

Dunja Prica¹, Nada Galić², Davor Želježić³, Adriana Prica⁴, Sanja Šegović²

Genotoksični potencijal dentinskih adheziva

Genotoxic Potential of Dentin Bonding Agents

¹ Hitna stomatološka pomoć, Zagreb
Urgent dental help, Zagreb

² Zavod za endodonciju i restorativnu stomatologiju Stomatološkog fakulteta Sveučilišta u Zagrebu
Department of Endodontics and Restorative Dentistry, School of Dentistry, University of Zagreb

³ Zavod za mutagenezu Instituta za medicinska istraživanja i medicinu rada, Zagreb
Mutagenic Division, Institute for Medical Research and Occupational Health, Zagreb

⁴ Hrvatski zavod za zdravstveno osiguranje, Zagreb
Croatian Institute for Health Insurance, Zagreb

Sažetak

Svrha rada bila je ispitati genotoksično djelovanje pet dentinskih adheziva: Adper Single Bonda, Adper Single Bonda 2, Prompt L-popa, Excitea i OptiBonda Solo Plus. Ispitivanje genotoksičnosti provedeno je na humanim limfocitima periferne krvi u uvjetima in vitro, ispitane koncentracije adheziva bile su 0,2, 0,5 i 5 µg/ml, a testirana vremena elucije 1 sat, 24 sata i 5 dana. Genotoksičnost adheziva ispitivala se citogenetičkom metodom - analizom strukturnih aberacija kromosoma, dakle, određivanjem ukupnog broja kromosomskih lomova, kromatidnih lomova i acentričnih fragmenata. Rezultati pokazuju genotoksičnost OptiBonda Solo Plus već u koncentraciji 0,2 µg/ml i to nakon 24-satne elucije, zatim OptiBonda Solo Plus u koncentraciji 0,5 µg/ml nakon jednosatne elucije, OptiBonda Solo Plus, Adper Single Bonda 2 i Excitea u koncentraciji 0,5 µg/ml nakon jednodnevne elucije. U koncentraciji 5 µg/ml nakon jednosatne elucije genotoksičnost su pokazali OptiBond Solo Plus, Excitea, Adper Single Bond 2 i Adper Single Bond, a nakon jednodnevne elucije svi su ispitivani adhezivi pokazali genotoksičnost. Iz rezultata je jasno da genotoksičnost raste s porastom koncentracije adheziva, a smanjuje se s vremenom. Najveća genotoksičnost zabilježena je nakon 24-satne elucije.

Zaprimljen: 7. veljače 2007.

Prihvaćen: 15. ožujka 2007.

Adresa za dopisivanje

Nada Galić
Sveučilište u Zagrebu
Stomatološki fakultet
Zavod za endodonciju i restorativnu
stomatologiju
Gundulićeva 5, 10 000 Zagreb
ngalic@sfzg.hr

Ključne riječi

mutageni; dentinski adhezivi;
kromosomske aberacije

Uvod

Današnji dentalni materijali, osim fizičko-kemijskih, moraju zadovoljiti i biološke kriterije. Zato, osobito u posljednja dva desetljeća, sve važnija postaju testiranja citotoksičnosti i genotoksičnosti dentalnih materijala.

Dentinski adhezivi, a oni su prijeko potrebni materijali u današnjoj estetskoj restorativnoj stomatologiji uglavnom zbog vezivanja kompozitnih ili keramičkih materijala za tvrda zubna tkiva, u dubokim kavitetima mogu biti u bliskom kontaktu s pulpnim tkivom. Smatralo se da bi mogli biti učinkoviti i u direktnom prekrivanju pulpe. No, iako se izravno prekrivanje pulpe dentinskim adhezivima pokazalo uspješnim

Introduction

Contemporary dental materials, aside from their physical and chemical properties, must also satisfy biological demands. Therefore, especially in the last two decades, testing for cytotoxicity and genotoxicity in dental materials has become more significant.

Dentin bonding agents, which are necessary materials in current aesthetic restorative dentistry due to their capacity to bond dental materials such as composite and ceramics to hard tooth tissue, may be in close contact with pulp tissue in deep cavities. It was considered they might be effective even as materials used for deep pulp capping. Success was shown in animal teeth – in mice and monkeys

na životinjskim zubima - mišjim i majmunskim (1, 2), na humanima nije bilo uspjeha zbog perzistirajuće upale i izostanka stvaranja dentinskog mosta (3-9), premda neki prikazi slučajeva pokazuju suprotno (10). Peta generacija dentinskih adheziva pokazala je kontrakciju glatke muskulature krvnih žila (11), ali novija studija single-bottle dentinskih adheziva pokazuje njihovo vazodilatirajuće djelovanje (12), što bi moglo još više pospješiti pulpno krvarenje.

U uvjetima *in vitro* dentinski adhezivi već su pokazali citotoksično (13, 14) i genotoksično djelovanje (15-17) na humanim stanicama. Na citotoksičnost, osim samog materijala, utječe debljina dentinskog sloja (18, 19) i njegova permeabilnost (19-21), a time i klinički predtretman dentina (21) te pulpni tlak koji povećava citotoksičnost (22). Citotoksičnost se uglavnom očituje u ranom razdoblju - prvih 24 do 48 sati (21, 23, 24), iako je posljednjih godina poznata i kasna citotoksičnost nastala zbog otpuštanja malih koncentracija tijekom dužeg vremenskog razdoblja (25-27).

Dentinski adhezivi u vodenom se mediju otapaju do određene granice i otpuštaju neke supstancije, kao što su monomeri HEMA (hidroksietil metakrilat) i TEGDMA (triethilen-glikol dimetakrilat) (23, 28, 29). Jedan od glavnih monomera u današnjim dentinskim adhezivima jest HEMA i ona može djelovati citotoksično (28-30), a remeti i normalno funkcioniranje stanica i njihovih organela (31, 32). Monomeri iz dentinskih adheziva također su pokazali genotoksično djelovanje na humanim limfocitima (33, 34), a Bis-GMA (bisfenol-A glicidil metakrilat) u visokim koncentracijama i embriotoksično/teratogeno djelovanje (35).

Svrha ove studije bila je istražiti pokazuju li dentinski adhezivi, koji se u kliničkoj praksi danas najčešće koriste, genotoksični potencijal, tj. dovode li do strukturnih aberacija (kromosomskog loma, kromatidnog loma i acentričnih fragmenata) na kromosomima humanih limfocita periferne krvi te kakva je ovisnost njihove moguće genotoksičnosti o vremenu proteklom od tretmana i o koncentraciji adheziva.

Materijali i metode

Uzorkovanje krvi

Istraživanje potencijalne genotoksičnosti dentinskih adheziva provedeno je na ljudskim limfocitima mladih, zdravih donora iz opće populacije Republike Hrvatske. Na temelju upitnika koje su popunili vidljivo je da ne postoje podaci o njihovoj izloženosti fizičkim ili kemijskim agensima 12 mjeseci prije uzorkovanja krvi, a mogli bi inducirati oštećenja genoma.

(1, 2), but not so in humans (3-9) due to persistent inflammation and failure in dentin bridging formation, although some case reports showed different results (10). Fifth generation dentin bonding agents showed smooth muscle contraction in blood vessels (11), but a recent study of "single-bottle" dentin bonding agents displayed a vaso-relaxant effect (12), which may promote pulpal bleeding.

Dentin bonding agents showed cytotoxicity (13, 14) and genotoxicity (15-17) within *in vitro* conditions when tested on human cells. It is also reported (25-27) that cytotoxicity, aside from the properties of the material itself, depends upon dentin layer thickness (18, 19), dentin permeability (19-21), and therefore clinical dentin pre-treatment (21), as well as pulpal pressure which increases cytotoxicity (22). It is mostly expressed in the early period, within the first 24-48 hours (21, 23, 24), rather than later, when cytotoxicity results from small concentrations released over prolonged periods of time.

In an aqueous medium, dentin bonding agents melt and yield substances that can be cytotoxic, such as HEMA monomers (hydroxyethyl methacrylate), and TEGDMA (triethylene-glycol dimethacrylate) (23, 28, 29). One of the main monomers in current dentin bonding agents is HEMA, which acts as a cytotoxic agent (28-30), and influences and disturbs normal human cell function and cellular organelles (31, 32). Monomers from dentin bonding agents also showed genotoxicity in human lymphocytes (33, 34), and Bis-GMA (bisphenol-A glycidyl methacrylate) in higher concentrations displayed an embriotoxic/teratogenic effect (35).

The aim of this study was to investigate whether the most frequently used dentin bonding agents have genotoxic potential and whether they cause structural aberrations (chromosome breaks, chromatid breaks and accentric fragments) on chromosomes from human peripheral blood lymphocytes, and how possible genotoxicity depends upon application time and dentin bonding agent concentration.

Materials and methods

Blood sampling

The research was performed on lymphocytes from young, healthy, non-smoking donors from the general population. According to questionnaire taken, the donors hadn't been exposed to any physical or chemical agents that might have interfered with the results of the genotoxicity testing 12 months prior to blood sampling.

Tijekom uzorkovanja svakom od donora uzeto je 40 ml krvi iz kubitalne vene u heparinizirani vacutainer (Becton Dickenson, Plymouth, Velika Britanija). Odmah nakon toga obavljeno je istraživanje djelovanja adheziva primjenom citogenetičke metode - analizom strukturnih aberacija kromosoma.

Priprava adheziva

Istraživanje je obuhvatilo pet "single-bottle" dentinskih adheziva: Adper Single Bond, Adper Single Bond 2 s nanopunilom, Prompt L-pop (svi 3M ESPE, Seefeld, Njemačka), Excite (Vivadent- Ivoclar, Schaan, Liechtenstein) i OptiBond Solo Plus (Kerr S.p.a, Salerno, Italija).

U sterilnim uvjetima jednake su količine svakog adheziva stavljene na satno stakalce i polimerizirane 40 sekundi halogenom svjetiljkom Elipar TriLight (3M ESPE, Seefeld, Njemačka) na udaljenosti od 2 mm. Nakon polimerizacije svaki je adheziv usitnjen sterilnom špatulom, izvagan (Sartorius BLG10S, Goettingen, Njemačka) i kvantitativno prenesen u penicilinsku bočicu. Eluiranje je rađeno u dimetil-sulfoksidu (Kemika d.o.o, Zagreb, Hrvatska), tako da je na 1g adheziva dodano 2 ml otapala. Testirana su tri vremena elucije – 1 sat, 24 sata i 5 dana, za svaku koncentraciju adheziva, a testirane koncentracije bile su 0,2 µg/ml, 0,5 µg/ml i 5 µg/ml. One su određene nakon što je koncentracija od 10 µg/ml u pokusnoj studiji pokazala toksičnost veću od 30%. Analizirana su po tri uzorka krvi za svaku ispitivanu koncentraciju adheziva i svako testirano vrijeme.

Analiza strukturnih aberacija kromosoma

Tijekom izrade preparata za potrebe analize kromosomskih aberacija koristila se konvencionalna metoda IAEA (36). Prije kraja svakoga elucijskog razdoblja (1 sat, 24 sata, 5 dana) uzeta je krv od donora i zatim je slijedila izrada preparata. Za to je 0,8 ml pune krvi kultivirano u 8 ml F-10 medija (Sigma, St. Louis, SAD) obogaćenog s 20% fetalnoga telećeg seruma (Sigma, St. Louis, SAD), 100 IU penicilina (Sigma, St. Louis, SAD), 100 IU streptomycina (Sigma, St. Louis, SAD) i 0,5 ml mitogenog aktivatora fitotohemaglutinina (Murex, Dartford, Velika Britanija), na 37⁰ C u trajanju od 48 sati. Istodobno s iniciranjem pojedinim je kulturama dodano 3,6 µl, 90,9 µl i 1 ml eluata ispitivanog adheziva, tako da su konačne koncentracije adheziva bile: 5 µg/ml, 0,5 µg/ml i 0,2 µg/ml. Kontrolnoj kulturi limfocita dodan je samo 1 ml dimetilsulfoksida (Kemika d.o.o, Zagreb, Hrvatska).

Nakon 45 sati od početka kultivacije svakoj je kulturi dodana otopina kolhicina (Sigma, St. Louis,

From each donor 40 ml of blood was drawn by antecubital venipuncture into heparinized vacutainers (Becton Dickenson, Plymouth, UK). The research began immediately after using this the Chromosomal aberration analysis cytogenetic method.

Dentin bonding agent preparation

The study comprised genotoxicity testing of the following five single-bottle adhesives: Adper Single Bond, Adper Single Bond 2 with nanofiller, Prompt L-pop (all 3M ESPE, Seefeld, Germany), Excite (Vivadent-Ivoclar, Schaan, Liechtenstein) and OptiBond Solo Plus (Kerr S.p.a, Salerno, Italy).

In aseptic conditions a sample of each dentin-bonding agent was polymerized in agate mortar for 40 seconds using a halogen source (Elipar, 3M ESPE; Seefeld, Germany) from a 2 mm distance. They were rubbed and chopped up using the agate pastille, weighted (Sartorius BLG10S, Goettingen, Germany) and eluted in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)(Kemika, Zagreb, Croatia): 1g / 2 ml. Each adhesive was eluted respectively, for 1 h, 24 hrs and 5 days. Adhesive elutions were tested at final concentrations 0.2, 0.5 and 5 µg/ml. Concentrations used in the study were determined after the concentration of 10 µg/ml demonstrated cytotoxicity higher than 30% in the pilot study. The three specimens for each concentration and each time tested were analysed.

Chromosomal aberration analysis

Slide preparation was done by the conventional IAEA method (36). Just before the end of each elution time (1 h, 24 hrs, 5 days) blood samples were taken and cell cultures started. The 0.8 ml of whole blood was cultivated in an F10 medium (Sigma, St. Louis, USA) supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum (Sigma, St. Louis, USA), 100 IU of penicillin (Sigma, St. Louis, USA), 100 IU of streptomycin (Sigma, St. Louis, USA) and 10 µg/ml of the mitotic activator phytohemagglutinin (Murex, Dartford, UK), at 37°C for 48 hours. Simultaneously with the culture initiation, volumes of 3.6 µl, 90.9 µl and 1 ml elution of each adhesive were added to get the final concentrations of 0.2, 0.5 and 5 µg/ml. Control cultures were treated with the same volume of DMSO.

Three hours prior to harvesting 0.2 µg/ml of colchicin (Sigma, St. Louis, USA) was added. The cultivation was followed by hypotonic treatment with 0.075M KCl (Kemika, Zagreb, Croatia), fixa-

SAD) u konačnoj koncentraciji od 0,2 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. Zatim se dodavala hipotonična otopina KCl (Kemika d.o.o, Zagreb) koncentracije 0,075 mol/dm³, fiksacija u ohlađenoj (4°C) otopini fiksira, koju čine otopina metanola (Kemika d.o.o, Zagreb, Hrvatska) i ledene octene kiseline (Kemika d.o.o, Zagreb, Hrvatska) u omjeru 3:1 te sušenje preparata na sobnoj temperaturi i bojenje 5%-tnom otopinom Giemsa (Sigma, St. Louis, SAD), sve prema IAEA-i (31).

Preparati su analizirani svjetlosnim mikroskopom (Olympus Optical Co, Europa, GMBH, Hamburg, Njemačka) pod ukupnim povećanjem od 1000 puta. Određivao se ukupan broj aberacija, dakle, broj kromosomskih lomova, kromatidnih lomova i acentričnih fragmenata na svih 46 kromosoma u 500 metafaznih limfocita za svaku koncentraciju adheziva i svako testirano vrijeme. Analizirana su po tri uzorka krvi za svaku koncentraciju i svako vrijeme elucije.

Statistička obrada

Statistička znatnost rezultata testa kromosomskih aberacija između tretiranih i kontrolnih limfocita obavljena je uporabom χ^2 i Fisherova testa. Vjerojatnost je postavljena na 0,05.

Rezultati

Pri koncentraciji 0,2 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ jedino je OptiBond Solo Plus doveo do statistički znatnog povećanja broja strukturnih aberacija kromosoma i to nakon 24-satne elucije (Tablica 1.).

U koncentraciji 0,5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ dentinski adhezivi pokazali su nešto veća odstupanja broja izazvanih kromosomskih aberacija od broja aberacija prouzročenih kontrolom, a statistički znatno povećanje pronađeno je samo za OptiBond Solo Plus nakon jednosatne elucije te za OptiBond Solo Plus, Adper Single Bond 2 i Excite nakon jednodnevne elucije (Tablica 2.).

Najviša koncentracija adheziva - 5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ prouzročila je i najviše strukturnih aberacija u kulturi humanih limfocita periferne krvi i najveća odstupanja broja aberacija izazvanih dentinskim adhezivima od broja aberacija prouzročenih kontrolnom otopinom. U tom su slučaju statistički znatno povećanje broja aberacija u odnosu prema kontrolnoj vrijednosti pokazali OptiBond Solo Plus, Excite, Adper Single Bond 2 i Adper Single Bond nakon jednosatne elucije. Nakon jednodnevne elucije svi testirani dentinski adhezivi pokazali su statistički znatno povećanje broja aberacija u odnosu prema kontroli, i to najviše OptiBond Solo Plus i Excite, zatim

tion with 3:1 methanol-glacial acetic acid, air-drying and staining with 5% Giemsa (Sigma, St. Louis, USA), according to the IAEA (28).

Preparations were analysed with a light microscope (Olympus Optical Co, Europa, GMBH, Hamburg, Germany), with 1000x magnification. The complete number of aberrations, as well as the number of chromosome breaks, chromatide breaks and acentric fragments, on all 46 chromosomes in 500 metaphase lymphocytes was determined, for each concentration and elution time tested. The three specimens were analysed for each concentration and each time tested.

Statistical analysis

The differences in the number of specific chromosomal aberrations between the treated and control lymphocytes were evaluated using χ^2 and Fisher's PLSD test. The level of significance was set at 0.05.

Results

In the concentration of 0.2 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ only OptiBond Solo Plus showed a statistically significant increase in the number of aberrations after a 24 hour elution period (Table 1).

The concentration of 0.5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ showed a slightly higher difference in number of aberrations caused by adhesives than in the control, and statistical significance was found only for the OptiBond Solo Plus after a 1 hour elution period and for the OptiBond Solo Plus, Adper Single Bond 2 and Excite after a 1 day elution period (Table 2).

The highest concentration of dentin bonding agents -5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ caused the most aberrations in peripheral blood lymphocytes and the highest difference between the number of aberrations caused by adhesives and that caused by the control. Here, OptiBond Solo Plus, Excite, Adper Single Bond 2 and Adper Single Bond showed statistically significant increase in number of aberrations after 1 hour elution period with respect to control. After a 1 day elution period all tested dentin bonding agents showed a statistically significant increase in the number of aberrations with respect to the control, and these were: OptiBond Solo Plus and Excite - the most, then Adper Single Bond 2 and

Tablica 1. Broj strukturnih aberacija kromosoma u 500 limfocita tretiranih eluatom adheziva koncentracije 0,2 µg/ml
Table 1 The number of the structural chromosome aberrations in 500 lymphocytes treated with dentin bonding agent elution in concentration 0.2 µg/ml

Vrijeme elucije • Elution time	Broj uzoraka • Number of specimens	Broj kromosomskih aberacija (medijan) • Number of chromosome aberrations (mean)			SD	min	max
		Kontrola • Control	Adheziv • Dentin bonding agent, c = 0.2 µg/ml				
1 sat • 1 hour	3	1	AdSB	0	0,5774	-0,5774	0,5774
		3	AdSB2	4	1,7321	2,2679	5,7321
		3	Pl-p	1	0,5774	0,4226	1,5774
		1	E	4	1,1547	2,8453	5,1547
		1	OBSP	3	1,1547	1,8453	4,1547
24 sata • 24 hours	3	1	AdSB	2	0,5774	1,4226	2,5774
		2	AdSB2	7	1,7321	5,2679	8,7321
		2	Pl-p	1	0	1	1
		0	E	4	1,1547	2,8453	5,1547
5 dana • 5 days	3	2	OBSP	8*	2	6	10
		1	AdSB	1	0,5774	0,4226	1,5774
		3	AdSB2	4	0,5774	3,4226	4,5774
		3	Pl-p	2	1	1	3
		1	E	3	1	2	4
2	OBSP	4	0,5774	3,4226	4,5774		

* Statistički znatno u odnosu prema kontroli (P < 0,05) • Statistically significant related to control (P < 0.05)

Legenda • Legend:

AdSB = Adper Single Bond

AdSB2 = Adper Single Bond 2

Pl-p = Prompt l-pop

E = Excite

OBSP = Opti Bond Solo Plus

c = koncentracija • concentration

SD = standardna devijacija • standard deviation

Tablica 2. Broj strukturnih aberacija kromosoma u 500 limfocita tretiranih eluatom adheziva koncentracije 0,5 µg/ml
Table 2 The number of the structural chromosome aberrations in 500 lymphocytes treated with dentin bonding agent elution in concentration 0.5 µg/ml

Vrijeme elucije • Elution time	Broj uzoraka • Number of specimens	Broj kromosomskih aberacija (medijan) • Number of chromosome aberrations (mean)			SD	min	max
		Kontrola • Control	Adheziv • Dentin bonding agent, c = 0.5 µg/ml				
1 sat • 1 hour	3	1	AdSB	2	0	2	2
		3	AdSB2	6	1,5275	4,4725	7,5275
		3	Pl-p	3	1,1547	1,8453	4,1547
		1	E	4	2,6458	1,3542	6,6458
		1	OBSP	8*	1,1547	6,8453	9,1547
24 sata • 24 hours	3	1	AdSB	5	0,5774	4,4226	5,5774
		2	AdSB2	11*	2,6458	8,3542	13,6458
		2	Pl-p	5	1	4	6
		0	E	9*	1	8	10
5 dana • 5 days	3	2	OBSP	12*	4,5826	7,4174	16,5826
		1	AdSB	2	0,5774	1,4226	2,5774
		3	AdSB2	2	1	1	3
		3	Pl-p	1	1,5276	-0,5276	2,5276
		1	E	2	0	2	2
2	OBSP	4	1,1547	2,8453	5,1547		

* Statistički znatno u odnosu prema kontroli (P < 0,05) • Statistically significant related to control (P < 0.05)

Legenda • Legend:

AdSB = Adper Single Bond

AdSB2 = Adper Single Bond 2

Pl-p = Prompt l-pop

E = Excite

OBSP = Opti Bond Solo Plus

c = koncentracija • concentration

SD = standardna devijacija • standard deviation

Tablica 3. Broj strukturnih aberacija kromosoma u 500 limfocita tretiranih eluatom adheziva koncentracije 5 µg/ml
Table 3 The number of the structural chromosome aberrations in 500 lymphocytes treated with dentin bonding agent elution in concentration 5 µg/ml

Vrijeme elucije • Elution time	Broj uzoraka • Number of specimens	Broj kromosomski aberacija (medijan) • Number of chromosome aberrations (mean)			SD	min	max
		Kontrola • Control	Adheziv • Dentin bonding agent, c = 5 µg/ml				
1 sat • 1 hour	3	1	AdSB	7*	1,1547	5,8453	8,1547
		3	AdSB2	9*	1,1547	7,8453	10,1547
		3	Pl-p	7	1,1547	5,8453	8,1547
		1	E	12*	1	11	13
		1	OBSP	14*	1,7321	12,2679	15,7321
24 sata • 24 hours	3	1	AdSB	12*	3	9	15
		2	AdSB2	16*	0	16	16
		2	Pl-p	12*	0,5774	11,4226	12,5774
		0	E	19*	0,5774	18,4226	19,5774
		2	OBSP	19*	4,3589	14,6411	23,3589
5 dana • 5 days	3	1	AdSB	2	1,1547	0,8453	3,1547
		3	AdSB2	5	2	3	7
		3	Pl-p	2	0,5774	1,4226	2,5774
		1	E	5	2,5166	4,4834	7,5166
		2	OBSP	7	1,5275	4,4725	7,5275

* Statistički znatno u odnosu prema kontroli (P < 0,05) • Statistically significant related to control (P < 0.05)

Legenda • Legend:

AdSB = Adper Single Bond
 AdSB2 = Adper Single Bond 2
 Pl-p = Prompt L-pop
 E = Excite

OBSP = Opti Bond Solo Plus
 c = koncentracija • concentration
 SD = standardna devijacija • standard deviation

Adper Single Bond 2, i na kraju Adper Single Bond i Prompt L-pop (Tablica 3.).

Petodnevni eluati svih testiranih dentinskih adheziva nisu pokazali statistički znatno odstupanje broja kromosomskih aberacija od kontrolnih vrijednosti ni u jednoj mjerenoj koncentraciji (Tablice 1., 2., 3.).

Rasprava

Metodom analize strukturnih aberacija kromosoma na stanicama sisavaca u uvjetima *in vitro* određuje se genotoksični potencijal kemijskih supstancija. Ovim istraživanjem određen je ukupan broj strukturnih aberacija kromosoma, tj. ukupan broj kromosomskih lomova, kromatidnih lomova i acentričnih fragmenata uzrokovanih različitim koncentracijama pet dentinskih adheziva nakon različitih vremena elucije. Mjerena pH kultura (indikatorom-fenol crveni i digitalnim pH metrom) ostala je nepromijenjena tijekom eksperimenta, pa isključujemo pojavu genotoksičnosti kao posljedice porasta aciditeta medija.

Najviše kromosomskih aberacija, kako se i moglo očekivati, nađeno je u najvišoj koncentraciji

finalno, Adper Single Bond and Prompt L-pop (Table 3).

The five-day-elutes did not show statistically significant increase in number of aberrations for any of the test dentin bonding agents, with respect to the control in any concentration tested (Tables 1, 2, 3).

Discussion

The genotoxic potential of chemical substances is determined by the Structural chromosomal aberration analysis cytogenetic method on mammalian cells within *in vitro* conditions. This study determines the complete number of structural aberrations, and the number of chromosome breaks, chromatid breaks and acentric fragments caused by different concentrations of five dentin bonding agents after different periods of elution. The pH value of each of the cultures was measured (with the phenol red indicator and a digital pH-meter), and it stayed the same throughout the experiment, which allows us to exclude genotoxicity as a result of increased medium acidity.

The highest number of chromosomal aberrations, as expected, was found in the highest concentration-

adheziva (5 µg/ml) (Tablica 3.). Što se tiče vremena eluacije, najveća genotoksičnost zapažena je nakon 24-satne eluacije (Tablice 1., 2., 3.), što je u skladu s istraživanjem Bouillagueta i suradnika (21), te Geurtsena i suradnika (23). Dentinski adhezivi čak se i nakon polimerizacije u vodenom mediju otapaju i razgrađuju (23, 24), tj. oni tijekom vremena podliježu degradaciji koja počinje već nakon 24 sata i to zbog afiniteta HEMA- e (glavnog ili jednog od glavnih monomera u sastavu adheziva) prema vodi (37-39). Najvjerojatnije se to dogodilo i našim adhezivima. Pritom jednosatni eluati nisu uzrokovali znatnija oštećenja genoma - osim ako se nije radilo o visokim koncentracijama i/ili potencijalno "genotoksičnim" adhezivima (Tablice 3., 1.) najveća genotoksičnost zabilježena je kod 24-satnih eluata kod kojih je već moglo doći do degradacije i otapanja, dok petodnevni eluati ni jednog testiranog dentinskog adheziva nisu pokazali genotoksično djelovanje, čak ni u najvišoj koncentraciji (Tablice 1., 2., 3.). Možemo pretpostaviti da su se kod njih toksične supstancije, koje su se nakon 24 satne eluacije otobile iz adheziva, dalje razgradile u manje toksične spojeve (23, 24, 37, 38).

Pregledom dostupne literature pronađeno je vrlo malo radova o mutagenosti ili genotoksičnosti samih adheziva, odnosno eksperimentalne studije pretežno se bave genotoksičnošću ili citotoksičnošću komponenti u sastavu tih adheziva. Upravo zbog toga nije moguća adekvatna usporedba s našim rezultatima.

Mutagenost dentinskih adheziva, različitih od naših, na stanicama sisavaca u uvjetima *in vitro* dokazali su i Schweikl i suradnici (15-17). Najveću mutagenost pokazali su adhezivi koji imaju glutaraldehyd u svojem sastavu, ali on nije sastojak naših adheziva. U skladu s našim istraživanjem i Huang sa suradnicima (40) pokazuje mutagenost/genotoksičnost dentinskih adheziva (također različitih od naših), ali na humanim gingivnim fibroblastima i to indukcijom ekspresije protoonkogeni.

Prema podacima proizvođača, adhezivi koriste se u ovom radu uglavnom su na bazi HEMA-e i Bis-GMA-e (Tablica 4.), a u mnogobrojnim studijama dokazano je da ti monomeri djeluju citotoksično (23, 29-31) i genotoksično (33, 34), a Bis-GMA čak i embriotoksično/ teratogeno (35). Guertsen (24, 41) te Kawahara i suradnici (42) dokazali su da se oba monomera otpuštaju iz polimeriziranih adheziva, a Kleinsasser i suradnici (33) svojim su istraživanjem na humanim limfocitima Comet- testom migracije DNK upozorili na genotoksičnost

5 µg/ml (Table 3). Regarding elution time, the highest genotoxicity was found after a 24-hour elution period (Tables 1, 2, 3), which is in congruence with the study of Bouillagueta et al. (21), and Geurtsen et al. (23). Dentin bonding agents in an aqueous medium, even after polymerization, melt and disintegrate (23, 24), so they yield to degradation even after 24 hours, which is due to HEMA (the main or one of the main monomers in their composition) affinity to water (37-39). The same thing probably happened with our dentin bonding agents. Here, one-hour-elutes did not show significant genotoxicity, except when the high concentrations and/or potentially "genotoxic" agents were involved (Tables 3, 1), the highest genotoxicity was observed after 24-hour elution period where the degradation and melting could have taken place, while five-day-elutes of any dentin bonding agent did not show genotoxicity, even in the highest concentration (Tables 1, 2, 3). It is supposed that the toxic substances, which are probably leached out after 24-hour elution, are transformed into less toxic substances (23, 24, 37, 38).

The review of the literature showed the lack of the studies about mutagenicity/ genotoxicity of the dentin bonding agents, furthermore, the experimental studies are based on examining the genotoxicity or cytotoxicity of the dentin bonding agents' ingredients. So, the adequate correlation with our results is not possible.

Schweikl et al. (15-17) demonstrated the mutagenicity of dentin bonding agents, different from our agents, on mammalian cells within *in vitro* conditions. The highest mutagenicity was observed in dentin bonding agents with the glutaraldehyd ingredient, but it is not found in the composition of our dentin bonding agents. Furthermore, Huang et al. (40) have published a study which is also congruent with our study. They demonstrated the mutagenicity/ genotoxicity of dentin bonding agents (also different from ours) but on human gingival fibroblasts through protooncogen expression.

According to the manufacturer, our dentin bonding agents are based on HEMA and Bis-GMA (Table 4), and many studies have confirmed cytotoxicity of the mentioned monomer (23, 29-31), genotoxicity (33, 34), as well as for Bis-GMA - even an embriotoxic/ theratogenic effect (35). Guertsen (24, 41) and Kawahara et al. (42) have shown that both monomers are leached out from polymerized adhesives and Kleinsasser et al. (33) have shown genotoxicity resulting from HEMA and Bis-GMA in their study on human lymphocytes by Comet-assay and DNA migration. Also, Muller et al. (43) showed the geno-

Tablica 4. Sastav dentinskih adheziva korištenih u ovom radu
Table 4 The composition of dentin bonding agents used in this study

Dentinski adheziv • Dentin bonding agent	Sastav • Composition	Otapalo • Solvent
Adper Single Bond	Bis-GMA, HEMA, dimetakrilati, metakrilatni kopolimer poliakrilne i poliiitakonske kiseline i fotoinicijatori • Bis-GMA, HEMA, dimethacrylate, methacrylic copolymer of polyacrylic and polyitaconic acid, and photoinitiators	Etanol, voda • Ethanol, water
Adper Single Bond 2	Bis-GMA, HEMA, dimetakrilati, silicij, metakrilatni kopolimer, Poliakrilna i poliiitakonska kiselina i fotoinicijatori • Bis-GMA, HEMA, dimethacrylate, silica, methacrylate copolymer, polyacrylic and polyitaconic acid, and photoinitiators	Etanol, voda • Ethanol, water
Prompt L-pop	Bis-GMA, HEMA, metakrilni fosfoesteri, kamforkinon i polialkenoična kiselina • Bis-GMA, HEMA, methacrylic phosphoesters, camphorquinone and polyalenoic acid	Voda • Water
Excite	Bis-GMA, HEMA, glycerin dimetakrilati, fosforski akrilati, silicij, inicijatori i stabilizatori • Bis-GMA, HEMA, glycerine dimethacrylate, phosphoric acrylates, silica, initiators and stabilizers	Etanol • Ethanol
OptiBond Solo Plus	HEMA, dimetakrilati, silicij, inicijatori i stabilizatori • HEMA, dimethacrylates, silica, initiators and stabilizers	Etanol • Ethanol

HEMA-e i Bis-GMA-e. Također, Muller i suradnici (43) pokazuju genotoksični potencijal stakleno-ionomernog cementa Vitrebonda koji je na bazi HEMA-e i Bis-GMA-e u uvjetima *in vitro* na stanicama ovarija kineskoga hrčka. Zbog svega toga može se pretpostaviti da su i u našem istraživanju upravo monomeri, koji su se vjerojatno otopili iz adheziva, najzaslužniji za genotoksičnost. Budući da se HEMA s vremenom razgrađuje do manje toksičnog spoja etilen-glikola (23, 24, 37-39), to bi mogao biti razlog zašto petodnevni eluati nisu pokazivali genotoksičnost.

U sastavu naših adheziva su i dimetakrilati (Tablica 4.). Oni se također otpuštaju iz polimeriziranih adheziva (24, 41), a najčešće zastupljeni dimetakrilati u sastavu adheziva su TEGDMA i UDMA (uretan-dimetakrilat). Yoshii (44) je u svojoj studiji pokazao da i oni mogu biti citotoksični. Štoviše, veću citotoksičnost imaju dimetakrilati s manjim brojem oksietilenskih lanaca - 14 ili manje, nego oni s većim brojem lanaca (44). Dimetakrilati također oštećuju genome humanih limfocita, stanice limfoma miša, plućne stanice i stanice ovarija kineskog hrčka (45, 46) te se javlja genotoksičnost u humanim limfocitima (33), pa je moguće da su i dimetakrilati pridonijeli genotoksičnosti naših adheziva - osobito ako su u njihovu sastavu oni s manjim brojem oksietilenskih lanaca (proizvođač ne navodi koji dimetakrilati su zastupljeni).

Inicijator, kamforkinon (Tablica 4.) također je mogao pridonijeti genotoksičnosti, budući da su Atsumi i suradnici (47, 48) dokazali njegovu citotoksičnost na humanim pulpnim fibroblastima. Studije o genotoksičnosti kamforkinona nisu pronađene pregledom dostupne literature.

toxic potential of the glass-ionomer cement Vitrebond which is based on HEMA within *in vitro* conditions on Chinese hamster ovarian cells. Based on the presented evidence, it is supposed that it is precisely the monomers themselves, which are probably melted down from our dentin bonding agents that are responsible for the observed genotoxicity. Over time, HEMA is reverted to its less toxic component, ethylene-glycol (23, 24, 37-39), which could be the reason why none of the five-day-elutes did not show genotoxicity.

Our adhesives also contain dimethacrylates (Table 4). They are also leached out of polymerized adhesives (24, 41), and the common dimethacrylates in dentin bonding agents are TEGDMA and UDMA (urethane dimethacrylate). In his study, Yoshii (44) showed that they can be cytotoxic. Dimethacrylates with a lower number of oxyethylene chains, 14 or less, showed much more cytotoxicity than the ones with a higher number of oxyethylene chains (44). Also, dimethacrylates ruin the genes of human lymphocytes, lymphoma cells in mice, pulp fibroblasts and ovarian cells in Chinese hamsters (45, 46) and they expose human lymphocytes to genotoxicity (33), so it is possible that the dimethacrylates from our dentin bonding agents may also behave genotoxically; especially if a lower number of oxyethylene chains is present in their composition (manufacturer does not mention which dimethacrylates are present).

The initiator, camphorquinone (Table 4), also may be one of the reasons behind the observed genotoxicity since Atsumi et al. (47, 48) have proven its cytotoxicity. No studies about the genotoxicity of camphorquinone were found while reviewing the pertinent literature.

Tri adheziva iz naše studije - OptiBond Solo Plus, Excite i Adper Single Bond 2 - pokazala su veću genotoksičnost od preostala dva. Oni u svojem sastavu sadržavaju čestice silicija kao punila jer poboljšava svojstva adheziva (Tablica 4.), ali dokazano je da silicij oštećuje DNK plućnih fibroblasta kineskog hrčka, ali i humanih embrionalnih plućnih fibroblasta nakon izloženosti silicijevoj prašini u *uvjetima in vitro* (49) te djeluje genotoksično uzrokujući pojavu mikronukleusa u istim stanicama (50, 51). Zato je moguće da je silicij uzrok njihove povećane genotoksičnosti.

Također su veću genotoksičnost pokazali adhezivi koji kao otapalo imaju samo etanol - OptiBond Solo Plus i Excite (Tablica 4.). Njihova je citotoksičnost dokazana na humanim stanicama pankreasa (52), hepatocitima i fibroblastima (53) te genotoksičnost na bakterijskim stanicama (54), humanim neuronima (55), limfocitima i stanicama gastrointestinalnog trakta (56) u *uvjetima in vitro*, što znači da je i etanol mogao biti jedan od uzroka genotoksičnosti naših adheziva.

Zaključci

1. Genotoksičnost dentinskih adheziva raste s porastom njihove koncentracije, a smanjuje se s vremenom elucije, što znači da bi se nakon što se postave u kavitet eventualna genotoksičnost adheziva i/ili njegovih komponenti s vremenom trebala smanjiti.
2. Dentinski adhezivi najveću genotoksičnost pokazuju nakon 24-satne elucije, tako da bi moguća genotoksična reakcija na adheziv i/ili njegove komponente trebala nastati 1 do 2 dana nakon tretmana.
3. Dentinske adhezive obuhvaćene ovom studijom možemo prema genotoksičnosti razvrstati sljedećim redom: OptiBond Solo Plus > Excite > Adper Single Bond 2 > Adper Single Bond > Prompt L-pop.

Three dentin bonding agents from our study, OptiBond Solo Plus, Excite and Adper Single Bond 2, showed higher genotoxicity than the others. These bonding agents have silica fillers in their composition (Table 4), and it is proven that silica may ruin the DNA of lung fibroblasts in Chinese hamsters and human embryonic pulp fibroblasts after the exposure of silica dust in *in vitro* conditions (49) and acts genotoxically by causing micronucleus in the same cells (50, 51), which is probably the main reason of their higher genotoxicity.

Also, higher genotoxicity was observed in dentin bonding agents with only ethanol as solvent: OptiBond Solo Plus and Excite (Table 4). Its cytotoxicity was shown in human pancreatic acinar cells (52), human hepatocytes and fibroblasts (53), whereas genotoxicity was displayed in bacterial cells (54), human neurons (55), lymphocytes and gastrointestinal mucosal cells (56) in *in vitro* conditions, so it is supposed that ethanol could also contribute to the genotoxicity.

Conclusions

1. Genotoxicity of dentin bonding agents increases with their concentration, and decreases with the elution period length which means that after clinical treatment possible genotoxicity of dentin bonding agents and/or its components should decrease over time.
2. Dentin bonding agents showed the highest genotoxicity after a 24-hour elution period, so the possible genotoxicity reaction to the dentin bonding agent and/or his components should result 1-2 days after the treatment.
3. Dentin bonding agents from this study can be stated in descending order according to their genotoxicity: OptiBond Solo Plus > Excite > Adper Single Bond 2 > Adper Single Bond > Prompt L-pop.

Abstract

The aim of this study was to examine the genotoxic activity of five dentin bonding agents: Adper Single Bond, Adper Single Bond 2, Prompt L-pop, Excite and Opti-Bond Solo Plus. This *in vitro* study was performed on human lymphocytes from peripheral blood, and the concentrations of dentin bonding agents tested were 0.2, 0.5 i 5 µg/ml, and elution times tested were 1 hour, 24 hours and 5 days. Genotoxicity testing was done using the Structural chromosomal aberration analysis cytogenetic method, which determined the complete number of chromosome breaks, chromatid breaks and acentric fragments. The results showed genotoxicity of Opti-Bond Solo Plus in the 0.2 µg/ml concentration after a 24-hour elution period, then OptiBond Solo Plus in the 0.5 µg/ml concentration after a 1 hour elution period and OptiBond Solo Plus, Adper Single Bond 2 and Excite in the 0.5 µg/ml concentration after a 1 day elution period. In the 5 µg/ml concentration after 1 hour of elution, genotoxic potential was observed in cultures with OptiBond Solo Plus, Excite, Adper Single Bond 2 and Adper Single Bond, while all dentin bonding agents showed genotoxicity at that highest concentration but after 1 day of elution. From the results it is obvious that genotoxicity increases with the concentration of the dentin bonding agent, and decreases over time. The highest genotoxicity was observed after a 24-hour-elution period.

Received: February 7, 2007

Accepted: March 15, 2007

Address for correspondence

Nada Galić
University of Zagreb
School of Dental Medicine
Department of Endodontics and
Restorative Dentistry
Gundulićeva 5, HR-10 000 Zagreb,
Croatia
ngalic@sfgz.hr

Key words

Mutagens; Dentin-Bonding Agents;
Chromosome Aberrations

References

- Fujitani M, Shibata S, Van Meerbeek B, Yoshida Y, Shintani H. Direct adhesive pulp capping: pulpal healing and ultra-morphology of the resin-pulp interface. *Am J Dent.* 2002;15(6):395-402.
- Hafez AA, Cox CF, Tarim B, Otsuki M, Akimoto N. An *in vivo* evaluation of hemorrhage control using sodium hypochlorite and direct capping with one- or two-component adhesive system in exposed nonhuman primate pulps. *Quintessence Int.* 2002;33(4):261-72.
- Pereira JC, Segala AD, Costa CA. Human pulp response to direct pulp capping with an adhesive system. *Am J Dent.* 2000;13(3):139-47.
- Cehreli ZC, Turgut M, Olmez S, Dagdeviren A, Atilla P. Short term human primary pulpal response after direct pulp capping with fourth-generation dentin adhesives. *J Clin Pediatr Dent.* 2000;25(1):65-71.
- Costa CA, Hebling J, Hanks CT. Current status of pulp capping with dentin adhesive systems: a review. *Dent Mater.* 2000;16(3):188-97.
- Huang FM, Tsai CH, Ding SJ, Chang YC. Induction of cyclooxygenase-2 expression in human pulp cells stimulated by dentin bonding agents. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2005;100(4):501-6.
- Moodley D, Grobler SR, Olivler A. Cytotoxicity of a dentine bonding agent on four different cell lines. *SADJ.* 2005;60(6):234-6.
- Subay RK, Demirci M. Pulp tissue reactions to a dentin bonding agent as a direct capping agent. *J Endod.* 2005;31(3):201-4.
- Cavalcanti BN, Rode SM, Marques MM. Cytotoxicity of substances leached or dissolved from pulp capping materials. *Int Endod J.* 2005;38(8):505-9.
- Gorecka V, Suliborski S, Biskupski T. Direct pulp capping with a dentin adhesive resin system in children's permanent teeth after traumatic injuries: case reports. *Quintessence Int.* 2000;31(4):241-8.
- Onur MA, Tasman F, Cehreli ZC, Gumrukcuoglu A. Effect of a fifth-generation bonding agent on vascular responses in rats. *J Endod.* 2000;26(7):407-9.
- Abebe W, Pashley DH, Rueggeberg FA. Vasorelaxant effect of resin-based, single-bottle dentin bonding systems. *J Endod.* 2005;31(3):194-7.
- Costa CA, Vaerten MA, Edwards CA, Hanks CT. Cytotoxic effects of current dental adhesive systems on immortalized odontoblast cell line MDPC-23. *Dent Mater.* 1999;15(6):434-41.
- Szep S, Kunkel A, Ronge K, Heidemann D. Cytotoxicity of modern dentin adhesives—in *in vitro* testing on gingival fibroblasts. *J Biomed Mater Res.* 2002;63(1):53-60.
- Schweikl H, Schmalz G, Bey B. Mutagenicity of dentin bonding agents. *J Biomed Mater Res.* 1994;28(9):1061-7.
- Schweikl H, Schmalz G, Gottke C. Mutagenic activity of various dentin bonding agents. *Biomaterials.* 1996;17(14):1451-6.
- Schweikl H, Schmalz G. Glutaraldehyde containing dentin bonding agents are mutagens in mammalian cells *in vitro*. *J Biomed Mater Res.* 1997;36(3):284-8.
- Wiegand A, Casapar C, Becker K, Werner C, Attin T. *In vitro* cytotoxicity of different self-etching dental adhesive systems. *Schweiz Monatsschr Zahnmed.* 2006;116(6):614-21.
- Galler K, Hiller Ka, Ettl T, Schmalz G. Selective influence of dentin thickness upon cytotoxicity of dentin contacting materials. *J Endod.* 2005;31(5):396-9.
- Vajrabhaya LO, Pasasuk A, Harnirattisai C. Cytotoxicity evaluation of single component dentin bonding agents. *Oper Dent.* 2003;28(4):440-4.
- Bouillaguet S, Virgillito M, Wataha J, Ciucchi B, Holz J. The influence of dentin permeability on cytotoxicity of four dentin bonding systems, *in vitro*. *J Oral Rehabil.* 1998;25(1):45-51.
- Camps J, Tardieu C, Dejou J, Franquin JC, Ladaique P, Rieu R. *In vitro* cytotoxicity of dental adhesive systems under simulated pulpal pressure. *Dent Mater.* 1997;13(1):34-42.
- Geurtsen W, Spahl W, Muller K, Leyhausen G. Aqueous extracts from dentin adhesives contain cytotoxic chemicals. *J Biomed Mater Res.* 1999;48(6):772-7.
- Geurtsen W. Biocompatibility of resin-modified filling materials. *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med.* 2000;11(3):333-55.
- Bouillaguet S, Shaw L, Gonzalez L, Wataha JC, Krejci I. Long-term cytotoxicity of resin-based dental restorative materials. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2002;29(1):7-13.
- Bouillaguet S, Wataha JC, Virgillito M, Gonzalez L, Rakich DR, Meyer JM. Effect of sub-lethal concentrations of HEMA (2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) on THP-1 human monocyte-macrophages, *in vitro*. *Dent Mater.* 2000;16(3):213-7.
- Noda M, Wataha JC, Lockwood PE, Volkmann KR, Kaga M, Sano H. Sublethal, 2-week exposures of dental materi-

- al components alter TNF-alpha secretion of THP-1 monocytes. *Dent Mater.* 2003;19(2):101-5.
28. Kaga M, Noda M, Ferracane JL, Nakamura W, Oguchi H, Sano H. The in vitro cytotoxicity of eluates from dentin bonding resins and their effect on tyrosine phosphorylation of L929 cells. *Dent Mater.* 2001;17(4):333-9.
 29. Schweikl H, Spagnuolo G, Schmalz G. Genetic and cellular toxicology of dental resin monomers. *J Dent Res.* 2006;85(10):870-7.
 30. Bouillaguet S, Wataha JC, Hanks CT, Ciucchi B, HOLZ J. In vitro cytotoxicity and dentin permeability of HEMA. *J Endod.* 1996;22(5):244-8.
 31. Walther UI, Walther SC, Liebl B, Reichl FX, Kehe K, Nilius M et al. Cytotoxicity of ingredients of various dental materials and related compounds in L2- and A549 cells. *J Biomed Mater Res.* 2002;63(5):643-9.
 32. Issa Y, Watts DC, Brunton PA, Waters CM, Duxbury AJ. Resin composite monomers alter MTT and LDH activity of human gingival fibroblasts in vitro. *Dent Mater.* 2004;20(1):12-20.
 33. Rakich DR, Wataha JC, Lefebvre CA, Weller RN. Effects of dentin bonding agents on macrophage mitochondrial activity. *J Endod.* 1998;24(8):528-33.
 34. Kleinsasser NH, Wallner BC, Harreus UA, Kleinjung T, Folwaczny M, Hickel R et al. Genotoxicity and cytotoxicity of dental materials in human lymphocytes as assessed by the single cell microgel electrophoresis (comet) assay. *J Dent.* 2004;32(3):229-34.
 35. Schwengberg S, Bohlen H, Kleinsasser N, Kehe K, Seiss M, Walther UI et al. In vitro embryotoxicity assessment with dental restorative materials. *J Dent.* 2005;33(1):49-55.
 36. International Atomic Energy Agency. Biological dosimetry: Chromosomal aberration analysis for dose assessment. Technical Reports Series No.260. Vienna: International Atomic Energy Agency; 1986.
 37. De Munck J, Van Meerbeek B, Yoshida Y, Inoue S, Vargas M, Suzuki K et al. Four-year water degradation of total-etch adhesives bonded to dentin. *J Dent Res.* 2003;82(2):136-40.
 38. De Munck J, Van Meerbeek B, Yoshida Y, Inoue S, Suzuki K, Lambrechts P. Four-year water degradation of a resin-modified glass-ionomer adhesive bonded to dentin. *Eur J Oral Sci.* 2004;112(1):73-83.
 39. Santerre JP, Shajii L, Leung BW. Relation of dental composite formulations to their degradation and the release of hydrolyzed polymeric-resin-derived products. *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med.* 2001;12(2):136-51.
 40. Huang FM, Chou MY, Chang YC. Dentin bonding agents induce c-fos and c-jun protooncogenes expression in human gingival fibroblasts. *Biomaterials.* 2003;24(1):157-63.
 41. Geurtsen W, Lehmann F, Spahl W, Leyhausen G. Cytotoxicity of 35 dental resin composite monomers/additives in permanent 3T3 and three human primary fibroblast cultures. *J Biomed Mater Res.* 1998;41(3):474-80.
 42. Kawahara T, Nomura Y, Tanaka N, Teshima W, Okazaki M, Shintani H. Leachability of plasticizer and residual monomer from commercial temporary restorative resins. *J Dent.* 2004;32(4):277-83.
 43. Muller BP, Eisentrager A, Jahn-Dechent W, Dott W, Hollender J. Effect of sample preparation on the in vitro genotoxicity of a light curable glass-ionomer cement. *Biomaterials.* 2003;24(4):611-7.
 44. Yoshii E. Cytotoxic effects of acrylates and methacrylates: relationships of monomer structures and cytotoxicity. *J Biomed Mater Res.* 1997;37(4):517-24.
 45. Schweikl H, Schmalz G, Spruss T. The induction of micronuclei in vitro by unpolymerized resin monomers. *J Dent Res.* 2001;80(7):1615-20.
 46. Dearfield KL, Millis CS, Harrington-Brock K, Doerr CL, Moore MM. Analysis of the genotoxicity of nine acrylate/methacrylate compounds in L5178Y mouse lymphoma cells. *Mutagenesis.* 1989;4(5):381-93.
 47. Atsumi T, Iwakura I, Fujisawa S, Ueha T. The production of reactive oxygen species by irradiated camphorquinone-related photosensitizers and their effect on cytotoxicity. *Arch Oral Biol.* 2001;46(5):391-401.
 48. Atsumi T, Ishihara M, Kadoma Y, Tonosaki K, Fujisawa S. Comparative radical production and cytotoxicity induced by camphorquinone and 9-fluorenone against human pulp fibroblasts. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2004;31(12):1155-64.
 49. Zhong BZ, Whong WZ, Ong TM. Detection of mineral-dust-induced DNA damage in two mammalian cell lines using the alkaline single cell gel/comet assay. *Mutat Res.* 1997;393(3):181-7.
 50. Liu X, Keane MJ, Zhong BZ, Ong TM. Micronuclei formation in V79 cells treated with respirable silica dispersed in medium and simulated pulmonary surfactant. *Mutat Res.* 1996;361(2-3):89-94.
 51. Nagalakshmi R, Nath J, Ong T, Whong WZ. Silica-induced micronuclei and chromosomal aberrations in Chinese hamster lung (V79) and human lung (Hel 299) cells. *Mutat Res.* 1995;335(1):27-33.
 52. Criddle DN, Raraty MG, Neoptolemos JP, Tepikin AV, Petersen OH, Sutton R. Ethanol toxicity in pancreatic acinar cells: mediation by nonoxidative fatty acid metabolites. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2004;101(29):10738-43.
 53. Fukaya K, Miyazaki M, Pu H, Katayama B, Inoue Y, Ohashi R et al. Pyruvate alleviates toxic effects of ethanol on cells in culture. *Arch Toxicol.* 1997;71(10):651-4.
 54. Burke DA, Wedd DJ, Herriott D, Bayliss MK, Spalding DJ, Wilcox P. Evaluation of pyrazole and ethanol induced S9 fraction in bacterial mutagenicity testing. *Mutagenesis.* 1994;9(1):23-9.
 55. Lamarche F, Gonthier B, Signorini N, Eysseric H, Barret L. Acute exposure of cultured neurones to ethanol results in reversible DNA single-strand breaks; whereas chronic exposure causes loss of cell viability. *Alcohol Alcohol.* 2003;38(6):550-8.
 56. Blasiak J, Trzeciak A, Malecka-Panas E, Drzewoski J, Wojewodzka M. In vitro genotoxicity of ethanol and acetaldehyde in human lymphocytes and the gastrointestinal tract mucosa cells. *Toxicol In Vitro.* 2000;14(4):287-95.