1

MENOPAUSAL SYMPTOM CLUSTERS AND BREAST CANCER

Original article

Menopausal symptom clusters and their correlates in women with and without a history of breast cancer: A pooled data analysis from the Women's Wellness Research Program

Short form title: Menopausal symptom clusters and breast cancer

Charrlotte Seib PhD¹, Janine Porter-Steele MNS^{1, 2}, Amanda McGuire PhD², Alexandra McCarthy PhD^{1, 2, 3}, Sarah Balaam BHS^{1, 2}, Debra Anderson PhD^{1*}

From the ¹ Menzies Health Institute Queensland, Griffith Health Centre, Griffith University, 4222, Australia; ² Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, Queensland University of Technology, Kelvin Grove, Queensland, 4059, Australia; ³Division of Cancer Services, Princess Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane, Queensland, 4102, Australia. *denotes senior author

Funding

Funding sources: Project Grant funding from the Cancer Council Queensland 2009 (to D.J.A.); Bluebox Proof of Concept Project Funding, QUT 2012 (to D.J.A); NHMRC Partnership Grant 2013 (to D.J.A. and A.M.)

Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist

Address correspondence to Dr Charrlotte Seib, Menzies Health Institute Queensland, Parklands Drive, Southport Queensland, 4215, Australia; e-mail: <u>c.seib@griffith.edu.au</u>

Abstract

Objectives: This analysis examined climacteric symptoms clusters in women with and without breast cancer, and explored how socio-demographic, health, and modifiable lifestyle factors predicted symptom clusters.

Methods: This pooled analysis of four Women's Wellness Research Program (WWRP) studies comprised individual level data from 969 Australian women aged 40-63 years, 293 of whom had been previously treated for breast cancer and 678 without a breast cancer history. Climacteric symptoms, menopausal status, socio-demographic characteristics, and health and lifestyle factors were assessed. Principal components analysis was used to determined symptoms clusters for each group separately before linear regression with backwards selection was used to identify the significant correlates of the identified clusters.

Results: Women with a history of breast cancer reported more sleep disturbance (P < 0.01), difficulty concentrating (P < 0.01), muscular/joint pain (P < 0.01), crying (P < 0.01) and irritability (P < 0.01) and vasomotor symptoms (P < 0.01) than women from the non-cancer group. Principal components analysis with quartimax rotation revealed two distinct solutions explaining 60.9% and 57.6% of the variance in the groups respectively. For both groups, symptom clusters were increased among those with unhealthy lifestyle behaviours (and chemotherapy among the after cancer group; P < 0.05 for all) though to a lesser extent in the breast cancer group.

Conclusions: In this study, women after cancer reported a broad range of bothersome climacteric symptoms. Similar symptom clusters were also noted for women with and without a history of breast cancer, though correlates differed across groups, and might reflect different underlying aetiologies.

Keywords: Menopausal symptoms; Breast neoplasms; Symptom clusters; Modifiable lifestyle factors; Midlife; Pooled analysis.

Recent advances in medical technologies have significantly reduced breast cancer mortality and in many high income countries, 5-year survival is estimated to be between 85% and 90%¹⁻⁵. Thus in many parts of the world, women previously treated for breast cancer (often known as breast cancer survivors) represent a growing proportion of the population who are often burdened with a number of chronic treatment-induced health effects. Some of the more common treatment-related effects include vasomotor symptoms^{6, 7}, cognitive⁸ and mood disturbances⁹, and sexual dysfunction¹⁰. These are attributed to the suppression of ovarian function arising from common antihormone and chemotherapy drugs. In younger women in particular, the sharp decline in endogenous estrogen associated with such treatments can cause premature menopause while for post-menopausal women, existing menopausal symptoms can be exacerbated^{11, 12}. These symptoms are often more severe, sudden, frequent and endured for longer duration than the menopausal symptoms reported by women not treated for cancer^{12, 13}.

While women after breast cancer generally report more intense classical (vasoactive) menopausal symptoms compared to women from the general population, less is known about the myriad of other symptoms that can occur at midlife, or whether they are interrelated. Population-based studies have shown complex interrelationships between multiple concurrent menopausal symptoms, though symptom clusters frequently differ across populations¹⁴. For example, among late reproductive American women cognitive/depressed mood/nervous tension grouped together and accounted for more than one-third of the total variance while hot flushes/night sweats/sleep disturbance/breast pain comprised a distinct second symptom cluster¹⁵. In contrast, another American study of women aged 45-60 grouped anxiety/irritability/fatigue with weight gain/incontinence and vaginal dryness/sleep disturbances¹⁶.

Less is known about menopausal symptom clusters in women after breast cancer treatment (though extensive work has broadly examined symptom clusters in women after cancer, e.g., pain, fatigue, sleep disturbances, depression^{12 17, 18}). A population-based Scottish study showed that surgically-induced menopausal women reported the greatest frequency of classic menopausal symptoms (51% reporting at least one symptom and 14% all three symptoms) ¹⁹ and symptoms like vasomotor symptoms are frequently associated with decrements in quality of life ^{16, 20}. While in female breast cancer survivors specifically, 63% reported moderate-severe vasomotor symptoms (hot flushes, sleep problems, heart complaints), 63% reported moderate-severe psychological symptoms (depression, nervousness, impaired memory), and 37% reported moderate-severe somatic symptoms (sexual difficulties, urinary problems, vaginal dryness, joint and muscle problems)¹².

Whether these symptoms form discrete menopausal symptom clusters in women after breast cancer is difficult to determine, and differences is symptom groupings might reflect different etiologies and pathophysiologies. Moreover, the presence or absence of certain modifiable lifestyle factors (e.g., body mass, exercise, alcohol intake, and tobacco smoking²¹⁻²⁵) is associated with both the frequency and severity of menopausal symptoms though the extent to which these factors affect women after breast cancer is unclear.

The aims of this paper are two-fold: (1) To determine how individual midlife symptoms group together as clusters in women with and without a history of breast cancer, and; (2) to examine how these clustered symptoms are influenced by health and lifestyle factors in these two groups of women.

METHODS

Participants and procedure

This paper presents pooled data from the Women's Wellness Research Program (WWRP). The WWRP comprises a series of research projects that broadly aim to increase understanding of women's health and lifestyle behaviours and to develop effective empirically-based interventions targeting a reduction of tobacco smoking, hazardous alcohol use, increasing water intake, improving diets and increasing physical activity. This analysis also includes individual-level baseline data from 969 Australian women from the Healthy Aging of Women Study (HOW)²⁶⁻²⁸; the Pink Women's Wellness Program²⁹; the Bluebox Study³⁰; and the Women's Wellness After Cancer Program (WWACP, trial ongoing). For the analysis, only women aged 40-63 years were included (this age range represents the expected age range at natural menopause ± 5 years). Women in the cancer-specific studies were excluded if they were missing a breast cancer diagnosis or if they had been diagnosed with other cancers, the treatment of which could result in ovarian suppression.

The study procedures and recruitment details have been outlined extensively in previous papers²⁶⁻³³. Briefly, the HOW is a longitudinal observational study of women randomly selected from the electoral roll that commenced in 2001. Women were recruited at random from rural and urban areas of southeast Queensland (n = 869) and reinterviewed at 5-year intervals. Changes in measurement methods between 2001 and 2006 prevented baseline data from being included in this paper; therefore data from the second data collection point were used. The initial sample comprised 564 women aged 51-66, however, 84 women were excluded from this analysis (6% were missing breast cancer diagnosis or had been diagnosed with gynaecological cancer and 9% were older than 63 years).

All other studies included in this analysis were randomised controlled trials, designed to test the effectiveness of a 12-week structured wellness intervention on lifestyle modification (increased exercise, healthy eating, weight management, and reduced smoking and alcohol consumption) on reducing menopausal symptoms and health-related quality of life indices. The Pink Women's Wellness Program was designed for women after active treatment for breast cancer. The trial, which piloted a wellness intervention in 55 women aged 40-65, tested intervention efficacy in increasing quality of life and the uptake of healthy

5

lifestyle behaviours. Six participants in the Pink Women's Wellness Program were excluded from the present analysis on the basis of age, and one woman excluded because of other cancer diagnoses. The Bluebox Study was a proof-of-concept study for women at risk of, but not diagnosed with, chronic disease. The intervention was delivered to 225 Australian women aged between 40 and 65 years. Three different modes of the intervention were tested for effectiveness (again 2% were aged over 63 years and were not included in this analysis). The final study, the Women's Wellness after Cancer Program or WWACP, was designed for women who had previously received treatment for breast, gynaecological, and blood cancers (this study is ongoing). A total of 283 women, aged 34-72 years, provided the baseline data used in this analysis. Overall, 48 women outside of the defined age range or who did not provide their age were excluded from the present analysis, and 13 women not previously diagnosed with breast cancer (or had additional cancer diagnoses), were excluded from the analysis. Table 1 further outlines the procedure for deriving the final sample of 971 women included in this pooled analysis (see Table, Supplemental Digital Content 1, which details of the sample characteristics by study).

Insert table 1 about here

Measures

Quantitative data were collected using a structured questionnaire.

Outcome variables

The Greene Climacteric Scale© (GCS), was used to assess the climacteric symptoms often associated with midlife in all of the studies pooled for this analysis³⁴. This instrument is a 21-item instrument validated in a variety of population-based and clinical samples^{34, 35}. Each of the 21 items represents a specific symptom related to midlife (e.g., hot flushes, night sweats, sleep disturbance, dizziness, difficulty concentrating and sexual dysfunction).

Participants are asked to indicate the current severity of these symptoms on a four-point Likert scale: not at all (0), a little (1), quite a bit (2), extremely (3). Generally, symptoms are summed into four scales assessing self-reported vasomotor symptoms (items 19, 20), somatic symptoms (items 12-18), psychological symptoms (anxiety, items 1-6; depression, items 7-11), and sexual function (item 21)³⁴. One goal of this paper is to explore the factor structure of the 21-item Greene Climacteric Scale in women with and without a history of breast cancer to symptom clusters in these two groups.

Predictor variables

Several background socio-demographic factors and health behaviours are hypothesised to influence the frequency, intensity and temporal nature of menopausal symptoms. These include menopausal status, age, education, income, tobacco smoking, excess alcohol intake³⁶, physical activity³⁶, limited fruit and vegetable consumption³⁶, and body mass index³⁷. All of these variables were included in this analysis. In the majority of instances the pooled studies used comparable instruments to collect data, such as tobacco smoking, physical activity, and body mass index.

Overall, the instruments used to collect data on socio-demographic characteristics (according to the standards established by the Australian Bureau of Statistics [ABS]) were comparable, although in some instances variables were collapsed because of small cell sizes. Age was a continuous variable, while all others were categorical. Education was assessed by asking participants to indicate their highest level of education: "year 10 or less/junior school", "year 12/senior school", "technical certificate/diploma", "university/college degree". The detail in relation to gross household income varied between studies; hence the variable was collapsed to form the following ABS categories: less than \$20,000 AUD; \$20,001 - \$40,000 AUD; \$40,001 - \$60,000 AUD; \$60,001 - \$80,000 AUD; more than \$80,001 AUD; unsure.

7

Menopausal status was classified according to the Stages of Reproductive Aging Workshop (STRAW)³⁸ algorithm for menstrual cycle. Women were classified as premenopausal if they had a menstrual period in the past 3 months and there were no changes to the predictability of their menstrual cycle in the past 12 months; perimenopausal if they reported a menstrual period in the past 12 months but not in the past 3 months or if their menstrual cycle was less predictable in the past 12 months; postmenopausal if they had not had a period in the last 12 months; surgical if the reported having had a hysterectomy/oophorectomy, and; treatment-induced if they were pre-menopausal before cancer treatment but reported subsequent cessation of their menstrual periods and had received adjunct chemotherapy and/or antihormone drugs¹.

All of the pooled studies used comparable instruments to collect self-report data on a range of health behaviours³⁶. Smoking was assessed by examining current smoking status (non-smoker, past smoker, current smoker - regular, current smoker - causal); quantity (number of cigarettes per week); and frequency (years). Alcohol consumption examined the amount (number of standard drinks) and frequency (number of days where alcohol was consumed). Fruit and vegetable consumption was assessed using several indicators (see Table, Supplemental Digital Content 2, which details the items used to collect fruit and vegetable data and Figure, Supplemental Digital Content 3, which outlines the harmonisation procedure). This variable was collapsed to the frequency of consuming at least five portions of fruit and/or vegetables a day over an average week.

8

¹ Though causality cannot be determined and menopausal transition might have occurred naturally during the 0-24 months between completing active treatment and participation in the study (as per the eligibility criteria), adjunct cancer therapies received at this time can exacerbate menopausal symtpoms¹¹⁻¹³.

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated from self-reported height and weight using the standard formula³⁷. BMI scores < 18.5 kg/m2 equal underweight, 18.5-24.9 kg/m2 equal normal weight, 25.0-29.9 kg/m2, and scores \geq 30 kg/m2 are classified as obese. Physical activity was assessed in relation to the frequency of exercise in the past month³⁶. Participants were asked to report the number of times they had exercised for at least 30 minutes at a time at a 'somewhat hard' exertion level. They were deemed to be sufficiently physically active if they responded "daily" or "5-6 times weekly".

Other covariates included past diagnosis with comorbid health conditions. For the purpose of this paper, comorbidities were defined as diabetes mellitus (excluding gestational diabetes), coronary heart disease (CHD), and stroke. Comorbidity occurrence was based on self-reported affirmative responses to questions interrogating if they had ever been diagnosed by a doctor or other health professional. For women previously diagnosed with breast cancer, several questions about treatment modalities and medications were also asked. For the purpose of this analysis, these variables were dichotomized (yes/no) to indicate whether women had received adjunct antihormone/chemotherapy drugs.

Data Harmonisation

Generally, comparable measures were used across studies and therefore harmonisation was not required (for example, individual level socio-demographics, smoking status, and exercise). In instances where data harmonization was required, comparable measures were derived using individual level data (for example, alcohol use, fruit and vegetable consumption). Where variables were collected with varying detail or using different instruments, they were collapsed into their simplest form and data cleaning occurred throughout to check for missing values, implausible responses, and potential outliers. For an illustrative example see Table, Supplemental Digital Content 2, which details the items used to collect fruit and vegetable data and Figure, Supplemental Digital Content 3, which outlines the harmonisation procedure.

Statistical analysis

Data were pooled and analysed using the SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) Version 23^{39} statistical package. Descriptive statistics are expressed as counts, percentages and means (SD), while bivariate associations were analysed using χ^2 tests, t-tests, analysis of variance (ANOVA), or their non-parametric equivalent. Significance was set at α = .05.

Principal components analysis (PCA) with quartimax rotation explored potential symptom clusters in women with and without a history of breast cancer. Preliminary analysis assessed the suitability of the data before PCA was performed as two separate analyses. Preliminary principal components analyses (PCA) used a direct oblimin rotation, but because factor correlations were less than 0.32, analyses were rerun using an orthogonal rotation⁴⁰. Adequacy of the final factor (component) solutions for each analysis was determined if: (1) factor loadings \geq 0.4; (2) a simple structure was obtained (i.e., high coefficients that loaded on one factor only); (3) Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin (KMO) value \geq 0.8; and (4) significant Bartlett's Test of Sphericity (p <0.05) was obtained⁴¹⁻⁴³.

Multiple linear regression analyses were performed to assess the impact of menopausal status, socio-demographic characteristics (education, ethnicity), and lifestyle factors (BMI, smoking, alcohol, diet, exercise) on the weighted factors for each group (women with and without breast cancer). Models were adjusted for several potential confounders (e.g., age, study, and menopausal status) while all other variables were entered using a backward elimination method (Probability-of-F-to-remove>= .100). This approach was utilized to determine the relative impact of the variables on specific symptom clusters and to develop a parsimonious model of the correlates of menopausal symptom clusters in

these two groups¹⁶. Sensitivity analysis was then performed to determine the concordance of model results using stepwise linear regression.

RESULTS

The pooled analysis showed some correlations between socio-demographic characteristics (for example, age, highest educational attainment, gross household income, and employment status, p < 0.01), some health behaviours, and breast cancer diagnosis (see Table 2). For example, women previously treated for breast cancer were more likely to have exercised in the past month, but less frequently, compared to women without breast cancer (χ^2 (3) = 16.57, p < 0.01). Breast cancer survivors also reported less excess alcohol intake (χ^2 (1) = 30.49, p < 0.01) and were more likely to eat at least 5 serves of fruit and vegetables daily (χ^2 (1) = 213.93, p < 0.01).

Insert table 2 about here

Table 3 presents the severity of climacteric symptoms (GCS items) by breast cancer history. In many instances, women previously treated for breast cancer reported more climacteric symptoms and in greater severity. This was particularly evident with regard to sensory somatic and vasomotor symptoms. 64.3% of women after breast cancer reported tingling or numbness and 36.4% reported loss of sensation in hands/feet compared with a lesser proportion of women without breast cancer (32.5% and 19.1% respectively, p <0.01 for both). Moreover, 82.2% of breast cancer survivors reported being bothered by hot flushes (40.4% quite a bit and 15.0% extremely) compared to 39.1% of women without breast cancer (8.2% quite a bit and 3.9% extremely). Similar patterns were noted for night sweats and decreased sexual interest (Table 3).

Insert table 3 about here

Two separate analyses (PCA) examined climacteric symptoms clusters in women with and without breast cancer history. In both instances the final factor solutions yielded KMOs \geq 0.8 and significant Bartlett's tests (KMO = 0.81, *p* <0.01 and KMO = 0.89, *p* <0.01 for women with and without breast cancer respectively) and provided the most simple structure. Results of the PCAs are detailed in table 4.

Insert table 4 about here

Factor analysis of symptoms in women previously treated for breast cancer

A six-factor solution accounted for 60.9% of the variance characterised by prior breast cancer treatment. Factor 1 explained 17.6% of the variance and included mainly psychological symptoms such as feeling tense or nervous, attacks of panic, difficulty concentrating, tiredness or lack of energy, loss of interest, feeling unhappy or depressed, crying spells, and irritability. Factor 2 included classic vasoactive symptoms such as hot flushes, night sweats, and low libido and explained 11.0% of the variance. Factor 3 included sensory somatic symptoms: dizziness/feeling faint, pressure or tightness in head or body, headaches, and sleep disturbance (9.8% of the variance explained). Factor 4 explained 9.2% of the variance and included peripheral somatic/pain symptoms like difficulty concentrating, numbness or tingling, muscle/joint pains, and loss of sensation in hands/feet. Symptoms of nervous tension (Factor 5) accounted for 7.3% of the total variance and included rapid heartbeat, excitable, and panic attacks, while the final factor (Factor 6) included two somatic symptoms (rapid heartbeat and breathing difficulties) and explained 5.7% of the variance.

Factor analysis of symptoms in women without breast cancer history

PCA derived a five-factor solution for women not previously diagnosed with breast cancer, which accounted for 57.6% of the variance in this group. The predominant factor explained the majority (25.5%) of this variance. This factor included feeing tense or nervous, difficulty sleeping, panic attacks, difficulty concentrating, tiredness or lacking in energy, loss of interest in most things, feeling unhappy or depressed, crying spells, irritability, and headaches (psychological symptoms). Factor 2 included vasoactive symptoms, such as hot flushes and night sweats. Factor 3 included peripheral somatic/pain symptoms like numbness or tingling, muscle/joint pains, and loss of sensation in hands/feet (accounting for 9.0% and 8.9% of variance respectively). Factor 4 included sensory somatic symptoms: dizziness/feeling faint, pressure or tightness in head or body, and breathing difficulties. The final factor (Factor 5) included symptoms of nervous tension (rapid heartbeat, nervous tension, panic, and excitability) and accounted for 6.3% of the total variance.

Comparability of factor loadings across groups

The specific menopausal symptoms associated with each symptom cluster are summarized in Table 5. Five symptom clusters were found to be similar (though not identical) in women with and without a history of breast cancer. Cluster names were derived from the constellation of symptoms, i.e., psychological symptom cluster, vasoactive symptom cluster, sensory somatic symptom cluster, peripheral somatic symptom cluster, and nervous tension symptom cluster. Among the after cancer group, three symptoms cross-loaded (i.e., feeling tense or nervous, difficulty in concentrating, heart beating quickly) while in the nonbreast cancer group, one symptom cross-loaded (i.e., headaches). Also of note, was that one factor did not load in women without cancer (i.e., loss of sexual interest) while two symptoms (i.e., rapid heartbeat and breathing difficulties) formed a distinct factor seen only in women after cancer (Factor 6 is not shown in Table 5).

Insert table 5 about here

Linear regression

All models were adjusted for age, menopausal status and discrete study within the program before other variables were entered into the linear regression, using the backwards selection method.

Insert table 6 about here

For women previously treated for breast cancer, socio-demographic characteristics, modifiable lifestyle factors and cancer treatments explained only 1% of the variance in psychological symptoms and yielded no significant correlations while vasoactive symptoms were correlated with age (B = -0.03, SE = 0.15, p = 0.03) only. Decrements in sensory somatic symptoms (Factor 3) were correlated with regular physical activity (exercising 3-4 times weekly, B = -0.52, SE = 0.16, p < 0.01; exercising 5 or more times weekly, B = -0.48, SE = 0.20, p = 0.02). Menopausal status (post-menopause, B = 1.21, SE = 0.49, p = 0.01; and treatment-induced menopause, B = 1.31, SE = 0.46, p < 0.01) was associated with increments in Factor 3. Peripheral somatic symptoms were higher among women who reported comorbidities (B = 0.80, SE = 0.26, p < 0.01) and who had received chemotherapy (B = 0.77, SE = 0.16, p < 0.01), and lower among women who were employed outside of the home (B = -0.51, SE = 0.18, p < 0.01). Factor 5 (nervous tension) was positively correlated with body mass index (B = 0.04, SE = 0.01, p < 0.01), peri-menopause (B = 1.05, SE = 0.48, p < 0.01) and treatment-induced menopausal symptoms (B = 1.00, SE = 0.47, p = 0.04). Finally, general somatic symptoms (Factor 6), a factor which were unique to women after breast

cancer, removed all predictor variables during the backward elimination process, retaining only age, menopausal status, and study (none of which were significant).

Among women without a previous breast cancer diagnosis, the predictors of menopausal symptoms differed somewhat. Increments in psychological symptoms (Factor 1) were associated with inadequate fruit and vegetable consumption (B = 0.30, SE = 0.08, p < 0.01), past (B = 0.19, SE = 0.07, p < 0.01) and current (B = 0.34, SE = 0.16, p = 0.03) cigarette smoking. Age (B = -0.03, SE = 0.01, p < 0.01) and frequent physical activity (exercise 3-4 time weekly, B = -0.30, SE = 0.09, p < 0.01; exercise 5 or more times weekly, B = -0.39, SE = 0.09, p < 0.01; exercise 5 or more times weekly, B = -0.39, SE = 0.09, p < 0.01; exercise 5 or more times weekly, B = -0.39, SE = 0.09, p < 0.01; post-menopausal, B = 1.15, SE = 0.19, p < 0.01; surgical menopause, B = 1.77, SE = 0.19, p < 0.01) and being married or in a de facto relationship (B = 0.22, SE = 0.09, p = 0.01).

Peripheral somatic symptoms (Factor 3) showed positive associations with menopausal status (peri-menopausal, B = 0.54, SE = 0.19, p < 0.01; post-menopausal, B = 0.75, SE = 0.19, p < 0.01; surgical menopause, B = 1.08, SE = 0.19, p < 0.01), and comorbidities (B = 0.58, SE = 0.15, p < 0.01) and a negative association with employment (B = -0.24, SE = 0.08, p < 0.01). Sensory somatic symptoms were only significantly correlated with ethnicity (Australia/New Zealand, B = -0.32, SE = 0.09, p < 0.01) and body mass index (B = 0.02, SE = 0.01, p < 0.01), while symptoms of nervous tension (Factor 5) were decreased in those who exercised regularly (3-4 times weekly, B = -0.33, SE = 0.10, p < 0.01; 5 or more times weekly, B = -0.31, SE = 0.10, p < 0.01) and who were employed (B = -0.16, SE = 0.08, p = 0.04).

Sensitivity analysis examined the concordance of model results using stepwise linear regression. Outputs are outlined in Supplemental Digital Content 4. This analysis largely

supported model findings with two exceptions; in women without breast cancer, employment was not significantly correlated with peripheral somatic symptoms or nervous tension. Also of note, though coefficients and standard errors for age did not change between analyses, in women after breast cancer it was no longer a significant predictor of vasoactive symptoms.

DISCUSSION

This pooled analysis provided a valuable opportunity to examine the severity and clustering of menopausal symptoms in two groups of women; those with and with breast cancer. We found differences not only in the frequency and severity of climacteric symptoms between groups, but also in the symptoms clusters and their correlates.

Many previous studies in women after breast cancer have focussed on vasomotor symptoms (VMS). In this group symptoms are often more severe, and can be attributed to treatment-induced oestrogen deficiency. In this study, we found that women treated for breast cancer reported not only more severe VMS, but also a myriad of other climacteric symptoms, when compared to women without breast cancer. More specifically, many of the breast cancer survivors in this sample commonly reported frequent sleep disturbance (82.1%), fatigue (91.0 %), difficulty concentrating (75.2%), and muscular/joint pain (84.1%). These results are in stark contrast to Conde and colleagues who found similar prevalence of menopausal symptoms in women with and without breast cancer⁴⁴.

Menopausal symptom clusters showed some notable similarities across groups. Though not identical, a core set of symptoms was identified (i.e., psychological/depressive symptoms that comprised nervousness/tension, panic, difficulty concentrating, fatigue, loss of interest, feeling unhappy/depressed, and crying spells) and these were consistent with previous work^{16, 45}. Nevertheless, despite the generally similar structures across five of the six symptom clusters, it is possible that the underlying symptoms are attributable to different causes (i.e., cancer treatment or not). For example, Factor 6 was unique to women after cancer (rapid heartbeat and breathing difficulties). Rapid heartbeat (or tachycardia) is reported in more than one-third of women receiving anticancer therapy and might reflect treatment-induced cardiac injury⁴⁶. It is equally plausible, however, that these symptoms could be attributed to anaemia (a common complication of cancer treatment that impairs oxygen delivery⁴⁷), stress and anxiety, weight gain, or loss of cardiorespiratory fitness, all of which are commonly reported among cancer therapies⁴⁸.

Psychological symptom clusters accounted for the majority of variance in both groups and regression models examined the predictors of these clusters. Among women after cancer, no significant correlations were noted and the proposed model only explained around 1.0% of the variance in this factor. Previous studies of psychological symptoms in breast cancer survivors have been mixed. Some studies have suggested that 'pre-cancer' factors like socioeconomic, health, and lifestyle factors are probable risk factors for depressive symptoms^{49, 50}, while others have found that these symptoms are possibly treatment-induced^{51, 52}. In this study, we examined both 'pre-cancer' and treatment-related factors but failed to identify any potential predictors. In contrast, for women without breast cancer, psychological symptoms were associated with a number of lifestyle behaviours including past and present smoking, inadequate fruit and vegetable intake, and physical activity. There is a plethora of research outlining the benefits of exercise and other healthy lifestyle behaviours on mental health⁵³⁻⁵⁵ and our results seem to echo these findings but generally only among the non-cancer group.

Among women without breast cancer, decreased libido did not form part of the menopausal symptom clusters having failed to achieve a significant factor loadings (≥ 0.4). In contrast, among the after cancer group decreased libido clustered with vasomotor symptoms. The presence of this cluster suggests that for women in this group, symptoms are largely endocrinal, though the inherent complexity of sexual dysfunction means that these symptoms

also need to be considered within the context of broader psychosocial factors. For example, while some cancer treatments can cause changes in endocrine patterns, leading to low oestrogen circulating and contributing to atrophic vaginitis⁵⁶, concurrent changes in body image are also likely to play a significant role in sexual function. Moreover, vasomotor symptoms (VMS), which are often severe in women after cancer, are linked to altered cortical arousal and disrupted sleep architecture^{57, 58} which might adversely affect sexual desire and arousal. It is possible that the difference in the clustering of VMS in women with and without a history of breast cancer might represent different aetiological mechanisms, although further research is needed to confirm this hypothesis.

Notably for women after breast cancer, some menopausal symptom clusters like peripheral somatic symptoms and nervous tension can be plausibly explained in relation to the cancer experience rather than menopausal transition. In this group, peripheral somatic symptoms, pain, and difficulty concentrating formed one cluster (Factor 4). The concurrence of these symptoms might reflect past chemotherapy treatment, because chemotherapyinduced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN)⁵⁹ and decreased cognitive function or 'chemobrain'⁶⁰ are common side-effects of some chemotherapeutic agents. Moreover, the symptoms of nervous tension (panic, tension, rapid heartbeat, excitability) could reflect a fear of cancer recurrence (FCR) which is commonly reported in women after breast cancer⁶¹.

Several study limitations should be noted. Many of the women who contributed to this analysis were derived from convenience samples, and were enrolled in wellness trials. This might have skewed the sample towards women who are dissatisfied with aspects of their health and lifestyle and motivated to change them. Also, menopausal symptoms are commonly thought to differ by ethnicity, yet this sample was largely homogenous (82% were born in Australia/New Zealand), and variations attributable to ethnicity might have been minimised. Finally, sensitive analysis revealed discordant results for employment and Factors 3 (peripheral somatic) and 5 (nervous tension) in women without cancer and also for age and Factor 2 (vasoactive) in women after cancer. These differences might be explained in relation to low statistical power though further research is needed to confirm or refute study findings.

CONCLUSION

Despite these limitations, we were able to explore the severity and concurrence of menopausal symptoms in two groups of midlife women (i.e., those with and without a history of breast cancer). For women after cancer, multiple bothersome climacteric symptoms including, but not limited to VMS, were common. While similar structures were noted in five of the six menopausal symptom clusters, their correlates differed. It is possible that despite these similarities, clusters might reflect distinct pathophysiologies and etiologies, though further research is needed. An improved understanding of the nature and concurrence of treatment-related menopausal symptoms is needed to improve management protocols.

REFERENCES

1. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW) in association with Cancer Australia and the Australian Association of Cancer Registries. Cancer survival and prevalence in Australia: Cancers diagnosed from 1982 to 2004. Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare; 2008.

2. Cancer Research UK. CancerStats - Cancer Statistics for the UK. Available at: http://info.cancerresearchuk.org/cancerstats/.

3. Society AC. Breast cancer facts & figures 2011-2012. American Cancer Society INC. 2011;**1**(34).

4. AIHW. Breast cancer in Australia: an overview. Canberra: AIHW; 2012.

5. World Cancer Research Fund/ American Institute for Cancer Research. Continuous project report summary. Food, nutrition, physical activity, and the prevention of breast cancer. Washington, DC2010.

6. Mar Fan HG, Houede-Tchen N, Chemerynsky I, et al. Menopausal symptoms in women undergoing chemotherapy-induced and natural menopause: A prospective controlled study. Annals of Oncology. 2010;**21**(983-987).

7. Crandall C, Petersen L, Ganz PA, Greendale GA. Association of breast cancer and its therapy with menopause-related symptoms. Menopause. 2004;**11**(5):519-30.

8. Cheung YT, Ng T, Shwe M, et al. Association of proinflammatory cytokines and chemotherapy-associated cognitive impairment in breast cancer patients: a multi-centered, prospective, cohort study. Annals of Oncology. 2015.

9. Gupta P, Sturdee DW, Palin SL, Majumder K, et al. Menopausal symptoms in women treated for breast cancer: the prevalence and severity of symptoms and their perceived effects on quality of life. Climacteric. 2006;**9**(1):49-58.

10. Conde DM, Pinto-Neto AM, Cabello C, Sá DS, Costa-Paiva L, Martinez EZ. Menopause symptoms and quality of life in women aged 45 to 65 years with and without breast cancer. Menopause. 2005;**12**(4):436-43.

11. Anderson DJ, Yoshizawa T, Gollschewski S, Atogami F, Courtney M. Menopause in Australia and Japan: Effects of country of residence on menopausal status and menopausal symptoms. Climacteric. 2004;**7**:165-74.

12. Gupta P, Sturdee DW, Palin SL, et al. Menopausal symptoms in women treated for breast cancer: the prevalence and severity of symptoms and their perceived effects on quality of life. Climacteric. 2006;**9**(1):49-58.

13. Hunter MS, Grunfeld EA, Mittal S, et al. Menopausal symptoms in women with breast cancer: prevalence and treatment preferences. Psycho-oncology. 2004;**13**(11):769-78.

14. Sievert LL, Obermeyer CM. Symptom clusters at midlife: a four-country comparison of checklist and qualitative responses. Menopause. 2012;**19**(2):133-44.

15. Cray LA, Woods NF, Mitchell ES. Identifying symptom clusters during the menopausal transition: observations from the Seattle Midlife Women's Health Study. Climacteric. 2013;**16**(5):539-49.

16. Greenblum CA, Rowe MA, Neff DF, Greenblum JS. Midlife women: symptoms associated with menopausal transition and early postmenopause and quality of life. Menopause. 2013;**20**(1):22-7.

17. Dodd MJ, Cho MH, Cooper BA, Miaskowski C. The effect of symptom clusters on functional status and quality of life in women with breast cancer. European journal of oncology nursing : the official journal of European Oncology Nursing Society. 2010;**14**(2):101-10.

18. Kirkova J, Walsh D, Aktas A, Davis MP. Cancer symptom clusters: old concept but new data. The American journal of hospice & palliative care. 2010;**27**(4):282-8.

19. Duffy OK, Iversen L, Hannaford PC. The impact and management of symptoms experienced at midlife: a community-based study of women in northeast Scotland. BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology. 2012;**119**(5):554-64.

20. Marino JL, Saunders CM, Emery LI, Green H, Doherty DA, Hickey M. Nature and severity of menopausal symptoms and their impact on quality of life and sexual function in cancer survivors compared with women without a cancer history. Menopause. 2014;**21**(3):267-74.

21. Gold EB, Colvin A, Avis N, et al. Longitudinal analysis of the association between vasomotor symptoms and race/ethnicity across the menopausal transition: study of women's health across the nation. American Journal of Public Health. 2006;**96**(7):1226-35.

22. Greendale GA, Gold EB. Lifestyle factors: are they related to vasomotor symptoms and do they modify the effectiveness or side effects of hormone therapy? The American Journal of Medicine. 2005;**118**(12, Supplement 2):148-54.

23. Moilanen J, Aalto AM, Hemminki E, Aro AR, Raitanen J, Luoto R. Prevalence of menopause symptoms and their association with lifestyle among Finnish middle-aged women. Maturitas. 2010;**67**(4):368-74.

24. Daley A, MacArthur C, Stokes-Lampard H, McManus R, Wilson S, Mutrie N. Exercise participation, body mass index, and health-related quality of life in women of menopausal age. The British Journal of General Practice. 2007;**57**(535):130-5.

25. Lee Y, Kim H. Relationships between menopausal symptoms, depression, and exercise in middle-aged women: a cross-sectional survey. International journal of nursing studies. 2008;**45**(12):1816-22.

26. Anderson RL, Anderson DJ, Hurst CP. Modeling factors that influence exercise and dietary change among midlife Australian women: results from the Healthy Aging of Women Study. Maturitas. 2010;**67**(2):151-8.

27. Seib C, Anderson D, Lee K. Prevalence and correlates of sleep disturbance in postmenopausal women: the Australian Healthy Aging of Women (HOW) Study. Journal of women's health (2002). 2014;**23**(2):151-8.

28. Seib C, Lee K, Humphreys J, Anderson D. Predictors of mental health in midlife and older Australian women: A multilevel investigation. Health care for women international. 2015:1-14.

29. Anderson DJ, Seib C, McCarthy AL, et al. Facilitating lifestyle changes to manage menopausal symptoms in women with breast cancer: A randomized controlled pilot trial of The Pink Women's Wellness Program. Menopause. 2015;**22**(9):937-45.

30. Anderson D, Seib C, McGuire A, Porter-Steele J. Decreasing menopausal symptoms in women undertaking a web-based multi-modal lifestyle intervention: The Women's Wellness Program. Maturitas. 2015;**81**(1):69-75.

31. Anderson D, Mizzari K, Kain V, Webster J. The effects of a multimodal intervention trial to promote lifestyle factors associated with the prevention of cardiovascular disease in menopausal and postmenopausal Australian women. Health care for women international. 2006;**27**(3):238-53.

32. Gollschewski SE, Kitto S, Anderson DJ, Lyons-Wall P. Women's perceptions and beliefs about the use of complementary and alternative medicines during menopause. Complementary Therapies in Medicine. 2008;**16**(3):163-8.

33. Seib C, Anderson DJ, Lee K, Humphreys J. Predictors of mental health in post-menopausal women : results from the Australian healthy aging of women study. Maturitas. 2013;**76**(4):377-83.

34. Greene JG. Constructing a standard climacteric scale. Maturitas. 1998;**29**(1):25-31.

35. Greene JG. Factor Analyses of Climacteric Symptoms: Toward a Consensual Measure. Glasgow: Department of Psychological Medicine, University of Glasgow.; 1990.

36. Xu Q, Anderson D, Courtney M. A longitudinal study of the relationship between lifestyle and mental health among midlife and older women in Australia: findings from the Healthy Ageing of Women Study. Health care for women international. 2010;**31**(12):1082-96.

37. Organisation WH. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a WHO Consultation. WHO Technical Report Series 894. WHO. Geneva2000.

38. Harlow SD, Gass M, Hall JE, et al. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY of STRAW+10: Addressing the Unfinished Agenda of Staging Reproductive Aging. Climacteric : the journal of the International Menopause Society. 2012;**15**(2):105-14.

39. Corp I. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp; 2013.

40. Tabachnick B, Fidell L. Multivariate analysis of variance and covariance. Using multivariate statistics. 2007;**3**:402-7.

41. Bartlett MS. Properties of sufficiency and statistical tests. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences. 1937:268-82.

42. Kaiser HF. A second generation little jiffy. Psychometrika. [journal article]. 1970;**35**(4):401-15.

43. Snedegor G, Cochran WG. Statistical methods. Statistical methods. 1967(6th ed).

44. Conde DM, Pinto-Neto AM, Cabello C, Sa DS, Costa-Paiva L, Martinez EZ. Menopause symptoms and quality of life in women aged 45 to 65 years with and without breast cancer. Menopause. 2005;**12**(4):436-43.

45. Avis NE, Stellato R, Crawford S, et al. Is there a menopausal syndrome? Menopausal status and symptoms across racial/ethnic groups. Social science & medicine (1982). 2001;**52**(3):345-56.

46. Jones LW, Courneya KS, Mackey JR, et al. Cardiopulmonary function and age-related decline across the breast cancer survivorship continuum. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2012;**30**(20):2530-7.

47. Grotto HZW. Anaemia of cancer: an overview of mechanisms involved in its pathogenesis. Medical Oncology. [journal article]. 2008;**25**(1):12-21.

48. Lakoski SG, Jones LW, Krone RJ, Stein PK, Scott JM. Autonomic dysfunction in early breast cancer: Incidence, clinical importance, and underlying mechanisms. American Heart Journal. 2015;**170**(2):231-41.

49. Christensen S, Zachariae R, Jensen AB, et al. Prevalence and risk of depressive symptoms 3– 4 months post-surgery in a nationwide cohort study of Danish women treated for early stage breastcancer. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment. [journal article]. 2009;**113**(2):339-55.

50. Hoffman KE, McCarthy EP, Recklitis CJ, Ng AK. Psychological distress in long-term survivors of adult-onset cancer: Results from a national survey. Archives of Internal Medicine. 2009;**169**(14):1274-81.

51. Bower JE, Ganz PA, Irwin MR, Kwan L, Breen EC, Cole SW. Inflammation and behavioral symptoms after breast cancer treatment: do fatigue, depression, and sleep disturbance share a common underlying mechanism? Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2011;**29**(26):3517-22.

52. Chachaj A, Małyszczak K, Pyszel K, et al. Physical and psychological impairments of women with upper limb lymphedema following breast cancer treatment. Psycho-oncology. 2010;**19**(3):299-305.

53. Anderson D, Seib C, Rasmussen L. Can physical activity prevent physical and cognitive decline in postmenopausal women? A systematic review of the literature. Maturitas. 2014;**79**(1):14-33.

54. Brand S, Gerber M, Beck J, Hatzinger M, Pühse U, Holsboer-Trachsler E. High exercise levels are related to favorable sleep patterns and psychological functioning in adolescents: a comparison of athletes and controls. Journal of Adolescent Health. 2010;**46**(2):133-41.

55. De Moor MH, Boomsma DI, Stubbe JH, Willemsen G, de Geus EJ. Testing causality in the association between regular exercise and symptoms of anxiety and depression. Archives of general psychiatry. 2008;**65**(8):897-905.

56. Hickey M, Saunders C, Partridge A, Santoro N, Joffe H, Stearns V. Practical clinical guidelines for assessing and managing menopausal symptoms after breast cancer. Annals of Oncology. 2008;**19**(10):1669-80.

57. Ameratunga D, Goldin J, Hickey M. Sleep disturbance in menopause. Internal Medicine Journal. 2012;**42**(7):742-7.

58. Polo-Kantola P. Sleep problems in midlife and beyond. Maturitas. 2011;68(3):224-32.

59. Wolf S, Barton D, Kottschade L, Grothey A, Loprinzi C. Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: prevention and treatment strategies. European journal of cancer. 2008;**44**(11):1507-15.

60. Boykoff N, Moieni M, Subramanian SK. Confronting chemobrain: an in-depth look at survivors' reports of impact on work, social networks, and health care response. Journal of Cancer Survivorship. [journal article]. 2009;**3**(4):223-32.

61. Liu Y, Pérez M, Schootman M, Aft RL, Gillanders WE, Jeffe DB. Correlates of fear of cancer recurrence in women with ductal carcinoma in situ and early invasive breast cancer. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment. [journal article]. 2011;**130**(1):165-73.

Study	Year of baseline survey	Number of follow-up time points	Participants at baseline (n)	Response Rate ^a	Excluded by age ^c	Excluded by cancer diagnosis ^b	Participant contributing data to the analysis ^d
HOW	2006 ^e	3	564	64%	9%	6%	480
Pink	2013	1	55	81%	12%	1%	48
Bluebox	2013	1	225	90%	2%	0	221
WWACP	2015	2	283	-	17%	5%	222

Table 1. Characteristics of the pooled studies included in the Women's Wellness Research Program (WWRP, n = 1,127)

HOW, Health Aging of Women study; Pink, Pink Women's Wellness Program (Breast Cancer); Bluebox, Bluebox Proof of Concept study; WWACP, Women's Wellness after Cancer Program (Breast and Gynaecological Cancer).

^aReflects response rate from time 1 to time 2

^b Women were excluded if data were missing for breast cancer diagnosis, secondary cancer diagnosies, or among WWACP women who reported gynaecological/haematological cancer but not breast cancer

^c Women aged <40 and ≥ 64 were excluded (age range at natural menopause 45-58 years ± 5 years)

^d Overall number might vary because of missing data

^e Time 2 questionnaires was used as baseline questionnaire (completed in 2001) provided limited data on variables of interest

	Breast cancer group	Non breast cancer group
	$\frac{(n=293)^{a}}{M(SD) \cos^{2}(s)}$	$\frac{(n=678)^{a}}{M(SD) \cos^{2}(n)}$
Variables	M (SD) or % (n)	M (SD) or % (n)
Age M (SD)	52.1 (6.8)**	56.1 (5.4)
Employment status % (n)		
Employed	82.1 (239)**	60.9 (412)
Home duties	10.0 (29)	18.2 (123)
Retired	4.5 (13)	15.8 (107)
Other	3.4 (10)	5.2 (35)
Gross household income % (n)		
Less than \$20,000	1.4 (5)**	3.6 (24)
\$20,001 - \$40,000	4.2 (13)	10.1 (68)
\$40,001 - \$60,000	9.0 (29)	23.4 (158)
\$60,001 - \$80,000	15.6 (41)	16.5 (111)
more than \$80,001	56.6 (186)	45.0 (303)
unsure	13.2 (38)	1.5 (10)
Country of birth % (n)		
Australia/New Zealand	75.8 (219)**	84.4 (578)
Europe	17.3 (50)	12.0 (82)
Elsewhere	6.9 (20)	3.6 (25)
Marital status % (n)		
Married or de facto	77.9 (225)	79.7 (538)
Separated/divorced/widowed	12.5 (36)	13.8 (93)
Single (never married)	9.7 (28)	6.5 (44)
Highest educational attainment % (n)		
Year 10 or less	10.7 (31)**	35.4 (239)
Year 12	11.8 (34)	12.1 (82)
Technical certificate or diploma	22.1 (64)	17.5 (119)
University degree or higher	55.4 (160)	35.0 (242)
Menopausal status % (n)		
Pre-menopausal	2.1 (6)**	5.9 (40)
Peri-menopausal	14.8 (43)	11.7 (79)
Post-menopausal	40.5 (118)	78.5 (532)
Surgical/treatment induced	42.6 (124)	4.0 (27)
Inadequate fruit and vegetable consumption $\%$ (n) ^b	32.8 (96)**	81.1 (548)
Excess alcohol intake ^c	8.9 (26)**	24.2 (164)
Body Mass Index		
Normal (<25 kg/m2)	36.1 (101)	35.3 (234)
Overweight (25-29.9 kg/m2)	36.4 (102)	33.9 (225)
Obese (\geq 30 kg/m2)	27.5 (77)	30.8 (204)
Exercise in the past month % (n)		- (-)
None	33.7 (98)**	24.6 (166)
1-2 times weekly	32.6 (95)	28.7 (194)
3-4 times weekly	19.2 (56)	23.5 (159)

Table 2. Characteristics of women by history of breast cancer diagnosis

5 + times weekly	14.4 (42)	23.2 (157)
Smoking status % (n)		
never	65.0 (1843)	60.9 (406)
past	30.7 (87)	33.9 (226)
current	4.3 (12)	5.2 (35)
* <i>p</i> <0.05 ** <i>p</i> >0.01		

^a overall n's might differ because of missing data

^bConsumes less than 5 serves of fruit and vegetables daily

^c Consumed alcohol on ≥ 6 nights and/or ≥ 5 standard drinks were sitting in the past week

	E	Breast cancer g	group ($n = 293$	3)	No	n breast cance	er group ($n = 6$	578)	
	Not at all	A little	Quite a bit	Extremely	Not at all	A little	Quite a bit	Extremely	р
	% (n)	% (n)	% (n)	% (n)	% (n)	% (n)	% (n)	% (n)	
Heart beating quickly or strongly	68.1 (196)	25.0 (72)	5.6 (16)	1.4 (4)	69.4 (465)	26.3 (176)	4.2 (28)	0.1 (1)	.07
Feeling tense or nervous	33.6 (97)	51.6 (149)	13.1 (38)	1.7 (5)	41.5 (279)	43.8 (295)	13.2 (89)	1.5 (10)	.12
Difficulty in sleeping	17.9 (52)	43.8 (127)	27.2 (79)	11.0 (32)	29.4 (198)	41.3 (278)	22.9 (154)	6.4 (43)	<.01
Excitable	72.0 (206)	23.1 (66)	4.9 (14)	0	64.4 (429)	28.7 (191)	6.5 (43)	0.5 (3)	.10
Attacks of panic	71.5 (206)	23.6 (69)	4.1 (12)	0.3 (1)	75.1 (502)	20.1 (134)	3.9 (26)	0.9 (6)	.44
Difficulty in concentrating	24.8 (72)	51.0 (148)	19.3 (56)	4.8 (14)	42.9 (289)	45.5 (307)	10.5 (71)	1.0 (7)	<.01
Feeling tired or lacking in energy	9.0 (26)	43.1 (124)	36.8 (106)	11.1 (32)	14.9 (100)	49.6 (332)	26.8 (179)	8.7 (58)	<.01
Loss of interest in most things	54.9 (158)	36.5 (105)	6.6 (19)	2.1 (6)	62.9 (423)	27.1 (182)	7.6 (51)	2.4 (16)	.04
Feeling unhappy or depressed	45.3 (130)	45.3 (130)	7.7 (22)	1.7 (5)	52.7 (353)	36.6 (245)	9.1 (61)	1.6 (11)	.087
Crying spells	633 (183)	30.4 (88)	4.5 (13)	1.7 (5)	78.5 (527)	17.1 (115)	3.6 (24)	0.7 (5)	<.01
Irritability	33.0 (95)	51.0 (147)	14.9 (43)	1.0 (3)	41.3 (276)	44.6 (298)	11.5 (77)	2.5 (17)	.02
Feeling dizzy or faint	72.8 (209)	22.3 (64)	4.2 (12)	0.7 (2)	74.1 (498)	22.2 (149)	2.7 (18)	1.0 (7)	.62
Pressure or tightness in head/body	65.9 (189)	26.1 (75)	6.6 (19)	1.4 (4)	75.4 (507)	19.5 (131)	3.9 (26)	1.2 (8)	.02
Parts of body feel numb/tingling	35.7 (102)	45.8 (131)	13.6 (39)	4.9 (14)	67.5 (449)	25.9 (172)	5.0 (33)	1.7 (11)	<.01
Headaches	56.3 (160)	35.6 (101)	7.0 (20)	1.1 (3)	52.0 (349)	38.5 (258)	7.6 (51)	1.9 (13)	.54
Muscle and joint pains	15.9 (46)	39.4 (114)	32.5 (94)	12.1 (35)	23.7 (159)	51.0 (342)	18.9 (127)	6.4 (43)	<.01
Loss of feeling hands or feet	63.6 (182)	23.8 (68)	8.7 (25)	3.8 (11)	80.9 (539)	14.4 (96)	3.5 (23)	1.2 (8)	<.01
Breathing difficulties	76.7 (220)	19.9 (57)	2.4 (7)	1.0 (3)	82.7 (554)	13.9 (93)	2.5 (17)	0.9 (6)	.14
Hot Flushes	17.8 (51)	26.8 (77)	40.4 (116)	15.0 (43)	60.9 (408)	27.0 (181)	8.2 (55)	3.9 (26)	<.01
Sweating at night	30.8 (88)	31.8 (91)	27.3 (78)	10.1 (29)	62.4 (419)	26.4 (177)	8.0 (54)	3.1 (21)	<.001
Loss of interest in sex	20.5 (58)	35.7 (101)	25.8 (73)	18.0 (51)	25.6 (169)	32.9 (217)	25.5 (168)	15.9 (105)	.368

Table 3. Climacteric symptoms in women with and without a history of breast cancer ^a

^a n's might differ because of missing data

		W	omen after	breast cand	Women without breast cancer						
Symptoms	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3	Factor 4	Factor 5	Factor 6	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3	Factor 4	Factor 5
Heart beating quickly/strongly					0.470	0.518					0.556
Feeling tense or nervous	0.487				0.540		0.710				
Difficulty in sleeping			0.422				0.481				
Excitable					0.574						0.655
Attacks of panic	0.422				0.625		0.524				0.456
Difficulty in concentrating	0.423			0.417			0.656				
Feeling tired/lacking energy	0.504						0.741				
Loss of interest in most things	0.810						0.766				
Feeling unhappy or depressed	0.849						0.835				
Crying spells	0.684						0.590				
Irritability	0.679						0.745				
Feeling dizzy or faint			0.682							0.556	
Pressure/tightness in head/body			0.718							0.705	
Parts of body feel numb/tingling				0.776					0.739		
Headaches			0.703				0.544			0.442	
Muscle and joint pains				0.464					0.659		
Loss of feeling hands or feet				0.862					0.791		
Breathing difficulties						0.684				0.501	
Hot Flushes		0.857						0.853			
Sweating at night		0.878						0.856			
Loss of interest in sex		0.520									
Variance explained (%)	17.6	11.0	9.8	9.2	7.3	5.7	25.5	9.0	8.9	7.8	6.3

Table 4. Factor loadings for women with and without a history of breast cancer using principal components analysis with quartimax rotation	imax rotation
--	---------------

		ological		active		somatic		al somatic		toms of
		otoms ^a		otoms ^b		toms ^c		toms ^d		tension ^e
Symptoms	BCG	NBCG	BCG	NBCG	BCG	NBCG	BCG	NBCG	BCG	NBCG
Heart beating quickly or strongly									Х	Х
Feeling tense or nervous	Х	Х							Х	
Difficulty in sleeping		Х			Х					
Excitable									Х	Х
Attacks of panic	Х	Х							Х	Х
Difficulty in concentrating	Х	Х					Х			
Feeling tired or lacking in energy	Х	Х								
Loss of interest in most things	Х	Х								
Feeling unhappy or depressed	Х	Х								
Crying spells	Х	Х								
Irritability	Х	Х								
Feeling dizzy or faint					Х	Х				
Pressure or tightness in head or body					Х	Х				
Parts of body feel numb or tingling							Х	Х		
Headaches		Х			Х	Х				
Muscle and joint pains							Х	Х		
Loss of feeling hands or feet							Х	Х		
Breathing difficulties						Х				
Hot Flushes			Х	Х						
Sweating at night			Х	Х						
Loss of interest in sex			Х							

Table 5. Comparability of factor loadings for women with and without a history of breast cancer

BCG, Breast cancer group; NBCG, Non breast cancer group

^a Psychological symptoms represent Factor 1 for both groups

^b Vasoactive symptoms represent Factor 2 for both groups

MENOPAUSAL SYMPTOM CLUSTERS AND BREAST CANCER

^c Sensory somatic symptoms represent Factor 3 BCG and Factor 4 NBCG

- ^d Peripheral somatic symptoms represent Factor 4 BCG and Factor 3 NBCG
- ^e Symptoms of nervous tension represents Factor 5 for both groups

		Brea	ast cancer gr	oup (n = 293))			Non breast	cancer group	(n = 678)	
Variables	Psychol- ogical <i>B (SE)</i>	Vasoactive B (SE)	Sensory somatic B (SE)	Peripheral somatic B (SE)	Nervous tension <i>B</i> (SE)	General somatic <i>B</i> (SE)	Psychol- ogical B (SE)	Vasoactive B (SE)	Peripheral somatic B (SE)	Sensory somatic B (SE)	Nervous tension <i>B</i> (SE)
Socio-demographics	. ,		. ,			, , ,					
Age	-0.01 (0.01)	-0.03 (0.01)*	-0.02 (0.01)	0.03 (0.01)*	-0.02 (0.02)	-0.02 (0.01)	-0.03 (0.01)**	-0.01 (0.01)	-0.01 (0.01)	-0.01 (0.01)	-0.01 (0.01)
Ethnicity	. ,		. ,		. ,	. ,	. ,				. ,
ANZ										-0.32 (0.09)**	
Europe			0.36 (0.18)								
Married or de facto								0.22 (0.09)*			
Employed (Full/part-time)				-0.51 (0.18)**					-0.24 (0.08)**		-0.16 (0.08)*
Modifiable lifestyle fo	actors										
Current smoker							0.34 (0.16)*				
Past smoker		0.27 (0.14)	0.24 (0.14)				0.19 (0.07)**				
BMI					0.04 (0.01)**					0.02 (0.01)**	
Exercise in the past n	nonth										
1-2 times weekly							-0.15 (0.08)				-0.17 (0.09)
3-4 times weekly			-0.52 (0.16)**	-0.26 (0.16)			-0.28 (0.09)**				-0.33 (0.10)**
5 + times weekly			-0.48 (0.20)*	· · /			-0.39 (0.09)**		-0.16 (0.09)		-0.31 (0.10)**
Excess alcohol ^b	0.43 (0.25)	0.46 (0.24)	~ ~					0.16 (0.09)	`` <i>`</i>		. ,

Table 6. Linear regression models of climacteric symptoms in women with and without a history of breast cancer using backward elimination ^a

MENOPAUSAL SYMPTOM CLUSTERS AND BREAST CANCER

Inadequate diet ^c							0.30 (0.08)**		0.15 (0.09)		
Menopausal status							(0.00)		(0.07)		
Peri-menopause	-0.13 (0.48)	0.34 (0.46)	0.92 (0.48)	0.11 (0.47)	1.05 (0.48)*	-0.62 (0.49)	-0.03 (0.16)	0.90 (0.19)**	0.54 (0.19)**	0.01 (0.17)	0.26 (0.17)
Post-menopause	0.06 (0.48)	0.93 (0.46)	1.21 (0.49)*	-0.14 (0.48)	0.95 (0.50)	-0.12 (0.49)	0.14 (0.17)	1.15 (0.19)**	0.75 (0.19)**	-0.17 (0.18)	0.09 (0.18)
Surgical or treatment-induced	-0.33 (0.46)	0.85 (0.44)	1.31 (0.47)**	0.10 (0.46)	1.00 (0.47)*	-0.39 (0.47)	-0.13 (0.16)	1.77 (0.19)**	1.08 (0.19)**	0.08 (0.17)	0.17 (0.17)
Comorbidities ^d				0.80 (0.26)**					0.58 (0.15)**		
Cancer treatments ^e											
Chemotherapy			0.31 (0.16)	0.77 (0.16)**	0.38 (0.17)*						
Adjusted R^2	0.011	0.097	0.089	0.148	0.061	0.006	0.121	0.138	0.084	0.030	0.019

 \overline{B} (SE) represents the unstandardized coefficient and standard error for the variables retained in the final model after backwards selection and adjustment for age, study, and menopausal status; ANZ, Australian or New Zealander; BMI, body mass index.

* p<0.05 ** p>0.01

^a All models adjusted for age, menopausal status, and study

^b Consumed alcohol on ≥ 6 nights and/or ≥ 5 standard drinks were sitting in the past week

^c Consumes less than 5 serves of fruit and vegetables daily

^d Comorbidities were defined as CHD, stroke, diabetes

^e Cancer treatments included in the analysis were radiation, chemotherapy, and other treatments

Supplemental Digital Content

Supplemental Digital Content 1. Table that details of the sample characteristics by study. pdf

Supplemental Digital Content 2. Table that details the items used to collect fruit and vegetable data. pdf

Supplemental Digital Content 3. Figure that outlines the harmonisation procedure. pdf

Supplemental Digital Content 4. Table that provides results of the sensitivity analysis of climacteric symptoms. pdf