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#### Abstract

In this paper, we will give the growth theorem of starlike mappings of order $\alpha$ on the unit ball $B$ in complex Banach spaces. We also give an analytic sufficient condition for a locally biholomorphic mapping on $B$ to be a starlike mapping of order $\alpha$.


## 1. Introduction

It is well known that the classical growth theorem of normalized biholomorphic mappings on the unit disc $\Delta$ in $\mathbf{C}$ cannot be generalized to normalized biholomorphic mappings on the Euclidean unit ball in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$. Barnard, FitzGerald and Gong [1] and Chuaqui [3] extended the classical growth theorem to normalized starlike mappings on the Euclidean unit ball in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$. Dong and Zhang [4] generalized the above result to normalized starlike mappings on the unit ball in complex Banach spaces. The first and second authors [7] generalized the above result to spirallike mappings of type $\alpha$ on the unit ball $B$ in an arbitrary complex Banach space. The second author [12], [13] gave a growth theorem of normalized starlike mappings of order $\alpha$ on the Euclidean unit ball in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$.

On the other hand, Becker [2] showed that if a holomorphic function $f$ on $\Delta$ satisfies

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq \frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}
$$

[^0]then $f$ is univalent on $\Delta$. Pfaltzgraff [18] generalized the above result for normalized locally biholomorphic mappings on the Euclidean unit ball $\mathbf{B}^{n}$ in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$. He showed that if a normalized locally biholomorphic mapping $f$ on $\mathbf{B}^{n}$ satisfies
$$
\left\|(D f(z))^{-1} D^{2} f(z)(z, \cdot)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\|z\|^{2}}
$$
then $f$ is univalent on $\mathbf{B}^{n}$ and
$$
\frac{\|z\|}{(1+\|z\|)^{2}} \leq\|f(z)\| \leq \frac{\|z\|}{(1-\|z\|)^{2}}
$$

The third author [16] showed that if a locally biholomorphic mapping $f$ on $\mathbf{B}^{n}$ satisfies

$$
\left\|(D f(z))^{-1} D^{2} f(z)(z, \cdot)\right\|<\frac{1}{1+\|z\|}
$$

then $f$ is a starlike mapping on $\mathbf{B}^{n}$.
In this paper, we will give the growth theorem of normalized starlike mappings of order $\alpha$ on the unit ball $B$ in complex Banach spaces. As a generalization of the result in [16], we also give a sufficient condition for locally biholomorphic mappings on the unit ball $B$ to be starlike of order $\alpha$.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $X$ be a complex Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. The open ball $\{x \in$ $X:\|x\|<r\}$ is denoted by $B_{r}$ and the unit ball is abbreviated by $B_{1}=B$. Let $\mathcal{L}(X, X)$ be the space of all continuous linear operators from $X$ into $X$ with the standard operator norm. By $I$ we denote the identity in $\mathcal{L}(X, X)$. Let $G$ be a domain in $X$ and let $f: G \rightarrow X . f$ is said to be holomorphic on $G$, if for any $z \in G$, there exists a $D f(z) \in \mathcal{L}(X, X)$ such that

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(z+h)-f(z)-D f(z) h\|}{\|h\|}=0
$$

A holomorphic mapping $f: G \rightarrow X$ is said to be locally biholomorphic on $G$ if its Fréchet derivative $D f(z)$ is nonsingular at each $z \in G$. A holomorphic mapping $f: G \rightarrow X$ is biholomorphic if the inverse $f^{-1}$ exists, is holomorphic on an open set $V \subset X$ and $f^{-1}(V)=G$.

A holomorphic mapping $f: B \rightarrow X$ is said to be normalized if $f(0)=0$ and $D f(0)=I$. Let $X^{*}$ be the dual space of $X$. For each $z \in X \backslash\{0\}$, we define

$$
T(z)=\left\{z^{*} \in X^{*}:\left\|z^{*}\right\|=1, z^{*}(z)=\|z\|\right\}
$$

By the Hahn-Banach theorem, $T(z)$ is nonempty.
Definition 2.1. A holomorphic mapping $f: B \rightarrow X$ is said to be starlike if $f$ is biholomorphic, $f(0)=0$ and $e^{-t} f(B) \subset f(B)$ for all $t \geq 0$.

The following theorem is proved in Gurganus [6] (cf. [20]).

Theorem 2.1. Let $f: B \rightarrow X$ be a locally biholomorphic mapping with $f(0)=0$. If $f$ is a starlike mapping, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} z^{*}\left([D f(z)]^{-1} f(z)\right)>0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in B \backslash\{0\}$, $z^{*} \in T(z)$. Moreover, if $\left\|[D f(z)]^{-1} f(z)\right\|$ is bounded on $B_{r}$ for each $r$ with $0<r<1$ and (2.1) holds, then $f$ is a starlike mapping.

Remark. In Gurganus [6], he claimed that if $f: B \rightarrow X$ is a locally biholomorphic mapping with $f(0)=0$ and (2.1) holds, then $f$ is starlike. For the proof, he uses Theorem 2.1 of Pfaltzgraff [18]. However, to apply Theorem 2.1 of $[18],\left\|[D f(z)]^{-1} f(z)\right\|$ should be bounded on $B_{r}$ for each $r$ with $0<r<1$.

Now, we will define a subclass of starlike mappings.
Definition 2.2. Let $f: B \rightarrow X$ be a starlike mapping. Let $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ with $0<\alpha<1$. We say that $f$ is a starlike mapping of order $\alpha$ if

$$
\left|\frac{1}{\|z\|} z^{*}\left([D f(z)]^{-1} f(z)\right)-\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\right|<\frac{1}{2 \alpha}
$$

for $z \in B \backslash\{0\}, z^{*} \in T(z)$.
This definition generalizes the definition of starlike mappings of order $\alpha$ on the unit disc and on the Euclidean unit ball in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ [11].

Let $\Delta$ denote the unit disc in $\mathbf{C}$. The following lemma is proved in [9], [17].

Lemma 2.3. Let $k \geq 1$ and let $g: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a holomorphic function with $g(0)=g^{\prime}(0)=\cdots=g^{(k-1)}(0)=0$. If there exists a $z_{0} \in \Delta \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\left|g\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\max \left\{|g(z)|:|z| \leq\left|z_{0}\right|\right\}>0
$$

then there exists a real number $m \geq k$ such that

$$
z_{0} g^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=m g\left(z_{0}\right)
$$

## 3. Growth theorem of normalized starlike mappings of order $\alpha$

In this section, we will prove the following theorem (cf. [12], [13]).
Theorem 3.1. Let $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ with $0<\alpha<1$. Let $f$ be a normalized starlike mapping of order $\alpha$ from $B$ to $X$. Then

$$
\frac{\|z\|}{(1+\|z\|)^{2(1-\alpha)}} \leq\|f(z)\| \leq \frac{\|z\|}{(1-\|z\|)^{2(1-\alpha)}}
$$

Proof. Let $w(z)=[D f(z)]^{-1} f(z)$. Let $z \in B \backslash\{0\}, z^{*} \in T(z)$ be fixed and let

$$
g(\zeta)=\frac{1}{\zeta} z^{*}\left(w\left(\zeta \frac{z}{\|z\|}\right)\right), \zeta \in \Delta \backslash\{0\}
$$

and $g(0)=1$. Then $g$ is a holomorphic function on $\Delta$ and

$$
\left|g(\zeta)-\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\right|<\frac{1}{2 \alpha}, \zeta \in \Delta .
$$

Hence $\operatorname{Re}(1 / g(\zeta))>\alpha, \zeta \in \Delta$, which is equivalent to

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{\frac{1}{g(\zeta)}-\alpha}{1-\alpha}>0, \quad \zeta \in \Delta
$$

It is easy to see that the above inequality implies the following relation (see, for example [5], [19]):

$$
\frac{1+|\zeta|}{1+(2 \alpha-1)|\zeta|} \geq \operatorname{Re} g(\zeta) \geq \frac{1-|\zeta|}{1-(2 \alpha-1)|\zeta|}, \quad \zeta \in \Delta
$$

Letting $\zeta=\|z\|$ in the above inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|z\| \frac{1+\|z\|}{1+(2 \alpha-1)\|z\|} \geq \operatorname{Re} z^{*}(w(z)) \geq\|z\| \frac{1-\|z\|}{1-(2 \alpha-1)\|z\|} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z$ was arbitrarily chosen, we deduce that the inequality (3.1) holds for all $z \in B \backslash\{0\}$.

Let $0<r_{1}<r_{2}<1$. Let $z_{2}$ be a point such that $\left\|z_{2}\right\|=r_{2}$. Since $f$ is starlike, the curve $c(t)=\exp (-t) f\left(z_{2}\right)$ is contained in $f(B)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Also $c(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Since $f$ is biholomorphic, the curve $f^{-1}(c(t))$ is welldefined and intersects the sphere $\|z\|=r_{1}$ at some point $z_{1}=f^{-1}\left(c\left(t_{1}\right)\right)$. For a $C^{1}$ curve $\gamma:[a, b] \rightarrow X$, let

$$
s=\int_{a}^{b}\left\|\frac{d \gamma}{d t}(t)\right\| d t
$$

be the arc length of $\gamma$. We will parameterize the curve $f^{-1}(c(t))\left(0 \leq t \leq t_{1}\right)$ by the arc length from $z_{1}$ and write it as $z(s)$. Then $f(z(s))=\exp (u(s)) f\left(z_{1}\right)$, where $u(0)=0$ and $u^{\prime}>0$. Differentiating $z(s)=f^{-1}\left(\exp (u(s)) f\left(z_{1}\right)\right)$, we have

$$
\frac{d z}{d s}=[D f(z(s))]^{-1} u^{\prime}(s) f(z(s))=u^{\prime}(s) w(z(s))
$$

Since $z(s)$ is parameterized by the arc length, we have

$$
\left\|u^{\prime}(s) w(z(s))\right\|=1
$$

Therefore,

$$
u^{\prime}(s)=\frac{1}{\|w(z(s))\|}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d z}{d s}=\frac{1}{\|w(z(s))\|} w(z(s)) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\frac{d f(z(s))}{d s}=u^{\prime}(s) f(z(s))=\frac{1}{\|w(z(s))\|} f(z(s))
$$

Let $g(s)=\|f(z(s))\|$. Since $\|f(z(s))\|=\exp (u(s))\left\|f\left(z_{1}\right)\right\|$, we have

$$
\frac{d g}{d s}=\frac{1}{\|w(z(s))\|} g
$$

on $\left(0, s_{1}\right)$, where $z\left(s_{1}\right)=z_{2}$. Let $v(t)=f^{-1}(c(t))$. Then

$$
\frac{d v}{d t}=-[D f(v(t))]^{-1} f(v(t))
$$

Then $v(t)$ satisfies the following integral equation:

$$
v(t)=z_{2}-\int_{0}^{t}[D f(v(\tau))]^{-1} f(v(\tau)) d \tau
$$

For any $0 \leq s<s^{\prime} \leq s_{1}$, let $z(s)=v\left(t_{1}-t\right)$ and $z\left(s^{\prime}\right)=v\left(t_{1}-t^{\prime}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\|z(s)\|-\left\|z\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right\|\right| & \leq\left\|z(s)-z\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right\| \\
& =\left\|v\left(t_{1}-t\right)-v\left(t_{1}-t^{\prime}\right)\right\| \\
& =\left\|\int_{t_{1}-t}^{t_{1}-t^{\prime}} \frac{d v(\tau)}{d \tau} d \tau\right\| \\
& \leq \int_{t_{1}-t^{\prime}}^{t_{1}-t}\left\|\frac{d v(\tau)}{d \tau}\right\| d \tau \\
& =\int_{s}^{s^{\prime}}\left\|\frac{d z(s)}{d s}\right\| d s \\
& =\int_{s}^{s^{\prime}} 1 d s \\
& =\left|s-s^{\prime}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\|z(s)\|$ is an absolutely continuous function on $\left[0, s_{1}\right]$. Thus, $d\|z(s)\| / d s$ exists a.e., integrable on $\left[0, s_{1}\right]$ and

$$
\frac{d\|z(s)\|}{d s}=\operatorname{Re} z(s)^{*}\left(\frac{d z}{d s}\right)
$$

for $z(s)^{*} \in T(z(s))$ a.e. on $\left[0, s_{1}\right]$ by Lemma 1.3 of Kato [10]. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|w(z(s))\| \frac{d\|z(s)\|}{d s}=\operatorname{Re} z(s)^{*}(w(z(s))) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (3.2). By (3.1) and (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1+(2 \alpha-1)\|z(s)\|}{\|z(s)\|(1+\|z(s)\|)} \frac{d\|z(s)\|}{d s} & \leq \frac{1}{g} \frac{d g}{d s}=\frac{1}{\|w(z(s))\|} \\
& \leq \frac{1-(2 \alpha-1)\|z(s)\|}{\|z(s)\|(1-\|z(s)\|)} \frac{d\|z(s)\|}{d s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\|z(s)\|$ is strictly increasing on $\left[0, s_{1}\right]$ by (3.1) and (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log g(s)-\log g(0) \leq & \int_{0}^{s} \frac{1-(2 \alpha-1)\|z(s)\|}{\|z(s)\|(1-\|z(s)\|)} \frac{d\|z(s)\|}{d s} d s \\
= & \int_{\|z(0)\|}^{\|z(s)\|} \frac{1-(2 \alpha-1) x}{x(1-x)} d x \\
= & \log \|z(s)\|-2(1-\alpha) \log (1-\|z(s)\|) \\
& -\{\log \|z(0)\|-2(1-\alpha) \log (1-\|z(0)\|)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log g(s)-\log g(0) \geq & \log \|z(s)\|-2(1-\alpha) \log (1+\|z(s)\|) \\
& -\{\log \|z(0)\|-2(1-\alpha) \log (1+\|z(0)\|)\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{(1-\|z(s)\|)^{2(1-\alpha)}}{\|z(s)\|(1-\|z(0)\|)^{2(1-\alpha)}\|f(z(s))\|} & \leq \frac{\|f(z(0))\|}{\|z(0)\|} \\
& \leq \frac{(1+\|z(s)\|)^{2(1-\alpha)}}{\|z(s)\|(1+\|z(0)\|)^{2(1-\alpha)}\|f(z(s))\| .}
\end{aligned}
$$

If we put $s=s_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left(1-\left\|z_{2}\right\|\right)^{2(1-\alpha)}}{\left\|z_{2}\right\|(1-\|z(0)\|)^{2(1-\alpha)}}\left\|f\left(z_{2}\right)\right\| & \leq \frac{\|f(z(0))\|}{\|z(0)\|} \\
& \leq \frac{\left(1+\left\|z_{2}\right\|\right)^{2(1-\alpha)}}{\left\|z_{2}\right\|(1+\|z(0)\|)^{2(1-\alpha)}}\left\|f\left(z_{2}\right)\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $r_{1} \rightarrow 0$, we obtain that

$$
\frac{\left(1-\left\|z_{2}\right\|\right)^{2(1-\alpha)}}{\left\|z_{2}\right\|}\left\|f\left(z_{2}\right)\right\| \leq 1 \leq \frac{\left(1+\left\|z_{2}\right\|\right)^{2(1-\alpha)}}{\left\|z_{2}\right\|}\left\|f\left(z_{2}\right)\right\|
$$

since

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(z)\|}{\|z\|}=\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|D f(0) z\|}{\|z\|}=1 .
$$

This completes the proof.
Example 3.1. When

$$
X=\ell_{p}=\left\{z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots\right):\|z\|^{p}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|z_{n}\right|^{p}<\infty\right\}
$$

where $p \geq 1$, the estimates in Theorem 3.1 are sharp. We will show that the holomorphic mapping

$$
f(z)=\left(f_{1}\left(z_{1}\right), f_{2}\left(z_{2}\right), \ldots\right)^{\prime},
$$

where

$$
f_{j}\left(z_{j}\right)=\frac{z_{j}}{\left(1-z_{j}\right)^{2(1-\alpha)}},
$$

is a normalized starlike mapping of order $\alpha$ which attains the equalities in Theorem 3.1. Since

$$
D f(z) x=\left(\frac{(1-2 \alpha) z_{1}+1}{\left(1-z_{1}\right)^{3-2 \alpha}} x_{1}, \frac{(1-2 \alpha) z_{2}+1}{\left(1-z_{2}\right)^{3-2 \alpha}} x_{2}, \ldots\right)^{\prime}
$$

$f$ is a normalized locally biholomorphic mapping. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \alpha[D f(z)]^{-1} f(z)-z=\left(\frac{z_{1}\left(2 \alpha-1-z_{1}\right)}{(1-2 \alpha) z_{1}+1}, \frac{z_{2}\left(2 \alpha-1-z_{2}\right)}{(1-2 \alpha) z_{2}+1}, \ldots\right)^{\prime} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $1<p<\infty, T(z)(z \neq 0)$ consists of one element

$$
z^{*}(y)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|z_{j}\right|^{p}}{z_{j}\|z\|^{p-1}} y_{j}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|z^{*}\left(2 \alpha[D f(z)]^{-1} f(z)-z\right)\right| & =\left|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|z_{j}\right|^{p}}{\|z\|^{p-1}} \frac{2 \alpha-1-z_{j}}{(1-2 \alpha) z_{j}+1}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\|z\|^{p-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|z_{j}\right|^{p}\left|\frac{2 \alpha-1-z_{j}}{(1-2 \alpha) z_{j}+1}\right| \\
& <\frac{1}{\|z\|^{p-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|z_{j}\right|^{p} \\
& =\|z\|
\end{aligned}
$$

When $p=1, T(z)(z \neq 0)$ consists of those functionals $z^{*}$ given by

$$
z^{*}(y)=\sum_{z_{j} \neq 0} \frac{\left|z_{j}\right|}{z_{j}} y_{j}+\sum_{z_{j}=0} \alpha_{j} y_{j}
$$

where $\left|\alpha_{j}\right| \leq 1$. Then we can show that $\left|z^{*}\left(2 \alpha[D f(z)]^{-1} f(z)-z\right)\right|<\|z\|$ as above. Since $\left\|[D f(z)]^{-1} f(z)\right\|$ is bounded on $B_{r}$ for each $r$ with $0<r<1$ by (3.4), $f$ is a starlike mapping of order $\alpha$. For $z=(r, 0,0, \ldots) \in B$, we have $\|f(z)\|=\|z\| /(1-\|z\|)^{2(1-\alpha)}$, and for $z=(-r, 0,0, \ldots) \in B$, we have $\|f(z)\|=\|z\| /(1+\|z\|)^{2(1-\alpha)}$.

Remark. Let $f: B \rightarrow X$ be a normalized convex mapping. That is, $f$ is a biholomorphic mapping from $B$ onto a convex domain with $f(0)=0$, $D f(0)=I$. Then we can show that $f$ is a starlike mapping of order $1 / 2$. Then we obtain the following growth theorem from the above theorem.

$$
\frac{\|z\|}{1+\|z\|} \leq\|f(z)\| \leq \frac{\|z\|}{1-\|z\|}
$$

For details, see Theorem 2.1 of [8] (cf. [11], [12]).

## 4. A sufficient condition to Be starlike of order $\alpha$

In this section, we will give a sufficient condition for locally biholomorphic mappings on the unit ball in complex Banach spaces to be starlike of order $\alpha$.

First, we will generalize Lemma 2.3 to complex Banach spaces (cf. [14], [15]).

Theorem 4.1. Let $B$ be the unit ball in a complex Banach space $X$. Let $f: B \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic mapping with $f(0)=0$. Suppose that there exists an $a \in B \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\|f(a)\|=\max \{\|f(\zeta a)\|:|\zeta| \leq 1\}>0
$$

Then there exists a real number $s \geq 1$ such that

$$
\|D f(a)(a)\|=s\|f(a)\|
$$

Moreover, if $D f(0)=0$, then $s \geq 2$.
Proof. Let $b=f(a)$ and let $F(\zeta)=b^{*}(f(\zeta a /\|a\|))$, where $b^{*} \in T(b)$. Then $F$ is a holomorphic function on $\Delta$ and $F(0)=0$. Since $F(\|a\|)=\|f(a)\|$ and $|F(\zeta)| \leq\|f(a)\|$ for $|\zeta| \leq\|a\|$, there exists a real number $m \geq 1$ such that $\|a\| F^{\prime}(\|a\|)=m F(\|a\|)$ by Lemma 2.3. This implies that $b^{*}(D f(a)(a))=$ $m\|f(a)\|$. Since $\left\|b^{*}\right\|=1$, we can find a real number $s$ with $s \geq m \geq 1$ such that $\|D f(a)(a)\|=s\|f(a)\|$.

If $D f(0)=0$, then $F^{\prime}(0)=0$. Then by Lemma $2.3, s \geq m \geq 2$. This completes the proof.

The following theorem generalizes the result of third author's paper [16].
Theorem 4.2. Let $B$ be the unit ball in a complex Banach space X. Let $f: B \rightarrow X$ be a locally biholomorphic mapping with $f(0)=0$. Assume that $f$ satisfies one of the following two conditions:
(i) $1 / 2<\alpha<1$ and

$$
\left\|(D f(z))^{-1} D^{2} f(z)(z, \cdot)\right\|<\frac{1-(2 \alpha-1)\|z\|}{1+\|z\|}
$$

(ii) $\alpha=1 / 2$ and

$$
\left\|(D f(z))^{-1} D^{2} f(z)(z, \cdot)\right\|<\frac{2}{1+\|z\|}
$$

Then $f$ is starlike of order $\alpha$. Moreover, if $f$ is normalized, then

$$
\frac{\|z\|}{(1+\|z\|)^{2(1-\alpha)}} \leq\|f(z)\| \leq \frac{\|z\|}{(1-\|z\|)^{2(1-\alpha)}}
$$

Proof. Let $p(z)=2 \alpha[D f(z)]^{-1} f(z)-z$. First we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|p(z)\|<1, z \in B \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the inequality (4.1) does not hold, then there exists a point $a \in B \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\|p(a)\|=\max \{\|p(\zeta a)\|:|\zeta| \leq 1\}=1
$$

By Theorem 4.1, there exists a real number $s \geq 1$ such that

$$
\|D p(a)(a)\|=s\|p(a)\|=s \geq 1
$$

When $\alpha=1 / 2, D p(0)=0$ and therefore, $s \geq 2$. Since

$$
[D f(z)]^{-1} D^{2} f(z)(p(z)+z, \cdot)+D p(z)=(2 \alpha-1) I
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
s \leq\|D p(a)(a)\| & =\left\|(2 \alpha-1) a-[D f(a)]^{-1} D^{2} f(a)(p(a)+a, a)\right\| \\
& \leq(2 \alpha-1)\|a\|+\left\|[D f(a)]^{-1} D^{2} f(a)(a, \cdot)\right\|\|p(a)+a\| \\
& \leq(2 \alpha-1)\|a\|+\left\|[D f(a)]^{-1} D^{2} f(a)(a, \cdot)\right\|(1+\|a\|)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\frac{s-(2 \alpha-1)\|a\|}{1+\|a\|} \leq\left\|[D f(a)]^{-1} D^{2} f(a)(a, \cdot)\right\|
$$

This is a contradiction. So, $\|p(z)\|<1$ on $B$. Since $p(0)=0,\|p(z)\| \leq\|z\|$ for $z \in B$ by the Schwarz lemma. For fixed $z \in B \backslash\{0\}, z^{*} \in T(z)$, let $w=z /\|z\|$ and let

$$
g(\zeta)=z^{*}\left(\frac{p(\zeta w)}{\zeta}\right)
$$

Then $g$ is a holomorphic function on $\Delta$ with $|g(\zeta)| \leq 1$. Since $g(0)=$ $z^{*}(D p(0) w)=2 \alpha-1,|g(0)|<1$. Then $|g(\zeta)|<1$ by the maximum principle. This implies that

$$
\frac{1}{2 \alpha}|g(\|z\|)|=\left|\frac{1}{\|z\|} z^{*}\left([D f(z)]^{-1} f(z)\right)-\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\right|<\frac{1}{2 \alpha}
$$

Since $\left\|[D f(z)]^{-1} f(z)\right\|$ is bounded on $B$ from (4.1), $f$ is a starlike mapping of order $\alpha$. By Theorem 3.1, we obtain the growth theorem. This completes the proof.
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