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#### Abstract

In this note we give an estimate for the size of a subset $A$ of $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ which has the property that the product of any two distinct elements of $A$ plus 1 is a perfect power.


## 1. Introduction

Let $V$ denote the set of all positive integers which are of the form $x^{k}$ with $x$ and $k$ integers and $k$ at least 2 . Thus $V$ is the set of positive integers which are perfect powers. In [6] Gyarmati, Sárközy and Stewart showed that if $N$ is a positive integer and $A$ is a subset of $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ with the property that $a a^{\prime}+1$ is in $V$ whenever $a$ and $a^{\prime}$ are distinct elements of $A$ then $|A|$, the cardinality of $A$, is not large. In particular, they showed that for $N$ sufficiently large

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A| \leq 340(\log N)^{2} / \log \log N \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition they conjectured that $|A|$ is bounded by an absolute constant. In [8] Luca showed that this follows as a consequence of the $a b c$ conjecture. Further he improved on (1.1) by showing that there is a positive number $c_{0}$ such that for $N$ sufficiently large

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A|<c_{0}(\log N / \log \log N)^{3 / 2} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Estimate (1.1) was proved by combining results from extremal graph theory with a gap principle due to Gyarmati [5] which allows one to push apart integers whose shifted product is a fixed power. The improvement (1.2) of Luca was due to his more efficient treatment of the large powers which might

[^0]occur. He introduced estimates for linear forms in the logarithms of algebraic numbers into his argument to this end. The linear forms Luca considers consist of 4 terms. The purpose of this note is to show that a further improvement of (1.2) is possible by a modification of Luca's argument which allows one to deal with linear forms in only 2 terms. We shall prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. There exists an effectively computable positive number $c_{1}$ such that if $N$ is a positive integer with $N \geq 2$ and $A$ is a subset of $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ with the property that $a a^{\prime}+1$ is a perfect power whenever $a$ and $a^{\prime}$ are distinct integers from $A$ then

$$
|A|<c_{1} \log N
$$

## 2. Preliminary lemmas

Lemma 2.1. There is no set of six positive integers $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{6}\right\}$ with the property that $a_{i} a_{j}+1$ is a square for $1 \leq i<j \leq 6$.

Proof. This is [4, Theorem 2].
Lemma 2.2. Let $n$ and $r$ be integers with $3 \leq r \leq n$. Let $G$ be a graph on $n$ vertices with at least

$$
\frac{r-2}{2(r-1)} n^{2}
$$

edges. Then $G$ contains a complete subgraph on $r$ edges.
Proof. This follows from Turán's graph theorem, see [9] or [3, Lemma $3]$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $G$ be a graph with $n(>1)$ vertices and $e$ edges and suppose that

$$
e>\frac{1}{2}\left(n^{3 / 2}+n-n^{1 / 2}\right) .
$$

Then $G$ contains a cycle of length 4 .
Proof. This is a special case of [2, Theorem 2.3, Chapter VI] and is due to Kövári, Sós and Turán [7].

We shall need an extension of Lemma 2.3 to the case when $G$ is a graph of $k$ colours and the cycle of length 4 is coloured in a certain way.

Lemma 2.4. Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices and e edges with the edges coloured by $k$ colours. Suppose that $G$ does not contain a cycle through vertices $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}$ where the edges from $a_{1}$ to $a_{2}$ and from $a_{1}$ to $a_{4}$ have the same colour and where the edges from $a_{2}$ to $a_{3}$ and from $a_{3}$ to $a_{4}$ have the same colour. Then

$$
e \leq k^{1 / 2} n^{3 / 2}+k n
$$

Proof. We will count the number of subgraphs $G_{0}$ of $G$ of the form

where the edges $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ and $\left(a_{1}, a_{4}\right)$ are coloured by the same colour. Let the degree of $a_{i}$ coloured by the $j$-th colour be $d_{i, j}$. Then the number of subgraphs $G_{0}$ is exactly

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k}\binom{d_{i, j}}{2}
$$

On the other hand this number is less or equal to $\binom{n}{2}$ since for every pair $\left(a_{2}, a_{4}\right)$ there exists at most one $a_{1}$ such that the edges $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ and $\left(a_{1}, a_{4}\right)$ have the same colour. Thus

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k}\binom{d_{i, j}}{2} \leq\binom{ n}{2}
$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} d_{i, j}=2 e$ we get

$$
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} d_{i, j}^{2}-e \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}
$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$
\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} d_{i, j}\right)^{2}}{2 k n}-e \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}
$$

and so

$$
\frac{2 e^{2}}{k n}-e \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}
$$

Thus

$$
e \leq\left(\left(4 k n^{2}(n-1)+k^{2} n^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}+k n\right) / 4
$$

and the result now follows.
Lemma 2.5. Let $k$ be an integer with $k \geq 2$ and let $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ and $a_{4}$ be positive integers with $a_{1}<a_{3}$ and $a_{2}<a_{4}$. If $a_{1} a_{2}+1, a_{1} a_{4}+1, a_{2} a_{3}+1$ and $a_{3} a_{4}+1$ are $k$-th powers, then

$$
a_{3} a_{4}>\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)^{k-1}
$$

Proof. This follows from the proof of [5, Theorem 1].

For any non-zero rational number $\alpha$, where $\alpha=a / b$ with $a$ and $b$ coprime integers, we put $H(\alpha)=\max \{|a|,|b|\}$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ be non-zero integers and let $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ be non-zero rational numbers. Put $A_{i}=\max \left\{2, H\left(\alpha_{i}\right)\right\}$ for $i=1,2, B=$ $\max \left\{\left|b_{1}\right|,\left|b_{2}\right|, 2\right\}$ and $\Lambda=b_{1} \log \alpha_{1}+b_{2} \log \alpha_{2}$ where the logarithms take their principal values. There exists an effectively computable positive constant $C$ such that if $\Lambda \neq 0$ then

$$
|\Lambda|>\exp \left(-C \log A_{1} \log A_{2} \log B\right)
$$

Proof. This follows from the Main Theorem of [1].

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $A$ be a subset of $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ with the property that $a a^{\prime}+1$ is in $V$ whenever $a$ and $a^{\prime}$ are distinct integers from $A$. We may suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A|>\log N \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

since otherwise our result holds. Let $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots$ denote effectively computable positive numbers. We shall suppose that $N$ is sufficiently large that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\log N) / 2 \log \log N>16 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that there is an integer $m$ with

$$
1 \leq m \leq \frac{\log ((\log N) / \log 2)}{\log 2}
$$

such that $A$ has more than $(|A|-3) /((\log ((\log N) / \log 2)) / \log 2)$ elements from $\left\{2^{2^{m}}, 2^{2^{m}}+1, \ldots, 2^{2^{m+1}}-1\right\}$. We shall denote these elements by $A_{m}$ and put $n=\left|A_{m}\right|$ and $M=2^{2^{m+1}}$. Then, for $N>c_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n>\frac{|A|}{2 \log \log N} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, by (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
M>16 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Form the complete graph $G$ whose vertices are the elements of $A_{m} . G$ has $\binom{n}{2}$ edges and for each pair $\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)$ of vertices of $G$ we colour the edge between $a$ and $a^{\prime}$ with the smallest prime $p$ for which $a a^{\prime}+1$ is a perfect $p$-th power.

By Lemma 2.2, if the number of edges of $G$ with the colour 2 exceeds $(2 / 5) n^{2}$ then there is a complete subgraph of $G$ on 6 vertices coloured with 2 and this is impossible by Lemma 2.1. Therefore the number of edges of $G$ with a colour different from 2 is at least $\binom{n}{2}-(2 / 5) n^{2}=\left(n^{2} / 10\right)-(n / 2)$.

Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=(9 C \log M \log \log M)^{1 / 2} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is the positive number which occurs in Lemma 2.6. Let $G_{1}$ be the subgraph of $G$ consisting of the vertices of $G$ together with the edges of $G$ which are coloured with a prime $p$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \leq p \leq t \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $G_{2}$ be the subgraph of $G$ consisting of the vertices of $G$ together with the edges of $G$ which are coloured with a prime $p$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
t<p<(2 \log M) / \log 2 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $G_{1}$ contains at least $\left(n^{2} / 20\right)-(n / 2)$ edges. The number of colours of $G_{1}$ is $\pi(t)-1$ and, by the prime number theorem and (3.5), this is at most $c_{2}((\log M) / \log \log M)^{1 / 2}$. Thus there is a colour of $G_{1}$ which occurs on at least $\left(\left(n^{2} / 20\right)-(n / 2)\right) / c_{2}((\log M) / \log \log M)^{1 / 2}$ different edges. Since $M \leq N^{2}$ we see from (3.3) that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A|>c_{3} \log N \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there is a colour associated with more than $\left(n^{3 / 2}+n-n^{1 / 2}\right) / 2$ edges. Therefore, by Lemma 2.3, $G_{1}$ contains a monochromatic cycle of length 4. In particular, there exist integers $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ and $a_{4}$ from $A_{m}$ and a prime $p$ satisfying (3.6) for which $a_{1} a_{2}+1, a_{2} a_{3}+1, a_{3} a_{4}+1$ and $a_{1} a_{4}+1$ are $p$-th powers. Without loss of generality one may suppose that $a_{1}<a_{3}$ and $a_{2}<a_{4}$. Thus, by Lemma 2.5,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3} a_{4}>\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)^{2} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ and $a_{4}$ are in $\left\{2^{2^{m}}, \ldots, 2^{2^{m+1}}-1\right\}$ and so

$$
a_{3} a_{4}<2^{2^{m+2}} \leq\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)^{2}
$$

which contradicts (3.9). Accordingly either (3.8) is false, in which case our result follows, or $G_{1}$ has fewer than $\left(n^{2} / 20\right)-(n / 2)$ edges. We may assume the latter possibility and so $G_{2}$ has at least $n^{2} / 20$ edges.

It follows from (3.4), (3.7) and the prime number theorem that the number of colours of $G_{2}$ is at most $c_{4}(\log M) / \log \log M$. Therefore since $N^{2} \geq M$ and (3.3) holds, if $|A|$ exceeds $c_{5} \log N$ then by Lemma 2.4, $G_{2}$ contains a cycle through vertices $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ and $a_{4}$ for which the edge between $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ and the edge between $a_{1}$ and $a_{4}$ have the same colour and the edge between $a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ and the edge between $a_{3}$ and $a_{4}$ have the same colour. In particular, there exist primes $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ in the range given by (3.7) and integers $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$ for which

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} a_{2}+1=x_{1}^{p_{1}}, & a_{2} a_{3}+1=x_{2}^{p_{2}} \\
a_{3} a_{4}+1=x_{3}^{p_{2}}, & a_{4} a_{1}+1=x_{4}^{p_{1}}
\end{array}
$$

We observe, as in [8, Lemma 3.1], that

$$
\left(x_{1}^{p_{1}}-1\right)\left(x_{3}^{p_{2}}-1\right)=\left(x_{2}^{p_{2}}-1\right)\left(x_{4}^{p_{1}}-1\right),
$$

hence
(3.10) $x_{1}^{p_{1}} x_{3}^{p_{2}}-x_{2}^{p_{2}} x_{4}^{p_{1}}=x_{1}^{p_{1}}+x_{3}^{p_{2}}-x_{2}^{p_{2}}-x_{4}^{p_{1}}$.

Since $x_{1}^{p_{1}}+x_{3}^{p_{2}}-x_{2}^{p_{2}}-x_{4}^{p_{1}}=\left(a_{1}-a_{3}\right)\left(a_{2}-a_{4}\right)$ and since the $a_{i}$ 's are distinct we see that

$$
x_{1}^{-p_{1}} x_{3}^{-p_{2}} x_{2}^{p_{2}} x_{4}^{p_{1}} \neq 1 .
$$

Thus, if we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=p_{1} \log \left(x_{4} / x_{1}\right)+p_{2} \log \left(x_{2} / x_{3}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that $\Lambda \neq 0$. We may assume, without loss of generality, that

$$
x_{1}^{p_{1}}=\max \left\{x_{1}^{p_{1}}, x_{2}^{p_{2}}, x_{3}^{p_{2}}, x_{4}^{p_{1}}\right\} .
$$

Therefore, by (3.10),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{x_{2}^{p_{2}} x_{4}^{p_{1}}}{x_{1}^{p_{1}} x_{3}^{p_{2}}}-1\right| \leq \frac{2}{x_{3}^{p_{2}}} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $a_{3}$ and $a_{4}$ are at least $M^{1 / 2}$ in size

$$
x_{3}^{p_{2}}>M
$$

and so, by (3.11) and (3.12),

$$
\left|e^{\Lambda}-1\right|<\frac{2}{M}
$$

Observe that if $y$ is a real number and $\left|e^{y}-1\right|<1 / 8$ then $|y|<1 / 2$. Further $\left|e^{y}-1\right| \geq|y| / 2$ for $|y|<1 / 2$ and so, since $M \geq 16$,

$$
|\Lambda|<\frac{4}{M}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log |\Lambda|<-\frac{1}{2} \log M \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now apply Lemma 2.6 with $\alpha_{1}=x_{1} / x_{4}, \alpha_{2}=x_{2} / x_{3}$ and $B=$ $\max \left(p_{1}, p_{2}, 2\right)$. Note that, for $i=1,2$,

$$
\log H\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \leq(2 \log M) / t
$$

By Lemma 2.6,

$$
\log |\Lambda|>-4 C((\log M) / t)^{2} \log \log M
$$

and so, by (3.13),

$$
t^{2}<8 C \log M \log \log M
$$

However, this contradicts our choice of $t$ in (3.5). Accordingly $|A|$ is less than $c_{5} \log N$ and the result follows.
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