Life Science Journal 2014;11(9)

http://www.lifesciencesite.com

Positive predictive value of 16-Row CT Angiography as Compared with Conventional Invasive Angiography

Ameneh Langari¹, Maryam Keivani^{2*}

¹ North Khorasan University of Medical Sciences, Bojnurd, Iran ² Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences, Shahrekord, Iran *Email: Maryam,Keivani@yahoo.com

Abstract: In this work authors have evaluated the positive predictive value of 16-multidetector-row CT angiography (CTA) in comparison with conventional invasive angiography (CIA) in the diagnosis of significant stenosis. For this purpose, 8 patients suspected to significant stenosis was investigated. These patients were undertaken CIA procedure after CTA. Result of this study reveals that for patient-base analysis, the positive predictive value of CTA was 87.5%. The findings of this study reveal that CT angiography with 16-slice scanner might be considered as an acceptable technique for rapid triage of patients. High values of PPV reveal a moderate performance of CTA. However, due to low number of patients, the obtained results cannot be used for final decision.

[Ameneh Langari, Maryam Keivani. Positive predictive value of 16-Row CT Angiography as Compared with Conventional Invasive Angiography. *Life Sci J* 2014;11(9):405-408]. (ISSN: 1097-8135). http://www.lifesciencesite.com. 65

Keywords: Positive predicted value (PPV), 16-multidetector-row, Computed Tomography Angiography (CTA), Conventional Invasive Angiography (CIA)

1. Introduction

For several decades, conventional invasive angiography (CIA) was considered as the wellestablished gold standard for making the diagnosis of coronary artery disease [1-3]. CIA is highly reliable compared to other indirect evaluation methods such as stress testing. However, CIA is not a good choice in some cases due to its invasive nature and the risks of complications i.e. arrhythmia, myocardial infarction, stroke, access site problems, etc. Therefore, an accurate non-invasive alternative evaluation method for diagnosing coronary artery disease is highly desirable. In order to overcome the complications of CIA, multi-slice computed tomography angiography (CTA) has been proposed in recent years as an alternative procedure for determining the presence of coronary obstructions. With the recent development in hardware with multiple detectors, the spatial resolution of the images has been significantly improved and consequently CTA has become the center of interest for clinicians. The imaging machines facilitated the rapid identification and assessment of atherosclerosis within the moving coronary arteries and potentially reduced the necessity of CIA.

In Ref. [4] one can find another comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of 64-slice or higher CTA as an alternative to CIA for detecting coronary artery disease. Other systematic reviews on evaluation 64-Slice CTA in the diagnosis and assessment of coronary artery disease has been conducted in refs. [2, 5,6]. Stein et al. [7] preformed a systematic review on 64-slice CTA for diagnosis of coronary artery disease. They concluded that negative CTA reliably excluded significant coronary artery disease. However, the data suggest that stenosis shown on CTA need confirmation. Combining the results of 64-slice CTA with a pretest clinical probability assessment would strengthen the diagnosis [7]. Further useful findings can be found in other works that examined the accuracy of 64-row CTA in comparison with CIA for detecting coronary artery diseases [8-15].

This investigation is conducted using a 16row-detector CT scanner to evaluate the positive predictive value of CTA in identifying significant stenosis. The accuracy of 16-row CTA is compared with that of CIA method.

2. Methods

2.1. Patients

The study population was chosen from patients referred to hospitals with suspected coronary artery disease. In this study 8 patients asked to participate. According to cardiologist diagnoses, all these patients underwent CIA after CTA performed. Exclusion criteria for CTA were based on technical factors that made the patient unsuitable for the procedure. These included known allergic reaction to iodinated contrast agents, high baseline heart rate (>70 beats/min) with contraindication to betablockade, atrial fibrillation, inability to perform a 15s breath hold, inability to lie flat, abnormal renal function (serum creatinine level >1.5 mg/dL).

2.2. Scanning Protocol

All patients were scanned with a 16-slice CT scanner. A dose of 15 ml contrast material was used during the bolus timing scan calculated (by the apparatus software) at the level of the descending aorta. All data sets acquired were reconstructed from the axial images using retrospective electrocardiogram gating.

The reconstructed images were visually evaluated for estimation of coronary artery narrowing. The judgment about the absence/presence of desises was made after viewing the various images and checking stenosis of main coronary vessels.

2.3. CIA procedure and analysis

Routine CIA was performed via the femoral or radial artery. All evaluated vessels were classified as normal as having non-significant disease, or as having significant stenosis. Accordingly, patients were classified as positive for the presence of significant coronary artery disease if there was a significant stenosis in any artery.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

The CTA accuracy for detecting vessel stenosis was evaluated via an indicative statistical parameter i.e. positive predictive value (PPV). These parameters were calculated for patient and presented as percentage.

3. Results

The characteristics of the 8 patients were analyzed and the result is presented in Table 1. The obtained result reveals that patients were diagnose as normal by both CIA and CTA.

Table 1: Diagnostic performance of CTA for the detection of >50% stenosis for patient-based analysis.

Analysis	PPV %
Patient based	87.5

Many progresses have been accomplished to provide the time-saving accurate diagnostic protocols for suspected patients. The advent of 16-slice CT scanners accelerated this evolution. CTA is recommended useful especially for patients due to the higher complications of CIA. However, a crucial issue is to understand how much the CTA findings are close to those of CIA. According to the patientbased data presented in Table 1, CTA have a positive predictive value of 87.5% when compared to CIA procedure.

Comparison between the obtained result and those presented in other review papers [5, 6], show that the patient-based PPV of presented study are less

than the values reported by previous researchers for 64-slice CTA. Comparing the present study with other investigations reveals that the computed PPV is much less than those reported in literature [5,6]. This reveals a moderate performance of CTA.

3.1Technological advancements

It is expected that new generation of scanning machines with higher number of slice per rotation (rows) and higher temporal resolution can diminish some inaccuracy of the present 16-slice CT scanners. Previous research on different generation of multi-detector CT machines (4-slice, 16-slice and 64slice scanners) revealed that increasing in number of slice per rotation result in more accurate results [21]. This trend is expected to be continued for the forthcoming multi section scanners with further number of detectors. For example new generated 320-row scanners improved image acquisition as well as reduced radiation dose compared with retrospectively gated 64-row CTA [15]. Moreover, in recent years, several modified techniques i.e. dose modulation [22], eliminating helical oversampling prospectively gated approach [23], with electrocardiogram triggering [24], etc. have been developed to decrease CTA radiation dose. These technological advances reveal that the reliability of CTA can approach to CIA in future.

3.2 Limitations

It should be remarked that the present study is conducted on a very limited works, hence, obtained results might not be generalized. The following limitations to the present study should be considered. First, note that patients exposed to higher dose of radiation in CTA procedure in comparison with CIA [25]. Therefore, concerns should be raised about applying conservative radiation dose, and careful patient selection especially in the cases of young people and women of childbearing age [26]. Therefore, the present diagnostic performance may not be directly applicable to patients with a lower prevalence of diseases. Finally, it should be noted that heavy coronary calcification and consequent beam hardening is the major limitations to reliable evaluation of all coronary arteries [27-29]. In these cases CIA might be more useful than CTA to obtain completely reliable diagnoses.

4. Conclusions

The present work may have applied clinical implications for the detection of significant coronary artery stenosis. The obtained results demonstrated moderately good diagnostic accuracy for the assessment of obstructive disease using 16-row CTA. CTA had the positive predictive value of 87.5%. The

value of PPV reveals a moderate performance of CTA. The findings of this study reveal that CT angiography with 16-slice scanner might be considered as a suitable technique for rapid triage of patients presenting to emergency hospitals. However, due to the low number of patients, further investigations is required to determine whether 16-row scanning technology has sufficient resolution to delineate coronary artery diseases. Hence the presented results are not accepted.

Corresponding Author:

Maryam Keivani Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences, Shahrekord, Iran. Email: <u>Maryam.Keivani@yahoo.com</u>

References

- Lippeveld T, Sauerborn R. Bodart C. Design and implementation of health information systems. Geneva: Wold Health organization;2000:1-2.
- [2] G Mowatt, J A Cook, G S Hillis, S Walker, C Fraser, X Jia, N Waugh. 64-Slice computed tomography angiography in the diagnosis and assessment of coronary artery disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. Heart. 2008;94:1386-1393;
- [3] Jawdat Abdullal, Steen Z. Abildstrom, Ole Gotzsche, Erik Christensen, Lars Kober and Christian Torp-Pedersen. 64-multislice detector computed tomography coronary angiography as potential alternative to conventional coronary angiography: a systematic review and meta-analysis. European Heart Journal 2007; 28:3042–3050.
- [4]- G Mowatt, Cummins, N Waugh, S Walker, J Cook, X Jia, GS Hillis and C Fraser. Systematic review of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of 64-slice or higher computed tomography angiography as an alternative to invasive coronary angiography in the investigation of coronary artery disease. E Health Technology Assessment 2008; 12(17):87-93.
- [5] Zhonghua Sun, ChengHsun Lin, Robert Davidson, Chiauhuei Dong, Yunchan Liao. Diagnostic value of 64-slice CT angiography in coronary artery disease: A systematic review. European Journal of Radiology 2008; 67:78–84
- [6] Daniel C Paech and Adèle R Weston. A systematic review of the clinical effectiveness of 64-slice or higher computed tomography angiography as an alternative to invasive coronary angiography in the investigation of suspected coronary artery disease. Paech and Weston BMC Cardiovascular Disorders 2011;11(32):124-129.
- [7] Paul D. Stein, Abdo Y. Yaekoub, Fadi Matta, H. Dirk Sostman. 64-Slice CT for Diagnosis of Coronary

Artery Disease: A Systematic Review. The American Journal of Medicine 2008; 121:715-725.

- [8] Stephan Achenbach, Ulrike Ropers, Axel Kuettner, Katharina Anders, Tobias Pflederer, Sei Komatsu, Werner Bautz, Werner G. Daniel, Dieter Ropers, Randomized Comparison of 64-Slice Single- and Dual-Source Computed Tomography Coronary Angiography for the Detection of Coronary Artery Disease. J Am Coll Cardiol Img, 2008; 1:177-186.
- [9] Mehraj Sheikh, AbdelMohsen Ben-Nakhi, A. Mohemad Shukkur, Tariq Sinan, Ibrahim Al-Rashdan. Accuracy of 64-Multidetector-Row Computed Tomography in the Diagnosis of Coronary Artery Disease. Med Princ Pract 2009; 18:323–328.
- [10] Patricia Carrascosa, Carlos Capunay, Alejandro Deviggiano, Alejandro Goldsmit, Carlos Tajer, Marcelo Bettinotti, Jorge Carrascosa, Thomas B. Ivanc, Arzhang Fallahi, Mario J. Garcia. Accuracy of low-dose prospectively gated axial coronary CT angiography for the assessment of coronary artery stenosis in patients with stable heart rate. Journal of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography 2010; 4:197–205
- [11]- Julie M. Miller, Carlos E. Rochitte, Marc Dewey, Armin Arbab-Zadeh, Hiroyuki Niinuma, Ilan Gottlieb, Narinder Paul, Melvin E. Clouse, Edward P. Shapiro, John Hoe, Albert C. Lardo, David E. Bush, Albert de Roos, Christopher Cox, Jeffery Brinker and João A.C. Lima. Diagnostic Performance of Coronary Angiography by 64-Row CT. The New England Journal of Medicine. 2008; 359:2324-36.
- [12]- Duncan R. Coles, Mary A. Smail, Ian S. Negus, Peter Wilde, Martin Oberhoff, Karl R. Karsch, Andreas Baumbach. Comparison of Radiation Doses From Multislice Computed Tomography Coronary Angiography and Conventional Diagnostic Angiography. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 2006; 47(9):169-178.
- [13] Lieuwe H. Piers, Riksta Dikkers, Tineke P. Willems, Bart J.G.L. de Smet, Matthijs Oudkerk, Felix Zijlstra and Rene A. Tio. Computed tomographic angiography or conventional coronary angiography in therapeutic decision-making. European Heart Journal 2008; 29:2902–2907.
- [14] Alexander W. Leber, Thorsten Johnson, Alexander Becker, Franz von Ziegler, Janine Tittus, Konstantin Nikolaou, Maximilian Reiser, Gerhard Steinbeck, Christoph R. Becker, and AndreasKneZ. Diagnostic accuracy of dual-source multi-slice CTcoronary angiography in patients with an intermediate pretest likelihood for coronary artery disease. European Heart Journal 2007; 28: 2354– 2360.

- [15] Fleur R. de Graaf, Joanne D. Schuijf, Joella E. van Velzen, Lucia J. Kroft, Albert de Roos, Johannes H.C. Reiber, Eric Boersma, Martin J. Schalij, Fabrizio Spano, J. Wouter Jukema, Ernst E. van der Wall, and Jeroen J. Bax. Diagnostic accuracy of 320-row multidetector computed tomography coronary angiography in the non-invasive evaluation of significant coronary artery disease. European Heart Journal 2010; 31: 1908–1915.
- [16] Rodevand O, Hogalmen G, Gudim LP, Intrebo T, Molstad P, Vandvik PO. Limited usefulness of noninvasive coronary angiography with 16detector multislice computed tomography at a community hospital. Scand Cardiovasc J 2006; 40:76–82.
- [17] Nieman K, Cademartiri F, Lemos PA, Raaijmakers R, Pattynama PMT, de Feyter PJ. Reliable noninvasive coronary angiography with fast submillimeter multislice spiral computed tomography. Circulation 2002; 106:2051–4.
- [18] Piet K. Vanhoenacker, Majanka H. Heijenbrok-Kal, Ruben Van Heste, Isabel Decramer, Lieven R. Van Hoe, MD, William Wijns, MD, M. G. Myriam Hunink. Diagnostic Performance of Multidetector CT Angiography for Assessment of Coronary Artery Disease: Meta-analysis. Radiology: 2007; 244(2): 263-276.
- [19] Michèle Hamon, Giuseppe G. L. Biondi-Zoccai, Patrizia Malagutti, Pierfrancesco Agostoni, Rémy Morello, Marco Valgimigli, Martial Hamon, Caen, Diagnostic Performance of Multislice Spiral Computed Tomography of Coronary Arteries as Compared With Conventional Invasive Coronary Angiography A Meta-Analysis. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 2006 48(9):38-45.
- [20] Kaiser C, Bremerich J, Haller S, et al. Limited diagnostic yield of noninvasive coronary angiography by 16-slice multi-detector spiral computed tomography in routine patients referred for evaluation of cororary artery disease. Eur Heart J 2005; 26:1987–92.
- [21] Pugliese F, Mollet N R, Myriam Hunink M G, Cademartiri F, Nieman K, van Domburg R T, Meijboom W B, Van Mieghem C, Weustink A C, Dijkshoorn M L, de Feyter P J, Krestin G P. Diagnostic performance of coronary CT Angiography by using different generation of multi

section scanners: single – center experience. Radiology 2010; 246(2):384-393.

- [22] Raff GL, Chinnaiyan KM, Share DA, Goraya TY, Kazerooni EA, Moscucci M, Gentry RE, Abidov A. Radiation dose from cardiac computed tomography before and after implementation of radiation dosereduction techniques. JAMA 2009; 301:2340– 2348.
- [23] Mori S, Endo M, Nishizawa K, Murase K, Fujiwara H, Tanada S. Comparison of patient doses in 256slice CT and 16-slice CT scanners. Br J Radiol 2006; 79:56–61.
- [24] Steigner ML, Otero HJ, Cai T, Mitsouras D, Nallamshetty L, Whitmore AG, Ersoy H, Levit NA, Di Carli MF, Rybicki FJ. Narrowing the phase window width in prospectively ECG-gated single heart beat 320-detector row coronary CT angiography. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging 2009; 25:85–90.
- [25] Coles D R, Smail M A, Negus I S, Wilde P, Oberhoff M, Karsech K R and Baumbach A, Comparison of radiation dose from multislice computed tomography coronary angiography and conventional diagnostic angiography, Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2006, 47(9):1840-1845.
- [26] Einstein AJ, Henzlova MJ, Rajagopalan S. Estimating risk of cancer associated with radiation exposure from 64-slice computed tomography coronary angiography. JAMA 2007;298:317–323.
- [27] Ropers D, Baum U, Pohle K, Anders K, Ulzheimer S, Ohnesorge B, Schlundt C, Baut z W, Daniel WG, Achenbach S: Detection of coronary artery stenoses with thin-slice multidetector row spiral computed tomography and multiplanar reconstruction. Circulation 2003; 107: 664–666.
- [28] Martuscelli E, Romagnoli A, D'Eliseo A, Razzini C, Tomassini M, Sperandio M, Simonetti G, Romeo F: Accuracy of thin-slice computed tomography in the detection of coronary stenoses. Eur Heart J 2004; 25: 1043–1048.
- [29] Gilbert L. Raff, Michael J Gallagher, William W O'Neill, James A Goldstein. Diagnostic Accuracy of Noninvasive Coronary Angiography Using 64-Slice Spiral Computed tomography. Journal of the American Collage of Cardiology. 2005; 46:552-557.

5/27/2014