# A coincidence point theorem for multi-valued contractions<sup>\*</sup>

DURAN TÜRKOĞLU<sup>†</sup>, ORHAN ÖZER<sup>‡</sup> AND BRIAN FISHER<sup>§</sup>

**Abstract**. A coincidence point theorem for two pairs of mappings is proved.

**Key words:** coincidence point, multi-valued mapping, weakly commuting mappings, compatible mappings

#### AMS subject classifications: 54H25

Received February 6, 2002 Accepted May 15, 2002

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let (X, d) be a metric space and let f and g be mappings from X into itself. In [5], S. Sessa defined f and g to be *weakly commuting* if

$$d(gfx, fgx) \le d(gx, fx)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . It can be seen that two commuting mappings are weakly commuting, but the converse is false as shown in the example of [5].

Recently, Jungck [1] extended the concept of weak commutativity in the following way:

**Definition 1.** Let f and g be mappings from a metric space (X, d) into itself. The mappings f and g are said to be compatible if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (fgx_n, gfx_n) = 0$$

whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in X such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = z$  for some z in X.

It is obvious that two weakly commuting mappings are compatible, but the converse is not true, see the examples in [1].

<sup>\*</sup>This research was supported by TUBITAK project No.TBAG-1742 (1999).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, Kırıkkale University, Kırıkkale, Turkey, e-mail: turkoglu@sinanoglu.kku.edu.tr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics, Anadolu University, Eskisehir, Turkey, e-mail: oozer@vm.baum.anadolu.edu.tr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Leicester University, Leicester, LE1 7RH, England, e-mail: fbr@le.ac.uk

Recently, Kaneko [2] and Singh et al. [6] extended the concepts of weak commutativity and compatibility, see Kaneko et al. [3], for single-valued mappings to the setting of single-valued and multi-valued mappings, respectively.

Now let (X, d) be a metric space and let CB(X) denote the family of all nonempty closed and bounded subsets of X. Let H be the Hausdorff metric on CB(X)induced by the metric d, i.e.,

$$H(A,B) = \max\left\{\sup_{x \in A} d(x,B), \sup_{y \in B} d(y,A)\right\}$$

for  $A, B \in CB(X)$ , where  $d(x, A) = \inf_{y \in A} d(x, y)$ .

It is well-known that (CB(X), H) is a metric space, and if (X, d) is complete, then (CB(X), H) is also complete.

The following lemma was proved in Nadler [4].

**Lemma 1.** Let  $A, B \in CB(X)$  and k > 1. Then for each  $a \in A$ , there exists a point  $b \in B$  such that  $d(a, b) \leq kH(A, B)$ .

**Definition 2.** Let (X,d) be a metric space and let  $f: X \to X$  and  $S: X \to CB(X)$  be single-valued and multi-valued mappings, respectively. The mappings f and S are said to be weakly commuting if for all  $x \in X$ ,  $fSx \in CB(X)$  and

$$H(Sfx, fSx) \le d(fx, Sx),$$

where H is the Hausdorff metric defined on CB(X).

**Definition 3.** The mappings f and S are said to be compatible if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(fy_n, Sfx_n) = 0$$

whenever  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are sequences in X such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = z$  for some  $z \in X$ , where  $y_n \in Sx_n$  for n = 1, 2, ...

Remark 1.

- (i) Definition 3 is slightly different from Kaneko's definition [2].
- (ii) If S is a single-valued mapping on X in Definitions 2 and 3, then Definitions 2 and 3 become the definitions of weak commutativity and compatibility for single-valued mappings.
- (iii) If the mappings f and S are weakly commuting, then they are compatible, but the converse is not true.

In fact, suppose that f and S are weakly commuting and let  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  be two sequences in X such that  $y_n \in Sx_n$  for n = 1, 2, ... and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = z$  for some  $z \in X$ . From  $d(fx_n, Sx_n) \leq d(fx_n, y_n)$ , it follows that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(fx_n, Sx_n) = 0$ . Thus, since f and g are weakly commuting, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} H(Sfx_n, fSx_n) = 0.$$

On the other hand, since  $d(fy_n, Sfx_n) \leq H(fSx_n, Sfx_n)$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(fy_n, Sfx_n) = 0,$$

which means that f and S are compatible.

**Example 1.** Let  $X = [1, \infty)$  be set with the Euclidean metric d and define  $fx = 2x^4 - 1$  and  $Sx = [1, x^2]$  for all  $x \ge 1$ . Note that f and S are continuous and S(X) = f(X) = X. Let  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  be sequences in X defined by  $x_n = y_n = 1$  for  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$  Then we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = 1 \in X, \text{ where } y_n \in Sx_n.$$

On the other hand, we can show that  $H(fSx_n, Sfx_n) = 2(x_n^4 - 1)^2 \to 0$  if and only  $x_n \to 1$  as  $n \to \infty$  and so, since  $d(fy_n, Sfx_n) \leq H(fSx_n, Sfx_n)$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(fy_n, Sfx_n) = 0.$$

Therefore, f and T are compatible, but f and T are not weakly commuting at x = 2.

### 2. Main results

**Theorem 1.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Let  $f, g : X \to X$  be continuous mappings and  $S, T : X \to CB(X)$  be H-continuous mappings such that  $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$  and  $S(X) \subseteq g(X)$ , the pair S and g are compatible mappings and

$$H^{p}(Sx,Ty) \leq \max\{ad(fx,gy)d^{p-1}(fx,Sx), ad(fx,gy)d^{p-1}(gy,Ty), \\ ad(fx,Sx)d^{p-1}(gy,Ty), cd^{p-1}(fx,Ty)d(gy,Sx)\}$$
(1)

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $p \ge 2$  is an integer, 0 < a < 1 and  $c \ge 0$ . Then there exists a point  $z \in X$  such that  $fz \in Sz$  and  $gz \in Tz$ , i.e., z is a coincidence point of f, Sand of g, T. Further, z is unique when 0 < c < 1.

**Proof.** Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary point in X. Since  $Sx_0 \subseteq g(X)$ , there exists a point  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $gx_1 \in Sx_o$  and so there exists a point  $y \in Tx_1$ 

$$d(gx_1, y) \le kH(Sx_0, Tx_1),$$

where  $k = a^{-1/2} > 1$ , which is possible by Lemma 1. Since  $Tx_1 \subseteq f(X)$ , there exists a point  $x_2 \in X$  such that  $y = fx_2$  and so we have

$$d(gx_1, fx_2) \le kH(Sx_0, Tx_1).$$

Similarly, there exists a point  $x_3 \in X$  such that  $gx_3 \in Sx_2$  and

$$d(gx_3, fx_2) \le kH(Sx_2, Tx_1).$$

Inductively, we can obtain a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that

$$f_{x_{2n}} \in T_{x_{2n}}, \quad n \in N,$$
  

$$g_{x_{2n+1}} \in S_{x_{2n}}, \quad n \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\},$$
  

$$d(g_{x_{2n+1}}, f_{x_{2n}}) \le kH(S_{x_{2n}}, T_{x_{2n-1}}), \quad n \in N,$$
  

$$d(g_{x_{2n+1}}, f_{x_{2n}}) \le kH(S_{x_{2n}}, T_{x_{2n+1}}), \quad n \in N_0,$$

where N denotes the set of positive integers. Then, by (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^{p}(gx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+2}) &\leq k^{p} H^{p}(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq a^{-p/2} \max\{ad(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1})d^{p-1}(fx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}), \\ &ad(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1})d^{p-1}(gx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), \\ &ad(fx_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d^{p-1}(gx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), \\ &cd^{p-1}(fx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})d^{p-1}(gx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+2})\} \\ &\leq a^{-p/2} \max\{ad(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1})d^{p-1}(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}), \\ &ad(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1})d^{p-1}(gx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+2}), \\ &ad(fx_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d^{p-1}(gx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+2}), \\ &cd^{p-1}(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n+2})d^{p-1}(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $a^{-p/2} = \beta$ , we have

$$d^{p}(gx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+2}) \leq \beta \max\{ad(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1})d^{p-1}(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}), \\ ad(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1})d^{p-1}(gx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+2}), \\ ad(fx_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d^{p-1}(gx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+2}), \\ cd^{p-1}(fx_{2n}, fx_{2n+2})d^{p-1}(gx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1})\}, \\ \leq \beta ad(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1})d^{p-1}(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}),$$

$$d^{p}(gx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+2}) \leq \beta^{n} a^{n} d(x_{0}, gx_{1}).$$

Since  $0 < \beta < 1$ , it follows that

$$\{gx_1, fx_2, gx_3, fx_4, \dots, gx_{2n-1}, fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}, \dots\}$$

is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since (X, d) is a complete metric space, let

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} gx_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} fx_{2n} = z.$$

Now, we will prove that z is a coincidence point of f and S. For every  $n \in N$ , we have

$$d(fgx_{2n+1}, Sz) \le d(fgx_{2n+1}, Sfx_{2n}) + H(Sfx_{2n}, Sz).$$
(2)

It follows from the H-continuity of S that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} H(Sfx_{2n}, Sz) = 0, \tag{3}$$

since  $fx_{2n} \to z$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Since f and S are compatible mappings and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f x_{2n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = z,$$

where  $y_n = gx_{2n+1} \in Sx_{2n}$  and  $z_n = x_{2n}$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(fy_n, Sfz_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(fgx_{2n+1}, Sfx_{2n}) = 0.$$

$$\tag{4}$$

Thus, from equations (2), (3) and (4), we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(fgx_{2n+1}, Sz) = 0$$

and so

$$d(fz, Sz) \le d(fz, fgx_{2n+1}) + d(fgx_{2n+1}, Sz).$$

Letting *n* tend to infinity, it follows that d(fz, Sz) = 0. This implies that  $fz \in Sz$ , since Sz is a closed subset of *X*. Thus *z* is a coincidence point of *f* and *S*. Similarly, we can prove that *z* is a coincidence point of *g* and *T*. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\Box$ 

Letting f = g be the identity mapping on X, in *Theorem 1*, we have the following corollary:

**Corollary 1.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let  $S, T : X \mapsto CB(X)$  be *H*-continuous multi-valued mappings such that

$$\begin{aligned} H^p(Sx,Ty) &\leq \max\{ad(x,y)d^{p-1}(y,Ty), ad(x,y)d^{p-1}(y,Ty), \\ &\quad ad(x,Sx)d^{p-1}(y,Ty), cd^{p-1}(x,Ty)d(y,Sx)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $p \ge 2$ , 0 < a < 1, c > 0. Then S and T have a common fixed point z in X.

Putting f = g and S = T in *Theorem 1*, we have the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.** Let (X,d) be a complete metric space, let  $f : X \to X$  be a continuous mapping and let  $S : X \to CB(X)$  be an H-continuous mapping such that  $S(X) \subset g(X)$ , and

$$H^{p}(Sx, Sy) \leq \max\{ad(fx, fy)d^{p-1}(fx, Sx), ad(fx, fy)d^{p-1}(gy, Sy), \\ cd^{p-1}(fx, Sy)d(fy, Sx)\}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $p \ge 2$  is an integer, 0 < a < 1 and  $c \ge 0$ . Then there exists a coincidence point z of f and S.

## References

- G. JUNGCK, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 9(1986), 771-773.
- [2] H. KANEKO, A common fixed point of weakly commuting multi-valued mappings, Math. Japon. 33(1988), 741-744.
- [3] H. KANEKO, S. SESSA, Fixed point theorems for compatible multi-valued and single-valued mappings, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 12(1989), 257-262.
- [4] S. B. NADLER, Multivalued contraction mappings, Pacific J. Math. 30(1969), 475-488.

- S. SESSA, On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) 32(1982), 146-153.
- [6] S. L. SINGH, K. S. HA, Y. J. CHO, Coincidence and fixed points of nonliear hybrid contractions, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 12(1979), 247-256.