}$ one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
-1761 \bar{\beta} \alpha \bar{\alpha}+5 \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}+3267 \beta \bar{\beta}^{2}-5445 \beta \alpha \dot{\bar{\beta}}=0 \tag{4.145}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify that (4.145) and the first equation in (4.42), now given in the form $1089 \beta \bar{\beta}-\alpha \bar{\alpha}=0$, imply that $\alpha=\beta=0$.

Let us consider first the cases in which each one of the denominators $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}, d_{4}$ and $d_{5}$, given respectively by (4.128), (4.130), (4.133), (4.134) and (4.136), is zero.
(i) $\mathrm{d}_{1}=0$

From (4.128) we have, in terms of the variables $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
820 x_{1}^{2}+1508 x_{1}+5448 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}-95+10236 \overline{x_{2}}=0 . \tag{4.146}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the numerator of (4.127) must be zero too, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2680 x_{1}^{3}+1340 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{1}}-1908 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}^{2}+7876 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -360 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2}+15752 x_{1}^{2}-4824 \overline{x_{2}} x_{2} x_{1}^{2}+30814 x_{1}-1344 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \\
& +15407 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-19188 \overline{x_{2}} x_{2} x_{1}-7296 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}+20064+10032 \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -19008 \overline{x_{2}} x_{2}-6963 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}-1254 \overline{x_{2}}=0 . \tag{4.147}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying gsolve to the set of equations consisting of (4.146), (4.147), their complex conjugates, and $S_{1}=0$ (cf. eq. (4.141)) we find that all possible solutions require that $x_{2}=0$.
(ii) $\mathrm{d}_{\mathbf{2}}=0$

From (4.130) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
230 x_{1}+437-372 \overline{x_{2}}=0 . \tag{4.148}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the numerator of (4.130) must also vanish we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 350 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+700 x_{1}^{2}+1325 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-36 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}+\bar{z} \dot{\circ} 50 x_{1} \\
& -450 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}-1260 \overline{x_{2}} x_{2} x_{1}-825 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}-66 \overline{x_{2}}-2376 \overline{x_{2}} x_{2}+2508 \\
& +1254 \overline{x_{1}}=0 . \tag{4.149}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying gsolve to the set of equations consisting of (4.148), (4.149), their complex conjugates, and $S_{1}=0$ we find again that all solutions require that $x_{2}=0$.
(iii) $\mathrm{d}_{3}=0$

Solving $d_{3}=0$ for $\Phi_{11}$, and using the variables $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1 I}=-2 \pi \bar{\pi}\left(x_{1}+2\right)\left(3 x_{2}+\overline{x_{1}}\right) . \tag{4.150}
\end{equation*}
$$

By subtracting (4.150) from its complex conjugate we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}:=-6 x_{2}-3 x_{1} x_{2}-2 \overline{x_{1}}+6 \overline{x_{2}}+3 \overline{x_{2} x_{1}}+2 x_{1}=0 . \tag{4.151}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subtracting (4.150) from (4.127) and taking the numerator, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{2}:=246 x_{2} x_{1}^{2}+268 x_{1}^{2}+216 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}{ }^{2}+477 x_{1} x_{2}+1152 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} x_{2}+692 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -36 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}+1066 x_{1}+354 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+2232 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+518 \overline{x_{1}}-66 \overline{x_{2}}+1056 \\
& +711 \overline{x_{2} x_{1}}-30 x_{2}=0 . \tag{4.152}
\end{align*}
$$

An additional side relation is obtained by subtracting the complex conjugate of (4.150) from (4.129) and taking the numerator of the resulting expression:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{3}:=144 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+2691 x_{1} x_{2}+2622 x_{2}+144 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} x_{2}+690 x_{2} x_{1}^{2}+78 x_{2} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -120 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}-428 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+81 x_{2} x_{1}-380 \overline{x_{1}}-2508+66 \overline{x_{2}}-2650 x_{1} \\
& +36 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}-700 x_{1}^{2}=0 . \tag{4.153}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying grobner to the set consisting of $E_{2}$, its complex conjugate, $E_{1}, E_{3}$ and $S_{1}$ we find that this system admits no solution.
(iv) $\mathrm{d}_{4}=0$

When the denominator of (4.132) is zero, $d_{4}:=\alpha+2 \pi=0$, its numerator must be zero, implying that $\alpha=\bar{\beta}(443 / 3)$. This, on the other hand implies immediately, from $S_{1}=0$ (cf. (4.125), that $\beta=0$.
(v) $\mathrm{d}_{5}=0$

In terms of variables $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ the equations for the numerator and denominator are, in this case, given respectively by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(11+6 x_{1}\right)^{2}\left(38+19 \overline{x_{1}} \cdot 12 \overline{x_{2} x_{1}}+10 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+20 x_{1}-36 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}\right)=0,  \tag{4.154}\\
308 x_{1}+513+20 x_{1}^{2}-528 \overline{x_{2}}-288 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}=0 . \tag{4.155}
\end{gather*}
$$

Applying gsolve to the polynomial system defined by the system of polynomials defined by (4.154), (4.155), their complex cojugates, and $S_{1}=0$, we find that there are no possible solutions.

Next, we shall prove that if either $\alpha=0$ or $\beta=0$ or $\pi=0$ then we must have $\phi_{2}=0$. We notice that this proof is concerned with a case that is more general than that one considered by Anderson et al. [7]. They have used the assumption $\alpha=\beta=\pi=0$ as the starting point.

Let us begin with the case $\alpha=0$.
(i) $\alpha=0$.

In this case, from (4.101) and (4.116), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{\delta \beta}=-\bar{\beta}^{2}  \tag{4.156}\\
& \bar{\delta} \beta=-\beta \bar{\beta}-\Phi_{11} . \tag{4.157}
\end{align*}
$$

From the commutator $[\bar{\delta}, \delta] \bar{\beta}$ we now obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \Phi_{11}=0 . \tag{4.158}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us suppose first that $\beta=0$, then $\Phi_{11}=0$, from (4.157). From (NP25), $\pi=0$. From $I I_{00}$ and $I V_{10}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \phi_{2}=0, \quad \bar{\delta} \phi_{2}=0 \tag{4.159}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the NP equations,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D} \gamma=\mathrm{D} \lambda=\mathrm{D} \mu=\mathrm{D} \Phi_{12}=\mathrm{D} \Phi_{22}=\delta \Phi_{12}=\delta \Phi_{21}=0  \tag{4.160}\\
& \delta \gamma=\Phi_{12}, \bar{\delta} \gamma=1, \mathrm{D} \nu=-1+\Phi_{21} \tag{4.161}
\end{align*}
$$

An interesting relation comes from $I I I_{00}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(2 \gamma+4 \mu)+2 \Phi_{12}+5 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}=0 \tag{4.162}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we must now determine $\delta \mu$. From the commutators $[\bar{\delta}, \Delta],[\delta, \Delta]$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\delta}\left(\Delta \phi_{2}\right)=0, \quad \bar{\delta}\left(\Delta \phi_{2}\right)=0 \tag{4.163}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $I V_{00}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(\phi_{2}+\bar{\phi}_{2}\right)+12 \phi_{2} \bar{\mu}+12 \bar{\phi}_{2} \mu+6 \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}+6 \gamma \bar{\phi}_{2}+2 \phi_{2} \gamma+2 \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\gamma}=0 \tag{4.164}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying $\delta$ to this equation, using (4.163), (4.161) and (4.160), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
6 \phi_{2} \delta \bar{\mu}+6 \bar{\phi} \delta \mu+\phi_{2} \Phi_{12}+3 \bar{\phi}_{2} \Phi 12-3 \phi_{2}-\bar{\phi}_{2}=0 \tag{4.165}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from $V_{10}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(41 \bar{\mu}-8 \mu)+16 \Phi_{12}+42 \bar{\phi}_{2}^{2}+15 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2} \Phi_{12}+3 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}-20=0 \tag{4.166}
\end{equation*}
$$

From these two equations we can determine $\delta \mu$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \mu=\frac{1}{24\left(\bar{\phi}_{2}+5 \phi_{2}\right)}\left(28 \bar{\phi}_{2} \Phi_{21}+45 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \phi_{2} \Phi_{21}+126 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}+9 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}-60 \phi_{2} \Phi_{21}+20 \phi_{2}\right) \tag{4.167}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, obviously, $\bar{\phi}_{2}+5 \phi_{2} \neq 0$. Substituting this Pfaffian in (4.162) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
52 \phi_{2} \Phi_{12}+60 \bar{\phi}_{2} \Phi_{12}+45{\phi_{2}}^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2} \Phi_{21}+276 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}^{2}+39 \phi_{2}^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}+20 \bar{\phi}_{2}=0 \tag{4.168}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving this equation for $\Phi_{12}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{12}=-\frac{\phi_{2}\left(276 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}+39 \bar{\phi}_{2}^{2}+20\right)}{52 \bar{\phi}_{2}+60 \phi_{2}+45 \phi_{2} \phi_{2}^{2}} \tag{4.169}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for now, we suppose that the denominator of the previous equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1}:=52 \bar{\phi}_{2}+60 \phi_{2}+45 \phi_{2} \phi_{2}^{2} \tag{4.170}
\end{equation*}
$$

is nonzero. The Pfaffian $\delta \lambda$ is now determined, since from (NP13),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \lambda=\bar{\delta} \mu+\Phi_{21}+1 \tag{4.171}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, from $V I_{01}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(-16380 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \Delta \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}+36180 \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \Delta \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}+11160 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \Delta \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}\right. \\
& -3120 \phi_{2} \Delta \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}^{3}-15390 \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\mu}^{\prime} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}+20250 \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\gamma} \\
& +79944 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \gamma \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}+339048 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \mu \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}+44064 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\gamma} \\
& -119832 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\gamma} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}+15030 \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\gamma}+72360 \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \gamma . \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \\
& +310112 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \gamma \bar{\phi}_{2}+111780 \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \mu \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}-6240 \phi_{2} \Delta \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \\
& +4800 \phi_{2} \Delta \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}+480 \phi_{2} \Delta \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}+279800 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \gamma \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \\
& -10125 \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \Delta \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4}+248400 \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\phi}_{2} \mu+573728 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2} \mu \\
& +42832 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \Delta \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}-15600 \phi_{2} \bar{\mu} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}+4680 \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \Delta \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \\
& +10125 \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \Delta \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}+37260 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5} \lambda-16568 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\gamma} \\
& -79164 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\mu} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}+97056 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \lambda-97200 \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \bar{\gamma} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}-4680 \phi_{2} \gamma \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& +220416 \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \gamma \bar{\phi}_{2}-59256 \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\mu} \bar{\phi}_{2}-12064 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \Delta \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \\
& -11340 \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \Delta \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}+25920 \phi_{2} \bar{\gamma} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}-30510 \phi_{2}^{3} \gamma \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& -10530 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \mu \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4}-14040 \phi_{2} \mu \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4}+43740 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \lambda+39600 \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \gamma \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \\
& +279840 \phi_{2} \mu \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}+136872 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \mu \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}+45408 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \Delta \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2} \\
& +22320 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\gamma}+62400 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \lambda+18720 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\gamma}-18720 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\mu} \\
& +158080 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \gamma+287040 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \mu-13104 \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \Delta \bar{\phi}_{2}+416 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \Delta \bar{\phi}_{2} \\
& +4160 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \Delta \phi_{2}-22872 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \Delta \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}-13500 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \Delta \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& -37260 \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \bar{\mu}_{\phi_{2}}{ }^{2}-96120 \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\gamma}-6240 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\gamma}-35120 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\mu} \bar{\phi}_{2} \\
& +58320 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \lambda-20520 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\mu}_{2}{ }^{3}+144684 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \lambda+59280 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2} \lambda \\
& \left.+123376 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \lambda+147360 \phi_{2} \gamma \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}-20250 \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \gamma \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4}\right) / \\
& \left(\left(52 \phi_{2}+60 \bar{\phi}_{2}+45 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}\right)\left(52 \bar{\phi}_{2}+60 \phi_{2}+45 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}\right)\right)=0 . \tag{4.172}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying $\delta$ to (4.172) and using (4.163), (4.167), (4.168), (4.169), and (4.171) we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(134325 \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4}+361260 \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}-164700 \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5}+1121145 \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}\right. \\
& \quad+260172 \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\phi}_{2}-67335 \phi_{2}^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4}+116516 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}+28080 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \\
& \quad-25020 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5}-246324 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}-64672 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}-126084 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \phi_{2} \\
& \\
& \left.-182784 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}-93600 \bar{\phi}_{2}^{3}\right) /\left(\left(52 \bar{\phi}_{2}+60 \phi_{2}+45 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}\right)\right.  \tag{4.173}\\
& \\
& \left.\left(52 \phi_{2}+60 \bar{\phi}_{2}+45 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}\right)\right)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Defining two new real variables, $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{2}=: y_{1}+i y_{2}, \tag{4.174}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find that the real and imaginary parts of (4.173) can be written respectively as

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{\Gamma}:= & -y_{1}\left(527792 y_{1}{ }^{2} y_{2}^{2}-1390050 y_{1}{ }^{6}+121500 y_{1}{ }^{6} y_{2}{ }^{2}+182250 y_{1}{ }^{4} y_{2}{ }^{4}\right. \\
& +121500 y_{1}{ }^{2} y_{2}{ }^{6}+30375 y_{2}{ }^{8}+30375 y_{1}{ }^{8}-4280 y_{1}{ }^{4}+312976 y_{1}{ }^{2}+50896 y_{2}{ }^{2} \\
& \left.-45090 y_{2}{ }^{6}-1480230 y_{1}{ }^{2} y_{2}{ }^{4}-2825190 y_{1}{ }^{4} y_{2}{ }^{2}+532072 y_{2}^{4}\right) \\
& \\
& N_{i}:= \\
& y_{2}\left(1521608 y_{1}{ }^{4}-3568 y_{2}{ }^{2}-23416 y_{2}{ }^{4}+802200 y_{2}{ }^{6}+299025 y_{2}{ }^{8}\right. \\
& +1196100 y_{1}{ }^{6} y_{2}{ }^{2}+1196100 y_{1}{ }^{2} y_{2}{ }^{6}+5496840 y_{2}{ }^{4} y_{2}{ }^{2}+2347320 y_{1}{ }^{6}  \tag{4.175}\\
& +483152 y_{1}{ }^{2}+3951720 y_{1}{ }^{2} y_{2}{ }^{4}+1498192 y_{1}{ }^{2} y_{2}{ }^{2}+299025 y_{1}{ }^{8} \\
& \left.+1794150 y_{1}{ }^{4} y_{2}{ }^{4}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Applying gsolve to the system of equations formed by (4.175) and (4.175) we find the set of five possible solutions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{1}:=\left[y_{2}, y_{1}\right]  \tag{4.176}\\
& R_{2}:=\left[y_{1},-3568+802200 y_{2}{ }^{4}-23416 y_{2}{ }^{2}+299025 y_{2}{ }^{6}\right]  \tag{4.177}\\
& R_{3}:=\left[y_{2}, 30375 y_{1}{ }^{6}-4280 y_{1}{ }^{2}+312976-1390050 y_{1}{ }^{4}\right]  \tag{4.178}\\
& R_{4}:=\left[13896 y_{2}{ }^{2}+24660 y_{1}{ }^{2}+1211,44562960 y_{1}{ }^{4}-49781304 y_{1}{ }^{2}+54289\right]  \tag{4.179}\\
& R_{5}:=  \tag{4.180}\\
&+136761012172796003030417370741397 y_{2}^{2} \\
&-1129797024894241533886199705407151 y_{1}{ }^{2} \\
&+214500301038606097487489610812056,78461635687000573384800 y_{1}{ }^{6} \\
&-95085128844920200131585 y_{1}{ }^{4}+32818406432792011365247 y_{1}{ }^{2} \\
&-3624591018009119098115] . \tag{4.181}
\end{align*}
$$

The solution $R_{1}$ is of course a direct contradiction and $R_{4}$ is impossible, since it does not admit any real solution for $y_{2}$. The remaining solutions require further analysis, since they can lead to real solutions. Using the fact that $\phi_{2}$ is constant we have $\Delta \phi_{2}=0$. The condition $V_{00}$ now becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& -10 \Phi_{22}-9 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\gamma}^{2}-3 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2} \Delta \bar{\gamma}-12 \lambda \bar{\lambda}-8 \Delta \mu-9 \bar{\phi}_{2} \phi_{2} \gamma^{2}-24 \mu \gamma \\
& -24 \overline{\mu \bar{\gamma}}-96 \bar{\gamma} \mu-56 \gamma \bar{\gamma}-96 \gamma \bar{\mu}-172 \mu \bar{\mu}-8 \Delta \bar{\mu}-4 \Delta \bar{\gamma} \\
& -4 \Delta \gamma-12 \bar{\gamma}^{2}-12 \gamma^{2}+9 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2} \Phi_{22}-3 \bar{\phi}_{2} \phi_{2} \Delta \gamma+10 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\gamma} \gamma=0 . \tag{4.182}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying $\delta$ to this equation, using (4.119), (4.161), (4.167), and the second order Pfaffians $\delta(\Delta \gamma), \delta(\Delta \bar{\gamma}), \delta(\Delta \mu), \delta(\Delta \bar{\mu})$, obtained from the corresponding commutators we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& -18560 \mu \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}-8128 \gamma \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}-49920 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\mu}+31200 \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{2}-128960 \bar{\mu}_{\phi_{2}}{ }^{2} \\
& -102272 \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}+90960 \bar{\phi}_{2} \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{3}+24960 \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{2}-192064 \phi_{2} \bar{\mu} \bar{\phi}_{2} \\
& +1008900 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\mu} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}-419232 \phi_{2} \bar{\mu} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}+677700 \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\mu}^{\prime} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \\
& +94736 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\mu}^{\prime} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}+562080 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\mu} \bar{\phi}_{2}+589680 \mu \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4}+288288 \gamma \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& +104832 \bar{\gamma} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4}-1025280 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \mu \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4}-352080 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \gamma \bar{\phi}_{2}^{4} \\
& -266220 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\mu} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4}-459240 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\gamma} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4}+90720 \phi_{2} \bar{\gamma} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5} \\
& +510300 \phi_{2} \mu \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5}+249480 \phi_{2} \gamma \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5}+49680 \phi_{2} \bar{\lambda} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \\
& -391500 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\gamma}_{\phi_{2}}{ }^{5}-641925 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \mu \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5}-278640 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\lambda} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \\
& -236925 \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \bar{\lambda} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}-36450 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \gamma \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5}+65520 \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\lambda}+40500 \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \gamma \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& -24960 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\gamma}+56700 \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \bar{\lambda} \bar{\phi}_{2}+298350 \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\gamma}^{5} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4}+508275 \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\mu} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& -32400 \phi_{2} \lambda \delta \bar{\lambda} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}-24300{\phi_{2}}^{3} \lambda \delta \bar{\lambda} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}-32400 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \lambda \delta \bar{\lambda} \bar{\phi}_{2} \\
& -37440 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \delta \bar{\lambda} \lambda-56160{\phi_{2}}^{2} \lambda \delta \bar{\lambda} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}-75648 \phi_{2} \lambda \dot{\delta} \bar{\lambda} \bar{\phi}_{2} \\
& -37440 \lambda \delta{\bar{\lambda} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}-39520 \mu \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}-25792 \gamma \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}-69888 \bar{\gamma}_{\phi_{2}}{ }^{2}}^{2} \\
& -56160 \bar{\lambda} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}+374616{\bar{\lambda} \bar{\phi}_{2}}^{2} \phi_{2}{ }^{2}-94272 \bar{\lambda} \bar{\phi}_{2} \phi_{2}+350928 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \\
& +365256 \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}-275768 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{2}-378544 \gamma \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \\
& +318600 \mu \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}-787680 \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}-47856 \gamma \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}+12060 \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \\
& +18480 \bar{\phi}_{2} \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{3}+54000 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{4}+52576 \bar{\gamma}_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}+150540 \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \\
& +437040 \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{3}+397800 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{4}+6480 \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}-39520 \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{2}=0 . \tag{4.183}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, substituting all calculated Pfaffians into $V I_{01}$, and taking its complex conjugate, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1676700 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \phi_{2}{ }^{7} \delta \bar{\lambda}+7854912 \bar{\phi}_{2} \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \delta \bar{\lambda}+3499200 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \delta \bar{\lambda} \\
& +10485120 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \delta \bar{\lambda}+14381280 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \delta \bar{\lambda} \\
& +3556800 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \phi_{2} \delta \bar{\lambda}+11020860 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \delta \bar{\lambda}+5248800 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4}{ }^{2}{ }_{2}{ }^{4} \delta \bar{\lambda} \\
& +10159552 \bar{\phi}_{2} \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \delta \bar{\lambda}+1968300 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4}{ }_{2}{ }^{6} \delta \bar{\lambda} \\
& +18898848 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \delta \bar{\lambda}+6305040 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \phi_{2}{ }^{6} \delta \bar{\lambda}+872384 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \\
& +3149056 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}-386880 \bar{\phi}_{2} \phi_{2}{ }^{3}+14430928 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}+14177712 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& +96384 \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}+1822080 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4}-121680 \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \bar{\phi}_{2}+38361720 \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& +4074000 \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}+46800 \phi_{2}{ }^{6} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}-18083520 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6}+75951540 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5} \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \\
& -18712224 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5}+30853440 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6} \phi_{2}{ }^{2}+561600 \phi_{2}{ }^{6} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4}+7275825 \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +29205900 \phi_{2}^{4} \bar{\phi}_{2}^{6}+26268300 \phi_{2}^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}^{7}-15649200 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{7} \\
& +3244800 \phi_{2}^{4} \delta \bar{\lambda}+2794500{\overline{\phi_{2}}}^{7}{\phi_{2}}^{5}+394875 \phi_{2}^{6} \bar{\phi}_{2}^{6}=0 \tag{4.184}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving (4.184) for $\delta \bar{\lambda}$ we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta \bar{\lambda}= & -\frac{1}{4} \bar{\phi}_{2}\left(52 \bar{\phi}_{2}+60 \phi_{2}+45 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}^{2}\right)\left(8775 \bar{\phi}_{2}^{3} \phi_{2}^{5}+780 \phi_{2}^{5} \bar{\phi}_{2}\right. \\
& +62100 \phi_{2}^{4}{\bar{\phi}_{2}}^{4}+68745 \phi_{2}^{4}{\bar{\phi}_{2}}^{2}-2028 \phi_{2}^{4}+577260 \phi_{2}^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}^{3} \\
& +3364 \phi_{2}^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}+583740 \phi_{2}{ }^{2}{\bar{\phi}_{2}^{4}}^{4}+242436{\phi_{2}}^{2}{\bar{\phi}_{2}}^{2}-6448{\phi_{2}}^{2} \\
& \left.+11088 \phi_{2}{\bar{\phi}_{2}}^{3}+20128 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}-347760 \bar{\phi}_{2}^{4}+35040 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}\right) / \\
& \left(( 2 4 3 \phi _ { 2 } \overline { \phi } _ { 2 } { } ^ { 2 } + 2 4 7 \overline { \phi } _ { 2 } + 2 0 7 { \phi _ { 2 } } ^ { 2 } \overline { \phi } _ { 2 } + 3 0 0 \phi _ { 2 } ) \left(52 \phi_{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+60 \bar{\phi}_{2}+45{\phi_{2}}^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{4.185}
\end{align*}
$$

where the denominator in the above expression,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{2}:=207 \phi_{2}^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}+300 \phi_{2}+243 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}^{2}+247 \bar{\phi}_{2} \tag{4.186}
\end{equation*}
$$

is supposed to be non-zero for now.
Substituting the previous expression for $\delta \bar{\lambda}$ into (4.183) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(3678289920 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{3}+10028770056 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{5}-832291200 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}\right. \\
& +4499533800 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{7} \bar{\mu} \phi_{2}{ }^{6}-4369507968 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{3}+12393977472 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& -7019449875 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{8} \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{7}+4378738500 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{8} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{5}+7440174000 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{8} \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \\
& -3129310080 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{2}+284244480 \bar{\phi}_{2}^{5} \lambda-2821029120 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{7} \lambda \\
& -2689598080 \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{4}+14364078336 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{5}+96675840 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \\
& +2474297280 \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{8}-4281052500 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{8} \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{7}-398580750 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{8} \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{7} \\
& +702921984 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{2}-4716046800 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{8} \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{5}+2779130250 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6} \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{9} \\
& +724334400 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6} \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{3}-616512000 \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{5}-3519320400 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6} \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \\
& +486720000 \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{5}-529869600 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{7} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{2}+16160180400 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{7} \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \\
& +7900532640 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{7} \gamma{\phi_{2}}^{2}+2872920960 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{7} \bar{\gamma}{\phi_{2}}^{2}+6502854960 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{7} \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& -8069978160 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{7} \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{4}+444301200 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{7} \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{4}-3881487600 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{7} \bar{\mu}_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& -389376000 \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{5}+19537872300 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{7} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{4}+1546846875 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{7} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{6} \\
& +389376000 \gamma{\phi_{2}}^{5}-11094486975 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5} \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{8}+52722252000 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5} \bar{\mu} \phi_{2}{ }^{6} \\
& -2206956375 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5} \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{10}+29141337600 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5} \bar{\mu}{\phi_{2}}^{8} \\
& +12991387500 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5} \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{8}-8936242380 \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{6} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5}+1685520900 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5} \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{8} \\
& -7337239200 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5} \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{8}+21603880800 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{4}-18317517120 \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -731354688 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5} \lambda{\phi_{2}}^{2}+1947248100 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{6}+8028651960 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6} \bar{\mu} \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \\
& -4867824600 \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6}+19316050560 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{3}+11570922900 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \\
& -5928159744 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6} \lambda \phi_{2}+146893500 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{7}-2567246400 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6} \gamma{\phi_{2}}^{7} \\
& -1339403850 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6} \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{7}-6501294900 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6} \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{7}-2590774875 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6} \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{9} \\
& +4734581625 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6} \bar{\mu} \phi_{2}{ }^{9}+26937297225 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6} \bar{\mu} \phi_{2}{ }^{7} \\
& -33739432200 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6} \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{7}-10057782960 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6} \bar{\mu} \phi_{2}{ }^{3}+377257500 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6} \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{9} \\
& +1523301120 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{7}+2497681440 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{7}+16577516160 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{7} \\
& +1986087600 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{9}+2560374144 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{4}+56936537424 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\mu} \phi_{2}{ }^{6} \\
& +724194000 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{6}+1482397200 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{8}+2630917260 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\lambda}_{\phi_{2}}{ }^{8} \\
& +13723246800 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{8}+847292400 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{8} \\
& +21679488000 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\mu} \phi_{2}{ }^{8}+528160500 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{10}+7553665440 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \\
& +107406000 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{7}-446400720 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{7}+30743713080 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{7} \\
& +53308125 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{8} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{7}-1911187200 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \phi_{2} \bar{\mu}-5068372500 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{9} \\
& +6312775500 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\mu} \phi_{2}{ }^{9}+63950936940 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\mu} \phi_{2}{ }^{7} \\
& -20686398840 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{7}+503010000 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{9}+3705507000 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{9} \\
& -69638400 \bar{\phi}_{2} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{4}+893917440 \bar{\phi}_{2} \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{6}-21902400 \bar{\phi}_{2} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{6} \\
& +2176012800 \bar{\phi}_{2} \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{6}+6212194560 \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{6}-1632960 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \\
& +23745424320 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\mu} \phi_{2}{ }^{7}+8424000 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{7}+13238941920 \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& +26519546880 \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6}+8739057600 \mu \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6} \phi_{2}+4272428160 \gamma \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6} \phi_{2} \\
& +1553610240 \bar{\gamma} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6} \phi_{2}+7806681792 \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5}-3640228416 \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& +12207060288 \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6}+2993647488 \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5}+4438741696 \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& +550667232 \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6}-915985408 \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}-3671296512 \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \\
& -283148032 \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}-4614637568 \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}-1494014080 \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \\
& -726592640 \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}+643069440 \bar{\phi}_{2} \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{4}-12704389312 \bar{\phi}_{2}^{3} \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& -7326124704 \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5}+12362181888 \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}-1720888656 \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5} \\
& -2365309440 \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{4}-3362952032 \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}-13957246440 \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5} \\
& +672796800 \bar{\phi}_{2} \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{4}-585686400 \mu \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \phi_{2}-382237440 \gamma \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \phi_{2} \\
& -1035740160 \bar{\gamma} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \phi_{2}+22333540320 \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5}+819227136 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \lambda \phi_{2} \\
& +7557189120 \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\mu} \phi_{2}{ }^{6}+1322697600 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{8} \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{3}-5523939180 \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{7} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& +7524157500 \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{6} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5}+12714309504 \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{7} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \\
& -63430361280 \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{6} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5}-11223922140 \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{6} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5}+4920984768 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \\
& +3637418400 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{8} \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{3}-15520642992 \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{6} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}-6465614256 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +37715656752 \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{6} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}-29677061475 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{7} \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{6}-384365250 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{7} \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{8} \\
& +1022112000 \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{7}-3779136000 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{8} \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{5}+103335750 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{7} \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{8} \\
& +5557987125 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{7} \bar{\mu} \phi_{2}{ }^{8}+6759979200 \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{6}-13987736100 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{7} \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{6} \\
& +2196622800 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{8} \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{5}+8263069200 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{8} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{3}+2515322160 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5} \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& -82555200 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5} \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{2}-4629678912 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{5}-5979531375 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{7} \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{8} \\
& -4882550400 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{8} \lambda \phi_{2}-41778749448 \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4}-6092022400 \bar{\mu}_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \\
& -18991709568 \bar{\mu} \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4}-8452359072 \bar{\mu} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5}{ }^{2}{ }_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& +35157088368 \bar{\mu} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4}{ }_{2}{ }^{5}{ }^{5}-8093255040 \bar{\mu} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5}{ }^{2}{ }_{2}{ }^{2} \\
& +12348779904 \bar{\mu} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \phi_{2}{ }^{5}-4535930880 \bar{\mu} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }{ }_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& -8675612416 \bar{\mu} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \phi_{2}{ }^{3}-6824030720{ }^{\mu} \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \\
& +18639723240 \bar{\lambda} \phi_{2}{ }^{6} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}+15570182592 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \\
& +21946320672 \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4}+377257500 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{9} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{6}-2254031550 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{7} \gamma \phi_{2}{ }^{6} \\
& -818215200 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{5}-19233376128 \gamma{ }_{\gamma}{ }^{5}{ }^{5} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4}-778752000 \bar{\mu} \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \\
& \left.-47010632580 \mu \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6}+3546220500 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{9} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{4}-2112642000 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{9} \lambda \phi_{2}{ }^{2}\right) / \\
& \left(\left(52 \phi_{2}+60 \bar{\phi}_{2}+45 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}\right)^{2}\left(52 \bar{\phi}_{2}+60 \phi_{2}+45 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left(2 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}+300 \phi_{2}+243 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}+247 \bar{\phi}_{2}\right) \phi_{2}\right)=0 . \tag{4.187}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying $\delta$ to this equation we finally obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& 441591750 \phi_{2}{ }^{7} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6}+310645125 \phi_{2}{ }^{8} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5}-22136490 \phi_{2}{ }^{8} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}+17583744 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \\
& -3498368 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}+16398720 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}-3560128 \phi_{2}{ }^{3}-393120 \phi_{2}{ }^{8} \bar{\phi}_{2} \\
& -177632000 \phi_{2}{ }^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}-107857904{\phi_{2}{ }^{4} \bar{\phi}_{2}-52284384 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3}}=112661856 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \phi_{2}-105529032 \phi_{2}{ }^{7} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}+988474185 \phi_{2}{ }^{7} \bar{\phi}_{2}^{4} \\
& -88746576 \phi_{2}{ }^{6} \bar{\phi}_{2}-1530578808 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \phi_{2}{ }^{5}+667433538 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \phi_{2}^{6} \\
& -190683720 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6} \phi_{2}{ }^{5}+804787650 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5} \phi_{2}{ }^{6}+332813600 \phi_{2}{ }^{5} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \\
& +1312034112 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5} \phi_{2}{ }^{2}+686129256 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4} \phi_{2}{ }^{3}-200584704 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{3} \phi_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& -2318632020 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5} \phi_{2}{ }^{4}-303342408 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6} \phi_{2}{ }^{3}+35471520 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{6} \phi_{2} \\
& -162751680 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{5}-48740016 \phi_{2}{ }^{5}+1022112 \phi_{2}{ }^{7}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

In terms of the real variables $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$, defined in (4.174), the real and imaginary parts of (4.188) have the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{r}: & =y_{1}\left(269797096 y_{1}{ }^{4} y_{2}^{2}-5466377538 y_{2}{ }^{8}+2096123712 y_{1}{ }^{2} y_{2}{ }^{2}\right. \\
& -3591041850 y_{1}{ }^{8}+4213949265 y_{1}{ }^{8} y_{2}{ }^{2}+697196970 y_{1}{ }^{6} y_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& -7387688430 y_{1}{ }^{4} y_{2}{ }^{6}-2462001075 y_{2}{ }^{10}-7913378835 y_{1}{ }^{2} y_{2}{ }^{8}-490343625 y_{2}{ }^{12}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +3270840750 y_{1}{ }^{10} y_{2}{ }^{2}+5070650625 y_{1}{ }^{8} y_{2}{ }^{4}+2618932500 y_{1}{ }^{6} y_{2}{ }^{6} \\
& -1142251875 y_{1}{ }^{4} y_{2}{ }^{8}+1580441625 y_{1}{ }^{10}+752236875 y_{1}{ }^{12} \\
& -1699481250 y_{1}{ }^{2} y_{2}{ }^{10}+26923968 y_{1}{ }^{2}-625815584 y_{2}{ }^{4}-661927840 y_{1}{ }^{4} \\
& -24430400 y_{2}{ }^{2}-6465455704 y_{1}{ }^{2} y_{2}{ }^{4}-4722528648 y_{2}{ }^{6}+2078139320 y_{1}^{6} \\
& -18320579712 y_{1}{ }^{2} y_{2}{ }^{6}-23807908980 y_{1}^{4} y_{2}^{4}-14544748656 y_{1}{ }^{6} y_{2}{ }^{2} \text { ), }  \tag{4.189}\\
& L_{i}:=y_{2}\left(-6970927880 y_{1}{ }^{4} y_{2}{ }^{2}-287858646 y_{2}{ }^{8}-1381203968 y_{1}{ }^{2} y_{2}{ }^{2}\right. \\
& +3169984050 y_{1}^{8}+15739890435 y_{1}^{8} y_{2}{ }^{2}+24103263150 y_{1}{ }^{6} y_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& +16372561590 y_{1}{ }^{4} y_{2}{ }^{6}-15139305 y_{2}{ }^{10}+4143838095 y_{1}{ }^{2} y_{2}{ }^{8} \\
& +130946625 y_{2}{ }^{12}+6998582250 y_{1}{ }^{10} y_{2}{ }^{2}+14390004375 y_{1}{ }^{8} y_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& +15044737500 y_{1}{ }^{6} y_{2}{ }^{6}+8177101875 y_{1}{ }^{4} y_{2}{ }^{8}+3850211475 y_{1}{ }^{10} \\
& +1373527125 y_{1}{ }^{12}+2028260250 y_{1}{ }^{2} y_{2}{ }^{10}-80958656 y_{1}{ }^{2}-16139904 y_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& +459122560 y_{1}{ }^{4}-1123264 y_{2}{ }^{2}-2499935176 y_{1}{ }^{2} y_{2}{ }^{4}-32733656 y_{2}{ }^{6} \\
& -4438311192 y_{1}{ }^{6}+3988582704 y_{1}{ }^{2} y_{2}{ }^{6}+12035885076 y_{1}{ }^{4} y_{2}{ }^{4} \\
& +10929427776 y_{1}{ }^{6} y_{2}{ }^{2} \text { ). } \tag{4.190}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying gsolve now to the set of equations $\left\{N_{r}, N_{i}, L_{r}, L_{i}\right\}$ we find that the only possible solutions are given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{1}:=\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right]  \tag{4.191}\\
T_{2}:=\left[24600 y_{1}^{2}+13896 y_{2}^{2}+1211,990288 y_{2}^{4}+2135768 y_{2}^{2}+182405\right] \tag{4.192}
\end{gather*}
$$

Obviously, the set $T_{2}$ admits no real solution.
Let us now suppose that the denominator of (4.169) is zero:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1}:=2 \bar{\phi}_{2}+60 \phi_{2}+45 \phi_{2} \phi_{2}^{2}=0 \tag{4.193}
\end{equation*}
$$

This also implies that the numerator of (4.169) is zero:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}:=276 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}+39 \bar{\phi}_{2}^{2}+20=0 \tag{4.194}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of the real variables (4.174) the real part of (4.194) have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left(f_{1}\right)=315 y_{1}^{2}+237 y_{2}^{2}+20=0 \tag{4.195}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to a contradiction, since it admits no real solution.
Let us consider now the case when the denominator factor $e_{2}$ of (4.185) is zero:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{2}:=207 \phi_{2}^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}+300 \phi_{2}+243 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}^{2}+247 \bar{\phi}_{2}=0 \tag{4.196}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case the numerator of (4.185) is also zero:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{2}:=8775 \bar{\phi}_{2}^{3} \phi_{2}{ }^{5}+780 \phi_{2}^{5} \bar{\phi}_{2}  \tag{4.197}\\
& +62100{\phi_{2}}^{4}{\bar{\phi}_{2}}^{4}+68745{\phi_{2}}^{4}{\bar{\phi}_{2}}^{2}-2028{\phi_{2}}^{4}+577260{\phi_{2}}^{3}{\bar{\phi}_{2}}^{3} \\
& +3364{\phi_{2}}^{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}+583740{\phi_{2}}^{2}{\bar{\phi}_{2}}^{4}+242436{\phi_{2}}^{2}{\bar{\phi}_{2}}^{2}-6448{\phi_{2}}^{2} \\
& +11088{\phi_{2}}_{\phi_{2}}{ }^{3}+20128 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}-347760 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{4}+35040 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}=0 \tag{4.198}
\end{align*}
$$

The real and imaginary parts of (4.196) are given, respectively, by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Re\left(e_{2}\right):=y_{1}\left(450 y_{1}^{2}+450 y_{2}^{2}\right)=0  \tag{4.199}\\
& \Im\left(e_{2}\right):=-y_{2}\left(36 y_{1}^{2}+36 y_{2}^{2}\right)=0 \tag{4.200}
\end{align*}
$$

The real part of (4.198) has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\Re\left(f_{2}\right) & :=2380365 y_{1}^{4} y_{2}^{2}+1075395 y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{4}+62875 y_{1}^{8}+61325 y_{2}{ }^{8}+1230525 y_{1}^{6} \\
& -74445 y_{2}^{6}+246850 y_{1}{ }^{2} y_{2}^{6}-8464 y_{2}^{2}+48720 y_{1}^{2}+2583600 y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} \\
& -92900 y_{1}^{4}-121804 y_{2}{ }^{4}+249950 y_{1}^{6} y_{2}^{2}+372600 y_{1}{ }^{4} y_{2}{ }^{4}=0 \tag{4.201}
\end{align*}
$$

The application of gsolve to the system of equations formed by (4.199), (4.200) and (4.201) shows that the only possible solution is $y_{1}=0, y_{2}=0$, which is a contradiction.

Let us now go back to (4.158) and consider the case in which $\Phi_{11}=0$. We assume that $\beta \neq 0$, since the case $\beta=0, \Phi_{11}=0$ was already considered.

Suppose initially that $\pi \neq 0$. From $I I_{11}, I V_{10}$ and $I I I_{10}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \phi_{2}=2 \phi_{2} \beta  \tag{4.202}\\
& \delta \bar{\phi}_{2}=-6 \bar{\phi}_{2} \beta+4 \bar{\pi} \phi_{2}  \tag{4.203}\\
& \delta \beta=\beta^{2} \tag{4.204}
\end{align*}
$$

From the NP equations,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D} \mu=\delta \pi+\pi \bar{\pi}+\beta \pi  \tag{4.205}\\
& \delta \bar{\beta}=-\beta \bar{\beta}  \tag{4.206}\\
& \mathrm{D} \gamma=\beta \pi  \tag{4.207}\\
& \delta \Phi_{21}=-2 \bar{\beta}-2 \Phi_{21} \beta  \tag{4.208}\\
& \delta \Phi_{12}=4 \bar{\pi} \tag{4.209}
\end{align*}
$$

From the commutator $[\bar{\delta}, \delta] \Phi_{21}$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \pi=-3 \beta \pi \tag{4.210}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the commutator $[\bar{\delta}, \delta] \phi_{2}$ we now find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi\left(3 \beta \bar{\phi}_{2}-2 \bar{\pi} \phi_{2}\right)=0 . \tag{4.211}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we are assuming $\pi \neq 0$, we can solve this equation for $\bar{\phi}_{2}$. Substituting in $V_{20}$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\pi} \beta=0 \tag{4.212}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts the assumption.
Let us now consider the case in which $\beta \neq 0$ and $\pi=0$. From $V_{20}$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}_{2} \phi_{2} \beta^{2}=0, \tag{4.213}
\end{equation*}
$$

a contradiction.
(ii) $\pi=0$.

Here we consider $\alpha \neq 0$, since the case $\alpha=0, \pi=0$ was examined before. Let us assume first that $\beta \neq 0$. Then, from (4.100) we must have $\phi_{2}{ }^{2}-4 \neq 0$. Equations (4.111) and (4.125) now imply, respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
& -6 \phi_{2} \bar{\beta}+5 \bar{\phi}_{2} \alpha=0  \tag{4.214}\\
& -36 \beta \bar{\beta}+25 \alpha \bar{\alpha}=0 . \tag{4.215}
\end{align*}
$$

From $V_{20}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& -6 \bar{\phi}_{2} \phi_{2} \delta \beta-6 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2} \delta \bar{\alpha}-15 \phi_{2} \delta \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\alpha}+24 \delta \beta+24 \delta \bar{\alpha} \\
& -9 \bar{\phi}_{2} \delta \phi_{2} \beta+19 \phi_{2} \delta \bar{\phi}_{2} \beta+13 \bar{\phi}_{2} \delta \phi_{2} \bar{\alpha}+8 \delta \phi_{2} \delta \bar{\phi}_{2} \\
& +32 \phi_{2} \beta \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\alpha}+72 \bar{\alpha}^{2}+24 \beta^{2}-3 \phi_{2} \delta\left(\delta \bar{\phi}_{2}\right)-3 \bar{\phi}_{2} \delta\left(\delta \phi_{2}\right) \\
& +288 \beta \bar{\alpha}_{2}-18 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\alpha}^{2}-6 \bar{\phi}_{2} \phi_{2} \beta^{2}=0 . \tag{4.216}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (4.100), (4.101) and (4.108) into (4.216), and solving the complex conjugate for $\bar{\delta} \alpha$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\delta} \alpha=\frac{-24 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\beta}^{2}-3 \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2} \alpha^{2}+44 \bar{\beta} \alpha+9 \bar{\phi}^{2} \bar{\beta} \alpha+12 \alpha^{2}}{\bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}-4} . \tag{4.217}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving (4.214) for $\bar{\phi}_{2}$ and substituting into (4.217) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\delta} \alpha=-\alpha(11 \bar{\beta}+3 \alpha) \tag{4.218}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, from (4.132),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\delta} \alpha=\alpha(157 \bar{\beta}-3 \alpha), \tag{4.219}
\end{equation*}
$$

implying $\bar{\beta} \alpha=0$, a contradiction.
Let us suppose now that $\beta=0$. From $I I I_{01}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{\gamma}+2 \Phi_{11}+2 \mathrm{D} \mu+\delta \alpha-\alpha \bar{\alpha}=0 \tag{4.220}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the NP equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \alpha=\alpha \bar{\alpha}+\Phi_{11}, \quad \mathrm{D} \gamma=\Phi_{11}, \quad \mathrm{D} \mu=0 . \tag{4.221}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting these Pfaffians into (4.220) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{11}=0 . \tag{4.222}
\end{equation*}
$$

The commutator $[\bar{\delta}, \delta] \bar{\phi}_{2}$ (cf. (4.132)) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \bar{\alpha}\left(\phi_{2}-\bar{\phi}_{2}\right)=0, \tag{4.223}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $\phi_{2}=\bar{\phi}_{2}$. Equation (4.219) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\delta} \alpha=-3 \alpha^{2} \tag{4.224}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from $V_{11}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \bar{\alpha}\left(\phi_{2}^{2}-4\right)=0 . \tag{4.225}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $I I I_{00}$, and (NP15),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \mu=-\Phi_{12}-\mu \bar{\alpha}-\frac{5}{4} \phi_{2}{ }^{2},  \tag{4.226}\\
& \bar{\delta} \bar{\gamma}=-\bar{\gamma} \alpha+\bar{\alpha} \bar{\lambda}+\Phi_{21} . \tag{4.227}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\phi_{2}$ is constant $V_{02}$ now becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
24 \alpha & +10 \alpha^{2} \bar{\mu}-5 \bar{\alpha} \lambda \alpha+2 \bar{\delta} \alpha \bar{\mu}-\bar{\gamma} \bar{\delta} \alpha+3 \bar{\delta} \bar{\gamma} \alpha-2 \Phi_{21} \alpha+2 \bar{\delta} \bar{\alpha} \lambda \\
& +4 \bar{\delta} \bar{\mu} \alpha=0 . \tag{4.228}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4.224), (4.226) and (4.227), this equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \phi_{2}\left(-24+3 \Phi_{21}+4 \phi_{2}{ }^{2}\right)=0 \tag{4.229}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, since $\phi_{2}{ }^{2}=4$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(-8+3 \Phi_{21}\right)=0 . \tag{4.230}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, from the NP equations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\delta} \Phi_{21}=-2 \alpha\left(-1+\Phi_{21}\right)=0, \tag{4.231}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $\alpha=0$, which is a contradiction.
(iii) $\beta=0$.

We now suppose that $\alpha \neq 0$, since the case $\alpha=0, \beta=0$ was already considered. From the NP equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \alpha=\alpha \bar{\alpha}+\Phi_{11}  \tag{4.232}\\
& \delta \pi=\mathrm{D} \mu-\pi \bar{\pi}+\pi \bar{\alpha}  \tag{4.233}\\
& \mathrm{D} \gamma=\alpha \bar{\pi}+\Phi_{11} \tag{4.234}
\end{align*}
$$

From (4.120),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D} \mu=\pi \bar{\pi}-\Phi_{11} \tag{4.235}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $I I_{00}$ and $I V_{10}$ now become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \phi_{2}=0  \tag{4.236}\\
& \delta \bar{\phi}_{2}=-2 \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\alpha}+4 \bar{\pi} \phi_{2}+2 \phi_{2} \bar{\alpha} \tag{4.237}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, using these Pfaffians in the commutator $[\bar{\delta}, \delta] \phi_{2}$ we find

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{1}:= & 2 \bar{\alpha} \phi_{2} \alpha-4 \bar{\alpha} \pi \bar{\phi}_{2}-2 \bar{\alpha} \bar{\phi}_{2} \alpha-\phi_{2} \Phi_{11}+8 \pi \bar{\pi} \phi_{2}+4 \pi \phi_{2} \bar{\alpha} \\
& -\bar{\phi}_{2} \Phi_{11}+4 \alpha \bar{\pi} \phi_{2}=0 \tag{4.238}
\end{align*}
$$

From $V_{20}$ and $V_{12}$ we have, respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
& -16 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\pi}-12 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\alpha}^{2}+32 \mathrm{D} \bar{\lambda}-32 \bar{\pi}^{2}+64 \bar{\alpha} \bar{\pi}+16 \delta \bar{\alpha}+48 \bar{\alpha}^{2} \\
& -8 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{D} \bar{\lambda}+8 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\pi}^{2}-4 \phi_{2}{ }^{2} \delta \bar{\alpha}=0,  \tag{4.239}\\
& 4 \phi_{2} \bar{\delta} \alpha \bar{\alpha}-8 \pi^{2} \phi_{2} \bar{\alpha}-6 \bar{\delta} \alpha \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\alpha}+16 \mathrm{D} \lambda \bar{\pi} \phi_{2}+8 \mathrm{D} \lambda \phi_{2} \bar{\alpha} \\
& +4 \bar{\pi} \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\delta} \alpha+8 \bar{\pi} \phi_{2} \bar{\delta} \alpha-12 \bar{\alpha}_{2} D \lambda+8 \bar{\pi} \bar{\phi}_{2} D \lambda-16 \pi^{2} \bar{\pi} \phi_{2} \\
& -2 \Phi_{11} \bar{\phi}_{2} \alpha-26 \Phi_{11} \bar{\phi}_{2} \pi-30 \alpha^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\alpha}-12 \bar{\pi} \bar{\phi}_{2} \alpha^{2}+28 \pi^{2} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\phi}_{2} \\
& +24 \bar{\pi} \bar{\phi}_{2} \pi^{2}+6 \Phi_{11} \phi_{2} \alpha+24 \phi_{2} \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}+48 \bar{\pi} \phi_{2} \alpha^{2}-4 \pi \phi_{2} \Phi_{11} \\
& +6 \bar{\alpha} \pi \phi_{2} \alpha+12 \bar{\pi} \pi \phi_{2} \alpha-16 \bar{\pi} \alpha \pi \bar{\phi}_{2} \\
& -38 \pi \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\alpha} \alpha+\mathrm{D}\left(\Delta \bar{\phi}_{2}\right) \alpha=0 \text {, } \tag{4.240}
\end{align*}
$$

where the second order Pfaffian $D\left(\Delta \bar{\phi}_{2}\right)$ can be determined from the NP commutator $[\Delta, \mathrm{D}] \bar{\phi}_{2}:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}\left(\Delta \bar{\phi}_{2}\right)=-2 \bar{\alpha} \pi \bar{\phi}_{2}+4 \pi \bar{\pi} \phi_{2}+2 \pi \phi_{2} \bar{\alpha} . \tag{4.241}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving the complex conjugate of (4.239) for $D \lambda$ and substituting it into (4.240) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{2}:= & -16 \bar{\pi} \alpha \pi \bar{\phi}_{2}-8 p \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\alpha} \alpha+16 \bar{\pi} \bar{\phi}_{2} \pi^{2}+8 \pi^{2} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\phi}_{2}-6 \alpha^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\alpha} \\
& -12 \bar{\pi} \bar{\phi}_{2} \alpha^{2}-\Phi_{11} \bar{\phi}_{2} \alpha-13 \Phi_{11} \bar{\phi}_{2} \pi+12 \bar{\pi} \phi_{2} \alpha^{2}-2 \pi \phi_{2} \Phi_{11} \\
& -4 \bar{\alpha} \pi \phi_{2} \alpha-8 \bar{\pi} \pi \phi_{2} \alpha+6 \phi_{2} \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}+3 \Phi_{11} \phi_{2} \alpha=0 . \tag{4.242}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying gsolve to $g_{1}, g_{2}$, and their complex conjugates, we obtain the following set of possible solutions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{1}:=\left[121 \Phi_{11}+24 \bar{\alpha} \alpha, \phi_{2}-\bar{\phi}_{2}, 4 \bar{\alpha}+11 \bar{\pi}, 4 \alpha+11 \pi\right],  \tag{4.243}\\
K_{2}:=\left[\Phi_{11}, \bar{\alpha}+2 \bar{\pi}, \alpha+2 \pi\right],  \tag{4.244}\\
K_{3}:=\left[\Phi_{11}, \phi_{2} \alpha-2 \pi \bar{\phi}_{2}-\bar{\phi}_{2} \alpha, \bar{\alpha} \pi+2 \pi \bar{\pi}+\alpha \bar{\pi}\right] . \tag{4.245}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $\phi_{2}=\bar{\phi}_{2}$ we have from (4.238) and (4.239) that $\pi \phi_{2}=0$, so $\pi=\alpha=0$, a contradiction.

If $\alpha+2 \pi=0$ and $\Phi_{11}=0$, we obtain the corresponding forms of $\delta \bar{\phi}_{2}, \delta \alpha, \mathrm{D} \mu$, calculate the the second order Pfaffian $\mathrm{D}\left(\Delta \phi_{2}\right)$ from the respective commutator, and substitute them into $V_{11}$ to find $\alpha=\pi=0$.

What remains is solution $K_{3}$. If $\pi=0$ we have immediately that $\phi_{2}-\bar{\phi}_{2}=0$, a case that was considered above. So, taking $\pi \neq 0$, we introduce the variable $x_{1}:=\alpha / \pi$. Thus this solution implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{11}=0,  \tag{4.246}\\
& x_{1}+\overline{x_{1}}+2=0,  \tag{4.247}\\
& x_{1}\left(\phi_{2}-\bar{\phi}_{2}\right)-2 \bar{\phi}_{2}=0 . \tag{4.248}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we can eliminate $x_{1}$ in terms of $\phi_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=\frac{2 \bar{\phi}_{2}}{\phi_{2}-\bar{\phi}_{2}} \tag{4.249}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this point, the simplest attempt to close this case would consist in using (4.247) and (4.248) to eliminate $\overline{x_{1}}$ and $x_{1}$ from $V_{11}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi \bar{\pi}\left(\phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}-6 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}+\bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}+\phi_{2}{ }^{2}\right)}{\left(-\phi_{2}+\bar{\phi}_{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{4.250}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the case $\phi_{2}-\bar{\phi}_{2}=0$ was already considered, we find that $\phi_{2}$ must satisfy the side relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{2}{ }^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}-6 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}+\bar{\phi}_{2}{ }^{2}+\phi_{2}{ }^{2}=0 \tag{4.251}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, this equation is not restrictive enough. It is also recurrent under further applications of $\delta$ to it. Thus, we still need one more side relation. We observe that $V_{02}$ has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4 \bar{\delta} \bar{\mu} \bar{\delta} \phi_{2}+2 \bar{\delta} \bar{\gamma} \bar{\delta} \phi_{2}+2 \bar{\delta}\left(\delta \bar{\phi}_{2}\right) \lambda-2 \Phi_{21} \bar{\delta} \phi_{2}+2 \bar{\delta} \alpha \Delta \bar{\phi}_{2} \\
& +2 \bar{\delta}\left(\bar{\delta} \phi_{2}\right) \bar{\mu}+7 \alpha^{2} \Delta \bar{\phi}_{2}+\bar{\delta}\left(\Delta \bar{\phi}_{2}\right) \alpha+48 \alpha \phi_{2}-3 \bar{\gamma} \bar{\delta}\left(\bar{\delta} \phi_{2}\right) \\
& +92 \pi \phi_{2}+4 \bar{\delta} \pi \Delta \bar{\phi}_{2}+8 \bar{\delta} \bar{\mu} \phi_{2} \alpha-14 \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2} \alpha^{2}-2 \bar{\alpha} \lambda \bar{\delta} \phi_{2} \\
& +14 \alpha^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\gamma}+12 \pi \alpha \Delta \bar{\phi}_{2}+20 \phi_{2} \alpha^{2} \bar{\mu}+4 \phi_{2} \bar{\delta} \alpha \bar{\mu}+14 \alpha \bar{\delta} \phi_{2} \bar{\mu} \\
& -13 \bar{\gamma} \alpha \bar{\delta} \phi_{2}-6 \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2} \bar{\delta} \alpha+2 \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\delta} \bar{\gamma} \alpha-3 \lambda \delta \bar{\phi}_{2} \alpha-4 \Phi_{21} \phi_{2} \alpha \\
& +4 \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\delta} \bar{\alpha} \lambda+4 \bar{\delta} \bar{\gamma} \phi_{2} \alpha+8 \bar{\delta} \pi \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\gamma}+4 \bar{\delta} \alpha \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\gamma}-4 \bar{\pi} \lambda \bar{\delta} \phi_{2} \\
& +6 \pi \bar{\phi}_{2} \Phi_{21}-4 \bar{\alpha} \lambda \phi_{2} \alpha-8 \bar{\pi} \lambda \phi_{2} \alpha-6 \lambda \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\alpha} \alpha \\
& +24 \pi \alpha \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\gamma}=0 . \tag{4.252}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us determine all Pfaffians appearing in the expression above, beside those found previously. From (NP15), (NP7) and the commutator $[\bar{\delta}, \Delta] \bar{\phi}_{2}$ we have, respectively:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\delta} \bar{\gamma}=-\bar{\gamma} \alpha+\bar{\alpha} \lambda+\Phi_{21}  \tag{4.253}\\
& \bar{\delta} \pi=\mathrm{D} \lambda-\pi^{2}-\alpha \pi  \tag{4.254}\\
& \bar{\delta}\left(\Delta \bar{\phi}_{2}\right)=-\alpha \Delta \bar{\phi}_{2}-2 \lambda \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\alpha}+4 \lambda \bar{\pi} \phi_{2}+2 \lambda \bar{\alpha} \phi_{2} \tag{4.255}
\end{align*}
$$

The Pfaffians $D \lambda$ and $D \bar{\mu}$ can be eliminated using (4.239) and (4.235), respectively. The terms containing $\bar{\delta} \alpha$ and $\Delta \bar{\phi}_{2}$ cancel themselves. Finally, from $I I I_{00}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \mu=\frac{1}{2}\left(-\Phi_{12}-\gamma \bar{\alpha}-\delta \gamma+\alpha \bar{\lambda}-2 \mu \bar{\alpha}-\frac{5}{2} \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}+2 \pi \bar{\lambda}\right) . \tag{4.256}
\end{equation*}
$$

After all substitutions, (4.252) takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& -10 \pi \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}^{2}-5 \phi_{2} \alpha \bar{\phi}_{2}^{2}+8 \bar{\alpha} \lambda \pi \bar{\phi}_{2}-5 \pi \bar{\phi}_{2} \Phi_{21}+4 \lambda \bar{\phi}_{2} \bar{\alpha} \alpha \\
& -3 \bar{\phi}_{2} \alpha \Phi_{21}+16 \bar{\pi} \lambda \pi \bar{\phi}_{2}+8 \bar{\pi} \lambda \bar{\phi}_{2} \alpha+46 \phi_{2} \pi+24 \phi_{2} \alpha \\
& -8 \bar{\pi} \lambda \phi_{2} \alpha-4 \bar{\alpha} \lambda \phi_{2} \alpha=0 . \tag{4.257}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4.247) and (4.249) this equation assumes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi\left(10 \phi_{2}^{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}^{2}-46 \phi_{2}^{2}+5 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2} \Phi_{21}-2 \phi_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}+\Phi_{21} \bar{\phi}_{2}^{2}\right)}{\phi_{2}-\bar{\phi}_{2}}=0 \tag{4.258}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (NP25),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\delta} \Phi_{21}=2\left(\alpha+2 \pi-\alpha \Phi_{2 I}\right) \tag{4.259}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying $\bar{\delta}$ to (4.258), using (4.236), (4.237), (4.259), (4.247) and (4.249), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\bar{\phi}_{2} \pi\left(\phi_{2}-\bar{\phi}_{2} \Phi_{21}\right)\left(5 \phi_{2}+\bar{\phi}_{2}\right)}{\phi_{2}-\bar{\phi}_{2}}=0 \tag{4.260}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{21}=\frac{\phi_{2}}{\bar{\phi}_{2}} \tag{4.261}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this expression into (4.258) we get, finally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{2}\left(41 \phi_{2}+\bar{\phi}_{2}\right)=0 \tag{4.262}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $\phi_{2}=0$, a contradiction.

Thus, we have proved that the assumption that $A_{[i, j]} \neq 0$ leads to a contradiction. So, the necessary conditions for the validity of Huygens' principle imply that we must have $H_{i j}:=A_{[i, j]}=0$. The last step of the proof requires the use of the following lemma [38]:

Lemma 4.3 Every scalar wave equation of the form (4.1) for which $A_{[i, j]}=0$, is related by a trivial transformation to one for which $A_{i}=0$.

The proof consists in observing that $A_{[i, j]}=0$ implies that the differential form $A=A_{i} d x^{i}$ is closed. Thus there exists locally a function $h$ such that $A=d h$. It follows that for transformation (bc) (cf. Subsection 2.3.1) with $\lambda=\exp (-h / 2)$ one has, according to (2.125), $\overline{A_{i}}=0$.

From necessary condition $I$ (cf. (2.181)) we now have $B=R / 6$, so the wave equation is conformally invariant.

Thus, the Main Theorem is proved.

## Chapter 5

## Maxwell's Equations and Weyl's Neutrino Equation

### 5.1 Introduction

In this chapter we consider the problem of determining the validity of Huygens' principle for Maxwell's equations and Weyl's neutrino equation in Petrov type III space-times. We start by reviewing the theory of the initial value problem for both cases and the determination of the necessary conditions. The review will follow the papers of Günther and Wünsch [39] [41] [82] [84] [85]. Finally we shall prove the main result of this chapter, that states that there are no Petrov type III space-times on which Maxwell's equations or Weyl's neutrino equation satisfy Huygens' principle [63].

### 5.2 Huygens' principle for Maxwell's equations

The problem of the validity of Huygens' principle for the solutions of Maxwell's equations for p -forms in a curved space-time was considered for the first time in 1965 by Günther [39]. These equations are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \omega_{p}=0, \quad \delta \omega_{p}=0 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{p}$ is the p -form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{p}=(1 / p!) \omega_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p}} d x^{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x^{i_{p}} . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The symbols $d$ and $\delta$ denote the exterior differentiation and codifferentiation operators, respectively, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(d \omega_{p}\right)_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p+1}}=(p+1) \partial_{\left[i_{1}\right.} \omega_{\left.i_{2} \ldots i_{p+1}\right]}, \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\delta \omega_{p}\right)_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p-1}}=-\nabla^{k} \omega_{k i_{1} \ldots i_{p-1}} . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $p=2$ and dimension $n=4$ we obtain the Maxwell's equations for the electromagnetic field:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{[a} \omega_{b c]}=0, \quad \nabla^{j} \omega_{j a}=0 \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Laplace-Beltrami operator in this case is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square=-(\delta d+d \delta) . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The initial value problem for (5.1) was studied by Duff [30] in 1953, using the Riesz kernel formalism [71] , and by Lichnerowicz [55] in 1961, using the distribution formalism. Günther used the first method to establish the first necessary condition for the validity of Huygens' principle for (5.1) with $n=4$ and $p=2$, which is the vanishing of Bach's tensor (2.201).

### 5.2.1 The Riesz kernel

Let $\Gamma(x, \xi)$ denote the square of the geodesic distance between two points $x$ and $\xi$ (cf. (2.134) in a $n$-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian space $\mathcal{M}^{n}$. The Riesz kernel is defined by the double $p$-tensor:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{p}(x, \xi, \lambda)=\frac{\Gamma^{(\lambda-n) / 2}}{2^{\lambda-1} \pi \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{V_{k}^{(p)}(x, \xi) \Gamma^{k}}{2^{k} \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda-2}{2}+k\right)} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a complex parameter, $\Gamma(\lambda)$ is the Euler gamma function ${ }^{1}$ analytically continued to the complex plane, and $V_{k}^{(p)}$ are double $p$-differential forms given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{k}^{(p)}(x, \xi)=A_{k} i_{1} \cdots i_{p}, \alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{p}(x, \xi) d x^{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots d x^{i_{p}} d \xi^{\alpha_{1}} \bar{\wedge} \cdots \bar{\wedge} d \xi^{\alpha_{p}} . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The symbols $A_{k} i_{1} \cdots i_{p}, \alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{p}$ denote the components of the double $p$-form $V_{k}^{(p)}$ and the symbol $\sim$ is used to denote operations relative to the variables $\xi^{\alpha}$. It can be shown [30] that $V_{p}$ must satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square V_{p}(x, \xi, \lambda+2)=V_{p}(x, \xi, \lambda) . \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^12]Substituting (5.7) into (5.9) and equalling the coefficients of the powers of $\Gamma$ to zero, we obtain the following recursive system:

$$
\begin{align*}
& g^{i j} \nabla_{i} \Gamma \nabla_{j} V_{0}^{(p)}+L V_{0}^{(p)}=0,  \tag{5.10}\\
& g^{i j} \nabla_{i} \Gamma \nabla_{j} V_{k}^{(p)}+(L+2 k) V_{k}^{(p)}=-\square V_{k-1}^{(p)}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, \tag{5.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where the covariant derivatives are relative to $x^{a}$, and $\nabla_{a} V_{k}^{p}$ is the differential form whose coefficients are given by $\nabla_{a} A_{k} i_{1} \cdots i_{p}, \alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{p}(x \xi)$ (with $A_{k} \ldots \ldots, .$. considered as a covariant tensor of rank $p$ ). $L(x, \xi)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(x, \xi):=\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{i} \nabla_{i} \Gamma-n, \quad L(\xi, \xi)=0 . \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (5.10) and (5.11) can be considered as ordinary differential equations (transport equations) along the geodesic line between $x$ and $\xi$ [71] [42] [32]. For $p=0, V_{0}^{(0)}$ is uniquely determined by (5.10) and the initial condition $V_{0}^{(0)}(\xi, \xi)=1$. Notice that $V_{0}^{(0)}$ can be identified with the $U$ defined for the self-adjoint scalar equation ( $A^{i}=0$ ) (see (2.40)). It can be shown that for $V_{0}^{(p)}$ we have the following initial condition:

$$
A_{0 i_{1} \cdots i_{p}, \alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{p}}(\xi, \xi)=\frac{1}{(p!)^{2}}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
g_{i_{1} \alpha_{1}} & \cdots & g_{i_{1} \alpha_{p}}  \tag{5.13}\\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
g_{i_{p} \alpha_{1}} & \cdots & g_{i_{p} \alpha_{p}}
\end{array}\right|(\xi)
$$

while $V_{k}^{(p)}, k \geq 1$ is determined by (5.11), together with the requirements for regularity at $x=\xi$. This shows that (5.11) admits a solution system $V_{k}^{(p)}(x, \xi)$, which is analytic in $x$ and $\xi$. When $x$ and $\xi$ are in a sufficiently small neighborhood $U(\xi)$ and $\Re(\lambda) \geq \mu$ the series in (5.7) converges absolutely and is regular in $x, \xi$ and $\lambda$. Thus $V_{p}(x, \xi, \lambda)$ for $x, \xi \in U, \Gamma>0$, and $\lambda$ arbitrary, is an analytic function in $x, \xi$ and $\lambda$. If $\Re(\lambda-n)>0$, then $V_{p}(x, \xi, \lambda)$ is also regular on the characteristic surface, $\Gamma(x, \xi)=0$. If, however, $\Re(\lambda-n)<0$, then $V_{p}(x, \xi, \lambda)$ is singular for $\Gamma(x, \xi)=0$. One exception occurs for $\lambda=n-2 q, q=1,2, \ldots$, when $V_{p}(x, \xi, n-2 q)$ is regular for all $x, \xi \in U$.

The Riesz kernel aiso satisfies the following useful relations [30]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{p}(x, \xi, \lambda)=V_{p}(\xi, x, \lambda), \quad V_{k}^{(p)}(x, \xi)=V_{k}^{(p)}(\xi, x),  \tag{5.14}\\
& V_{p}(x, \xi, \lambda)=-* \dot{x} V_{4-p}(x, \xi, \lambda),  \tag{5.15}\\
& d V_{p}(x, \xi, \lambda)=-\bar{\delta} V_{p+1}(\xi, x, \lambda),  \tag{5.16}\\
& \delta V_{p}(x, \xi, \lambda)=-\bar{d} V_{p-1}(\xi, x, \lambda) . \tag{5.17}
\end{align*}
$$

### 5.2.2 Cauchy's problem for Maxwell's equations

Let us consider an $n$-1-dimensional space-like surface $S$ of class $C^{\infty}$, with parametric representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{a}=x^{a}\left(u^{i}\right) \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n-1 . \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this parametrized representation for the coefficients of a form $\omega_{p}$, we obtain the tangential part of $\omega_{p}$, denoted by $\left(\omega_{p}\right)_{s}$, given in terms of the variables $u^{i}$ and differentials $d x^{a}$. If we substitute $d x^{a}=\frac{\partial x^{a}}{\partial u^{i}} d u^{i}$ into $\left(\omega_{p}\right)_{s}$ we obtain a form $\left(\omega_{p}\right)_{s, d S}$ in the variables $u^{i}$ and differentials $d u^{i}$. The class of these forms is denoted by $M(S)$. The initial value problem for Maxwell's equations is the problem of finding a form $\omega_{p}, 1 \leq p \leq n-1$, satisfying (5.1) for given $\left(\omega_{p}\right)_{s}$. This problem is also equivalent to the following: For given ( $\left.\omega_{p}\right)_{s}$ find a form $\omega_{p}, 1 \leq p \leq n-1$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square \omega_{p}=0 \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(d \omega_{p}\right)_{s}=0, \quad\left(\delta \omega_{p}\right)_{s}=0 \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients of $\left(\omega_{p}\right)_{s}$ (the initial data) are not arbitrary, but on account of (5.20) must satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(d \omega_{p}\right)_{S, d S}=d\left\{\left(\omega_{p}\right)_{S, d S}\right\}=0  \tag{5.21}\\
& \left(d * \omega_{p}\right)_{S, d S}=d\left\{\left(* \omega_{p}\right)_{S, d S}\right\}=0 \tag{5.22}
\end{align*}
$$

The initial value problem can now be stated in the following manner:
Given on $M(S)$ the $p$-form $\Theta_{p}=\left(\omega_{p}\right)_{S, d S}$ and $a(n-p)$-form $\Pi_{n-p}=$ $\left(* \omega_{p}\right)_{S, d S}$, with $d \Theta_{p}=0, d \Pi_{n-p}=0$, find a $p$-form $\omega_{p}$ satisfying the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square \omega_{p}=0, \quad\left(d \omega_{p}\right)_{s}=0, \quad\left(\delta \omega_{p}\right)_{s}=0 \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has been shown by Duff [30] that the above problem has a unique solution.
Now we can state Huygens' principle as follows:
Huygens' principle is said to be valid for Maxwell's equations (5.1) if for any space-like surface $S$ and any point $x, \omega_{p}(x)=0$ for all permissible choices for the initial data on $S$, with support in $D^{ \pm}(x) \cap S$.

### 5.2.3 Necessary and sufficient condition

For the initial value problem, let us choose $x$ in a neighborhood of $S, \operatorname{supp} \Theta_{p}$, $\operatorname{supp} \Pi_{n-p} \in D(x) \cap S$, Then, from the Riesz integration method the following representation is found:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{p}(x)=-\int_{D(x) \cap s} \tilde{\delta} V_{p}(x, \xi, 2) \bar{\wedge} \Pi_{n-p}+\int_{D(x) \cap s} \Theta_{p} \bar{\wedge} \tilde{d} \bar{d} V_{p}(x, \xi, 2), \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integration variable is $\xi$. Employing the above representation, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{p}=d \Theta_{p-1}, \quad \Pi_{n-p}=d \Pi_{n-p-1} \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using partial integration, Günther [39] proved that a necessary and sufficient condition for the validity of Huygens' principle Maxwell's equations (5.1) of order $p, 1 \leq p \leq n-1$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \delta V_{p}(x, \xi, 2)=0, \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\xi$. Condition (5.26) is also equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta d V_{p}(x, \xi, 2)=0, \quad d \bar{d} V_{p-1}(x, \xi, 2)=0, \quad d \bar{\delta} V_{p+1}(x, \xi, 2)=0 . \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

A detailed proof can be found in [39].
From this result Günther [39] obtained the following immediate consequences:
(i) If $n$ is odd Huygens' principle is never valid for (5.1).
(ii) If $n$ is even and the metric is Minkowskian then Huygens' principle is valid for Maxwell's equations (5.1) for any order $p$, satisfying $1 \leq p \leq n-1$.
(iii) If $n$ is even and the space-time is conformally flat, then Huygens' principle is valid for Maxwell's equations (5.1) of order $p=\pi / 2$.

The essential reason for the restriction in (iii) is that Maxwell's equations (5.1), for $n$ even, are conformally invariant only for $p=n / 2[39]$.

### 5.2.4 Necessary conditions for $n=4$ and $p=2$

When $n=4$ and $p=2$, equation (5.1) represents Maxwell's equations for the electromagnetic field and Huygens' principle is satisfied if the metric is conformally flat. Explicit necessary conditions for the validity of Huygens' principle can now be obtained from (5.26) or (5.27). Günther [39] considered the second equation in (5.27) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(x, \xi):=\left[d \tilde{d} V_{1}(x, \xi, 2)\right]_{x=\xi}=0 \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}(x, \xi, 2)=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{V_{k+1}^{(1)}(x, \xi) \Gamma^{k}}{2^{k} k!} \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients $A_{k i, \alpha}(x, \xi)$ of $V_{k}^{(1)}$ can be developed into a Taylor series around $\xi$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{0 i, \alpha}(x, \xi)=a_{i, \alpha}(\xi)+a_{i, \alpha \mid i_{1}} q^{i_{1}}+a_{i, \alpha \mid i_{1} i_{2}} q^{i_{1}} q^{i_{2}}+\cdots,  \tag{5.30}\\
& A_{1, \alpha}(x, \xi)=b_{i, \alpha}(\xi)+b_{i, \alpha \mid i_{1}} q_{1}+b_{i, \alpha \mid i_{1} i_{2}}^{i_{1}} q^{i_{1}} q^{i_{2}}+\cdots,  \tag{5.31}\\
& A_{2 i, \alpha}(x, \xi)=c_{i, \alpha}(\xi)+c_{i, \alpha i_{1}}^{i_{1}} q^{i_{1}}+c_{i, \alpha \mid i_{1} i_{2}}^{i_{1}} q^{i_{2}}+\cdots, \tag{5.32}
\end{align*}
$$

where $q^{i}=x^{i}-\xi^{i}$ and the following notation is used:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\alpha \cdots \mid i_{1} \cdots i_{r}}(\xi):=\left[\frac{1}{r!} \partial_{i_{1}} \cdots \partial_{i_{r}} B_{\alpha \ldots(x, \xi)}\right] \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the case for the scalar equation, covariant Taylor expansions are here determined in a system of normal coordinates $x^{i}$, with origin at a fixed point $\xi_{0}$. The equalities that are valid only in this coordinate system are denoted by $\stackrel{*}{=}$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& q^{i} \equiv x^{i}, \quad \Gamma\left(x, \xi_{0}\right) \equiv \stackrel{\circ}{g}_{i j} x^{i} x^{j}, \quad \stackrel{\circ}{g}_{i j} \equiv g_{i j}\left(\xi_{0}\right)  \tag{5.34}\\
& L\left(x, \xi_{0}\right) \stackrel{1}{2} g^{i j} x^{k} g_{i j, k} \tag{5.35}
\end{align*}
$$

From (5.10) a differential equation for $A_{0 i, \alpha}(x, \xi)$ can be obtained in normal coordinates. Using the initial condition $A_{0 i, \alpha}(\xi, \xi)=g_{i \alpha}(\xi)$, from (5.13), the coefficients $a_{i, \alpha}, a_{i \alpha \mid i_{1}}, \ldots$ can be specified in terms of the metric and its derivatives. In order to expand (5.29) up to three terms, we need to find $b_{i, \alpha}, b_{i \alpha \mid i_{1}}, b_{i \alpha \mid i_{1} i_{2}}$ and $c_{i, \alpha}$.

Using (5.11), a differential recurrence relation between $A_{1, \alpha}\left(x_{1}, \xi\right)$ and $A_{0 i, \alpha}\left(x_{1}, \xi\right)$ is induced. Since $V_{0}^{(1)}$ does not appear in (5.29), we need only the first four terms in the expansion (5.30). The recurrence relation allows the determination of $b_{i, a}, b_{i \alpha \mid i_{1}}$, $b_{i \alpha \mid i_{1} i_{2}}$ and $c_{i, a}(\xi)$ in terms of the metric and its derivatives. The calculations are lengthy, requiring the use of the conformal invariance of certain tensors. Details can be found in Günther's paper [39]. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[4 \pi d \bar{d} V_{1}(x, \xi, 2)\right]_{x=\xi=\xi_{0}} \doteq-\frac{1}{20} \stackrel{\circ}{g}_{i \alpha}\left(\stackrel{\circ}{L}_{j \beta ; l}^{l}-\stackrel{\circ}{L} ; \beta j\right) d x^{j} \wedge d x^{i} \wedge d \xi^{\alpha} . \tag{5.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $\stackrel{\circ}{L}_{a b}=0$ and so, the conformally invariant Bach's tensor, $C_{a b}:=L_{a[b ; c]}-$ $\frac{1}{2} C^{k}{ }_{a b}{ }^{l} L_{k l}$, vanishes.

Thus, we have the following result [39]:
A necessary condition for the validity of Huygens' principle for the homogeneous Maxwell equations for the electromagnetic field is the vanishing of Bach's tensor.

### 5.2.5 Determining necessary conditions using conformal invariance of tensors

The vanishing of Bach's tensor and other higher order necessary conditions for the validity of Huygens' principle for Maxwell's equations ${ }^{2}$ can be determined by using general properties of tensors which are symmetric, trace-free and conformally invariant. We shall summarize the method by following the paper of Wünsch [82]. We write $K(x, \xi)$ (cf. (5.28)) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(x, \xi)=K_{i j \alpha \beta} d x^{i} \wedge d x^{j} \bar{d} \xi^{\alpha} \bar{\wedge} \bar{d} \xi^{\beta} \tag{5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{i j \alpha \beta \mid i_{1} \cdots i_{r}}(\xi)=\left[\frac{1}{r!} \partial_{i_{1}} \cdots \partial_{i_{r}} K_{i j \alpha \beta}(x, \xi)\right]_{x=\xi},  \tag{5.38}\\
& K_{i j \alpha \beta i_{1} \cdots i_{r}}(\xi)=\left[\frac{1}{r!} \nabla_{i_{r}} \cdots \nabla_{i_{1}} K_{i j \alpha \beta}(x, \xi)\right]_{x=\xi}, \quad r \in N . \tag{5.39}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, from (5.28), Huygens' principle is satisfied when $K_{i j \alpha \beta \mid i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}(\xi)=0, \forall r \in N$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ denote the set of all metric tensors $g \in \mathbf{C}^{\infty}$ defined on $\mathcal{M}^{4}$,

$$
\mathcal{G}_{s}:= \begin{cases}\mathcal{G}, & \text { for } s=0  \tag{5.40}\\ \left\{g \in \mathcal{G} \mid \forall r \in\{0,1, \ldots, s-1\} \forall \xi \in \mathcal{M}^{4}: K_{i j \alpha \beta \mid i_{1} \cdots i_{r}}(\xi)=0\right\} & \text { for } s \in N \backslash\{0\}\end{cases}
$$

Wünsch [83] proved that $\left(\mathcal{M}^{4}, \mathcal{G}_{s}\right)$ defines a conformal class of Riemannian spaces. It can also be shown that for $s \in N$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}_{s}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i j \alpha \beta \mid i_{1} \cdots i_{s}}=K_{i j \alpha \beta ; i_{1} \cdots i_{s}} \tag{5.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now define an additional tensor

$$
E_{j \beta i_{1} \cdots i_{d}}:= \begin{cases}K_{i j}^{i} \beta_{; i_{1} \cdots i_{s}}, & \text { if } s \text { is even }  \tag{5.42}\\ * K_{i j^{i} \beta ; i_{1} \cdots i_{s}}, & \text { if } s \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

In [82] Wünsch proved the following facts:

1. For $s \in \mathbf{N}$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i j}^{i}{ }_{i i_{1} \cdots i_{3}}=0 \Leftrightarrow E_{j \beta i_{1} \cdots i_{4}}=0 \tag{5.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. $E_{j \beta i_{1} \ldots i_{s}}$ in the class $\left(\mathcal{M}^{4}, \mathcal{G}_{s}\right)$, are conformally invariant tensors with weight -1 .
3. $E_{j \beta i_{1} \ldots i_{i}}$, is symmetric:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{j \beta i_{1} \cdots i_{0}}=E_{\left(j \beta i_{1} \cdots i_{0}\right)}, \tag{5.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^13]4. $E_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{s}}$ is trace-free:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{j \beta} E_{j \beta i_{1} \cdots i_{s-2}}=0 \tag{5.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

As consequence, the following result follows:

Let $E_{j \beta_{i_{1}} \ldots i_{1}}, s \in \mathbf{N}$, be symmetric, trace-free, in the class $\left(\mathcal{M}^{4}, \mathcal{G}\right)$ of conformally invariant tensors of weight -1 . Then Huygens' principle is valid for Maxwell's equations (5.5) when the following equation is satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{s-2}}=0, \quad \forall s \in \mathbf{N} . \tag{5.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result enables us to determine explicit necessary conditions, by studying the possible representations of $E_{i_{1} \cdots i_{r}}$. It was shown by Wünsch [83] that if $E_{i_{1} \cdots i_{1}}$ is a rational integral, symmetric, trace-free tensor, in the class ( $\mathcal{M}^{4}, \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{z}}$ ) of conformally invariant tensors of weight -1 , then the following properties must be satisfied:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{i_{1} \cdots i_{r}}=0 \quad \text { for } s=0,1,3,  \tag{5.47}\\
& E_{i_{1} i_{2}}=\alpha_{0} C_{i_{1} i_{2}}  \tag{5.48}\\
& E_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{3} \alpha_{\nu} T S\left(\stackrel{\nu}{N}_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}\right), \quad \alpha_{\nu} \in \mathbf{R}, \tag{5.49}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\stackrel{1}{N i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}:= & \nabla^{a} C^{b}{ }_{i_{1} i_{2}}{ }^{c} \nabla_{a} C_{b i_{3} i_{4} c}-4 C^{a}{ }_{i_{1} i_{2}}{ }^{b}\left(2 \nabla_{a} S_{i_{3} i_{4} b}+C_{a i_{3} i_{4}}{ }^{c} L_{b c}\right) \\
& +16 S_{i_{1} i_{2} a} S_{i_{3} i_{4}}{ }^{a},  \tag{5.50}\\
\stackrel{2}{N}_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}:= & 2 \nabla_{i_{1}} C^{a}{ }_{i_{2} i_{3}}{ }^{b} S_{a i_{4} b}+C^{a}{ }_{i_{1} i_{2}}{ }^{b}\left(2 \nabla_{i_{3}} S_{a b i_{4}}-C_{a}{ }^{c}{ }_{b i_{3}} L_{c i_{4}}\right) \\
& +2 S_{i_{1} i_{2} a} S_{i_{3} i_{4}}{ }^{a},  \tag{5.51}\\
& \stackrel{3}{N} i_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}:=C^{a}{ }_{i_{1} i_{2}}{ }^{b} C^{c}{ }_{i_{3} i_{4}}{ }^{d} C_{a b c d}, \tag{5.52}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a b c}:=L_{a[b ; c]} . \tag{5.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to find the coefficients $\alpha_{\nu}$ we first define classes of "test metrics":

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{H}_{1}:=\left\{\mathrm{g} \in \mathcal{G} \mid \nabla_{a} R_{b c d e}=0, \quad R_{a b}=\frac{1}{4} g_{a b} R\right\} \quad \text { (Einstein's space), }  \tag{5.54}\\
& \mathcal{H}_{2}:=\left\{\mathrm{g} \in \mathcal{G} \mid R_{a b}=0\right\} . \tag{5.55}
\end{align*}
$$

These classes are such that $\mathcal{H}_{1}, \mathcal{H}_{2} \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$. Furthermore, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}:=T S\left({\stackrel{\nu}{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}}\right) \tag{5.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

we define, for any null vector $k^{a}$, the scalars

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\nu}{M}(k):=\nu_{M_{1} \ldots i_{4}} k^{i_{1} \ldots i_{4}} \quad(\nu-1,2,3) \tag{5.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

By developing $E_{i_{1} \ldots i_{1}} k^{i_{1} \ldots i_{1}}$, with respect to a normal coordinate system, in Taylor series we obtain, for $g \in \mathcal{H}_{2}$ [41],

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i_{1} \ldots i_{4}} k^{i_{1} \ldots i_{4}}=\frac{1}{336}{ }^{1} M(k) \tag{5.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the class $\mathcal{H}_{2}, \stackrel{2}{M}(k)$ is zero, but not $\stackrel{1}{M}(k)$ and $\stackrel{3}{M}(k)$, in general. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}=\frac{1}{336}, \quad \alpha_{3}=0 \tag{5.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Calculating now $E_{i_{1} \cdots i_{4}} k^{i_{1} \cdots i_{4}}$ for $g \in \mathcal{H}_{2}$, and using (5.59), Wünsch [82] has shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{2}=-\frac{1}{105} \tag{5.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from these results and from (5.46), we obtain two necessary conditions for the validity of Huygens' principle:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i_{1} i_{2}}=0, \quad 5 \stackrel{1}{M}_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}-16 \stackrel{2}{M}_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}=0 \tag{5.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generating set for $s=5$ was found by Gerlach and Wünsch [36]. The necessary condition for the validity of Huygens' principle in this case was partially determined by Alvarez and Wünsch [3]. We shall present the explicit form of this condition later.

### 5.3 Huygens' principle for some spinor equations

Using Riesz' integration method, Wünsch [84] [85] [86] has formulated the Cauchy problem for several generalized spinor equations. In many cases, he found a representation formula, and was able to formulate the corresponding necessary and sufficient conditions for the validity of Huygens' principle. We shall follow Wünsch's papers and Alvarez's thesis [3] to make a brief summary in this section. Complete details can be found in these papers. As we shall see, the spinor approach can deal not only with the Weyl neutrino equation, but also with Maxwell's equations.

### 5.3.1 Definitions

Let $S=S\left(\mathcal{M}^{4}\right)$ be a complex vector fiber bundle on $\mathcal{M}^{4}$, whose fiber on each point $x \in \mathcal{M}^{4}$ is a two-dimensional vector space $S_{x}$ over the complex field $\mathbf{C}$. Let $S^{*}$ be the dual vector fiber bundle to $S$, and $\bar{S}\left(\overline{S^{*}}\right)$ the antidual vector fiber bundle to $S\left(S^{*}\right)$. The elements of

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n m}^{p q}:=\stackrel{p}{\otimes} S \stackrel{p}{\otimes} \bar{S} \stackrel{n}{\otimes} S^{*} \stackrel{m}{\otimes} \overline{S^{*}}, \quad(p, q, n, m \in \mathbf{N}) \tag{5.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

are called spinors of type $\binom{p q}{n m}$. In other words, a spinor at $x \in \mathcal{M}^{4}$ is defined to be a point of $S_{n m}^{p q}$ lying in the fiber over $x$. A smooth spinor field $\varrho$ is a (smooth) cross section of $S_{n m}^{p q}$. The coordinates of a spinor field with respect to a basis of $S_{n m}^{p q}$ are designated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{A_{1} \cdots A_{n}} \dot{X}_{1} \cdots \dot{X}_{m}{ }^{B_{1} \cdots B_{p} \dot{Y}_{1} \cdots \dot{Y}_{q}}, \tag{5.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the indices can take vales 0 and 1 .
Spinors with $p+q+n+m=1$ are called 1 -spinors and spinors of type $\binom{00}{n m}$ are called ( $n, m$ )-spinors. The set of all $C^{\infty}(n, m)$-spinors on $\mathcal{M}^{4}$ is designated by $S_{n, m}$. The set of all $C^{\infty}$ symmetric ( $n, m$ )-spinor fields on $\mathcal{M}^{4}$ is designated by $\mathcal{S}=\{\varrho \in$ $\left.S_{n, m} \mid \varrho_{A_{1} \cdots A_{n} \dot{X}_{1} \cdots \dot{X}_{m}}=\varrho_{\left(A_{1} \cdots A_{n}\right)\left(\dot{X}_{1} \cdots \dot{X}_{m}\right)}\right\}$.

We define the first order differential operators $M: S_{n m} \rightarrow S_{n-1, m+1}$, and $\bar{M}: \bar{S}_{n m} \rightarrow$ $\bar{S}_{n-1, m+1}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& (M \varphi)_{A_{1} \cdots A_{n-1} \dot{X}_{0} \cdots \dot{X}_{m}}:=\nabla_{\dot{X}_{0}}{ }^{K} \varphi_{K A_{1} \cdots A_{n-1} \dot{X}_{1} \cdots \dot{X}_{m}},  \tag{5.64}\\
& (\bar{M} \varrho)_{A_{0} \cdots A_{n-1}} \dot{X}_{1} \cdots \dot{X}_{m}:=\nabla_{A_{0}} \dot{K}_{\varrho_{1} \cdots A_{n-1}} \dot{K} \dot{X}_{1} \cdots \dot{X}_{m} \tag{5.65}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n \in N \backslash\{0\} m \in N, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{n, m}$ and $\varrho \in \mathcal{S}_{n-1, m+1}$.
The differential operators of second order $Q: S_{n, m} \rightarrow S_{n, m}$, and $\bar{Q}: S_{n-1, m+1} \rightarrow$ $S_{n-1, m+1}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q:=\bar{M} M, \quad \bar{Q}:=M \bar{M}, \tag{5.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n \in N \backslash\{0\} m \in \mathbf{N}$.
Using the operator $M$ we can express some particular field equations. Let us consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \varphi=0 . \tag{5.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{1, m}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(M \varphi)_{\dot{X}_{0} \ldots \dot{X}_{\mathrm{m}}}:=\nabla_{\dot{X}_{0}}{ }^{K} \varphi_{K \dot{X}_{1} \ldots \dot{X}_{m}}=0, \tag{5.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

called the generalized Weyl equation. The relativistic equation for a massless field of spin $s$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(M \varphi)_{A_{1} \cdots A_{n-1}}:=\nabla_{\dot{X}_{0}}{ }^{K} \varphi_{K A_{1} \cdots A_{n-1}}=0 \tag{5.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{n, 0}$, and $s=n / 2$. In particular, for $n=1\left(\varphi \in S_{1,0}\right)$ we have the Weyl neutrino equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(M \varphi)_{\dot{X}}:=\nabla_{\dot{X}}^{K} \varphi_{K}=0 \tag{5.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n=2,\left(\varphi \in S_{2,0}\right)$, we have the homogeneous Maxwell equations for the electromagnetic field:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(M \varphi)_{A \dot{X}}:=\nabla_{\dot{X}}^{K} \varphi_{K A}=0 \tag{5.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Riesz spinor kernels $V_{n m}^{Q}(x, \xi, \lambda)$ with respect to the operator $Q$ are spinors on $S_{n, m}(x, \xi) \otimes S_{m, n}(x, \xi)$, dependent of a complex parameter $\lambda$, and for all $x, \xi \in \Omega$, (where $\Omega$ is a geodesically convex domain), which satisfy the relation ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q V_{n m}^{Q}(x, \xi, \lambda+2)=V_{n m}^{Q}(x, \xi, \lambda) \tag{5.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $V_{n m}^{Q}(x, \xi, 0)=0$. For $\xi$ and $x$ in a sufficiently small neighborhood $V_{n m}^{Q}(x, \xi, \lambda)$ is analytic. Thus, it can be represented as a power series in $\Gamma$ [30] [75] [84]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{n m}^{Q}(x, \xi, \lambda)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1} V_{k n m}^{Q}(x, \xi) \Gamma^{\frac{\lambda}{2}+k-2}}{(-2)^{\lambda / 2-1} \pi \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}+k-1\right)} \tag{5.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spinor coefficients $V_{k n m}^{Q}$ must then satisfy the transport equations [71] [32] [75] [80]

$$
\nabla^{j} \Gamma \nabla_{j} V_{k n m}^{Q}+(L+2 k) V_{k n m}^{Q}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } k=0  \tag{5.74}\\ -Q V_{k-1}^{Q} n & \text { for } k \geq 0\end{cases}
$$

where $L=L(x, \xi)$ is given by (5.12).
The coefficient $V_{0 n m}^{Q}$ satisfies the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0 n m}^{Q}(\xi, \xi)=\Sigma_{n m} \tag{5.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Sigma_{n m}\right)_{A_{1} \cdots A_{n}} \dot{X}_{1} \cdots \dot{X}_{m} \hat{A_{1} \cdots \hat{A_{n}} \dot{X_{1}} \cdots \dot{X}_{m}}:=\varepsilon_{A_{1} \hat{A_{1}}} \varepsilon_{A_{n} \dot{A_{n}}} \varepsilon_{X_{1} \dot{X_{1}}} \varepsilon_{X_{m} \dot{X_{m}}} \tag{5.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

with hatted indices referring to $\xi$. The coefficients $V_{k n m}^{Q}, k \geq 1$, are determined by the second equation in (5.74) and by the the condition that each $V_{k n}$ remains bounded when $x \rightarrow \xi$. If $\Gamma>0$ and $x, \xi \in \Omega$ the series (5.73) converges absolutely and the Riesz kernels $V_{n m}^{Q}(x, \xi, \lambda)$ are analytic in $x, \xi, \lambda$.

[^14]Defining the Riesz spinor kernels $V_{n m}^{\bar{Q}}(x, \xi, \lambda)$ on $S_{n-1, m+1} \otimes S_{n-1, m+1}$ the above relations are again valid and the following symmetry relations, obtained by Wünsch [84] are satisfied:

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{n m}^{Q}(x, \xi, \lambda)=(-1)^{n+m} V_{n m}^{Q}(\xi, x, \lambda),  \tag{5.77}\\
& V_{n m}^{\bar{Q}}(x, \xi, \lambda)=(-1)^{n+m} V_{n m}^{Q}(\xi, x, \lambda),  \tag{5.78}\\
& M_{x} V_{n m}^{Q}(x, \xi, \lambda)=(-1)^{n+m} \bar{M}_{\varepsilon} V_{n-1 m+1}^{\bar{Q}}(\xi, x, \lambda) . \tag{5.79}
\end{align*}
$$

### 5.3.2 Cauchy's Problem

Let us consider a three-dimensional space-like surface $F \subset \mathcal{M}^{4}$ of class $C^{\infty}$, with parametric representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\alpha}=x^{\alpha}\left(u^{\alpha}\right) \quad(a=0,1,2,3 ; \quad i=0,1,2) . \tag{5.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

 The spinor field on $F$ defined from $\mathcal{S}_{m n}$ is designated by $\mathcal{S}_{m n}(F)$. The Cauchy Problem for the equation $M \varphi=0, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{n, m}$ is the following:

Find a solution $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{n, m}$ of $M \varphi=0$, for which $\stackrel{\circ}{\varphi}$ on $F$ is prescribed.
In order to obtain a representation for the solution of Cauchy's problem the use of Green's formulas for spinor fields is necessary. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain of $\mathcal{M}^{4}$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. Then, for $\varphi, \varrho \in \mathcal{S}_{n, m}$ we define the scalar product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\varphi, \varrho\rangle=\int_{\Omega}(\varphi, \varrho)(x) d V_{x} \tag{5.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d V_{x}$ is the invariant volume element in $x \in \Omega$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\varphi, \varrho)=\varphi_{A_{1} \cdots A_{n} \dot{x}_{1} \cdots \dot{X}_{m}} \varrho^{A_{1} \cdots A_{n} \dot{X}_{1} \cdots \dot{X}_{m}} . \tag{5.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{n, m}$ and $\varrho \in \mathcal{S}_{n-1, m+1}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(M \varphi, \varrho)-(\varphi, \tilde{M} \varrho)=\nabla_{\dot{K}}{ }^{K}\left(\varphi_{K A_{1} \cdots A_{n-1}} \dot{x}_{1} \cdots \dot{x}_{m} \varphi^{A_{1} \cdots A_{n-1} \dot{X}_{1} \cdots \dot{x}_{m}}\right) . \tag{5.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating the above expression and using Gauss' theorem, the Green's formula can be obtained [84]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle M \varphi, \varrho\rangle_{\Omega}-\langle\varphi, \tilde{M} \varrho\rangle_{\Omega}=-\int_{\partial \Omega}\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{n}}, \varrho\right) d S_{x} \tag{5.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{n}}\right)_{A_{1} \cdots A_{n-1}} \dot{X}_{0} \cdots \dot{x}_{m} & :=\eta^{K} \dot{x}_{0} \varphi_{K A_{1} \cdots A_{n-t}} \dot{X}_{1} \cdots \dot{X}_{m}  \tag{5.85}\\
\eta^{K} \dot{X}_{0} & :=\sigma_{i}^{K}{\dot{\dot{x}_{0}}}^{i} \eta^{i} \tag{5.86}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\eta^{i}$ is the interior unit normal vector of $\partial \Omega$ and $d S_{x}$ is the invariant element of area of $\partial \Omega$.

Following the Riesz method, using (5.84) with $\varrho=M \dot{V}_{n m}^{Q}:=M V_{(n m)}^{Q}, \Omega=D_{F}(\xi):=$ $D^{-}(\xi) \cap F$, Wünsch obtained, by analytic continuation of $\lambda$, as solution of the Cauchy's problem in the point $\xi$, the following representation formula [84] [30] [75]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(\xi)=\underset{\lambda \rightarrow 2}{\operatorname{an} . \operatorname{cont} .} \int_{F_{\mathrm{c}}}\left(M \dot{V}_{n m}^{Q}(x, \xi, \lambda), \stackrel{\circ}{\varphi}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) d S_{x} \tag{5.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{\xi}:=D(\xi) \cap S$.
On the other hand, Huygens' principle is valid for the equations (5.68), (5.70) or (5.71) if and only if, for arbitrarily chosen consistent Cauchy data which has support inside $F_{\xi}$, the solution $\varphi(\xi)$ of the enunciated Cauchy problem at any point $\xi$ vanishes.

Wünsch [84] has proved using (5.87) that for Weyl's neutrino equation, i.e. $n=1$, Huygens' principle is valid if and only if for all $x$ and $\xi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \dot{V}_{1 m}^{Q}(x, \xi, 2)=0, \quad m \in \mathbf{N} \tag{5.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

If one defines

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{(m)}(x, \xi):=\pi M \dot{V}_{1 m}^{Q}(x, \xi, 2), \quad m \in \mathbf{N}, \tag{5.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

the criteria for validity of Huygens' principle become the following: A necessary and sufficient condition for the validity of Huygens' principle for equations (5.68) and (5.70) is

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
W^{0}(x, \xi)=0 & \text { (Weyl's neutrino equation) } \\
W^{m}(x, \xi)=0 & \text { (Generalized Weyl's equation) }, \tag{5.91}
\end{array}
$$

for all $x, \xi$.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y(x, \xi):=\pi M M \dot{V}_{20}^{Q}(x, \xi, 2) . \tag{5.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

It was shown in [84], using (5.87), that: a necessary and sufficient condition for the validity of Huygens' principle for the homogeneous Maxwell equations is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y(x, \xi)=0, \tag{5.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, \xi$.
It can be shown that the conditions (5.90), (5.91), and (5.92) are conformally invariant [85]. The following relations are then satisfied [84]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& W^{(0)}(x, \xi)=\left.0 \Longleftrightarrow W_{\dot{X} \dot{B}}^{(0)}(x, \xi)\right|_{\Gamma(x, \xi)=0}=\nabla^{B} \dot{X}_{\mathrm{t} B \dot{B}}^{Q}(x, \xi) \\
& -V_{2 B \dot{B}}^{Q}(x, \xi) \nabla^{B}{ }_{\dot{x}} \Gamma(x, \xi)=0,  \tag{5.94}\\
& W^{(m)}(x, \xi)=\left.0 \Longleftrightarrow W_{\dot{\bar{B}} \dot{X}_{0} \cdots \dot{x}_{m} \dot{\bar{X}}_{0} \ldots \dot{\hat{X}}_{m}}^{(x)}(x, \xi)\right|_{\Gamma(x, \xi)=0}= \\
& =\nabla^{B} \dot{X}_{0} V_{1}^{Q} B X_{1} \cdots X_{m} \dot{X}_{1} \cdots \dot{X}_{m}(x, \xi)- \\
& \dot{V}_{2} Q B \dot{B} \dot{X}_{1} \cdots \dot{X}_{m} \dot{X}_{1} \cdots \dot{x}_{m}(x, \xi) \nabla^{B} \dot{X}_{0} P(x, \xi)=0 . \tag{5.95}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us consider the case of Weyl's neutrino equation. In terms of the spinor field $W_{\dot{X} \dot{B}}^{(0)}(x, \xi)$, we define for $r \in N$ the trace-free symmetric tensor

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i_{1} \cdots i_{r}}(\xi):=T S\left[\frac{1}{(r-1)!} \sigma_{i_{r}}^{\dot{B} \dot{X}}(x) \nabla_{i_{1}} \cdots \nabla_{i_{r-1}} W_{\dot{X} \dot{B}}^{(0)}(x, \xi)\right]_{x=\xi} . \tag{5.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.90) it follows that Weyl's equation (5.70) satisfies Huygens' principle if and only if for $k \in N \backslash 0$ and for all $\xi \in \mathcal{M}^{4}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}(\xi)=0 \tag{5.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us define, (cf. (5.40)):

$$
\mathcal{A}_{k}:= \begin{cases}\mathcal{G}, & \text { for } k=1  \tag{5.98}\\ \left\{g \in \mathcal{G} \mid \forall r \in\{1, \ldots, k-1\} \forall \xi \in \mathcal{M}^{4}: W_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}(\xi)=0\right\} & \text { for } k \in N \backslash\{0\}\end{cases}
$$

In [85] Wünsch has proved that:
The tensors $\stackrel{\Gamma}{W}_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}:=(i)^{k} W_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}(k \in \mathbf{N} \backslash\{0\})$ in $\mathcal{A}_{k}$ are real, symmetric, trace-free, integer rational and conformally invariant with weight -1 .

For the homogeneous Maxwell's equations (5.71) we define for $r \in \mathbf{N} \backslash\{0,1\}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
Y_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}(x, \xi):=T S\left[\frac{1}{(r-2)!} \sigma_{i_{r}}^{\dot{A} \dot{X}}(x) \sigma_{i_{r-1}}{ }^{\hat{B} \dot{Y}}(x) \nabla_{i_{1}} \cdots \nabla_{i_{r-2}} Y_{\dot{X} \dot{Y} \dot{A} \dot{B}}(x, \xi)\right]_{x=\xi},  \tag{5.99}\\
\mathcal{H}_{k}:= \begin{cases}\mathcal{G}, & \text { for } k=2 \\
\left\{g \in \mathcal{G} \mid \forall r \in\{2, \ldots, k-1\} \forall \xi \in \mathcal{M}^{4}: Y_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}(\xi)=0\right\} & \text { for } k>2\end{cases} \tag{5.100}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, Huygens' principle is valid for Maxwell's equations (5.71) if and only if, for $k \in$ $\mathbf{N} \backslash\{0,1\}$ and for all $\xi \in \mathcal{M}^{4}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}(\xi)=0 . \tag{5.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this case, Wünsch [85] has proved that:
The tensors $E_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}:=(i)^{k} Y_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}}(k \in N \backslash\{0,1\})$ in $\mathcal{H}_{k}$ are real, trace-free, symmetric, integer rational and conformally invariant with weight -1 .

### 5.3.3 Necessary conditions

We now refer to the discussion in Subsection 5.2.5. The results described in the preceeding Section imply that the tensors $W_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}$ and $Y_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}$ must be decomposable as in (5.48) and (5.49). The vanishing of Bach's tensor as a necessary condition for the validity of Huygens' principle, for both Weyl's and Maxwell's equations, follows immediately. The coefficients $\alpha_{\nu}$ can be determined by using the transport equations (5.74) and (5.75) together with the necessary and sufficient conditions (5.96) and (5.99). Taylor series expansions of tensors expressed in a normal coordinate system can be applied, in a procedure similar to that explained in Chapter 2 for the scalar wave equation. Using the weak field space-time as a test metric, Wünsch [85] found the coefficients of the expansion (5.49) for $W_{i_{1} \ldots i_{4}}$, to be $\alpha_{1}=-1 / 2520, \alpha_{2}=13 / 20160, \alpha_{3}=0$.

For $r=5$ a five-index necessary condition is obtained. Using the results of Gerlach and Wünsch [36], Alvarez [3] was able to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{r}{W}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{5}}=\lambda_{0} \stackrel{1}{T_{i_{1}} \cdots i_{5}}+\lambda_{1} \stackrel{2}{T_{i_{1}} \ldots i_{5}}+\lambda_{2}{\stackrel{3}{T} i_{1} \ldots i_{5}}^{2} \tag{5.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are fixed real numbers, and the $\stackrel{\nu}{T}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{5}}$ are trace-free, symmetric, conformally invariant tensors given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\stackrel{1}{T_{i_{1}} \ldots i_{5}}}=T S\left[4^{*} C^{k}{ }_{i_{1} i_{2}}{ }^{l} C^{u}{ }_{d i_{s} l ; u k i_{3}}-6^{*} C^{k}{ }_{i_{2} i_{3}}{ }^{l}{ }_{i_{1}} C^{u}{ }_{i_{i} i_{5} l ; u k}+\right. \\
& { }^{*} C^{k}{ }_{i_{1} i_{2}}{ }^{l}{ }^{n} C_{k i_{4} i_{5} l ; i_{3}}+5^{*} C^{k}{ }_{i_{1} i_{2}}{ }^{l} C^{n}{ }_{i_{4} i_{5} l i i_{3}} L_{k n}+4^{*} C^{k}{ }_{i_{1} i_{2}}{ }^{l} C^{n}{ }_{i_{3} i_{4} i_{i} ;} L_{i_{5} n} \\
& +4^{*} C^{k}{ }_{i_{1} i_{2}}{ }^{l} C^{u}{ }_{k l i_{3} ; u} L_{i_{4} i_{5}}-21^{*} C^{k}{ }_{i_{1} i_{2}}{ }^{l} C^{u_{i_{3} i_{4} k ;}} L_{i_{5} l} \\
& \left.+26^{*} C^{u_{1}}{ }_{i_{1} i_{2}}{ }^{k}{ }_{i u} C^{v}{ }_{i_{4} i_{5} k ; v_{3}}\right] \text {, } \tag{5.103}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{3}{T}_{i_{1} \cdots i_{5}}=T S\left[-8^{*} C^{k}{ }_{i_{1} i_{2}}{ }^{l} C_{k i_{3} i_{4}}{ }^{n} C^{u}{ }_{l n i_{5} ; u}+{ }^{*} C_{k}{ }^{n h}{ }_{i_{1}} C_{l i_{2} n h} C^{k}{ }_{i_{1} i_{5}}{ }^{l}{ }_{i 3}\right] \tag{5.104}
\end{align*}
$$

A similar relation is valid for $Y_{i_{1} \ldots i_{5}}$. The constant $\lambda_{0}$ was determined in [3] and is nonzero for both cases. Thus the necessary conditions for validity of Huygens' principle for the Maxwell's equations (5.71) and Weyl's neutrino equation (5.70) are given by ${ }^{4}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(I I I) & C_{i_{1} i_{2}}=0, \\
\left(V^{\prime}\right) & k_{1} \stackrel{1}{M_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}-k_{2} \stackrel{1}{M}}{ }_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}=0 \\
\left(V I^{\prime}\right) & \stackrel{1}{T_{i_{1}} \cdots i_{5}}+\sigma_{1} \stackrel{2}{T_{i_{1}} \ldots i_{5}}+\sigma_{2} \stackrel{3}{T_{i} \ldots i_{5}}=0, \tag{5.108}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\nu}{M}_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}=T S\left(\stackrel{\nu}{M}_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}\right) \tag{5.109}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=(5,16)$ corresponds to Maxwell's equations, $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=(8,13)$ to Weyl's neutrino equation. The real numbers $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ are fixed in each case. We note here that necessary condition $I I I$ for the conformally invariant scalar wave equation (3.1) is given by (5.106) with $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=(3,4)$.

### 5.4 Previous results

Applying condition $V I^{\prime}$ to a Petrov type N space-time Alvarez and Wünsch [3] [4] obtained the following result.

Every Petrov type $N$ space-time on which Maxwell's equations or Weyl's neutrino equation satisfy Huygens' principle is conformally related to the generalized plane wave metric space-time of McLenaghan and Leroy [59].

Later, Wünsch [89] (see also [88]) solved Hadamard's problem in this case, obtaining the following result:

Every Petrov type $N$ space-time or $C$-space-time ${ }^{5}$ on which Maxwell's equations or Weyl's neutrino equation satisfy Huygens' principle is conformally related to the exact plane-wave space-time (1.12).

In Petrov type D space-times Hadamard's problem was solved by Carminati and McLenaghan [22], Wünsch [87], and McLenaghan and Williams [62]. The result can be stated as follows:

[^15]There exist no Petrov type $D$ space-times on which Maxwell's equations or Weyl's neutrino equation satisfy Huygens' principle.

### 5.5 Main Theorem

The main results obtained by Carminati and McLenaghan [22] for the conformally invariant scalar equation on Petrov type III space-times as stated in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 of Chapter 3 are also valid for Maxwell's equations and Weyl's neutrino equation.

Maxwell's and Weyl's equations in Petrov type III space-times was stated in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 of Chapter 3, also valid for the conformally invariant scalar equation.

It will be proved here that conditions (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) are superfluous, i.e., they are consequences of the necessary conditions for the validity of Huygens' principle. The main result of this Chapter is the proof of the following Theorem:

Theorem 5.1 (Main Theorem) There exist no Petrov type III space-times on which Maxwell's equations (5.71) or Weyl's equation (5.70) satisfy Huygens' principle.

### 5.6 Proof of the Main Theorem

We shall use here the same method employed on Chapters 3 and 4. The explicit form of the necessary conditions is obtained by first converting the spinorial expressions to the dyad form and then contracting them with appropriate products of $o^{A}$ and $\iota^{A}$ and their complex conjugates. The templates used for obtaining the dyad components of the necessary conditions are given in the Appendix $E$.

It was shown in [22] that there exists a dyad $o_{A}, \iota_{A}$ and a conformal transformation such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\kappa=\sigma=\rho=\tau=\epsilon=0  \tag{5.110}\\
\Psi_{0}=\Psi_{1}=\Psi_{2}=\Psi_{4}=0, \quad \Psi_{3}=-1  \tag{5.111}\\
\Phi_{00}=\Phi_{01}=\Phi_{02}=\Lambda=0  \tag{5.112}\\
D \alpha=D \beta=0  \tag{5.113}\\
\Phi_{11}=c \tag{5.114}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $c$ is a constant. The proof is similar to that illustrated in Chapter 4, when we treated the self-adjoint scalar equation, except for the fact that the paper by Carminati and McLenaghan [22] considers all three cases at once. Here we shall consider the cases for Maxwell's equations and Weyl's neutrino equation separately.

### 5.6.1 Maxwell's equations

We start by supposing that $\alpha \beta \pi \neq 0$. By contracting condition $I I I$ with $\iota^{A B} \bar{o}^{\dot{A} \dot{B}}$ and ${ }_{\iota}{ }^{A} o^{B} \bar{L}^{\dot{A} \dot{B}}$ (where the notation $o_{A_{1} \cdots A_{p}}=o_{A_{1}} \cdots o_{A_{p}}$, etc. has been used) we get, respectively,

$$
\begin{gather*}
D \pi=0  \tag{5.115}\\
\delta \beta=-\beta(\bar{\alpha}+\beta) . \tag{5.116}
\end{gather*}
$$

From the Bianchi identities, using the above conditions, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
D \Phi_{12}=2 \bar{\pi} \Phi_{11}  \tag{5.117}\\
D \Phi_{22}=-2(\beta+\bar{\beta})+2 \Phi_{21} \bar{\pi}+2 \Phi_{12} \pi  \tag{5.118}\\
\delta \Phi_{12}=2 \bar{\alpha}+4 \bar{\pi}+2 \bar{\lambda} \Phi_{11}-2 \bar{\alpha} \Phi_{12}  \tag{5.119}\\
\bar{\delta} \Phi_{12}=-2 \beta+2 \bar{\mu} \Phi_{11}-2 \bar{\beta} \Phi_{12}  \tag{5.120}\\
\delta \Phi_{22}=\Delta \Phi_{12}+2 \bar{\gamma}+4 \bar{\mu}-2 \bar{\nu} \Phi_{11}+2 \bar{\lambda} \Phi_{21} \\
+2 \Phi_{12} \mu-2 \Phi_{22} \beta-2 \Phi_{22} \bar{\alpha}+2 \bar{\gamma} \Phi_{12} \tag{5.121}
\end{gather*}
$$

From the Ricci identities we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
D \gamma=\bar{\pi} \alpha+\beta \pi+\Phi_{11}  \tag{5.122}\\
\delta \bar{\pi}=D \bar{\lambda}-\bar{\pi}^{2}-\overline{\pi \alpha}+\bar{\pi} \beta  \tag{5.123}\\
D \bar{\nu}=\Delta \bar{\pi}+\overline{\pi \mu}+\bar{\lambda} \pi+\bar{\pi} \bar{\gamma}-\bar{\pi} \gamma-1+\Phi_{12}  \tag{5.124}\\
\delta \alpha=\bar{\delta} \beta+\alpha \bar{\alpha}+\beta \bar{\beta}-2 \beta \alpha+\Phi_{11}  \tag{5.125}\\
\delta \pi=D \mu-\bar{\pi} \pi+\pi \bar{\alpha}-\beta \pi \tag{5.126}
\end{gather*}
$$

We can obtain useful integrability conditions for the above identities by using the NP commutation relations. Using (5.117), (5.118), (5.121), (5.116), (5.122), (5.123), (5.124) and (5.126) in the commutator expression $[\delta, D] \Phi_{22}-[\Delta, D] \Phi_{12}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \bar{\beta}=-2 \Phi_{11}-\bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}-4 \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}-2 D \bar{\mu}-\beta \bar{\beta}+2 \bar{\pi} \pi \tag{5.127}
\end{equation*}
$$

From now on we shall consider both Maxwell and Weyl cases separately. We begin with Maxwell's equations, i.e., $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=(5,16)$ in (5.107). By contracting condition $V$ with $\iota^{A B C D} \bar{l} \dot{A} \dot{B} \bar{\sigma}^{\dot{C}} \dot{D}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
62 \bar{\beta} \pi+40 \bar{\beta} \alpha+6 \pi \alpha+3 \alpha^{2}+\bar{\delta} \alpha+2 \bar{\delta} \pi+\overline{\delta \beta}+\bar{\beta}^{2}=0 \tag{5.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (5.116) in this equation we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(2 \bar{\pi}+\bar{\alpha})=-62 \bar{\pi} \beta-39 \beta \bar{\alpha}-6 \bar{\pi} \bar{\alpha}-3 \bar{\alpha}^{2} \tag{5.129}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.125), (5.126) and (5.127) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(2 \pi+\alpha)=2 \pi \bar{\alpha}+\alpha \bar{\alpha}-6 \beta \pi-3 \beta \alpha-\Phi_{11} \tag{5.130}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contracting condition $V$ with $\iota^{A B C}{ }_{o} D_{\bar{\iota}} \dot{A} \dot{B} \dot{C}{ }_{\bar{o}} \dot{D}$, using (5.122), (5.126) and the complex conjugate of (5.127), leads to the real expression:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 148 \Phi_{11}+152 \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}+76[D \mu+D \bar{\mu}]-8 \bar{\pi} \alpha-104 \beta \bar{\beta}-8 \alpha \bar{\alpha}-232 \bar{\pi} \pi \\
& +152 \beta \pi-8 \pi \bar{\alpha}=0 \tag{5.131}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (5.129), (5.130), (5.116), (5.125), (5.126), (5.127) in $[\bar{\delta}, \delta](\alpha+2 \pi)=(\alpha-\bar{\beta}) \delta(\alpha+$ $2 \pi)+(-\bar{\alpha}+\beta) \bar{\delta}(\alpha+2 \pi)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& -80 \alpha \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}-16 \bar{\beta} \beta \pi-40 \bar{\beta} \alpha \bar{\alpha}-8 \bar{\beta} \mathrm{D} \mu-64 \pi \mathrm{D} \bar{\mu}-40 \alpha \mathrm{D} \bar{\mu}-39 \alpha \Phi_{11} \\
& -20 \bar{\beta} \Phi_{11}+64 \bar{\pi} \pi^{2}-64 \bar{\beta} \pi \bar{\alpha}-60 \pi \Phi_{11}+40 \alpha \bar{\pi} \pi-120 \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \pi=0 \tag{5.132}
\end{align*}
$$

By eliminating $D \bar{\mu}$ from (5.131) and (5.132), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{D} \mu & =\frac{1}{152}[-1520 \alpha \beta \pi+208 \alpha \bar{\alpha} \pi-152 \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \pi+1040 \beta \bar{\beta} \alpha+760 \bar{\beta} \alpha \bar{\alpha}+1968 \bar{\beta} \beta \pi \\
& +1216 \bar{\beta} \pi \bar{\alpha}-1228 \pi \Phi_{11}+1688 \alpha \pi \bar{\pi}-739 \alpha \Phi_{11}+80 \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}+380 \bar{\beta} \Phi_{11}+80 \bar{\pi} \alpha^{2} \\
& \left.+2496 \bar{\pi} \pi^{2}-2432 \beta \pi^{2}+128 \pi^{2} \bar{\alpha}\right] /(-\bar{\beta}+5 \alpha+8 \pi) \tag{5.133}
\end{align*}
$$

where we are assuming, for now, that the denominator of (5.133),

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}:=\bar{\beta}-5 \alpha-8 \pi \tag{5.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

is non-zero.
By substituting (5.133) into (5.131), we find an expression for $D \bar{\mu}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& D \bar{\mu}=\frac{1}{152}\left[776 \alpha \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}-304 \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi}+208 \bar{\beta}^{2} \beta-1216 \bar{\pi} \pi^{2}+741 \alpha \Phi_{11}+1332 \pi \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}\right. \\
& \left.+84 \bar{\beta} \Phi_{11}+1536 \alpha \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta}-760 \alpha \bar{\pi} \pi+2744 \bar{\pi} \pi \bar{\beta}+1140 \pi \Phi_{11}\right] /(\bar{\beta}-5 \alpha-8 \pi) \tag{5.135}
\end{align*}
$$

We notice that (5.133) and (5.135) have the same denominator. So, in what follows we shall use the Pfaffians $\delta \alpha, \delta \pi$, and $\delta \bar{\beta}$, given by (5.125), (5.126) and (5.127), respectively, and their complex conjugates, in such a way that they have all the same denominator. This procedure simplifies the expressions to be obtained from the integrability conditions.

Converting (5.108) to its spinorial form in the dyad basis, and contracting with ${ }^{A}{ }^{A B}{ }_{o} C D E{ }_{\tau} \dot{A} \dot{B} \dot{C} \dot{D} \dot{E}$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& -14 \bar{\alpha} \delta \bar{\pi}-12 \bar{\alpha}^{3}-\delta(\delta \bar{\alpha})-2 \delta(\delta \bar{\pi})+\delta(\delta \beta)-8 \beta \bar{\alpha}^{2}+14 \bar{\alpha} \delta \beta \\
& +20 \bar{\alpha} \beta^{2}+42 \beta^{2} \bar{\pi}-14 \beta \delta \bar{\pi}-6 \beta \delta \bar{\alpha}+28 \bar{\pi} \delta \beta+2 \bar{\lambda} \mathrm{D} \bar{\pi} \\
& +\bar{\lambda} D \bar{\alpha}-10 \bar{\alpha} \delta \bar{\alpha}-24 \bar{\alpha}^{2} \bar{\pi}-6 \bar{\pi} \delta \bar{\alpha}+2 \beta \delta \beta-14 \beta \bar{\alpha} \bar{\pi}=0 \tag{5.136}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the expression (5.116) for $\delta \beta$ into (5.136), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& -14 \bar{\alpha} \delta \bar{\pi}-12 \bar{\alpha}^{3}-\delta(\delta(\bar{\alpha}+2 \bar{\pi}))-21 \beta \bar{\alpha}^{2}+7 \bar{\lambda} \beta^{2}+14 \beta^{2} \bar{\pi} \\
& -7 \beta \delta(\bar{\alpha}+2 \bar{\pi})-42 \beta \bar{\alpha} \pi-(10 \bar{\alpha}+6 \bar{\pi}) \delta \bar{\alpha}-24 \bar{\alpha}^{2} \bar{\pi}=0 \tag{5.137}
\end{align*}
$$

We observe that the terms (5.104) and (5.105) do not contribute to this component. Using (5.129) to eliminate $\delta \bar{\pi}$ from this equation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta\left(192 \bar{\pi} \beta+121 \beta \bar{\alpha}-\delta \bar{\alpha}-3 \bar{\alpha}^{2}\right)=0 \tag{5.138}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving (5.138) for $\delta \bar{\alpha}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \bar{\alpha}=192 \beta \bar{\pi}-3 \bar{\alpha}^{2}+121 \beta \bar{\alpha} \tag{5.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, from (5.129),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \bar{\pi}=-127 \bar{\pi} \beta-80 \beta \bar{\alpha}-3 \bar{\pi} \bar{\alpha} . \tag{5.140}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have now all the Pfaffians we need to complete the proof. The integrability conditions provided by the NP commutation relations can now be used.

Let us onsider the NP commutator $[\bar{\delta}, \delta] \alpha=(\bar{\mu}-\mu) D \alpha+(\alpha-\bar{\beta}) \delta(\alpha)+(-\bar{\alpha}+\beta) \bar{\delta} \alpha$. Using the Pfaffians calculated previously, and solving for $\Phi_{11}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{11}: & =-8 \bar{\beta}\left(-1381888 \bar{\pi} \pi^{3}+62208 \pi^{3} \bar{\alpha}-62928 \bar{\beta} \pi^{2} \bar{\alpha}-76544 \beta \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}\right. \\
& +145232 \alpha \pi^{2} \bar{\alpha}-2556128 \bar{\pi} \pi^{2} \alpha+26450 \alpha^{3} \bar{\alpha}+65550 \bar{\pi} \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2} \\
& -325050 \bar{\pi} \alpha^{3}-26650 \beta \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2}+19760 \pi \beta \bar{\beta}^{2}+12350 \alpha \beta \bar{\beta}^{2}-24225 \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2} \\
& +180576 \bar{\beta} \pi \pi^{2}+9025 \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha+14440 \pi \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\alpha}-1577860 \bar{\pi} \pi \alpha^{2} \\
& \left.+108790 \pi \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}-90480 \beta \pi \bar{\beta} \alpha-78090 \pi \bar{\beta} \alpha \bar{\alpha}+217740 \pi \bar{\pi} \alpha \bar{\beta}\right) \\
& /\left(-214700 \alpha^{3}+10868 \pi \bar{\beta}^{2}+772320 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}+1020276 \pi \bar{\beta} \alpha+4085 \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha\right. \\
& \left.+335985 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2}-1158240 \pi \alpha^{2}-2048352 \pi^{2} \alpha-1191680 \pi^{3}\right) \tag{5.141}
\end{align*}
$$

where the denominator of the expression above,

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{2}:= & -214700 \alpha^{3}+10868 \pi \bar{\beta}^{2}+772320 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}+1020276 \pi \bar{\beta} \alpha+4085 \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha \\
& +335985 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2}-1158240 \pi \alpha^{2}-2048352 \pi^{2} \alpha-1191680 \pi^{3} \tag{5.142}
\end{align*}
$$

is assumed to be non-zero for the moment.
On the other hand, the commutator $[\bar{\delta}, \delta](\alpha+\bar{\beta})=(\mu-\bar{\mu})(D(\alpha+\bar{\beta})+(\alpha-\bar{\beta})(\delta(\alpha+$ $\bar{\beta})+(-\bar{\alpha}+\beta) \bar{\delta}(\alpha+\bar{\beta})$ gives the following expression for $\Phi_{11}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{11} & :=8 \bar{\beta}\left(-8056 \bar{\beta} \pi \bar{\alpha}-5330 \bar{\beta} \beta \alpha-172736 \bar{\pi} \pi^{2}+7776 \pi^{2} \bar{\alpha}-9568 \bar{\beta} \beta \pi\right. \\
& +13294 \alpha \pi \bar{\alpha}-211556 \alpha \bar{\pi} \pi-5035 \bar{\beta} \alpha \bar{\alpha}+5290 \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}+20672 \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \pi \\
& \left.+12920 \bar{\pi} \alpha \bar{\beta}-65010 \bar{\pi} \alpha^{2}\right) /\left(148960 \pi^{2}+10412 \bar{\beta}^{2}-13047 \bar{\beta} \alpha\right. \\
& \left.+42940 \alpha^{2}+162944 \pi \alpha-18564 \pi \bar{\beta}\right), \tag{5.143}
\end{align*}
$$

where we assume, for now, that

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{3}:= & 148960 \pi^{2}+10412 \bar{\beta}^{2}-13047 \bar{\beta} \alpha+42940 \alpha^{2}+162944 \pi \alpha \\
& -18564 \pi \bar{\beta} \neq 0 . \tag{5.144}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the fact that $\bar{\delta}\left(\Phi_{11}\right)=0$, we obtain, from (5.143), a third expression for $\Phi_{11}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{11}: & =-8 \bar{\beta}\left(-6145239989312 \alpha \bar{\pi} \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2}-7649757648780 \alpha^{3} \bar{\pi} \pi \bar{\beta}\right. \\
& -16323677160464 \alpha^{2} \pi^{2} \bar{\pi}-15274850502912 \alpha \bar{\pi} \pi^{3} \bar{\beta} \\
& -26601021440 \bar{\beta}^{3} \alpha \bar{\alpha} \pi-3675701240760 \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi} \pi \bar{\beta}^{2} \\
& -1635701635136 \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha \bar{\alpha} \pi^{2}-1040399202440 \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha} \pi \bar{\beta}^{2} \\
& +13162176133400 \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha} \pi^{2} \bar{\pi}+5632482563850 \alpha^{3} \bar{\alpha} \pi \bar{\beta} \\
& -1208861900450 \alpha^{5} \bar{\alpha}+49609754350 \bar{\beta}^{2} \beta \alpha^{3}+4338917366784 \bar{\beta} \beta \pi^{3} \alpha \\
& +5225292181050 \bar{\pi} \alpha^{5}+1952913512680 \bar{\beta} \beta \pi \alpha^{3}+265058659320 \bar{\beta}^{2} \beta \pi \alpha^{2} \\
& +4375379783424 \bar{\beta} \beta \pi^{2} \alpha^{2}-265004094784 \bar{\beta}^{3} \beta \pi^{2} \\
& -30330200378072 \alpha^{3} \bar{\alpha} \pi^{2}+905003032125 \alpha^{4} \alpha \bar{\beta}-9564050953390 \alpha^{4} \bar{\alpha} \pi \\
& -8524238850 \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}^{3}+469041329536 \bar{\beta}^{2} \beta \pi^{2} \alpha-220969445675 \alpha^{3} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}^{2} \\
& -329130147840 \bar{\beta}^{3} \beta \pi \alpha+13687783600768 \alpha \pi^{3} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta} \\
& +724772046800 \alpha \bar{\pi} \pi \bar{\beta}^{3}+4980319900 \bar{\beta}^{4} \alpha \bar{\alpha} \\
& +180931104170496 \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi} \pi^{3}+39628423187260 \alpha^{4} \bar{\pi} \pi \\
& +119915073751888 \alpha^{3} \bar{\pi} \pi^{2}+5344911334400 \pi^{4} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta} \\
& -20739582848 \pi^{2} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}^{3}-48191081692160 \alpha^{2} \pi^{3} \bar{\alpha}+40801870077952 \bar{\pi} \pi^{5} \\
& -5268590200832 \bar{\pi} \pi^{4} \bar{\beta}+592713574016 \bar{\pi} \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{3}-38360907652096 \pi^{4} \alpha \alpha \\
& -858758431040 \pi^{3} \bar{\alpha}^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2}+24894675520 \bar{\beta}^{4} \beta \pi+7968511840 \bar{\beta}^{4} \pi \bar{\alpha} \\
& +136081885849600 \bar{\pi} \pi^{4} \alpha-3416931669632 \bar{\pi} \pi^{3} \bar{\beta}^{2} \\
& -102469061500 \bar{\beta}^{3} \beta \alpha^{2}+274949282816 \bar{\beta}^{2} \beta \pi^{3}-1329967209650 \bar{\pi} \alpha^{4} \bar{\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +221453789400 \bar{\pi} \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta}^{3}-730927683900 \bar{\pi} \alpha^{3} \bar{\beta}^{2}+325649974650 \bar{\beta} \beta \alpha^{4} \\
& +15559172200 \bar{\beta}^{4} \beta \alpha-12779688800 \bar{\pi} \alpha \bar{\beta}^{4}-20447502080 \bar{\beta}^{4} \bar{\pi} \pi \\
& \left.+1606267826176 \bar{\beta}^{4} \beta-12237656133632 \pi^{5} \bar{\alpha}\right) /\left(\left(7684576 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}\right.\right. \\
& +9460852 \pi \bar{\beta} \alpha-24320 \pi \bar{\beta}^{2}+133000 \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha+2915745 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2} \\
& \left.-194465152 \pi^{2} \alpha-123050612 \pi \alpha^{2}-102596352 \pi^{3}-25995895 \alpha^{3}\right) \\
& \left(10412 \bar{\beta}^{2}+162944 \pi \alpha-13047 \bar{\beta} \alpha-18564 \pi \bar{\beta}+42940 \alpha^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+148960 \pi^{2}\right)\right) \tag{5.145}
\end{align*}
$$

where we assume that

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{4}:= & \left(7684576 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}+9460852 \pi \bar{\beta} \alpha-24320 \pi \bar{\beta}^{2}+133000 \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha+2915745 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-194465152 \pi^{2} \alpha-123050612 \pi \alpha^{2}-102596352 \pi^{3}-25995895 \alpha^{3}\right) \\
& \left(10412 \bar{\beta}^{2}+162944 \pi \alpha-13047 \bar{\beta} \alpha-18564 \pi \bar{\beta}+42940 \alpha^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+148960 \pi^{2}\right) \neq 0 \tag{5.146}
\end{align*}
$$

The next step consists in proving that (5.141), (5.143), and (5.145) imply that $\alpha, \beta$ and $\pi$ are proportional to each other. In order to get a system with only two complex variables, instead of three, new variables are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}:=\frac{\alpha}{\pi}, \quad x_{2}:=\frac{\beta}{\pi} \tag{5.147}
\end{equation*}
$$

By subtracting (5.141) from (5.143), taking the numerator and dividing by ( $8+5 x_{1}-$ $\left.\overline{x_{2}}\right)\left(5776{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \pi^{4} \bar{\pi}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{1}:= & 178100 x_{1} x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+284960 x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+208240{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+130150{\overline{x_{1}}}_{\bar{x}_{2}}{ }^{2} x_{1} \\
& +109825 \overline{x_{1}} \bar{x}_{2} x_{1}^{2}+252850 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2}+523744 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+3451480 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +341900 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+265888 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}+735800 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}+2915264 \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +1018400 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2}-879008 \overline{x_{1}}+18263488-408050 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{1}}+4864450 x_{1}^{3} \\
& +35335248 x_{1}+22731900 x_{1}^{2}-2101032 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-1622550 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}=0 . \tag{5.148}
\end{align*}
$$

By subtracting (5.143) from (5.145), taking the numerator and dividing by ( $8+5 x_{1}-$ $\left.\overline{x_{2}}\right)\left(5776 \overline{x_{2}} \pi^{6} \bar{\pi}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{2}: & =-11651821200 x_{1}^{3}-26531539120 x_{1}^{2}-10132263424-26800626944 x_{1} \\
& +242619584 \overline{x_{2}}+593671488{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+2256829184 \overline{x_{1}}-35155250{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{2} x_{1}{ }^{2} \\
& +21550100 \overline{x_{2}} x_{2} x_{1}-128589500 \overline{x_{2}} x_{2} x_{1}^{3}+11036720 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +21755825 \overline{x_{2}}{ }^{2} x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+130839250 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{2}}-216631750 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -17700400 \overline{x_{2}}{ }^{3} x_{1}+372958500 x_{1}^{4} \overline{x_{1}}+220600700 \overline{\bar{x}_{2}}{ }^{2} x_{1}^{2}+34480160 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}{ }^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -1915591500 x_{1}^{4}-28320640{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}+6897950{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+724005800{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1} \\
& -112640320 x_{1} \bar{x}_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}-90878112 x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+59839936{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +72209280 \overline{x_{1}}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}-1002142990 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2}-585808600 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2} \\
& -448045312 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+632690016 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}-1546809184 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-796702240 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -887976960 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}+509836460 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2}+2328634300 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +5728848896 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+5470002280 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}=0 . \tag{5.149}
\end{align*}
$$

At this point we shall consider $x_{1}, x_{2}, \overline{x_{1}}, \overline{x_{2}}$ as independent variables, and apply gsolve to the polynomial system formed by the polynomials $N_{1}, N_{2}$. The four possible solutions are given by

$$
V_{1}:=\left[42-3 \overline{x_{1}}+65 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}, 8+5 x_{1}\right],
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{2}:= & {\left[205049562510 \overline{x_{1}} x_{2}-2072817918600 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+529175067720 x_{2}\right.} \\
& +5001500073283{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}-3239213905470 \overline{x_{1}}+3029503111800 \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -26163100475032,23707187714600 \bar{x}_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}-12070111345240 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -15004500219849 \overline{x_{1}}-34648966659800{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+11975391986580 \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +41386627076564,-1113092-431311 \overline{x_{1}}+1909780 \overline{x_{2}}+954890 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} . \\
& \left.205 x_{1}+368\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
V_{3}:=\left[2175607695654600868570 \overline{x_{1}} x_{2}-244429060944194171242925 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}\right.
$$

$$
-362016456337543432617920 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}-25492004395136420363950 x_{2} x_{1}
$$

$$
-33777552239002428460240 x_{2}-352210319977170626297190 x_{1}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}
$$

$$
-527515033185400238012371{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}-372609773697867989940085 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}
$$

$$
-568758266358009596992694 \overline{x_{1}}+357242473687668404124275 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}
$$

$$
+529100974647178863056960 \overline{x_{2}}+421165196163010815629650 x_{1}
$$

$$
+613523694569903050334320,74421671368200 x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}
$$

$$
-372108356841000 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}-595373370945600 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}
$$

$$
+202992871981785 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+309188233840256 \overline{x_{1}}-108770135076600 \overline{x_{2}}{ }^{2}
$$

$$
+408707348737250 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+731690446259960 \overline{x_{2}}-363026773505180 x_{1}
$$

$$
-558465136160528
$$

$139740 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}-497365 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-799324 \overline{x_{1}}+279480 \overline{x_{2}}-1187280 x_{1}-1879248$, $\left.43975 x_{1}^{2}+137900 x_{1}+107824\right]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{4}:= & {\left[15138500 \overline{x_{1}} x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}-200682625 x_{2} x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}-589775940 x_{2} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}\right.} \\
& -425769864 \overline{x_{1}} x_{2}+30277000 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}-677199250 x_{2} x_{1}^{2}-2061417280 x_{2} x_{1} \\
& -1553711328 x_{2}-34073270 \overline{x_{2}}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}-2202762525 x_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}-6761833290 x_{1}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2} \\
& -5193018500{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}-13933181776-6178746050 x_{1}^{2}-18555219840 x_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -180544080 \overline{x_{2}}-17865753288 \overline{x_{1}}-158418580 \overline{x_{2} \overline{x_{1}}}-.23445716600 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -7696469075 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}, 38482345375 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{1}}+30893730250 x_{1}^{3} \\
& +11013812625 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{1}^{2}}+1003413125 x_{2} x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{1}}+3385996250 x_{2} x_{1}^{3} \\
& +51211999525 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}{ }^{2}+16053417900 x_{2} x_{1}^{2}+4651759450 x_{2} x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +177479507850 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+140245780600 x_{1}^{2}+212140488080 x_{1} \\
& +7133355840 x_{2} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+272934958520 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+25260582880 x_{2} x_{1} \\
& +79415365840 x_{1}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}+106967929856+13183919424 x_{2}+41078949112 \bar{x}_{1}^{2} \\
& +139995956352 \overline{x_{1}}+3612843312 \overline{x_{1}} x_{2}, 427238747000 x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \\
& -80593740760 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}-961615825940 \overline{x_{2}^{2}} \overline{x_{1}}+72041680708 \overline{x_{2} x_{1}} \\
& +31587988349750 x_{1}^{2}+9360849735875 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+90434478667240 x_{1} \\
& +26404464403460 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+549197297800 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+64702426970096 \\
& +18636073790528 \overline{x_{1}}+1397883090496 \overline{x_{2}}-2547657512880{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \text {, } \\
& 31185310 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}+52924196 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}-6814654 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}-716849880 x_{1}^{2} \\
& -440552505 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}-2156806048 x_{1}-1352366658 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-45578530 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -1620399064-1038603700 \overline{x_{1}}-90980056 \overline{x_{2}}, 505750 x_{1}^{2}+149875 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +1539520 x_{1}+440460 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+116450 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+232900 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+1160352 \\
& \left.+317976 \overline{x_{1}}+372640 \overline{x_{2}}+186320 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}\right] \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The only sets where the solutions $x_{1}=$ const. and $x_{2}=$ const. are not obvious are $V_{1}$, and $V_{4}$. For $V_{1}$, if we substitute $x_{1}=-8 / 5$ into $N_{1}$ we get $195 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+702 / 5=0$, which is incompatible with the first equation of this set.

We consider now the fourth and fifth equations in set $V_{4}$, given respectively by

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{1}:=31185310 \overline{x_{2}} x_{2} x_{1}+52924196 \overline{x_{2}} x_{2}-6814654 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -716849880 x_{1}{ }^{2}-440552505 x_{1}{ }^{2} \overline{x_{1}}-1352366658 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -2156806048 \bar{x}_{1}-45578530 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}-1038603700 \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -1620399064-90980056 \overline{x_{2}}=0,  \tag{5.150}\\
& g_{2}:=505750 x_{1}{ }^{2}+149875 x_{1}{ }^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+440460 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +116450 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+1539520 x_{1}+232900 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +317976 \overline{x_{1}}+186320 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}+1160352+372640 \overline{x_{2}}=0 . \tag{5.151}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving (5.151) for $\overline{x_{2}}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{x_{2}}=-\left(505750 x_{1}{ }^{2}+149875 x_{1}{ }^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+440460 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+1539520 x_{1}\right. \\
& \left.+317976 \overline{x_{1}}+1160352\right) /\left(116450 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}\right. \\
& \left.+232900 x_{1}+186320 \overline{x_{1}}+372640\right) . \tag{5.152}
\end{align*}
$$

By decomposing $x_{1}$ into real and imaginary parts, $x_{1}:=a_{1}+i b_{1}$, where $a_{1}$ and $b_{1}$ are real constants, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{2}:= & -\frac{1}{23290}\left(946210 a_{1}^{2}+1011500 i a_{1} b_{1}-65290 b_{1}{ }^{2}\right. \\
& +149875 a_{1}^{3}+149875 i a_{1}{ }^{2} b_{1}+149875 a_{1} b_{1}{ }^{2} \cdot+149875 i b_{1}^{3} \\
& \left.+1857496 a_{1}+1221544 i b_{1}+1160352\right) \\
& /\left(5 a_{1}{ }^{2}+5 b_{1}{ }^{2}+18 a_{1}+2 i b_{1}+16\right) . \tag{5.153}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the denominator in (5.153) is non-zero, for otherwise $b_{1}=0$ and $a_{1}$ is $-8 / 5$ or -2 , in which case the numerator is not zero. The imaginary and real parts of the numerator of (5.150) are given, respectively, by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Im\left(g_{1}\right)=-92472504314674800 a_{1}{ }^{3} b_{1}-3396515814215625 a_{1}{ }^{4} b_{1}{ }^{3} \\
\\
-12887111896092160 b_{1}-223356926168100005 a_{1}{ }^{3} b_{1}{ }^{3} \\
\\
-8853268391445500 b_{1}{ }^{5}-44681861502535500 a_{1}{ }^{4} b_{1} \\
\\
-58888845411599360 a_{1} b_{1}-103665520621538240 a_{1}{ }^{2} b_{1} \\
 \tag{5.154}\\
-11167846308405000 a_{1}{ }^{5} b_{1}-11167846308405000 a_{1} b_{1}{ }^{5} \\
\\
-1132171938071875 a_{1}{ }^{6} b_{1}-3396515814215625 a_{1}{ }^{2} b_{1}{ }^{5} \\
\\
\\
-53535129893981000 a_{1}{ }^{2} b_{1}{ }^{3}-55036870101954800 a_{1} b_{1}{ }^{3} \\
\\
-20188750190495040 b_{1}{ }^{3}-1132171938071875 b_{1}{ }^{7}=0,
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Re\left(g_{1}\right)=-118070804784230912 a_{1}-256990350929161280 a_{1}{ }^{3} \\
& -236342536550411968 a_{1}{ }^{2}-164607606502680600 a_{1}{ }^{4} \\
& -62274474933156500 a_{1}{ }^{5}-12908018823713750 a_{1}{ }^{6} \\
& -1132171938071875 a_{1}{ }^{7}-14331668411316200 b_{1}^{4} \\
& -111592846552991680 a_{1} b_{1}{ }^{2}-141503640701276800 a_{1}{ }^{2} b_{1}{ }^{2} \\
& -34722066950419648 b_{1}{ }^{2}-1740172515308750 b_{1}{ }^{6} \\
& -26445881822066500 a_{1} b_{1}^{4}-16388363854331250 a_{1}{ }^{2} b_{1}{ }^{4} \\
& -3396515814215625 a_{1}^{3} b_{1}^{4}-88720356755223000 a_{1}{ }^{3} b_{1}{ }^{2} \\
& -27556210162736250 a_{1}^{4} b_{1}{ }^{2}-3396515814215625 a_{1}^{5} b_{1}{ }^{2} \\
& -1132171938071875 b_{1}{ }^{6} a_{1}-24562542110062592=0 . \tag{5.155}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying gsolve to these two equations we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left[b_{1},\right.\right.} & 118070804784230912 a_{1}+256990350929161280 a_{1}{ }^{3} \\
& +236342536550411968 a_{1}{ }^{2}+164607606502680600 a_{1}{ }^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +62274474933156500 a_{1}{ }^{5}+12908018823713750 a_{1}{ }^{6} \\
& \left.+1132171938071875 a_{1}{ }^{7}+24562542110062592\right], \\
& {\left[16 b_{1}{ }^{2}+1,4 a_{1}+7\right],\left[148225 b_{1}{ }^{2}+40804,385 a_{1}+818\right],} \\
& {\left[265225 b_{1}{ }^{2}+6084,952+515 a_{1}\right],[6717299609435847 \backslash} \\
& 94824830992201165680405029801540622591163634175 \backslash \\
& 80757295393684357497056747230537452850103356992 \backslash \\
& 21375 b_{1}{ }^{2}+6524656716421327074670655831371561677 \backslash \\
& 59961810634274525286395504982544348989403683041 \backslash \\
& 75846540367435906495438912914203000 a_{1}^{4}+1268436 \backslash \\
& 36848768527485589126510676549749821344243259063 \backslash \\
& 17578586444019188819023711307002067527298652615 \backslash \\
& 32491267281522597038 a_{1}+4422585554549177527847 \backslash \\
& 32263068743767829966110341826186726255851063327 \backslash \\
& 89904909811686411081682293895778361714099659320 \backslash \\
& 2350 a_{1}{ }^{3}+11237211285977585777210502807916771300 \backslash \\
& 12135639384027821315066763423010850458738004101 \backslash \\
& 564759833322705547989100245959212495 a_{1}{ }^{2}+536656 \backslash \\
& 60951906154805215031233483426225190352037534112 \backslash \\
& 39243178045826084009946615806771758683781318083 \backslash \\
& 36975231407627695244, \\
& 42718375190532690473528672373404295756839213650625 a_{1}{ }^{5} \\
& +361406063293826379400562992657674998947543835431875 a_{1}{ }^{4} \\
& +1222753876336528955590266313149671000600007216479875 a_{1}{ }^{3} \\
& +2067983846571524351461108235292920431994899305750505 a_{1}{ }^{2} \\
& +1748279636334904363175342610403332595088199218681388 a_{1} \\
& +591032130843345547761619408868305384589251879895804]] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $a_{1}$ and $b_{1}$ must be constants, and so $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are also constants.
Let us consider now the special cases in which each one of the denominators $v_{1}, v_{2}$, $v_{3}$ and $v_{4}$, in the previous used expressions for $\Phi_{11}$, is zero.
(i) $\mathrm{v}_{1}=0$

In terms of the variables $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}, v_{1}=0$ (cf. (5.134)) assumes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
8-\overline{x_{2}}+5 x_{1}=0 \tag{5.156}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying $\delta$ to this equation, using (5.116), (5.139) and (5.140), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
34 x_{2} \overline{x_{1}}-x_{2}^{2}+56 x_{2}+15{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}+24 \overline{x_{1}}=0 . \tag{5.157}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the only solution for these equations is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=-3 / 2, \quad x_{2}=1 / 2 \tag{5.158}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the numerator on the right side of (5.133) must be zero, we obtain, solving for $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{11}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{11}=-\frac{86}{141} \pi \bar{\pi} . \tag{5.159}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying $\delta$ to this equation, using (5.140), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \pi=-\pi \bar{\pi}=-\frac{141}{86} \Phi_{11} \tag{5.160}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying $\delta$ to this equation we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\delta} \pi=\pi^{2} \tag{5.161}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we calculate the NP commutator $[\bar{\delta}, \delta] \pi$, using these Pfaffians and the values given in (5.158), we find no solution other than $\pi=0$, which is a contradiction to our initial assumptions.
(ii) $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{0}$

In this case, from (5.142) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 10868{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+772320 \overline{x_{2}}+1020276 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+4085{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1} \\
& -1158240 x_{1}^{2}-2048352 x_{1}-214700 x_{1}^{3} \\
& -1191680+335985 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2}=0 \tag{5.162}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying $\vec{\delta}$ to (5.162) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& 63729588 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2}-670120{x_{1}}_{\bar{x}_{2}}{ }^{2}+12371205 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{2}}-617652{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}+18435168 x_{1}^{2} \\
& +108263616 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+10424160 x_{1}^{3}+10725120 x_{1}-1048608{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+60746496 \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -383325{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{1}-1749232{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}+1932300 x_{1}^{4}=0 . \tag{5.163}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying $\bar{\delta}$ again on (5.163) leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& -71849032{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{1}-2917197592{x_{1}^{2}}_{\bar{x}_{2}}{ }^{2}+2126429184 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2} \\
& +3683108352 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+357185400 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{2}}-128701440 x_{1}^{2}-125089920 x_{1}^{4} \\
& -67408896{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}-18590290 x_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}+6696360{\overline{x_{2}}}^{4}+4179810 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -221222016 x_{1}^{3}-2418547200{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+2059223040 \overline{x_{2}}-22411650 x_{1}^{4} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -614629870{x_{1}^{3}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}-23187600 x_{1}^{5}-4605932928{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}=0 .}^{2} \tag{5.164}
\end{align*}
$$

Using gsolve on (5.162), (5.163) and (5.164) gives the empty set solution.
(iii) $\mathrm{v}_{3}=0$

From (5.144) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
148960+42940 x_{1}^{2}+10412{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}-18564 \overline{x_{2}}-13047 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+162944 x_{1}=0 \tag{5.165}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying $\bar{\delta}$ twice to (5.165) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& -128832{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}-101344{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}-2591852 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2}-8248048 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}-257640 x_{1}^{3} \\
& -6550592 \overline{x_{2}}-977664{\overline{x_{1}^{2}}}_{2}^{2}-20824{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}-893760 x_{1}=0 \tag{5.166}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& 62472{\overline{x_{2}}}^{4}-60094064 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2}+35714228 x_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+2832764 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -2838720{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}+8043840 x_{1}^{2}-210698752 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+8798976 x_{1}^{3} \\
& -171601920 \overline{x_{2}}-1690904{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{1}+86775744{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \\
& +111204048{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}+2318760 x_{1}^{4}=0 . \tag{5.167}
\end{align*}
$$

Using gsolve on (5.165), (5.166) and (5.167) we obtain the empty set solution.
(iv) $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{4}}=0$

From (5.146),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(148960+42940 x_{1}^{2}+10412{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}-18564 \overline{x_{2}}-13047 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+162944 x_{1}\right) \\
& \left(-24320{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+7684576 \overline{x_{2}}+9460852 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+2915745 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2}-194465152 x_{1}\right. \\
& \left.-123050612 x_{1}^{2}-102596352-25995895 x_{1}^{3}+133000{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}\right)=0 \tag{5.168}
\end{align*}
$$

We observe now that one of the factors in $d_{3}$ is $d_{2}$. Thus, if $d_{3}=0$, we have to consider only the expression

$$
\begin{align*}
& 133000{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}-24320{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+7684576 \overline{x_{2}}+9460852 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+2915745 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2} \\
& -194465152 x_{1}-123050612 x_{1}^{2}-102596352-25995895 x_{1}^{3}=0 \tag{5.169}
\end{align*}
$$

By applying $\bar{\delta}$ twice to this equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1923742456 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2}-54838615 x_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+387128860 x_{r}^{3} \overline{x_{2}}+28673280{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} \\
& +1750186368 x_{1}^{2}+3181762656 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+1107455508 x_{1}^{3}+923367168 x_{1} \\
& -143083296{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+1751900928 \overline{x_{2}}+17772600{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{1}-175990444{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1} \\
& +233963055 x_{1}^{4}=0 \tag{5.170}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& 3071183072{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{1}-65381238064 \bar{x}_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+363466389312 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2} \\
& +414477092352 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+141775882688 \bar{x}_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{2}}-11080406016 x_{1}^{2} \\
& -13289466096 \bar{x}_{1}^{4}+2839158528{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}+811332320 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{2}}-315187200 x_{2}^{4} \\
& -196695600 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}-21002236416 x_{1}^{3}-58327724544{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \\
& +20770389380 x_{1}^{4} \overline{x_{2}}-13320040240 x_{1}^{3}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}-2807556660 x_{1}^{5} \\
& -106950649152 \overline{x_{2}}{ }^{2} x_{1}+177286496256 \overline{x_{2}}=0 . \tag{5.171}
\end{align*}
$$

By applying gsolve to (5.170) and (5.171) we obtain again the empty solution set.
We consider now the case in which $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are constants. From $\bar{\delta} x_{1}=\bar{\delta}(\alpha / \pi)=0$, and $\delta x_{2}=\delta(\beta / \bar{\pi})=0$ we get, respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
31 x_{1}+10 x_{1}^{2}+2=0, \tag{5.172}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
63 x_{2}+40 x_{2} \overline{x_{1}}+\overline{x_{1}}=0 . \tag{5.173}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have two solutions, given by $x_{1}=x_{2}=-8 / 5$ and $x_{1}=-3 / 2, x_{2}=1 / 2$. The first solution is impossible, since these values do not satisfy the equation $N_{1}=0$. The second one is included the case $5 x_{1}+\overline{x_{2}}-8=0$, which was already considered.

Before analysing the cases in which any one of the spin coefficients $\alpha, \beta$ or $\pi$ is zero, let us study the case of Weyl's neutrino equation.

### 5.6.2 Weyl's neutrino equation

For the Weyl neutrino equation (5.70) the proof follows the same steps used in Maxwell's equations case. The numerical coefficients are different, however, since in condition $V$, we now have $k_{1}=8$ and $k_{2}=13$. Condition $V I^{\prime}$ remains the same. Supposing that $\alpha \beta \pi \neq 0$, the corresponding Pfaffians are now given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \beta=-\beta(\bar{\alpha}+\beta),  \tag{5.174}\\
& \delta \bar{\beta}=-2 \Phi_{11}-\bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}-4 \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}-2 D \bar{\mu}-\beta \bar{\beta}+2 \bar{\pi} \pi,  \tag{5.175}\\
& \delta \pi= D \mu-\bar{\pi} \pi+\pi \bar{\alpha}-\beta \pi,  \tag{5.176}\\
& \delta \alpha= \bar{\delta} \beta+\alpha \bar{\alpha}+\beta \bar{\beta}-2 \beta \alpha+\Phi_{11},  \tag{5.177}\\
& \mathrm{D} \bar{\mu}:=\frac{1}{109}\left(38 \bar{\pi} \alpha+242 \beta \bar{\beta}+38 \alpha \bar{\alpha}+346 \pi \bar{\alpha}-199 \Phi_{11}\right)-2 \beta \pi \\
& \quad-2 \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi}-\mathrm{D}_{\mu}, \tag{5.178}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{D} \mu:= & -\frac{1}{218}\left(17480 \pi^{2} \bar{\alpha}+9728 \bar{\pi} \alpha^{2}-49684 \pi \Phi_{11}+109020 \bar{\pi} \pi^{2}\right. \\
& +116988 \pi \beta \bar{\beta}+9728 \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}+78152 \alpha \bar{\pi} \pi-55808 \alpha \beta \pi-25111 \alpha \Phi_{11} \\
& +13952 \bar{\beta} \Phi_{11}-2834 \bar{\beta} \pi \pi+27208 \alpha \pi \bar{\alpha}+27904 \bar{\beta} \alpha \bar{\alpha}+50140 \bar{\beta} \pi \bar{\alpha}- \\
& \left.-100280 \beta \pi^{2}+61952 \alpha \beta \bar{\beta}\right) /(-128 \alpha-230 \pi .+13 \bar{\beta})  \tag{5.179}\\
\bar{\delta} \pi= & -3 \pi \alpha-\frac{901}{19} \pi \bar{\beta}-\frac{512}{19} \bar{\beta} \alpha,  \tag{5.180}\\
\bar{\delta} \alpha= & -3 \alpha^{2}+\frac{787}{19} \bar{\beta} \alpha+\frac{1380}{19} \bar{\beta} \alpha . \tag{5.181}
\end{align*}
$$

For now we suppose that the denominator of (5.179)

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1}:=-128 \alpha-230 \pi+13 \bar{\beta}, \tag{5.182}
\end{equation*}
$$

is non-zero.
By evaluating the NP commutator $[\bar{\delta}, \delta] \alpha$ and solving for $\Phi_{11}$, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{11} & :=-\frac{4}{19} \bar{\beta}(64 \alpha+115 \pi)\left(-70557184 \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}+284828160 \bar{\pi} \alpha^{2}-30870762 \pi \alpha \bar{\beta}\right. \\
& +198927872 \alpha \beta \bar{\beta}-208191208 \alpha \pi \bar{\alpha}+1075093784 \alpha \bar{\pi} \pi \\
& +94822806 \bar{\beta} \alpha \bar{\alpha}+372750664 \pi \beta \bar{\beta}-32576830 \beta \bar{\beta}^{2}-14673035 \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\alpha} \\
& \left.+174461040 \bar{\beta} \pi \bar{\alpha}-148196124 \pi^{2} \bar{\alpha}-63509940 \bar{\beta} \pi \pi+1015943576 \pi \pi^{2}\right) \\
& /\left(6639868416 \pi \alpha^{2}+12728096988 \pi^{2} \alpha-3519721740 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}-320122100 \pi \bar{\beta}^{2}\right. \\
& -1512677760 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2}-160164491 \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha-4676894514 \pi \alpha \bar{\beta} \\
& \left.+1134018560 \alpha^{3}+8011469480 \pi^{3}\right) \tag{5.183}
\end{align*}
$$

where, for now, we assume that the denominator of (5.183),

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{2}:= & 6639868416 \pi \alpha^{2}+12728096988 \pi^{2} \alpha-3519721740 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}-320122100 \pi \bar{\beta}^{2} \\
& -1512677760 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2}-160164491 \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha-4676894514 \pi \alpha \bar{\beta}+8011469480 \pi^{3} \\
& +1134018560 \alpha^{3}, \tag{5.184}
\end{align*}
$$

is non-zero.
From the commutator $[\bar{\delta}, \delta](\alpha+\bar{\beta})$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{11} & :=-\frac{4}{19} \bar{\beta}\left(186375332 \pi \beta \bar{\beta}-35278592 \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}+49969088 \bar{\beta} \alpha \bar{\alpha}\right. \\
& +537546892 \alpha \bar{\pi} \pi-104095604 \alpha \pi \bar{\alpha}-23139610 \bar{\beta} \bar{\pi} \pi-12877696 \bar{\pi} \alpha \bar{\beta} \\
& +507971788 \bar{\pi} \pi^{2}+142414080 \bar{\pi} \alpha^{2}-74098062 \pi^{2} \bar{\alpha}+89788205 \bar{\beta} \pi \bar{\alpha} \\
& +99463936 \alpha \beta \bar{\beta}) /\left(3956264 \bar{\beta}^{2}+34832476 \pi^{2}+8859520 \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta}\right. \\
& -1909041 \bar{\beta} \alpha+35954522 \pi \alpha-2471658 \pi), \tag{5.185}
\end{align*}
$$

where the denominator of (5.185),

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{3}:= & 3956264 \bar{\beta}^{2}+34832476 \pi^{2}+8859520 \alpha^{2}-2471658 \pi \bar{\beta} \\
& -1909041 \bar{\beta} \alpha+35954522 \pi \alpha \tag{5.186}
\end{align*}
$$

is assumed to be non-zero for now. Applying $\bar{\delta}$ to (5.185) and solving for $\Psi_{11}$ leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{11}:=\frac{4}{19} \bar{\beta}\left(-1344269327096344151932 \pi^{2} \beta \bar{\beta}^{3}-10403278435339208027048 \pi^{5} \bar{\alpha}\right. \\
& +59923292450163828400 \pi \beta \bar{\beta}^{4}-1477114920115465297524 \pi \beta \bar{\beta}^{3} \alpha \\
& +280677400132209377280 \pi \alpha^{5}-37478351038135764224 \alpha^{3} \alpha \bar{\beta}^{2} \\
& -159890852407044687552 \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}^{3}-19467668236044912265592 \alpha^{3} \bar{\alpha} \pi^{2} \\
& +473040134074265051136 \alpha^{4} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}-5632169965798660707328 \alpha^{4} \bar{\alpha} \pi \\
& +13306080518774467840 \bar{\beta}^{4} \alpha \bar{\alpha}-6384731356034140878432 \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi} \pi^{3} \\
& +1311340403820242086912 \alpha^{4} \bar{\pi} \pi+500972089824147807112 \alpha^{3} \bar{\pi} \pi^{2} \\
& -33848545227453106128476 \alpha^{2} \pi^{3} \bar{\alpha}-6161759722991919800 \bar{\beta}^{4} \bar{\pi} \pi \\
& -5354631176015930421548 \bar{\beta}^{2} \bar{\pi} \pi^{3}+393214960941764596310 \bar{\beta}^{3} \bar{\pi} \pi^{2} \\
& +116177908490579804544 \bar{\pi} \alpha^{2} \bar{\beta}^{3}-3429153237143329280 \bar{\pi} \alpha \bar{\beta}^{4} \\
& -847472365589974615296 \pi \alpha^{3} \bar{\beta}^{2}+231619251011756130304 \bar{\pi} \alpha^{4} \bar{\beta} \\
& -11994408115522137166304 \bar{\pi} \pi^{4} \alpha+4127830918305528790888 \bar{\pi} \pi^{4} \bar{\beta} \\
& -520382783165765812955 \pi^{2} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}^{3}-29593940982956278612888 \pi^{4} \bar{\alpha} \alpha \\
& +2780732489078980454348 \pi^{4} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}-149003750614353507158 \pi^{3} \bar{\alpha}^{\prime} \bar{\beta}^{2} \\
& +23909363432172871900 \bar{\beta}^{4} \pi \bar{\alpha}-406196834518165360384 \alpha^{2} \beta \bar{\beta}^{3} \\
& +33348614928786826240 \alpha \beta \bar{\beta}^{4}+105876489462531014656 \alpha^{3} \beta \bar{\beta}^{2} \\
& +581251655030130180096 \alpha^{4} \beta \bar{\beta}-655826674254976090112 \alpha^{5} \bar{\alpha} \\
& +7419075098951138158536 \pi^{3} \beta \bar{\beta} \alpha+1539963724455861237676 \pi^{2} \beta \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha \\
& +714092390250930442752 \pi \beta \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha^{2}+3501348512586350593024 \pi \beta \bar{\beta} \alpha^{3} \\
& +7746506116538053739160 \pi^{2} \beta \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2} \\
& +6947187936974535067874 \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha}^{2} \pi^{2} \bar{\beta} \\
& +2967810342844948015872 \alpha^{3} \bar{\alpha} \pi \bar{\beta}-175356677920671924092 \alpha^{2} \bar{\alpha} \pi \bar{\beta}^{2} \\
& -277120019536861321340 \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha \bar{\alpha} \pi^{2}-576908206919856353633 \bar{\beta}^{3} \alpha \bar{\alpha} \pi \\
& -4702384568989017941628 \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi} \pi \bar{\beta}^{2}+427589853234427405106 \alpha \bar{\pi} \pi \bar{\beta}^{3} \\
& +8256906521350382449848 \alpha \bar{\pi}^{3}{ }^{3} \bar{\beta} \\
& +6102451801889024027752 \alpha^{2} \bar{\pi} \pi^{2} \bar{\beta} \\
& +1966547466224183147520 \alpha^{3} \pi \pi \bar{\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -8693062827653668759880 \alpha \bar{\pi} \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}^{2} \\
& +7192813273579142396128 \alpha \pi^{3} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}+2573820923374354496128 \bar{\beta} \pi^{4} \beta \\
& \left.+1075278308022562464392 \pi^{3} \beta \bar{\beta}^{2}-6444060548819418029648 \bar{\pi} \pi^{5}\right) / \\
& \left(\left(1055170442911 \pi \bar{\beta}^{2}+1078454503804 \pi^{2} \bar{p}+1512507879634 \pi \alpha \bar{\beta}\right.\right. \\
& +502505920896 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2}+539884980544 \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha+20438706838844 \pi^{2} \alpha \\
& \left.+11874643647996 \pi^{3}+2258636415872 \alpha^{3}+11752612613194 \pi \alpha^{2}\right) \\
& \left(3956264 \bar{\beta}^{2}+34832476 \pi^{2}+8859520 \alpha^{2}-2471658 \pi \bar{\beta}-1909041 \bar{\beta} \alpha\right. \\
& +35954522 \pi \alpha)), \tag{5.187}
\end{align*}
$$

where the denominator of the expression above, given by

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{4}:= & \left(1055170442911 \pi \bar{\beta}^{2}+1078454503804 \pi^{2} \bar{\beta}+1512507879634 \pi \alpha \bar{\beta}\right. \\
& +502505920896 \bar{\beta} \alpha^{2}+539884980544 \bar{\beta}^{2} \alpha+20438706838844 \pi^{2} \alpha \\
& \left.+11874643647996 \pi^{3}+2258636415872 \alpha^{3}+11752612613194 \pi \alpha^{2}\right) \\
& \left(3956264 \bar{\beta}^{2}+34832476 \pi^{2}+8859520 \alpha^{2}-2471658 \pi \bar{\beta}-1909041 \bar{\beta} \alpha\right. \\
& +35954522 \pi \alpha), \tag{5.188}
\end{align*}
$$

is assumed to be non-zero.
Using again the new variables defined on (5.147) we find that the numerator of the expression resulting from the subtraction of (5.183) from (5.185), modulo non-zero factors, is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{1}: & =26702241280 x_{1} x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+12027042560 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}} \bar{x}_{1}+47980589800 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& 2 \\
& +21611092100 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}+8984800256 \overline{x_{1} \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2}}+31980778048 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2} \\
& +18846422525 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}+35403422912 x_{1}^{2} x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+117520024900 \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +122867909195 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+26633006800 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+76765656110 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +106782873769 x_{1} x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+261675596240+485314284486 x_{1} \\
& +58433053760 x_{1}^{3}-67281983133 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+293978412579 x_{1}^{2}  \tag{5.189}\\
& -15664790464 \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}^{3}-94729861629 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-43762238110 \overline{x_{1}}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Subtracting (5.187) from (5.185) and taking the numerator we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{2}: & =-1601969338273040 \overline{x_{2}}+4129050225093160 \overline{x_{1}}-17022062106400{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -2049538760980992 x_{1}^{3}-566063835068160 \bar{x}_{2} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -1290515732341388 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2}+1813947885483370{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1} \\
& -156307460657920 x_{1}^{2} x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}-529932588630016 x_{1}^{3} x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -2395601461620224 x_{1}^{2} x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}-592506195135140 x_{1} x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \\
& +215914322990080 x_{1} x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}-12298734418880{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}^{2} \\
& +86149705857280 \overline{\bar{x}_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}-324490247237888 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}^{3} \\
& -3566306629981524 x_{1} x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}-2497770555691740 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -1751778168157160 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}-1145153147020940 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +9538495990898584 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+8328991417137380 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -2262375560761580 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+1681954895431200 \overline{x_{2}}{ }^{2} \\
& -1829880263729056 x_{1}+3261696353289728 \overline{x_{1}} x_{1}^{3} \\
& +387971049122800 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+154800252712300 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +483642598244352 x_{1}^{4} \overline{x_{1}}-220462420144384 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +488572339166080{\overline{x_{1}}}_{2}^{\bar{x}_{2}}{ }^{2}-22201920565760{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{1} \\
& -396075553505280 \bar{x}_{1}^{4}-39894076016600{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}+150798528554560 \\
& -31572436015965{\overline{x_{1}}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}-1487221068155276 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2}, ~}_{1} \\
& -3469302732542492 x_{1}^{2}=0 \text {. } \tag{5.190}
\end{align*}
$$

By applying gsolve to (5.189) and (5.190) we obtain

$$
G_{1}:=\left[-969 \overline{x_{1}}+7744 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+1911,64 x_{1}+115\right],
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{2}:= & {\left[702595747667968 x_{2}+121561751175031 \overline{x_{1}}-134682583774537,\right.} \\
& \left.169 \overline{x_{2}}+128,832 x_{1}+1559\right], \\
G_{3}:= & {\left[4763826238429598822020 x_{2}+2088112373321932882471 \overline{x_{1}}\right.} \\
& \left.+742589473497620115866,102349 \overline{x_{2}}-1005670,7873 x_{1}+6290\right], \\
G_{4}:= & {\left[4853169289024 x_{1}^{3}+16104186410816 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{1}}+38184678210776 x_{2} x_{1}^{3}\right.} \\
+ & 86310739986951 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+26904390691527 x_{1}^{2}+203221914331392 x_{2} x_{1}^{2} \\
+ & 49611268000368 x_{1}+360358810420950 x_{1} x_{2}+154128880390023 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} \\
+ & 30431388478120+212900193245300 x_{2}+91706289015320 \overline{x_{1}}, \\
- & \left.230-128 x_{1}+13 \overline{x_{2}}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{5}: & =\left[4037246221032260175082572 x_{2}+170847711566706971421898 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}\right. \\
& +1842223470946382876251169 \overline{x_{1}}-21517961111124306284725932 \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +29478217649766010536134, \\
& 30653197266757{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}-7333203052239 \overline{x_{2}}+91133703096833, \\
& \left.7873 x_{1}+6290\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{6}: & =\left[4323109461237319842355422221345280 x_{2}\right. \\
& +46183471026538296892529127154365952 \overline{x_{2} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}} \\
& +79832623058273089905552319534505600 \overline{x_{2} x_{1}} \\
& +30826093787322261564581717778863949 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +53881430334963778202590138834072470 \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +37653654070557306191772918226884096 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +72227030230352536009292793907170560 \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +612427389403827899065561094706957 x_{1} \\
& +2335214054314487710589163609138390,8021313391208 \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -3870574900377 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}-5011279180026 \overline{x_{2}}+9575660143104 x_{1} \\
& \left.+14886269629872,129759808 x_{1}^{2}+457429931 x_{1}+402632890\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{7}:= & {\left[1164217719808 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+3442198165120 x_{2} x_{1}^{2}+13969446387182 x_{1} x_{2}\right.} \\
& +13533496732756 x_{2}+545200873048 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+1171997712964 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +1466225999873 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+5848154092029 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+5675239070344 \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +616344189185 x_{1}^{2}+3286184711720 x_{1}-4334043693096 \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -2200889444520 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+4110217929624,220300682567680 x_{2} x_{1}^{3} \\
& +93838463991872 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{1}}+39446028107840 x_{1}^{3}-140856924449280 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2} \\
& +177545178666675 x_{1}^{2}+34892855875072 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +1306843564119808 x_{2} x_{1}^{2}+576543920419059 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -531669354282872 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+1142523519936231 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +102957422665864 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+254458848112632 x_{1} \\
& +2541402784559210 x_{1} x_{2}-502417624470008 \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +73287873790092 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}+112380655902536+736100107004696 \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +1622978569720508 x_{2}, 3956264 \overline{x_{2}}+34832476+8859520 x_{1}^{2} \\
& \left.-2471658 \overline{x_{2}}-1909041 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+35954522 x_{1}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
G_{8}:=\left[608541253301109086673 \overline{x_{1}} x_{2}-1893574737771427476480 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}\right.
$$

$$
+748235809613808093864 x_{2}-717730821827652203347{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}
$$

$$
-1800215735122340926539 \overline{x_{1}}+1705782201794095825920 \overline{x_{2}}
$$

$$
-303698104523960879024,10162171373169616486400 x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}
$$

$$
-4857175675240178118400 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}-2153192465482956610041 \overline{x_{1}}
$$

$$
-9154352724590811545600{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+4356900576465488213760 \overline{x_{2}}
$$

+ 563782884805837289012

$$
-5684169941 \overline{x_{1}}+15668257280 \overline{x_{2}}-6989007688+7834128640 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}},
$$

$$
\left.832 x_{1}+1559\right],
$$

```
\(G_{9}:=\left[34057606428788062666314734252947989580724901428 \overline{x_{1}} x_{2}\right.\)
    \(+1189094466646830891540308518501127951317064268800 x_{1} x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}\)
    \(+1956615635119345231267189995466941947416744478720 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}\)
    \(+1112976027371166045326606804622128105239044935968 x_{1} x_{2}\)
    \(+1969029949528043861810432486908073579520105553960 x_{2}\)
    \(+267790460084507616578085547631039975448516085824 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}{ }^{2}\)
    \(+430130557723693693939253804346911726108144402641 \overline{x_{1}}\)
    \(+97591515810147282436846850714136547679268861136 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}\)
    \(+74443641287489696060370308150533709804367616448 \overline{x_{1}}\)
    \(-1071167742681855927090029987740685509864132275200 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}\)
    \(-1762571109322385373620857103354517952631612794880 \overline{x_{2}}\)
    - \(1236094110677986125167928907954767973155881869708 x_{1}\)
    - 2191948479304771236829317467690244724888782628780,
    \(20514001932442291279766073600 x_{2}{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}\)
    \(-201984019027124098754619801600 x_{1} x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}\)
    - \(362940034189363614949707456000 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}\)
    \(+70819418588411562406146864696 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}\)
    \(+122015905179962854931243123488 \overline{x_{1}}\)
    \(-18479555459803386359458694400 \overline{\bar{x}_{2}}{ }^{2}\)
    \(+120908918634405801869529826240 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}\)
    \(+223283925608883768976619259520 \overline{x_{2}}\)
    \(-18543741798739275278297336209 x_{1}-30998980192200465669046290670\),
    \(17259564660 \overline{x_{2} \overline{x_{1}}}-67579394104 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-129104492662 \overline{x_{1}}\)
    \(+34519129320 \overline{x_{2}}-340905273759 x_{1}-611541656670\),
    \(\left.129759808 x_{1}^{2}+457429931 x_{1}+402632890\right]\),
\(G_{10}:=\left[7447690047469100 \overline{x_{1}} x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+10072797662497856 x_{2} x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}\right.\)
    \(+30187987711437699 x_{1} x_{2} \overline{x_{1}}+21615932628713280 \overline{x_{1}} x_{2}\)
    \(+14895380094938200 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+19346866846955648 x_{2} x_{1}^{2}\)
    \(+58539752116856582 x_{1} x_{2}+42274669373988480 x_{2}\)
    \(-2990121038695700{\overline{x_{2}}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}-68991695053497024 x_{1}^{2}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}, ~}_{2}\)
    \(-228975807652585101 \bar{x}_{1}{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}-191511479748643520{\overline{x_{1}}}^{2}\)
    \(-841111561086194560-319773751004882816 x_{1}^{2}\)
    \(-1036003398680892594 x_{1}-25378636471710600 \overline{x_{2}}\)
    \(-804009873723420480 \overline{x_{1}}-18669560313246700 \overline{x_{2} \overline{x_{1}}}\)
    \(-976780373903286131 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-298230023477643584 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}\),
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 10072797662497856 x_{2} x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{1}}-298230023477643584 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +19346866846955648 x_{2} x_{1}^{3}-68991695053497024 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{1}}{ }^{2} \\
& -319773751004882816 x_{1}^{3}-1487784358371461151 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -348909525470751281 x_{1}^{2} \bar{x}_{1}^{2}-1577701651433863034 x_{1}^{2} \\
& +38235478794520579 x_{2} x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+73996603694063622 x_{2} x_{1}^{2} \\
& -590857363509776915 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-2484379550399181610 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -2603145849422208520 x_{1}+45734114097650550 x_{1} x_{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +89044012802799340 x_{1} x_{2}+33774631063430400 x_{2} \\
& -1439493309495798400-1391014344034870800 \overline{x_{1}} . \\
& -335461621166647400 \overline{x_{1}}+17269683250934400 \overline{x_{1}} \bar{x}_{2}, \\
& 68930851862096014419200 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}{ }^{2}+36347153899567955649920 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -27674566080809380678400 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}-41160443800662115740500 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -1555088136882902032797568 x_{1}^{2}-809644697205174851164096 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& -2747702512044784276737709 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+124782918376053863614720 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -5231459772963769369377242 x_{1}-2335350482507069487515200 \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +103158379644007188899800 \overline{x_{2}}-4402864679022309409187200 \\
& -117443866483672195833600 \overline{x_{2}}, 392792077300480 x_{1} x_{2} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +313814577190400 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+157374791510300 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +7956462676222848 x_{1}^{2}+3631141844920896 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +12051358297504479 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}-353837491121920 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +25875506363560382 x_{1}+10079551565718080 \overline{x_{1}}+32057112659000 \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +21132353181745280,1562218112 x_{1}^{2}+813356864 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +2437615431 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+1205250560 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+4726959758 x_{1} \\
& +602625280 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+1745440320 \overline{x_{1}}+2165684600 \overline{x_{2}}+3413589120 \\
& +1082842300 \overline{x_{2} \overline{x_{1}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Among these sets of solutions, the only ones which are not trivially impossible, or do not imply $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ be constants, are $G_{7}$ and $G_{10}$. Let us take the third equation in $G_{7}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1}:= & 3956264{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}-2471658 \overline{x_{2}}-1909041 \overline{x_{1}} \overline{x_{2}}+8859520 x_{1}^{2} \\
& 35954522 x_{1}+34832476=0 . \tag{5.191}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying $\bar{\delta}$ twice successively to this equation, using the Pfaffians calculated previously, we obtain respectively:

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{2}= & 1079685917 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2}+252496320 x_{1}^{3}+3781787431 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+1024703877 x_{1}^{2} \\
& +3287720348 \overline{x_{2}}+87748156{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}+90137805{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+37584508{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} \\
& +992725566 x_{1}=0, \tag{5.192}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{3}:= & 3794884235 x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{2}}+13984191503{x_{1}^{2}}_{x_{2}}{ }^{2}+2272466880 x_{1}^{4}+8934530094 x_{1}^{2} \\
& -917394512{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{1}+40424847312{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}-72805233934 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +9222334893 x_{1}^{3}-11338514006 \overline{x_{2}}{x_{1}^{2}}^{2}+47275438170{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1} \\
& +112753524 \overline{x_{2}}-1918581915{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}-72103225320 \overline{x_{2}}=0 \tag{5.193}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying gsolve to these three equations we obtain $x_{2}=0$, a contradiction.
Let us now consider $G_{10}$. The fourth and fifth equations of this set are given respectively by

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{1}:= & 1205250560 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}+602625280 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+2165684600 \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +1082842300 \overline{x_{2} \overline{x_{1}}}+1562218112 x_{1}^{2}+813356864 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}}+4726959758 x_{1} \\
& +2437615431 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}}+1745440320 \overline{x_{1}}+3413589120=0,  \tag{5.194}\\
h_{2}:= & 392792077300480 x_{1} x_{2} \overline{x_{2}}+313814577190400 x_{2} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +157374791510300 \overline{x_{2}} \overline{x_{1}}-353837491121920 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +32057112659000 \overline{x_{2}}+7956462676222848 x_{1}^{2}+3631141844920896 x_{1}^{2} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +25875506363560382 x_{1}+12051358297504479 x_{1} \overline{x_{1}} \\
& +10079551565718080 \overline{x_{1}}+21132353181745280=0 . \tag{5.195}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying gsolve to (5.194), (5.195) and their complex conjugates we find the four formally possible solutions, not listed here due their huge size, with coefficients having up to a thousand digits. They can be easily computed, however, by running the code listed in the Appendix E. They all imply that at most $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are constants.

We shall consider now the cases where each of the denominators that appeared in the equations above is zero.
(i) $\mathrm{w}_{1}=0$

In terms of variables $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ we have from (5.182),

$$
\begin{equation*}
-128 x_{1}+13 \overline{x_{2}}-230=0 \tag{5.196}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying $\bar{\delta}$ twice to this equation, using (5.174), (5.181) and (5.189), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad 883 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}+384 x_{1}^{2}+1610 \overline{x_{2}}+690 x_{1}-13 \overline{x_{2}}=0,  \tag{5.197}\\
& -72841{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}+92014 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2}-131330{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}-21888 x_{1}^{3}+429410 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \\
& -39330 x_{1}^{2}+476100 \overline{x_{2}}+247 \overline{x_{2}}=0 . \tag{5.198}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying gsolve to (5.196), (5.197) and (5.198) we find that we must have $x_{2}=0$.
(ii) $w_{2}=0$

From (5.184) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1134018560 x_{1}^{3}+6639868416 x_{1}^{2}+12728096988 x_{1}-3519721740 \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -320122100{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}-1512677760 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2}-160164491{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1} \\
& -4676894514 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}+8011469480=0 . \tag{5.199}
\end{align*}
$$

By applying $\bar{\delta}$ to this equation twice, we obtain, respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
& -1369961281080 x_{1}-6430475744520 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}-3248076062982 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2} \\
& +81643014022{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}-1135417499136 x_{1}^{3}-4090228161000 \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -2176504584948 x_{1}^{2}+57571701013{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}^{2}+43939311441 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1} \\
& -521008666752 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{3}+79567654320{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}-193917173760 \bar{x}_{1}^{4} \\
& -44701140780{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}=0, \tag{5.200}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 13059027509688 x_{1}^{3}+8219767686480 x_{1}^{2}-49751225470800 \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +59461698073800{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+6812504994816 x_{1}^{4}-410251659210 \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +1838793243264 x_{1}^{4} \overline{x_{2}}-227972148945 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}+11422677583148{x_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \\
& +5129406799620{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}+1095258633485 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2}+1163503042560 x_{1}^{5} \\
& +4820826637652{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{1}+60294205229306{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}^{2} \\
& +104573868807840{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}-23114065312032 \overline{\boldsymbol{x}_{2}} x_{1}^{2} \\
& -68556933929400 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}+3098494712094 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{3}=0 . \tag{5.201}
\end{align*}
$$

By applying gsolve to (5.199), (5.200) and (5.201) we find immediately that $\overline{x_{2}}=0$.
(iii) $W_{3}=0$

From (5.186) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& -1909041 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}-2471658 \overline{x_{2}}+3956264{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+34832476+8859520 x_{1}^{2} \\
& +35954522 x_{1}=0 \tag{5.202}
\end{align*}
$$

Again, by applying $\bar{\delta}$ to this equation we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& 87748156{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}+1079685917 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2}+90137805{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \\
& +3781787431 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}+37584508{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}+992725566 x_{1}+3287720348 \overline{x_{2}} \\
& +252496320 x_{1}^{3}+1024703877 x_{1}^{2}=0 \tag{5.203}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& -72805233934 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}-11338514006 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2}+47275438170{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1} \\
& +9222334893 \bar{x}_{1}^{3}-72103225320 \overline{x_{2}}+8934530094 \bar{x}_{1}^{2}+40424847312{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \\
& +13984191503{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}^{2}-917394512{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{1}+3794884235 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{3} \\
& -1918581915{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}+112753524 \overline{x_{2}}+2272466880 x_{1}^{4}=0 . \tag{5.204}
\end{align*}
$$

By applying gsolve to these three equations we find again that $x_{2}=0$.
(iv) $w_{4}=0$

From (5.188),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(11874643647996+11752612613194 x_{1}^{2}+20438706838844 x_{1}\right. \\
& +1512507879634 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}+2258636415872 x_{1}^{3}+502505920896 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2} \\
& \left.+1078454503804 \overline{x_{2}}+1055170442911{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+539884980544 \overline{x_{2}} \bar{x}_{1}\right) \\
& \left(-1909041 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}-2471658 \overline{x_{2}}+3956264{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2}+34832476+8859520 x_{1}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+35954522 x_{1}\right)=0 . \tag{5.205}
\end{align*}
$$

We observe here again that the second factor of (5.205) is proportional to (5.202). Thus, only the first factor needs to be considered. Applying $\bar{\delta}$ to the first factor of (5.205) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& -106871792831964 x_{1}-20327727742848 x_{1}^{4}-183948361549596 x_{1}^{2} \\
& -204828754890972 \overline{x_{2}}-352155985333632 x_{1} \overline{x_{2}}-7151232439104{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3} x_{1} \\
& -203691384240944 \overline{x_{2}} x_{1}^{2}-990832120537{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}-39554229871616 \bar{x}_{1}^{3} \overline{x_{2}} \\
& -105773513518746 x_{1}^{3}-2331389501632{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} x_{1}^{2}+6494485393044{\overline{x_{2}}}^{2} \\
& -12934935407511{\overline{x_{2}}}^{3}=0 . \tag{5.206}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying gsolve to (5.205) and (5.206) we find that all possible solutions require that both $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are constants.

When $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are constants we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\delta} x_{1}=\bar{\delta}(\alpha / \pi)=\frac{4}{19} x_{2} \pi\left(64 x_{1}+115\right)\left(2 x_{1}+3\right)=0  \tag{5.207}\\
& \delta x_{2}=\delta(\beta / \bar{\pi})=\frac{2}{19}\left(19 \overline{x_{1}}+441 x_{2}+256 x_{2} \overline{x_{1}}\right)=0 \tag{5.208}
\end{align*}
$$

From (5.207) we have $x_{1}=-115 / 64$ or $x_{1}=-3 / 2$. In both cases, we can obtain the corresponding value for $x_{2}$ from (5.208), substitute into $M_{1}=0$ (cf. (5.189)) and find that this would imply $\pi=0$, a contradiction to our initial assumptions.

The analysis of the case $\alpha \beta \pi=0$ follows exactly the steps described in [22] for the self-adjoint scalar equation. Instead of repeating them again we present the proof for the Weyl and Maxwell cases in the form of a Maple code in Appendix E.

### 5.7 Discussion

We have proved Theorem 5.1 (Main Theorem): there exist no Petrov type III space-times on which Maxwell equations or Weyl neutrino equations satisfy Huygens' principle. The use of the necessary five-index condition $V I^{\prime}$ determined by Gerlach, Alvarez and Wünsch [36] [3] [4] was essential for the solution of this problem. Its conversion, from tensorial to spinor dyad form was possible thanks to the Maple package NPspinor. The polynomial system obtained from integrability conditions was simplified using the procedure gsolve, from Maple's package grobner. Since a direct application of the algorithm seems impossible, due to the large size of the polynomial system, a "divide and conquer" approach was applied with success to solve this problem, i.e., we took pairs of polynomials, decomposing the problem into several smaller, manageable parts.

## Chapter 6

## Conclusion

In this Thesis we have studied three problems concerning Hadamard's problem in Petrov type III space-times.

In Chapter 3 we have considered the conformally invariant (self-adjoint) scalar wave equation in four dimensions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square u+\frac{1}{6} R u=0 \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case we have proved the following result:
Let $\mathcal{M}^{4}$ be any space-time which admits a spinor dyad with the properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
o_{A ; B \dot{B}}=o_{A} I_{B \dot{B}}, \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{B \dot{B}}$ is a 2-spinot, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{A B C D ; E \dot{E} \iota^{A} \iota_{\iota} C_{o} o_{o}{ }_{o} E_{\bar{o}} \dot{E}}=0  \tag{6.3}\\
& R=0, \quad \Phi_{A B \dot{A} \dot{B}} o^{A} o^{B}=0 \tag{6.4}
\end{align*}
$$

. Then the validity of Huygens' principle for the conformally invariant equation (6.1) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{A B \dot{A} \dot{B}} o^{A}{ }^{B} \bar{o}_{\bar{o}} \dot{A}_{\imath} \dot{B} \quad \neq 0 \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besides the necessary conditions $I I I$ and $V$ (cf. (2.188) and (2.190)), that were already used by Carminati and McLenaghan [22], we have used the six-index necessary condition VII derived by Rinke and Wünsch [72] (cf. (2.192)). Although we have not solved polynomial system involving $\alpha, \beta, \pi$ and $\Phi_{11}$ in the general case, the analysis offers
evidence that these necessary conditions are enough to settle the problem for Petrov type III space-times.

In Chapter 4 we have studied the non-self-adjoint scalar wave equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square u+A^{k}(x) \nabla_{k} u+B(x) u=0 \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, using the necessary conditions $I I, I I I, I V, V$ and $V I$ (cf.(2.187) - (2.191)) we have obtained the following result:

If a non-self-adjoint scalar wave equation (6.6) satisfies Huygens' principle on any Petrov type III space-time, then it must be equivalent to the self-adjoint invariant scalar wave equation (6.6) with $A^{i}=0$ and $B=R / 6$.

In Chapter 5 we have considered Hadamard's problem for the homogeneous Maxwell's equations, and Weyl's neutrino equation, given respectively by

$$
\begin{align*}
& d \omega=0, \quad \delta \omega=0  \tag{6.7}\\
& \nabla^{K \dot{A}} \varphi_{K}=0 \tag{6.8}
\end{align*}
$$

We have solved Hadamard's problem for this case by proving the following statement:
There exist no Petrov type III space-times on which Maxwell's equations (6.7) or Weyl's equation (6.8) satisfy Huygens' principle

In order to obtain this result, we have used necessary conditions $I I I, V^{\prime}$ and $V I^{\prime}$ (cf. (5.106), (5.107), (5.108)).

An essential tool in our calculations was the Maple package NPspinor [27] [29], used to convert tensorial expressions into their corresponding spinorial forms, and also for manipulating the Newman-Penrose (NP) expressions. The Maple package grobner [28] was used to study the polynomial systems that would be obtained from the necessary conditions, the NP field equations and commutation relations. We have verified that the procedure gsolve, from grobner, which attempts to factor the polynomial system after each reduction step of Buchberger's algorithm, was more useful than procedures or programs that try to find a Gröbner basis. Moreover, we have used a "divide and conquer" approach, dealing with pairs of equations and then analysing separately the several sets of possible (smaller) results together with the polynomials that had been left out.

We believe that Hadamard's problem can finally be solved for the scalar wave equation, on Petrov type III space-times, by using the methods employed on Chapter 3, or using
heuristic Gröbner basis methods. Hadamard's problem for equations (6.1), (6.6) (6.7) and (6.8) on Petrov type II space-times has been partially studied [23] and is good area for the application of the techniques developed in this Thesis.

## Appendix A

## Gröbner Bases

In this Appendix we present a summary based on the references [35], [1], [28] [2].

## A. 1 Basic definitions

Definition A. 1 A commutative ring is a set ( $\mathrm{R},+, \cdot$ ) with the two binary operations $(+)$ and multiplication $(\cdot)$ defined on $R$ such that $(R,+)$ is a commutative group, $(R, \cdot)$ is commutative and associative, and the distributive law $a \cdot(b+c)=a b+a c$ holds $\forall a, b, c, \in R$.

Definition A. 2 A field ( $\mathrm{K},+, \cdot$ ) is a commutative ring in which every nonzero element has a multiplicative inverse.

Definition A. 3 Let $N$ denote the non-negative integers. Let $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ be a power vector in $N^{n}$, and let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be any $n$ variables. Then a monomial $x^{\alpha}$ is defined as the product $x^{\alpha}=x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdot x_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}$. The total degree of the monomial $x^{\alpha}$ is defined as $|\alpha|=\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}$.

Definition A. 4 A multivariate polynomial $f$ in $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ with coefficients in a field $K$ is a finite linear combination $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$, of monomials $x^{\alpha}$ and coefficients $a_{\alpha} \in \mathrm{K}$. The total degree of the polynomial $f$ is defined as the maximum $|\alpha|$ such that $a_{\alpha} \neq 0$.

We denote the set of all multivariate polynomials in $\mathbf{x}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n}}\right)$ with coefficients in a field by $K[x]$. It can be shown that $K[x]$ forms a commutative ring. It is called a polynomial ring.

Definition A. 5 A nonempty subset $I$ of a noncommutative ring K is called an ideal of K if, $\forall x, y \in I$ and $r \in \mathrm{~K}$,
(i) $x-y \in I$,
(ii) $x \cdot r$ and $r \cdot x \in I$.

Definition A. 6 Let $F=\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right\}$ be a set of multivariate polynomials. Then the ideal generated by $F$, denotated by $I=\langle F\rangle=\left\langle f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right\rangle$, is given by

$$
\left\langle f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right\rangle=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{\dot{1}} h_{i} f_{i}: h_{1}, \ldots, h_{z} \in \mathrm{~K}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]\right\} .
$$

The polynomials $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}$ are said to form a basis for the ideal they generate and, since $F$ is finite, we say that the ideal is finitely generated. The two polynomials are said to be equivalent with respect to an ideal if their difference belongs to the ideal.

Theorem A. 7 (Hilbert Basis Theorem). In the ring $K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ the following properties are satisfied:
(i) If $I$ is any ideal of $K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, then there exist polynomials $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s} \in$ $\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ such that $I=\left\langle f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right\rangle$.
(ii) If $I_{1} \subseteq I_{2} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq I_{n} \subseteq \cdots$ is an ascending chain of ideals of $K\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{n}\right]$, then there exists $N$ such that $I_{N}=I_{N+1}=I_{N+2}=\cdots$.

Thus, according to Hilbert Basis Theorem, every ideal is finitely generated. Every ideal can be generated by different bases, since we can always add any linear combination of the generators, or suppress one of them if it is a linear combination of the others. In general, it is a difficult problem to decide whether a given polynomial is a member of an arbitrary ideal. This problem can be considered as an instance of the "zero-equivalence" problem. For example, deciding if a polynomial $h \in I=\left\langle f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right\rangle$ is the same as deciding if $h$ simplifies to 0 with respect to the side relations $f_{1}=0, \ldots, f_{s}=0$. Also, the problem of solving a polynomial system of equations $f_{1} \doteq 0, \ldots, f_{s}=0$, where each $f_{i} \in \mathrm{~K}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$, is equivalent to finding a "reduced" basis for the ideal $\left\langle f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right\rangle$, i.e., a basis in which the system assumes a simpler form.

What the Gröbner bases theory provides is an algorithm that leads to the determination of a standard basis for a polynomial ideal, where this standard or reduced basis always exists and from which the existence and uniqueness of solutions (or even the solutions themselves) may be easily determined.

## A. 2 Monomial ordering

As we shall see, the computation of Gröbner bases is very sensitive to the choice of the monomial ordering. Let us consider polynomials in $\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. For the variables $x_{i}$ we assume the ordering $x_{1}>x_{2}>\ldots>x_{n-1}>x_{n}$.

Definition A. 8 An admissible total ordering $>$ on $N^{n}$ is defined by the two conditions:

1. $\forall \alpha \in N^{n}, \alpha>0$.
2. $\forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in N^{n}, \alpha>\beta \Rightarrow \alpha+\gamma>\beta+\gamma$.

An admissible ordering establishes a one-to-one correspondence between $N^{n}$ and the monomials $\mathrm{x}^{\alpha}=\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}-\mathrm{x}_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots \mathrm{x}_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}$ in $\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{n}\right]$, i.e., if $>$ is an admissible ordering on $N^{n}$ then $>$ is an ordering on the monomials, $\alpha>\beta \Rightarrow x^{\alpha}>x^{\beta}$. Among the several different monomial orderings we consider only the three most important ones:

Definition A. 9 Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be in $N^{n}$. We define the following monomial orderings:

1. Pure lexicographic order (plex): $\alpha>_{\text {plex }} \beta \Longleftrightarrow$ the left-most nonzero entry in $\alpha-\beta$ is positive.
2. Graded lexicographic order (grlex): $\alpha>_{\text {grlex }} \beta \Longleftrightarrow|\alpha|>|\beta|$ or $|\alpha|=|\beta|$ and $\alpha>_{\text {plex }} \beta$.
3. Graded reverse lexicographic order (grevlex): $\alpha>_{\text {grevlex }} \beta \Longleftrightarrow|\alpha|>|\beta|$ or $|\alpha|=|\beta|$ and the right-most nonzero entry in $\alpha-\beta$ is negative.

The orderings grlex and grevlex are also called total degree ordering. In Maple the grevlex order is referred by tdeg.

Definition A. 10 Given a particular admissible ordering $>$ and a nonzero polynomial $h \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, we define:
-Multidegree of $h:$ multideg $(h)=\max \left(\alpha \in N^{n}, a_{\alpha} \neq 0\right)$.

- Leading monomial of $f: L M(f)=x^{\text {multideg }(f)}$.
- Leading coefficient of $f: L C(f)=a_{\text {multideg }(f)}$.
- Leading term of $f: L T(f)=L C(f) \cdot L M(f)$.


## A. 3 Polynomial reduction

The reduction method for the case of multivariate polynomials is a generalization of the reduction process known for linear and univariate polynomials (long division), normally used for solving systems of equations. The basic idea consists of the following: when dividing $f$ by $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}$, we have to cancel terms of $f$ using the leading terms of the $f_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ (so the new terms which are introduced are smaller than the cancelled terms) and continue this process until it cannot be done any more.

Definition A. 11 Given $f, g, h$ in $K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, with $g \neq 0, a \in K-0$, we say that $f$ reduces to $h$ modulo $g$ in one step, denoted by $f \xrightarrow{g} h$, if and only if $L M(g)$ divides a nonzero term $a x^{\alpha}$ of $f$ and

$$
h=f-\frac{a x^{\alpha}}{L T(g)} g .
$$

Example A. 12 Consider the polynomials $f=6 x^{4}+13 x^{3}-6 x+1, g=3 x^{2}+5 x-1$. Then, if we decide to reduce the first term of $f$ we get $f \xrightarrow{g} h$, where

$$
h=f-\frac{6 x^{4}}{3 x^{2}} g=3 x^{3}+2 x^{2}-6 x+1 .
$$

If we start by reducing the term of degree 3 of $f$, we obtain

$$
h=f-\frac{13 x^{3}}{3 x^{2}} g=6 x^{4}-\frac{65}{3} x^{2}-\frac{5}{3} x+1
$$

In both cases we could continue the reduction until we get. 0 , since in fact $g$ divides $f$.
Example A. 13 Let $f=6 x^{2} y-x+4 y^{3}-1$ and $g=2 x y+y^{3}$. If we use the plex ordering with $x>y$, then $L T(g)=2 x y, a x^{\alpha}=6 x^{2} y$, and $f \xrightarrow{g} h$, where

$$
h=f-\frac{6 x^{2} y}{2 x y} g=-3 x y^{3}-x+4 y^{3}-1 .
$$

We can continue the process to get

$$
f \xrightarrow{g}-3 x y^{3}-x+4 y^{3}-1 \xrightarrow{g}-x+\frac{3}{2} y^{5}+4 y^{3}-1 .
$$

Since no term in the last polynomial is divisible by $L T(g)=2 x y$, the process cannot continue. If we use grlex ordering, with $x>y$, then $L T(g)=y^{3}$, and $a x^{\alpha}=4 y^{3}$ and $f \xrightarrow{g} h$, where

$$
h=f-\frac{4 y^{3}}{y^{3}} g=6 x^{2} y-8 x y-x-1 .
$$

Here $h$ cannot be reduced further since it does not contain any term which is divisible by $L T(g)=y^{3}$.

In the multivariate case we usually have to make reductions modulo many polynomials at a time. Thus, the following Definition is necessary:

Definition A. 14 Let $f, h$, and $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}$ be polynomials in $K\left[\mathbf{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n}}\right]$, with $f_{i} \neq 0$, ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) and let $F=\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right\}$. We say that $f$ reduces to $h$ modulo $F$, denoted $f \xrightarrow{F}+h$, if and only if there exist a sequence of indices $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{t} \in 1, \ldots, s$ and a sequence of polynomials $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{t-1} \in \mathrm{~K}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ such that

$$
f \xrightarrow{f_{i_{1}}} h_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{i_{2}}} h_{2} \xrightarrow{f_{i_{3}}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{i_{t-1}}} h_{t-1} \xrightarrow{f_{i_{2}}} h .
$$

Example A. 15 Let $F=\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}\right\}$, where $f_{1}=y x-y, \dot{f}_{2}=y^{2}-x$, and $f=y^{2} x$. Using grlex order we have $f \xrightarrow{F}+x$, since $y^{2} x \xrightarrow{f_{1}} y^{2} \xrightarrow{f_{2}} x$.

Definition A. 16 A polynomial $r$ is said to be reduced with respect to a set of nonzero polynomials $F=f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}$ if $r=0$ or no power product that appears in $r$ is divisible by any one of the $L T\left(f_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, s$, i.e., $r$ cannot be reduced modulo $F$.

Definition A.17 If $f \xrightarrow{F}+r$ and $r$ is reduced with respect to $F$, then we call $r$ a remainder for $f$ with respect to $F$. The polynomial $r$ is also called a normal form of $f$.

Usually an ordering among the polynomials in the set $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}$ is taken by choosing $i$ to be the smallest integer such that $L T\left(f_{i}\right)$ divides $L T(f)$ [28]. The multivariate division procedure described above can be presented in the following algorithmic form.

| Input: $f, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s} \in \mathrm{~K}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ with $f_{i} \neq 0(1 \leq i \leq s)$ |
| :--- |
| Output: $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{s}, r$ such that $f=u_{1} f_{1}+\cdots+u_{s} f_{s}+r$ and |
| $r$ is reduced with respect to $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right\}$ and |
| max $\left(L M\left(u_{1}\right) L M\left(f_{1}\right), \ldots, L M\left(u_{s}\right) L M\left(f_{s}\right), L M(r)\right)=L M(f)$ |
| Initial Values: $u_{1}:=0, u_{2}:=0, \ldots, u_{s}:=0, r:=0, h:=f$. |
| While $h \neq 0$ do |
| If there exists $i$ such that $L M\left(f_{i}\right)$ divides $L M(h)$ then |
| choose $i$ least such that $L M\left(f_{i}\right)$ divides $L M(h)$ |
| $u_{i}:=u_{i}+\frac{L T(h)}{L T\left(f_{i}\right)}$ |
| $h:=h-\frac{L T(h)}{L T\left(f_{i}\right)} f_{i}$ |
| else |
| $r:=r+L T(h)$ |
| $h:=h-L T(h)$ |

This algorithm provides the proof for the following Theorem.
Theorem A. 18 Given a set of non-zero polynomials $F=\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right\}$ and $f$ in $\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{n}\right]$, then $\exists u_{1}, \ldots, u_{s}, r \in \mathrm{~K}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{n}\right]$ such that $f=u_{1} f_{1}+\cdots+u_{s} f_{s}+r$ and either $r=0$ or $r$ is a completely reduced polynomial.

With $f$ written as in the Theorem A.18, we have $f-r \in\left\langle f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right\rangle$. Therefore, if $r=0$, then $f$ is in $\left\langle f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right\rangle$. However, the converse is not necessarily true, i.e., we may have $f \in\left\langle f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right\rangle$ and $r \neq 0$, as we can see in the following example:

Example A. 19 Let $f=y^{2} x-x, F=\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}\right\}, I=\left\langle f_{1}, f_{2}\right\rangle$, where $f_{1}=y x-y$, $f_{2}=y^{2}-x$. Using grlex term with $y>x$, we obtain, according to the Division Algorithm, $f \xrightarrow{f_{1}} y^{2}-x \xrightarrow{f_{2}} 0$. Thus $f \xrightarrow{F}+0$, and indeed, $f=y f_{1}+f_{2}$, so $f \in I$. However, if we start the reduction process with $f_{2}$ instead of $f_{1}$, we get $f \xrightarrow{F}+x^{2}-x$, so the remainder
of the division of $f$ by $\left\{f_{2}, f_{1}\right\}$ is nonzero, but $f \in\left\langle f_{1}, f_{2}\right\rangle$. This fact shows that the Multivariate Division Algorithm is of limited usefulness. In the next sections we describe how Gröbner-Buchberger theory deals with these difficulties.

## A. 4 Gröbner bases

Definition A. 20 A set of non-zero polynomials $G=\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t}\right\}$ contained in an ideal $I$ is called a Gröbner basis for $I$ if and only if for all non-zero $f \in I$, there exists $i \in\{1, \ldots, t\}$ such that $L T\left(g_{i}\right)$ divides $L T(f)$. In other words, if $G$ is a Gröbner basis for $I$, then there are no non-zero polynomials in $I$ reduced with respect to $G$.

There are other useful characterizations of a Gröbner basis, which will be presented in the next Theorem. We first need the following definition:

Definition A. 22 For a subset $S$ of $\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$, the leading term ideal of $S$ is defined as the ideal

$$
\begin{equation*}
L t(S)=\langle L T(s) \mid s \in S\rangle \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem A. 23 Let $I$ be a non-zero ideal of $K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. The following are equivalent for a set of non-zero polynomials $G=\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t}\right\} \subseteq I$ :
(i) $G$ is a Gröbner basis for $I$,
(ii) $f \in I \Longleftrightarrow f \xrightarrow{G}+0$,
(iii) $f \in I \Longleftrightarrow f=\sum_{i=1}^{t} h_{i} g_{i}$, with $L M(f)=\max _{1 \leq i \leq t}\left(L M\left(h_{i}\right) \cdot L M\left(g_{i}\right)\right)$,
(iv) $L t(G)=L t(I)$.

Proof:
(i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii). Let $f \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. Then, by Theorem A.18, there exists $r \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, reduced to $G$, such that $f \xrightarrow{G}_{+} r$. Thus $f-r \in I$ and so $f \in I$ if and only if $r \in I$. If $\boldsymbol{r}=0$ then, of course, $f \in I$. Conversely, if $f \in I$ and $r \neq 0$ then $r \in I$ and, by (i), $\exists i \in\{1, \ldots, t\}$ such that $L M\left(g_{i}\right)$ divides $L M(r)$. this contradicts the fact that $r$ is reduced with respect to $G$. Thus $r=0$ and $f \xrightarrow{G}+0$.
(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii). Follows immediately from Theorem A.18.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). Clearly, $L t(G) \subseteq L t(I)$. To prove the reverse inclusion, we notice that from (iii) it follows that $L T(f)=\sum_{i} L T\left(h_{i}\right) L T\left(g_{i}\right)$, where the sum is over all $i$ such that $L M(f)=L M\left(H_{i}\right) L M\left(g_{i}\right)$. This implies that for all $f \in I, L T(f) \in L t(G)$ and thus, $L t(I) \subseteq L t(G)$.
(iv) $\Longrightarrow$ (i). For $f \in I$ we have $L T(f) \in L t(G)$ and thus $L T(f)=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{t} h_{i} L T\left(g_{i}\right)$ for some $h_{i} \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. Expanding the right side of this equation it is clear that each term is divisible by some $L M\left(g_{i}\right)$. Thus $L T(f)$ is also divisible by some $L M\left(g_{i}\right)$, as required.

Corollary A. 24 If $G=\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{i}\right\}$ is a Gröbner basis for the ideal $I$, then $I=$ $\left\langle g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t}\right\rangle$.
Proof: We must have $\left\langle g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t}\right\rangle \subseteq I$, since each $g_{i}$ is in $I$. For the reverse inclusion, let


Let us consider now the special case of ideals generated by monomials.
Lemma A. 25 Let $I$ be an ideal generated by a set $S$ of nonzero terms, and let $f \in \mathrm{~K}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$. Then $f$ is in $I$ if and only if for every monomial $X$ appearing in $f$ there exists a monomial $Y \in S$ such that $Y$ divides $X$. Moreover, there exists a finite subset $S_{0}$ of $S$ such that $I=\left\langle S_{0}\right\rangle$.
Proof: If $f \in I$ then $f=\sum_{i=1}^{l} h_{i} X_{i}$, where $h_{i} \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ and $X_{i} \in S$, for $i=1, \ldots, l$. Thus, every term of the left-hand side of this equation must be divisible by some $X_{i} \in S$. Conversely, if for every term $X$ appearing in $f$ there exists a term $Y \in\langle S\rangle$ such that $Y$ divides $X$. For the last statement we note that, according to the Hilbert Basis Theorem (Theorem A.7), $I$ has a finite generating set. By the first part of the the lemma, each term of each member of this generating set is divisible by an element od $S$. Thus, the finite set $S_{0}$ of such divisors is a generating set for $\langle I\rangle$.

Corollary A. 26 Every non-zero ideal $I$ of $K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ has a Gröbner basis. Proof: According to Lemma A.25, the leading term ideal $\operatorname{Lt(I)}$ has a finite generating set of the form $\left\{L T\left(g_{1}\right), \ldots, L T\left(g_{t}\right)\right\}$, with $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t} \in I$. If we let $G=\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t}\right\}$, then $L t(G)=L t(I)$. Thus, by Theorem A.23, $G$ is a Gröbner basis.

In order to make use of a shorter terminology, from now on we say that a subset $G=\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t}\right\}$ of $K\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{n}\right]$ is a Gröbner basis if and only if it is a Gröbner basis for the ideal $\langle G\rangle$ it generates.

Theorem A. 27 Let $G=\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t}\right\}$ be a set of non-zero polynomials in $K\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{n}\right]$. Then $G$ is a Gröbner basis if and only if $\forall f \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, the remainder of the division of $f$ by $G$ is unique.

The proof for this Theorem is straightforward but long, and can be found in [1].
Example A. 28 Let us consider Example A. 19 again, where $f_{F}=y x^{2}-x, f_{1}=y x-x$, $f_{2}=y^{2}-x$, and $F=\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}\right\}$. We have already verified that $f \xrightarrow{F}+0$ or $f \xrightarrow{F}_{+} \boldsymbol{x}^{2}-x$, depending of the order in which $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are taken to make the reduction. Thus,
according to Theorem A.27, $F$ is not a Gröbner basis. There is another way to prove this. Since $f=y f_{1}+f_{2} \in\left\langle f_{1}, f_{2}\right\rangle$, and $f \xrightarrow{F}+x^{2}-x$, we have $\boldsymbol{x}^{2}-x \in\left\langle f_{1}, f_{2}\right\rangle$. However, $L M\left(x^{2}-x\right)=x^{2}$ is not divisible by either $L M(f 1)=x y$ or $L M\left(f_{2}\right)=y^{2}$. Thus, by Definition A.20, $F$ is not a Gröbner basis.

## A. 5 Buchberger's algorithm

The preceding section proved the existence of a Gröbner basis for an ideal $I$. We shall now be concerned with a method to find Gröbner bases. As we have already seen in Example A.19, the multivariate algorithm alone has limitated usefulness, since we can have $f \in I=\left\langle f_{1}, \ldots, f_{\mathbf{l}}\right\rangle$, where the leading power products of $f$ are not divisible by any $L M\left(f_{i}\right)$. Let us analyse this ambiguity in a more systematic way. Namely, in the division of $f$ by $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}$, it may happen that some term $X$ appearing in $F$ is divisible by both $L M\left(f_{i}\right)$ and $L M\left(f_{j}\right)$, for $i \neq j$. If we reduce $f$ using $f_{i}$ we get the polynomial $h_{1}=$ $f-\frac{X}{L T\left(f_{i}\right)} f_{i}$, and if we reduce $f$ using $f_{j}$, we get $h_{2}=f-\frac{X}{L T\left(f_{j}\right)} f_{j}$. Thus, the introduced ambiguity is given by $h_{2}-h_{1}=\frac{X}{L T\left(f_{i}\right)} f_{i}-\frac{X}{L T\left(f_{j}\right)} f_{j}$. SInce $X$ must be also divisible by $L=\operatorname{LCM}\left(L M\left(f_{i}\right), L M\left(f_{j}\right)\right)$, where LCM denotes the "least common product", we can rewrite this equation as $h_{2}-h_{1}=\frac{X}{L}\left(\frac{L f_{i}}{L T\left(f_{i}\right)}-\frac{L f_{j}}{L T\left(f_{j}\right)}\right)=\frac{X}{L} S\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right)$, where $S\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right)=$ $\frac{L f_{i}}{L T\left(f_{i}\right)}-\frac{L f_{j}}{L T\left(f_{j}\right)}$. This leads us to the following definition.

Definition A. 29 Let $0 \neq f, g \in K\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$, and let $L=\operatorname{LCM}(L M(f), L M(g))$. We define the $S$-polynomial of $f$ and $g$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right):=\frac{L f_{i}}{L T\left(f_{i}\right)}-\frac{L f_{j}}{L T\left(f_{j}\right)} \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem A. 30 Let $G=\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t}\right\}$ be a set of non-zero polynomials in $K\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$. Then $G$ is a Gröbner basis for the ideal $I=\left\langle g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t}\right\rangle$ if and only if for all $i \neq j$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{G}+0 . \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove this theorem, we need to introduce the following lemma:
Lemma A. 31 Let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s} \in \mathrm{~K}\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ be such that $L M\left(f_{i}\right)=X \neq 0, \forall i=$ $1, \ldots, s$. Let $f=\sum_{i=1}^{f} c_{i} f_{i}$, with $c_{i} \in \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{i}=1, \ldots$, s. If $L M(f)<X$, then $f$ is a linear combination, with coefficients in $K$, of $S\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right), 1 \leq i \leq j \leq s$.
Proof: Let $f_{1}=a_{i} X+$ lower terms, $a_{i} \in K$. Then the hypothesis asserts that $\sum_{i=1}^{*} c_{i} a_{i}=$ 0 , since $\sum_{i=1}^{f} c_{i}\left(a_{i} X+\right.$ lower terms $)$ and $L M(f)<X$. Since $L M\left(f_{i}\right)=L M\left(f_{j}\right)=X$, the

S-polynomials will take the form $S\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right):=\frac{1}{a_{i}} f_{i}-\frac{1}{a_{j}} f_{j}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f=c_{1} f_{1}+\cdots+c_{s} f_{s}=c_{1} a_{1}\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}} f_{1}\right)+\cdots+c_{s} a_{s}\left(\frac{1}{a_{s}} f_{s}\right) \\
& \left.\left.=c_{1} a_{1}\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}} f_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{a_{2}} f_{2}\right)+\left(c_{1} a_{1}+c_{2} a_{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{a_{2}} f_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{a_{3}} f_{3}\right)+\cdots \\
& \left.+\left(c_{1} a_{1}+\cdots+c_{s-1} a_{s-1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{a_{s-1}} f_{s-1}\right)-\frac{1}{a_{s}} f_{s}\right)+\left(c_{1} a_{1}+\cdots c_{s} a_{s}\right) \frac{1}{a_{s}} f_{s} \\
& =c_{1} a_{1} S\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)+\left(c_{1} a_{1}+c_{2} a_{2}\right) S\left(f_{2}, f_{3}\right)+\cdots+\left(c_{1} a_{1}+\cdots+c_{s-1} a_{s-1}\right) S\left(f_{s-1}, f_{s}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem A.30: If $G=g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t}$ is a Gröbner basis for $I=\left\langle g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t}\right\rangle$, then, by Theorem A. 23 (ii) $, S\left(g_{i}, g_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{G}+0, \forall i \neq j$, since $S\left(g_{i}, g_{j}\right) \in I$. Conversely, let us assume that $S\left(g_{i}, g_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{G}+0, \forall i \neq j$. Let $f \in I$. Then it can be written as $f=\sum_{i=1}^{t} h_{i} g_{i}$, with $X=\max _{1 \leq i \leq t}\left(L M\left(h_{i}\right) L M\left(g_{i}\right)\right)$. According to Theorem A. 23 (iii), if we prove that $X=L M(f)$, then we are done. Let us assume, on the contrary, that $L M(f)<X$. Let $S=\left\{i \mid L M\left(h_{i}\right) L M\left(g_{i}\right)=X\right\}$. For $i \in S$ we can write $h_{i}=c_{1} X_{i}+$ lower terms. Define $g=\sum_{i \in S} c_{i} X_{i} g_{i}$. Then $L M\left(X_{i} g_{i}\right)=X, \forall i \in S$, and $L M(g)<X$ (since $\left.L M(f)<X\right)$. By Lemma A.31, there exist $d_{i j} \in K$ such that $g=\sum_{i, j \in S, i \neq j} d_{i j} S\left(X_{i} g_{i}, X_{j}, g_{j}\right)$. Since $X=L C M\left(L M\left(X_{i} g_{i}\right), L M\left(X_{j} g_{j}\right)\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
S\left(X_{i} g_{i}, X_{j}, g_{j}\right)=\frac{X}{L T\left(X_{i} g_{i}\right)} X_{i} g_{i}-\frac{X}{L T\left(X_{j} g_{j}\right)} X_{j} g_{j} \\
=\frac{X}{L T\left(g_{i}\right)} g_{i}-\frac{X}{L T\left(g_{j}\right)} g_{j}=\frac{X}{X_{i j}} S\left(g_{i} g_{j}\right)
\end{array}
$$

where $X_{i j}=L C M\left(L M\left(g_{i}\right), L M\left(g_{j}\right)\right)$. Since $S\left(g_{i}, g_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{G}+0$, we have $S\left(X_{i} g_{i}, X_{j} g_{j}\right)$ $\xrightarrow{G}+0$. Thus, by Theorem A. 23 (iii) we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(X_{i} g_{i}, X_{j} g_{j}\right)=\sum_{\nu=1}^{t} h_{i j \nu} g_{\nu} \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{1 \leq \nu \leq t}\left(L T\left(h_{i j \nu}\right) L T\left(g_{\nu}\right)=L T\left(S\left(X_{i} g_{i}, X_{j} g_{j}\right)<\max \left(L T\left(X_{i} g_{i}\right), L T\left(X_{j} g_{j}\right)\right)=X\right.\right. \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

However,

$$
f=\sum_{i=1}^{t} h_{i} g_{i}=\sum_{i=1, i \notin S}^{t} \text { (lower terms) } g_{i}+\sum_{i \in S} c_{i} X_{i} g_{i}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \in S} c_{i} X_{i} g_{i}=g=\sum_{i, j \in S, i \neq j} d_{i j} S\left(X_{i} g_{i}, X_{j}, g_{j}\right)=\sum_{\nu=1}^{t} \sum_{i, j \in S, i \neq j} d_{i j} h_{i j \nu} g_{\nu} \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{i=1}^{t} h_{j}^{\prime} g_{j} \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (A.4), (A.5), (A.6) and (A.7), we obtain, finally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{1 \leq j \leq t}\left(L T\left(H_{j}^{\prime}\right) L T\left(g_{j}\right)\right)<X, \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contradiction.
Buchberger's Theorem provides a strategy for computing Gröbner bases: reduce the Spolynomials and, if a remainder is non-zero, add this remainder to the list of polynomials in the generating set. Continue this until there are enough polynomials to make all Spolynomials reduce to zero. In other words, given a set $F=\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{t}\right\}, f_{j} \in K\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{3}\right]$, we start testing $F$ by checking whether $S\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{F}+0, \forall f_{i}, f_{j} \in F, i \neq j$. If we find a pair $\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right)$ such that $S\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{F}{ }_{+} \neq 0$, then $\langle F\rangle=\langle F, r\rangle$ (since $S\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right) \in\langle F\rangle$ ), and $S\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{F \cup\{r\}}+0$. The procedure is repeated for all pairs ( $f_{i}, f_{j}$ ) formed in the updated generating set, until the process terminates.

Example A. 32 Let $G=\left\{g_{1}, g_{2}\right\}$ with $g_{1}=4 x^{2} z-7 y^{2}, g_{2}=x y z^{2}+3 x z^{4}$. We use plex ordering with $x>y>z$. Thus, in the first step we get

$$
S\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=12 x^{2} z^{4}-7 y^{3} z \xrightarrow{G}+-21 z^{3} y^{2}-7 y^{3} z=g_{3} .
$$

The generating set is now $G=\left\{g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right\}$. The S-polynomials to be considered now are $S\left(g_{1}, g_{3}\right)$ and $S\left(g_{2}, g_{3}\right)$. If we pick the first pair we get $S\left(g_{1}, g_{3}\right) \xrightarrow{G}+49 y^{5}+1323 z^{6} y^{2}=$ $g_{4}$, and $G$ is updated to $G=\left\{g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}, g_{4}\right\}$. The S-polynomials to be considered now are $S\left(g_{2}, g_{3}\right), S\left(g_{1}, g_{4}\right), S\left(g_{2}, g_{4}\right)$, and $S\left(g_{3}, g_{4}\right)$. If we compute each of these Spolynomials and reduce them modulo $G$, we find that they all reduce to zero. Hence, $G=\left\{g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}, g_{4}\right\}$ is a Gröbner basis. Buchberger's Algorithm for computing Gröbner basis is as follows:

```
Input: \(F=\left\{f_{1}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right\} \subseteq K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]\) with \(f_{i} \neq 0(1 \leq i \leq s)\)
Output: \(G:=\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t}\right\}\), a Gröbner basis for \(\left\langle f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right\rangle\)
Initialization: \(G:=F, M:=\left\{\left\{f_{i}, f_{j}\right\} \mid f_{i} \neq f_{j} \in G\right\}\)
While \(M \neq 0\) do
Choose any \(\{f, g\} \in M\)
\(M:=M-\{\{f, g\}\}\)
\(S(f, g) \xrightarrow{G} h\)
If \(h \neq 0\) then
\(M ;=M \cup\{\{u, h\} \mid \forall u \in G\}\)
\(G:=G \cup\{h\}\)
```

We can show that this algorithm terminates. Let us suppose the contrary. Then, as the algorithm progresses, we obtain a strictly increasing infinite sequence $G_{1} \subseteq G_{2} \subseteq \cdots$. Each $G_{i}$ is obtained from $G_{i-1}$, by adding some $h \in I$ to $G_{i-1}$, where $h$ is the nonzero reduction, with respect to $G_{i-1}$, of an $S$-polynomial formed by a pair of elements of $G_{i-1}$. Since $h$ is reduced with respect to $G_{i-1}$, we have $L T(h) \notin \operatorname{Lt}\left(G_{i-1}\right)$. Thus, $L t\left(G_{1}\right) \subseteq L t\left(G_{2}\right) \subseteq \cdots$. This is a strictly ascending chain of ideals which contradicts the Hilbert Basis Theorem.

Example A. 33 Let $F=\left\{g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right\}$, where $g_{1}=x^{2} z-y^{2}, g_{2}=y z^{2}+z, g_{3}=y-z$. We use plex ordering with $x>y>z$.
Initialization: $G=F=\left\{g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right\}, M=\left\{\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right),\left(g_{1}, g_{3}\right),\left\{g_{2}, g_{3}\right)\right\}$.
First pass through the while loop:
Choose the pair $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$
$M=\left\{\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right),\left(g_{2}, g_{3}\right)\right\}$
$S\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=x^{2} z+y^{3} z \xrightarrow{G}+z^{4}+z^{2}=h \neq 0$
$M=\left\{\left(g_{1}, g_{3}\right),\left(g_{2}, g_{3}\right),\left(g_{4}, g_{1}\right),\left(g_{4}, g_{2}\right),\left(g_{4}, g_{3}\right)\right\}$
$G=\left\{g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}, g_{4}\right\}$
Second pass through the while loop:
Choose the pair $\left(g_{1}, g_{3}\right)$
$M=\left\{\left(g_{2}, g_{3}\right),\left(g_{4}, g_{1}\right),\left(g_{4}, g_{2}\right),\left(g_{4}, g_{3}\right)\right\}$
$S\left(g_{1}, g_{3}\right)=x z^{2}-y^{3} \xrightarrow{G}+0=h$
Choose the pair $\left(g_{2}, g_{3}\right)$
$M=\left\{\left(g_{4}, g_{1}\right),\left(g_{4}, g_{2}\right),\left(g_{4}, g_{3}\right)\right\}$
$S\left(g_{2}, g_{3}\right)=z+z^{3} \xrightarrow{G}+z^{3}+z=h \neq 0$
$M=\left\{\left(g_{4}, g_{1}\right),\left(g_{4}, g_{2}\right),\left(g_{4}, g_{3}\right),\left(g_{5}, g_{1},\left(g_{5}, g_{2}\right),\left(g_{5}, g_{3}\right),\left(g_{5}, g_{4}\right)\right\}\right.$
$G=\left\{g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}, g_{4}, g_{5}\right\}$
Third pass through the while loop:
All pairs of $M$ reduce to zero modulo $G$
$M=0$
$G=\left\{g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}, g_{4}, g_{5}\right\}$
where $g_{1}=x^{2} z-y^{2}, g_{2}=y z^{2}+z, g_{3}=y-z, g_{4}=z^{4}+z^{2}, g_{5}=z^{3}+z$.
From this example we observe the following facts:

1. The computed Gröbner basis $G$ is not reduced:
$-g_{4}=z g_{5}$, and therefore the ideal generated by $G$ does not change. Thus $g_{4}$ can be eliminated.
$-L T\left(g_{3}\right)$ divides $L T\left(g_{2}\right)$, so $g_{3}$ can also be eliminated.
2. There are some reductions of S-polynomials that are unnecessary. For example, the
fact that $L T\left(g_{1}\right)=x^{2} z$ and $L T\left(g_{3}\right)=y$ are relatively prime assures us that $S\left(g_{1}, g_{3}\right)$ reduces to zero without carrying out the reduction.

These facts give a hint for some improvements to Buchberger's algorithm which will be be described in the next section.

## A. 6 Improved Gröbner bases

As we saw in the previous section, Buchberger's algorithm consists basically of two steps: the computation of S-polynomials and their reduction. A possible problem that might arise is the possibly very large number of S-polynomials that must be computed and reduced. As the computation progresses the number of polynomials in the basis gets larger, and therefore, each time a new polynomial is added to the basis, the number of S-polynomials to compute also increases. Since the proportion of S-polynomials which reduce to zero eventually increases as we get far in the algorithm, a huge amount of computation might be performed for very little gain, since few new polynomials are added to the basis. Indeed, at some point, the computation of S-polynomials and their reductions are useless except for the fact that they verify that we really have a Gröbner basis. In order to improve this situation one has to find a way to predict which S-polynomials reduce to zero without actually computing or reducing them.

A starting point towards the desired improvements is given by the following theorem:
Theorem A. 34 Let $f, g \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right]$ be non-zero polynomials. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $L C M(L M(f), L M(g))=L M(f) L M(g)$, i.e., $L M(f)^{\circ}$ and $L M(g)$ are relatively prime.
(ii) $S(f, g) \xrightarrow{G}+0$.

In particular, $\{f, g\}$ is a Gröbner basis if and only if $L M(f)$ and $L M(g)$ are relatively prime.

A proof for this theorem can be find in [1], p.125, although it is formulated there in a slightly different form.

This theorem gives a criterion for a priori zero reduction: during Buchberger's algorithm, whenever $f$ and $g$ are such that $L M(f)$ and $L M(g)$ are relatively prime, it is not necessary to compute $S(f, g)$, since it will reduce to zero, and therefore will not create a new polynomial in the basis. The next criterion is based on the following theorem.

Theorem A. $35 G$ is a Gröbner basis if and only if $\forall f, g \in G$ either

```
(i) \(S(f, g) \xrightarrow{G}_{+} 0\), or (ii) \(\exists h \in G, f \neq g\), such that
\(L M(h)\) divides \(L C M(L M(f), L M(g))\),
\(S(f, h) \xrightarrow{G}+0, S(g, h) \xrightarrow{G}_{+} 0\).
```

The proof is given in [35] , p. 444 .
This theorem implies that if there is an element $f_{k}$ of the basis such that $L M\left(f_{k}\right)$ divides $L C M\left(L M\left(f_{i}, L M\left(f_{j}\right)\right)\right.$ and if $S\left(f_{i}, f_{k}\right)$ and $S\left(f_{j}, f_{k}\right)$ have already been considered, then $S\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right)$ reduces to zero and can be ignored.

A third criterion was proposed by Buchberger and Winkler [17] : In the process of selecting a pair $\left\{f_{i}, f_{j}\right\}$, choose one such that $\operatorname{LCM}\left(L M\left(f_{i} ; L M\left(f_{j}\right)\right)\right.$ has the minimal degree among the pairs.

Another useful improvement is the reduction criterion divides $L M\left(g_{j}\right)$, then $g_{i}$ can be deleted from the basis. We can carry this out by reducing all polynomials in the basis with respect to each other. Each time a new polynomial is adjoined to the basis, all the other polynomials may be reduced using the new polynomial. Such reductions initiate a whole cascade of reductions and cancellations. If this process is carried out systematically, the resulting basis will be reduced.

We can summarize these criteria as follows:
Criterionl $\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right) \Longleftrightarrow L C M\left(L M\left(f_{i}, L M\left(f_{j}\right)\right)\right.$ is of minimal degree.
Criterion2 $\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right) \Longleftrightarrow L C M\left(L M\left(f_{i}, L M\left(f_{j}\right)\right) \neq L M\left(f_{i}\right) \cdot L M\left(f_{j}\right)\right.$.
Criterion $3\left(f_{i}, f_{j}, G\right) \Longleftrightarrow \exists f_{k} \in G$ such that $f_{i} \neq f_{k} \neq f_{j}$, $\operatorname{LCM}\left(L M\left(f_{i}, L M\left(f_{j}\right)\right)\right.$ is a multiple of $L M\left(f_{k}\right)$, and $S\left(f_{j}, f_{k}\right)$ have already been considered.
Criterion $4(G) \Longleftrightarrow$ keep $G$ reduced.
The modified Buchberger's algorithm is given below.

```
Input: \(F=\left\{f_{1}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right\} \subseteq K\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{n}\right]\) with \(f_{i} \neq 0(1 \leq i \leq s)\)
Output: \(G:=\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t}\right\}\), a Gröbner basis for \(\left\langle f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right\rangle\)
Initialization: \(G:=F\), reduce \((G) M:=\left\{\left\{f_{i}, f_{j}\right\} \mid f_{i} \neq f_{j} \in G, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq\right\}, t=s\)
While \(M \neq 0\) do
    \(\left\{f_{i}, f_{j}\right\}:=\) a pair in \(M\) satisfying Criterion \(1\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right)=\) true
    If \(\left(\right.\) Criterion2 \(\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right)=\) true and Criterion \(3\left(f_{i}, f_{j}, G\right)=\) false \()\) then
        \(S\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{G}+h\)
        If \(h \neq 0\) then
            \(t:=t+1\)
            \(f_{t}:=h\)
            \(M:=M v \cup\left\{\left\{f_{i}, f_{t}\right\} \forall 1 \leq i \leq t-1\right\}\)
            \(G:=G \cup\left\{f_{t}\right\}\)
        \(M:=M-\left\{\left\{f_{1}, f_{j}\right\}\right\}\)
        \(G:=\operatorname{Reduce}(G)\)
```

Example A. 36 Let us consider again Example A.33, where $F=\left\{g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right\}, g_{1}=$ $x^{2} z-y^{2}, g_{2}=y z^{2}+z, g_{3}=y-z$, using plex ordering with $x>y>z$.
Initialization: $G=F=\left\{g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right\}$. Since $L M\left(g_{3}\right)$ divides $L M\left(g_{2}\right)$, we detect that $g_{2} \xrightarrow{\left\{g_{1}, g_{3}\right\}}+g_{4}=z^{3}+z$. Thus, $G=\left\{g_{1}, g_{3}, g_{4}\right\}$ and $M=\left\{\left(g_{1}, g_{3}\right),\left(g_{1}, g_{4}\right),\left(g_{3}, g_{4}\right)\right\}$. First pass through the while loop:
Since $\operatorname{LCM}\left(L T\left(g_{1}\right), L T\left(g_{3}\right)\right)=1$ has the minimum degree, we choose $\left(g_{1}, g_{3}\right)$. However, $L T\left(g_{1}\right)$ and $L T\left(g_{3}\right)$ are relatively prime. Thus, by Criterion 2 , this pair can be ignored. For the same reason, the next pair, $\left(g_{3}, g_{4}\right)$, can also be deleted, and the only remaining pair is ( $g_{1}, g_{4}$ ). Thus $S\left(g_{1}, g_{4}\right)=x^{2} z+y^{2} z^{2} \xrightarrow{G}+0$. The algorithm then stops, and the Gröbner basis for the ideal generated by $F$ is, therefore, $G=\left\{g_{1}, g_{3}, g_{4}\right\}=\left\{x^{2} z-z^{2}, y-\right.$ $\left.z, z^{3}+z\right\}$.

Thus, in comparison with its predecessor, the improved version of Buchberger's algorithm provided a reduced Gröbner basis, and instead of ten reductions we needed only three.

## Appendix B

## Spinor Formalism

## B. 1 The NP formalism

We shall use here the two-component spinor formalism of Penrose [67] [69] [24] and the spin-coefficient formalism of Newman and Penrose [64], whose conventions ${ }^{1}$ we follow. In the spinor formalism tensor and spinor indices are related by the complex connection quantities $\sigma_{a}{ }^{A} \dot{A}(a=0, \ldots, 3 ; A=0,1)$ which are usually chosen to be Hermitian in the spinor indices $A, \dot{A}$, and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{a}{ }^{A \dot{A}} \sigma_{B \dot{B}}^{a}=\delta_{B}^{A} \delta_{\dot{B}}^{\dot{A}}, \quad \sigma_{A \dot{B}}^{a} \sigma_{b}{ }^{A \dot{B}}=\delta_{b}^{a} . \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In these equations spinor indices have been lowered by the Levi-Civita symbols defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{A B}=\varepsilon^{A B}=\varepsilon_{[A B]}, \varepsilon_{01}=1 \tag{B.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the conventions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{A}=\xi^{B} \varepsilon_{B A}, \quad \xi^{B}=\varepsilon^{B A} \xi_{A} \tag{B.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{A C} \varepsilon_{B C}=\delta_{B}^{A}=-\delta_{B}^{A}, \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To every tensor $T_{c} \ldots a b \ldots$ we can therefore associate an equivalent spinor defined by the relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{C \dot{C} \ldots \ldots}{ }^{A B \dot{A} \dot{B} \cdots}=\sigma_{a}{ }^{A \dot{A}} \sigma_{b}{ }^{B \dot{B}} \sigma_{C}^{c}{ }_{C \dot{C}} \cdots T_{c \cdots \cdots}{ }^{a b \cdots} . \tag{B.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be verified that the spinor equivalent of a real tensor is Hermitian.

[^16]The correspondence between tensors and spinors will be denoted in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{c \ldots} a b \cdots \leftrightarrow T_{C \dot{C} \cdots}{ }^{A B \dot{A} \dot{B} \cdots} \tag{B.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The invariant associated with a 4 -vector $\xi^{a}$, expressed in terms of the metrics $g_{a b}, \varepsilon_{A B}$ and $\varepsilon_{\dot{A} \dot{B}}$ of the space-time and spinor space, has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{a} \xi^{a}=g_{a b} \xi^{a} \xi^{b}=\xi_{A \dot{B}} \xi^{A \dot{B}}=\varepsilon_{A C} \varepsilon_{\dot{B} \dot{D}} \xi^{A \dot{B}} \xi^{C \dot{D}} \tag{B.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $\xi^{A \dot{B}}=\sigma_{a}{ }^{A \dot{B}} \xi^{a}$ in the above equation we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{a b}=\varepsilon_{A B} \varepsilon_{\dot{C} \dot{D}} \sigma_{a}^{A \dot{C}} \sigma_{b}^{B \dot{D}} \tag{B.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## B. 2 The dyad formalism

We can set up, at each point of space-time, an orthonormal dyad basis $\zeta_{(a)}{ }^{A}$ and $\zeta_{(a)} \dot{A}$ ( $a, \dot{a}=0,1$ and $A, \dot{A}=0,1$ ) for spinors in the same way as we set up an orthonormal tetrad basis $e_{(a)}^{b}(a, b=0,1,2,3)$ for tensors in a tetrad formalism. The dyad indices are included in parentheses. It is convenient, however, to define special symbols for the two basis spinors in the following way

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{(0)}^{A}=o^{A}, \quad \zeta_{(1)}^{A}=\iota^{A} \tag{B.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition of orthonormality is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
o_{A} \iota^{A}=\varepsilon_{A B} O_{\iota}^{A}=o^{0} \iota^{1}-o^{1} \iota^{0}=-o^{A} \iota_{A}=1 \tag{B.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{A B} \zeta_{(a)}^{A} \zeta_{(b)}^{B}=\zeta_{(a) B} \zeta_{(b)}^{B}=-\zeta_{(a)}^{B} \zeta_{(b) B}=\varepsilon_{(a)(b)} \tag{B.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{(a)(b)} \zeta_{(a)}^{A} \zeta_{(b)}^{B}=\zeta_{(0)}^{A} \zeta_{(1)}^{B}-\zeta_{(1)}^{A} \zeta_{(0)}^{B}=o^{A} \iota^{B}-\iota_{\iota^{B}}^{A}=\varepsilon^{A B} \tag{B.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the tetrad formalism, we can project any spinor $\xi_{A}$ onto the dyad basis:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{(a)}=\xi_{A} \zeta_{(a)}^{A} \tag{B.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{(0)}=\xi_{A} o^{A}, \quad \xi_{(1)}=\xi_{A \iota^{A}} \tag{B.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spinors $\delta^{A}$ and $\iota^{A}$ and their complex conjugates determine the null tetrad ( $\ln \mathrm{m} \overline{\mathrm{m}}$ ) by the correspondence

$$
\begin{equation*}
l^{a} \leftrightarrow o^{A} \bar{o}^{\dot{B}}, \quad m^{a} \leftrightarrow o^{A} \bar{l}^{\dot{B}}, \overline{m^{a}} \leftrightarrow \iota^{A} \bar{o}^{\dot{B}}, \quad n^{a} \leftrightarrow \iota^{A} \bar{L}^{\dot{B}} . \tag{B.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

These null vectors satisfy the orthogonality conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
l^{a} n_{a}=o^{A} \bar{o}^{\dot{B}} \iota_{A} \bar{\tau}_{\dot{B}}=1, \quad m^{a} \bar{m}_{a}=o^{A} \bar{L}^{\dot{B}} \iota_{A} \bar{o}_{\dot{B}}=-1 \tag{B.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

while all the remaining inner products are zero. We can determine, from (B.15), the Hermitian representation for the matrices $\sigma_{a}^{A \dot{B}}$ and $\sigma_{A \dot{B}}^{a}$. In a dyad basis we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{(0)(\dot{0})}^{a}=\sigma_{A \dot{B}}^{a} \zeta^{A}{ }_{(0)} \bar{\zeta}^{\dot{B}}{ }_{(\dot{0})}=\sigma_{A \dot{B}}^{a} o^{A} \bar{\sigma}^{\dot{B}}=l^{a},  \tag{B.17}\\
& \sigma_{(0)(\mathrm{i})}^{a}=\sigma_{A \dot{B}}^{a} \zeta_{(0)}^{A} \bar{\zeta}^{\dot{B}}{ }_{(\mathrm{i})}=\sigma_{A \dot{B}}^{a} o^{A} \dot{\iota}^{\dot{B}}=m^{a},  \tag{B.18}\\
& \sigma_{(1)(\dot{0})}^{a}=\sigma_{A \dot{B}}^{a} \zeta_{(1)}^{A} \bar{\zeta}^{\dot{B}}{ }_{(\dot{0})}=\sigma_{A \dot{B}^{a}} A^{A} \bar{o}^{\dot{B}}=\bar{m}^{a},  \tag{B.19}\\
& \sigma_{(1)(\mathrm{i})}^{a}=\sigma_{A \dot{B}}^{a} \zeta_{(1)}^{A} \bar{\zeta}^{\dot{B}}{ }_{(\mathrm{i})}=\sigma_{A \dot{B}^{i}}^{a} A_{\bar{L}} \dot{B}^{(1)}=n^{a} . \tag{B.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\sigma_{(A)(\dot{B})}^{a}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
l^{a} & m^{a}  \tag{B.21}\\
\bar{m}^{a} & n^{a}
\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_{a}^{(A)(\dot{B})}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
n^{a} & -\bar{m}^{a} \\
-m^{a} & l^{a}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The metric tensor, according to (B.8) and (B.21), is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{a b}=\varepsilon_{A B} \varepsilon_{\dot{C} \dot{D}} \sigma_{a}^{A \dot{C}} \sigma_{b}^{B \dot{D}}=2 n_{(a} l_{b)}-2 m_{(a} \bar{m}_{b)} . \tag{B.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the null vectors ( $l^{a}, n^{a}, m^{a}, \bar{m}^{a}$ ), determined from the dyads, are the same as those originally defined in the Newman-Penrose (NP) spin coefficient formalism.

## B. 3 Covariant derivative of spinors

The covariant differentiation of spinor fields can be uniquely defined by the following postulates:
(i) It must satisfy the correspondence relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{a} \leftrightarrow \nabla_{A \dot{B}},  \tag{B.23}\\
& \nabla_{a} X_{b}=X_{b ; a} \leftrightarrow \nabla_{A \dot{B}} X_{C \dot{D}}=X_{C \dot{D} ; A \dot{B}}, \tag{B.24}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{C \dot{D} ; A \dot{B}}=\sigma_{C \dot{D}}^{j} \sigma_{A \dot{B}}^{i} X_{j ; i} \tag{B.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) The covariant differentiation of spinor fields satisfies the Leibnitz rule:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{A \dot{B}}\left(S_{\cdots}^{\cdots} \times T^{\cdots} \cdots\right)=T^{\cdots} \ldots \nabla_{A \dot{B}}\left(S^{\cdots} \ldots\right)+S^{\cdots} \ldots \nabla_{A \dot{B}}\left(T^{\cdots} \ldots\right) \tag{B.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ "... are any two spinor fields.
(iii) The operator $\nabla_{A \dot{B}}$ is real, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{A \dot{B}}=\vec{\nabla}_{\dot{A} B} \tag{B.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a way analogous to the case of the tetrad formalism, we define the intrinsic derivative of the dyadic component $\xi_{(c)}$ of a spinor along the "direction" (a)(b) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{(c) \mid(a)(\dot{b})}=\zeta_{(c)}{ }^{C} \xi_{C_{;} A \dot{B}} \zeta_{(a)}{ }^{A} \zeta_{(b)}{ }^{B}, \tag{B.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{(c) \mid A \dot{B}}=\zeta_{(c)}{ }^{c} \xi_{C ; A \dot{B}} \tag{B.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (B.25), (B.1) and the Leibnitz rule it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{C \dot{D} ; A \dot{B}}^{a}=0, \quad \sigma_{a}^{C \dot{D}}{ }_{; A \dot{B}}=0 . \tag{B.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, from (B.24), the Leibnitz rule for tensor and spinor fields, and (B.8) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{C D ; A \dot{B}}=0 . \tag{B.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spin coefficients, $\Gamma_{(a)(b)(c)(\dot{d})}$, are defined in the dyad formalism by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{(a)(b)(c)(\dot{d})}:=\left[\zeta_{(a) F}\right]_{; C \dot{D}} \zeta_{(b)}{ }^{F} \zeta_{(c)}^{c} \zeta_{(\dot{d})} \dot{D}, \tag{B.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{(a)(b) C D}:=\left[\zeta_{(a) F}\right]_{; C \dot{D}} \zeta_{(b)}^{F} . \tag{B.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first two indices of the above spin coefficients are symmetric, as it can be immediately verified by applying the covariant derivative to (B.11) and by using the definition (B.33) together with (B.31). We now use the preceeding definitions to find the explicit expression of intrinsic derivative of the dyadic components of spinors of first rank, in terms of the spin coefficients. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{(a) \mid B \dot{C}}=\xi_{(a) ; B \dot{C}}=\left[\xi_{A} \zeta_{(a)}^{A}\right]_{; B \dot{C}}-\xi_{A}\left[\zeta_{(a)}^{A}\right]_{; B \dot{C}} . \tag{B.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first term inside the square brackets is the scalar $\xi_{(a)}$. To find an expression for the second term we notice that, using (B.11), we obtain from (B.33):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\zeta_{(a) E}\right]_{; C \dot{D}}=-\zeta^{(b)}{ }_{E} \Gamma_{(b)(a) C \dot{D}}=\zeta_{(b) E} \Gamma^{(b)}{ }_{(a) C \dot{D}} . \tag{B.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{(a) \mid B \dot{C}}=\xi_{(a), B \dot{C}}-\xi_{A} \Gamma_{(\alpha) B \dot{C}}^{(d)} \zeta_{(d)}^{A}=\xi_{(a), B \dot{C}}+\Gamma_{(d)(a) B \dot{C}} \zeta^{(d)} \tag{B.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the spin coefficients are symmetric in the two first indices, there are twelve independent components. To these coefficients are assigned special symbols described in the Table B.3.

|  | $(a)(b)$ | 00 | 01 | 11 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(c)(d)$ |  |  |  |  |
| $0 \dot{0}$ |  | $\kappa$ | $\epsilon$ | $\pi$ |
| 10 |  | $\rho$ | $\alpha$ | $\lambda$ |
| $0 \dot{1}$ |  | $\sigma$ | $\beta$ | $\mu$ |
| $1 i$ |  | $\tau$ | $\gamma$ | $\nu$ |

Table B.1: NP spin coefficients

It can be verified that these definitions of the spin coefficients agree with those of the Ricci spin-rotation $\gamma_{a b c}$ defined in the NP formalism [24].

## B. 4 The basic equations of the NP formalism

The spinor equivalents of the tensors (2.119), (2.118), (2.121) and (2.120), which appear in the necessary conditions $I-V I I$ are given by [69]

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{a b c d} \leftrightarrow \Psi_{A B C D} \varepsilon_{\dot{A} \dot{B}} \varepsilon_{\dot{D} \dot{C}}+\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{A} \dot{B} \dot{C} \dot{D}} \varepsilon_{A B} \varepsilon_{D C},  \tag{B.37}\\
& H_{a b} \leftrightarrow 2\left(\phi_{A B} \varepsilon_{\dot{A} \dot{B}}+\bar{\phi}_{\dot{A} \dot{B}} \varepsilon_{A B},\right.  \tag{B.38}\\
& L_{a b} \leftrightarrow 2\left(\Phi_{A B \dot{A} \dot{B}}-\Lambda \varepsilon_{A B} \varepsilon_{\dot{A} \dot{B}}\right),  \tag{B.39}\\
& S_{a b c} \leftrightarrow \Psi_{A B C ; D \dot{A}} \varepsilon_{\dot{C} \dot{B}}+\bar{\Psi}^{\boldsymbol{A} \dot{B} \dot{C} ; \dot{D} \dot{A}} \varepsilon_{C B}, \tag{B.40}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Psi_{A B C D}=\Psi_{(A B C D)}$, is the Weyl spinor and $\Lambda:=(1 / 24) R$. $\phi_{A B}=\phi_{(A B)}$ is called the Maxwell spinor, and $\Phi_{A B \dot{A} \dot{B}}=\Phi_{(A B)(\dot{A} \dot{B})}=\bar{\Phi}_{A B \dot{A} \dot{B}}$ is called the trace free Ricci spinor (since it is the spinor equivalent of the trace-free Ricci tensor $R_{a b}-\frac{1}{4} R g_{a b}$ ). As we shall see, the basic equations of the NP formalism can be expressed in terms of spinors defined above and their derivatives.

The NP components of the Weyl tensor are defined as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{0}:=\Psi_{(0)(0)(0)(0)}=\zeta_{(0)}{ }^{A} \zeta_{(0)}^{B} \zeta_{(0)}^{C} \zeta_{(0)}{ }^{D} \Psi_{A B C D}=\Psi_{A B C D} O^{A B C D}, \\
& \Psi_{1}:=\Psi_{(0)(0)(0)(1)}=\zeta_{(0)}{ }^{A} \zeta_{(0)}{ }^{B} \zeta_{(0)}{ }^{C} \zeta_{(1)} D^{\Psi_{A B C D}}=\Psi_{A B C D} 0^{A B C} C_{\iota} D, \\
& \Psi_{2}:=\Psi_{(0)(0)(1)(1)}=\zeta_{(0)}{ }^{A} \zeta_{(0)}^{B} \zeta_{(1)}^{C} \zeta_{(1)}{ }^{D} \Psi_{A B C D}=\Psi_{A B C D} \sigma^{A B}{ }_{C} C D,  \tag{B.41}\\
& \Psi_{3}:=\Psi_{(0)(1)(1)(1)}=\zeta_{(0)}{ }^{A} \zeta_{(1)}{ }^{B} \zeta_{(1)}{ }^{C} \zeta_{(1)}{ }^{D} \Psi_{A B C D}=\Psi_{A B C D} O^{A} \iota^{B C D}, \\
& \left.\Psi_{4}:=\Psi_{(1)(1)(1)(1)}=\zeta_{(1)}{ }^{A} \zeta_{(1)}{ }^{B} \zeta_{(1)}{ }^{C} \zeta_{(1)}{ }^{D} \Psi_{A B C D}=\Psi_{A B C D} \iota^{A B C D}, \quad\right)
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{A B C D}= & \Psi_{0 L_{A B C D}-4 \Psi_{1} O_{\left(A^{\ell} B C D\right)}+6 \Psi_{2} O_{\left(A B^{L} C D\right)}} \\
& \left.-4 \Psi_{3} o_{A B C}{ }^{\iota} D\right)+\Psi_{4} O_{A B C D} . \tag{B.42}
\end{align*}
$$

In (B.41) and (B.42) we have used the notation $o_{A B} \cdots:=o_{A} o_{B} \cdots$.
The NP components of the trace-free Ricci spinor are given by
or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{align*}
& -2 \Phi_{100}{ }_{\left(A^{\iota} B\right)} \bar{o}_{\left(A^{l} \dot{B}\right)}-2 \Phi_{01}{ }^{\iota} A B^{l}{ }_{\dot{A} \dot{B}} . \tag{B.44}
\end{align*}
$$

For the Maxwell spinor $\phi_{A B}$ we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{0}=\phi_{(0)(0)}=\zeta_{(0)}{ }^{A} \zeta_{(0)}^{B} \phi_{A B}=o^{A B} \phi_{A B}, \\
\phi_{1}=\phi_{(0)(1)}=\phi_{(1)(0)}=\zeta_{(0)}{ }^{A} \zeta_{(0)}^{B} \phi_{A B}=o^{A}{ }^{B} \phi_{A B}, \\
\phi_{2}=\phi_{(1)(1)}=\zeta_{(1)}{ }^{A} \zeta_{(1)}{ }^{B} \phi_{A B}=\iota^{A B} \phi_{A B},
\end{array}\right\}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{A B}=\phi_{0} \iota_{A B}-2 \phi_{1} o_{\left(A^{\iota} \iota_{B}\right.}+\phi_{2} o_{A B} \tag{B.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The covariant derivatives of the dyad basis spinors can be obtained from (B.33), together with definition of the NP spin coefficient symbols defined on Table B.3:

$$
\begin{equation*}
o_{A ; B \dot{B}}=o_{A} I_{B \dot{B}}+\iota_{A} I I_{B \dot{B}}, \quad \iota_{A ; B \dot{B}}=o_{A} I I I_{B \dot{B}}-\iota_{A} I_{B \dot{B}} \tag{B.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
I_{B \dot{B}}:=\gamma o_{B} \bar{o}_{\dot{B}}-\alpha o_{B} \bar{\iota}_{\dot{B}}-\beta \iota_{B} \bar{o}^{\dot{B}}+\varepsilon \iota_{B} \bar{\imath}_{\dot{B}} \dot{\prime},  \tag{B.48}\\
I I_{B \dot{B}}:=-\tau o_{B} \bar{o}_{\dot{B}}+\rho o_{B} \bar{\iota}_{\dot{B}}+\sigma \iota_{B} \bar{o}^{\dot{B}}-\kappa \iota_{B} \bar{\iota}_{\dot{B}}, \\
I I I_{B \dot{B}}:=\nu o_{B} \bar{o}_{\dot{B}}-\lambda o_{B} \bar{\iota}_{\dot{B}}-\mu \iota_{B} \bar{o}^{\dot{B}}+\pi \iota_{B} \bar{l}_{\dot{B}}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The NP differential operators can be defined as being the dyad components of $\partial_{A \dot{B}}$ :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{D}:=\partial_{(0)(\hat{0})}=\zeta_{(0)}^{A} \bar{\zeta}_{(\dot{0})}{ }^{\dot{B}} \partial_{A \dot{B}}=l^{a} \partial_{a}, \\
\delta:=\partial_{(0)(\mathrm{i})}=\zeta_{(0)}{ }^{A} \bar{\zeta}_{(\mathrm{i})}^{B} \partial_{A \dot{B}}=m^{a} \partial_{a},  \tag{B.49}\\
\bar{\delta}:=\partial_{(\mathrm{l})(\dot{\mathrm{O})}}=\zeta_{(1)}{ }^{A} \bar{\zeta}_{(\dot{0})}^{\dot{B}} \partial_{A \dot{B}}=\bar{m}^{a} \partial_{a}, \\
\Delta:=\partial_{(\mathrm{l})(\mathrm{i})}=\zeta_{(\mathrm{I})}{ }^{A} \zeta_{(\mathrm{i})} \dot{B} \partial_{A \dot{B}}=n^{a} \partial_{a} .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

These operators give rise to the following commutation relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[\Delta, D]=(\gamma+\bar{\gamma}) D+(\epsilon+\bar{\epsilon}) \Delta-(\bar{\tau}+\pi) \delta-(\tau+\bar{\pi}) \bar{\delta},}  \tag{B.50}\\
& {[\delta, D]=(\bar{\alpha}+\beta-\bar{\pi}) D+\kappa \Delta-(\bar{\rho}+\epsilon-\bar{\epsilon}) \delta+\sigma \bar{\delta},}  \tag{B.51}\\
& {[\delta, \Delta]=-\bar{\nu} D+(\tau-\bar{\alpha}-\beta) \Delta+(\mu-\gamma+\bar{\gamma}) \delta+\overline{\lambda \delta},}  \tag{B.52}\\
& {[\delta, \bar{\delta}]=(\bar{\mu}-\mu) D+(\bar{\rho}-\rho) \Delta+(\alpha-\bar{\beta}) \delta+(\beta-\bar{\alpha}) \bar{\delta} .} \tag{B.53}
\end{align*}
$$

The Ricci identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{a b ;[c d]}=\frac{1}{2}\left(R_{a c d}^{k} X_{k b}+R_{b c d}^{k} X_{a k}\right) \tag{B.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the following spinor form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{(B \dot{A}} \nabla_{A)}{ }^{\dot{A}} \xi_{C}=-\Psi_{A B C D} \xi^{D}+2 \Lambda \xi_{\left(A \varepsilon_{B) C}\right.}  \tag{B.55}\\
& \nabla_{(\dot{B}}^{C} \nabla_{C \dot{A})} \xi_{A}=\Phi_{A B \dot{A} \dot{B}} \xi^{B} \tag{B.56}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\xi^{A}$ is an arbitrary 1 -spinor. It is easier to count independent components in the spinor formalism than in tetrads or coordinates. Identity (B.55) clearly contains six complex components, while identity (B.56) has six real ones.

The Bianchi identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{a b[d c ; c]}=0 \tag{B.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the spinor form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{A}{ }_{\dot{A}} \Psi_{A B C D}=\nabla_{(B} \dot{E}_{\Phi_{C D)} \dot{A} \dot{E}}  \tag{B.58}\\
& \nabla^{B \dot{B}} \Phi_{A B \dot{A} \dot{B}}=-3 \nabla_{A \dot{A}} \Lambda \tag{B.59}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly, equation (B.58) possesses eight complex components and (B.59) three real components.

The Ricci and Bianchi identities can be written explicitly in terms of twenty nine dyadic components, by using (B.42), (B.44), (B.47) and (B.49). They are listed in Appendix C. Together with the commutation relations (B.50-B.53), they constitute the basic equations of the Newman-Penrose formalism. In general, however, it is not clear what these equations are for and in what sense they replace Einstein's equation or are equivalent to it [24].

## B. 5 Petrov classification

Let $\varphi_{A_{1} A_{2} \ldots A_{p}}=\varphi_{\left(A_{1} A_{2} \ldots A_{p}\right)}$ be a symmetric p-spinor, and let $\zeta^{A_{i}}$ be an arbitrary 1 -spinor. Then the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(\zeta):=\varphi_{A_{1} A_{2} \ldots A_{p}} \zeta^{A_{1}} \cdots \zeta^{A_{p}} \tag{B.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $p$ in $\zeta^{1}$ and $\zeta^{2}$. By the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, this polynomial can be factored into $p$ linear factors:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(\zeta)=\left({\stackrel{1}{\alpha_{A_{1}}}} \zeta^{A_{1}}\right) \cdots\left(\alpha_{A_{p}}^{p} \zeta^{A_{P}}\right) \tag{B.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{A_{1} A_{2} \ldots A_{p}}-\stackrel{1}{\alpha}_{A_{1}} \cdots{\left.\stackrel{p}{\alpha_{A_{p}}}\right) \zeta^{A_{1}} \cdots \zeta^{A_{p}}=0 . . . ~}_{\text {. }}\right. \tag{B.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\zeta^{A_{i}}$ is arbitrary, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{A_{1} \ldots A_{p}}={\stackrel{1}{\alpha_{\left(A_{1}\right.}} \ldots{\stackrel{p}{\left.\alpha_{p}\right)}}, ~}_{\text {. }} \tag{B.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., a $p$-spinor is decomposable into $p 1$-spinors. Since the Weyl spinor is totally symmetric we must have

Each of the 1-spinors ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}{ }_{A}$ is called a principal spinor of $\Psi_{A B C D}$ and their corresponding null vectors are the principal null vectors (or directions). Space-times in which all four principal null directions of the Weyl spinor are not distinct are called degenerate or algebraically special. The Petrov type is defined according to the following table:

| Petrov type | Form of $\Psi_{A B C D}$ | Eq. satisfied by $\Psi_{A B C D}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | ${ }_{\alpha_{(A}{ }^{1}{ }^{2}{ }_{B}{ }^{3}{ }_{C}{ }^{4}{ }_{D}{ }^{\text {a }} \text { )}}$ | $\Psi_{A B C D}{ }_{\alpha}^{1}{ }^{1}{ }_{\alpha}^{1} C^{1}{ }_{\alpha}^{1}{ }^{1}=\lambda^{1}{ }_{\alpha}^{1}$ |
| II |  |  |
| D | $\stackrel{\alpha_{(A}{ }^{1}{ }^{1}{ }_{B}{ }^{2} \alpha_{C}{ }^{2}{ }_{D}{ }^{2}}{ }$ |  |
| III | ${ }_{\alpha_{(A}}^{1}{ }^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{B}}{ }^{1} \alpha_{C}{ }^{2}{ }_{D}^{2}}$ | $\Psi_{A B C D}{ }^{\frac{1}{\alpha} D}=\lambda^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{A}}{ }^{1} \alpha_{B}{ }^{1} \alpha_{C}}$ |
| N |  |  |

Table B.2: Petrov classification
where the 1 -spinors $\stackrel{1}{\alpha}_{A}, \stackrel{2}{\alpha}_{A}, \stackrel{3}{\alpha}_{A}$ and $\stackrel{4}{\alpha}_{A}$ are distinct, and $\lambda \neq 0$.

## B. 6 Dyad transformations

In establishing the necessary conditions for the validity of Huygens' principle, it is usual to take advantage of the freedom to choose a null basis that is best suited for the calculations. The proofs for the results presented in this and in the next Section can be found in [79] [61]. The tetrads transform as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
l^{\prime}=e^{a} l, \quad m^{\prime}=e^{i b}(m+\bar{q} l) \quad n^{\prime}=e^{-a}(n+q m+\overline{q m}+q \bar{q} l) \tag{B.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are real-valued functions and $q$ is a complex-valued function. The corresponding transformation of the spinor dyad $\{0, \iota\}$ is given by .

$$
\begin{equation*}
o^{\prime}=e^{w / 2} o, \quad \iota^{\prime}=e^{-w / 2}(\iota+q o) \tag{B.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w=a+i b$. This induces the following transformations on the covariant derivatives of the dyad:

$$
\begin{gather*}
o_{A ; B \dot{B}}^{\prime}=e^{w / 2}\left(o_{A ; B \dot{B}}+\frac{1}{2} o_{A} w_{B, \dot{B}}\right),  \tag{B.67}\\
\iota_{A ; B \dot{B}}^{\prime}=e^{w / 2}\left(\iota_{A ; B \dot{B}}+q o_{A ; B \dot{B}}+o_{A}\left(q_{B \dot{B}}-\frac{1}{2} q w_{B \dot{B}}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \iota_{A} w_{B \dot{B}}\right) \tag{B.68}
\end{gather*}
$$

Combining (B.67), (B.68) with (B.47), we find

$$
\begin{array}{r}
I_{B \dot{B}}^{\prime}=I_{B \dot{B}}+\frac{1}{2} w_{B \dot{B}}-q I I_{B \dot{B}}, \\
I I_{B \dot{B}}^{\prime}=e^{w} I I_{B \dot{B}} \\
I I I_{B \dot{B}}^{\prime}=e^{-w}\left(I I I_{B \dot{B}}+2 q_{B \dot{B}}-q^{2} I I_{B \dot{B}}+q_{B \dot{B}}\right) . \tag{B.71}
\end{array}
$$

The NP spin coefficients can now be obtained by contracting the above equations, using (B.48), with the appropriate pairs of basis spinors. The result is as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\kappa^{\prime}= & e^{(3 w+\bar{w}) / 2} \kappa  \tag{B.72}\\
\sigma^{\prime}= & e^{(3 w-\bar{w}) / 2}(\sigma+\bar{q} \kappa)  \tag{B.73}\\
\rho^{\prime}= & e^{(w+\bar{w}) / 2}(\rho+q \kappa)  \tag{B.74}\\
\tau^{\prime}= & e^{(w-\bar{w}) / 2}(\tau+q \sigma+\bar{q} \rho+q \bar{q} \kappa)  \tag{B.75}\\
\nu^{\prime}= & e^{-(3 w+\bar{w}) / 2}(\nu+\Delta q+q(\delta q+\mu+2 \gamma)+\bar{q}(\lambda+\bar{\delta} q) \\
& \left.+q \bar{q}(D q+\pi+2 \alpha)+q^{2}(\tau+2 \beta)+q^{2} \bar{q}(\rho+2 \epsilon)+q^{3} \bar{q} \kappa\right)  \tag{B.76}\\
\lambda^{\prime}= & e^{(w-3 \bar{w}) / 2}\left(\lambda+\bar{\delta} q+q(D q+\pi+2 \alpha)+q^{2}(\rho+2 \epsilon)+q^{3} \kappa\right)  \tag{B.77}\\
\mu^{\prime}= & e^{-(w+\bar{w}) / 2}\left(\mu+\delta q+2 q \beta+q^{2} \sigma+\bar{q}\left(D(q+\pi)+2 q \bar{q} \epsilon+q^{2} \bar{q} \kappa\right)\right. \tag{B.78}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi^{\prime}= & e^{(w-\bar{w}) / 2}\left(\pi+2 q \epsilon+q^{2} \kappa+D q\right),  \tag{B.79}\\
\gamma^{\prime}= & e^{-(w-\bar{w}) / 2}\left(\gamma+\frac{1}{2} \Delta w+q\left(\tau+\beta+\frac{1}{2} \delta w\right)+\bar{q}\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2} \bar{\delta} w\right)\right. \\
& \left.+q \bar{q}\left(\rho+\epsilon+\frac{1}{2} D w\right)+q^{2} \sigma+q^{2} \bar{q} \kappa\right),  \tag{B.80}\\
\alpha^{\prime}= & e^{(w-\bar{w}) / 2}\left(\beta+\frac{1}{2} \delta w+q \sigma+\bar{q}\left(\epsilon+\frac{1}{2} D w\right)+q \bar{q} \kappa\right),  \tag{B.81}\\
\beta^{\prime}= & \left.e^{(w-\bar{w}) / 2}\left(\beta+\frac{1}{2} \delta w\right)+q \sigma+\bar{q}\left(\epsilon+\frac{1}{2} D w\right)+q \bar{q} \kappa\right),  \tag{B.82}\\
\epsilon^{\prime}= & e^{(w+\bar{w}) / 2}\left(\epsilon+\frac{1}{2} D w+q \kappa\right) . \tag{B.83}
\end{align*}
$$

The transformation laws for the NP components of the Weyl spinor are obtained by contracting $\Psi_{A B C D}$ with the transformed basis spinors $o^{A^{\prime}}$ and $\iota^{A^{\prime}}$. The result is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{0}^{\prime}=e^{2 w} \Psi_{0},  \tag{B.84}\\
& \Psi_{1}^{\prime}=e^{2 w}\left(\Psi_{1}+q \Psi_{0}\right)  \tag{B.85}\\
& \Psi_{2}^{\prime}=\Psi_{2}+2 q \Psi_{1}+q^{2} \Psi_{0},  \tag{B.86}\\
& \Psi_{3}^{\prime}=e^{-w}\left(\Psi_{3}+3 q \Psi_{2}+3 q^{2} \Psi_{1}+q^{3} \Psi_{0}\right),  \tag{B.87}\\
& \Psi_{4}^{\prime}=e^{-2 w}\left(\Psi_{4}+4 q \Psi_{3}+6 q^{2} \Psi_{2}+4 q^{3} \Psi_{1}+q^{4} \Psi_{0}\right) \tag{B.88}
\end{align*}
$$

The NP components of the trace-free Ricci spinor are obtained by contracting $\Phi_{A B \dot{A} \dot{B}}$ with the basis spinors $o^{A^{\prime}}, \iota^{B^{\prime}}$ and its complex conjugates:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{00}^{\prime}=e^{w+\bar{w}} \Phi_{00},  \tag{B.89}\\
& \Phi_{01}^{\prime}=e^{w}\left(\Phi_{01}+\bar{q} \Phi_{00}\right),  \tag{B.90}\\
& \Phi_{02}^{\prime}=e^{w-\bar{w}}\left(\Phi_{02}+2 \bar{q} \Phi_{01}+\bar{q}^{2} \Phi_{00}\right),  \tag{B.91}\\
& \Phi_{11}^{\prime}=\Phi_{11}+q \Phi_{01}+\bar{q} \Phi_{10}+q \bar{q} \Phi_{00},  \tag{B.92}\\
& \Phi_{12}^{\prime}=e^{-\bar{w}}\left(\Phi_{12}+q \Phi_{02}+2 \bar{q} \Phi_{11}+2 q \bar{q} \Phi_{01}+q^{2} \Phi_{10}+q \bar{q} \Phi_{00}\right),  \tag{B.93}\\
& \Phi_{22}^{\prime}=e^{-(w+\bar{w})}\left(\Phi_{22}+2 q \Phi_{12}+2 \bar{q} \Phi_{21}+4 q \bar{q} \Phi_{11}+q^{2} \Phi_{02}\right.  \tag{B.94}\\
& \bar{q}^{2} \Phi_{20}+2 q^{2} \bar{q} \Phi_{01}+2 q \bar{q}^{2} \Phi_{10}+q^{2} \bar{q}^{2} \Phi_{00} . \tag{B.95}
\end{align*}
$$

The NP operators transform as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D^{\prime}=o^{A^{\prime}} \bar{o}^{\prime} \nabla_{A \dot{A}}=e^{(w+\bar{w}) / 2} D  \tag{B.96}\\
& \delta^{\prime}=o^{A^{\prime}} \dot{\imath}^{\prime} \nabla_{A \dot{A}}=e^{(w-\bar{w}) / 2}(\delta+\bar{q} D),  \tag{B.97}\\
& \Delta^{\prime}=o^{A^{\prime}} \bar{o}^{\dot{A}^{\prime}} \nabla_{A \dot{A}}=e^{-(w+\bar{w}) / 2}(\Delta+\bar{q} \bar{\delta}+q \delta+q \bar{q} D) \tag{B.98}
\end{align*}
$$

## B. 7 Conformal transformations

The trivial transformation corresponding to the conformal transformation of the metric:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{g}_{a b}=e^{2 \phi} g_{a b} \tag{B.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

is induced by the following transformation of the null tetrad [79] [61]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{l}_{a}=e^{r \phi} l_{a} \quad \bar{n}_{a}=e^{(2-r) \phi_{n_{a}}}, \quad \bar{m}_{a}=e^{\phi} m_{a} \tag{B.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transformation laws for the spin coefficients now are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{\alpha}=e^{-\phi}\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}(r-2)\right), & \bar{\beta}=e^{-\phi}\left(\beta+\frac{r}{2} \delta \phi\right), \\
\bar{\sigma}=e^{(r-2) \phi} \sigma, & \bar{\epsilon}=e^{(r-2) \phi}\left(\epsilon+\frac{r}{2} D \phi\right), \\
\bar{\pi}=e^{-\phi}(\pi+\bar{\delta} \phi), & \bar{\lambda}=e^{-r \phi} \lambda, \\
\bar{\gamma}=e^{-r \phi}\left(\gamma+\frac{1}{2}(r-2) \Delta \phi\right), & \bar{\nu}=e^{(1-2 r) \phi} \nu,  \tag{B.101}\\
\left.\bar{\tau}=e^{-\phi}(\tau-\delta \phi)\right), & \bar{\mu}=e^{-r \phi}(\mu+\Delta \phi), \\
\bar{\kappa}=e^{-r \phi} \kappa, & \bar{\rho}=e^{(r-2) \phi}(\rho-D \phi) \\
\bar{\gamma}=e^{-r \phi}\left(\gamma+\frac{1}{2}(r-2) \Delta \phi\right), &
\end{array}
$$

where $r$ is a parameter associated to the transformation of the basis spinors in the following way:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{o}^{A}=e^{s \phi_{o}} o^{A}, i^{A}=e^{-s \phi_{\iota} A}  \tag{B.102}\\
s:=\frac{r-1}{2} \tag{B.103}
\end{gather*}
$$

The Weyl spinor components transform as follows:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{\Psi}_{0}=e^{2(r-2) \phi} \Psi_{0}, & \tilde{\Psi}_{1}=e^{(r-3) \phi} \Psi_{1}, \quad \bar{\Psi}_{2}=e^{-2 \phi} \Psi_{2},  \tag{B.104}\\
\bar{\Psi}_{3}=e^{-(r+1) \phi} \Psi_{3} & \bar{\Psi}_{4}=e^{-2 r \phi} \Psi_{4}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

For the NP components of the trace-free Ricci spinor $\Phi_{A B \dot{A} B}$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\Phi}_{00}= & e^{2(r-2) \phi}\left(\Phi_{00}-D^{2} \phi+(D \phi)^{2}+(\epsilon+\bar{\epsilon}) D \phi-\bar{\kappa} \delta \phi-\kappa \bar{\delta} \phi\right)  \tag{B.105}\\
\bar{\Phi}_{01}= & e^{(r-3) \phi}\left(\Phi_{01}-\frac{1}{2}(D \delta \phi+\delta D \phi)-\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\epsilon}-\epsilon+\bar{\rho}) \delta \phi\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\pi}+\bar{\alpha}+\beta) D \phi-\frac{1}{2} \kappa \Delta \phi-\frac{1}{2} \sigma \bar{\delta} \phi+\delta \phi D \phi\right)  \tag{B.106}\\
\bar{\Phi}_{11}= & e^{-2 \phi}\left(\Phi_{11}-\frac{1}{4}(D \Delta \phi+\Delta D \phi+\delta \bar{\delta} \phi+\bar{\delta} \delta \bar{\phi})\right.
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{1}{4}(\epsilon+\bar{\epsilon}+\rho+\bar{\rho}) \Delta \phi+\frac{1}{4}(\bar{\pi}+\bar{\alpha}-\tau-\beta) \bar{\delta} \phi \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{4}(\pi+\alpha-\bar{\tau}-\bar{\beta}) \delta \phi\right)  \tag{B.107}\\
\bar{\Phi}_{12}= & e^{(r-3) \phi}\left(\Phi_{12}-\frac{1}{2}(\Delta \delta \phi+\delta \Delta \phi)+\frac{1}{2}(\mu+\dot{\gamma}-\bar{\gamma}) \delta \phi\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\alpha}+\tau+\beta) \Delta \phi+\delta \phi D \phi+\frac{1}{2} \bar{\nu} D \phi+\frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda} \bar{\delta} \phi\right),  \tag{B.108}\\
\bar{\Phi}_{22}= & e^{2(r-2) \phi}\left(\Phi_{22}-\Delta^{2} \phi+(\Delta \phi)^{2}-(\gamma+\bar{\gamma}) \Delta \phi+\nu \bar{\delta} \phi+\bar{\nu} \delta \phi\right) . \tag{B.109}
\end{align*}
$$

The Maxwell tensor $H_{a b}$ is invariant under conformal transformations [60]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{H}_{a b}=H_{a b} . \tag{B.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, using the correspondence (B.38),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}_{A B} \varepsilon_{A \dot{B}}+\overline{\bar{\phi}}_{\dot{A} \dot{B}} \varepsilon_{A B}=\bar{\sigma}_{A \dot{A}}^{a} \bar{\sigma}_{B \dot{B}}^{b} \bar{H}_{a b}=e^{-2 \phi}\left(\phi_{A B} \varepsilon_{\dot{A} \dot{B}}+\bar{\phi}_{\dot{A} \dot{B}} \varepsilon_{A B}\right), \tag{B.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}_{A B}=e^{-2 \phi} \phi_{A B} . \tag{B.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the NP components of the Maxwell spinor transform as follows:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\bar{\phi}_{0}=\bar{\phi}_{A B} \dot{o}^{A} \bar{o}^{B}=e^{-2 \phi} \phi_{A B} e^{(r-1) \phi_{o} A} o^{B}=e^{(r-3) \phi} \phi_{0},  \tag{B.113}\\
\bar{\phi}_{1}=e^{-2 \phi} \phi_{1}, \\
\bar{\phi}_{2}=e^{-(r+1) \phi} \phi_{2} .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## Appendix C

## Newman-Penrose Field Equations

## C. 1 Bianchi identities

(NP1) $\mathrm{D} \rho-\bar{\delta} \kappa=\rho^{2}+\sigma \bar{\sigma}+(\epsilon+\bar{\epsilon}) \rho-\bar{\kappa} T-(3 \alpha+\bar{\beta}-\pi) \kappa+\Phi_{00}$,
(NP2) $\mathrm{D} \sigma-\delta \kappa=(\rho+\bar{\rho}) \sigma+(3 \epsilon-\bar{\epsilon}) \sigma-(\tau-\bar{\pi}+\bar{\alpha}+3 \beta) \kappa+\Psi_{0}$,
(NP3) $\mathrm{D} \tau-\Delta \kappa=(\tau+\bar{\pi}) \rho+(\bar{\tau}+\pi) \sigma+(\epsilon-\bar{\epsilon}) \tau-(3 \gamma+\bar{\gamma}) \kappa+\Psi_{1}+\Phi_{01}$,
(NP4) $\mathrm{D} \alpha-\bar{\delta} \epsilon=(\rho+\bar{\epsilon}-2 \epsilon) \alpha+\beta \bar{\sigma}-\bar{\beta} \epsilon-\kappa \lambda-\bar{\kappa} \gamma+(\epsilon+\rho) \pi+\Phi_{10}$,
(NP5) $\mathrm{D} \beta-\delta \epsilon=(\alpha+\pi) \sigma+(\bar{\rho}-\bar{\epsilon}) \beta-(\mu+\gamma) \kappa+(\bar{\pi}-\bar{\alpha}) \epsilon+\Psi_{1}$,
(NP6) $\mathrm{D}_{\gamma}-\Delta \epsilon=(\tau+\bar{\pi}) \alpha+(\bar{\tau}+\pi) \beta-(\epsilon+\bar{\epsilon}) \gamma-(\gamma+\bar{\gamma}) \epsilon+\tau \pi-\nu \kappa$ $+\Psi_{2}-\Lambda+\Phi_{11}$,
(NP7) $\mathrm{D} \lambda-\bar{\delta} \pi=\rho \lambda+\bar{\sigma} \mu+\pi^{2}+(\alpha-\bar{\beta}) \pi-\nu \bar{\kappa}+(\bar{\epsilon}-3 \epsilon) \lambda+\Phi_{20}$,
(NP8) $\mathrm{D} \mu-\delta \pi=\bar{\rho} \mu+\sigma \lambda+\pi \bar{\pi}-(\epsilon+\bar{\epsilon}) \mu-(\bar{\alpha}-\beta) \pi-\nu \kappa+\Psi_{2}+2 \Lambda$,
(NP9) D $\nu-\Delta \pi=(\bar{\tau}+\pi) \mu+(\tau+\bar{\pi}) \lambda+(\gamma-\bar{\gamma}) \pi-(3 \epsilon+\bar{\epsilon}) \nu+\Psi_{3}+\Phi_{21}$,
(NP10) $\Delta \lambda-\bar{\delta} \nu=(\bar{\gamma}-3 \gamma-\mu-\bar{\mu}) \lambda+(3 \alpha+\bar{\beta}+\pi-\bar{\tau}) \nu-\Psi_{4}$,
$(N P 11) \delta \rho-\bar{\delta} \sigma=(\bar{\alpha}+\beta) \rho-(3 \alpha-\bar{\beta}) \sigma+(\rho-\bar{\rho}) \tau+(\mu-\bar{\mu}) \kappa-\Psi_{1}+\Phi_{01}$,
$(N P 12) \delta \alpha-\bar{\delta} \beta=\mu \rho-\sigma \lambda+\alpha \bar{\alpha}+\beta \bar{\beta}-2 \alpha \beta+(\rho-\bar{\rho}) \gamma+(\mu-\bar{\mu}) \epsilon$

$$
-\Psi_{2}+\Lambda+\Phi_{11},
$$

$(N P 13) \delta \lambda-\bar{\delta} \mu=(\rho-\bar{\rho}) \nu+(\mu-\bar{\mu}) \pi+(\alpha+\bar{\beta}) \mu+(\bar{\alpha}-3 \beta) \lambda-\Psi_{3}+\Phi_{21}$,
(NP14) $\delta \nu-\Delta \mu=\mu^{2}+\lambda \bar{\lambda}+(\gamma+\bar{\gamma}) \mu-\bar{\nu} \pi+(\tau-\bar{\alpha}-3 \beta) \nu+\Phi_{22}$,
(NP15) $\delta \gamma-\Delta \beta=(\tau-\bar{\alpha}-\beta) \gamma+\mu \tau-\sigma \nu-\epsilon \bar{\nu}-(\gamma-\bar{\gamma}-\mu) \beta+\alpha \bar{\lambda}+\Phi_{12}$,
(NP16) $\delta \tau-\Delta \sigma=\mu \sigma+\bar{\lambda} \rho+(\tau-\bar{\alpha}+\beta) \tau-(3 \gamma-\bar{\gamma}) \sigma-\kappa \bar{\nu}+\Phi_{02}$,
(NP17) $\Delta \rho-\bar{\delta} \tau=-\rho \bar{\mu}-\sigma \lambda+(\gamma+\bar{\gamma}) \rho-(\bar{\tau}+\alpha-\bar{\beta}) \tau+\nu \kappa-\Psi_{2}-2 \Lambda$,
(NP18) $\Delta \alpha-\bar{\delta} \gamma=(\epsilon+\rho) \nu-(\tau+\beta) \lambda+(\bar{\gamma}-\bar{\mu}) \alpha+(\bar{\beta}-\bar{\tau}) \gamma-\Psi_{3}$.

## C. 2 Ricci identities

$(N P 19) \bar{\delta} \Psi_{0}-\mathrm{D} \Psi_{1}+\mathrm{D} \Phi_{01}-\delta \Phi_{00}=(4 \alpha-\pi) \Psi_{0}-2(2 \rho+\epsilon) \Psi_{1}$
$+3 \kappa \Psi_{2}+(\bar{\pi}-2 \bar{\alpha}-2 \beta) \Phi_{00}+2(\epsilon+\bar{\rho}) \Phi_{01}+2 \sigma \Phi_{10}-2 \kappa \Phi_{11}-\bar{\kappa} \Phi_{02}$,
$(N P 20) \Delta \Psi_{0}-\delta \Psi_{1}+D \Phi_{02}-\delta \Phi_{01}=(4 \gamma-\mu) \Psi_{0}-2(2 \tau+\beta) \Psi_{1}+$
$3 \sigma \Psi_{2}-\bar{\lambda} \Phi_{00}+2(\bar{\pi}-\beta) \Phi_{01}+2 \sigma \Phi_{11}+(2 \epsilon-2 \bar{\epsilon}+\bar{\rho}) \Phi_{02}-2 \kappa \Phi_{12}$,
$(N P 21) 3 \bar{\delta} \Psi_{1}-3 D \Psi_{2}+2 D \Phi_{11}-2 \delta \Phi_{10}+\bar{\delta} \Phi_{01}-\Delta \Phi_{00}=3 \lambda \Psi_{0}-9 \rho \Psi_{2}$
$+6(\alpha-\pi) \Psi_{1}+6 \kappa \Psi_{3}+(\bar{\mu}-2 \mu-2 \gamma-2 \bar{\gamma}) \Phi_{00}+(2 \alpha+2 \pi+2 \bar{\tau}) \Phi_{01}$
$+2(\tau-2 \bar{\alpha}+\bar{\pi}) \Phi_{10}+2(2 \bar{\rho}-\rho) \Phi_{11}+2 \sigma \Phi_{20}-\bar{\sigma} \Phi_{02}-2 \bar{\kappa} \Phi_{12}-2 \kappa \Phi_{21}$,
$(N P 22) 3 \Delta \Psi_{1}-3 \delta \Psi_{2}+2 D \Phi_{12}-2 \delta \Phi_{11}+\bar{\delta} \Phi_{02}-\Delta \Phi_{01}=3 \nu \Psi_{0}+6(\gamma-\mu) \Psi_{1}$
$-9 \tau \Psi_{2}+6 \sigma \Psi_{3}-\bar{\nu} \Phi_{00}+2(\bar{\mu}-\mu-\gamma) \Phi_{01}-2 \bar{\lambda} \Phi_{10}+2(\tau+2 \bar{\pi}) \Phi_{11}$
$+(2 \alpha+2 \pi+\bar{\tau}-2 \bar{\beta}) \Phi_{02}+(2 \bar{\rho}-2 \rho-4 \bar{\epsilon}) \Phi_{12}+2 \sigma \Phi_{21}-2 \kappa \Phi_{22}$,
$(N P 23) 3 \bar{\delta} \Psi_{2}-3 D \Psi_{3}+\mathrm{D} \Phi_{21}-\delta \Phi_{20}+2 \bar{\delta} \Phi_{11}-2 \Delta \Phi_{10}=6 \lambda \Psi_{1}-9 \pi \Psi_{2}$
$+6(\epsilon-\rho) \Psi_{3}+3 \kappa \Psi_{4}-2 \nu \Phi_{00}+2(\bar{\mu}-\mu-2 \bar{\gamma}) \Phi_{10}+(2 \pi+4 \bar{\tau}) \Phi_{11}$
$+(2 \beta+2 \tau+\bar{\pi}-2 \bar{\alpha}) \Phi_{20}-2 \bar{\sigma} \Phi_{12}+2(\bar{\rho}-\rho-\epsilon) \Phi_{21}-\bar{\kappa} \Phi_{22}+2 \lambda \Phi_{01}$,
(NP24) $3 \Delta \Psi_{2}-3 \delta \Psi_{3}+D \Phi_{22}-\delta \Phi_{21}+2 \bar{\delta} \Phi_{12}-2 \Delta \Phi_{11}=6 \nu \Psi_{1}-9 \mu \Psi_{2}$
$+6(\beta-\tau) \Psi_{3}+3 \sigma \Psi_{4}-2 \nu \Phi_{01}-2 \bar{\nu} \Phi_{10}+2(2 \bar{\mu}-\mu) \Phi_{11}+2 \lambda \Phi_{02}-\bar{\lambda} \Phi_{20}$
$+2(\pi+\bar{\tau}-2 \bar{\beta}) \Phi_{12}+2(\beta+\tau+\bar{\pi}) \Phi_{21}+(\bar{\rho}-2 \epsilon-2 \bar{\epsilon}-2 \rho) \Phi_{22}$,
$(N P 25) \bar{\delta} \Psi_{3}-\mathrm{D} \Psi_{4}+\bar{\delta} \Phi_{21}-\Delta \Phi_{20}=3 \lambda \Psi_{2}-2(\alpha+2 \pi) \Psi_{3}+(4 \epsilon-\rho) \Psi_{4}$
$-2 \nu \Phi_{10}+2 \lambda \Phi_{11}+(2 \gamma-2 \bar{\gamma}+\bar{\mu}) \Phi_{20}+2(\bar{\tau}-\alpha) \Phi_{21}-\bar{\sigma} \Phi_{22}$,
$(N P 26) \Delta \Psi_{3}-\delta \Psi_{4}+\bar{\delta} \Phi_{22}-\Delta \Phi_{21}=3 \nu \Psi_{2}-2(\gamma+2 \mu) \Psi_{3}+(4 \beta-\tau) \Psi_{4}$
$-2 \nu \Phi_{11}-\bar{\nu} \Phi_{20}+2 \lambda \Phi_{12}+2(\gamma+\bar{\mu}) \Phi_{21}+(\bar{\tau}-2 \bar{\beta}-2 \alpha) \Phi_{22}$,
(NP27) $\mathrm{D} \Phi_{11}-\delta \Phi_{10}-\bar{\delta} \Phi_{01}+\Delta \Phi_{00}+3 \mathrm{D} \Lambda=(2 \gamma-\mu+2 \bar{\gamma}-\bar{\mu}) \Phi_{00}$
$+(\pi-2 \alpha-2 \bar{\tau}) \Phi_{01}+(\bar{\pi}-2 \bar{\alpha}-2 \tau) \Phi_{10}+2(\rho+\bar{\rho}) \Phi_{11}+\bar{\sigma} \Phi_{02}+\sigma \Phi_{20}$
$-\bar{\kappa} \Phi_{12}-\kappa \Phi_{21}$,
(NP28) D $\Phi_{12}-\delta \Phi_{11}-\bar{\delta} \Phi_{02}+\Delta \Phi_{01}+3 \delta \Lambda=(2 \gamma-\mu-2 \bar{\mu}) \Phi_{01}+$
$\bar{\nu} \Phi_{00}-\bar{\lambda} \Phi_{10}+2(\bar{\pi}-\tau) \Phi_{11}+(\pi+2 \bar{\beta}-2 \alpha-\bar{\tau}) \Phi_{02}$
$+(2 \rho+\bar{\rho}-2 \bar{\epsilon}) \Phi_{12}+\sigma \Phi_{21}-\kappa \Phi_{22}$,
(NP29) $D \Phi_{22}-\delta \Phi_{21}-\bar{\delta} \Phi_{12}+\Delta \Phi_{11}+3 \Delta \Lambda=\nu \Phi_{01}+\bar{\nu} \Phi_{10}-2(\mu+\bar{\mu})$
$\Phi_{11}-\lambda \Phi_{02}-\bar{\lambda} \Phi_{20}+(2 \pi-\bar{\tau}+2 \bar{\beta}) \Phi_{12}+(2 \beta-\tau+2 \bar{\pi}) \Phi_{21}$
$+(\rho+\bar{\rho}-2 \epsilon-2 \bar{\epsilon}) \Phi_{22}$.

## C. 3 NP commutation relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\delta} \delta-\delta \bar{\delta}=(-\mu+\bar{\mu}) \mathrm{D}+(-\rho+\bar{\rho}) \Delta+(-\bar{\alpha}+\beta) \bar{\delta}, \\
& \bar{\delta} \Delta-\Delta \bar{\delta}=\nu \Delta+(\bar{\tau}-\alpha-\bar{\beta}) \Delta+\lambda \delta+(\bar{\mu}+\gamma+\bar{\gamma}), \\
& \bar{\delta} \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{D} \bar{\delta}=(\alpha+\bar{\beta}-\pi) \mathrm{D}+\bar{\kappa} \Delta-\bar{\sigma} \delta-(\rho-\epsilon+\bar{\epsilon}) \bar{\delta}, \\
& \Delta \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{D} \Delta=(\gamma+\bar{\gamma}) \mathrm{D}+(\epsilon+\bar{\epsilon}) \Delta-(\bar{\tau}+\pi) \delta-(\tau+\bar{\pi}) \delta .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Appendix D

## Notation and Conventions

## D. 1 Symbols and tensors

$\mathcal{M}^{n}$,
$a, b, \ldots, i, j, \ldots, i_{1}, i_{2} \ldots$,
$g_{a b}$,
$\nabla_{a}$ or :a,
$C_{0}^{k}$,
$\mathcal{G}$,
$\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$,
$\Omega$,
$\Gamma(x, \xi)$,
$\Gamma(\gamma)$,

$$
C(\xi)
$$

$C^{ \pm}(\xi)$,
$D^{ \pm}(\xi)$,
$J^{ \pm}(\xi):=\overline{D^{ \pm}(\xi)}$,
$\square:=g^{i j} \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(\sqrt{-g} g^{i j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{j}}\right)$, $\omega_{p}:=(1 / p!) \omega_{i_{1}, \ldots i_{p}} d x^{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x^{i_{P}}$,
$(d \omega)_{i_{1}, \ldots i_{p+1}}:=(p+1) \partial_{\left[i_{1}\right.} \omega_{\left.i_{2} \ldots i_{p+1}\right]}$,
$\left(\delta \omega_{p}\right)_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p-1}}:=-\nabla^{k} \omega_{k i_{1} \ldots i_{p-1}}$,
$T S(\cdots)$,
$n$-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian space natural basis, range $0 \ldots n$
metric tensor with signature $(+-\cdots-$ ) components of the metric covariant derivative class of all functions in $C^{k}$ with compact support set of all metric tensors of class $C^{\infty}$
vector space of distributions on the set $\Omega \in \mathcal{M}^{n}$ open connected neighbourhood in $\mathcal{M}^{n}$
square of the geodesic distance of $x$ from $\xi$
Euler gamma function
null conoid with vertex at $\xi$
future (past) null semi-conoid with vertex at $\xi$
open subsets bounded by $C^{ \pm}(\xi)$
closure of $D^{ \pm}(\xi)$
Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\mathcal{M}^{n}$
p-form
exterior differentiation
exterior codifferentiation
trace-free symmetric part of the
enclosed tensor

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
X_{a ; b c}-X_{a ; c b}=R_{c b a}{ }^{k} X_{k}, & \text { Ricci identity } \\
R_{a b[c d ; c]}=0, & \text { Bianchi identity } \\
R_{a b}:=R_{a k}{ }^{k}, & \text { Ricci tensor } \\
R:=R_{a}{ }^{a}, & \text { Ricci scalar } \\
L_{a b}:=-R_{a b}+\frac{1}{6} g_{a b} R, & \\
C_{a b c d}:=R_{a b c d}+g_{b} L_{d] a}-g_{a[d} L_{c] b}, & \text { Weyl tensor } \\
S_{a b c}:=L_{a[b ; c]}=C^{k}{ }_{a c b ; k,}, & \\
C_{a b}:=S_{a b k ;}^{k}-\frac{1}{2} C^{k}{ }_{a b}^{k} L_{k l}, & \text { Bach tensor } \\
e_{a b c d}=e_{[a b c d]}, e_{0123}:=\sqrt{-\operatorname{det}\left(g_{a b}\right)}, & \text { Levi-Civita pseudotensor } \\
{ }^{*} C_{a b c d}:=\frac{1}{2} e_{a b}^{k l} C_{k l c d}, & \text { left dual of } C_{a b c d}
\end{array}
$$

## D. 2 Correspondence between tensors and spinors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{A B}=\varepsilon_{[A B]}, \varepsilon_{01}=1 \text {, } \\
& \varepsilon^{A C} \varepsilon_{B C}=\delta_{B}^{A}=-\delta_{B}^{A}, \\
& X_{A}=X^{B} \varepsilon_{B A}, \quad X^{B}=\varepsilon^{B A} X_{A} \text {, } \\
& C_{a b c d} \leftrightarrow \Psi_{A B C D} \varepsilon_{A \dot{B}} \varepsilon_{\dot{D} \dot{C}}+\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{A} \dot{B} \dot{C} \dot{D}} \varepsilon_{A B} \varepsilon_{D C}, \\
& { }^{*} C_{a b c d} \leftrightarrow-i \Psi_{A B C D} \varepsilon_{\dot{A} \dot{B}} \varepsilon_{\dot{D} \dot{C}}+i \bar{\Psi}_{\dot{A} \dot{B} \dot{C} \dot{D}} \varepsilon_{A B} \varepsilon_{D C}, \\
& H_{a b} \leftrightarrow 2\left(\phi_{A B} \varepsilon_{\dot{A} \dot{B}}+\bar{\phi}_{\dot{A} \dot{B}} \varepsilon_{A B}\right), \\
& S_{a b c} \leftrightarrow \Psi^{D}{ }_{A B C ;} D_{\dot{A}} \varepsilon_{\dot{C} \dot{B}}+\bar{\Psi}^{D}{ }_{\dot{A} \dot{B} \dot{C} ; \dot{D} \dot{A}} \varepsilon_{C B}, \\
& L_{a b} \leftrightarrow 2\left(\Phi_{A B \dot{A} \dot{B}}-\Lambda \varepsilon_{A B} \varepsilon_{\dot{A} \dot{B}}\right), \Lambda:=(1 / 24) R .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Appendix E

## Maple Codes

The codes described below were used to make obtain most of the results of this Thesis. They are applications of the Maple packages NPspinor written by Czapor [27] [29] and grobner, also by Czapor [28]. These codes should run under the versions 5.2 or 5.3 of Maple. The package NPspinor is not present in the latest version, 5.4, but it should appear again in the following one, as an updated package built by Holly and McLenaghan ${ }^{1}$.

The files must be run interactively, since certain calculations can easily exceed Maple memory and/or system memory.

## E. 1 Heading and templates

## 

```
#Call IPspinor package and unprotect the name "gc" (garbage collector)
with(NPspinor):
unprotect('gc'):
maplec:=op(gc):
gc:=`gc`:
gbar:=gc:
gbarc:=g:
#Define spinor symbols
tomplate[loops]:=(loeps[A,B]=0[A]*i[B]-i[A]*o[B]):
ex1:=psi[A,B,C,Z]*conj(loops[A,B]*loops[Z,C]):
template[CW]:=CN[A,AC,B,BC,C,CC,Z,Zc]=ex1+conj(ex1):
# contract(dyad(ops[Ac,Cc] =loepsc[Ac,Cc])):
ex2:=-I*ex1:
ex2:=-1%0x1: 
templato[LL]:=LL[A,Ac,B,BC]=2#(phi[A,B,Ac,BC]-L*loeps[A,B]*loopsc[Ac,Bc]):
template[SS]:=SS[A,Ac,B,BC,C,Cc]=-
```

${ }^{1}$ private communication

```
del(CN[J,Jc,A,Ac,B,Bc,C,Cc],U,Uc)*өps[U,J]*ops [Uc,Jc] :
template[pp]:= Pp[A,B]=p0*i[A]*i[B]-p1*(0[A]*i[B]+o[B]*i[A])+p2*o[A]*0[B]:
tamplate[HH]:=HH[A,Ac,B,Bc]=pp[A,B]*loopsc[Ac,Bc]+ppe[Ac,Bc]*loops[A,B]:
```



```
#Name of the filo: hoadingIII
*Zoro spinors
W0:=0: HOc:=0: W1:=0: W1c:=0: W2:=0: W2c:=0: W4:=0: W4c:=0:
W3:=-1: W3c:=-1:
k:=0: kc:=0: sc:=0: s:=0: rc:=0 : r:=0: 0:=0: ec:=0: t:=0: tc:=0:
R00:=0:R01:=0:R02:=0:R20:=0:R10:=0:
L:=0:
D(p):=0:D(pc):=0:D(a):=0:D(ac):=0:D(b):=0:D(bc):=0:
D(R11):=0:X(R11):=0: Y(R11):=0: V(R11):=0:
```



## E. 2 Self-adjoint scalar equation

```
#Components of condition VIs (Rinko-Wunsch)
#Rame of the file: VIs
read heading;
read headingíII;
#First term, Q1
Q11:=3*del(CU[R,Rc,A,Ac,B,Bc,Q,Qc],G,Gc)*eps[K,R]*eps[Kc,Rc]*ops [H,Q]*eps[Hc,Qc]*
0ps[M,G] *eps [Mc,Gc]:
Q11:=contract(dyad(Q11)):
Q11:=renrite(Q11,A1dum, A1exp):
A1dun:=A10xp:
Q11:=contract(dyad(Q11)):
Q11:= del(Q11,C,Cc):
Q11: =contract(dyad(Q11)):
Q11:=rorrite(Q11,B2dum,B2exp):
B2dum:=820xp:
Q11:=contract(dyad(Q11)):
Q112:=del(CW[K,Kc,D,Dc,E,EC,H,HC],M,Mc):
Q112:=contract(dyad(Q112)):
Q112:=contract(dyad(Q112)):
Q112: Frowrite(Q112,C3dum,C3exp):
C3dun:=C3exp:
Q112:=dol(Q112,F,FC):
Q112:=contract(dyad(Q112)):
Q112:=romrite(Q112,C4dum,C4exp):
C4dum:=C4exp:
Q112:=contract(dyad(Q112)):
Q11:=contract(dyad(Q11*Q112)):
Q11:=remrite(Q11,A2dum,A2exp):
A2dum:=A20xp:
Q11:=contract(dyad(Q11)):
save(Q11,generQ11):
Q12:=del(CW[V,Vc, A,Ac,B,Bc,R,Rc],C,Cc)*ops[K,V]*
eps[Kc,Vc]*eps [H,R]*eps[Hc,Rc]:
Q12:=contract(dyad(Q12)):
Q12:=contract(dyad(Q12)):
Q12:=del(Q12,D,DC):
Q12:=rerrite(Q12,D2dum,D2exp):
D2dum:=D2exp:
Q121:=10*del(SS[R,Kc,H,He,E,Ec],F,Fc)+6*del(SS[E,Ec,F,Fc,K,Rc],H,Hc):
```

```
Q121:xcontract(dyad(Q121)):
Q121: =contract(dyad(Q121)):
Q121:=contract(dyad(Q121)):
Q12:=contract(Q12*Q121):
save(Q12,generQ12):
Q13:=64*del(SS[A,AC,B,BC,K,Kc],C,CC):
Q13:=contract(dyad(Q13)):
Q13:=contract(dyad(Q13)):
Q13:*contract(dyad(Q13)):
Q13:=re#rite(Q13,E1dum,E1exp):
E1dum:=E1هxp:
Q131:=del(SS[D,Dc,E,EC,H,HC],F,Fc)*eps[K,H]*eps[Kc,Hc]:
Q131: =contract(dyad(Q131)):
Q131:=contract(dyad(Q131)):
Q131: =contract(dyad(Q131)):
Q131:=renrite(Q131,E3dum,E3exp):
E3dun:=E3exp:
Q13:=contract((Q13*Q131)):
save(Q13,generQ13):
Q14:=-CH[H,HC,A,Ac,B,Bc,G,Gc]*eps[R,H]*eps[Kc,Hc]*eps[V,G]*eps[Vc,Gc]:
Q14: =contract(dyad(Q14)):
Q14:=contract(dyad(Q14)):
QU:=":
save(QW,generQN):
Q141:=3*del(CN[T,TC,C,Cc,D,Dc,K,Kc],E,Ec)*eps[M,T]*eps [Mc,Tc]:
Q141:=contract(dyad(Q141)):
Q141:=contract(dyad(Q141)):
Q141:=remrite(Q141,E5dun,E5exp):
E5dum:=E5exp:
Q141:=del(Q141,F,FC)*LL[V,VC,M,Mc]:
Q141:=contract(dyad(Q141)):
Q141:=contract(dyad(Q141)):
Q141:=contract(dyad(Q141)):
Q141: =remrite(Q141,g6dum,E60xp):
E6dum:=E6exp:
Q14:=contract(Q14*Q141):
savo(Q14,generQ14):
Q15:=5*del(CH[K,RC,C,Cc,D,DC,V,VC],M,Mc):
Q15:=contract(dyad(Q15)):
Q15: =contract(dyad(Q15)):
Q15:=rerrite(Q15,E61dum,E61exp):
E61dum:=E610xp:
Q15:=de1(Q15,E,Ec)*LL[[R,RC,F,Fc]*eps[M,R]*өps[Mc,Rc] :
Q15:=contract(dyad(Q15)):
Q15:=reurite(Q15,E7dum,E70xp):
E7dum:=E7exp:
Q15:=contract(dyad(Q15*QM)):
save(Q15,generQ15):
Q16:=7*del(CW[R,Rc,C,Cc,D,Dc,K,Kc],V,Vc)*eps[M,R]*ops[Mc,Rc]:
Q16:=contract(dyad(Q16)):
Q16:=contract(dyad(Q16)):
Q16:=del(Q16,E,Ec) #LL[H,Mc,F,FC]:
Q16:=contract(dyad(Q16));
Q16:=rewrite(Q16,F11dum,F11exp):
F11dum:=F11exp:
Q16:=contract(Q16*QW):
Q16:=contract(dyad(Q16));
save(Q16, generQ16):
Q17:=13*del(SS[K,KC,V,VC,C,Ce],D,DC):
Q17:=contract(dyad(Q17)):
```

```
Q17:=contract(dyad(Q17)):
Q17:=contract(dyad(Q17)):
Q17:=renrite(Q17,F3dun,F3exp):
F3dun:=F3exp:
Q17:=contract(Q17*dyad(dyad(LL[E,Ec,F,Fc]))*QW):
save(Q17.generQ17):
Q18:=12*del(SS[C,CC,D,Dc,K,Rc],V,Ve):
Q18:=contract(dyad(Q18)):
Q18:=contract(dyad(Q18)):
Q18:=contract(dyad(Q18)):
Q18: =contract(dyad(Q18)):
Q18:=reqrite(Q18,F41dun,F41exp):
F41dum:=F41exp:
Q18:=contract(Q18*dyad(dyad (LN[E,Ec,F,Fc]))*QW):
save(Q18,generQ18):
Q19:=71*del(SS[C,CC,D,DC,K,KC],E,EC):
Q19:=contract(dyad(Q19)):
Q19:=contract(dyad(Q19)):
Q19:=contract(dyad(Q19)):
Q19:=reपrite(Q19,F5dum,F5exp):
FSdum:=F5exp:
Q19:=contract (Q19*dyad(dyad(LL[V,Vc,F,FC]))*QN):
save(Q19,generQ19):
#Second term, Q2
Q21:=de1(SS[K,Kc,V,VC,D,De],E,EC):
Q21:==contract(dyad(Q2i)):
Q21: =contract(dyad(Q21)):
Q21:=contract(dyad(Q21)):
Q21:=0xpand("):
Q21:=del(Q21,F,Fc):
Q21:=rerrite(Q21,F7dum,F7exp):
F7dum:=F7exp:
Q211:=del(CN[R,Rc,A,Ac,B,Bc,G,Gc],C,Cc)*eps[K,R]*
ops[Kc,Rc]*ops [V,G]*eps [Vc,Gc]:
Q211:=contract(dyad(Q211)):
QW2:=contract(dyad(Q211)):
save(QW2,generQW2):
Q21:=contract(Q21*QW2):
savo(Q21,generQ21):
Q22:=3*del(SS[D,Dc,E,EC,K,Ke],V,Vc):
Q22:=contract(dyad(Q22)):
Q22:=contract(dyad(Q22)):
Q22:=contract(dyad(Q22)):
Q22:=renrite(Q22,G2dun,G2exp):
G2dum:=G2exp:
Q22:=del (Q22,F,FC) :
Q22:=contract(Q22*QU2):
save(Q22,generQ22):
Q23:=2*del(SS[A,Ac,B,BC,K,Kc],C,Cc):
Q23 :=contract(dyad(Q23));
Q23:=contract(dyad(Q23));
Q23:=contract(dyad(Q23));
Q23:=0xpand('):
Q23:=del(Q23,D,Dc):
Q23: =remrite(Q23,G5dum,G5*:p) :
G5dum:=G50xp:
QQ23:=dyad(dyad(dyad(SS[E,Ec,F,Fc,R,Rc])))*ops[R,R]*ops[Rc,Rc] :
QQ23: =remrite(QQ23,G51dum,G51exp):
```


## G51dum: = G51exp:

Q23: =contract (Q23*QQ23) :

```
Q24:=-5*SS[A,|c,B,Bc,K,Kc]*eps[K,R]**\rhos[Kc,Rc]:
Q24:xeontract(dyad(Q24)):
Q24:=contract(dyad(Q24)):
Q24: =contract(dyad(Q24)):
Q24:=Q24*SS[C,Cc,D,DC,R,Rc]*LL[E,EC,F,Fc]:
Q24: xcontract(dyad(Q24)):
Q24:=contract(dyad(Q24)):
Q24:=contract(dyad(Q24)):
Q*3:=-(1/2)*del(CN[R,Rc,A,Ac,B,Bc,G,GC],C,CC)*eps [R,R]*
eps[Kc,Rc]*ops[V,G]*ops [Vc,Gc]:
QH3:=contract(dyad(Qu3)):
QW3:=contract(dYad(QU3)):
```

Q25: $=2$ *del (CU[R,Rc, $R, K c, V, V c, D, D c], E, E c$ )*
©ps [M,R]*eps [Mc,Rc]*LL[M,Mc,F,Fc]:
save(QU3, generQW3):
Q25: =contract (dyad (Q25)) :
Q25: xcontract (dyad (Q25)) :
Q25: =contract (Q25*QU3):
sare(Q25, generQ25):
Q26: $=3 * \operatorname{del}(C W[R, R c, D, D c, E, E c, R, K c], V, V c) *$
$\bullet p s[M, R] * \circ p s[M c, R c] * L L[M, M c, F, F c]:$
Q26:=contract (dyad (Q26)):
Q26:=contract(dyad(Q26)):
Q26: =contract (Q26*Q*3):
sare (Q26, gemerQ26) :
Q27: =contract(dyad(SS[K,Kc,V,Vc,D,Dc]*LL[E,Ec,F,Fc])):
Q27:=contract (dyad (Q27)):
Q27:=contract(dyad(Q27)):
Q27:=contract(Q27*QW3):
save(Q27.generQ27):
Q28: =3*del (CU[K, Kc, D, Dc, E, Ec, R,Rc],F,Fc)*
Qps[M,R]*ops[Mc,Rc]*[L[V,Vc,M,Mc]:
Q28: =contract(dyad(Q28)):
Q28: =contract(dyad(Q28)):
Q28: =contract (Q28*QU3):
save (Q28,generQ28):
Q29: $=15 * S S[D, D c, E, E c, K, K c] * L L[V, V c, F, F c]:$
Q29: =contract(dyad(Q29)):
Q29: =contract(dyad(Q29)):
Q29: ㅍcontract (dyad (Q29)) :
Q29: =contract (Q29*QU3) :
Q29:=contract (dyad(Q29)):
Q29: =contract (dyad (Q29)) :
save (Q29,generQ29):
QW4: $=-C W[G, G c, A, A C, B, B C, R, R c] * e p s[K, G] *$
eps [Kc, Gc]*eps [V,R]*ops [Vc,Rc] :
QN4: = dyad("):
QU4: =dyad (") :
save (QW4,generQW4);
Q210: = del (CW[K, Rc, C, Cc, D, Dc, H, He] , E, Ec) *ops [M, H] *ops [Mc, Hc]:
Q210: =contract (dyad(Q210)):
Q210: =contract (dyad(Q210)).
QQ210: $=(1 / 6)$ ( del (LL[V,Vc, M, Mc],F,Fc) +
QQ210: $=(1 / 6) *(d e l(L L[V, V c, M, M c], F, F c)+$
$d \odot 1(L L[M, H C, F, F C], V, V C)+d e l(L L[F, F C, V, V c], M, M c)$

```
+del(LL[F,Fc,M,Mc],V,Vc)+del(LL[V,Vc,F,FC],M,Mc)
+del(LL[M,Mc,V,VC],F,FC)):
QQ210:=contract(dyad(QQ210))
QQ210: =contract(dyad(QQ210)):
Q210: =contract (dyad(Q210*QQ210*QW4)):
save(Q210,generq210):
Q211:=(1/6)*(del(LLL[V,Vc,E,Ec],F,FC)+
del(LL[E,Ec,F,Fc],V,VC)+del(LL[F,FC,V,Vc],E,Ec)
+del (LL[F,FC,E,EC],\dot{V},VC)+deI(LL[V,VC,F,FC],E,EC)
+del(LL[E,Ec,V,Vc],F,Fc)):
Q211:=contract(dyad(Q211)):
Q211:=contract(dyad(Q211)):
Q211:=revrite(Q211,G8dum,G8exp):
G8dum:=G8erp:
QQ211:=dyad(SS[C,CC,D,DC,K,KC]):
QQ211:=dyad(QQ211):
QQ211:=dyad(QQ211):
QQ211:=rowrite(QQ211,H2dum,H2exp):
H2dum: =H2exp:
Q211:=contract(QQ211*Q211*QW4):
save(Q211,generQ211):
#Third term, Q3
Q31:=2*del(CW[V,Vc,X,XC,M,Mc,D,Dc], E,Ec):
Q31:=contract(dyad(Q31)):
Q31:=contract(dyad(Q31)):
Q31:=contract(dyad(Q31)):
Q31: =de1(Q31,F,FC):
Q31:=contract(dyad(Q31)):
Q31:=contract(dyad(Q31)):
Q31:=contract(dyad(Q31)):
Q31:=remrite(Q31,H1dum,H1exp):
H1dum:=H1exp:
Q311: =CN[K,Kc,R,Rc,H,Hc,C,Cc]*eps[M,R]*
\rhops [Mc,Rc]*ops [X,H]*eps[Xc,Hc]:
Q31:=contract(dyad(Q31*Q311*QW4)):
Q31:=contract(dyad(Q31));
save(Q31,generQ31):
Q32:=-10*del(CW[K,Kc,E,Ec,F,Fc,V,Vc],M,Mc):
Q32:=contract(dyad(Q32)):
Q32:=contract(dyad(Q32)):
Q32:=del(Q32,X,Xc):
Q32:=reurite(Q32,H32dum,H32exp):
H32dum:=H32erp:
Q321:=dyad(CN[H,HC,C,CC,D,DC,U,UC]*ops[M,H]*
ops [Mc,Hc]*ops [X,U]*ops[Xc,Uc]):
Q321:=contract(dyad(Q321)):
Q32: =contract(Q32*Q321*QW4):
save(Q32,generQ32):
Q33:=20*CW[V,VC,C,Cc,D,Dc,H,HC]*ops [M,H]*ops[Mc,Hc]*
dol(SS[K,Rc,M,Mc,E,Ec],F,FC):
Q33:=contract(dyad(Q33)):
Q33:=contract(dyad(Q33)):
Q33:=contract(dyad(Q33)):
Q33:=revrite(Q33,K1dum,K1exp):
K1dum:=K10xp:
Q33:=contract(Q33*QU4):
save(Q33,generQ33):
Q34:=-5*deI(CW[H,Hc,C,Cc,D,Dc,X,Xc], E,Ec)*eps[X,H]*
```

```
eps[Kc,HC]*ops[V,X]*ops[Vc,Xc]:
Q34:=contract(dyad(Q34)):
Q34:xdel(Q34,F,Fc):
Q34: =remrite(Q34,K3dum,K3exp):
K3dum: =R3exp:
Q341:=CH[V,Vc,M,Mc,G,Gc,B,Bc]*CN[K,Kc,Y,YC,U,Uc,A,AC]*
eps[M,Y]*eps[{fc,Yc]*eps[G,U]*өps[Gc,UC]:
Q341:=contract(dyad(Q341)):
Q341:=contract(dyad(Q341)):
Q34:=contract(Q341*Q34):
Q34:=contract(dyad(")):
Q34:=contract(dyad(")):
Q34:=contract(dyad(")):
Q34:=contract(dyad(")):
save(Q34,generQ34):
Q35:=7*CN[K,Kc,Y,YC,U,Uc,C,Cc]*eps[M,Y]*
eps[Mc,Yc] *eps [G,U]*eps[Gc,Uc]*
CW[V,VC,M,Mc,G,GC,D,DC]:
Q35:=contract(dyad(Q35)):
Q35: =contract(dyad(Q35)):
Q351:=LL[E,EC,F,FC]*(-QU4):
Q351:=contract (dyad(Q351)):
Q351:=contract(dyad(Q351)):
Q35:=contract (Q35*Q351):
Q35:=contract(dyad(")):
save(Q35.generQ35):
Q36:=-10*CW[X,Kc, E, Ec,F,FC,V,VC]*CW[U,UC,C,CC,D,DC,X,XC]*
eps[M,U]*eps [Hc,Uc] *eps [Y,X]*eps [YC,Xc]:
Q36:=contract(dyad(Q36)):
Q36:=contract(dyad(Q36)):
Q361:=LL[M,Mc,Y,Yc]*(-QH4):
Q361:=contract(dyad(Q361)):
Q361:=contract(dyad(Q361)):
Q36:=contract(Q36*Q361):
Q36:=contract(dyad(Q36)):
Q36:=contract(dyad(Q36));
save(Q36,generQ36):
#Fourth term, Q4
Q41:=3*dol(CH[K,Kc,U,UC,X,Xc,C,Cc],D,Dc)*
eps[M,U]*eps[Mc,Uc]*eps [Y,X]*eps[Yc,Xc]:
Q41:=contract(dyad(Q41)):
Q41:=contract(dyad(Q41)):
Q41:=renrite(Q41, K62dum,K62exp):
R62dum:=K620xp:
Q411:=del(CH[V,VC,M,Mc,Y,Yc,E,Ec],F,Fc):
Q411:=contract(dyad(Q411)):
Q411: =contract(dyad(Q411)):
Q411:=rewrite(Q411,R63dum,R63exp):
K63dum:=K63exp:
Q41:=contract(Q41*Q411*QW4):
save(Q41,generQ41):
Q42:=54*del(CN[V,Vc,C,Cc,D,Dc,X,Xc],E,Ec)*ops[M,X]*ops [Mc,Xc] :
Q42:=contract(dyad(Q42)):
Q42:=contract(dyad(Q42)):
Q42:=rowrite(Q42,J2dum,J20xp):
J2dum:=J2exp:
Q421:=SS[K,KC,M,Mc,F,FC]*QW4:
Q421: =contract(dyad(Q421)):
Q421:=contract(dyad(Q421)):
Q421:=contract(dyad(Q421)):
Q421:=remrite(Q421,J3dum,J3exp):
```

```
J3dum: =J30xp:
Q42:=contract(Q42*Q421):
save(Q42,generQ42):
Q43:=74*del(CW[V,VC,C,Cc,D,DC,X,XC],K,Kc)*
0ps[M,X]*eps[Mc,Xc]*SS[E,EC,F,FC,M,Mc]*QN4:
Q43:=contract(dyad(Q43)):
Q43:=contract(dyad(Q43)):
Q43:=contract(dyad(043)):
save(Q43,generQ43):
Q44:=(-76/3)*del(CW[C,Cc,K,Kc,V,VC,X,Xc],D,DC)*
SS[E,Ec,F,FC,M,Mc]*eps[M,X] *ops[Mc,Xc]*QM4:
Q44:=contract(dyad (Q44)):
Q44:=contract(dyad(Q44)):
Q44: xcontract(dyad(Q44)):
save(Q44,generQ44):
Q45:=(-404/3)*SS[C,CC,D,DC,K,XC]:
Q45:=contract(dyad(Q45)):
Q45:=contract(dyad(Q45)):
Q45: =contract(dyad(Q45)):
Q45:=roमrite(Q45,J7dum,J7oxp):
J7dum: =J7exp:
Q451:=SS[E,Ec,F,Fc,V,Vc]*Q44:
Q451:=contract(dyad(Q451)):
Q451:=contract(dyad(Q451)):
Q451:=contract(dyad(Q451)):
Q451:=rerrite(Q451,J8dum,J8exp):
J8dum:=J8exp:
Q45:=contract(Q45*Q451):
save(Q45,generQ45):
Q46:=6*del (CW[U,UC,B,BC,C,Ce,X,XC],D,Dc)*eps[K,U]*
ops[Kc,Uc]*ops[V,X]*eps [Vc,Xe]:
Q46:=contract(dyad(Q46)):
Q46:=contract(dyad(Q46)):
Q461:=del(CN[V,VC,E,Ec,F,FC,M,Mc],G,GC)*
CN[K,Kc,Y,YC,U,UC,A,AC]*eps [M,Y]*e\rhos[Mc,YC]*eps[G,U]*eps[Gc,Uc]:
Q461:=contract(dyad(Q461)):
Q461:=contract(dyad(Q461)):
Q461:=rewrite(Q461,L1dum,L1exp):
L1dum:=L1exp:
Q46:=contract(Q46*Q461):
savo(Q46,generQ46):
#Fifth term, Q5
Q51:=25*(-QU4)*CW[V,Vc,C,CC,D,Dc,X,Xc] *ops[M,X]*eps [Mc,Xc]:
Q51: =contract(dyad(Q51)):
Q51:=contract(dyad(Q51)):
Q511:=LL[K,Kc,M,Mc]*[L[E,Ec,F,Fc]:
Q511:=contract(dyad(Q511)):
Q511:=contract(dyad(Q511)):
Q51:=contract(Q51*Q511):
save(Q51,generQ51):
Q52:=(1/6)*(-QW4)*CN[R,Kc,C,Cc,D,De,V,Ve]*87*
LL[X,Xc,E,Ec]*ops[M,X]*ops[Hc,Xc]*LL[M,Hc,F,Fc]:
Q52:=contract(dyad(Q52)):
Q52:=contract(dyad(Q52)):
save(Q52,generQ52):
#We have to break the contractions into parts in order
# to save computer memory
```

```
E.2 Self-adjoint scalar equation
Q1: \(=Q 11+Q 12+Q 13+Q 14+Q 15+Q 16+Q 17+Q 19:\)
Q2: \(=\) Q \(21+\) Q22 + Q \(23+Q 24+\) 25 \(2+Q 26+Q 27+Q 29+Q 210+Q 211:\)
Q3: \(=\) Q \(31+\) Q \(32+\) Q33 \({ }^{2}\) Q34+Q35+Q36:
Q4: \(=\) Q41+Q42+Q43+Q44+Q45+Q56:
Q5: \(=\mathrm{Q} 51+\mathrm{Q} 52\) :
```



```
fc: \(=\) conj(f):
```



```
co2: =i[D]*i[S]*i[P]*i[Q]*i[Z]*o[T] :
co3: \(=1[\mathrm{H}] * i[S] * i[P] * i[Q] * 0[Z] * 0[W]:\)
co4: \(=i[A] * i[S] * i[P] * 0[Q] * 0[Z] * 0[W]:\)
```



```
co6: =i [ N\(] * 0[\mathrm{~S}] * 0[\mathrm{P}] * \circ[\mathrm{q}] * \circ[\mathrm{Z}] * 0[\mathrm{~N}]:\)
co7s : \(=0[H] * 0[S] * 0[P] * 0[Q] * 0[Z] * 0[W]:\)
co2s: \(=s \operatorname{yman}(c o 2,[A, S, P, Q, Z, W]):\)
co3s: \(=\operatorname{sym}(c o 3,[N, S, P, Q, Z, W]):\)
co4s: \(=\operatorname{symm}(c 04,[D, S, P, Q, Z, W]):\)
co5s: \(x=\operatorname{sym}(c o 5,[I, S, P, Q, Z, W]):\) co6s: \(=s\) yma \((c o 6,[N, S, P, Q, Z, W]):\)
co1sc:=conj(cois) : co2sc:=conj(co2s): co3sc: =conj(co3s) : co4sc:=conj(co4s) : co5sc:=conj(co5s) : co6sc: = conj(co6s) : co7se:=conj(co7s):
c:=array(1..28):
\(c[1]:=c 01 s * c o 1 s c:\)
\(c[2]:=c o 1 s\) co co2sc:
\(c[3]:=c 01 \mathrm{~s}\) * co3sc:
\(c[4]:=c 01 \mathrm{~s}=c 04 \mathrm{sc}\) :
\(c[5]:=\operatorname{co1s}\) co5sc:
\(c[6]:=c o 1 \mathrm{~s} * \operatorname{co6sc}\) :
\(c[7]:=\operatorname{co1s*co7sc}\) :
\(c[8]:=c o 2 s * c o 2 s c:\)
\(c[9]:=c o 2 s\) 事co3sc:
\(c[10]:=c o 2 s * \operatorname{co4} 4 \mathrm{sc}\)
\(c[11]:=c o 2 s * \operatorname{cossc}\) :
\(c[12]:=c o 2 s * \operatorname{co6sc}:\)
\(c[13]:=c o 2 s * c o 7 s c\) :
\(c[14]:=c o 3 s * c o 3 s c:\) \(c[15]:=c o 3 s * c o 4 s c\)
\(c[16]:=c o 3 s * c o 5 s c:\)
\(c[17]:=c o 3 s * c o 6 s c:\)
\(c[18]:=c o 3 s * c o 7 s c:\)
\(c[19]:=c 04 \mathrm{~s} * \mathrm{co4sc}\) : \(c[20]\) : \(=c 04 \mathrm{~s}=\operatorname{co5sc}\) : \(c[21]:=c 04 s\) нco6sc: \(c[22]:=c o 4 s * c o 7 s c:\)
\(c[23]:=c o 5 s * c o 5 s c:\) c[24]: =co5s事co6sc:
```



```
\(c[26]:=\operatorname{co6s*} \operatorname{co6sc}\) : \(c[27]:=c o 6 s * c o 7 s c\) :
\(c[28]:=c o 7 s * c o 7 s c:\)
save(c,csix);
```177
```

sixQ1:marray(1..28):
for j from 1 to 28 do
sixQ1[j]:=expand(contract(Q1*e[j]*fc*fc)):
od:
sixq2:=array(1..28):
for j from ito 28 do
sixQ2[j]:=0xpand(contract(Q2*c[j]*fc*fc)):
od:
sixq3:=array(1.. 28):
for j from 1 to 28 do
sixq3[j]:=expand(contract(Q3*c[j]*fc*Ic)):
od:
sixq4:=array(1..28):
for j from 1 to 28 do
sixq4[j]:=expand(contract(Q4*c[j]*fc*fc)):
od:
sixQ5:=array(1..28):
for j from 1 to 28 do
sixQ5[j]:= oxpand(contract(Q5*c[j]*fc*fc)):
od:
six:=array(1..28):
for j from 1 to 28 do
six[j]:=primpart(factor(sixQ11[j]+sixQ12[j]+sixQ13[j]+sixQ14[j]+sixQ15[j]
+sixQ16[j]+sixQ17[j]+sixQ18[j]+sixQ19[j]-10*(sixQ21[j]
+sixQ22[j]+sixQ23[j]+sixQ24[j]+sixQ25[j]+sixQ26[j]
+sixQ27[j]+sixq28[j]+sixQ29[j]+sixQ210[j]+sixQ211[j])+4*(sixQ31[j]+
sixQ32[j]+sixQ33[j]+sixQ34[j]+six@35[j]
+sixQ36[j])+5*(sixq41[j]+sixQ42[j]+sixq43[j]
+sixQ44[j]+sixQ45[j]+
sixQ46[j])+sixQ51[j]+sixQ52[j]));
od:
save(six,'six.array'):
s10:=six[10]:
save(s10,sixi0);
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
\#Solving for the case R11=0
\#Hame of the file: solveselfo
R11:=0:
read 'tt.array';
X(b):=solve(tt[4],X(b));
Y(bc):=conj(X(b));
eq30:=tt[1]/2;
oq31:=tt[2];
eqns(); oqns();
D(R12):=solvo(eq22+conj(oq23), D(R12));
D(R21):=conj(D(R12));
D(g):=s01ve(eq6,D(g));
X(R12):=solvo(conj(eq25),X(R12));
Y(R12) :=solve(expand(eq24-eq29)/3,Y(R12));
X(R21):=conj(Y(R12));
Y(R21):=conj(X(R12));
D(R22):=solve(conj (eq24)+2*eq29,D(R22));
D(R12):=solve(oq22+conj(eq23),D(R12));
D(R21):=conj(D (R12));
X(a):=s0lvo(eq12,X(a));
Y(ac):=comj(X(a));
X(1):=solvo(eq13,X(1));
Y(lc):=conj(X(1));
Y_X(R12);
":
";'32:=expand (');

```
```

eq36:=conj(eq26);
X(R22):=solvo(eq36,X(R22));
Y(R22):=conj(Y(R22));
D(n):=solve(eq9,D(n));
D(nc):=conj(D(n));
X(p):=s01ve(eq8,X(p));
Y(pc):=conj(X(p));
Y(p):=solve(eq7,Y(p)):
X(Pc):=conj(Y(p));
D(gc):=conj(D(g));
eq38: =normal (X_D(R22)-V_D(R12));
X(bc) :=80lvo(eq38,X(bc));
Y(b):=conj (X (bc));
V(b):=solve(eq15,V(b));
V(bc):=conj(V(b));
X(m):=solve(eq30,X(m));
Y(mc):=conj(X(m));

# Condition V

t5: =array(1..15):
read 't5sca.array";
\#Scalar field equations
\#k1:=3;k2:=4;
for j from 1 to 15 do
tS[j]:=normal(t5[j]);
od:
eq39:=normal (t5[1]);
eq40:=normal (t5[5]);
eq41:=mormal (t5[9]);
-q42 : =normal (t5[15]);
D(1c):=solve(eq41,D(lc));
D(I):=conj(D(lc));
Y-D(m):
D(Y(m)):=solve(",D(Y(m)));
Y_D(gc):":":":":
D(Y(gc)):=solvo(",D(Y(gc)));
Y_D(g):":":":":
D(Y(g)):=solve(",D(Y(g)));
V_D(p) :
D(V(p)):=s0lve(",D(V(p)));
V_D(a):":":
D(V(a)):=solve(",D(V(a)));
read sixi0:
s10:":":
s10:=1actor(s10);
solvo(oq39,D(mc)):":"
D(me):=factor(");
Y_X(a+2*p):":":":":
eq43:={actor(");
normal(eq43):":":":
solve(",D(m)):
D(m):\#factor(i);
M(m):\#ractor)-co; (D(m))):
s1:=normal(")
ns1:=numer(")/2;
read sir10:
s10:":":
s10:={actor(s10);
Ya6:=solve(s10,Y(a))

```
```

Y_X(bc):":":":":":":":"":":normal("):
Ya1:=normal(solve(",Y(a))):
Y_X(b):":":":":":":":":":normal("):
normal(solvo(",X(ac))):
Ya2:=conj("):
eq1:=normal(Ya1-Ya6);
neq1:=numer("):
neq1:=f1actor("):
neq1:=op(5,neq1);
\#Y(neq1) yields neqi again
Y(neq1):":":":":":
eq60:=normal (");
solve(oq60,Y(a)):
Ya4:=";
eq4:=normal(Ya1-Ya4);
neq4:=1actor(numer("));
a:=x1*p:
ac:=conj("):
b:=x2*pc:
bc:=conj("):
neq1:={\mp@code{actor(neq1)/(-pc*p-2);}
neq1c:=conj(");
ns1:=1actor(ns1)/(p-2*pc-2);
neq4:=factor(neq4)/(2*p-5*pc-2);
with(grobnor);
F1:=[neq1,neq4]:
G1:=gsolve(FI);
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#

```

\section*{E. 3 Non-self-adjoint scalar equation}
```

\#Components of condition II (on-self-adjoint scalar equation)
\#Hame of the file: IInon
read heading;
Q2:=del(pp[K,A],X,Ac)*eps [K,X]:
Q2:=contract(dyad(")):
Q2:=contract(dyad(")):
f:=ops[G,A]:
fc:=conj(''):
c[1]:=0[G]*oc[Gc]:
c[2]:=0[G]*ic[Gc]:
c[3]:=OC[Gc]*i[G]:
c[4]:=i[G]*ic[Gc]:
for j from 1 to 4 do
QQ1[j]:=contract(dyad(Q2*f*fc*c[j]));
od;
save(QQ1,'QQ1.array'):
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
\#condition III
\#Name of the file: IIInon
road hoading;
T21:=del(psi[A,B,R,V],J,Ac)*өps[R,J]:
T21:=contract(dyad(")):

```
```

E.3 Non-self-adjoint scalar equation
T21:=contract(dyad(")):
T21:=del (" , H, Bc)*eps [V,H] :
I21: =contract(dyad(")):
I22:=psi[A,B,M,M]*eps [K,M]*eps[V,M]*Phi[K,V,Ac,Bc]
+5*Pp[A,B] *PPG[AC, Bc]:
T22:=contract(dyad(")):
T22:=contract(dyad(")):
T2:=T21+T22:
f:=ops[M,A]*ops [H,B]:
fc:=conj("):
co1s:=i[M]*i [f]:
co2s:xgymm(i[M]*o[M],[M,N]):
co3s:=0 [M]*o[H]:
co1co:=conj(co1s):
co2co:=conj(co2s):
co3co:=conj(co3s):
c[1]:=co1s*co1co:
c[2]:=co1s*co2co:
c[3]:=co1s*co3co:
c[4]:=co2s*co1co:
c[5]:=co2s*co2co:
c[6]:=co2s*co3co:
c[7]:=co3s*co1co:
c[8]:=co3s*co2co:
c[9]:=co3s*co3co:
QQ2:=array(1..9):
for j from 1 to 9 do
QQ2[j]:=factor(contract(dyad(c[j]*T2*f*fc)));
od;
save(QQ2,'QQ2.array'):
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
\#Condition IV
\#Name of the file: IVnon
read heading;
Q3:=3*SS[A,Ac,B,Bc,R,Kc]*HH[G,Gc,C,Ce]*ops[K,G]*ops[Kc,Gc]+
CU[M,Mc,A,Ac,B,Bc,H,Nc]*ops[K,M]*ops[Kc,Mc]*ops[V,H]*ops[Vc,Nc]*
del(HH[C,Cc,R,Kc],V,Vc):
Q3:=contract(dyad(")):
Q3:=contract(dyad(")):
Q3:=co\#tract(dyad(")):
Q3:=factor("):

```

```

fc:=conj("):
co1:=0[H]*O[X]*O[Y]:
co2: =s ymm(O[H]*O[X]*i[Y],[H,X,Y]):
co3:=symm(O[H]*i[X]*i[Y],[H,X,Y]):
co4:=i[H]*i[X]*i[Y]:
co1c:=conj(co1):
co2c:=conj(co2):
co3c:=conj (co3):
co4c:=conj (co4) :

```
```

c[1]:=co1*co1c:
c[2]:=co1*co1c:
c[3]:=co1*co3c
c[4]:=co1*co4c:
c[5]:=c02*co2c:
c[5]:=co2*co2c:
c[7]:=co2*co4c:
c[8]:=co3*co3c:
c[9]:=co3*C04c:
c[10]:=co4*co4c:
QQ3:=array(1..10):
for j from 1 to 10 do
QQ3[j]:={actor(contract(dyad(e[j]\#Q3*f*\&fc)));
od;
savo(QQ3,'QQ3.array'):

```

```

*Condition V
\#Name of the file: Vnon
read heading;
Q41:= 3*del(CW[K,Kc,C,Cc,D,Dc,V,Vc],M,HC)*
del (CW[H,WC,E,Ec,F,FC,G,GC],H,HC)*eps[K,V]*ops[Kc,Wc]*ops[V,G]*eps[VC,Gc]*
eps [M,H]*ops[Mc,He]:
Q41:=contract(dyad(")):
Q41:=1actor(contract(dyad("))) :
save(Q41,Q41self):
1;
Q42:=8*del(CH[W,WC,C,CC,D,DC,M,MC],E,EC) \#eps[K,W]*
eps[Kc,Nc] *ops [V,M]*eps [VC,Mc] \#SS[K,Ke,V,Vc,F,Fc]:
Q42:=contract(dyad(")):
Q42:=contract(dyad(")):
Q42:=contract(dyad(")):
Q42:=factor("):
savo(Q42,Q42self):
2;
Q43: =40*SS[C,Ce,D,DC,H,HC]*eps[K,H]*eps[Kc,Hc]:
Q43:=contract(dyad(")):
Q43:=contract(dyad(")):
Q43:=contract(dyad(")):
Q43:=contract(dyad(Q43*SS[E,Ec,F,Fc,K,Kc])):
Q43:=contract(dyad(")):
Q43:=contract(dyad(")):
Q43:=factor('1):
savo(Q43,Q43self):
3;
Q44:=-8*CW[W,Wc,C,Cc,D,Dc,M,Mc]*өps[K,W]*өps[Kc,Wc]*өps[V,M]*өps[VC,Mc]:
Q44:=contract(dyad(")):
Q44:=contract(dyad(")):
Q441:=del(SS[X,Xc,V,Vc,E,Ec],F,Fc):
Q441:=contract(dyad(")):
Q441:=contract(dyad(")):
Q441:=contract(dyad(")):
Q441:=reurite(Q441,F11dum,F11exp):
F11dum:=F110xp:
Q44:=contract(Q441*Q44):
Q44:={年tor("):
save(Q44,Q44self):
4;
4;5:=-24*CW[H,Vc,C,Cc,D,Dc,M,Mc]*ops [R,V]*ops[Kc,Wc]*ops[V,M]*ops[VC,Mc]:

```
```

Q45: xcontract(dyad(")):
Q45: =contract(dyad(")):
Q451:= del(SS[E,Ec,F,Fc, R,Kc],V,Vc) :
Q451:=contract(dyad(")):
0451:=contract (dyad(")) :
Q451:=contract(dyad(")):
Q451:=rerrite(Q451,F12dum,F12exp):
F12dum:=F12exp:
Q45:=contract(Q45*Q451):
Q45:=factor("):
save(Q45,Q45self):
Sav
Q46:=4*CW[U,WC,C,Cc,D,Dc,X,Xe]*बps[K,W]*өps[Rc,Wc]*बps [V,X]*ops [Vc,XC]:
Q46:=contract(dyad(")):
Q46:=contract(dyad(")):
Q461: =CN[V,VC,H,HC,E,Ec,K,Kc]*eps [M,H]*eps[Mc,Hc]:
Q461: =contract(dyad(")):
Q461:=contract(dyad(")):
Q462:=LL[F,FC,M,Mc]:
Q462:=contract(dyad(")):
Q462: =contract(dyad('')):
Q46:=contract(Q46*Q462*Q461):
Q46:=factor("):
save(Q46,Q46self):
6
Q47:=12*CW[W,Wc,C,CC,D,Dc,M,Mc]*ops[K,W]*eps[Kc,Hc]*ops[V,M]*eps[VC,Mc] [
CW[H,Hc,E,Ec,F,Fc,V,Vc]*ops[H,H]*ops[Mc,Hc]:
Q47:=contract(dyad(")):
Q47:=contract(dyad(")):
Q471:=LL[K,Kc,M,Mc]:
Q471:=contract(dyad(")):
Q471:=contract(dyad(")):
Q47:=contract(Q471*Q47):
Q47:=factor("):
save(Q47,Q47self):
7;
Q48:=dol(12*HH[K, Kc,C,Cc],D,Dc):
Q48:=contract(dyad(")):
Q48:=contract(dyad(")):
Q48:=del(Q48,E,Ec):
Q48:=retrite(Q48,F13dum,F13exp):
F13dum:=F13exp:
Q481:=HH[H,HC,F,FC]*ops[K,H]*ops[Kc,Hc]:
Q481:=contract(dyad(")):
Q481:=contract(dyad(')):
Q48:=contract(Q48*Q481):
Q48:={actor("):
save(Q48,Q48self):
Sav
Q49:=-16*del(HH[K,RC,C,CC],D,DC):
Q49:=contract(dyad(')):
Q49:=contract(dyad(")) :
Q49:=Q49*dol (HH[M,Mc,E,Ec],F,Fc)*ops [K,M] *ops [Kc,Mc]:
Q49:=contract(dyad(")):
Q49:=contract(dyad(")):
Q49:x\&actor("):
savo(Q49,049self):
9;
Q410:=-84*HH[M, Mc, C, Cc] *ops[K,M]*eps[Ke, Mc] :
Q410:=contract(dyad(")):
Q410:=contract (dyad(")) :
Q410:=Q410\#CU[R,Kc,D,DC,E,Ec,V,VC]:
Q410:=contract(dyad(")):
Q410:=contract(dyad(")):
Q410:=Q410*HH[H,HC,F,FC]*eps [V,H]*eps[VC,HC]:

```
```

Q410:=contract(dyad(")):
Q410:=contract(dyad(")):
Q410:=factor("):
save(Q410,0410seIf):
10:
Q411:=-18*HH[[K,Kc,C,Cc]*HH[G,Gc,D,Dc]*eps[K,G]*eps[Kc,Gc]*LL[E,Rc,F,Fc]:
Q411:=contract(dyad(")):
Q411:=contract(dyad(")):
Q411:-factor("):
save(Q411,Q411self):
11;
Q4: =Q41+Q42+Q43+Q44+Q45+Q46+Q47+Q48+Q49+Q410+Q411:
f:=0ps[S,C]*ops[Sc,Cc]*ops[E,D]*eps [Rc,Dc]*
\&ps[B,E]*eps[PC,Ec]*ops[Q,F]*\bulletps[Qc,Fc] :
co1:=i[S]*i[M]*i[P]*o[Q]:
co2:=i[S]*i[M]*o[P]*o[Q]:
co3:=i[S]*o[M]*O[P]*o[Q]:
c04s:=0[S] *o [X]*o[P]*o[Q]
co5s:=i[S]*i[M]*i[P]*i[Q]:
co1s:=symm(co1, [N, S,P,Q]):
co2s:=symm}(co2,[M,S,P,Q])
co3s:=symm(co3, [N,S,P,Q]):
co1co:=conj(co1s) :
co2co:=conj(co2s):
co3co:=conj(co3s):
co4co:=conj(co4s) :
co5co:=xconj(co5s):
c[1]:=co1s*co1co:
c[2]:=co1s*co2co:
c[3]:=co1s*co3co:
c[4]:=co1s*co4co:
c[5]:=co1s*co5co:
c[6]:=co2s*co2co:
c[7]:=co2s*co3co:
c[8]:=c02s*co4co:
c[9]:=co2s*co5co:
c[10]:=co3s*co3co:
c[11]:=co3s*co4co:
c[12]:=co3s*co5co:
c[13]:=co4s*co4co:
c[14]:=co4s*co5co:
c[15]:=co5s*co5co:
QQ4:=array(1..15):
for j from 1 to 15 do
QQ4[j]:=expand(contract(dyad(Q4*c[j]*f)));
od;
savo(QQ4,"QQ4.array");

```

```

\#Condition VI
\#Name of the file: VInon
read hoading;
Q51:=36*CN[W,WC,A,AC,B,Bc,G,Gc]*eps[K,W]*eps[Kc,Wc]*eDs[V,G]*eps[VC,Gc]*

```
```

dol(CU[V,Vc,C,Cc,D,DC,M,Mc], R,Kc)*HH[J, Jc,E,Ec]*ops [H, J]*ops [Mc, Jc] :
Q51:=contract(dyad(")):
Q51:=contract(dyad(")):
Q51:=contract(dyad(")):
Q51:=factor("):
save(Q51,Q51self):
1;
Q52: =-6*de1(CW[H,Wc, , ,Ac,B,BC,G,Gc],C,Cc)*
0ps[K,H]*ops[Kc,Vc]*ops [V,G]*OPs[VC,Gc]*
CH[V,VC,D,DC,E,EC,J,JC]*@Ps[M,J]*ө\rhos[MC,JC]*HH[K,Kc,M,Mc]:
Q52:=contract(dyad(")):
Q52:=contract(dyad(")):
Q52:=contract(dyad(")):
Q52:=factor("):
save(Q52,Q52self):
2;
Q;3:=-138*CU[R,Kc,C,Cc,D,DC,V,Vc]:
Q53:=contract(dyad(i)):
Q53:=contract(dyad(')):
Q53: =Q53*HE[G,GC,E,Ec]**Ps[V,G]*ops[Vc,Gc]:
Q53:=contract(dyad(")):
Q53:=contract(dyad(')):
Q531:=contract(dyad(SS[A,AC,B,BC,W,WC]*ops[K,W]*ops[Kc,Wc])):
Q531:=contract(dyad(")):
Q531:=contract(dyad(')):
Q53:=contract(dyad(Q531*Q53)):
Q53:=contract(dyad(')):
Q53:=factor("):
save(Q53,Q53solf):
3;
Q54:=6*d*1(HH[X,Xc,C,Cc],D,Dc)*ops[K,X]*ops[Kc, Xc]:
Q54:contract(dyad(")):
Q54:factor(contract(dyad("))):
Q54:contract(dyad(")):
Q54:=dyad("):
Q54:=rourite(Q54,F12dum,F120xp):
F12dum:=F120xp:
Q54:=del(Q54,E,EC):
Q54:=rewrite(Q54,F13dum,F13exp):
F13dum:=F130xp:
Q541:=SS[A,Ac,B,BC,K,Kc]:
Q541:=factor(contract(dyad("))):
Q541:=contract(dyad(')):
Q54:=Q54*Q541:
Q54:contract(dyad(")):
Q54:contract(dyad(")):
Q54:=factor("):
save(Q54,Q54self):
4;
Q55:=6*dol(CU[W,VC,A,Ac,B,BC,G,Gc],C,Cc)*
\rhops[K,W]*ops[Kc,Wc]*ops[V,G]*ops[VC,Gc]:
Q55:=contract(dyad(")):
Q55:=contract(dyad(")):
Q551:=~del(H\#[K,KC,D,DC],V,VC):
Q551:=contract(dyad(")):
Q551:=contract(dyad(")):
Q551:=del(Q551,E,Ec):

```

```

F14dum:=F14exp:
Q55:=contract(Q551*Q55):
Q55:=factor("):
save(Q55,Q55soif):
5;

```
```

Q56:=-24*d\odotl(SS[A,Ac,B,Bc,K,Kc],C,Cc):
Q56:=contract(dyad(")):
Q56:=contract(dyad(")):
Q56:=contract(dyad(")):
longth(Q56):
Q56:=remrite(Q56,F16dum,F16exp):
F16dur:=F16exp:
length(Q56):
Q561:=del (HH[X,Xc,D,DC],E,Ec)*eps [K,X]*eps[Kc, Xc] :
Q561:=contract(dyad(')):
Q561:=contract(dyad(")):
Q56:=contract(Q56*Q561):
Q56:=factor('):
save(Q56,Q56self):
6;
Q5'7:=12*CU[H,HC,A,Ac,B,Bc,G,Gc]*eps[K,W]*
eps[Kc,Wc]*eps [V,G]*eps[Vc,Gc]*LL[K,Kc,C,Cc]*del(HH[V,Vc,D,Dc],E,Ec):
Q57: =contract(dyad(")):
Q57:=contract(dyad(")):
Q57:=1actor(1):
save(Q57,Q57self):
7%
Q558:=-9*del(CW[W,WC,A,AC,B,BC,G,Gc], C,CC)*
eps[K,W]*eps[Kc,Hc]*eps[V,G]*eps[Vc,Gc]*LL[R,Kc,D,Dc]*HH[V,Vc, R,Ec]:
Q58:=contract(dyad(")):
Q58:=contract(dyad(")):
Q58:={年tor("):
savo(Q58,Q58solf):
8;
QS9:=-9*SS[A,Ac,B,BC,K,Kc]*LL[C,Cc,D,Dc]*HH[G,Gc,E,Ec]*ops [K,G]*ops [Kc,Gc]:
Q59:=contract(dyad(")):
Q59:=contract(dyad(")):
Q59: =contract(dyad(")):
Q59:={actor("):
save(q59,Q59self):
9;
f:=eps[S,A]*өps[Sc,Ac]*eps[A,B]*eps[\&c,Bc]*eps[P,C]*eps[Pc,Cc]*eps [Q,D]*
\rhops[Qc,Dc]*өps[Z,E];ops[Zc,Ec]:
co1s:=i[M]*i[S]*i[P]*i[Q]*i[Z]:
co2:=i[H]*i[S]*i[P]*i[Q]*o[2]:
co3:=i[N]*i[S]*i[P]*O[Q]*o[Z],
co4:=i[H]*i[S]*o[P]*o[Q]*o[Z]:
co5:=i[H]*o[S]*o[P]*o[Q]*o[Z]:
co6s:=O[H] * [S]*o[P]*O[Q]*o[Z]:
co2s:=symm(co2,[N,S,P,Q, Z]):
co3s: =symm(co3,[N,S,P,Q,Z]):
co4s:=symm(co4,[N,S,P,Q,Z]):
co5s: =symm(co5,[N,S,P,Q,Z]):
co1sc:=conj(co1s) :
co2sc:=conj(co2s):
co3sc:=conj(co3s):
co4sc:=conj(co4s):
co5sc:=>conj(co5s):
co6sc:=conj(co6s):
c:=array(1.. 21):
c[1]:=co1s*co1sc:
c[2]:=co1s*co2sc:
c[3]:=co1s*Co2sc:
c[4]:=co1s*co4sc:
c[5]:=co1s*co5sc:

```
```E. 3 Non-self-adjoint scalar equation
```

```
c[6]:=co1s*co6sc:
```

c[6]:=co1s*co6sc:
c[7]:=co2s*co2sc:
c[7]:=co2s*co2sc:
c[8]:=co2s*co3sc:
c[8]:=co2s*co3sc:
c[9]:=co2s*co4sc:
c[9]:=co2s*co4sc:
c[10]:=co2s*co5sc:
c[10]:=co2s*co5sc:
c[11]:=co2s*co6sc:
c[11]:=co2s*co6sc:
c[12]:=co3s*co3sc:
c[12]:=co3s*co3sc:
c[13]:=co3s*co4sc
c[13]:=co3s*co4sc
c[14]:=co3s*co5sc
c[14]:=co3s*co5sc
c[15]:=co3s\#co6sc:
c[15]:=co3s\#co6sc:
c[16]:=c04s*co4se
c[16]:=c04s*co4se
c[17]:=co4s*co5sc:
c[17]:=co4s*co5sc:
c[18]:=c04s*co6sc:
c[18]:=c04s*co6sc:
c[19]:=co5s*co5sc:
c[19]:=co5s*co5sc:
c[20]:=co5s*co6sc:
c[20]:=co5s*co6sc:
c[21]:=co6s*co6se:
c[21]:=co6s*co6se:
QQ5:=array(1..21):
QQ5:=array(1..21):
for j from 1 to 21 do
for j from 1 to 21 do
QQ51[j]:=0xpand(contract(dyad(Q51*c[j]*f)));
QQ51[j]:=0xpand(contract(dyad(Q51*c[j]*f)));
QQ52[j]: =0xpand(contract(dyad(Q52*c[j]*f)));
QQ52[j]: =0xpand(contract(dyad(Q52*c[j]*f)));
QQ53[j]:=expand (contract(dyad(Q53*c[j]*f)));
QQ53[j]:=expand (contract(dyad(Q53*c[j]*f)));
QQ54[j] :=expand(contract (dyad(Q54*c[j]*f)));
QQ54[j] :=expand(contract (dyad(Q54*c[j]*f)));
QQ55[j]:=expand(contract(dyad(Q55*c[j]**)))
QQ55[j]:=expand(contract(dyad(Q55*c[j]**)))
QQ56[j]:=expand(contract(dyad(Q56*c[j]*f)));
QQ56[j]:=expand(contract(dyad(Q56*c[j]*f)));
QQ57[j]:=expand(contract(dyad(Q57*c[j]*f)));
QQ57[j]:=expand(contract(dyad(Q57*c[j]*f)));
QQ58[j]:=expand(contract(dyad(Q58*c[j]*f)));
QQ58[j]:=expand(contract(dyad(Q58*c[j]*f)));
QQ59[j]:=expand(contract(dyad(Q59*c[j]*f)));
QQ59[j]:=expand(contract(dyad(Q59*c[j]*f)));
QQ5[j]:=12ctor (QQ51[j]+QQ52[j]+QQ53[j] +QQ55[j] +
QQ5[j]:=12ctor (QQ51[j]+QQ52[j]+QQ53[j] +QQ55[j] +
QQ55[j]+QQ56[j]+QQ57[j]+QQ58[j]+QQ59[j]):
QQ55[j]+QQ56[j]+QQ57[j]+QQ58[j]+QQ59[j]):
od:
od:
savo(QQ5,'QQ5.array');

```
savo(QQ5,'QQ5.array');
```




```
#Building and solving the polynomial syster for a,b and p
```

\#Building and solving the polynomial syster for a,b and p
\#Name of the file: solvenon
\#Name of the file: solvenon
road heading;
road heading;
WOc:=0: W2c:=0: |1c:=0: W4c:=0: W0:=0: W2:=0: W4:=0 :
WOc:=0: W2c:=0: |1c:=0: W4c:=0: W0:=0: W2:=0: W4:=0 :
W1:=0:
W1:=0:
W3:=-1: W3c:=-1:
W3:=-1: W3c:=-1:
k:=0:kc:=0:Sc:=0: s:=0: rc:=0 : r:=0: e:=0:बc:=0:t:=0:tc:=0:
k:=0:kc:=0:Sc:=0: s:=0: rc:=0 : r:=0: e:=0:बc:=0:t:=0:tc:=0:
ROO:=0:R01:=0:R02:=0:R20:=0:R10:=0:
ROO:=0:R01:=0:R02:=0:R20:=0:R10:=0:
L:=0:
L:=0:
D(a):=0:D(b):=0:D(ac):=0:D(bc):=0: D(p):=0: D(pc):=0:
D(a):=0:D(b):=0:D(ac):=0:D(bc):=0: D(p):=0: D(pc):=0:
X(R11) :=0;Y(R11):=0;D(R11):=0;V(R11):=0;
X(R11) :=0;Y(R11):=0;D(R11):=0;V(R11):=0;
D(p2):=0: D (p2c):=0:
D(p2):=0: D (p2c):=0:
p0:=0:p0c:=0:p1:=0:p1c:=0:
p0:=0:p0c:=0:p1:=0:p1c:=0:
road 'QQ1.array':
road 'QQ1.array':
X(P2):=solve(QQ1[4],X(p2));
X(P2):=solve(QQ1[4],X(p2));
Y(p2c):=conj("):
Y(p2c):=conj("):
read 'QQ2.array':
read 'QQ2.array':
X(b):=solve(QQ2[4],X(b)):
X(b):=solve(QQ2[4],X(b)):
Y(be):=conj(")
Y(be):=conj(")
eqns(): eqns():
eqns(): eqns():
D(R12):=solve(eq22+conj(eq23),D(R12)):
D(R12):=solve(eq22+conj(eq23),D(R12)):
D(R21):=conj(D(R12));
D(R21):=conj(D(R12));
X(R12):=solve(conj(oq25),X(R12));
X(R12):=solve(conj(oq25),X(R12));
Y(R12):=s0lve(oxpand(eq24-eq29)/3,Y(R12));

```
Y(R12):=s0lve(oxpand(eq24-eq29)/3,Y(R12));
```187
```

X(R21):=conj(Y(R12));
Y(R21): =conj(X(R12));
D(R22) :=solvo(conj (oq24) +2**q29,D(R22));
D(g):=s01ve(oq6, D(g));
D(gc):=conj(') :
D(n):=solvo(eq9,D(n));
D(nc):=conj(D(n));
X(a):=solvo(0q12,X(a));
Y(ac):=conj(X(a));
X(1):=solvo(eq13,X(1));
Y(Ic):=conj(X(1));
Y_X(R12):":":
0q32:=0xpand(")
0q36:=conj(0q26);
X(R22):=solvo(oq36,X(R22));
Y(R22):=conj(");
X(p):=s0lve(oq8,X(p));
Y(p): =conj(X(p));
Y(p):=solve(oq7,Y(p));
X(pc):=conj(Y(p));
eq38: = {actor(X_D(R22)-V_D(R12));
X(bc):=solvo(oq38,X(bc));
Y(b):=conj(X(bc));
V(b):=s0lve(eq15,V(b)):
V(bc):==00nj(V(b));
X(m):=solvo(QQ2[1],X(m));
Y(me):=conj(X(n));
read 'QQ3.array':
eq40:=Qप3[9];
0q41:=QQ3[10];
X(p2c):=solvo(oq40,X(p2c));
Y(p2):=conj(");
Y_X(p2):":
eq44:=factor(rhs(")-lhs("));
D(mc):=solvo(oq44,D(me));
D(m):=conj(");
road 'QQ4.array':
for j from 1 to is do
QQ4[j]:={actor(QQ4[j]);
od:
Od,ic):=301\nabla0(QQ4[9],D(IC));
D(1):=conj(");
Y_X(a+2*p):":":":":
eq45:=factor(Ihs(")-rhs(")):
read 'QQ5.array':
for j from 1 to 21 do
QQ5[j]:={actor(QQ5[j]);
od;
QQ5[4]:":":":":":
0q46:=factor(");
-q47:=conj(");
-q48:=0p(3,oq46)
0q49:=0p(3,0q47);
\p2:=x(p2);
p2:=solvo(oq48,p2);
factor(x(p2)-xp2):":":":
eq50:=1actor(");
-q51: =numor(oq49)/p2c;
factor(Y_X(a+2*p)):":":":":":":

```
```

eq53:=1:actor(lhs(")-rhs(")):
Y_X(bc):":":":":":":{actor(") :
eq54:=factor(ihs(")-rhs(")):
Ya1:=solvo(".(Y(a)));
Y_X(p2c):":":":":":":factor("):
eq55:={actor(Ihs(")-rhs("));
Ya2:=solve(",Y(a));
eq56:=factor(Ya1-Ya2);
0x1:=s01ve(eq53,R11):
ex2:=solve(eq50,R11);
ex3:=solve(0q̧56,R11);
eq1:=1actor(ex1-ex2);
eq2:={actor(ex2-ex3);
neq1: =numer(eq1);
neq2:=numer(eq2);
a:=x1*p:
ac:xconj("):
b:=x2*pc:
bc:=conj("):
ne1:=factor(neq1)/(-12*p-4*pc);
ne2:=factor(neq2)/(-2*P-4*pc);
ne3:=factor(eq51)/(p*pc);
ne1c:=comj(ne1);
ne2c:=conj (ne2);
uith(grobner);
X:=[x1,x2,x1c,x2c]:
F1:=[ne1,ne1c,ne2,ne2c,ne3]:
R1:=gsolve(F1);

```


\section*{E. 4 Maxwell's equations and Weyl's neutrino equation}
```

\#Bachs' tensor, condition III for the self-adjoint scalar equation,
\#Maxwell equations and Weyl-neutrino equation
\#Name of the file: IIIs
read heading ;
t1:= del(psi[A,B,R,G],C,Ac)*ops[K,C]:
t1:=contract(dyad(ti)):
t1:=rourito(t1,F1dum,F1exp):
Fidum:=F10xp:
t1:=del(t1,J,Be)*eps[G,J]:
t1:=contract(dyad(t1)):
t1:=renrite(t1,F2dum,F2exp):
F2dum:=F2exp:
t2: =psi[A,B,C,G]*phi [K,F,Ac,Bc]*eps [K,C]*eps [F,G]:
t2:=contract(dyad(t2));
t3:=conj(t2);
t4:=conj(t1);
\#We take here only the first two terms. Thus the total expression will
\#be complex (not Hermitian)

```
```

TT:=t1+t2;
f:=ops[H,A]*ops[M,B]:
TTu:=contract(dyad(TT*f*conj(f)));
sare(TTu,'TTu.res'):
cois:=i[M]\#i[in]:
co1s:=symu(i[M]*O[M],[M,H]):
co3s:=0[M] *o[M]:
co1co:=conj(co1s):
co2co:=conj(co2s):
co3co:=conj(co3s):
c[1]:=co1s*co1co:
c[2]:=co1s*co2co:
c[3]:=co1s*co3co:
c[4]:=co2s*co1co:
c[5]:=co2s*co2co:
c[6]:=co2s*co3co:
c[7]:=co3s*co3co:
c[8]:=co3s*co3co:
c[9]:*co3s*co3co:
tt:=array(1..9):
for j from 1 to 9 do
tt[j]:=factor(contract(dyad(c[j]*TTu)));
od;
save(tt,'tt.array');
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
\#Condition Vs
\#Name of the file: Vs
\#Solf-adjoint scalar oquation: k1:=3, k2:=4
\#Maxrell equations: k1:=5, k2:=16
*Woyl-neutrino equation: ki=5, k2= 16
read hoading;
T1:=k1*dol(Psi[A,B,C,F],K,Rc)*del(psic[Ac,Bc,Cc,Fc],H,Hc)
*ops[K,H] * eps[Kc,Hc]:
T1:=contract(dyad(T1)):
T1:=contract(dyad(T1)):
T2:= dol(psic[Bc,Cc,Fc,Gc],Mc,K)*eps[Gc,Mc]:
T2:=contract(dyad(T2)):
T2:=T2*del (psi[M,A,B,C],F,AC)*eps [K,M]:
T2:=contract(dyad(T2)):
T2:=rerrite(T2,F2dum,F2exp):
F2dum:=F2exp:
T2:=k2*T2:
T3:=conj(T2):
T4:=del(psic[Bc,Cc,FC,Kc],Hc,F)*eps[Kc,Hc]:
T4:=contract(dyad(T4)):
T4:=renrite(T4,H2dum,H2exp):
H2dum:=H2exp:
T4:=T4*del(Psi[A,B,C,K],H,Ac)*eps [K,H]:
T4:=expand(1):
T4:=contract(dyad(T4)):
T4:=rewrite(T4,H1dum,H1exp):
H1dum:=H1 exp:
T4:=-2*(8*k1-k2)*T4:
T5:=del(psic[Ac,Bc,Cc,Vc],Hc,X)*eps[Vc,Hc]:

```
```

T5:=contract (dyad(T5)):
T5:=roषrite(T5,K1dum,K1@xp):
K1dum:=K10xp:
T5:=101(T5,F,FC):
T5:=contract(dyad(T5)):
T5:=remrite(T5,R2dun,R2exp):
K2dum:=R2exp:
T5:=T5*psi[A,A,B,C]*eps[R,H]:
T5: =contract(dyad(T5)):
T5:mreqrite(T5,R3dun,R3exp):
K3dum:=గ30xp:
T5:=-k2*T5:
T6:=conj(T5):
T7:=del(psic[Ac,Bc,Cc,Vc],Hc,F)*eps[Ve,Hc]:
T7:=contract (dyad(T7)):
I7:=remrite(T7,J1dum,J1exp):
J1dum:=J1exp:
I7:=deI(T7, K,Fe):
T7:=contract(dyad(T7)):
T7:=rowrito(17,J2dum,J2exp):
j2dnm:=J20xp:
T7:=T7*psi[H,A,B,C]*ops[R,H]:
T7:=contract(dyad(T7)):
T7:=remrite(T7,J3dum,J3exp):
J3dum:=J3exp:
T7:=4*\&1*T7:
T8:=conj(T7):
T9:=Psi[V,A,B,C]*eps[K,V]*Phi[F,K,Rc,Ac]*psic[Bc, Cc,Fc,Vc]*eps[Kc,Vc]:
T9:=contract(dyad(T9)):
T9:=re\#rite(T9,M1dum,M1exp):
M1dum:=M1exp:
T9:=2*(k2-4*k1) \#T9:
T10:=-2*(4*k1+k2)*L*psi[A,B,C,F]*psic[Ac,Bc,Cc,Fc]:
T10: =contract(dyad(T10)):
TT:=T1+T2+T3+T4+T5+T6+T7+T8+T9+T10:
f:=eps[S,A]*eps[Sc,Ac]*өps[M,B]*өps[Ac,BC]*өps [P,C]*өps [Pc,Cc]*ops [Q,F]*
ops[Qc,Fc] :
TTu:=TT*F{
co1:=i[S]*i[M]*i[P]*o[Q]:
co2:=i[S]*i[N]*o[P]*o[Q]:
co3:=i[S]*o[N]*O[P]*O[Q]:
co4s:=0[S]*o[N]*O[P]*o[Q]:
co5s:=i[S]*i[A]*i[P]*i[Q]:
co1s:=symm(co1, [N,S,P,Q]):
co2s: =symm(co2,[R,S,P,Q]):
co3s:=symm(co3,QN,S,P,Q]):
co1co:=conj(cols) :
co2co:=conj(co2s) :
co3co: =conj(co3s):
co4co:=conj(co4s) :
co5co:=conj(co5s):
c[1]:=co1s*Co1co:
c[1]:=co1s*Co1co:
c[2]:=>co1s*co2co:
c[3]:=co1s*co3co:
c[5]:=co1s*co5co:
c[6]:=co2s\#co2co:
c[6]:=c02s\#co2co:
c[8]:=co2s*co4co:

```
```

c[9]:=co2s*co5co:
c[10]:=co3s*co3co:
c[11]:=co3s*co4co:
c[12]:=co3s*co5co:
c[13]:=c04s*co4co:
c[14]:=co4s*co5co:
c[15]:=co5s*co5co:
save(TTu,Vten);
t5: =array(1..15):
for j from 1 to 15 do
t5[j]:=0xpand(contract (dyad(TTu*c[j])));
od;
savo(t5, "t5sca.array"):

```


FFive-index necessary condition of Alvarez-thansch,
\#Maxioll equations and Weyl-noutrino equations
Condition VIs
Condition Vis
葛
read heading;
read headingIII;
*Torm 1 of eq (12):
\(T 1:=\operatorname{del}(C H[J, J c, D, D c, E, E c, P, P c], U, U c) * e p s[U, J] * e p s[U c, J c]:\)
T1:=contract(dyad(T1)):
II: =contract (dyad (Ti)) :
T1: =renrite (T1,A1dum, 11 exp) :
A1dum: =Alexp:
T1: = del (T1, R, Ke) :
T1a:=T1:
T1: =rowrite(T1,A2dum, A2exp):
12dum: =^20xp:
T1: \(=\operatorname{dol}(T 1, C, C c)\) :
T1: =rowrite(T1,A3dum,A3exp):
A3dum: =A3exp:
\(T 1:=S C W[M, M C, A, A C, B, B C, Q, Q C] * \operatorname{Ps}[K, M]\)
*ops [Kc, Mc]*ops \([P, Q] * \circ p s[P C, Q c] * T 1:\)
T1: =contract (dyad(T1)):
T1: =contract(dyad(T1)):
length(T1);
save(T1, Tires):
\#Other terms of eq. (12)
* 2. Torms (13) and (14):
\(T 2:=\mathrm{dol}(\mathrm{SCW}[\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AC}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{BC}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Cc}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{Qc}], A, A c)\)
*eps \([K, H] * \operatorname{lops}[\mathrm{Kc}, \mathrm{Hc}]\) *eps \([P, Q] * \theta p s[P c, Q c]:\)
T2:=contract (dyad (T2)):
T2:=contract (dyad (T2)) :
T1a: =reurite(T1,B2dum, B2exp):
B2dum: = B2oxp:
T2: \(x 2 *\) T1a:
T2: =contract (dyad (T2)) :
T2:=contract (dyad(T2)):
save (T2,T2res) :
T3:=del (CW[J, Jc, D, Dc, E , Ec, K, Kc], V, Vc)*eps [V,J]*eps [Vc, Jc]:
T3:=contract (dyad(T3)):
T3: =contract(dyad(T3)) :
T3: = dol (T3,C,Ce) :
```

T3:=remrite(T3,C2dum,C2exp):
C2dum:=C2exp:
T3:=del(SCH[H, Zc,A,Ac,B,Bc,Q,Qc],U,UC)
*ops[U,目*ops [UC,HC]*बps [K,Q]*eps QKc,Qc]*T3:
T3:=contract (dyad(T3)):
T3:=contract(dyad(T3)):
save(T3,T3res):
T4:=del(CU[R,Kc,D,Dc,E,Ec,P,Pc],H,Hc):
T4:=contract(dyad(T4)):
T4:=contract(dyad(T4)):
T4:=rewrite(T4,Didum,D1exp):
D1dum:=D1exp:
T4:=del(T4,C,Cc):
T4:=r@write(T4,D2dum,D20xp):
D2dum:=D2exp:
T4a:=del(SCH[G,Gc,A,Ac,B,Bc,J,Jc],U,Uc)*eps[R,G]
*eps[Kc,Gc]*өps[P,J]*ops[Pc,Jc]*ops[H,U]*ops[HC,Uc]:
T4a:=contract(dyad(I4a)):
T4a:=contract(dyad(T4a)):
T4a:=remrite(T4a,D3dum,D3exp):
D3dum:=D3exp:
T4:=T4a*T4:
T4:=contract(dyad(T4)):
T4:=contract(dyad(T4)):
save(T4,T4res):
T5:=del(CW[J,Jc,D,Dc,E,Ec,P,Pc],C,Cc)*ops[H,J]*ops[Hc,Jc]*LL[K,Rc,H,Hc]:
T5:=contract(dyad(T5)):
T5:=contract(dyad(T5)):
T5:=rowrite(T5, E1dum,E1exp):
Eldum:=E1exp:
T5a:=SCW[G,Gc,A,Ac,B,Bc,U,UC]*eps [R,G]*ops[Kc,Gc]*ops [P,U]*ops[Pc,Uc]:
T5a:=contract(dyad(T5a)):
T5a:=contract(dyad(T5a)):
T5:=T5*T5a:
IS:=contract(dyad(T5)):
T5:=contract(dyad(T5)):
save(T5,T5res):
T6:=del(CW[J,Jc, C, Cc,D,Dc,P.Pc],K,Kc)*eps[H,J]*eps[Hc,Jc]*[L[E,Ec,H,Hc]:
T6:=contract (dyad(T6)):
T6:=contract(dyad(T6)):
T6:=T5a*T6:
T6:=contract(dyad(T6)):
T6:=contract (dyad(I6)):
save(T6,TGres):
T7:=del(CW[J, Jc,K,Kc,P,Pc,C,Cc],U,Uc)*өps[U,J]*ops[Uc, Jc]*LL[D,Dc, E,Ec] :
T7:=contract(dyad(T7)):
T7:=contract (dyad(T7)):
T7:=T5a*T7:
T7:=contract (dyad(I7)):
T7:=contract(dyad(T7)):
save(T7,T7res):
T8: ᄑdel(CW[J,JC,C,Cc,D,Dc,R,Kc],U,Ue)*eps[U,J]
*ops[Uc,Jc]*LL[E,Ec,P,Pc]:
T8:=contract(dyad (T8)):
T8:=contract(dyad(T8)):
T8:=T5a*T8:
T8:=contract (dyad(T8)):
TB:=contract (dyad(T8)):
save(T8,T8res):

```
```

E.4 Maxwell's equations and Weyl's neutrino equation

```
```

t3a:m-SCH[J, Je,A,Ac,B,Be,V,Ve]*eps[K,J]**ps [Kc,Jc]

```
t3a:m-SCH[J, Je,A,Ac,B,Be,V,Ve]*eps[K,J]**ps [Kc,Jc]
*eps[P,V]*eps[Pc,Vc]*
*eps[P,V]*eps[Pc,Vc]*
CN[K,Kc,C,Cc,D,DC,Y,Yc]*eps[H,Y]*eps [HC,Yc]*
CN[K,Kc,C,Cc,D,DC,Y,Yc]*eps[H,Y]*eps [HC,Yc]*
del (CH[M,Mc,P,Pc,H,Hc,E,Ec], U,UC)*\odotps[U,M]*eps[Uc,Mc]:
del (CH[M,Mc,P,Pc,H,Hc,E,Ec], U,UC)*\odotps[U,M]*eps[Uc,Mc]:
t3a:=contract(dyad(t3a)):
t3a:=contract(dyad(t3a)):
t3a:=contract(dyad(t3a)):
t3a:=contract(dyad(t3a)):
t3b:=-CW[K,Re,Y,Yc,X,Xc,A,Ac]*ops [A,Y]*ops[Hc,Yc]*&ps[J,X]*ops[Jc,Xc]*
t3b:=-CW[K,Re,Y,Yc,X,Xc,A,Ac]*ops [A,Y]*ops[Hc,Yc]*&ps[J,X]*ops[Jc,Xc]*
CN[P,PC,H,HC,J,JC,B,Bc]*
CN[P,PC,H,HC,J,JC,B,Bc]*
dol(SCH[F,FC,D,DC,E,EC,U,Uc],C,Cc)*eps[P,U]*eps[PC,UC]*
dol(SCH[F,FC,D,DC,E,EC,U,Uc],C,Cc)*eps[P,U]*eps[PC,UC]*
eps[K,F]*eps[KC,FC]:
eps[K,F]*eps[KC,FC]:
t3b:=contract(dyad(t3b)):
t3b:=contract(dyad(t3b)):
t3b:=contract(dyad(t3b)):
t3b:=contract(dyad(t3b)):
t3: =12*t3a+t3b:
t3: =12*t3a+t3b:
save(t3,t3res):
save(t3,t3res):
t4a:=SCN[K,Kc,Y,Yc,X,Xc,\Lambda,Ac]*өps[G,Y]*
t4a:=SCN[K,Kc,Y,Yc,X,Xc,\Lambda,Ac]*өps[G,Y]*
eps[Gc,Yc]*ops [J,X]*ops[Jc,Xc]
eps[Gc,Yc]*ops [J,X]*ops[Jc,Xc]
CW[P,Pc,B,Bc,G,Gc,J, Jc]#del(CU[F,Fc,D,Dc,E,Ec,U,Uc],C,Cc)
CW[P,Pc,B,Bc,G,Gc,J, Jc]#del(CU[F,Fc,D,Dc,E,Ec,U,Uc],C,Cc)
*ops[P,U]*өps[Pc,Uc]*ops[K,F]*eps [Kc,Fc]:
*ops[P,U]*өps[Pc,Uc]*ops[K,F]*eps [Kc,Fc]:
t4a:=contract(dyad(t4a)):
t4a:=contract(dyad(t4a)):
t4a:=contract(dyad(t4a)):
t4a:=contract(dyad(t4a)):
t4:=8*t3a+t4a:
t4:=8*t3a+t4a:
savo(t4,t4res):
savo(t4,t4res):
# 3. Raising indices and summing (building eq(8)):
f:=eps[IN,A]*өps [S,B]*ops [P,C]*өps [Z,D]*ops[Q,E];
fc:=conj('1):
TTu1:=4*T1-6*T2+26*T3+T4+5*T5+4*T6+4*T7-21*t8:
#Calculating components
co1:=i[H]*i[S]*i[P]*i[Q]*o[Z];
co2: =i[H]*i[S]*i[P]*o[Q]*0[Z];
co3:=i[N]*i[S]*o[P] *o[Q]*o[Z];
co4: =i[H]*0[S]*o[P]*0[Q]*o[Z];
co5s:=i[N]*i[S]*i[P]*i[Q]*i[Z];
co6s:=0[N]*O[S]*O[P]*O[Q]*O[Z];
co1s:=symm(co1, [H,S,P,Q,Z]):
co2s:=symmon(co2,[N,S,P,Q,Z]):
co3s:=symm(co3,[N,S,P,Q,Z]):
co4s:=symm(co4,[A,S,P,Q,Z]) :
co1co:=conj(co1s):
co2co:=conj(co2s):
co3co:=conj(co3s):
co4co:=conj(co4s):
co5co:=conj(co5s) :
co6co:=conj(co6s):
c[1]:=co1s*co1co:
c[2]:=co1s*co2co:
c[3]:=co1s*co3co:
c[4]:=co1s*co4co:
c[5]:mco1s*co5co:
c[6]:=co1s*co6co:
c[7]:=co2s*co2co:
c[8]:=co2s*co3co:
c[9]:=co2s*co4co:
c[10] : =co2s*co5co:
c[10]:=co2s*co5co:
c[11]:=co2s*co6co:
```

```
c[12]: meo3s*co3co:
c[13]:=co3s**co4co:
c[14]:=co3s*co5co
c[15]:=co3s*co6co:
c[16]: =co4s*co4co:
c[17]: xco4s*co5co:
c[18]:=c04s*co6co:
c[19]:=co5s*co5co:
c[20]:=co5s*co6co:
e[21]:=co6s*e06co:
f:=eps[A,A]*ops [S,B]*ops[P,C]*өps [Z,D]*ops[Q,E];
fc:=conj("):
TT:=array(1..21):
for j from 1 to 21 do
TT1[j]:=expand (contract(dyad(T1*&*fc*c[j])));
TT2[j]:=expand (contract(dyad(T2*f*fc*c[j])));
TI3[j]:=expand (contract(dyad(T3*f*fc*c[j])));
TT4[j]: =expard(contract(dyad(I4*f*fc*c[j])));
TT5[j]:=expand(contract(dyad(I5*f*&c*c[j])));
TT6[j]:=expand (contract (dyad(T6*&*fc*c[j])));
TT7[j]:=expand (contract (dyad (T7*f*ffc*c[j])));
TT8[j]:=>expand(contract(dyad(T8*f*fe*e[j])));
tt3[j]:=expand (contract (dyad(t3*f*fc*c[j])));
tt4[j]:=expand(contract(dyad(t4*f*fe*c[j])));
TT[j]:={factor (4*TT1[j]-6*TT2[j]+26*TT3[j]+TT4[j]+5*TT5[j]+4*TT6[j]
+4*TT7[j]-21*TT8[j]+alpha1*tt3[j]+alpha2*tt4[j]);
od;
save(TT, 'TT.array'):
```



```
\#Determination of Pfaffians for the
# Maxmell equations and Weyl-neutrino equation
#Case a*b*p<>0
*Name of the file: Pfaneylmax
read heading;
read headingIIII;
#Condition III (Bach tonsor)
read 'tt.array':
X(b):=solve(tt[4],X(b));
Y(bc):\pmconj(X(b));
-q30:=tt[1]/2;
eq31:=tt[2];
eqns(); equs();
D(R12):=solve(eq22+conj(eq23),D(R12));
D(R21):=conj(D(R12));
D(g):=solve(oq6.D(g));
D(gc) :=conj("):
(R12):=solve(conj(oq25) ,X(R12));
Y(R12):=solve(oxpand (oq24-eq29)/3,Y(R12));
X(R21):=conj(Y(R12));
Y(R21):=conj(X(R12));
D(R22) :=solve(conj(eq24)+2*eq29,D(R22));
D(R12) : =solve(eq22+conj(eq23),D(R12));
D(R21):=conj(D(R12)):
X(a):=s0lve(eq12,X(a));
Y(ac): =conj(X(a));
D(n):=solve(eq9, D(n));
```

```
D(nc):=conj(D(n));
X(p):=solve(eq8,I(p));
Y(pc):=conj(X(p));
Y(p):=solve(eq7,Y(p));
X(pc):=conj(Y(p));
eq32:={年tor(X_D(R22)-V_D(R12));
X(bc):=solve(oq32,X(bc));
Y(b):=conj (X (bc));
#E.g.
Maxwell equations
#Condition V
read 't5max.array';
k1:=5;182:=16;
eq33:=factor(t5[1]);
eq34:=factor(t5[9]);
D(lc):=solve(eq40,D(lc));
D(1):=conj('');
solve(eq33,D(mc)):":":
D(mc):=factor(');
Y_X(a+2*p):":":":
eq34:=factor(");
solve(",D(m)):":":
D(m):=factor(');
D(mc)-conj(D(m)):":":":
s1:=#actor(");
*read component TT[14] of condition VIs
read TT14;
eq35:=factor(t14);
X(ac):=solve(eq46,X(ac));
Y(a):=eonj(X(ac));
X(pc):":":
X(pe):=factor(");
Y(p):=conj(");
```



```
#Using the Pfaffians to build and solve polynomial systoms for a,b and p.
#Case a*b*p<>0
#Maxwell equations
#Name of the file: solvemax
road hoading;
read hoadingIII;
Y(a) :* 192*p*bc+121*bc*a-3*a-2;
Y(b) := -b*bc+2*pc*p-2*R11-2*D(m) -4*b*p-b*a;
X(a) := Y(b)+a*ac+b*bc-2*b*a+R11;
X(b) := -b*ac-b-2;
X(p) := D(m)-pc*p+p*ac-b*p;
Y(p) := - 127*p*bc-80*bc*a-3*p*a;
D(m) := 1/152* (-1520*a*b*p+1040*bc*b*a
-1228*p*R11+208*a*p*ac+1688*a*pc*p+2496
*pc*p-2-2432*b*p-2-739*a*R11+80*a*2*ac
+380*bc*R11-152*bc*pc*p+1968*bc*b*p+760*
bc*a*ac+1216*bc*p*ac+128*p-2*ac+80*pc*a-2)/(-bc+5*a+8*p);
D(mc) := 2/19*pc*a+26/19*b*bc+2/19*a*ac
+58/19*pc*p-2*b*p+2/19*p*ac-37/19*R11-D(m)-2*bc*pc;
X(bc) : =conj(Y(b)) :
Y(bc):=conj(X(b)):
```

```
X(pc):=conj(Y(p)):
Y(pc):=conj(I(p)):
X(ac):=conj(Y(a)):
Y(ac):=conj(X(a)):
Y_X(a):":":":
solve(i,新堷:
0x1:=fačtor(");
Y_X(a+bc):":":":
solve(",R11):
0x2:=factor(");
Y(ex2):":":":
solve(",R1i):
0x3:=factor(");
#Denominators
d1:= donom(or1);
d2:=denom(0x2);
d3:=denom(0x3);
Y(d1):":
Yd1:=factor(");
Y(Yd1):":":
YYdi:=Factor(");
vith(grobnor);
F1:=[d1,Yd1,YYd1]:
R1:=gsolve(F1);
Y(d2):":
Yd2:*factor(");
Y(Yd2):":":
YYd2:=factor(");
F2:=[d2,Yd2,YYd2]:
R2:=gsolve(F2);
Y(d3):":
Yd3:=factor(");
F3:=[d3,Yd3]:
R3:=gsolvo(F3);
#Nor variables
a:=x1*p:
ac:=conj("):
b:=x2*pc:
bc:=conj("):
N1:={factor(numer(ox2-*x1)/(-5776*x2c^2*p-4*pc*(8+5*x1-x2c)));
H2:=factor(numor(ox2-ox3)/(5776*x2c*p*6*pc*(8+5*x1-x2c)));
F4:= [81, H2]:
R4:=gsolvo(F4);
|###年###################################################################
```

\#Using the Pfaffians to find polgnomial relations betwoon $a, b$ and $p$.
*Hoyl noutrino equation
*Case a*b*p<>0
\#Case a*w
\#Name of the file: solverreyl
road heading;
road hoadingIII;
$Y(a):=787 / 19 * b c * a-3 * a-2+1380 / 19 * p * b c ;$
$Y(b):=-b * b c+2 * p c * p-2 * R 11-b * a-4 * b * p-2 * D(m)$;
$X(a):=Y(b)+a * a c+b * b c-2 * b * a+R 11$;
$X(p):=D(m)-p c * p+p * a c-b * p ;$
$x(b):=-b * a c-b-2$;

```
Y(p):=-3*p*a-901/19*p*bc-512/19*bc*a;
D(m):=-1/218*(17480*p-2*ac+9728*pc*a-2-
49684*p*R11+109020*pc*p - 2+61952
*a*b*bc+116988*p*b*bc+9728*a-2*ac+
78152*a*PC*p-25111*a*R111-55808
*a*b*p+50140*bc*p*ac+13952*bc*R11-
2834*bc*pc*p+27208*a*p*ac+
27904*bc*a*ac-100280*b*p-2)/(-128*a-230*p+13*bc):
D(mc): =38/109*pc*a+242/109*b*bc+38/109*a*ac-
2*b*p+346/109*pc*p+38/109*p
*ac-199/109*R11-D(m)-2*bc*pc;
X(bc):==conj(Y(b)):
Y(bc):=conj(X(b)) :
X(pc):=conj(Y(p)):
Y(pc):=conj(X(p))
X(ac):=conj(Y(a)):
Y(ac):=conj(X(a)) :
Y_X(a):":":":
solve(",R11):
0x1:=factor("):
Y_X(a+bc):":":":
solve(",R11):
ex2:=factor(");
Y(ox2):":":":
solve(",R1i):
0x3:=factor(");
#Denominators
d1:= denom(ex1);
d2:=denom(ox2);
d3: xdenom(0x3);
Y(d1):":
Yd1:=factor(");
Y(Yd1):":":
YYd1:={年保(");
Mith(grobner);
F1:=[d1,Yd1,YYd1]:
R1:=gsolve(F1);
Y(d2):":
Yd2:=factor(");
Y(Yd2):":":
YYd2:=factor(");
F2:=[d2,Yd2,YYd2́]:
R2:=gsolve(F2);
Y(d3):":
Yd3:=factor(");
F3:=[d3,Yd3]:
R3:=gsolve(F3);
#Nem variablos
a:=x1*p:
ac:=comj(") :
b:=x2*pc:
bc:=conj(") :
H1: =f actor(numer(ex2-ox1)/(-95048*x2c-2*p-4*pc*(230+128*x1-13*x2c)));
|2: =factor(numer(ex2-ex3)/(47524*x2c*p`6*pc*(230+128*x1-13*x2c)));
with(grobner):
F2:=[M1,H2]:
```

```
R2:=gsolve(F2);
for j from 1 to nops(R2) do
G[j]: =op(j,R2);
od;
#G[10]
g1:=0p(5,G[10]);
g2:=op(4,G[10]);
g1e:=conj(g1):
g2c:=conj(Xg2) :
H1:=[g1,g2,g1c,g2c]:
X:={x1,x2,x1C,x2c}:
R3:=gsolve(H1);
Annalysis of the solutions
for j from 1 to nops(R3) do
H[j]:=op(j,R3);
od;
#G[7]
f1:=0p(3,G[7]);
fic:=conj(''):
#f1:=8859520*x1-2+35954522*x1-1909041*x1*x2c+3956264*x2c-2-2471658*
*x2c+34832476
#Apply Y over f1
#f2:=-1079685917*bc*a-2-252496320*a-3-3781787431*a*p*bc-1024703877*p*
#a-2-3287720348*p-2*bc-87748156*bc^2*a-90137805*p*bc-2-37584508*
#bc-3-992725566*p-2*a
#Y(f2)
*f3:=3794884235*bc*a-3+13984191503*bc- 2*a-2+2272466880*a-4-917394512*
```



```
#3-11338514006*a-2*p*bc+47275438170*bc* 2*a*p+112753524*bc*4-
*1918581915*bc-3*p-72103225320*p-3*bc+8934530094*p-2*a-2
H3:=[f1,f2,f3]:
gsolve(H3):
```



```
*Conditions for a=b=p=0
#Maxmoll equations
#Hame of the file: solvemax0
read hoading;
road hoadingIII;
a:=0:ac:=0:b:=0:bc:=0:p:=0:pc:=0: R11:=0:
read 'tt.array";
for j from 1 to 9 do
t3[j]:=factor(tt[j]);
od;
eq30:=~t[1]/2;
eq31:=tt[2];
##Nemman-Penrose equations
eqns();eqns();
D(R12):=solve(oq28,D(R12))
D(R21):=conj(D(R12));
Y(R21):=solve(eq25,Y(R21));
K(R12):=conj("):
Y(R12):=solve(expand(eq24-eq29)/3,Y(R12));
X(R21): =conj("):
D(R22):=solve(conj(eq24)+2*eq29,D(R22));
Y(R22): =solvo(eq26,Y(R22));
X(R22):=conj("):
```

```
D(m):=solve(eq8,D(m));
D(mc):=conj("):
X(m):=solvo(oq30,X(m));
Y(nc):=conj("):
X(1):=solve(eq13,X(1));
Y(le):=conj(X(1));
X(g):=solve(eq15,X(g));
Y(gc):=conj("):
Y(g):=solre(eq18,Y(g));
I(gc):=conj("):
D(g):=solve(eq6,D(g));
D(gc):=conj('1):
D(1):=solve(oq7.D(I));
D(le):=conj("):
#Maxwell equations
* Condition Vs
k1:=5;k2:=16;
read 't5max.array';
for j from 1 to 15 do
tt5[j]:=factor(t5[j]):
od:
oq32:=primpart (factor(t5[5]));
-q33:=primpart(factor(t5[15]));
X(mc):=solve(eq32,X(me));
Y(m):=conj ('1):
#Determination of second order Pffaffians
X_V(m):":":
X(V(m)):xsolve(",X(V(m)));
Y(V(ma)):=conj ("):
X_V(mc):":":
X(V(mc)):=solve(",X(V(mc)));
Y(V(m)):=conj("):
X_V(g):":":
X(V(g)):=solve(",X(V(g)));
Y(V(gc)):=conj("):
X_V(gc):":":
X(V(gc)):=solve(",X(V(gc)));
Y(V(g)):=conj("):
X_V(R12):":
X(V(R12)):=solve(",X(V(R12)));
Y(V(R21)):=conj("):
X_V(R21):":
X`
Y(V(R12)):=conj('1):
X(eq33):":":
eq34: xfactor(");
X(1c):=s0lve(eq34,X(lc));
Y(1):=conj("):
Y_X(I):":":":
Oq35:=(factor(Ihs(")-rhs(")));
X(oq35):":":
eq36:-numer(factor(''));
eq37:=numer(eq35);
```

```
V(R21):=V21;
V(R12):=V12;
with(grobner):
F1:=[0q44,0q45]:
vars:={R21,R12,m,me,V12,V21,g,gc,1,1c}:
non: ={{l,lc}:
R1:=gsolve(F1,vars,non);
for j from 1 to nops(R1) do
r[j]:=op(j,R1);
od;
11:=op(4,r[1]);
g1:=op(2,r[2]);
g2:=op(3,r[2]);
V12:=solve(g2,V12);
V21:=conj("):
eq38: =f actor(g1);
eq39:=numer(")/(-50*Ic);
R21:=x+I*Y:
R12:=x-I*Y:
eq40:=factor(evalc(Ro(eq39)));
y:=0-(1/2);
solve(og47,8)
```



```
#Conditions for a=b=p=0
#yeyl neutrino equation
#Hame of the file: solveneylO
read heading;
read headingiII;
a:=0:ac:=0:b:=0:bc:=0:p:=0:pc:=0: R11:=0:
read 'tt.array';
for j from 1 to 9 do
t3[j]:=factor(tt[j]);
od;
eq30:=tt[1]/2;
oq31:=tt[2];
#Nowman-Ponrose equations
eqns(); ©qns():
D(R12):=solve(eq28,D(R12));
D(R21):=conj(D(R12));
Y(R21):=solvo(eq25,Y(R21));
X(R12):=conj("):
Y(R12):=solve(expand(eq24-eq29)/3,Y(R12));
X(R21):=conj("):
D(R22) : =solvo(conj(eq24) +2*eq29,D(R22));
Y(R22):=solve(eq26,Y(R22));
X(R22):= conj("'):
D(m):=solve(eq8,D(m));
D(mc):=conj("):
X(m):=solve(eq30,X(m));
Y(mc):=conj(10):
X(1): =solve(eq13,X(1));
Y(1c):=conj(X(1));
X(g):=s0lve(eq15,X(g));
Y(gC):=conj("):
Y(g):=s017e(eq18,Y(g));
X(gC):=conj("):
```
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```
D(g):=solve(eq6,D(g));
```

D(g):=solve(eq6,D(g));
D(gc):=conj("):
D(gc):=conj("):
D(I):=solve(eq7,D(I));
D(I):=solve(eq7,D(I));
D(Ic):=conj("):
D(Ic):=conj("):
\#Weyl-neutrino equation
\#Weyl-neutrino equation

# Condition Vs

# Condition Vs

k1:=8;k2:=13;
k1:=8;k2:=13;
read 't5max.array';
read 't5max.array';
for j from 1 to 15 do
for j from 1 to 15 do
tt5[j]: \#factor(t5[j]):
tt5[j]: \#factor(t5[j]):
od:
od:
-q32: 工primpart(factor(t5[5]));
-q32: 工primpart(factor(t5[5]));
eq33: =primpart(factor(t5[15]));
eq33: =primpart(factor(t5[15]));
X(me):=solvo(oq32,X(mc));
X(me):=solvo(oq32,X(mc));
Y(m):=conj("):
Y(m):=conj("):
\#Dotermination of second order Pfaffians
\#Dotermination of second order Pfaffians
X_V(m):":":
X(V(m)):=solve(",X(V(m)));
Y(V(mc)):=conj("):
X_V(me):":":
X(V (mc)):=solve(",X(V(me)));
Y(V(m)):=conj("):
X_V(g):":":
X(V(g)):=solve(",X(V(g)));
Y(V(gc)) :=conj("):
X_V(gc):":":
X(V(gc)):=solve(",X(V(gc)));
Y(V(g)):=conj("):
X_V(R12):":
X(V(R12)):=solve(",X(V(R12)));
Y(V(R21)):=conj("'):
X V(R21):":
X(V(R21)):=solve(",X(V(R21)));
Y(V(R12)):=conj ("):
X(oq33):":":
eq34:=Factor('');
X(1c) :=solve(eq34,X(1c));
Y(1):=conj("):
Y_X(1):":":":
eq35:=(factor(lhs(")-rhs(")));
X(eq35):":":
eq36:-numer(factor('));
eq37: =numer(oq35);
V(R21):=V21;
V(R12):=V12;
with(grobner):
F1:=[oq44,0q45]:
\nablaars: ={R21,R12,m,mc,V12,V21,g,gc,1,1c}:
non:={{1,1c}:
R1:=gsolve(F1,vars,non);
for j from 1 to nops(R1) do
r[j]:=>op(j,R1);

```202
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```

od; {op(4,r[1]);
g1:=0p(2,r[2]);
g2:=op(3,r[2]);
V12:=solve(g2,V12);
V21:=conj("):
0q38:=factor(g1);
0q39:-numer(")//(-36*1c);
R21:=x+I*7:
R12:=x-I*Y:
eq40:=_factor(ovalc(Re(eq39)));
y:=17(1/2);
so1vo(eg40,日);

```
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ C-space-times are defined by the property $\nabla^{a} C_{a b c d}=0$

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {l }}$ [32], p. 69

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ see [32], p. 17.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ See [32], p. 133

[^4]:    ${ }^{4}$ see [32] p. 141.

[^5]:    ${ }^{5}$ see [32], p. 144

[^6]:    ${ }^{6}$ The proof of existence and uniqueness for these solutions can be found in [32], p.167.

[^7]:    ${ }^{7}$ See [32], p. 64.

[^8]:    ${ }^{8}=$ means equality only in a normal coordinate system

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Appendix B

[^10]:    ${ }^{2}$ see Appendix C

[^11]:    ${ }^{3}$ In a private communication, S. Czapor has informed us that he has found the same result using Gröbner bases defined on a prime field (unpublished).

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ we denote the Euler gamma function by a boldfaced gamma to distinguish it from the square of the geodesic distance

[^13]:    ${ }^{2}$ From now on, by Maxwell's equations we mean the physically relevant equations (5.1), with $n=4$ and $p=2$.

[^14]:    ${ }^{3}$ The operator $Q$ and all derivatives in the following formulas refer to $\xi$.

[^15]:    ${ }^{4}$ In our conventions, the Riemann tensor, Ricci tensor and the Ricci scalar have opposite sign to those used by Wünsch and collaborators [85] [3] [4].
    ${ }^{5} \mathrm{C}$-space-times are defined by the property $\nabla^{a} C_{a b c d}=0$.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the conventions in Appendix D.

