

Technical University of Denmark



## Evaluating Climate Change Mitigation Potential of Carbonaceous Materials: Do Different Indicators Point to the Same Conclusion?

**Owsianiak, Mikolaj; Brooks, Jennifer; Renz, Michael; Laurent, Alexis**

*Published in:*

Book of Abstracts, Sustain 2017

*Publication date:*

2017

*Document Version*

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

*Citation (APA):*

Owsianiak, M., Brooks, J., Renz, M., & Laurent, A. (2017). Evaluating Climate Change Mitigation Potential of Carbonaceous Materials: Do Different Indicators Point to the Same Conclusion? In Book of Abstracts, Sustain 2017 [R-10] Technical University of Denmark (DTU).

## DTU Library

Technical Information Center of Denmark

---

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## Evaluating Climate Change Mitigation Potential of Carbonaceous Materials: Do Different Indicators Point to the Same Conclusion?

Mikołaj Owsianiak<sup>\*1</sup>, Jennifer Brooks<sup>1</sup>, Michael Renz<sup>2</sup>, Alexis Laurent<sup>1</sup>

1: DTU Management Engineering

2: Universitat Politècnica de València

\*Corresponding author email: [miow@dtu.dk](mailto:miow@dtu.dk)

Life cycle assessment was employed to evaluate the use of hydrochars, prospective soil conditioners produced from biowaste using hydrothermal carbonization, as an approach to improving agriculture while using carbon present in the biowaste. In total, 17 categories of environmental impacts were considered, including three different indicators of climate change: global warming potential (GWP), global temperature change potential (GTP), and climate tipping potential (CTP) were used. It was found that although climate change benefits (GWP) from just sequestration and temporary storage of carbon were sufficient to outweigh impacts stemming from hydrochar production and transportation to the field, even greater benefits stem from replacing climate-inefficient biowaste management treatment options, like composting in Spain. By contrast, hydrochar addition to soil was not a good approach to improving agriculture in countries where incineration with energy recovery is the dominant treatment option for biowaste, like in Germany. Potential benefits from replacing composting were smaller in the GTP approach, which due to its long-term perspective gives less weight to short-lived greenhouse gases like methane. Using CTP as indicator, we also found that there is a risk of contributing to crossing of a short-term climatic target, the tipping point corresponding to an atmospheric GHG concentration of 450 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> equivalents, unless hydrochar stability in the soil is optimized. Our results highlight the need for considering complementary perspectives that different climate change indicators offer, and overall provide a foundation for assessing climate change mitigation potential of carbonaceous materials used in agriculture.

Owsianiak, M., Brooks, J., Renz, M., Laurent, A., 2017. Evaluating climate change mitigation potential of hydrochars: compounding insights from three different indicators. *GCB Bioenergy* 1–16.  
doi:10.1111/gcbb.12484