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#### Abstract

Let FG be a group algebra of a finite non-abelian pgroup $G$ and $F$ a field of characteristic $p$. In this paper we give all minimal non-abelian p-groups and minimal non-metacyclic p-groups whose group algebras FG possess a filtered multiplicative F-basis.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\Lambda$ be a finite-dimensional algebra over a field $F$. The following concept was introduced by H. Kupisch [11]. An $F$-basis $B$ of the algebra $\Lambda$ is called a filtered multiplicative $F$-basis if $B$ has the following properties:
(i) if $b_{1}, b_{2} \in B$, then either $b_{1} b_{2}=0$ or $b_{1} b_{2} \in B$;
(ii) $B \cap \operatorname{rad}(\Lambda)$ is an $F$-basis for $\operatorname{rad}(\Lambda)$, where $\operatorname{rad}(\Lambda)$ denotes the Jacobson radical of $\Lambda$.

Denote by $\bmod \Lambda$ the category of finite dimensional right $\Lambda$-modules. $\Lambda$ has a finite representation type (is representation-finite) if there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable modules $M \in \bmod \Lambda$. Let $F$ be an algebraically closed field. R. Bautista, P. Gabriel, A. Roiter, and L. Salmeron [3] proved that if $\Lambda$ has a finite representation type, then $\Lambda$ has a filtered multiplicative $F$-basis. The question when a filtered multiplicative $F$-basis exists in a group algebra $F G$, where $G$ is a finite $p$-group and $F$ a field of characteristic $p$ also derives from [3]. Let $C_{p^{n}}=\left\langle a \mid a^{p^{n}}=1\right\rangle$ be the cyclic group of order $p^{n}$ and $F$ a field of characteristic $p$. Then the set $B=\left\{(a-1)^{i} \mid 0 \leq i<p^{n}\right\}$ is a filtered multiplicative $F$-basis for $F C_{p^{n}}$. Note that if $F G_{1}$ and $F G_{2}$ have a filtered multiplicative $F$-basis which we

[^0]denote by $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ respectively, then $B_{1} \times B_{2}$ is a filtered multiplicative $F$-basis for the group algebra $F\left[G_{1} \times G_{2}\right]$. Let $G$ be a finite abelian $p$-group. Since $G$ is a direct product of finite cyclic $p$-groups from the above line reasoning, it follows that the group algebra $F G$ over a field $F$ of characteristic $p$ admits a filtered multiplicative $F$-basis.

Higman [9] proved that the group algebra $F G$ over a field of characteristic $p$ is representation-finite if and only if all the Sylow $p$-subgroups of $G$ are cyclic. In this case $F G$ admits a filtered multiplicative basis. We mention however that finite type is not necessary for existence of filtered multiplicative $F$-basis. For example, if $G=C_{p} \times C_{p}$, then the group algebra $F G$ over a field $F$ of characteristic $p$ possesses a filtered multiplicative $F$-basis, but the representation type of $F G$ is not finite.
L. Paris in [12] gave examples of non-abelian $p$-groups $G$ such that the group algebras $F G$ have filtered multiplicative $F$-basis. In [5] these results were extended to metacyclic $p$-groups by V. Bovdi. Further results can be found in [6] by V. Bovdi and [2] by Z. Balogh. In [6] negative answer was given for this question when $G$ is either a powerful $p$-group or a two generated $p$-group ( $p \neq 2$ ) with central cyclic commutator subgroup. In [2] all groups can be found with order less then $p^{5}$ or equal $2^{5}$ whose group algebra possesses a filtered multiplicative $F$-basis.

In this paper we shall study the existence of filtered multiplicative $F$-basis of group algebra $F G$, where $G$ is either a minimal non-abelian $p$-group or a minimal non-metacyclic $p$-group. We first remark that a non-abelian $p$-group $G$ is called minimal non-abelian if all of its proper subgroup are abelian. A finite $p$-group $G$ is called minimal non-metacyclic if all of whose proper subgroups are metacyclic.

Our main results are as follow.
Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a finite minimal non-abelian p-group and $F$ a field of characteristic $p$. Then FG possesses a filtered multiplicative F-basis if and only if $p=2$ and one of the following conditions holds.
(i) $G$ is the quaternion group $Q_{8}$ of order 8 and $F$ contains a primitive cube root of the unity.
(ii) $G=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{4}=b^{2^{m}}=1, a^{b}=a^{3}\right\rangle$, where $m \geq 1$.
(iii) $G=\left\langle a, b, c \mid a^{2^{n}}=b^{2^{m}}=c^{2}=1,(a, b)=c,(a, c)=(b, c)=1\right\rangle$, where $n, m \geq 2$.

As a consequence of Theorem 1 we have
Corollary 1. Let $F G$ be a group algebra of finite $p$-group $G$ over a field $F$ of characteristic $p$, such that all elements of the unit group of $F G$ of order $p$ are commute. Then FG admits a filtered multiplicative $F$-basis if and only if $p=2$ and one of the following conditions holds.
(i) $G$ is either $Q_{8}$ or $Q_{8} \times C_{2^{n}}$ and $F$ contains a primitive cube root of the unity.
(ii) $G=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{4}=b^{2^{m}}=1, a^{b}=a^{3}\right\rangle$, where $m \geq 2$.

Denote by $G \curlyvee H$ the central product of $G$ and $H$.
Theorem 2. Let $G$ be a finite non-abelian minimal non-metacyclic p-group and $F$ a field of characteristic $p$. Then $F G$ has a filtered multiplicative $F$-basis if and only if $p=2$ and one of the following conditions holds.
(i) $G$ is $Q_{8} \times C_{2}$ and $F$ contains a primitive cube root of the unity.
(ii) $G$ is the central product $D_{8} \curlyvee C_{4}$ of the dihedral group of order 8 and the cyclic group of order 4.

We remark that Theorems 1, 2 and Corollary 1 confirm the conjecture that if $G$ is a finite $p$-group and $p$ is odd, then the group algebra $F G$ does not possess any filtered multiplicative $F$-basis.

## 2. Preliminaries and proof of the main results

Let $F G$ be a group algebra of a finite $p$-group $G$ over a field $F$ of characteristic $p$. Assume that $B$ is a filtered multiplicative $F$-basis for $F G$. Since $F G$ is a local ring with maximal ideal $\operatorname{rad}(F G)$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{F}(F G / \operatorname{rad}(F G))=1$, we can assume that $1 \in B$ and $B \cap \operatorname{rad}(F G)$ is a basis for $\operatorname{rad}(F G)$.

In this paper we use freely the following simple properties of $B$ (see [5]):
(i) $B \cap \operatorname{rad}(A)^{n}$ is an $F$-basis of $\operatorname{rad}(A)^{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$.
(ii) If $u, v \in B \backslash \operatorname{rad}(A)^{k}$ and $u \equiv v\left(\bmod \operatorname{rad}(A)^{k}\right)$ then $u=v$.

Denote the augmentation ideal of $F G$ by $\omega(F G)$. It is well-known that if $G$ is a finite $p$-group and the characteristic of $F$ is equal to $p$, then $\omega(F G)$ coincides with $\operatorname{rad}(F G)$. By [8] the Frattini subalgebra of $\operatorname{rad}(F G)$ coincides with $\operatorname{rad}(F G)^{2}$. Therefore $B \backslash\left(\{1\} \cup \omega(F G)^{2}\right)$ is a generating set of $\omega(F G)$ as an $F$-algebra.

The $M$-series $\mathfrak{D}_{i}$ of $G$ is due to Brauer, Jennings and Zassenhaus. We define this series by recursion as follows $\mathfrak{D}_{1}=G$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{i}\left(\mathfrak{D}_{i-1}, G\right) \mathfrak{D}_{\lceil i / p\rceil}^{p}$, where $\lceil r\rceil$ denotes the upper integral part of the real number $r$. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{D}_{2}$ coincides with the Frattini subgroup $\Phi(G)$ of $G$. Moreover, the $n^{\text {th }}$ dimension subgroup of $G$ over $F$ coincides with the $n^{\text {th }}$ term of the Brauer-Jennings-Zassenhaus M-series by [10]. Denote the set $\left\{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid \mathfrak{D}_{j}(G) \neq \mathfrak{D}_{j+1}(G)\right\}$ by $I$. Let $p^{d_{j}}(j \in I)$ be the order of the elementary Abelian p-group $\mathfrak{D}_{j}(G) / \mathfrak{D}_{j+1}(G)$. Evidently,

$$
\mathfrak{D}_{j}(G) / \mathfrak{D}_{j+1}(G)=\prod_{k=1}^{d_{j}}\left\langle g_{j k} \mathfrak{D}_{j+1}\right\rangle
$$

for some $g_{j k} \in G$.
According to Jennings [10], the elements $\prod_{j \in I}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{d_{j}}\left(g_{j k}-1\right)^{l_{j k}}\right) \in \omega(F G)$, where $0 \leq l_{j k}<p$ and the indices of the factors are in lexicographic order form a basis for $\omega(F G)$. Moreover, the above mentioned elements with the property $\sum_{j \in I}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{d_{j}} j \cdot l_{j k}\right) \geq t$ form an $F$-basis called Jennings basis for $\omega(F G)^{t}$. Therefore for any $u \in B \backslash\left(\{1\} \cup \omega(F G)^{2}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{d_{1}} \alpha_{1 i}\left(g_{1 i}-1\right) \quad\left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{2}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha_{1 i} \in F$. Using the identity

$$
\begin{align*}
(y-1)(x-1) & =[(x-1)(y-1)+(x-1)+(y-1)](z-1) \\
& +(x-1)(y-1)+(z-1) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x, y \in G$ and $z=(y, x)=y^{-1} x^{-1} y x$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{1 j}-1\right)\left(g_{1 i}-1\right) \equiv\left(g_{1 i}-1\right)\left(g_{1 j}-1\right)+\left(z_{j i}-1\right) \quad\left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{3}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{j i}=\left(g_{1 j}, g_{1 i}\right)$.
We are now ready to prove the main results.
Proof. [Proof of Theorem 1] By Rédei [13] a group $G$ is minimal non-abelian if and only if it is one of the following groups:
(i) quaternion group $Q_{8}$ of order 8.
(ii) $\left\langle a, b \mid a^{p^{n}}=b^{p^{m}}=1, a^{b}=a^{1+p^{n-1}}\right\rangle$, where $n \geq 2, m \geq 1$.
(iii) $\left\langle a, b, c \mid a^{p^{n}}=b^{p^{m}}=c^{p}=1,(a, b)=c,(a, c)=(b, c)=1\right\rangle$, where $n, m \geq 2$ if $p=2$.

Paris [12, Proposition 2] proved that $F Q_{8}$ has a filtered multiplicative $F$-basis if and only if $F$ contains a primitive cube root of the unity.

Let $G=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{p^{n}}=b^{p^{m}}=1, a^{b}=a^{1+p^{n-1}}\right\rangle$, where $n \geq 2, m \geq 1$. If either $p$ is odd or $p=2$ and $n \geq 3$, then $G$ is powerful and $F G$ does not possess any filtered multiplicative $F$-basis by [6, Theorem 1]. Thus we got that $n=2$ and $F$ is a field of characteristic 2 . It is easy to see that if $m=1$, then $G$ is isomorphic to the dihedral group of order 8 and $F G$ has a filtered multiplicative $F$-basis by [12]. The $M$-series of $G$ in the case of $m>1$ is as follows

$$
\mathfrak{D}_{1}=G, \quad \mathfrak{D}_{2}=G^{2}, \quad \mathfrak{D}_{3}=G^{4}, \cdots, \mathfrak{D}_{m}=G^{2^{m-1}}, \quad \mathfrak{D}_{m+1}=\langle 1\rangle
$$

Choose $u, v \in \omega(F G)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u \equiv(1+a)+(1+b) & \left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{2}\right) \\
v \equiv(1+b) & \left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Evidently, $u$ and $v$ modulo $\omega(F G)^{2}$ form a basis for $\omega(F G) / \omega(F G)^{2}$. From the congruence equation (3) we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+b)(1+a) \equiv(1+a)(1+b)+(1+a)^{2} \quad\left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{3}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a simple calculation shows that

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
u v \equiv(1+a)(1+b)+(1+b)^{2} & \left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{3}\right), \\
v u \equiv(a+1)(1+b)+(1+a)^{2}+(1+b)^{2} & \left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{3}\right)  \tag{5}\\
u^{2}=v^{2} \equiv(1+b)^{2} & \left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{3}\right)
\end{array}
$$

and they are linearly independent elements. The $F$-dimension of $\omega(F G)^{2} / \omega(F G)^{3}$ is equal to 3 , so $\left\{u v, v u, u^{2}\right\}$ modulo $\omega(F G)^{3}$ forms an $F$-basis for $\omega(F G)^{2} / \omega(F G)^{3}$.

Now, we shall prove by induction on $i$ that the elements $u v u v^{i}, v u v^{i+1}, u^{2} v^{i+1}, u^{3} v^{i}$ modulo $\omega(F G)^{i+4}$ form an $F$-basis for $\omega(F G)^{i+3} / \omega(F G)^{i+4}$. Using (4) and (5) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u v \cdot u & \equiv(1+a)^{2}(1+b)+(1+a)^{3}+(1+b)^{3} & & \left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{4}\right), \\
v u \cdot v & \equiv(1+a)(1+b)^{2}+(1+a)^{2}(1+b)+(1+b)^{3} & & \left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{4}\right), \\
u^{2} \cdot v & \equiv(1+b)^{3} & & \left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{4}\right), \\
u^{2} \cdot u & \equiv(1+a)(1+b)^{2}+(1+b)^{3} & & \left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $u v u, v u v, u^{2} v, u^{3}$ are linearly independent elements and the $F$-dimension of $\omega(F G)^{3} / \omega(F G)^{4}$ also equals 4 , the statement is true for $i=0$. Using $v \equiv(1+b)$ $\left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{2}\right)$ we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u v u v^{i} & \equiv(1+a)^{2}(1+b)^{i+1}+(1+a)^{3}(1+b)^{i}+(1+b)^{i+3} & & \left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{i+4}\right), \\
v u v^{i+1} & \equiv(1+a)(1+b)^{i+2}+(1+a)^{2}(1+b)^{i+1}+(1+b)^{i+3} & & \left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{i+4}\right), \\
u^{2} v^{i+1} & \equiv(1+b)^{i+3} & & \left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{i+4}\right), \\
u^{3} v^{i} & \equiv(1+a)(1+b)^{i+2}+(1+b)^{i+3} & & \left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{i+4}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $i \geq 0$. Since the above mentioned elements are linearly independent and the $F$-dimension of $\omega(F G)^{i+3} / \omega(F G)^{i+4}$ is the same than the number of the non-zero elements of the set $\left\{u v u v^{i}, v u v^{i+1}, u^{2} v^{i+1}, u^{3} v^{i}\right\}$, so we have proved that $\left\{1, u, v, u v, v u, u^{2}, u v u v^{i}, v u v^{i+1}, u^{2} v^{i+1}, u^{3} v^{i} \mid i \geq 0\right\}$ is a filtered multiplicative $F$-basis for $F G$.

Let $G=\left\langle a, b, c \mid a^{p^{n}}=b^{p^{m}}=c^{p}=1,(a, b)=c,(a, c)=(b, c)=1\right\rangle$ and $p>2$. The first three term of the $M$-series of $G$ is the following:

$$
\mathfrak{D}_{1}=G, \quad \mathfrak{D}_{2}=\Phi(G), \quad \mathfrak{D}_{3}=G^{p}
$$

Evidently, $\mathfrak{D}_{1} / \mathfrak{D}_{2}$ is generated by $a \mathfrak{D}_{2}$ and $b \mathfrak{D}_{2}$. Therefore the $F$ dimension of $\omega(F G) / \omega(F G)^{2}$ is equal to 2 . Assume that $B \backslash\{1\}$ is a filtered multiplicative $F$-basis of $\omega(F G)$. Let $u, v \in B \backslash\left(1 \cup \omega(F G)^{2}\right)$ be. According to (1) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & \equiv \alpha_{1}(a-1)+\alpha_{2}(b-1) \\
v & \left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{2}\right) \\
\beta_{1}(a-1)+\beta_{2}(b-1) & \left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j} \in F$. Being $u$ and $v$ linearly independent over $F$ we have that $\Delta=\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2} \beta_{1} \neq 0$.

We shall compute the all $b_{i} b_{j} b_{k}$ modulo $\omega(F G)^{4}$, where $b_{i}, b_{j}, b_{k} \in\{u, v\}$. For the sake of convenience our result will be summarized in a table, consisting of the coefficients of the decomposition $b_{i} b_{j} b_{k}$ with respect to the Jennings basis of $\omega(F G)^{3} / \omega(F G)^{4}$.

|  | $(a-1)^{3}$ | $(a-1)^{2}(b-1)$ | $(a-1)(c-1)$ | $(a-1)(b-1)^{2}$ | $(b-1)(c-1)$ | $(b-1)^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $u v u$ | $\alpha_{1}^{2} \beta_{1}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}+2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{1}$ | $-\alpha_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}$ | $2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2} \beta_{1}$ | $-2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2} \beta_{1}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{2} \beta_{2}$ |
| $v u^{2}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{2} \beta_{1}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}+2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{1}$ | $-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{1}$ | $2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2} \beta_{1}$ | $-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{2}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{2} \beta_{2}$ |
| $u^{3}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{3}$ | $3_{1}^{2} \alpha_{2}$ | $-\alpha_{1}^{2} \alpha_{2}$ | $3 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}^{2}$ | $-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{3}$ |
| $u^{2} u$ | $\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}^{2}$ | $2 \alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}^{2}$ | $-\alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}$ | $2 \alpha_{2} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{2} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}-2 \alpha_{1} \beta_{2}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}^{2}$ |
| $u v^{2}$ | $\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}^{2}$ | $2 \alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}^{2}$ | $-\alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}$ | $2 \alpha_{2} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{2} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}$ | $\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}^{2}$ |
| $v u v$ | $\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}^{2}$ | $2 \alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}^{2}$ | $-\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}^{2}$ | $2 \alpha_{2} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}^{2}$ | $-2 \alpha_{2} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}^{2}$ |
| $u^{2} v$ | $\alpha_{1}^{2} \beta_{1}$ | $2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{1}+\alpha_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}$ | $-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{1}$ | $2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2} \beta_{1}$ | $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{2}-2 \alpha_{2}^{2} \beta_{1}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{2} \beta_{2}$ |
| $v^{3}$ | $\beta_{1}^{3}$ | $3 \beta_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}$ | $-\beta_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}$ | $3_{1}$ | $-\beta_{1} \beta_{2}^{2}$ | $\beta_{1} \beta_{2}^{2}$ |

Assume that $u v u \equiv 0\left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{4}\right)$. Since the coefficients of $(a-1)^{3},(b-1)^{3},(a-$ $1)^{2}(b-1),(a-1)(b-1)^{2}$ are equal to zero, we have either $u \equiv 0\left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{2}\right)$ or $v \equiv 0\left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{2}\right)$ which is impossible. By similar arguments we can see that the above mentioned eight elements are not congruent to zero modulo $\omega(F G)^{4}$.

Since the $F$-dimension of $\omega(F G)^{3} / \omega(F G)^{4}$ equals six, and we have obtained eight non-zero elements modulo $\omega(F G)^{4}$, we conclude that some of them coincide (see property (ii)). For example, suppose that $u v u=v u^{2}$. If it occurs, then the coefficients of $(a-1)(c-1)$ equal each other and so do the coefficients of $(b-1)(c-1)$. Thus $\alpha_{1} \Delta=-\alpha_{2} \Delta=0$. Since $\Delta \neq 0$ we have $u \equiv 0\left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{2}\right)$ which is a contradiction. In a similar manner we can verify that the above mentioned eight elements are different. The $F$-dimension of $\omega(F G)^{3} / \omega(F G)^{4}$ is equal to six, but we have eight different non-zero elements modulo $\omega(F G)^{4}$ which is impossible.

Let $G=\left\langle a, b, c \mid a^{2^{n}}=b^{2^{m}}=c^{2}=1,(a, b)=c,(a, c)=(b, c)=1\right\rangle$ and $n, m \geq 2$. Then $F G$ has a filtered multiplicative $F$-basis by [2, Theorem 2].

Proof. [Proof of Corollary 1] Let $F G$ be a group algebra of a finite $p$-group $G$ over a field $F$ of characteristic $p$, such that all elements of the unit group of $F G$ of order $p$ commute. According to [1, Theorem 2] and [7, Theorem 1.1] $G$ is one of the following groups.
(i) $Q_{8}$ or $Q_{8} \times C_{2^{n}}$.
(ii) $\left\langle a, b \mid a^{p^{n}}=b^{p^{m}}=1, a^{b}=a^{1+p^{n-1}}\right\rangle$, where $n \geq 2, m \geq 1$, if $p=2$, then $m \neq 1$.
(iii) $\left\langle a, b, c \mid a^{4}=1, a^{2}=b^{2}=(a, b), c^{2^{n}}=1,(a, c)=c^{2^{n-1}}\right\rangle$, where $n \geq 2$.
(iv) $\left\langle a, b, c \mid a^{4}=b^{4}=1, a^{2}=(b, a), b^{2}=c^{2}=(c, a), x^{2} y^{2}=(c, b)\right\rangle$.

Let $G$ be either $Q_{8}$ or $Q_{8} \times C_{2^{n}}$. By [12, Proposition 2] $F G$ has a filtered multiplicative $F$-basis if and only if $F$ contains a primitive cube root of the unity. Let $G=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{p^{n}}=b^{p^{m}}=1, a^{b}=a^{1+p^{n-1}}\right\rangle$ and $n, m, p$ such as in (ii). From the proof of Theorem 1 we can see that $F G$ has a filtered multiplicative $F$-basis if and only if $G=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{4}=b^{2^{m}}=1, a^{b}=a^{3}\right\rangle$, where $m \geq 2$.

Let $G=\left\langle a, b, c \mid a^{4}=1, a^{2}=b^{2}=(a, b), c^{2^{n}}=1,(a, c)=c^{2^{n-1}}\right\rangle$, where $n \geq 2$. If $n=2$, then $G$ is isomorphic to the group $G_{35}$ of order $2^{5}$, where 35 is the index of this group in GAP. According to [2, Theorem 4], $F G$ has no filtered multiplicative $F$-basis. Assume that $n>2$ and $F G$ has a filtered multiplicative $F$-basis. Since $G / \mathfrak{D}_{2}=\left\langle a \mathfrak{D}_{2}, b \mathfrak{D}_{2}, c \mathfrak{D}_{2}\right\rangle$ we can write that

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
b_{1} \equiv \alpha_{1}(1+a)+\alpha_{2}(1+b)+\alpha_{3}(1+c) & \left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{2}\right), \\
b_{2} \equiv \beta_{1}(1+a)+\beta_{2}(1+b)+\beta_{3}(1+c) & \left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{2}\right), \\
b_{3} \equiv \gamma_{1}(1+a)+\gamma_{2}(1+b)+\gamma_{3}(1+c) & \left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{2}\right),
\end{array}
$$

for some $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}, \gamma_{i} \in F$ by (1). Denote by $\Delta$ the determinant of the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{3} \\ \beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & \beta_{3} \\ \gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3}\end{array}\right)$. Evidently, $\Delta \neq 0$ because $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}$ are linearly independent in $\omega(F G) \backslash$ $\omega(F G)^{2}$. It is easy to check that $\left(1+g^{-1}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{|g|-1}(1+g)^{i}$ holds for any $g \in G$, where $|g|$ denotes the order of $g$. Using the well known identity

$$
(1+g h)=(1+g)+(1+h)+(1+g)(1+h)
$$

where $g, h \in G$ and the identity above an easy calculation shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1+b)(1+a)=\sum_{i=1}^{3}(1+a)^{i}(1+b)+(1+a)^{2}+(1+a)^{3} \\
& (1+c)(1+a)=(1+a)(1+c)+\sum_{i=2^{n-1}}^{2^{n-1}+1}\left[(1+c)^{i}+(1+a)(1+c)^{i}\right] \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall compute $b_{i} b_{j}$ modulo $\omega(F G)^{3}$, where $i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$. The result of our computation will be written in a table as in the proof of Theorem 1. The following table consists of the coefficients of the decompositions with respect to the Jennings basis of $\omega(F G)^{2} / \omega(F G)^{3}$.

|  | $(1+a)^{2}$ | $(1+a)(1+b)$ | $(1+a)(1+c)$ | $(1+b)(1+c)$ | $(1+c)^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b_{1} b_{2}$ | $\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}+\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}+\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}$ | $\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}$ | $\alpha_{1} \beta_{3}+\alpha_{3} \beta_{1}$ | $\alpha_{2} \beta_{3}+\alpha_{3} \beta_{2}$ | $\alpha_{3} \beta_{3}$ |
| $b_{2} b_{1}$ | $\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}+\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}$ | $\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}$ | $\alpha_{1} \beta_{3}+\alpha_{3} \beta_{1}$ | $\alpha_{2} \beta_{3}+\alpha_{3} \beta_{2}$ | $\alpha_{3} \beta_{3}$ |
| $b_{1} b_{3}$ | $\alpha_{1} \gamma_{1}+\alpha_{2} \gamma_{1}+\alpha_{2} \gamma_{2}$ | $\alpha_{1} \gamma_{2}+\alpha_{2} \gamma_{1}$ | $\alpha_{1} \gamma_{3}+\alpha_{3} \gamma_{1}$ | $\alpha_{2} \gamma_{3}+\alpha_{3} \gamma_{2}$ | $\alpha_{3} \gamma_{3}$ |
| $b_{3} b_{1}$ | $\alpha_{1} \gamma_{1}+\alpha_{1} \gamma_{2}+\alpha_{2} \gamma_{2}$ | $\alpha_{1} \gamma_{2}+\alpha_{2} \gamma_{1}$ | $\alpha_{1} \gamma_{3}+\alpha_{3} \gamma_{1}$ | $\alpha_{2} \gamma_{3}+\alpha_{3} \gamma_{2}$ | $\alpha_{3} \gamma_{3}$ |
| $b_{2} b_{3}$ | $\beta_{1} \gamma_{1}+\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}+\beta_{2} \gamma_{2}$ | $\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}+\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}$ | $\beta_{1} \gamma_{3}+\beta_{3} \gamma_{1}$ | $\beta_{2} \gamma_{3}+\beta_{3} \gamma_{2}$ | $\beta_{3} \gamma_{3}$ |
| $b_{3} b_{2}$ | $\beta_{1} \gamma_{1}+\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}+\beta_{2} \gamma_{2}$ | $\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}+\beta_{2} \gamma_{1}$ | $\beta_{1} \gamma_{3}+\beta_{3} \gamma_{1}$ | $\beta_{2} \gamma_{3}+\beta_{3} \gamma_{2}$ | $\beta_{3} \gamma_{3}$ |
| $b_{1}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}+\alpha_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\alpha_{3}^{2}$ |
| $b_{2}^{2}$ | $\beta_{1}^{2}+\beta_{1} \beta_{2}+\beta_{2}^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\beta_{3}^{2}$ |
| $b_{3}^{2}$ | $\gamma_{1}^{2}+\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}+\gamma_{2}^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

If $i \neq j$, then $b_{i} b_{j} \not \equiv 0\left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{3}\right)$ because $\Delta \neq 0$. It is easy to see that $b_{i} b_{j} \not \equiv b_{k} b_{l}\left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{3}\right)$ if either $k \notin\{i, j\}$ or $l \notin\{i, j\}$. Indeed, for example, if $b_{1} b_{2} \equiv b_{1} b_{3}\left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{3}\right)$, then the coefficients of $(1+a)(1+b)$ are equal to each other and so are the coefficients of $(1+a)(1+c)$ or $(1+b)(1+c)$. Thus $\Delta=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{lll}\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{3} \\ \gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3} \\ \gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3}\end{array}\right)=0$ which is a contradiction.

Since the $F$-dimension of $\omega(F G)^{2} / \omega(F G)^{3}$ is equal to five but we get nine elements we conclude that there exist two indices $i, j$ such that $b_{i} b_{j}=b_{j} b_{i}$ for example $b_{1} b_{3}=b_{3} b_{1}$. Evidently, If $b_{i}^{2} \equiv 0\left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{3}\right)$ for any $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, then $\Delta=0$ which is impossible. Thus we have

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
b_{1} \equiv \omega(1+a)+(1+b)+\alpha_{3}(1+c) & \left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{2}\right), \\
b_{2} \equiv(1+a)+\omega(1+b)+\beta_{3}(1+c) & \left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{2}\right), \\
b_{3} \equiv(1+c) & \left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{2}\right),
\end{array}
$$

where $\omega$ is a primitive cube root of the unity. The other cases are symmetric to this one.

Clearly, every element of the Jennings basis of $F G$ can be written in the form $(1+a)^{t_{1}}(1+b)^{t_{2}}(1+c)^{t_{3}}$ for some $t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3} \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus we can write that

$$
b_{1}=\omega(1+a)+(1+b)+\alpha_{3}(1+c)+(1+a) A_{1}+(1+b) A_{2}+\sum_{s=2}^{2^{n}-1} \delta_{s}(1+c)^{s}
$$

where $\delta_{s} \in F, A_{1}, A_{2} \in \omega(F G)$ and $(1+a) A_{1},(1+b) A_{2}$ are linear combinations of the elements of Jennings basis over $F$. Similarly,

$$
b_{3}=(1+c)+(1+a) B_{1}+(1+b) B_{2}+\sum_{t=2}^{2^{n}-1} \epsilon_{t}(1+c)^{t}
$$

where $\epsilon_{t} \in F, B_{1}, B_{2} \in \omega(F G)$ and $(1+a) B_{1},(1+b) B_{2}$ are linear combinations of the Jennings basis over $F$.

By the help of (6) let us calculate $b_{1} b_{3}+b_{3} b_{1}$ modulo $\omega(F G)^{2^{n-1}+1}$.

$$
b_{1} b_{3}+b_{3} b_{1} \equiv \omega(1+c)^{2^{n-1}}+(1+a) C_{1}+(1+b) C_{2} \quad\left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{2^{n-1}+1}\right)
$$

for some $C_{1}, C_{2} \in \omega(F G)^{2}$. Therefore $b_{1} b_{3} \neq b_{3} b_{1}$ which is a contradiction. Thus $F G$ does not possess any filtered multiplicative $F$-basis.

If $G=\left\langle a, b, c \mid a^{4}=b^{4}=1, a^{2}=(b, a), b^{2}=c^{2}=(c, a), x^{2} y^{2}=(c, b)\right\rangle$, then $G \cong G_{32}$, where $G_{32}$ is the group of order 32 with index 32 in GAP. Then, $F G$ has no filtered multiplicative $F$-basis by [2, Theorem 4].

Proof. [proof of Theorem 2] The finite non-metacyclic $p$-groups all of whose proper subgroup are metacyclic was classified by Blackburn [4, Theorem 3.2].

If $p=2$, then $G=Q_{8} \times C_{2}$ or $G=Q_{8} \curlyvee C_{4}$ or $G=G_{32}$. If $G=Q_{8} \times$ $C_{2}$ and $F$ contains a primitive cube root of the unity, then $F G$ admits a filtered multiplicative $F$-basis by [12, Proposition 2]. According to [2, Theorem 1] $F G$ has a filtered multiplicative $F$-basis for $G=Q_{8} \curlyvee C_{4}$. The group algebra $F G_{32}$ has no multiplicative filtered $F$-basis by [2, Theorem 4].

If $p$ is odd and $G$ is the group of order $p^{3}$ with exponent $p$, then $G$ is a powerful group and $F G$ has no filtered multiplicative $F$-basis by [6, Theorem 1].

We have remained only the following group $G=\langle a, b, c| b^{9}=c^{3}=1, a^{3}=$ $\left.b^{-3},(c, b)=1,(b, a)=c,(c, a)=b^{-3}\right\rangle$. The M-series of $G$ is the following:

$$
\mathfrak{D}_{1}=G, \quad \mathfrak{D}_{2}=\left\langle c, b^{3}\right\rangle, \quad \mathfrak{D}_{3}=\left\langle b^{3}\right\rangle, \quad \mathfrak{D}_{4}=\langle 1\rangle .
$$

Since $G / \mathfrak{D}_{2}=\left\langle a \mathfrak{D}_{2}, b \mathfrak{D}_{2}\right\rangle$ we have only to apply (1) to see that the elements of an $F$-basis of $\omega(F G) / \omega(F G)^{2}$ can be written in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u \equiv \alpha_{1}(a-1)+\alpha_{2}(b-1) \quad\left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{2}\right) \\
& v \equiv \beta_{1}(a-1)+\beta_{2}(b-1) \quad\left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j} \in F$. Evidently, $\Delta=\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2} \beta_{1} \neq 0$ because $u$ and $v$ are linearly independent over $F$. Using the identities (2) and (3) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (b-1)(a-1) \equiv(a-1)(b-1)+(c-1) \quad\left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{3}\right) \\
& (c-1)(a-1) \equiv(a-1)(c-1)-(b-1)^{3} \quad\left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{4}\right) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

By the help of congruence equations (7) let us compute $b_{i} b_{j} b_{k}$ modulo $\omega(F G)^{4}$, where $b_{i}, b_{j}, b_{k} \in\{u, v\}$. The result of our computation will be written in a table as before. We shall divide our table into two parts. The coefficients corresponding to the first three elements of the Jennings basis of $\omega(F G)^{3} / \omega(F G)^{4}$ will be in the first part of the table, while the next three will be in the second one.

|  | $(a-1)^{3}$ | $(a-1)^{2}(b-1)$ | $(a-1)(c-1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $u v u$ | $\alpha_{1}^{2} \beta_{1}$ | $2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{1}+\alpha_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}+2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{1}$ |
| $v u^{2}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{2} \beta_{1}$ | $2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{1}+\alpha_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}$ | $2 \alpha_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{1}$ |
| $u^{3}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{3}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $v^{2} u$ | $\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}^{2}+2 \alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}$ | 0 |
| $u v^{2}$ | $\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}^{2}$ | $2 \alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}+2 \alpha_{2} \beta_{1}^{2}$ |
| $v u v$ | $\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}^{2}$ | $2 \alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}^{2}$ | $2 \alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}^{2}$ |
| $u^{2} v$ | $\alpha_{1}^{2} \beta_{1}$ | $2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{1}+\alpha_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}$ | 0 |
| $v^{3}$ | $\beta_{1}^{3}$ | 0 | 0 |


|  | $(a-1)(b-1)^{2}$ | $(b-1)(c-1)$ | $(b-1)^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $u v u$ | $2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2} \beta_{1}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{2} \beta_{1}+2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{2}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{2} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{1}$ |
| $v u^{2}$ | $2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2} \beta_{1}$ | 0 | $\alpha_{2}^{2} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}$ |
| $u^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | $\alpha_{2}^{3}-\alpha_{1}^{2} \alpha_{2}$ |
| $v^{2} u$ | $2 \alpha_{2} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{2} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}+2 \alpha_{1} \beta_{2}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}^{2}-\alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}$ |
| $u v^{2}$ | $\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}^{2}+2 \alpha_{2} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}$ | 0 | $\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}^{2}-\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}^{2}$ |
| $v u v$ | $\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}^{2}+2 \alpha_{2} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}$ | $\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}^{2}+2 \alpha_{2} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}$ | $\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}^{2}-\alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}$ |
| $u^{2} v$ | $2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2} \beta_{1}$ | $2 \alpha_{2}^{2} \beta_{1}+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{2}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{2} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{1}$ |
| $v^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | $\beta_{2}^{3}-\beta_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}$ |

We have obtained eight elements. It is easy to prove that each of them is in $\omega(F G)^{3}$. Indeed, for example, if $u v u \notin \omega(F G)^{3}$, then we have $u v u \equiv 0$ $\left(\bmod \omega(F G)^{4}\right)$. Thus the coefficient of $(b-1)(c-1)$ are equal to 0 , that is $\alpha_{2}^{2} \beta_{1}+2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{2}=0$. Assume that $\alpha_{1}=0$. Then $\alpha_{2}^{2} \beta_{1}+2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{2}=\alpha_{2}^{2} \beta_{1}=0$ so $\Delta=0$ which is impossible. Since $\alpha_{1} \neq 0$ and $2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{1}+\alpha_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}=0$ because the coefficient of $(a-1)^{2}(b-1)$ also equals 0 we have that $\Delta=2 \alpha_{2} \beta_{1}+\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}=0$ which is also impossible. In a similar manner the other cases can be verified.

Since the $F$-dimension of $\omega(F G)^{3} / \omega(F G)^{4}$ is six but we have eight elements we conclude that some of the above mentioned elements are equal to some other elements. For example if $u v u=v u^{2}$, then we have that $\alpha_{2}^{2} \beta_{1}+2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \beta_{2}=0$ because the coefficients of $(b-1)(c-1)$ are equal to each other. Clearly, $\alpha_{1} \neq 0$. Indeed, if $\alpha_{1}=0$, then $\alpha_{2}^{2} \beta_{1}=0$ and $\Delta=0$ which is impossible. From the coefficients of $(a-1)(c-1)$ we get that $\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}=\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}$ and $\Delta=0$ which is also a contradiction. A similar method can be used to prove that the only possible case is $u^{3}=v^{3}$. Thus only seven elements are left. However, the $F$-dimension of $\omega(F G)^{3} / \omega(F G)^{4}$ is six which is impossible. We have proved that $F G$ has no filtered multiplicative $F$-basis.
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