GLASNIK MATEMATIČKI Vol. 42(62)(2007), 69 – 82

HOMOTOPY CHARACTERIZATION OF G-ANR'S

NATELLA ANTONYAN, SERGEY A. ANTONYAN AND ALEJANDRA SORIA-PÉREZ

Instituto Tecnológico y de Estudios Superiores de Monterrey, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México and Universidad Juárez del Estado de Durango, Mexico

Dedicated to Professor Sibe Mardešić on the occasion of his 80th birthday

ABSTRACT. Let G be a compact Lie group. We prove that if each point $x \in X$ of a G-space X admits a G_x -invariant neighborhood U which is a G_x -ANE then X is a G-ANE, where G_x stands for the stabilizer of x. This result is further applied to give two equivariant homotopy characterizations of G-ANR's. One of them sounds as follows: a metrizable G-space Y is a G-ANR iff Y is locally G-contractible and every metrizable closed G-pair (X,A) has the G-equivariant homotopy extension property with respect to Y. In the same terms we also characterize G-ANR subsets of a given G-ANR space.

1. Introduction

This paper is devoted to homotopy characterization of equivariant absolute neighborhood retracts or G-ANR's under the assumption that the acting group G is compact Lie. The non-equivariant analogs of the results presented here are well known (see Borsuk [6], Hu [10] and van Mill [12]).

It was proved in Jaworowski [11] that a finite-dimensional metrizable G-space is a G-ANR iff it is locally G-contractible. Local G-contractibility alone is not sufficient to characterize the G-ANR's of arbitrary dimension even if G is the trivial group (see [6, Chapter V, §11] for a counterexample). It turns out (see Theorem 5.3(b)) that local G-contractibility together with the

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 54C55, 55P91.

Key words and phrases. G-ANR, G-homotopy, G-homotopy extension theorem, slice. The authors were supported by the grants C02-42563 from CONACYT (México) and IN-105803 from PAPIIT (UNAM).

G-homotopy extension property (short: G-HEP) characterizes the G-ANR's among metrizable G-spaces of abitrary dimension. We prove in Theorem 5.1 a "controlled" equivariant version of Borsuk's homotopy extension theorem. In Section 4 we define the property $\mathcal{P}(G, \mathcal{V})$ - a stronger property than the G-HEP, which alone characterizes the G-ANR's among all metrizable G-spaces (Theorem 4.4). We should mention here that all these characterizations are based on the following local characterization of G-ANE's obtained in Theorem 3.2: a G-space X is a G-ANE if and only if each point $x \in X$ admits a G_x -invariant neighborhood U which is a G_x -ANE, where G_x stands for the stabilizer of x. In last Theorem 5.4 we prove that a closed invariant subset G of a G-ANR space G is a G-ANR iff the pair G-ANR satisfies the G-HEP with respect to any G-space.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper the letter "G" will always denote a compact Lie group (though some of the results presented here are valid also in the case of an arbitrary compact acting group G).

"A space" will mean a completely regular Hausdorff topological space.

The monographs [7, 13] are our main references for the basic notions of the theory of transformation groups. For the equivariant theory of retracts the reader can see, for instance, [1, 2, 4].

For the convenience of the reader we recall, however, some more special definitions and facts below.

By an action of the group G on a space X we mean a continuous map $(g,x)\mapsto gx$ of the product $G\times X$ into X such that (gh)x=g(hx) and ex=x whenever $x\in X,\ g,\ h\in G$ and e is the unity of G. A space X together with a fixed action of the group G is called a G-space.

By a normed linear G-space we shall mean a real normed linear space L on which G acts by means of linear isometries, i.e., $g(\lambda x + \mu y) = \lambda(gx) + \mu(gy)$ and $\|gx\| = \|x\|$ for all $g \in G$, $x, y \in L$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$.

A continuous map $f: X \to Y$ of G-spaces is called an equivariant map or, for short, a G-map, if f(gx) = gf(x) for every $x \in X$ and $g \in G$. If G acts trivially on Y then we use the term "invariant map" instead of "equivariant map". By a G-embedding we shall mean a topological embedding $X \hookrightarrow Y$ which is a G-map.

Let X be a G-space. For any $x \in X$, we denote by G_x the stabilizer of x defined by $G_x = \{g \in G \mid gx = x\}$. A G-fixed point is a point $x \in X$ with $G_x = G$.

For a subset $S \subset X$ and for a subgroup $H \subset G$, the H-hull (or H-saturation) of S is defined as follows: $H(S) = \{hs \mid h \in H, s \in S\}$. If S is the one point set $\{x\}$, then the H-hull H(S) is usually denoted by H(x) and called the H-orbit of x. The set X/H of all H-orbits endowed with the quotient

topology is called the H-orbit space. A subset $A \subset X$ is called H-invariant, or simply, an H-subset if it coincides with its H-hull, i.e., A = H(A). We shall often use the term "invariant subset" for a "G-invariant subset".

A subset $S \subset X$ is called an H-slice in X, if: (1) S is H-invariant, (2) the G-hull G(S) is open in X, (3) if $g \in G \setminus H$, then $gS \cap S = \emptyset$, (4) S is closed in G(S).

If, in addition, G(S) = X, then S is called a global H-slice of X.

For each H-slice S, the G-hull G(S) is G-homeomorphic to the twisted product $G \times_H S$ (see [7, Chapter II, Theorem 4.2]); we will use this fact in what follows without a specific reference.

Recall that, for an H-space Y, the twisted product $G \times_H Y$ is defined to be the H-orbit space of the H-space $G \times Y$, where H acts on $G \times Y$ by $h(g,y) = (gh^{-1},hy)$. Furthermore, there is a natural action of G on $G \times_H Y$ given by g'[g,y] = [g'g,y], where [g,y] denotes the H-orbit of $(g,y) \in G \times Y$ and $g' \in G$. We shall identify Y, as an H-space, with the H-invariant subset $\{[e,y] \mid y \in Y\}$ of $G \times_H Y$.

The following result plays a central rule in the theory of topological transformation groups (see [7, Chapter II, Theorem 5.4]):

THEOREM 2.1 (Slice theorem). Let X be a G-space, $x \in X$ and U a neighborhood of x. Then there exists a G_x -slice $S_x \subset X$ such that $x \in S_x \subset U$.

A G-space Y is called an absolute neighborhood G-extensor (notation: $Y \in G$ -ANE) if, for any closed invariant subset A of a metrizable G-space X and any G-map $f: A \to Y$, there exist an invariant neighborhood U of A in X and a G-map $\psi \colon U \to Y$ that extends f. If, in addition, one can always take U = X, then we say that Y is an absolute G-extensor (notation: $Y \in G$ -AE). The map ψ is called a G-extension of f.

A metrizable G-space Y is called an absolute neighborhood G-retract (notation: $Y \in G$ -ANR), provided that for any closed G-embedding of Y in a metrizable G-space X, there exists a G-retraction $r \colon U \to Y$, where U is an invariant neighborhood of Y in X. If, in addition, one can always take U = X, then we say that Y is an absolute G-retract (notation: $Y \in G$ -AR).

It is known [2] that a metrizable G-space is a G-ANR (resp., a G-AR) iff it is a G-ANE (resp., a G-AE); we shall often use this fact throughout the paper without an additional reference.

As usual, the letter I will stand for the closed interval [0, 1].

Let X and Y be G-spaces. A homotopy $F_t \colon X \to Y$, $t \in I$, is called a G-homotopy, if $F_t(gx) = gF_t(x)$ for every $x \in X$, $g \in G$ and $t \in I$. Two G-maps $f, \varphi \colon X \to Y$ are G-homotopic, if there exists a G-homotopy $F_t \colon X \to Y$ such that $F_0 = f$ and $F_1 = \varphi$.

Let γ be an open covering of the G-space Y. Then a G-homotopy $F_t: X \to Y, t \in I$, is said to be *limited by* γ , or simply, a γ -G-homotopy provided

for any $x \in X$, there exists $\Gamma \in \gamma$ such that $F_t(x) \in \Gamma$ for all $t \in I$. In such a case F_0 and F_1 are called γ -G-homotopic G-maps.

A G-subset A of a G-space X is called G-contractible in X if the identity inclusion $A \hookrightarrow X$ is G-homotopic to a constant map $A \to \{x_0\}$, where $x_0 \in X$ is a G-fixed point. Respectively, X is called locally G-contractible at the point $x \in X$ if for every G_x -invariant neighborhood U of X there exists a G_x -invariant neighborhood U of U is U-contractible in U-contractible in U-contractible at each point U-contractible at each point U-contractible in U-contractible in U-contractible at each point U-contractible in U-contractible in U-contractible in U-contractible at each point U-contractible in U-contracti

In the sequel we will need the following known results:

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let K be a closed subgroup of G, and S a K-space. Then S is a neighborhood K-retract of the twisted product $G \times_K S$.

PROOF. See [5, Proposition 4.1].

PROPOSITION 2.3. If a G-space Y is the union of a family of invariant open G-ANE subsets $Y_{\mu} \subset Y$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$, then Y is a G-ANE as well.

PROOF. See [4, Corollary 5.7].

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let K be a closed subgroup of G, and S a K-space. Then every K-map $f: S \to Y$ in a G-space Y induces a G-map $f': G \times_K S \to Y$ according to the formula: f'([g,s]) = gf(s) for any $[g,s] \in G \times_K S$.

PROOF. See [8, Chapter I, Proposition 4.3].

PROPOSITION 2.5. Let K be a closed subgroup of G, and S a global K-slice of the G-space X. If S is a K-ANE then X is a G-ANE.

PROOF. See [13, Corollary 1.7.16].

3. Local G-ANE's

Definition 3.1. A G-space X is called a local G-ANE if each point $x \in X$ admits a G_x -invariant neighborhood U which is a G_x -ANE.

The following local characterization of G-ANE's plays a fundamental role in the paper:

Theorem 3.2. A G-space X is a G-ANE if and only if X is a local G-ANE.

PROOF. If X is a G-ANE then X is also an H-ANE for any closed subgroup $H \subset G$ (see [14, Corollary 4.5]). In particular, X is a G_x -ANE for any $x \in X$.

Now assume that X is a local G-ANE. For any $x \in X$, let U be a G_x -invariant neighborhood of x which is a G_x -ANE. By Theorem 2.1, one can choose a G_x -slice S_x such that $x \in S_x \subset U$. Since the G-hull $G(S_x)$ is G-homeomorphic to the twisted product $G \times_{G_x} S_x$, by Proposition 2.2, S_x is

a G_x -retract of some G_x -invariant neighborhood W of S_x in $G(S_x)$. Since U is G_x -invariant, without loss of generality one can assume that $W \subset U$. Then, being a G_x -invariant open subset of the G_x -ANE space U, the set W is itself a G_x -ANE. This yields that S_x is a G_x -ANE too. Next, by virtue of Proposition 2.5, the G-hull $G(S_x)$ is a G-ANE.

Now, since X is the union of its open invariant G-ANE subsets $G(S_x)$, $x \in X$, then it follows from Proposition 2.3 that X is a G-ANE.

4. Homotopy characterization of G-ANR's

Recall that a covering \mathcal{U} of a G-space Y is called a G-covering if $gU \in \mathcal{U}$ for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $g \in G$. Two continuous maps $f, \varphi : X \to Y$ are called \mathcal{U} -near, if for every $x \in X$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\{f(x), \varphi(x)\} \subset U$.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let Y be a G-space and let U and V be open G-coverings of Y such that V is a refinement of U. We say that Y satisfies the property $\mathcal{P}(G,\mathcal{U},\mathcal{V})$ if for any two V-near G-maps $f,\varphi:X\to Y$ defined on a metrizable G-space X and any V-G-homotopy $j_t:A\to Y$, $t\in I$, defined on a closed G-subset A of X with $j_0=f|_A$ and $j_1=\varphi|_A$, there exists a U-G-homotopy $J_t:X\to Y$, $t\in I$, with $J_0=f$, $J_1=\varphi$ and $J_t|_A=j_t$ for every $t\in I$.

If $\mathcal{U} = \{Y\}$ is the one element covering, then we shall write $\mathcal{P}(G, \mathcal{V})$ instead of $\mathcal{P}(G, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$

Theorem 4.2. If Y is a G-ANR and U a given open G-covering of Y, then there exists an open G-covering V of Y which is a refinement of U such that Y satisfies the property $\mathcal{P}(G,\mathcal{U},\mathcal{V})$ from Definition 4.1.

PROOF. By [2, Corollary 5], we can assume that Y is an invariant closed subset of a normed linear G-space L. Since Y is a G-ANR, there exists an invariant neighborhood M of Y in L and an equivariant retraction $r: M \to Y$. Consider the open covering $r^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) = \{r^{-1}(U) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ of M. Let \mathcal{W} consist of all open balls of L each of which is contained in an element of $r^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$. Clearly, \mathcal{W} is an open G-covering of M which refines $r^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$. Put $\mathcal{V} = \{W \cap Y \mid W \in \mathcal{W}\}$. We claim that \mathcal{V} is the required G-covering of Y.

Indeed, let X be a metrizable G-space and A a closed G-subset of X. Assume further that $f, \varphi : X \to Y$ are any two \mathcal{V} -near G-maps defined on X and $j_t : A \to Y$, $t \in I$, is a given \mathcal{V} -G-homotopy defined on A with $j_0 = f|_A$ and $j_1 = \varphi|_A$.

We construct a W-G-homotopy $\psi_t: X \to M, t \in I$, by putting

$$\psi_t(x) = (1 - t)f(x) + t\varphi(x)$$

for every $x \in X$ and every $t \in I$.

Consider the closed G-subset

$$T = (X \times \{0\}) \cup (A \times I) \cup (X \times \{1\})$$

of the topological product $P = X \times I$ endowed with the G-action: g(x,t) = (gx,t). Define a G-map $\Phi: T \times I \to Y$ by the rule:

$$\Phi(x,t) = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{if } x \in X \text{ and } t = 0\\ j_t(x), & \text{if } x \in A \text{ and } t \in I\\ \varphi(x), & \text{if } x \in X \text{ and } t = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Since Y is a G-ANR, it follows that Φ has a G-extension $\Psi: N \to Y$ over a G-neighborhood N of T in P.

By means of compactness of the unit interval I, one can easily prove the existence of an open neighborhood C' of A in X, such that $C' \times I$ is contained in N and that the homotopy $\xi'_t : C' \to Y$, $t \in I$, defined by

$$\xi'_t(x) = \Psi(x,t), \quad x \in C', \ t \in I$$

is a \mathcal{V} -homotopy. Since G is compact, one can choose an invariant neighborhood C of A in X such that $C \subset C'$. Then the restriction $\xi_t = \xi_t'|_C$, $t \in I$, is a \mathcal{V} -G-homotopy.

Further, choose an open invariant set B in X such that

$$A \subset B \subset \overline{B} \subset C$$
.

Then, by the equivariant Urysohn lemma, there exists an invariant map $s:X\to I$ such that

$$s(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in X \setminus B \\ 1, & \text{if } x \in A. \end{cases}$$

Define a G-homotopy $\theta_t: X \to M, t \in I$, by the rule:

$$\theta_t(x) = \begin{cases} (1 - s(x))\psi_t(x) + s(x)\xi_t(x), & \text{if } x \in C \\ \psi_t(x), & \text{if } x \in X \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

Each θ_t is a G-map since ψ_t and ξ_t are so and G acts linearly on L.

Let us prove that θ_t is a W-homotopy. For this purpose, let x be an arbitrary point of X. We will prove the existence of a $W_{\mu} \in W$ such that

$$\theta_t(x) \in W_{\mu}$$
 for every $t \in I$.

Consider two cases.

CASE I. s(x) = 0. In this case, we have $\theta_t(x) = \psi_t(x)$ for every $t \in I$. Since ψ_t is a \mathcal{W} -homotopy, there is a $W_{\mu} \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $\theta_t(x) = \psi_t(x) \in W_{\mu}$ for every $t \in I$.

CASE II. s(x) > 0. In this case, we have $x \in B \subset C$. Since ξ_t is a \mathcal{W} -homotopy, there exists a $W_{\mu} \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $\xi_t(x) \in W_{\mu}$ for every $t \in I$. In particular, W_{μ} contains both points

$$\xi_0(x) = f(x)$$
 and $\xi_1(x) = \varphi(x)$.

Since W_{μ} is a convex set, it follows that $\psi_t(x) \in W_{\mu}$ for every $t \in I$. Now, since the convex set W_{μ} contains both points $\psi_t(x)$ and $\xi_t(x)$, it must also contain $\theta_t(x)$ for every $t \in I$. Thus, we have proved that

$$\theta_t: X \to M, \quad t \in I$$

is a W-homotopy.

Finally, define a G-homotopy $J_t: X \to Y, t \in I$, by taking

$$J_t(x) = r(\theta_t(x)), \quad x \in X \text{ and } t \in I.$$

Since θ_t is a W-homotopy and W is a refinement of $r^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$, it follows that J_t is a \mathcal{U} -homotopy. On the other hand, since r is a retraction, it is easy to verify that $J_0 = f$, $J_1 = \varphi$, and $J_t|_{A} = j_t$ for every $t \in I$.

PROPOSITION 4.3. Let Y be a G-space and V an open G-covering of Y. If Y satisfies the property $\mathcal{P}(G, \mathcal{V})$ then it also satisfies the property $\mathcal{P}(K, \mathcal{V})$ for every closed subgroup $K \subset G$.

PROOF. Let X be a metrizable K-space and A a closed K-invariant subset of X. Assume that $f, \varphi: X \to Y$ are two \mathcal{V} -near K-maps and $j_t: A \to Y$, $t \in I$, is a \mathcal{V} -K-homotopy with $j_0 = f|_A$ and $j_1 = \varphi|_A$. Then the twisted product $X' = G \times_K X$ is a metrizable G-space and $A' = G \times_K A$ is a G-invariant closed subset of X'.

Now, by Proposition 2.4, the K-maps f, φ and the K-homotopy j_t induce G-maps $f', \varphi' : X' \to Y$ and a G-homotopy $j'_t : A' \to Y, t \in I$, respectively.

Let us check first that f' and φ' are \mathcal{V} -near. Indeed, f'([g,x]) = gf(x) and $\varphi'([g,x]) = g\varphi(x)$ for any $[g,x] \in G \times_K X$. Since f and φ are \mathcal{V} -near, then there exists an element $V \in \mathcal{V}$ which contains both points f(x) and $\varphi(x)$. Consequently, gf(x), $g\varphi(x) \in gV$, and since $gV \in \mathcal{V}$ (remember that \mathcal{V} is a G-covering), we conclude that the G-maps f' and φ' are \mathcal{V} -near.

Next, let us check that $j'_t:A'\to Y,\ t\in I$, is a \mathcal{V} -homotopy. Indeed, $j'_t([g,a])=gj_t(a)$ for any $[g,a]\in G\times_K A$ and $t\in I$. Since $j_t:A\to Y, t\in I$, is a \mathcal{V} -homotopy, there exists an element $W\in \mathcal{V}$ such that $j_t(a)\in W$ for all $t\in I$. Consequently, $j'_t([g,a])=gj_t(a)\in gW$ for all $t\in I$, and since $gW\in \mathcal{V}$, we infer that $j'_t:A'\to Y, t\in I$, is a \mathcal{V} -homotopy.

Now, since the G-space Y satisfies the property $\mathcal{P}(G, \mathcal{V})$, there must exist a G-homotopy $J'_t: X' \to Y$, $t \in I$, with $J'_0 = f'$, $J'_1 = \varphi'$ and $J'_t|_{A'} = j'_t$ for every $t \in I$. Evidently, the restriction $J_t = J'_t|_X: X \to Y$, $t \in I$, is a K-equivariant homotopy with $J_0 = f$, $J_1 = \varphi$ and $J_t|_A = j_t$ for every $t \in I$, as required. This completes the proof.

It turns out that in the class of all metrizable G-spaces the property $\mathcal{P}(G,\mathcal{V})$ characterizes the G-ANR's. In fact, we have the following

THEOREM 4.4. A necessary and sufficient condition for a metrizable G-space Y to be a G-ANR is the existence of an open G-covering V of Y such that Y satisfies the property $\mathcal{P}(G, V)$.

PROOF. The necessity condition follows from Theorem 4.2 by taking $\mathcal{U} = \{Y\}$ – the covering consisting of a single open set Y.

To prove the sufficiency of the condition $\mathcal{P}(G, \mathcal{V})$, by virtue of Theorem 3.2, it suffices to show that Y is local G-ANE.

For, let $y \in Y$ and let $V \in \mathcal{V}$ be an element that contains y. By compactness of the group G_y , we can and do choose a G_y -invariant neighborhood S of y such that $S \subset V$. Define two G_y -maps $\phi, \psi : S \to Y$ and a G_y -homotopy $\theta_t : \{y\} \to Y$, $t \in I$, by putting

$$\begin{cases} \phi(s) = y, & \text{if } s \in S \\ \psi(s) = s, & \text{if } s \in S \\ \theta_t(y) = y, & \text{if } t \in I. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, ϕ and ψ are \mathcal{V} -near G_y -maps, and θ_t , $t \in I$, is a \mathcal{V} - G_y -homotopy. According to Proposition 4.3, Y considered as a G_y -space satisfies the condition $\mathcal{P}(G_y, \mathcal{V})$.

Now, since S is a metrizable G_y -space and $\{y\}$ is a closed G_y -subset of S, it follows from $\mathcal{P}(G_y, \mathcal{V})$ that there exists a G_y -homotopy $j_t : S \to Y$, $t \in I$, with $j_0 = \phi$, $j_1 = \psi$, and $j_t(y) = y$ for every $t \in I$.

Since the unit interval I is compact and since $j_t(y) = y \in V$ for every $t \in I$, there exists an open G_y -invariant neighborhood U of y such that $U \subset S$ and $j_t(U) \subset V$ for every $t \in I$. We will prove that U is a G_y -ANE.

To this end, let $f:A\to U$ be any G_y -map defined on a closed G_y -subspace A of a metrizable G_y -space X. Define two G_y -maps $\xi,\eta:X\to Y$ and a G_y - homotopy $J_t:A\to Y,\ t\in I$, by taking

$$\xi(x) = y = \eta(x), \quad x \in X$$

and

$$J_t(x) = \begin{cases} j_{2t}(f(x)), & \text{if } x \in A, \ 0 \le t \le \frac{1}{2} \\ j_{2-2t}(f(x)), & \text{if } x \in A, \ \frac{1}{2} \le t \le 1. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, ξ and η are \mathcal{V} -near G_y -maps and J_t is a \mathcal{V} - G_y -homotopy. Hence, by the condition $\mathcal{P}(G_y, \mathcal{V})$, there exists a G_y -homotopy $R_t: X \to Y$, $t \in I$, with $R_0 = \xi$, $R_1 = \eta$, and $R_t|_A = J_t$ for every $t \in I$.

Consider the G_y -map $r=R_{\frac{1}{2}}:X\to Y$. By the construction of r, one can clearly see that $r|_A=f$. Let $W=r^{-1}(U)$. Then, W is an open G_y -neighborhood of A in X and the restriction $r|_W:W\to U$ is a G_y -extension of f over W. This proves that U is a G_y -ANE, and hence, Y is a local G-ANE, as required.

5. Equivariant homotopy extension property

By a G-pair we shall mean a couple (X,A) where X is a metrizable G-space and A a closed G-subset of X.

A G-pair (X, A) is said to have the equivariant homotopy extension property (abbreviated: G-HEP) with respect to a G-space Y iff every partial G-homotopy

$$h_t: A \to Y, \ t \in I$$

of an arbitrary G-map $f: X \to Y$ has a G-extension

$$f_t: X \to Y, \ t \in I$$
 such that $f_0 = f$.

The G-pair (X, A) is said to have the absolute equivariant homotopy extension property (abbreviated: G-AHEP) iff it has the G-HEP with respect to every G-space Y. In this case one says also that the inclusion $A \hookrightarrow X$ is a G-cofibration (see [8, p. 96]).

An immediate consequence of the G-HEP of (X,A) with respect to Y is that the equivariant extension problem of a G-map $f:A\to Y$ over X depends only on the G-homotopy class of f. In other words, if $f,\phi:A\to Y$ are G-homotopic G-maps and if f is G-extendable over X, then so is ϕ .

Equivariant version of the well known Borsuk homotopy extension theorem states that if Y is a G-ANR, then every G-pair (X,A) has the G-HEP with respect to Y (see [1, Theorem 5]). Our next theorem establishes a "controlled" version of this result:

Theorem 5.1. Let Y be a G-ANR and $\mathcal U$ an open G-covering of Y. Assume that A is a closed G-subset of a metrizable G-space X and $j_t: A \to Y$, $t \in I$, a partial $\mathcal U$ -G-homotopy. If j_0 can be extended to a G-map $f: X \to Y$, then there exists a $\mathcal U$ -G-homotopy $J_t: X \to Y$ such that $J_0 = f$ and $J_t|_A = j_t$ for all $t \in I$.

PROOF. By the above quoted equivariant Borsuk homotopy extension theorem (see [1, Theorem 5]), there exists a G-homotopy $F_t: X \to Y$, $t \in I$ such that $F_0 = f$ and $F_t|_A = j_t$. For each $a \in A$, there exists $U_a \in \mathcal{U}$ containing $F_t(a) = j_t(a)$ for all $t \in I$. By means of compactness of the unit interval I, there exists a neighborhood W_a of a in X such that

(5.1)
$$F_t(W_a) \subset U_a$$
, for all $t \in I$.

Put $W = \bigcup_{a \in A} W_a$. Then W is a neighborhood of A in X. Due to the compactness of the acting group G, there exists a G-invariant neighborhood V of A such that $V \subset W$.

Next we choose an invariant Urysohn function $\lambda: X \to I$ such that $\lambda|_A = 1$ and $\lambda|_{X \setminus V} = 0$. Define $J_t: X \to Y$, $t \in I$, as follows:

$$J_t(x) = F_{\lambda(x)\cdot t}(x), \quad x \in X.$$

Then, clearly, $J_t(x)$ depends continuously upon the pair $(x,t) \in X \times I$, J_t is equivariant and $J_t|_A = j_t$ for all $t \in I$. In addition,

$$J_0(x) = F_0(x) = f(x)$$

for every $x \in X$, so $J_0 = f$. It remains to prove that the G-homotopy J_t , $t \in I$, is limited by \mathcal{U} . Indeed, take an arbitrary $x \in X$. If $x \in V$ then there exists $a \in A$ such that $x \in W_a$. Consequently, by (5.1), for each $t \in I$ one has:

$$J_t(x) = F_{\lambda(x) \cdot t}(x) \in U_a.$$

If $x \notin V$, then $\lambda(x) = 0$, from which it follows that

$$J_t(x) = F_0(x) = f(x), \quad t \in I.$$

Since \mathcal{U} is a covering of Y, there exists an element $U \in \mathcal{U}$ that contains f(x), and therefore, $J_t(x)$ is contained in U for all $t \in I$, as required.

PROPOSITION 5.2. Let Y be a G-space such that every G-pair has the G-HEP with respect to Y. Then for every closed subgroup $K \subset G$, every K-pair (X, A) has the K-HEP with respect to Y considered as a K-space.

PROOF. The proof is quite similar to the one of Proposition 4.3.

Local G-contractibility or G-HEP alone cannot characterize G-ANR's even in the case of the trivial acting group G. Corresponding counterexamples can be found in Borsuk [6, Chapter V, §11] and Hanner [9].

However, we have the following convenient characterization of G-ANR's:

Theorem 5.3. For a given metrizable G-space Y, the following three statements are equivalent:

- (a) Y is a G-ANR.
- (b) Y is locally G-contractible, and every G-pair (X, A) has the G-HEP with respect to Y.
- (c) Every point $y \in Y$ has a G_y -invariant neighborhood V such that any G_y -map $f: A \to V$ defined on a closed G_y -subset A of a metrizable G_y -space X has a G_y -extension $\phi: X \to Y$.

PROOF. (a) \Rightarrow (b). The *G*-HEP follows from Theorem 5.1 if we take $\mathcal{U} = \{Y\}$ – the one element covering. Let us prove that Y is locally G-contractible. According to [2, Corollary 5], one can assume that Y is a closed G-subset of a normed linear G-space Z. Since Y is a G-ANR, there must exist an open G-subset $U \subset Z$ and a G-retraction $r: U \to Y$. Now, take a point $y \in Y$ and a G_y -neighborhood W of y in Y. Since $r^{-1}(W)$ is an open subset of Z, we can choose an open ball $B(y,\varepsilon)$ centered at y and having the radius $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B(y,\varepsilon) \subset r^{-1}(W)$. Put $V = B(y,\varepsilon) \cap Y$. Since G acts on G by means of linear isometries we infer that the ball $G(y,\varepsilon)$, and hence, also G is a G-invariant set. Next we define a G-homotopy G

$$f_t(v) = r(ty + (1-t)v), \quad v \in V.$$

Clearly f_t , $t \in I$, is a G_y -contraction of V in W to the G_y -fixed point y.

- (b) \Rightarrow (c). Let y be an arbitrary point in Y. Since Y is locally G-contractible, there exists a G_y -invariant neighborhood V of y which is G_y -contractible in Y to a G_y -fixed point $z \in Y$ (in general, z may be different from y). To prove that V satisfies (c), let $f:A \to V$ be a G_y -map defined on a closed G_y -subset A of a metrizable G_y -space X. Since V is G_y -contractible to the G_y -fixed point z, it follows that f, considered as a G_y -map into Y, is G_y -homotopic to the constant G_y -map $c:A \to Y$ which carries all A into the point $z \in Y$. Now, observe that by Proposition 5.2, (X,A) satisfies the G_y -HEP with respect to Y. Therefore, since c can be G_y -extended over X, it then follows that f has a G_y -extension $\phi:X \to Y$.
- (c) \Rightarrow (a). By Theorem 3.2, it suffices to show that Y is a local G-ANE. Let $y \in Y$ be an arbitrary point and let V be a G-invariant neighborhood of y which satisfies (c). We will prove that V is an G_y -ANE. For this purpose, let $f: A \to V$ be any G_y -map defined on a closed G_y -subset A of a metrizable G_y -space X. By (c), f has a G_y -extension $\phi: X \to Y$. The inverse image $U = \phi^{-1}(V)$ is a G_y -invariant open set in X containing A, and the restriction $\phi|_U: U \to V$ is a G_y -extension of $f: A \to V$ over U.

Our last result characterizes invariant closed G-ANR subsets in a G-ANR space; more precisely, we have the following

THEOREM 5.4. Let X be a G-ANR. Then an invariant closed subset A of X is a G-ANR iff the G-pair (X,A) has the G-AHEP.

For the proof we shall need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 5.5. A G-pair (X,A) has the G-AHEP iff the invariant closed subset

$$T = (X \times \{0\}) \cup (A \times I)$$

of the G-space $P = X \times I$ is a G-retract of P.

PROOF. The "only if" part. Let $f:X\to T$ denote the G-map defined by

$$f(x) = (x, 0), x \in X.$$

Define a partial G-homotopy $h_t: A \to T, t \in I$, of f by putting

$$h_t(a) = (a, t)$$
 for $a \in A, t \in I$.

Since (X, A) has the G-AHEP and $h_0 = f|_A$, we infer that h_t has an equivariant extension $f_t : X \to T$, $t \in I$, such that $f_0 = f$. Let $r : P \to T$ denote the G-map defined by

$$r(x,t) = f_t(x), \quad x \in X, \ t \in I.$$

Then r is a G-retraction of P onto T, and hence, T is a G-retract of P.

The "if" part. Assume that T is a G-retract of P with a G-retraction $r: P \to T$. To prove the G-AHEP of (X, A), let $f: X \to Y$ be any G-map

to a G-space Y and $h_t: A \to Y$, $t \in I$, a partial G-homotopy of f. Define a G-map $H: T \to Y$ by taking

$$H(x,t) = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{if } x \in X \text{ and } t = 0\\ h_t(x), & \text{if } x \in A \text{ and } t \in I. \end{cases}$$

Then h_t has a G-extension $f_t: X \to Y, t \in I$, defined by

$$f_t(x) = H(r(x,t))$$
 for every $x \in X, t \in I$.

Clearly, $f_0 = f$, and hence, (X, A) has the G-AHEP.

Lemma 5.6. If X is a G-ANR and A is an invariant closed G-ANR subset of X, then the invariant closed subset

$$T = (X \times \{0\}) \cup (A \times I)$$

of the G-space $P = X \times I$ is a G-retract of P.

PROOF. Since $X \times \{0\}$ and $A \times I$ are invariant closed G-ANR subsets of T and their intersection $A \times \{0\}$ is also a G-ANR, it follows from [1, Theorem 4(2)] that T is a G-ANR. Hence, the identity G-map $i: T \to T$ has an equivariant extension $j: U \to T$ over an invariant neighborhood U of T in P.

Let us show that then there exists a G-retraction $r:P\to T$. Indeed, due to compactness of the interval I, one can find a neighborhood V of A in X such that $V\times I\subset U$. Because of compactness of the acting group G one can assume that V is invariant. Next, since A and $X\setminus V$ are disjoint invariant closed subsets of X, using normality of the orbit space X/G (which is in fact even metrizable), one can find an invariant function $\lambda:X\to I$ such that

$$\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in X \setminus V. \end{cases}$$

Define a G-map $r: P \to T$ by putting

$$r(x,t) = j(x,\lambda(x)t)$$

for every $x \in X$ and every $t \in I$. Then r is a G-retraction of P onto T.

PROOF OF THEOREM 5.4. The "only if" part is a simple combination of Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6.

The "if" part. Assume that the G-pair (X, A) has the G-AHEP. Then, by Lemma 5.5, T is an equivariant retract of P. Since $P = X \times I$ is a G-ANR then it follows that T is also a G-ANR.

Next, A may be identified, as a G-space, with the invariant closed subspace $A \times \{1\}$ of T. Evidently, the set

$$V = \{(a, t) \in T \mid a \in A, \ t > 0\}$$

is an invariant neighborhood of A in T. Let $s:V\to A$ denote the G-map defined by

$$s(a,t) = (a,1), \quad a \in A, \ 0 < t \le 1.$$

Since s is clearly a G-retraction of V onto A, we infer that A is a neighborhood G-retract of T. Since T is a G-ANR, then it follows that A is a G-ANR. This completes the proof.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.

During the academic year 1984/85 S. A. Antonyan enjoyed his postdoctoral stay at the University of Zagreb under the supervision of Professor S. Mardešić. He is pleased to extend warm thanks to Professor S. Mardešić for teaching him the basics and methods of Shape Theory.

References

- S. A. Antonyan, Retracts in categories of G-spaces, Izv. Akad. Nauk Armyan. SSR Ser. Mat. 15 (1980), 365–378; English translation in: Soviet J. Contemporary Math. Anal. 15 (1980), 30–43.
- [2] S. A. Antonian, Equivariant embeddings into G-ARs, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 22(42) (1987), 503-533.
- [3] S. Antonyan, E. Elfving, and A. Mata-Romero, Adjunction spaces and unions of G-ANE's, Topology Proc. 26 2001/02, 1-28.
- [4] S. A. Antonyan, Orbit spaces and unions of equivariant absolute neighborhood extensors, Topology Appl. 146/147 (2005) 289-315.
- [5] S. A. Antonyan, Orbit spaces of proper equivariant absolute extensors, Topology Appl. 153 (2005) 698-709.
- [6] K. Borsuk, Theory of Retracts, PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1967.
- [7] G. E. Bredon, Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups, Academic Press, New York-London, 1972.
- [8] T. tom Dieck, Transformation Groups, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1987.
- [9] O. Hanner, Some theorems on absolute neighborhood retracts, Ark. Mat. 1 (1951) 389-408
- [10] S. T. Hu, Theory of Retracts, Wayne State University Press, Detroit, 1965.
- [11] J. Jaworowski, Extension properties of G-maps, in: Proceedings of the International Conference on Geometric Topology (Warsaw, 1978), PWN, Warsaw, 1980, 209-213.
- [12] J. van Mill, Infinite-dimensional Topology. Prerequisites and Introduction, North Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York-Oxford-Tokyo, 1989.
- [13] R. S. Palais, The classification of G-spaces, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **36**, Providence, 1960.
- [14] J. de Vries, *Topics in the theory of topological transformation groups*, in: Topological Structures II. Math. Centre Tracts **116**, Math. Centrum, Amsterdam, 1979, 291–304.

N. Antonyan

Departamento de Matemáticas Division de Ingeniería y Arquitectura

Instituto Tecnológico y de Estudios Superiores de Monterrey

Campus Ciudad de México 14380 México Distrito Federal

México

 $E ext{-}mail:$ nantonya@itesm.mx

S. A. Antonyan

Departamento de Matemáticas Facultad de Ciencias Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

04510 México Distrito Federal

México

 $E\text{-}mail\colon \texttt{antonyan@servidor.unam.mx}$

A. Soria-Pérez

Escuela de Matemáticas

Universidad Juárez del Estado de Durango

34120 Durango, Dgo.

México

 $E\text{-}mail\text{: ale_godel@hotmail.com}$

Received: 8.9.2006. Revised: 12.12.2006.