GLASNIK MATEMATIČKI
Vol. 35(55)(2000), 261 – 270

FUZZY TOPOLOGICAL GAMES, α-METACOMPACTNESS AND α-PERFECT MAPS

Sunil Jacob John
Cochin University of Science and Technology, Kerala, India

Abstract. The behavior of Fuzzy Topological Games and α-metacompactness under α-perfect maps are studied. Also an attempt is made to bring out some close relationships between Fuzzy Topological Games and α-Metacompactness.

1. Introduction

As a generalization of the topological game $G(\mathbf{K}, X)$ introduced by Telgarsky [9], the author [7] introduced the fuzzy topological game $G'(\mathbf{K}, X)$ and studied some properties of $G'(\mathbf{K}, X)$. In this paper some nice properties such as preservation of winning strategies under various kinds of mapping such as *F-continuous*, *F-closed*, *F-open*, *α-perfect* are discussed. Also the behavior of α-metacompactness under the above mentioned mappings and some results connecting $G'(\mathbf{K}, X)$ and α-metacompactness are also discussed.

2. Fuzzy topological games and mappings

2.1 Notation By $\mathbf{K}$ we denote a non-empty family of fuzzy topological spaces, where all are assumed to be T_1. That is all fuzzy singletons are fuzzy closed. $\underline{I}^x$ denotes the family of all fuzzy closed subsets of X. Also $X \in \mathbf{K}$ implies $\underline{I}^x \subseteq \mathbf{K}$. $\mathbf{DK}(\mathbf{FK})$ denote the class of all fuzzy topological spaces which have a discrete (finite) fuzzy closed α-shading by members of $\mathbf{K}$. (A family $\cup$ of fuzzy sets in a fts X is said to be an α-shading if for each $x \in X$, there is a $U \in \cup$ with $U(x) > \alpha$ [3])

2.2 Definition [7] Let $\mathbf{K}$ be a class of fuzzy topological spaces and let $X \in \mathbf{K}$. Then the fuzzy topological game $G'(\mathbf{K}, X)$ is defined as follows. There are

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54D20.
Key words and phrases. Fuzzy topological games, Metacompactness, Perfect maps.

two players Player I and Player II. They alternatively choose consecutive terms of the sequence $(E_1, F_1, E_2, F_2, \ldots)$ of fuzzy subsets of X. When each player chooses his term he knows $\mathbf{K}$, X and their previous choices. A sequence $(E_1, F_1, E_2, F_2, \ldots)$ is a play for $G'(\mathbf{K}, X)$ if it satisfies the following conditions for each $n \geq 1$.

1. E_n is a choice of Player I
2. F_n is a choice of Player II
3. $E_n \in \underline{I^x} \cap \mathbf{K}$
4. $F_n \in \underline{I^x}$
5. $E_n \vee F_n < F_{n-1}$ where $F_0 = X$
6. $E_n \wedge F_n = 0$

Player I wins the play if $\inf_{n\geq 1} F_n = 0$. Otherwise Player II wins the game.

2.3 Definition [7] A finite sequence $(E_1, F_1, E_2, F_2, \ldots, E_m, F_m)$ is admissible if it satisfies condition (1)–(6) for each $n \leq m$.

2.4 Definition [7] Let S' be a crisp function defined as follows

$$S' : \bigcup_{n\geq 1} (\underline{I^x})^n \longrightarrow \underline{I^x} \cap \mathbf{K}$$

Let $S_1 = \{X\}$. $S_2 = \{F \in \underline{I^x} : (S'(X), F)$ is admissible for $G'(\mathbf{K}, X)\}$. Continuing like this inductively we get $S_n = \{(F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n) : (E_1, F_1, E_2, F_2, \ldots, E_n, F_n)$ is admissible for $G'(\mathbf{K}, X)$ where $F_0 = X$ and $E_1 = S'(E_1, F_1, E_2, F_2, \ldots, F_{i-1})$ for each $i \leq n\}$. Then the restriction S of S' to $\cup_{n\geq 1} S_n$ is called a fuzzy strategy for Player I in $G'(\mathbf{K}, X)$.

2.5 Definition [7] If Player I wins every play $(E_1, F_1, E_2, F_2, \ldots, E_n, F_n, \ldots)$ such that $E_n = S(F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_{n-1})$, then we say that S is a fuzzy winning strategy.

2.6 Definition [7] A function $S : \underline{I^x} \xrightarrow{\text{into}} \underline{I^x} \cap \mathbf{K}$ is called a fuzzy stationary strategy for Player I in $G'(\mathbf{K}, X)$ if $S(F) < F$ for each $F \in \underline{I^x}$. We say that S is a fuzzy stationary winning strategy if he wins every play $(S(X), F_1, S(F_1), F_2, \ldots)$

2.7 Result [7] A function $S : \underline{I^x} \xrightarrow{\text{into}} \underline{I^x} \cap \mathbf{K}$ is a fuzzy stationary winning strategy if and only if it satisfies

1. For each $F \in \underline{I^x}$, $S(F) < F$
2. If $\{F_n : n \geq 1\}$ satisfies $S(X) \wedge F_1 = 0$ and $S(F_n) \wedge F_{n+1} = 0$ for each $n \geq 1$ then $\inf_{n\geq 1} F_n = 0$.

2.8 Theorem [7] Player I has a fuzzy winning strategy in $G'(\mathbf{K}, X)$ if and only if he has a fuzzy stationary winning strategy in it.

2.9 Definition [2] Let f be function from a fts (X, T) to a fts (Y, S). Then f is said to be F-continuous if for each $b \in S$, $f^{-1}(b) \in T$ or equivalently for each closed fuzzy set h in (Y, S), $f^{-1}(h)$ is closed in (X, T).

2.10 Definition [2] Let f be function from a fts (X, T) to a fts (Y, S). Then f is *F-open* (*F-closed*) iff for each open (closed) fuzzy set a in (X, T), $f(a)$ is open (closed) fuzzy set in (Y, S).

2.11 Theorem Let X and Y be two fuzzy topological spaces and $\mathbf{K}_1$ and $\mathbf{K}_2$ be two classes of fts such that $X \in \mathbf{K}_1$ and $Y \in \mathbf{K}_2$. If f is an F-continuous function from X on to Y which maps all $E \in \underline{I^x} \cap \mathbf{K}_1$ to $f(E) \in \underline{I^x} \cap \mathbf{K}_2$ and if Player I has a fuzzy winning strategy in $G'(\mathbf{K}_1, X)$, then Player I has a fuzzy winning strategy in $G'(\mathbf{K}_2, Y)$.

Proof Let S be a fuzzy stationary winning strategy for Player I in $G'(\mathbf{K}_1, X)$. Thus Player I wins every play of the form $(S(X), F_1, S(F_1), \ldots)$. Now we will define a stationary winning strategy t for Player I in $G'(\mathbf{K}_2, Y)$. Now consider the play $(t(Y), P_1, t(P_1), P_2, \ldots)$ where $P_n = t(F_n)$ and $t : \underline{I^Y} \xrightarrow{\text{into}} \underline{I^Y} \cap \mathbf{K}_2$ is defined by $t(P_n) = f[S(F_n)]$. Now t is a stationary winning strategy for $G'(\mathbf{K}_2, Y)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{For} \quad t(F_n) &= f[S(F_n)] \\
&< f(F_n) \\
&= P_n \text{ Therefore } t \text{ is a fuzzy stationary strategy.} \\
\text{Now} \quad t(P_n) \wedge P_{n+1} &= f[S(F_n)] \wedge f(F_{n+1}) \\
&= f[S(F_n) \wedge F_{n+1}] \\
&= f(0) \\
&= 0 \\
\text{Also} \quad t(Y) \wedge P_1 &= f[S(X)] \wedge P_1 \\
&= f[S(X)] \wedge f(F_1) \\
&= f[S(X) \wedge F_1] \\
&= f(0) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore it follows from Result 2.7 that $\inf_{n \geq 1} F_n = 0$ and hence t is a stationary winning strategy for Player I in $G'(\mathbf{K}_2, Y)$.

2.12 Theorem Let $f : X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Y$ be an F-continuous F-closed mapping such that $f^{-1}(E) \in \underline{I^x} \cap \mathbf{K}_1$, whenever $E \in \underline{I^x} \cap \mathbf{K}_2$. If Player I has fuzzy winning strategy in $G'(\mathbf{K}_2, Y)$, then Player I has a fuzzy winning strategy in $G'(\mathbf{K}_1, X)$.

Proof Let S be a fuzzy stationary winning strategy for Player I in $G'(\mathbf{K}_2, Y)$. Therefore Player I wins every play of the form $(S(Y), F_1, S(F_1), \ldots)$. Now we will define a function $t : \underline{I^x} \longrightarrow \underline{I^x} \cap \mathbf{K}_1$ as follows. Now $f : X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Y$

is F-closed and hence we take $P_n = f^{-1}(F_n)$ where $P_n \in \underline{I^x}$ and $t(P_n) = f^{-1}[S(F_n)]$ for all $P_n \in \underline{I^x}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Now} \quad t(P_n) &= f^{-1}[S(F_n)] \\ &< f^{-1}(F_n) \\ &= P_n \text{ Thus } t \text{ is a fuzzy stationary strategy.}\end{aligned}$$

Now consider the play $(t(X), P_1, t(P_1), \ldots)$

$$\begin{aligned} t(P_n) \wedge P_{n+1} &= f^{-1}[S(F_n)] \wedge P_n \\ &= f^{-1}[S(F_n)] \wedge f^{-1}(F_{n+1}) \\ &= f^{-1}[S(F_n) \wedge F_{n+1}] \\ &= f^{-1}(0) \\ &= 0 \\ \text{Also} \quad t(X) \wedge P_1 &= f^{-1}[S(X)] \wedge P_1 \\ &= f^{-1}[S(X)] \wedge f^{-1}(F_1) \\ &= f^{-1}[S(X) \wedge F_1] \\ &= f^{-1}(0) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore from Result 2.7 it follows that $\inf P_n = 0$ and hence t is a winning strategy also. Thus t is a fuzzy winning strategy for Player I in $G'(\mathbf{K}_1, X)$. This completes the proof.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.11 and Theorem 2.12 we get the following two theorems.

2.13 Theorem Let X and Y are two fts and let $f : X \overset{\text{onto}}{\longrightarrow} Y$ be an F-continuous function and $f^{-1}(E) \in \underline{I^x} \cap \mathbf{K}_1$ whenever $E \in \underline{I^x} \cap \mathbf{K}_2$. If Player II has a fuzzy winning strategy in $G'(\mathbf{K}_1, X)$, then Player II has a fuzzy winning strategy in $G'(\mathbf{K}_2, Y)$.

2.14 Theorem Let $f : X \overset{\text{onto}}{\longrightarrow} Y$ be an F-continuous F-closed mapping such that $f^{-1}(E) \in \underline{I^Y} \cap \mathbf{K}_2$ whenever $E \in \underline{I^x} \cap \mathbf{K}_1$. If Player II has a fuzzy winning strategy in $G'(\mathbf{K}_2, Y)$, then Player II has a fuzzy winning strategy in $G'(\mathbf{K}_1, X)$.

2.15 Definition [5] Let $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ (resp. $0 < \alpha \leq 1$). An F-closed F-continuous function f from a fts X to a fts Y is said to be α-perfect (resp α^*-perfect) if and only if $f^{-1}(y)$ is α-compact (resp α^*-compact) for each $y \in Y$. (See [3])

2.16 Definition A class $\mathbf{K}$ of fuzzy topological spaces is said to be α-perfect if $X \in \mathbf{K}$ is equivalent to $Y \in \mathbf{K}$, provided that there exists an α-perfect map from X onto Y.
From Theorems 2.11, 2.12, 2.13 and 2.14 the next theorem follows immediately.

2.17 Theorem Let $\mathbf{K}$ be an α-perfect class of fts. If there is an α- perfect map from X onto Y. Then

1. If Player I has a fuzzy winning strategy in $G'(\mathbf{K}, X)$ then he has the same in $G'(\mathbf{K}, Y)$.
2. If Player II has a fuzzy winning strategy in $G'(\mathbf{K}, X)$, then he has the same in $G'(\mathbf{K}, Y)$.

3. Metacompactness and Mappings

An approach to fuzzy paracompactness using the concept of α-shading was introduced by Malghan and Benchalli [4]. The author [6] extended this concept to metacompact spaces and characterization for the same was also obtained.
3.1 Definition [4] A family $\{a_s : s \in S\}$ of fuzzy sets in a fuzzy topological space (X, T) is said to be locally finite if for each x in X there exists an open fuzzy set g with $g(x) = 1$ such that $a_s \leq 1 - g$ holds for all but atmost finitely many s in S.
3.2 Definition [4] A family $\{a_s : s \in S\}$ of fuzzy sets in a fts (X, T) is said to be point finite if for each x in X, $a_s(x) = 0$ for all but atmost finitely many s in S (or equivalently as $a_s(x) > 0$ for atmost finitely many s in S).
3.3 Definition [3] Let (X, T) be a fts and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. A collection $\cup$ of fuzzy sets is called an α-shading (resp. $\alpha*$-shading) of X if for each $x \in X$, there exists $g \in \cup$ with $g(x) > \alpha$ (resp. $g(x) \geq \alpha$).
3.4 Definition [4] Let (X, T) be a fts and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. Let $\cup$ and $\vee$ be any two α-shadings (resp. $\alpha*$-shading) of X. Then $\cup$ is a refinement of $\vee(\cup < \vee)$ if for each $g \in \cup$ there is an $h \in \vee$ such that $g \leq h$.
3.5 Definition [4] A fts (X, T) is said to be α-paracompact (resp. $\alpha*$-paracompact) if each α-shading (resp. $\alpha*$-shading) of X by open fuzzy sets has a locally finite α-shading (resp. $\alpha*$-shading) refinement by open fuzzy sets.
3.6 Definition [6] A fuzzy topological space (X, T) is said to be α-metacompact (resp. $\alpha*$-metacompact) if each α-shading (resp. $\alpha*$-shading) of X by open fuzzy sets has a point finite α-shading (resp. $\alpha*$-shading) refinement by open fuzzy sets.
3.7 Definition [8] A collection $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ of fuzzy subsets of a fts X is said to be closure preserving if for each $J \subseteq I$, $cl_X[\vee A_i : i \in J] = \vee[cl_X A_i : i \in J]$

3.8 RESULT Let $f : X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Y$ be an F-closed F-continuous mapping, where X and Y are fts. If $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is a closure preserving family of fuzzy sets in X then so is $\{f(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$.

PROOF Since f is F-continuous, it follows clearly that $f(cl\ U_\alpha) \leq cl\ f(U_\alpha)$ for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Now we have $U_\alpha \leq cl\ U_\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$.
Therefore $f(U_\alpha) \leq f(cl\ U_\alpha)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{That is } cl[f(U_\alpha)] &\leq cl[f(cl\ U_\alpha)]. \\ &= f(cl\ U_\alpha) \text{ since } f \text{ is } F\text{-closed} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get $cl\ [f(U_\alpha)] = f(cl\ U_\alpha)$ for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$.

Now for any collection $\{f(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$, clearly we have

$$\vee_{\alpha\in\Lambda} cl\ [f(U_\alpha)] \leq cl[\vee\{f(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\}]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Again} \qquad f(U_\alpha) &\leq cl\ [f(U_\alpha)] \\ &= f(cl\ U_\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have $\vee\{f(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\} \leq \vee\{f(cl\ U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{That is, } cl[\vee\{f(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\}] &\leq cl[\vee\{f(cl\ U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\}] \\ &= cl\ [f[\vee(cl\ U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\}]] \\ &= cl\ [f(cl[\vee\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\})] \text{ since } \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\} \text{ is closure preserving} \\ &= f(cl\ [\vee\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}]) \text{ since } F \text{ is } F\text{-closed} \\ &= f(\vee\{cl\ U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}) \\ &= \vee\{f(cl\ U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\} \\ &= \vee\{cl\ [f(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda]\} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get, $\vee_{\alpha\in\Lambda} cl[f(U_\alpha)] \geq cl\ [\vee\{f(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\}]$
And hence we have $\vee_{\alpha\in\Lambda} cl[f(U_\alpha)] = cl\ [\vee\{f(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\}]$. This completes the proof.

3.9 RESULT. Let X and Y be two fts and let $f : X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Y$ be finite to one. If $\mathbf{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is a point finite collection of fuzzy sets in X, then $\{f(u_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is also a point finite collection in Y.

PROOF Given that f is onto and finite to one, it follows that for every $y \in Y$, we have a finite (support) fuzzy subset $f^{-1}(y)$ in X. Let $x \in f^{-1}(y)$. Then since $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is a point finite collection in X, $U_\alpha(x) > 0$ for at most finitely many $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Now since $f^{-1}(y)$ is finite, we get a finite sub-collection $\mathbf{U}_F$ of $\mathbf{U}$. Now consider the collection $\{f(u_F) : u_F \in \mathbf{U}_F\}$. This is finite and $f(u_F)(y) > 0$ for all $u_F \in \mathbf{U}_F$. Thus $\{f(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is a point finite collection in Y.

3.10 Theorem Let X and Y be two fts and let $f : X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Y$ be a finite to one F-open F-continuous mapping. If X is α-metacompact then so is Y.

Proof Given that X is α-metacompact, let $\mathbf{U}$ be an α-shading of Y by open fuzzy sets. Since f is F-continuous, it follows that $\mathbf{U}' = \{f^{-1}(U) : U \in \mathbf{U}\}$ is an α-shading of X by open fuzzy sets. Since X is α-metacompact, it follows that $\mathbf{U}'$ has a point finite α-shading refinement by open fuzzy sets say $\mathbf{V}$. Now clearly $\{f(V) : V \in \mathbf{V}\}$ is a point finite α-shading of Y and it refines $\mathbf{U}$ also. Since f is F-open, $f(V)$ is open also. Hence Y is α-metacompact.

3.11 Theorem Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be an F-continuous, F-closed function. If X is α-metacompact, then Y is also α-metacompact.

Proof Let $\mathbf{U}$ be an α-shading of Y by open fuzzy sets. Then by a characterization of α-metacompactness [6], it is enough to prove $\mathbf{U}^F$ has a closure preserving α-shading refinement by closed fuzzy sets, where $\mathbf{U}^F$ is the collection of all unions of finite sub-collections from $\mathbf{U}$. Now since f is F-continuous $\mathbf{W} = \{f^{-1}(U) : U \in \mathbf{U}\}$ is an α-shading of X by open fuzzy sets. Since X is α-metacompact, it follows that $\mathbf{W}^F$ has a closure preserving α-shading refinement $\mathbf{F}$ by closed fuzzy sets. Since f is F-closed it follows that $f(F)$ is closed for each $F \in \mathbf{F}$. Thus $\{f(F) : F \in \mathbf{F}\}$ is the required closure preserving α-shading refinement of $\mathbf{U}^F$ by closed fuzzy sets.

3.12 Definition [3] A fts X is said to be α-compact if every α-shading of X by open fuzzy sets has a finite α-sub-shading by open fuzzy sets.

3.13 Definition Let X and Y be two fts. Then $f : X \to Y$ is F-open α-compact if f is F-open with α-compact fibers (where fibers of a mapping $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ are the sets $f^{-1}(y)$ for $y \in Y$).

3.14 Definition Let X and Y be two fts. If $y \in Int(f(y))$ whenever $f^{-1}(y) < U$ where $y \in Y$ and U is an open fuzzy set in X, then $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ is pseudo F-open

3.15 Definition Let $\mathbf{U}$ be a collection of fuzzy subsets of a fts X. We say that $\mathbf{U}$ is α-compact finite if $\{U \in \mathbf{U} : U \wedge K \neq 0\}$ is finite for any α-compact subset K of X.

3.16 Lemma Locally finite families of fuzzy sets are α-compact finite.

Proof Let $\mathbf{U}$ be a locally finite family of fuzzy subsets of a fts X. Let K be α-compact. Since $\mathbf{U}$ is locally finite, for any $x \in K$, we can find an open fuzzy set w_x such that $w_x(x) = l$ and $U_s \leq 1 \backslash w_x$ holds for all but atmost finitely many s. Now clearly $\{w_x : x \in X\}$ is a 1^*-shading of K and since K is α-compact we get a finite subshading say $\{w_{x1}, w_{x2}, \cdots, w_{xk}\}$ for some finite k, where each of w_{xi} has non-empty meet with at most finitely many $U \in \mathbf{U}$. Hence it follows that $\{U \in \mathbf{U} : U \wedge K \neq 0\}$ is finite.

3.17 Theorem If $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ is F-continuous pseudo F-open α-compact with X α-paracompact, then X is α-metacompact.

Proof Consider an α-shading $\mathbf{U}$ of Y by open fuzzy sets. Now since f is F-continuous and X is α-paracompact it follows that $\mathbf{U}' = \{f^{-1}(U) : U \in \mathbf{U}\}$ is an α-shading of X by open fuzzy sets. So $\mathbf{U}'$ has a locally finite α-shading refinement by open fuzzy sets, say $\mathbf{V}$. Now consider $\mathbf{K} = \{f(V) : V \in \mathbf{V}\}$. Since f is F-open α-compact and for every $y \in Y$, $f^{-1}(y)$ is α-compact, it follows from lemma 3.16 that $f^{-1}(y)$ has non-empty meet with atmost finitely many members of $\mathbf{V}$. Also since every locally finite family is point finite, it follows that $\mathbf{V}$ is point finite and hence $\mathbf{K}$ is also point finite. Since f is pseudo F-open it follows clearly that $y \in Int(st(y, \mathbf{K}))$ for every $y \in Y$. [where $st(x, \mathbf{U}) = \vee\{U \in \mathbf{U} : U(x) > 0\}$] Now from the characterization of α-metacompactness in [6] the proof is complete.

3.18 Definition Let X be a fts and $\mathbf{U}$ be any α-shading of X, then for any $x \in X$, we define $\alpha - Ord(x, \mathbf{U}) = \text{Card}\{U \in \mathbf{U} : U(x) > \alpha\}$.

3.19 Lemma Let X be a fts and $\mathbf{U} = \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a point finite α-shading by open fuzzy sets. Let $B_n = \{x \in X : \alpha - \text{Ord}(x, \mathbf{U}) \leq n\}$. Then $\{B_n : n \geq 0\}$ is an α-shading of X by closed fuzzy sets. If $n > 0$ and F is a closed fuzzy set with $F < B_n$ and $F \wedge B_{n-1} = 0$, then F has a discrete α-shading by closed fuzzy sets where each member is contained in some $U \in \mathbf{U}$.

Proof For any $x \in X$ with $B_n(x) = 0$ for some n, it follows from the definition of B_n that there some $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ with $n+1$ numbers such that $U_\lambda(x) > \alpha$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda'$. Now since each U_λ is fuzzy open, so is $\wedge\{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda'\}$. This is an open fuzzy neighbourhood of x disjoint from B_n. Therefore it follows that $1 \backslash B_n$ is fuzzy open and so the B_n are closed fuzzy sets.

Also given that $\mathbf{U}$ is a point finite α-shading of X, there exists atmost finitely many $U \in \mathbf{U}$ with $U(x) > \alpha$ for any $x \in X$. Then clearly $B_n(x) > \alpha$ for some n. Thus $\{B_n : n \geq 0\}$ is an α-shading of X.

Take F as in the statement of the Lemma. Let Ω be the set of all subsets of Λ which have n elements and for each $\gamma \in \Omega$ define $V_\gamma = \wedge\{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \gamma\}$. Now clearly $V_\gamma \wedge F < U_\lambda$ for each λ in γ and the collection $\{V_\gamma \wedge F : \gamma \in \Omega\}$ is disjoint and hence a discrete α-shading of X by closed fuzzy sets.

3.20 Corollary Let $\mathbf{U} = \{U_\lambda : \lambda < \eta\}$ be a point finite α-shading of an fts X by open fuzzy sets and $X_n = \{x \in X : \alpha - Ord(x, \mathbf{U}) \leq n\}$ for each $n \geq 1$. Then $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$ is a countable α-shading of X by closed fuzzy sets and $\mathbf{B}_n = \{B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \ldots, \lambda_n) : \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3 < \ldots < \lambda_n < \eta\}$ is a discrete clopen α-shading of $X_n \backslash X_{n-1}$ for each $n \geq 1$ where $B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \ldots, \lambda_n) = (\bigwedge_{i \leq n} U_{\lambda i}) \wedge (X_n \backslash X_{n-1})$.

Proof Take $F = X_n \backslash X_{n-1}$ in Lemma 3.19 and the corollary follows.

3.21 Definition A class of fts **K** is said to be finitely additive if every space in $X_n \backslash X_{n-1}$ with a finite α-shading by members of **K** belong to **K**.
3.22 Definition [8] A fts X is **K**-scattered if for every $0 \neq F \in \underline{I^x}$, there exists a point $x \in F$ and a fuzzy neighbourhood N of x with $N(x) > 0$ where $N < F$ and $N \in \mathbf{K}$.
3.23 Definition [8] An α-disjoint α-shading $\{L_\lambda : \lambda < \eta\}$ of a fts is a **K**-scattered partition if for some $N \in \mathbf{K}$, $L_\lambda(x) \leq N(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $\vee\{L_\mu : \mu < \eta\}$ is fuzzy open in X for each $\lambda < \mu$.

3.24 Theorem Let **K** be a finitely additive class of fts. If a hereditarily α-metacompact space X is **K**-scattered then Player I has a winning strategy in $G'(D\mathbf{K}, X)$.

Proof Since X is fuzzy **K**-scattered, X has a fuzzy **K**-scattered partition, say $\mathbf{V} = \{V_\lambda : \lambda < \eta\}$. Now from proposition 3.11 of [8] it follows that there exists a point finite fuzzy open expansion $\mathbf{U} = \{U_\lambda : \lambda < \eta\}$ of **V**. Now **V** is a α-shading of X, it follows that **U** is also an α-shading of X. Let X_n and B_n, $n \geq 1$ be taken as in corollary 3.20. For each $F \in \underline{I^X}$, take $k(F) = Min\{k \geq 1 : F \wedge X_k \neq 0\}$ and $\mathbf{B}(F) = \{B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \ldots, \lambda_n) \wedge F : B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \ldots, \lambda_k) \in \mathbf{B}_k$ and $k = k(F)\}$ and $\mathbf{B}(0) = \{0\}$. Now by corollary 3.20 it follows that each member of $\mathbf{B}(F)$ is fuzzy closed in X and $\mathbf{B}(F)$ is discrete in X.

We have $B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \ldots, \lambda_k) = \wedge_{i \leq k} U_{\lambda i} \wedge (X_k \backslash X_{k-1})$. Thus $B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \ldots \lambda_k) < \vee_{i \leq k} U_{\lambda i} < \vee_{i \leq k} V_{\lambda i}$. Also since each $\mathbf{B}(F)$ is fuzzy closed and **K** is finitely additive.

$$\bigcup \mathbf{B}(F) = \bigcup_{B(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\ldots,\lambda_k) \in B_k} (B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \ldots, \lambda_k) \wedge F) \text{ where } k = k(F)$$

Also by corollary 3.20, $\mathbf{B}_k$ is a discrete α-shading of $X_k \backslash X_{k-1}$ by closed fuzzy sets. Hence $(X_k \backslash X_{k-1}) \wedge F \in \mathbf{DK} \cap \underline{I^X}$ where $k = k(F)$

Now we define a fuzzy stationary winning strategy S of Player I for $G'(DK, X)$ as follows
$S : \underline{I^X} \to DK \cap \underline{I^X}$, where $S(F) = (X_{k(F)} \backslash X_{k(F)-1}) \wedge F$

Consider the play $(S(X), F_1, S(F_1), F_2, \ldots)$ of $G'(DK, X)$. We have clearly $S(F_n) < Fn$ and hence S is stationary. Now we want to prove S is winning, that is $Inf_{n \geq 1} F_n = 0$. Now since $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$ is an α-shading of X and $F_n \wedge X_n = 0$ for all $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, it follows that it is enough to prove $F_n \wedge X_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. We will prove this by induction. Let $F_n \wedge X_n = 0$ and assume that $F_n \wedge X_{n+1} \neq 0$. Therefore by definition of $k(Fn)$ we get

$k(Fn) = n + 1$.

$$\text{Now } S(F_n) \wedge F_{n+1} = ((X_{n+1} \backslash X_n) \wedge F_n) \wedge F_{n+1} = (X_{n+1} \backslash X_n) \wedge F_{n+1} = 0$$

Now clearly $X_n \wedge F_n = 0$ and $F_{n+1} < F_n$. Hence $F_n \wedge X_{n+1} = 0$. Therefore it follows that $F_{n+1} \wedge X_{n+1} = 0$. Thus the proof is complete by induction.

Acknowledgement

The author is very much indebted to Prof. T. Thrivikraman, Department of Mathematics, Cochin University of Science and Technology for his valuable guidance. Author acknowledge the financial assistance given by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, India through out the preparation of this paper and also wishes to thank the referee for some valuable suggestions.

References

[1] Burke, Dennis K. *Covering Properties* in Hand Book of set theoretic topology edited by K. Kunen and J. E. Vaughan, Elsevier science pub. B.V, pp. 349–422, (1984).

[2] C. L. Chang. *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 24; 182–190 (1968)

[3] Gantner T. E. Steinlage R. C., Warren R. H. *Compactness in fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 62; 547–562 (1978)

[4] S. R. Malghan, S.S.Benchalli. *On fuzzy topological Spaces*, Glasnik Mathematicki, 16(36); 313–325 (1981)

[5] S. R. Malghan, S.S.Benchalli. *Open maps, Closed maps and Local compactness in fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 99; 338–349 (1984).

[6] Sunil Jacob John. *Metacompactness in fuzzy topological spaces through α-shading*, Communicated.

[7] Sunil Jacob John. *Fuzzy topological games I*, Far East J. Math. Sci., Spl Vol Part III (Geometry and Topology), 361–371 (1999).

[8] Sunil Jacob John. *Closure preserving shading families by fuzzy sets with finite support*, Communicated.

[9] Telgarsky R. *Spaces defined by topological games*, Fund. Math. 88; 193–223 (1975)

[10] Yukunobi Yajima. *Topological games and applications in Topics in general topology*, edited by K. Morita and J. Nagata, Elsevier Science Pub. 524–562, (1989).

Department of Mathematics
Cochin University of Science and Technology
Cochin – 682 022, KERALA, INDIA
E-mail: mathshod@md2.vsnl.net.in

Received: 20.01.2000