

Relationship Between Quality of Life and Depression in Pregnant Women

Fatemeh Abbaszadeh ¹, Mahboobe Kafaei Atrian ^{1,*}, Negin Masoudi Alavi ², Azam Bagheri ¹, Zohreh Sadat ², Zahra Karimian ¹

¹ Department of Midwifery, Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, IR Iran

² Trauma Nursing Research Center, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, IR Iran

**Corresponding author*: Mahboobe Kafaei, Department of Midwifery, Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, IR Iran, Tel.: +98-3615550021, Fax: +98-3615556633, E-mail: kafaei_ma@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Quality of life differs for different people in different situations and is related to one's self-satisfaction with life. Quality of life is affected by health status.

Objectives: The current study examined the relationship between quality of life and depression in pregnant women in Kashan city. *Patients and Methods:* A Case - control study was performed on 112 depressed pregnant women (Case Group) and 353 Non-depressed pregnant women (Control Group) who referred to the prenatal health care centers of Kashan University of Medical Sciences .They completed Short Form 36 Health Survey (SF-36) to assess the quality of life and the Beck Depression Inventory to assess the level of depressive symptoms. T-test, chi-square and Pearson correlation coefficient statistical tests were used for data analysis.

Results: The findings showed that there was an inverse relationship between quality of life and depression in pregnancy (P = 0.0001). Average scores in all eight domains of quality of life were significantly lower in depressed pregnant women compared to non-depressed women. The strongest relationship was observed between depression and vitality (r = -0.52, P = 0.0001), mental health (r = -0.50, P = 0.001) and social functioning (r = -0.38, P = 0.001).

Conclusion: Depressed pregnant women had a lower quality of life. The proper management of depression during pregnancy can improve the quality of life in women. It is recommended that antenatal services integrate screening for depression into routine antenatal care.

Keywords: Quality of Life, Depression, Pregnant Women

Copyright © 2013, Kashan University of Medical Sciences.

▶Article type: Research Article; Received: 28 Nov 2012; Revised: 08 Apr 2013; Accepted: 18 Apr 2013; Epub: 27 Jun 2013; Ppub: Jun 2013

>Implication for health policy/practice/research/medical education:

Midwives are among the primary health care providers who provide care to reproductive-age women. Then, the results of this study provide them knowledge of the potential effects of depressive symptoms on health related quality of life in pregnancy and the need for timely intervention.

>Please cite this paper as:

Abbaszadeh F, Kafaei Atrian M, Masoudi Alavi N, Bagheri A, Sadat Z, Karimian Z. Relationship between Quality of Life and Depression in Pregnant Women. Nurs Midwifery Stud. 2013;2(2):193-7.

▶ Copyright © 2013, Kashan University of Medical Sciences.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Background

While pregnancy is a common event for reproductiveage women, surprisingly little has been published on the physical and emotional changes that typically occur during pregnancy (1). During the pregnancy period, dozens of biochemical, physiological and anatomical changes occur in women's body (2) . Such changes are beyond their control and are regarded as first changes that leave a woman physically and mentally vulnerable (3). However, for most women, pregnancy is considered as an evolutionary process but for successful coping, there is a need for physical, interpersonal and familial adjustments. Pregnant women often suffer from mental-health problems (4).

For some women, pregnancy is stressful enough to be causing mental illness. The disease may be a recurrence or worsening of pre-existing mental disorder or the start of a new disorder (5). Studies show that depression is common in pregnancy and its prevalence is 4% to 29%. The prevalence has been reported to be changing from 7.4%, to 12.8%, and 12% in the first, second and third trimester respectively (6). Also, the prevalence of depression during pregnancy has been reported to be between 25% to 30.6% in Iran (7, 8). Sex, age, marital status, and social, economical and cultural factors may affect the prevalence of depression. Wyman believes that Quality of Life (QOL) is affected by health status (9). Also, depression is strongly related to the decline of individual's perceived health and functional status (10). Maternal age, gestational age, parity, and income level all affect the QOL in pregnancy. Then, measurement of QOL is important in care-planning for mothers and babies. The policymakers and community health care societies may benefit from the QOL information (11). Recent studies have shown that lower physical and social functioning in pregnancy is associated with increased risk of preterm delivery (12, 13). This may increase the number of prenatal care visits and recurrent fetal testing (14). Abbaszadeh et al. showed that pregnant women had lowest level of QOL in "functional limitations due to physical health problems" and "vitality" in normal pregnancy (15). Nicholson et al. found that the physical dimension of QOL was significantly lower in depressed pregnant women in early pregnancy (16). However, only a few studies are available about QOL and mental problems in pregnant women. These studies have limited generalizability, because most of analyses were conducted among white and middle-class samples (17) or among women in the first trimester of pregnancy (16). Also, a little data exist for racially and economically diverse samples (2).

2. Objectives

Because QOL is affected by the beliefs and culture and due to the correlation between prenatal depression and physical or mental health among Iranian women was not previously investigated. The current research aimed to explore the relationship between depression and QOL in pregnant women in Kashan, Iran.

3. Patients and Methods

A Case-control study was performed on 112 depressed pregnant women (Case Group) and 353 Non-depressed pregnant women (Control Group) who referred to the prenatal health care centers of Kashan University of Medical Sciences from September to December 2006. Women were eligible to participate in this study if they could read and write and had gestational age 7-41 weeks. Also, not having a known mental illness or important medical or obstetrical problems resulting in a high-risk pregnancy, or a history of traumatic events such as the dead of close relatives and changing the job in the previous year were selected as additional inclusion criteria. All women were free to participate and were assured of confidentiality of their personal information.

Cluster random sampling was done. All the health centers in Kashan city were divided into five regions, and then two centers from every region were randomly selected. All women referring to these centers for prenatal care were recruited to the study. After explanation of the research objectives and obtaining informed consent, the women completed the depression and the SF-36 questionnaires.

Depression was assessed using the Beck Depression Inventory, second edition (BDI). BDI contains 21 multiplechoice self-report items that assess the intensity of depressive symptoms in areas such as mood, pessimism, guilt, sense of failure, suicidal thoughts, fatigue and weight loss. One can get the score from 0 to 63. A score higher than 16 is an indicator for depression (17). BDI is a valid and reliable questionnaire with 0.93 alpha-cronbach coefficient (7), and its Persian version has been used in different studies in Iran (18, 19).

SF-36 questionnaire was another tool that was used in the current study. This questionnaire is a general measure of patients' health that can adequately assess the QOL. SF-36 questionnaire has 36 items in eight dimensions of physical functioning (10 items), social functioning (2 items), physical problems (4 items), emotional problems (3 items), mental health (5 items), vitality (4 items), pain (2 items) and general health perception (5 items). The potential score is from 0 to 100. A higher score shows a better health condition. The validity and reliability of the Persian version of this questionnaire have been already studied in Iran (alpha-cronbach between 0.77-0.9) (20).

After completing BDI by pregnant women, 112 women were placed in the case group (with score higher than 16) and 353 women in the control group (with scores equal to or lower than 16). The case and control groups were matched for maternal age, gestational age, parity, economic status, unwanted pregnancy and support of the spouse and statistical analysis (t-test and Chi-square) showed no significant difference between the two groups with respect to these variables.

Statistical analysis of the data was performed using SPSS version 11.5. Descriptive analyses were used to explore frequencies, means, and standard deviations to summarize data. Differences in means were analyzed using independent sample t-test. Chi-square test and Fisher's exact test were also applied to nominal variables. The relationships of QOL and depression were evaluated using a Pearson Correlation coefficient. Also, Odds ratio and 95% confidence interval were calculated. A P-value of less than 0.05 was regarded to be significant.

4. Results

In the current study, the majority of depressed and non-depressed subjects were aged between 18 - 23 years. The mean age was 25.4 ± 4.63 year and 25.32 ± 4.41 year in depressed and non-depressed women respectively. Also, the mean of gestational age was 26.69 ± 9.71 months and 24.93 ± 9.2 months in depressed and non-depressed women. Most of the subjects (36.7%) had a high school education (Table 1). The majorities of women were housewives (94.3%) and experienced their first pregnancy (57.4%).

Table 1. Comparison of Maternal Age, Gestational age and Parity in Depressed and Non-Depressed Women					
$Characteristics, Mean \pm SD$	Depressed, (n =353)	Non-depressed , (n =112)	95% CI ^a	P value	T-Test
Maternal age, year	25.40 ± 4.63	25.32 ± 4.41	-1.03-0.88	P=0.66	0.16
Gestational age, week	26.69 ± 9.71	24.93 ± 9.20	-3.79-0.28	P=0.08	-1.70
Parity	1.54 ± 0.74	1.66 ± 0.89	-0.24-0.85	P=0.372	0.92
^a CI: Confidence Interval					

Table 2. The Distribution of Income, Support of the Spouse and Unwanted Pregnancy in the Two Groups

Characteristics, No. (%)	Depressed, (n =353)	Non-depressed , (n =112)	P value	Chi-square	Odds Ratio
Income					
low	148 (41.9)	33 (29.5)	0.12	0.018	1.73
Moderate-high	205 (58.1)	79 (70.5)			
Support of the spouse					
Yes	311 (88.1)	94 (83.9)	0.129	0.251	1.10
No	42 (11.9)	18 (16.1)			
Unwanted pregnancy					
Yes	19 (17)	43 (12.2)	0.143	0.194	0.68
No	93 (83)	310 (87.8)			

The mean score of BDI was 11.79 ± 8.10 in women. Eighty women (17%) had moderate depression with the score of 17-24, and 32 women (7%) had severe depression with the score more than 24. Table 3 shows that means of QOL was lower

in depressed women than non-depressed ones and that the depressed women had significantly lower scores in all dimensions, except "functional limitations due to physical health problems " compared to non-depressed ones.

Table 3. Comparison of Mean and Standard Deviation of Overall QOL and Its Dimensions in Depressed and Non-Depressed Groups

Dimensions of Quality of Life	Depressed, 16 <, (Mean ± SD)	Non-depressed, 16 ≤, (Mean±SD)	95% Confidence Inter- val of the Difference	P value
Overall quality of life	52.85±11.80	63.72 ± 12.86	5.96 - 11.11	0.001
General health	54.17 ± 15.67	64.09 ± 14.08	1.72 - 7.67	0.001
Physical functioning	57.10 ± 19.81	64.45 ± 22.42	1.26 - 10.81	0.007
Functional limitations due to physical health problems	53.36±15.74	57.00±19.35	4.15 - 12.22	0.073
Functional limitations due to emotional problems	58.75 ± 19.99	65.78 ± 22.35	7.85 - 17.11	0.003
Social functioning	51.98 ± 21.87	67.76 ± 21.57	2.55 - 11.63	0.001
Bodily pain	50.09 ± 25.48	61.17 ± 24.77	3.40 - 13.86	0.001
Vitality	43.45 ± 16.38	59.72 ± 17.28	7.60 - 14.18	0.001
Mental health	52.45 ± 19.08	70.04 ± 16.25	7.32 - 14.31	0.001

Dimensions of Quality of Life	Correlation coefficient	P Value
General health	- 0.37	0.001
Physical functioning	- 0.217	0.001
Functional limitations due to physical health problems	- 0.20	0.001
Functional limitations due to emotional problems	- 0.20	0.001
Social functioning	- 0.38	0.001
Bodily pain	- 0.29	0.001

Table 4 shows that depression was negatively correlated with all QOL subscale scores.

5. Discussion

Abbaszadeh F et al.

The results showed that QOL in depressed pregnant women was lower than that of non-depressed women. Average scores in all dimensions of QOL except "functional limitations due to physical health problems" were significantly lower in depressed pregnant women. Previous studies emphasize the importance of health-related QOL within the broader context of maternal health and pregnancy outcomes. Lower physical and social functioning in pregnancy has been linked to an increased risk of preterm birth (12, 13). Poor emotional functioning has been associated with an increase in primary care visits and resource use (21). In particular, poor maternal physical or emotional functioning could lead to an increase in prenatal visits or fetal testing (14).

Results of the present study were similar to those of Forozandeh et al. who found that depressed pregnant women had more health-related physical and functional impairment (22). Lee et al. also showed that depressed pregnant women suffer from impaired physical and mental health and lower levels of QOL (23). Another study have also reported that there is a negative correlation between QOL and depression in pregnant women with high risk pregnancies and that mean scores of QOL in pregnant women with moderate depression was significantly lower than that of pregnant women with no or mild depression (24). Couto et al. in a study on women with histories of recurrent abortion, fetal death and early neonatal death found an inverse correlation between the SF-36 domains and depression and that they had lower scores for physical functioning and higher scores in the domain of bodily pain than women without such histories (25). However the current study showed poorer QOL in depressed pregnant women than in non-depressed ones even in normal pregnancy.

Maki et al. found a negative relationship between QOL or and functional status and depression among low-income minority women in late pregnancy. They came to the conclusion that depressed pregnant women had lower scores in all eight domains of quality of life compared to non-depressed women. In another study, Nicholson et al. also found similar results in early pregnancy (16). This study suggests that depression may affect on all dimensions of QOL throughout pregnancy. Depression can also affect negatively on functions of the immune system and neuroendocrine pathways (26, 27)

The current study showed that quality of life scores in all dimensions were considerably lower in depressed women. Mental health score was 17 points lower in depressed women while the vitality was 16.27 points lower in depressed women. Nicholson et al. in their research in USA (2006) had the same results. They also found that depressed women had significantly lower scores on all dimensions of quality of life except the physical functioning. The score of pain was 19 points lower, and the score of physical problems was 30 points lower (14). It seems that the difference in quality of life scores in the two studies is due to the difference in subjects. In the current study, the pregnant women were healthy but in the Nicholson's research the women with medical problems had also been recruited.

The current study broadens the understanding of the effect of depressive symptoms on health-related quality of life through pregnancy. This work signifies the importance of identifying depressive symptoms in pregnancy, the independent association of depressive symptoms with health-related QOL, and potential opportunities for physician training and intervention. Further studies are needed to determine whether the assessment and treatment of depressive symptoms in pregnant women can increase their quality of life. Future studies might also prospectively evaluate the effect of depressive symptoms on biologic mechanisms of pregnancy and perinatal outcomes.

The results of the current study showed that quality of life scores are lower in depressed pregnant women and depressed women suffer from impaired physical and mental health. Given the prevalence and severity of depression in healthy pregnant women, there is a need for depression screening by standard tests in the first visits in prenatal care. The proper management of depression during pregnancy can improve the QOL in the women. It is recommended that antenatal services integrate screening for depression into routine antenatal care.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all the participants who made this study possible.

Author's contribution

Fatemeh Abbaszadeh designed the research and performed data analysis and prepared the first draft of the manuscript and supervised the study, Mahboobe Kafaei Atrian and Negin Masoudi Alavi contributed in preparing the first draft of the manuscript, Azam Bagheri, Zohreh Sadat, Zahra Karimian collected the data.

Financial Disclosure

The authors declare that they have no competence interests.

Funding/Support

There is no founding support.

References

- McKee MD, Cunningham M, Jankowski KR, Zayas L. Health-related functional status in pregnancy: relationship to depression and social support in a multi-ethnic population. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2001;97(6):988-93.
- Cunningham FG, Leveno KJ, Bloom SL, Hauth JC, Rouse DJ. Spong C YWilliams obstetrics. 22th ed. New York: Mc Graw-Hill; 2000. p. 122.
- Myles MF, Bennett VR, Brown LK. Myles textbook for midwives. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone; 1993.
- Jannati Y, Khaky N. Psychiatry in midwifery. Tehran: Jameah negar; 2005. p. 134.
- 5. Cunningham F, Leveno KJ, Bloom SL, Hauth JC, Rouse DJ. Spong C YWilliams obstetrics. 23th ed. New york: Mc Graw-Hill; 2010.
- 6. Bennett HA, Einarson A, Taddio A, Koren G, Einarson TR. Prevalence of depression during pregnancy: systematic review. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2004;**103**(4):698-709.
- Modabbernia MJ, Shojaei Tehrani H, Heydari Nejad S. [Survey the Frequency of Depression in the Last Third Months of Pregnancy]. J Guilan Univ Med Sci. 2009;18(2):19-25.
- Karbakhsh M, Sedaghat M. [Depression in pregnancy: Implications for prenatal screening]. J Iran Inst Health Sci Res. 2002;4:49-55.
- Wyman JF. Quality of life of older adults with urinary incontinence. J Am Geriatr Soc. 1998;46(6):778-9.
- 10. Orr ST, Blazer DG, James SA, Reiter JP. Depressive symptoms and indicators of maternal health status during pregnancy. *J Womens Health (Larchmt).* 2007;**16**(4):535-42.
- 11. Mirmohammadali M, Khakbazan Z, Kazemnejad A, Abbasza-

deh F. [Comparison of quality of life and depression in normal and in high risk pregnancy]. *Hayat J Tehran Nurs Midwif Facult.* 2007;**13**(1):35-42.

- 12. Haas JS, Meneses V, McCormick MC. Outcomes and health status of socially disadvantaged women during pregnancy. *J Womens Health Gend Based Med.* 1999;8(4):547-53.
- 13. Goldenberg RL, Culhane JF. Prepregnancy health status and the risk of preterm delivery. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2005;**159**(1):89-90.
- Minkovitz CS, Strobino D, Scharfstein D, Hou W, Miller T, Mistry KB, et al. Maternal depressive symptoms and children's receipt of health care in the first 3 years of life. *Pediatrics*. 2005;115(2):306-14.
- Abbaszadeh F, Baghery A, Mehran N. [Quality of life in pregnant women: results from Kashan, Iran]. Pak J Med Sci. 2010;26(3):692-97.
- Nicholson WK, Setse R, Hill-Briggs F, Cooper LA, Strobino D, Powe NR. Depressive symptoms and health-related quality of life in early pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2006;**107**(4):798-806.
- Maloni JA, Park S, Anthony MK, Musil CM. Measurement of antepartum depressive symptoms during high-risk pregnancy. *Res Nurs Health.* 2005;28(1):16-26.
- Ramezanzadeh F, Noorbala AA, Abedinia N, Rahimi Forooshani A, Naghizadeh MM. Psychiatric intervention improved pregnancy rates in infertile couples. *Malays J Med Sci.* 2011;18(1):16-24.
- 19. Kheirabadi GR, Maracy MR. Perinatal depression in a cohort study on Iranian women. *J Res Med Sci*. 2010;**15**(1):41-9.
- 20. Montazeri Ali, Vahdaninia Mariam, Mousavi Sayed J, Omidvari Speideh. The Iranian version of 12-item Short Form Health Survey (SF-12): factor structure, internal consistency and construct validity. *BMC Public Health*. 2009;**9**(1):341.
- 21. Druss BG, Rosenheck RA, Sledge WH. Health and disability costs of depressive illness in a major U.S. corporation. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2000;**157**(8):1274-8.
- 22. Forouzandeh N, Delaram M, Deris F. The quality of mental health status in pregnancy and its contributing factors on women visiting the health care centers of Shahrekord. *J Reprod Infertil.* 2003:146-55.
- 23. Li J, Mao J, Du Y, Morris JL, Gong G, Xiong X. Health-related quality of life among pregnant women with and without depression in Hubei, China. *Matern Child Health J.* 2012;**16**(7):1355-63.
- 24. Pesavento F, Marconcini E, Drago D. [Quality of life and depression in normal and in high-risk pregnancy. Analysis of a sample of 100 women]. *Minerva Gynecol.* 2005;**57**(4):451-60.
- 25. Couto ER, Couto E, Vian B, Gregorio Z, Nomura ML, Zaccaria R, et al. Quality of life, depression and anxiety among pregnant women with previous adverse pregnancy outcomes. *Sao Paulo Med J.* 2009;**127**(4):185-9.
- Miller GE, Cohen S, Herbert TB. Pathways linking major depression and immunity in ambulatory female patients. *Psychosom Med.* 1999;61(6):850-60.
- 27. Setse R, Grogan R, Pham L, Cooper LA, Strobino D, Powe NR, et al. Longitudinal study of depressive symptoms and health-related quality of life during pregnancy and after delivery: the Health Status in Pregnancy (HIP) study. *Matern Child Health J.* 2009;**13**(5):577-87.