



## Medication Management Skills of Nursing Students: Comparing the Students and Their Instructors' Evaluation in two Universities

Zohre Ghamari Zare<sup>1,\*</sup>, Zahra Purfarzad<sup>2</sup>, Mohsen Adib-Hajbaghery<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Nursing, Islamic Azad University, Qom Branch, Qom, IR Iran

<sup>2</sup> Department of Nursing, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Arak University of Medical Sciences and Health Services, Arak, IR Iran

<sup>3</sup> Trauma Nursing Research Center, Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, IR Iran

\* Corresponding author: Zohre Ghamari Zare, Department of Nursing, Islamic Azad University, Qom Branch, Qom, IR Iran. Tel: +98-8614578472, Fax: +98-8612789430, E-mail: zohre.ghamari@yahoo.com.

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Nurses have critical roles in the process of medication. Evaluating the process of medication helps nursing educators and students to determine the present status and then, improve the quality of care.

**Objective:** The current study was conducted to compare the self-evaluation of nursing students in their own medication skills with the evaluation done by clinical instructors, in Qom's Azad University and Arak University of Medical Sciences, in 2011.

**Materials and Methods:** A descriptive study was conducted. Data gathered using a self-report questionnaire and an observation checklist. A total of 141 students and 10 instructors participated in the study. SPSS software (version 13) was employed to analyze the data. Descriptive statistics and Wilcoxon rank test were used.

**Results:** The mean scores for the domain of medication preparation were  $2.51 \pm 0.55$  and  $2.58 \pm 0.57$  based on the students' self-evaluation and the instructors' evaluation of the students, respectively. No significant difference was observed between the total mean scores of 'medication preparation' in the two evaluation methods ( $P > 0.05$ ). The highest mean score was achieved in the domain of drug administration both in the self-evaluation and evaluation by the instructors. A significant difference was observed between the total mean scores of 'drug administration' in the two evaluation methods ( $P < 0.05$ ). The domain 'post medication nursing care' has got the lowest mean score among the three domains both from the perspective of the students and that of the instructors. The mean scores of different domains of medication management were close in the two universities.

**Conclusions:** The present study showed that the nursing students are not quite competent in the process of medication therapy both from their own and their clinical instructors' perspectives. This finding shows the urgent need to implement some actions to strengthen the students' skills in medication therapy.

**Keywords:** Evaluation Studies; Medication Therapy Management; Students, Nursing

Copyright © 2013, Kashan University of Medical sciences.

► Article type: Research Article; Received: 12 Oct 2012, Revised: 01 Nov 2012, Accepted: 07 Nov 2012; DOI: 10.5812/nms.8555

► Implication for health policy/practice/research/medical education:

Nurse educators and curriculum designers should implement some actions to strengthen the students' skills in medication Therapy.

► Please cite this paper as:

Ghamari Zare Z, Purfarzad Z, Adib-Hajbaghery M. Medication Management Skills of Nursing Students: Comparing the Students and Their Instructors Evaluation in two Universities. *Nurs Midwifery Stud.* 2013;2(1):139-45. DOI: 10.5812/nms.8555

► Copyright © 2013, Kashan University of Medical sciences.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## 1. Background

Medication administration process is one of the critical aspects of professional nursing care (1, 2). Competence of nurses and nursing students in this area plays a highly important role in the safety of patients (3). The nursing education programs have the responsibility to prepare efficient and competent graduates to manage the patients' medication effectively (4). However, some studies have shown that these students are not competent in medication management (3, 5). Studies have reported that nursing curriculums couldn't provide sufficient experiences to develop students' knowledge and skills in pharmacology and medication management. Therefore, the quality of nurses and nursing students' performance in medication management is low (6-11). Several studies have also reported that nursing students have difficulties in understanding medication concepts (12, 13), medication calculations (5, 6, 11), and performing safe injection practices (14). Nursing students spend much of their training in clinical settings, therefore the process of clinical education and evaluating the students clinical practice have critical importance in ensuring their safe practice (15, 16). Usually the clinical nurse educators have the responsibility to evaluate the students' performances and judge their competence. However, it has been emphasized to use the students' self-evaluation in the last decade (17, 18). It has been reported that monitoring students behavior through self-evaluation checklists can help educators to identify the strengths and weaknesses of curriculum (19) and also help the students enhance their learning strategies, and assist them to become independent, and can help them to select higher goals and to try harder to realize these goals (20, 21). Although several western and European studies have investigated the deficiencies of nursing students' medication skills, self-evaluation has rarely been used to assess the nursing student medication skills. On the other hand, this area has largely been neglected in Iran. Then again, two separate academic systems are currently active in nursing education, one in the Open University system called Islamic Azad University and another in the universities under governance of the ministry of health and medical education. However no studies have been conducted to compare the efficacy of these two educational systems in the field of nursing education.

## 2. Objectives

Because of the lack of studies on the medication skills of Iranian nursing students, and the need to elucidate the effectiveness of students' self-evaluation in this important area, and also to compare the outcome of the two educational systems in this regard, the current study was conducted to compare the nursing students self-evaluation of their own medication skills with evaluation of the same skills done by clinical instructors, in Qom Azad University and Arak University of Medical Science, in 2011.

## 3. Materials and Methods

This descriptive study was conducted on the fourth year nursing students and their instructors in Qom Islamic Azad University and Arak University of Medical Sciences in the second semester of 2011. All the nursing students who entered their 4th year of academic studying were selected. Therefore a total of 141 nursing students (78 students in Qom Azad University and 63 students in Arak University) and 10 instructors in medical-surgical departments (five in each university) participated in the study. Data collection instruments included a self-report self-evaluation questionnaire and an observation checklist.

### 3.1. The self-Evaluation Questionnaire

The self-evaluation questionnaire was prepared after a vast literature review (3, 6, 14, 22-25) and had two parts. The first part included four questions on demographic characteristics (including age, gender, educational term, having a history of part time nursing job) and the second part consisted of 26 items in three domains of medication preparation (10 items), medication administration (10 items) and post medication nursing care (six items). These items were in the first person singular verb sentences and the students answered them in a three choice Likert scale, with options ranging from 'always = 3' to 'never = 1'. The Questionnaires were distributed by the researcher or co-researcher (who had been briefed by the researcher to participate in data collection) on the day before each student finished his/her internship course. All observation checklists had been completed before the self-evaluation started.

### 3.2. The Observation Checklist

The observation checklist was consistent with the self-report questionnaire. However third person singular verbs had been used. The nurse instructors had been trained to observe each student secretly at least twice during the internship period. Each item in the observation checklist was scored from 'always = 3' to 'never = 1'. The instructors returned the checklists to the researcher at the end of course. Content validity of the data gathering tools were confirmed by several nursing faculty members in the two universities and a few nurses in a teaching hospital of the Qom city. Reliability of the checklist was assessed through inter-raters reliability. To do this, two faculty member nurse educators were asked to use the checklists to assess three students simultaneously (while the students were administering medications for their patients). Then results were compared with each other and were similar for all the three students. Reliability of the self-evaluation questionnaire was also assessed by calculating the Cronbach's alpha which was 0.77 for the whole questionnaire.

### 3.3. Ethical Considerations

The study was approved by institutional review board and the research ethics committee in Qom Islamic Azad University. Also all the student and nurse instructors who participated, signed an informed consent form for participating in the study. They were assured that all information will remain confidential and will not need to write the name.

### 3.4. Data Analysis

SPSS software (version 13) was employed to analyze the data. Mean score and standard deviation were calculated. Also Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and Wilcoxon rank test were used to compare subgroups. A P value less than 0.05 were selected to be significant. The total mean score in each domain was calculated by summing the scores of items and then dividing the product by the number of items in the domain.

## 4. Results

From the total of 141 nursing students who participated in this study 84.4% were female, 23.4% had a history of working part time in the field of nursing, and 49.6% were in the seventh semester. The mean age of the students was  $23.06 \pm 2.21$  year. The mean scores for the domain of medication preparation were  $2.51 \pm 0.55$  and  $2.58 \pm 0.57$  based on the students' self-evaluation and the instructors' evaluation, respectively. 'Washing the hands before medication preparation' got the lowest mean score in

this domain and among items evaluated in all three domains. No significant difference was observed between the total mean scores of 'medication preparation' in the two evaluation methods ( $P > 0.05$ ) (Table 1). The highest mean score was achieved in the domain of medication administration both in the self-evaluation (2.78) and the evaluation made by the instructors (2.72). All items in this domain have got a mean score higher than 2.5. "Using the right method of administration" and "determining the correct location of injection" gained the highest mean scores among the items in this domain. A significant difference was observed between the total mean scores of 'drug administration' in the two evaluation methods ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Table 2). The domain 'post medication nursing care' has got the lowest mean score among the three domains both from the perspective of the students and that of the instructors. Also the item 'Follow-up of response to treatment' got the lowest mean score in this domain. No significant difference was observed between the total mean scores of 'post medication nursing care' in the two evaluation methods ( $P > 0.05$ ) (Table 3). As presented in Table 4, the mean scores of different domains of medication management were close in the two universities, and the total self-evaluation mean scores of the two domains of medication management were not significantly different. However, statistically significant difference was observed in the students self-evaluation in the domain of drug preparation in the two universities ( $P = 0.01$ ). Also Table 4 shows that total instructors' evaluation mean scores were nearly the same and were significant in the domain of drug administration ( $P = 0.006$ ).

**Table 1.** The Mean Score and Standard Deviation for Self-Evaluation and Evaluation by Instructors in the Domain of Medication Preparation

Items	Student, Mean $\pm$ SD	Instructor, Mean $\pm$ SD	P value
Washing the hands before medication preparation	1.87 $\pm$ 0.61	1.80 $\pm$ 0.57	0.15
Cleaning the tray before putting the drug	2.04 $\pm$ 0.74	1.90 $\pm$ 0.70	0.01
Taking right drug from medicine box and checking again with drug card	2.93 $\pm$ 0.27	2.86 $\pm$ 0.38	0.008
Checking the drug expiry date	1.98 $\pm$ 0.75	1.98 $\pm$ 0.74	0.81
Checking the time of drug administration with drug card	2.91 $\pm$ 0.32	2.90 $\pm$ 0.32	0.52
Checking the required dose of drug with the dosage listed on the packaging of tablets, oral medication box, and the vial or ampoule	2.87 $\pm$ 0.36	2.85 $\pm$ 0.35	0.44
Using a piece of alcoholic cotton to breaking the ampoule	2.82 $\pm$ 0.44	2.83 $\pm$ 0.40	0.82
Disinfecting head of serum or vial before use	2.51 $\pm$ 0.56	2.63 $\pm$ 0.51	0.009
Monitoring the patient's vital signs and laboratory tests before giving some medications	2.37 $\pm$ 0.59	2.24 $\pm$ 0.64	0.01
Reviewing drugs prepared with the instructor and drug card	2.82 $\pm$ 0.41	2.79 $\pm$ 0.43	0.39
Total	2.51 $\pm$ 0.24	2.48 $\pm$ 0.26	0.058

**Table 2.** The Mean Score and Standard Deviation for Self-Evaluation and Evaluation by Instructors in the Domain of Medication Administration

Items	Student, Mean $\pm$ SD	Instructor, Mean $\pm$ SD	P value
Wearing gloves before injections	2.65 $\pm$ 0.50	2.53 $\pm$ 0.54	0.002
Checking the patient's IV lines and micro-set for its expiration date and sterility	2.87 $\pm$ 0.32	2.72 $\pm$ 0.49	0.001
Giving drug to the right patient (by asking the patient's full name from the patient or the care givers.	2.87 $\pm$ 0.32	2.90 $\pm$ 0.30	0.4
Replacing IV tubing, non-sterile needles and syringes	2.90 $\pm$ 0.32	2.78 $\pm$ 0.47	0.001
Cleaning the injection site with rotating outward movement of alcohol cotton.	2.63 $\pm$ 0.61	2.63 $\pm$ 0.64	0.86
Correct locating of the injection site	2.95 $\pm$ 0.21	2.91 $\pm$ 0.28	0.09
Using the right method for administering injective drugs administration (IV, infusion, IM)	2.96 $\pm$ 0.18	2.91 $\pm$ 0.28	0.008
Ensuring the intactness of vein before IV injections	2.80 $\pm$ 0.40	2.71 $\pm$ 0.49	0.02
Correct medication calculations (including adjusting the rate of intravenous fluids).	2.58 $\pm$ 0.57	2.56 $\pm$ 0.55	0.71
Communicating with patients during drug administration.	2.63 $\pm$ 0.51	2.58 $\pm$ 0.53	0.25
Total	2.78 $\pm$ 0.19	2.72 $\pm$ 0.25	0.005

**Table 3.** The Mean Score and Standard Deviation for Self-Evaluation and Evaluation by Instructors in the Domain of Post Medication Nursing Care

Items	Student, Mean $\pm$ SD	Instructor, Mean $\pm$ SD	P value
Do not re-cap the used needles	2.0 $\pm$ 0.74	2.02 $\pm$ 0.75	0.63
Cutting needles with cutter or dispose them into the safety box	2.93 $\pm$ 0.24	2.92 $\pm$ 0.29	0.59
Disposing vials, serum and blood sets into the infectious bins	2.91 $\pm$ 0.28	2.87 $\pm$ 0.32	0.09
Giving necessary education related to medications to patients	2.48 $\pm$ 0.55	2.42 $\pm$ 0.64	0.22
Follow-up the patient's response to the treatment	1.92 $\pm$ 0.63	1.97 $\pm$ 0.59	0.44
Ensuring of eating oral drugs by the patient	2.39 $\pm$ 0.55	2.30 $\pm$ 0.62	0.06
Total	2.44 $\pm$ 0.25	2.42 $\pm$ 0.29	0.47

**Table 4.** Comparison of the Students Self Evaluation and Instructors Evaluation in the two Universities

Type of evaluation	Domain of evaluation	Governmental university (Arak), Mean $\pm$ SD	Azad university (Qom), Mean $\pm$ SD	P value
Self-evaluation	Medication Preparation	2.4 $\pm$ 0.25	2.6 $\pm$ 0.18	0.01
	Drug administration	2.76 $\pm$ 0.21	2.81 $\pm$ 0.15	0.42
	Post medication nursing care	2.41 $\pm$ 0.22	2.47 $\pm$ 0.26	0.2
Instructor evaluation	Medication Preparation	2.51 $\pm$ 0.25	2.45 $\pm$ 0.27	0.18
	Drug administration	2.78 $\pm$ 0.21	2.67 $\pm$ 0.27	0.006
	Post medication nursing care	2.45 $\pm$ 0.28	2.39 $\pm$ 0.3	0.17

## 5. Discussion

In the present study the highest mean score was achieved in the domain of drug administration both in the students' self-evaluation and the evaluation made by the instructors. It seems that both the students and their instructors are aware of the critical importance of this domain. It is not known why the students frequently ignore some important steps (such as wearing gloves) in drug administration. While Workman reported that although gloves do not protect nurses from needle stick injuries, but it helps preventing cross-infections and drug-induced allergies in care givers (26). However, several points in this area such as 'wearing gloves during injections', 'medication calculations' and 'communication with patients while drug administration' need to be paid more attention so that the nursing student be completely competent in this area at the time of graduation. Several previous studies have reported that nurses and nursing students have deficiencies in their medication competence in several areas such as medication calculation skills and nurse patient communication during medication administration (5, 11, 27-31) while they are expected to be able to administer medications competently and safely immediately after graduation. A previous study has attributed the problems in this domain to unsupervised medication administration by nursing students (32). Then nursing clinical instructors should choose appropriate strategies to strengthen their direct and indirect supervision in this area. The present study showed that the domain of 'medication preparation' got the second rank between the three domains both based on the student self-evaluation and instructors evaluation. Previous studies on nurse students' medication competence have largely addressed nurse students' errors in medication calculation (5). Although calculation errors are important to be noticed, however, several other important items should be noticed while preparing medications. The current study findings suggest that the students pay attention to the two important principles in medication preparation (i.e. the right medication and the right time for medication). However, the two items 'hand washing before the procedure and checking the expiry date of the drugs' got the lowest scores in this domain both from the students and the instructors' viewpoints. Perhaps, lack of a good clinical role model and shortcomings in the process of supervision by the instructors, made students follow what they see in the routine performances of the staff nurses. Overall, the domain of medication needs more attention from the side of nurse instructors. Findings of the present study are consistent with previous studies. Cohen has reported that most medication errors occur when the nurse is careless in implementing standard rules of medication administration (33). Some of the studies have also reported that a small number of nurses wash their hands before intravenous injection (34,

35). Perhaps, deficiencies in content of the pharmacology course and weaknesses of clinical nurse instructors in supervising nursing students have influenced the situation (13, 36). Results of the present study showed that both the students and the instructors gave the lowest scores to the domain of 'post medication nursing care'. Evaluating the outcome of nursing care is a basic step in nursing process. Medication administration is one of the most important nursing interventions and should be done with excellence. Monitoring and evaluating the drug effects is also a component of safe and effective medication administration (13). However, it seems that the domain of post medication needs to be emphasized more in the theoretical and clinical course related to the pharmacology and medical surgical nursing courses. Also the problems in the post medication nursing care may be attributed to lack of time and the time of medication administration by the nursing students. The researcher's observation shows that the medication orders of hospitalized patients (when administered by the junior nursing students) are usually administered at the end of time, when the students are being ready to leave the ward. Then they have no time for post medication evaluations. Such a behavior is then internalized by the students in their future performance. An important finding of this study was that the students and instructors reported a wrong function in needle recapping. Such finding shows that nursing students do not follow the guidelines for their own security which is consistent with some of the previous studies. There are studies which show a high percentage of the staff have used the two hand dangerous methods (13, 14, 37, 38). This finding shows the need for accurate supervision by the instructor, and the need for evidence based guideline to be prepared and instructed to all nurses and nursing students. Although the present study showed that the self-evaluation of nursing students was close to the evaluation made by their instructors. However, the students' self-evaluation scores were somehow higher than the scores of instructors. This may be due to the lack of informative and corrective feedback from the side of instructors, and then the students assume that all their performances are correct. The present study showed that the total student self-evaluation and the instructors' evaluation mean scores were nearly the same in the two universities. This finding shows that the content and manner of education do not significantly differ in the two types of universities. In conclusion, the present study showed that the nursing students are not completely competent in the process of medication therapy both from their own and their clinical instructors' perspective. This finding shows the urgent need to implement some actions to strengthen the students' skills in medication therapy. Finally, it should be noticed that the small sample size of this study may limit the generalization of the present study. Repeating the same study in other universities and with larger samples can be suggested.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the clinical instructors and students of Islamic Azad University, Qom branch and Arak university of Medical Sciences who generously gave their time to take part in this study.

## Authors' Contribution

Zohre Ghamari-Zare was responsible for the study conception and design, prepared the first draft of manuscript and data collected from the Islamic Azad University of Qom and supervised the study. Zahra Purfarzad performed the data collection of Arak University of Medical Science and the data analysis. Mohsen Adib-Hajbaghery made critical revisions to the paper and prepared the last revision of the manuscript.

## Financial Disclosure

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Funding/Support

This study was funded and supported by Deputy of Research, Qom Islamic Azad University, (code no. 1/90 dated 21.02.90).

## References

- Sung YH, Kwon IG, Ryu E. Blended learning on medication administration for new nurses: integration of e-learning and face-to-face instruction in the classroom. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2008;**28**(8):943-52.
- Wolf ZR, Hicks R, Serembus JF. Characteristics of medication errors made by students during the administration phase: a descriptive study. *J Prof Nurs*. 2006;**22**(1):39-51.
- Manias E. Pharmacology content in undergraduate nursing programs: is there enough to support nurses in providing safe and effective care? *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2009;**46**(1):1-3.
- Banning M. The use of structured assessments, practical skills and performance indicators to assess the ability of pre-registration nursing students' to apply the principles of pharmacology and therapeutics to the medication management needs of patients. *Nurse Educ Pract*. 2004;**4**(2):100-6.
- Grandell-Niemi H, Hupli M, Leino-Kilpi H. Medication calculation skills of graduating nursing students in Finland. *Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract*. 2001;**6**(1):15-24.
- Andrew S, Salamonson Y, Halcomb EJ. Nursing students' confidence in medication calculations predicts math exam performance. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2009;**29**(2):217-23.
- Baghcheghi N, Koohestani H. [Errors of nursing students in the preparation and administration of intravenous drugs]. *Strides Dev Med Educ*. 2008;**5**(1):43-49.
- Kazaoka T, Ohtsuka K, Ueno K, Mori M. Why nurses make medication errors: a simulation study. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2007;**27**(4):312-7.
- Kohestani H. Investigation medication errors of nursing students in Cardiac. *Sci J Forensic Med*. 2008;**13**(4):249-255.
- Kouhestani HR, Baghcheghi N, Khosravi S. Frequency, Type and Causes of Medication Errors In Student Nurses. *Q Iran J Nurs*. 2008;**21**(53):17-27.
- Salimi T, Shahbazi L, Mojahed S, Ahmadi MH, Dehghanpour MH. Comparing the effects of lecture and work in small groups on nursing students' skills in calculating medication dosage. *Iranian J Med Educ*. 2007;**7**(1):79-84.
- Dokoohaki R, Shrif N, Rahnema Sh, AzarHooshang P, Jahanbin I. [Evaluation of knowledge and practice of the third year student nurses about drugs by OSCE method]. *Iran J Nurs*. 2008;**21**(55):101-109.
- Manias E, Bullock S. The educational preparation of undergraduate nursing students in pharmacology: clinical nurses' perceptions and experiences of graduate nurses' medication knowledge. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2002;**39**(8):773-84.
- Jalalinia SF, Zakerimoghaddam M, Kazemnezhad A. [Evaluation of the use of safe injection methods by nurses in emergency departments]. *Hayat*. 2006;**12**(1):35-45.
- Hadizadeh talasaz F, Firoozi M, Shamaean razavi N. [Evaluation of status of clinical education from the viewpoints of nursing & midwifery students in Gonabad's School of Medical Sciences]. *Iranian J Med Educ*. 2005;**5**(1):70-78.
- Hoseiny N, Karimi Z, Malekzadeh J. [Clinical Education from the point of Nursing Students in Nursing and midwifery college Yasouj]. *Iranian J Med Educ*. 2005;**5**(2):171-175.
- Andrade MR. Monitoring Student Performance with Self-Evaluation Checklists: An Ongoing Case Study. Available from: <http://www.jrc.sophia.ac.jp/courses/pdf/kiyou2701.pdf>.
- Bradley E, Blackshaw C, Nolan P. Nurse lecturers' observations on aspects of nurse prescribing training. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2006;**26**(7):538-44.
- Jansen JJ, Tan LH, van der Vleuten CP, van Luijk SJ, Rethans JJ, Grol RP. Assessment of competence in technical clinical skills of general practitioners. *Med Educ*. 1995;**29**(3):247-53.
- Henderson A, Beattie H, Boyde M, Storrie K, Lloyd B. An evaluation of the first year of a collaborative tertiary-industry curriculum as measured by students' perception of their clinical learning environment. *Nurse Educ Pract*. 2006;**6**(4):207-13.
- Richardson A. Personal professional profiles. *Nurs stand*. 1998;**12**(38):35.
- Barracough K. Guidelines for the Administration of Medicines. *NHS Professionals*. 2010;**3**:1-7.
- Hunter J. Intramuscular injection techniques. *Nurs stand*. 2008;**22**(24):35.
- Morris R. Intravenous drug administration: a skill for student nurses? *Paediatr Nurs*. 2006;**18**(3):35-8.
- Reid-Searl K, Moxham LJ, Walker S. Medication administration and final year nursing students. *Studies in Learning, Evaluation, Innovation and Development*. 2008;**5**(2):46-55.
- Workman B. Safe injection techniques. *Nurs Stand*. 1999;**13**(39):47-53.
- Arabshahi KS. Nursing Students' Communication with Patients in Hospitals Affiliated to Kerman University of Medical Sciences. *Iranian J Med Educ*. 2006;**6**(1):43-9.
- Grandell-Niemi H, Hupli M, Leino-Kilpi H, Puukka P. Finnish nurses' and nursing students' pharmacological skills. *J Clin Nurs*. 2005;**14**(6):685-94.
- Kouhestani HR, Baghcheghi N. Medication calculation skills in nursing students of Arak University of Medical Sciences in 2007. *Iranian J Med Educ*. 2008;**7**(2):353-360.
- Weeks KW, Lyne P, Torrance C. Written drug dosage errors made by students: the threat to clinical effectiveness and the need for a new approach. *Clinical Effectiveness in Nursing*. 2000;**4**(1):20-29.
- Wright K. Student nurses need more than maths to improve their drug calculating skills. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2007;**27**(4):278-85.
- Wright K. Unsupervised medication administration by nursing students. *Nurs Stand*. 2005;**19**(39):49-54.
- Cohen H, Robinson ES, Mandrack M. Getting to the root of medication errors: Survey results. *Nursing*. 2003;**33**(9):36-45.
- Allah-Bakhshian A, Moghaddasian S, Zamanzadeh V, Parvan K, Allah-Bakhshian M. [Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of ICU Nurses about Nosocomial Infections Control in Teaching Hospi-

- tals of Tabriz]. *Iran J Nurs*. 2010;**23**(64):17-28.
35. Mehtar S, Shisana O, Mosala T, Dunbar R. Infection control practices in public dental care services: findings from one South African Province. *J Hosp Infect*. 2007;**66**(1):65-70.
  36. Reid-Searl K, Moxham L, Walker S, Happell B. Shifting supervision: implications for safe administration of medication by nursing students. *J Clin Nurs*. 2008;**17**(20):2750-7.
  37. Dianati M, Mirbagher Ajorpaz N, Heidari-moghaddam S, Heihari MA. Effect of a Face-to-Face Education Program Versus an Official-Imperative Method on the Needle-Disposal Behavior of Nurses Working in Shahid Beheshti Hospital, Kashan, Iran. *Nurs Midwifery Stud*. 2012;**1**(1):3-6.
  38. Doebbeling BN, Vaughn TE, McCoy KD, Beekmann SE, Woolson RF, Ferguson KJ, et al. Percutaneous injury, blood exposure, and adherence to standard precautions: are hospital-based health care providers still at risk? *Clin Infect Dis*. 2003;**37**(8):1006-13.