

University of Louisville

## ThinkIR: The University of Louisville's Institutional Repository

---

Electronic Theses and Dissertations

---

1938

### Factors involved in improving the blush resistance of lacquers.

Franklin D. Snyder  
*University of Louisville*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ir.library.louisville.edu/etd>



Part of the [Chemical Engineering Commons](#)

---

#### Recommended Citation

Snyder, Franklin D., "Factors involved in improving the blush resistance of lacquers." (1938). *Electronic Theses and Dissertations*. Paper 1957.  
<https://doi.org/10.18297/etd/1957>

This Master's Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by ThinkIR: The University of Louisville's Institutional Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Electronic Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of ThinkIR: The University of Louisville's Institutional Repository. This title appears here courtesy of the author, who has retained all other copyrights. For more information, please contact [thinkir@louisville.edu](mailto:thinkir@louisville.edu).

UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE

FACTORS INVOLVED IN IMPROVING  
THE BLUSH RESISTANCE OF LACQUERS

A Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty  
of the Graduate School  
in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of  
Master of Chemical Engineering

Department of Chemical Engineering

By

Franklin D. Snyder

1938

**FACTORS INVOLVED IN IMPROVING  
THE BLUSH RESISTANCE OF LACQUERS**

**Director: Dr. R. C. Ernst**

**Approved by Reading Committee:**

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

**May 25, 1938**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
HISTORICAL . . . . .	3
THEORY . . . . .	6
METHOD OF CONDUCTING TESTS . . . . .	10
MATERIALS . . . . .	12
RESULTS . . . . .	18
A. Influence of Resins . . . . .	19
B. Influence of Plasticizers . . . . .	21
C. Influence of Slowly Evaporating Solvents	22
D. Influence of Rapidly Evaporating Solvents	23
E. Influence of Water Soluble Components	24
F. Influence of Higher Alcohols . . . . .	26
G. Influence of Nitrocellulose . . . . .	27
Dehydrated with Butanol	
H. Influence of Excess Tolerance. . . . .	29
I. Influence of Slowly Evaporating Diluents	31
J. Influence of Replacing Butanol with Slowly Evaporating Esters. . . . .	33
K. Sprayout Vs. Flowout Tests. . . . .	36

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**(CONTINUED)**

	<b>PAGE</b>
<b>CONCLUSIONS . . . . .</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY . . . . .</b>	<b>40</b>

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

**The  
Author Wishes  
To Acknowledge His  
Appreciation  
For All The Kind and Valuable Aid  
Given by Dr. R. C. Ernst,  
Who Directed This Research.**

## **INTRODUCTION**

It is the purpose of this thesis to study the many factors involved in improving the blush resistance of lacquers.

The property of improved blush resistance can be accomplished in many ways. Which method, or combination of methods, is employed, will depend upon the basic formulation of the particular lacquer used, and the market price of the various lacquer solvents.

By the proper application of the findings of this thesis, many lacquer thinner formulations may be materially reduced in cost, with no sacrifice in the quality of blush resistance.

All the practical findings of this work have been proved by application to, and use in, commercial lacquers.



**HISTORICAL**

The general impression that lacquers are comparatively new is erroneous. An examination of the literature shows that nitrocellulose was first prepared in 1833 (24). In 1855 a patent (8) was issued for the use of a solution of nitrocellulose as a coating material for fabrics, paper, and leather. The next year (1856) a patent was issued (8) for a composition of nitrocellulose, resin, castor oil, and a coloring matter. This last is essentially the composition of a modern lacquer.

Many factors retarded the growth of the lacquer industry. A few of the most important were:

- (a) A scarcity and lack of suitable solvents for nitrocellulose.
- (b) Small quantities of nitrocellulose produced very viscous solutions, and consequently the lacquers solid content was very low.
- (c) Lacquers were rapid drying, so that their application was solved satisfactorily only upon invention of the spray gun.

- (d) A general lack of suitable equipment for the manufacture of lacquers.
- (e) A lack of education of the general public to the advantages of lacquers.
- (f) The cost of lacquers was relatively high compared to other coatings.

Only after a method was found to materially reduce the viscosity of nitrocellulose (14), enabling a relatively high solid content to be obtained, did lacquers become popular.

As a result of scientific investigation, these retarding factors have been overcome; and today lacquers have an undisputed place in the field of protective coverings.

**THEORY**

There are three distinct types of blush that can be distinguished from one another only by examination under the proper conditions.

They are:

(a) Cotton Blush

This results when the solvents in a lacquer are not properly balanced, and an excess of the nonsolvent is built up in the drying film. This type of blush can be easily remedied by adding a small quantity of a slowly evaporating, active solvent.

(b) Gum Blush

This may be caused by the incompatibility of the resin with nitrocellulose, or precipitation of the resin in the drying film, because of the improper solvent balance or selection. This is one of the most difficult types of blush to remedy.

(c) Water Blush

This is caused by the absorption heat by the vaporizing liquids. The resultant temperature

may be below the dew point of the air. In which case the precipitated moisture is emulsified in the drying lacquer film. If the film has set before the minute drops of water evaporate, the continuity of the dried film is broken and a whitening results. This blushing varies in intensity with the temperature and the humidity. That is, it is much worse on hot humid days.

Of the three types of blush mentioned, this work will be devoted solely to an investigation of water blush. A superficial examination of the problem seems to indicate the use of large quantities of slowly evaporating solvents. This is not practicable for three reasons.

They are:

(a) The drying time of the lacquer may be slowed beyond the practical point for production finishes.

(b) Slowly evaporating solvents are expensive; therefore the formulation may not be economical.

(c) A full understanding of the many

other factors involved, will help remedy the blushing of lacquers without greatly slowing the drying time or increasing the cost.

The average lacquer at spraying consistency contains 75 to 80 per cent of volatile matter which is relatively expensive. Therefore, it is easily understood why the economics of lacquer thinner formulation is such an important factor.

Much has been published concerning the solvent power and evaporation rate (1-3, 5-7, 9-13, 15-20, 22, 23) of various solvents; but virtually nothing has been published that will give the lacquer formulator a comprehensive picture of the many factors that will help improve blush resistance

**METHOD OF CONDUCTING TESTS**



All lacquers, except where noted, were prepared by dissolving one and one-fourth ( $1\frac{1}{4}$ ) pounds of wet R. S.  $\frac{1}{2}$  Second Nitrocellulose (70% dry nitrocellulose, 30% denatured alcohol) in one gallon of the specified lacquer thinner.

When weather conditions were favorable (hot, humid days) the lacquers were flowed simultaneously on a glass plate and observed for whitening. In all cases check runs were made.

The composition given for all lacquer thinners is in per cent by volume.

**MATERIALS**

All solvents, resins, and nitrocelluloses used in these tests were commercial products.

The products used and their physical constants were as follows:

#### SOLVENTS

##### Acetone

Distillation Range  $56^{\circ}$  to  $57.5^{\circ}$  C.

Specific Gravity 0.792

##### Amyl Acetate

Distillation Range  $120^{\circ}$  to  $145^{\circ}$  C.

Ester Content 92%

Specific Gravity 0.868

##### Butanol

Distillation Range  $114^{\circ}$  to  $118^{\circ}$  C.

Specific Gravity 0.811

##### Secondary Butanol

Distillation Range  $94^{\circ}$  to  $106^{\circ}$  C.

Specific Gravity 0.810

**Butyl Acetate**

Distillation Range 116° to 128° C.

Ester Content 90% to 92%

Specific Gravity 0.875

**Secondary Butyl Acetate**

Distillation Range 108° to 118° C.

Ester Content 85% to 88%

Specific Gravity 0.864

**Cellosolve**

Distillation Range 130° to 136° C.

Specific Gravity 0.930

**Denatured Alcohol**

Distillation Range 74° to 80° C.

Specific Gravity 0.810

**Ethyl Acetate**

Distillation Range 70° to 80° C.

Ester Content 85% to 88%

Specific Gravity 0.884

**Ethyl Acetate**

Distillation Range 75° to 80° C.

Ester Content 98%

Specific Gravity 0.900

**Fusel Oil (Amyl Alcohol)**

Distillation Range 110° to 135° C.

Specific Gravity 0.811

**Isopropyl Acetate**

Distillation Range 84° to 93° C.

Ester Content 85% to 88%

Specific Gravity 0.869

**Isopropyl Alcohol**

Distillation Range 78° to 85° C.

Specific Gravity 0.808

**Methanol**

Distillation Range 63° to 65° C.

Specific Gravity 0.797

**Methyl Ethyl Ketone**

Distillation Range 78° to 82° C.

Specific Gravity 0.805

**Toluol**

Distillation Range 109° to 111° C.

Specific Gravity 0.867

**Toluol**

Distillation Range 96° to 128° C.

Specific Gravity 0.732

**Xylol**

Distillation Range 133° to 145° C.

Specific Gravity 0.857

**RESINS****Beckacite #1114**

Rosin maleic anhydride glycerol ester.

Specific Gravity 1.17

Color I-K

Melting Range 193° to 102° C.

**Ester Gum**

Rosin glycerol ester

Specific Gravity 1.14

Color H-WG

Melting Range 65° to 72° C.

**Teglac Z-152**

Rosin maleic anhydride glycerol ester.

Specific Gravity 1.14

Color N

Melting Range 140° to 150° C.

**PLASTICIZERS****Bakers' No. 15 Castor Oil**

Blown castor oil.

Specific Gravity 0.995

**Dibutyl Phthalate****Ester Content 99% to 100%****Specific Gravity 1.050****Trioresylphosphate****Specific Gravity 1.180****Boiling Point 285° C. at 10 mm.****NITROCELLULOSE****R. S.  $\frac{1}{2}$  Second Nitrocellulose****Nitrogen Content 11.8% to 12.2%****Specific Gravity 1.65**

**RESULTS**



### A. Influence of Resins

For this test four lacquers were prepared, one containing no resin and three containing resin in weights equal to the dry nitrocellulose content.

The resins used were the following:

- (1) Ester Gum
- (2) Beckacite #1114
- (3) Teglac Z-152

The solvent retention of each resin was lower in the above listed order. These three resins are representative of the average hard resin used in lacquer formulation. They were selected because of their wide commercial use and because a single solvent combination could be used for preparing the lacquers.

### Volatile Composition by Volume

- 8% Butanol
- 16% Butyl Acetate
- 16% Ethyl Acetate
- 60% Toluol

This solvent line up is representative of the type generally used for the spray application of lacquers.

Blushing is increased in the following order:

- (1) Ester Gum
- (2) Beckacite #1114
- (3) Teglac Z-152
- (4) No Resin

## B. Influence of Plasticizers

For this test four lacquers were prepared, one containing no plasticizer and the other three containing one-half ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) the amount of the dry nitrocellulose of the following:

- (1) Dibutyl Phthalate
- (2) Tricresyl Phosphate
- (3) Bakers' #15 Castor Oil

No difference in the blushing of the three lacquers containing plasticizer could be detected.

All three were considerably better than the lacquer containing no plasticizer.

This is quite logical because plasticizers are non-volatile solvents for nitrocellulose.

### C. Influence of Slowly Evaporating Solvents

Several of the more slowly evaporating solvents were investigated. The composition of the volatile portion of the lacquer was as follows:

8% Butanol  
16% Solvent under investigation  
16% Ethyl Acetate  
60% Toluol

Blushing increased in the following order:

- (1) Secondary Hexyl Acetate
- (2) Amyl Acetate
- (3) Secondary Amyl Acetate
- (4) Butyl Acetate
- (5) Secondary Butyl Acetate
- (6) Cellosolve

These results are in very close agreement with the only other published work that could be found on this subject (21).

#### D. Influence of Rapidly Evaporating Solvents.

In all spraying lacquers, except in special cases, there is at least a small amount of rapidly evaporating solvent. If no rapidly evaporating solvent were used, there would be very little, if any trouble with water blush. However, from the standpoint of the economics of lacquer formulation, this is impracticable. Also because of their excellent solvent power, rapidly evaporating solvents materially reduce the viscosity of the lacquer.

At the present time, only three rapidly evaporating solvents, in the same price range, are commercially available.

Blushing increased in the following order:

- (1) Isopropyl Acetate
- (2) Ethyl Acetate
- (3) Methyl Ethyl Ketone

**E. Influence of Water Soluble Components.**

There were three references (20, 21, 22) in the literature to the adverse effect of water soluble components in lacquer formulations on blush resistance.

In order to check this point, the following formulations were tested.

8% Butanol  
16% Butyl Acetate  
8% Ethyl Acetate  
8% Component under investigation.  
60% Toluol

Blushing increased in the following order:

- (1) Isopropyl Alcohol
- (2) Denatured Alcohol
- (3) Methanol
- (4) Acetone

A lacquer in which the water soluble component was replaced with Ethyl Acetate was much superior to the one containing isopropyl alcohol.

Therefore, it was decided to make a test of 85% and 98% ester ethyl acetates.

As was expected the one containing 98% ethyl acetate was superior.

These experiments show the very detrimental effect of having water soluble components in the lacquer thinner.

**F. Influence of Higher Alcohols.**

Lacquers were prepared with the following composition:

8% Higher Alcohol  
16% Butyl Acetate  
16% Ethyl Acetate  
60% Toluol

Blushing increased in the following order:

- (1) Fusel Oil (Amyl Alcohol)
- (2) Butanol
- (3) Secondary Butanol



### G. Influence of Nitrocellulose Dehydrated with Butanol

Since water soluble components have an adverse effect on the blush resistance of lacquers, a test was made to emphasize the fact further.

Two lacquers were prepared with the following compositions.

#### LACQUER #1

125# Butanol Dehydrated Nitrocellulose

(70% dry Nitrocellulose, 30% Butanol)

8 Gal. Butanol

16 Gal. Butyl Acetate

16 Gal. Ethyl Acetate

60 Gal. Toluol

#### LACQUER #2

125# Nitrocellulose Dehydrated with Denatured Alcohol

(70% dry Nitrocellulose, 30% Den. Alcohol)

2½ Gal. Butanol

16 Gal. Butyl Acetate

21 Gal. Ethyl Acetate

60 Gal. Toluol

These two lacquers are of identical composition, except that in Lacquer #2 the denatured alcohol was replaced with an equal weight of ethyl acetate.

As was to be expected Lacquer #1 was far superior to Lacquer #2 in bluish resistance.

### H. Influence of Excess Tolerance

For this test three lacquers of the following solvent composition were prepared:

#### LACQUER #1

8% Butanol  
16% Butyl Acetate  
16% Ethyl Acetate  
60% Toluol

#### LACQUER #2

7% Butanol  
14% Butyl Acetate  
14% Ethyl Acetate  
65% Toluol

#### LACQUER #3

8% Butanol  
16% Butyl Acetate  
16% Ethyl Acetate  
45% Toluol  
15% Troluol

In this test lacquer #1 was quite definitely superior to Lacquers #2 or #3.

This is readily understood when it is considered that the excess tolerance of Lacquer #1 is higher during the drying period. Therefore, it flows longer, giving the water more opportunity to escape and the pores to close.

Using petroleum diluents similar in evaporation rate to toluol ( such as troluoil ), a great improvement in blush resistance can be made, over Lacquer #1. As an example the following is given:

10% Butanol  
30% Butyl Acetate  
10% Ethyl Acetate  
50% Troluoil

The primary reason for improved blush resistance is, that, although the initial excess tolerance was not so high as Lacquer #1; the excess tolerance during the evaporation period increased more rapidly.

## I. Influence of Slowly Evaporating Diluents

In this test two lacquers of the following solvent composition were prepared:

### LACQUER #1

10% Butanol  
20% Butyl Acetate  
10% Ethyl Acetate  
60% Toluol

### LACQUER #2

10% Butanol  
20% Butyl Acetate  
10% Ethyl Acetate  
50% Toluol  
10%-10° Xylol

Lacquer #2 was superior in blush resistance to Lacquer #1.

In using this method to improve blush resistance, care had to be exercised. That is

more of the slowly evaporating solvent had to be used to compensate for the slower evaporation of the Xylol.

As an example, there would be a danger of cotton blush if five per cent (5%) less butyl acetate were used in Lacquer #2.

J. Influence of Replacing Butanol  
with Slowly Evaporating Esters.

Three lacquers of identical composition  
except that the butanol was replaced with  
slowly evaporating esters, were prepared.

They were:

LACQUER #1

8% Butanol  
16% Butyl Acetate  
16% Ethyl Acetate  
60% Toluol

LACQUER #2

24% Butyl Acetate  
16% Ethyl Acetate  
60% Toluol

LACQUER #3

8% Secondary Hexyl Acetate  
16% Butyl Acetate  
16% Ethyl Acetate  
60% Toluol

No difference in blush resistance could be detected between Lacquers #1 and #2. However, Lacquer #3 was decidedly superior to the other two.

These results were decidedly contrary to popular opinion among lacquer formulators, who have believed for years that butanol was a powerful blush inhibitor.

When these three lacquer thinners were made into complete lacquers and three coats applied to wood panels, formulas #2 and #3 did not flowout so well.

The basic lacquer formulation was:

100# R. S.  $\frac{1}{2}$  Second Nitrocellulose

50# #1114 Beckasite

30# Dibutyl Phthalate

100 Gal. Lacquer Thinner

The reasons why Lacquers #2 and #3 did not flow so well might be:

- (1) Lowering of surface tension by butanol.
- (2) Formation of constant evaporating mixture by butanol and toluol, increased the excess tolerance



as the film dries.

(3) A combination of #1 and #2 .

These findings were verified verbally by Charles Bogin of Commercial Solvents Corp., when the matter was discussed in their laboratories in Terre Haute, Indiana.

### K. Spray Out Vs. Flow Out Tests.

Several commercial lacquers were sprayed and flowed on wood panels and observed for blush.

In all cases the flow out tests were much more severe than the spray tests.

This is quite logical in view of the fact that about 30% of the lacquer thinner is lost between the gun and the work(4). A substantial part of this 30% is rapid evaporating solvents.

The only exception to this rule is where ethyl cellosolve is used as the slow evaporating solvent. In this case the flow out tests were superior to the sprayed panels.

**CONCLUSION**

### Conclusion

If the blush resistance of a lacquer is not sufficiently good, there are many ways to help improve it. Which method, or combination of methods, will be the most economical will depend upon the market price of the various solvents.

Factors that will help improve blush resistance are:

- (1) Use a resin of higher solvent retention. This is not practicable because it would change the basic formulation of the lacquer.
- (2) Use of beneficial plasticizers. This method is not practicable for the same reason as given above.
- (3) Replacement of part or all of the fast evaporating solvent with a slower one.
- (4) Replace the slowly evaporating solvent with a slower one.
- (5) Replace the regular nitrocellulose with one dehydrated with butanol.

(6) Replace the water soluble components with water insoluble components. If for any reason this is not possible, use methods #3, #4, and #5.

(7) Replace Butanol with a higher molecular weight alcohol such as amyl alcohol.

(8) Replace part of or all of the hydrocarbons with slower evaporating ones. When using this method, care has to be exercised to keep the thinner properly balanced by method #3 or #4, or by a combination of #3 and #4.

(9) Replace the butanol with a very slowly evaporating solvent such as amyl acetate, cellusolve acetate, or secondary hexyl acetate. This method is not practicable because of poor flow results.

**BIBLIOGRAPHY**

1. Bent and Wick, Ind. Eng. Chem. 28, 312 (1936)
2. Begin, Pt. Oil and Chem. Rev. 98, 9 (1936)
3. Begin, Pt. Oil and Chem. Rev. 98, 9 (1936)
4. Begin and Wampner, Ind. Eng. Chem. 29, 1012 (1937)
5. Begin, Wampner, Gosselink, Pt. Oil and Chem. Rev. 99, 7 (1937)
6. Bridgman, Ind. Eng. Chem. 20, 184 (1928)
7. Brown and Begin, Ind. Eng. Chem. 19, 968 (1927)
8. Brown and Crawford, A Survey of Nitrocellulose Lacquers, Chemical Catalogue Co. 136 (1928)
9. Bronkow, Ind. Eng. Chem. 22, 177 (1930)
10. Davidson, Ind. Eng. Chem. 18, 669 (1926)
11. Davidson and Reed, Ind. Eng. Chem. 19, 997 (1927)
12. Doolittle, Ind. Eng. Chem. 27, 1169 (1935)
13. Doolittle, Ind. Eng. Chem. 30, 189 (1938)
14. E. I. DePont de Memours U. S. Patent Reissue #16803
15. Frazier and Reed, Ind. Eng. Chem. 22, 603 (1930)
16. Hochwalt and Marlin, J. Ind. Eng. Chem. 27, 190 (1935)
17. Keys, Ind. Eng. Chem. 17, 1120 (1925)
18. Laser, Ind. Eng. Chem. 28, 658 (1936)
19. Parks and Hofman, Ind. Eng. Chem. 24, 132 (1932)
20. Park and Hopkins, Ind. Eng. Chem. 22, 626 (1930)

21. Pentasol and Pent Acetate, Sharples Solvents Corp. (1936)
22. The Solvent Note Book, Commercial Solvents Corp. Vol. #1,#6.
23. Wilson and Worster, Ind. Eng. Chem. 21, 592 (1929)
24. Wiggman and Goor, Ind. Eng. Chem. 26, 551 (1934)
25. Van Henkerott, Am. Pt. and Varnish Mfg. Ass. No. 359 (1930)