GLASNIK MATEMATIČKI Vol. 36(56)(2001), 11 – 16

ADDITIVE SELECTIONS OF (α, β) -SUBADDITIVE SET VALUED MAPS

DORIAN POPA

Technical University, Dept. Mathematics

ABSTRACT. It is proved that an (α, β) -subadditive set valued map with close, convex and equibounded values in a Banach space has exactly one additive selection if α, β are positive numbers and $\alpha + \beta \neq 1$.

The study of subadditive set valued maps (s.v. maps) is related to the classical Hyers-Ulam stability problem for the Cauchy functional equation. This problem is cf.[1] and [4] the next one:

If $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable solution of the inequality

$$(0.1) |f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ then there exists a linear function a(x) = mx, $m \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $|f(x) - a(x)| < \varepsilon$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

The inequality (1) can be written on the form

$$f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y) \in B(0,\varepsilon)$$

where $B(0,\varepsilon)$ is the ball with centre 0 and radius ε .

Hence we have

$$f(x+y) + B(0,\varepsilon) \subset f(x) + B(0,\varepsilon) + f(y) + B(0,\varepsilon)$$

and denoting by $F(x) = f(x) + B(0, \varepsilon), x \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$(0.2) F(x+y) \subseteq F(x) + F(y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$a(x) \in F(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

which means that the s.v. map F has the additive selection a.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. (1991): 28B20, 54C65. Key words and phrases. set valued map, subadditive, selection.

Now one may ask in what conditions a subadditive s.v. map (i.e. a s.v. map that satisfies relation (2) admits an additive selection. An answer at this question is given in [1]. It is also proved that there exists subadditive s.v. maps that have not additive selection (see [1]).

In the sequel we shall generalize the notion of subadditive s.v. map and we shall give for such s.v. maps an existence and uniqueness theorem of an additive selection.

Let X be a real vector space. We shall denote by $\mathcal{P}(X)$ and $\mathcal{P}_0(X)$ the family of all subsets, respectively nonempty subsets of X. If Y is a real normed space the family of all close and convex subsets of Y will be denoted by ccl(Y).

If A, B are nonempty subsets of the real vector space X and λ, μ are real numbers we define

(0.3)
$$A + B = \{x | x = a + b, a \in A, b \in B\}$$
$$\lambda A = \{x | x = \lambda a, a \in A\}.$$

The next properties will be often used in what follows

(0.4)
$$\lambda(A+B) = \lambda A + \lambda B \\ (\lambda + \mu)A \subseteq \lambda A + \mu A.$$

If A is a convex set and $\lambda \mu \geq 0$ we have (cf.[3])

$$(0.5) (\lambda + \mu)A = \lambda A + \mu A.$$

A set $A \subseteq X$ is said to be a cone if $K + K \subseteq K$ and $\lambda K \subseteq K$ for all $\lambda > 0$. If the zero vector from X belongs to K we say that K is a zero cone.

DEFINITION 1. Let X, Y be real vector spaces, $K \subseteq X$ a cone and $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$. A s.v. map $F: K \to \mathcal{P}_0(Y)$ is called (α, β) -subadditive if

(0.6)
$$F(\alpha x + \beta y) \subseteq \alpha F(x) + \beta F(y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

For $\alpha = \beta = 1$, F is called subadditive s.v. map.

Let us remark that there exists (α, β) -subadditive s.v. map that are not subadditive.

The s.v. map $F:[0,+\infty)\to\mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$F(x) = \left[\sqrt{x}, +\infty\right)$$

is $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ -subadditive but is not subadditive.

For all $x, y \ge 0$ we have

$$F\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) = \left[\sqrt{\frac{x+y}{2}}, +\infty\right)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}F(x) + \frac{1}{2}F(y) = \left[\frac{\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y}}{2}, +\infty\right).$$

Taking account of

$$\sqrt{\frac{x+y}{2}} \ge \frac{\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y}}{2}$$

it results that

$$F\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \subseteq \frac{F(x)+F(y)}{2}$$

that means F is $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ -subadditive, but it is not subadditive because

$$F(x+y) = \left[\sqrt{x+y}, +\infty\right) \not\subseteq \left[\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y}, \infty\right) = F(x) + F(y)$$

for x > 0, y > 0.

In the following theorem we shall give a sufficient condition for an (α, β) -subadditive multifunction to admit an additive selection. Let us recall that if $F: X \to \mathcal{P}(Y)$ is a s.v. map a function $f: X \to Y$ with the property $f(x) \in F(x)$ for all $x \in X$ is said to be a selection of F.

Theorem 2. Let X be a real vector space, $K \subseteq X$ a zero cone, Y a Banach space and $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$.

If $F: K \to ccl(Y)$ is (α, β) -subadditive s.v. map which satisfies the conditions:

- 1) $\alpha + \beta \neq 1$
- 2) $\sup\{diam F(x): x \in X\} < +\infty$

then F admits exactly one additive selection.

PROOF. Using (α,β) -subadditivity of F and convexity of its values we have for all $x\in K$

(0.7)
$$F((\alpha + \beta)x) \subseteq \alpha F(x) + \beta F(y) = (\alpha + \beta)F(x)$$

and replacing x by $(\alpha + \beta)^n x$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, in (7) we obtain

$$F((\alpha + \beta)^{n+1}x) \subseteq (\alpha + \beta)F((\alpha + \beta)^nx)$$

and

$$\frac{F((\alpha+\beta)^{n+1}x)}{(\alpha+\beta)^{n+1}} \subseteq \frac{F((\alpha+\beta)^nx)}{(\alpha+\beta)^n}.$$

I. Let $\alpha + \beta > 1$.

Denoting by $F_n(x) = \frac{F((\alpha + \beta)^n x)}{(\alpha + \beta)^n}$, $x \in K$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it results that $(F_n(x))_{n\geq 0}$ is a decreasing sequence of closed subsets of the Banach space Y. We have also

$$diam F_n(x) = \frac{1}{(\alpha + \beta)^n} diam F((\alpha + \beta)^n x)$$

and taking account of the condition 2) we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} diam \, F_n(x) = 0.$$

Using the Cantor theorem for the sequence $(F_n(x))_{n\geq 0}$ the intersection $\bigcap_{n\geq 0} F_n(x)$ is a singleton and we denote this intersection by a(x) for all $x\in K$.

Thus we obtain a function $a: K \to Y$ which is a selection of F because $a(x) \in F_0(x) = F(x)$ for all $x \in K$.

We shall show that a is additive. We have

$$F_n(\alpha x + \beta y) = \frac{F((\alpha + \beta)^n (\alpha x + \beta y))}{(\alpha + \beta)^n} = \frac{F(\alpha (\alpha + \beta)^n x + \beta (\alpha + \beta)^n y)}{(\alpha + \beta)^n} \subseteq$$

$$\subseteq \frac{\alpha F((\alpha + \beta)^n x) + \beta F((\alpha + \beta)^n y)}{(\alpha + \beta)^n} = \alpha \frac{F((\alpha + \beta)^n x)}{(\alpha + \beta)^n} + \beta \frac{F((\alpha + \beta)^n y)}{(\alpha + \beta)^n} =$$

$$= \alpha F_n(x) + \beta F_n(y)$$

for all $x, y \in K$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

From the last relation it results that

$$a(\alpha x + \beta y) = \bigcap_{n \ge 0} F_n(\alpha x + \beta y) \subseteq \bigcap_{n \ge 0} (\alpha F_n(x) + \beta F_n(y))$$

and

$$||a(\alpha x + \beta y) - (\alpha a(x) + \beta a(y))|| \le diam(\alpha F_n(x) + \beta F_n(y)) \le$$

$$\le \alpha diam F_n(x) + \beta diam F_n(y) \to 0,$$

when $n \to \infty$, cf.(8).

So

$$a(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha a(x) + \beta a(y),$$

for all $x, y \in K$.

Putting x = y = 0 in (9) it results a(0) = 0 and for y = 0 and x = 0 in (9) we obtain

(0.10)
$$a(\alpha x) = \alpha a(x) a(\beta y) = \beta a(y)$$

for all $x, y \in K$. Using (10) we have

$$a(x+y) = a\left(\alpha \frac{x}{\alpha} + \beta \frac{y}{\beta}\right) = \alpha a\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right) + \beta a\left(\frac{y}{\beta}\right) = a(x) + a(y)$$

for all $x, y \in K$, thus a is additive.

II. For $\alpha + \beta < 1$, we replace x in (7) by $\frac{x}{(\alpha + \beta)^{n+1}}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and we obtain multiplying this relation by $(\alpha + \beta)^n$

(0.11)
$$(\alpha + \beta)^n F\left(\frac{x}{(\alpha + \beta)^n}\right) \subseteq (\alpha + \beta)^{n+1} F\left(\frac{x}{(\alpha + \beta)^{n+1}}\right).$$

The sequence $(F'_n(x))_{n\geq 0}$,

$$F'_n(x) = (\alpha + \beta)^n F\left(\frac{x}{(\alpha + \beta)^n}\right),$$

is increasing and it follows that the sequence of positive numbers $(\operatorname{diam} F'_n(x))_{n>0}$ is increasing too. We have

$$diam F'_n(x) = (\alpha + \beta)^n diam F\left(\frac{x}{(\alpha + \beta)^n}\right)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} diam \, F'_n(x) = 0,$$

hence $F'_n(x)$ is single valued for all $x \in K$. The s.v. map F is single valued

$$F(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha F(x) + \beta F(y)$$

for all $x, y \in K$, and using the same methods as in case I, we obtain the additivity of F. We conclude that F is its own selection.

We have proved the existence of an additive selection of F and let us prove the uniqueness.

Suppose that F has two additive selection $a_1, a_2 : K \to Y$. We have

$$na_i(x) = a_i(nx) \in F(nx)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in K$, 1 < i < 2. We have

$$||a_1(x) - a_2(x)|| = ||na_1(x) - na_2(x)|| = ||a_1(nx) - a_2(nx)|| \le diam F(nx)$$

for all $x \in K$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and taking account of the condition 2) from Theorem 1, it results $a_1(x) = a_2(x)$ for all $x \in K$.

COROLLARY 3. ([1]). Let X be a real vector space, $K \subset X$ a cone with zero and Y a Banach space. If $F: K \to ccl(Y)$ is a subadditive s.v. map with

$$\sup\{diam F(x): x \in K\} < +\infty$$

then F admits exactly one additive selection.

PROOF. We take
$$\alpha = \beta = 1$$
 in Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 4. Let X be a real vector space, $K \subseteq X$ a cone with zero, Y a normed space. If $F: K \to \mathcal{P}_0(Y)$ is (α, β) -subadditive with $\alpha + \beta < 1$ and convex values and

$$\sup\{diam F(x): x \in K\} < +\infty,$$

then F is single valued and additive.

PROOF. It results from the proof of Theorem 1, part II.

Remark 5. There exists (α, β) -subadditive s.v. maps with $\alpha + \beta = 1$, which satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1 and have not additive selection.

Concave s.v. map are (α, β) -subadditive s.v. maps with $\alpha + \beta = 1$. It is known that if $f, g : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ are two functions such that f is concave and g is convex and $f(x) \leq g(x)$, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ then the s.v. map $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{R})$, F(x) = [f(x), g(x)] is concave (see [3]). Using this result it follows that

$$F(x) = \left[\frac{x}{x+1}, 1\right], \quad x \in [0, \infty)$$

is concave without additive selection, because if $a: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is an additive selection of F we must have a(x) = mx for each $x \in [0, +\infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ $(m \in \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\frac{x}{x+1} \le mx \le 1, \ \forall \ x \in [0,+\infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}.$$

The last inequality is impossible because taking the limit it results $\lim_{x\to\infty} mx = 1.$

References

- Gajda Z., Ger R., Subadditive multifunction and Hyers-Ulam stability, Numerical Mathematics, Vol.80, 1987, Birkhäuser Verlag Basel.
- [2] Kuczma M., An introduction to the theory of functional equations and Jensen inequality, PWN Universitet Slaski, Warszwa-Krakow-Katovice, 1985.
- [3] Nikodem K., K-convex and K-concave set valued functions, Zeszyty Naukowe Nr.559, Lodz 1989.
- [4] Smajdor W., Subadditive set valued functions, Glasnik Matematički, Vol.21(41), 1986, No.2, 281-291.

Technical University, Dept. Mathematics Str. Emil Isac nr.15 3400 Cluj-Napoca, Romania

Received: 21.05.1998.