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#### Abstract

In this paper we give some applications and special cases of a generalization of the Landau's theorem for Frechet-differentiable functions.


## 1. Introduction

E. Landau has proved the following theorems [11]:

Theorem A. Let $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ be an interval of length not less than 2 and let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a twice differentiable function satisfying $|f(x)| \leq 1$ and $\left|f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| \leq 1(x \in I)$. Then

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq 2 \quad(x \in I)
$$

Furthermore, 2 is the best possible constant in the above inequality.
Theorem B. Let $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a twice differentiable function satisfying $|f(x)| \leq 1$ and $\left|f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| \leq 1(x \in I)$. Then

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \sqrt{2} \quad(x \in \mathbf{R})
$$

Furthermore, $\sqrt{2}$ is the best possible constant in the above inequality.
There exists many generalizations of these results. In Section 2 we give some remarks about the generalization of Theorem A given in [9]. Some applications and special cases are given in Section 3.
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## 2. Landau's theorems for Frechet-differentiable functions

Let $X$ and $Y$ be Banach spaces. Given $a, b \in X(a \neq b)$ define $g: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$
g(x)=\|x-a\|^{2}+\|b-x\|^{2} \quad(x \in X)
$$

Let $D$ be a convex subset of $X$ such that $g(x) \leq\|b-a\|^{2}$ for every $x \in D$ and suppose that $a, b \in \bar{D}$. Furthermore, let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be twice Frechetdifferentiable on $\bar{D}$. With these assumptions the following generalizations of Theorems A and B have been proven in [9]:

Theorem C. If $\|F(x)\| \leq M(x \in \bar{D})$ and $\left\|F_{(x)}^{\prime \prime}(h, h)\right\| \leq N\|h\|^{2}(h \in$ $X, x \in D)$, then

$$
\left\|F_{(x)}^{\prime}(b-a)\right\| \leq 2 M+\frac{N}{2} g(x) \leq 2 M+\frac{N}{2}\|b-a\|^{2} \quad(x \in \bar{D})
$$

Theorem D. If $\left\|F_{(x)}^{\prime \prime}(h, h)\right\| \leq N\|h\|^{2}(h \in X, x \in D)$, then

$$
\left\|F_{(x)}^{\prime}(b-a)-F(b)+F(a)\right\| \leq \frac{N}{2} g(x) \quad(x \in \bar{D})
$$

We prove now the following generalization of these results.
Theorem 2.1. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{(x)}^{\prime \prime}(h, h)\right\| \leq H(h) \quad(h \in X, x \in D) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ is a function from $X$ to $\mathbf{R}^{+}$. Then for all $x \in \bar{D}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{(x)}(b-a)-F(b)+F(a)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}(H(a-x)+H(b-x)) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the further assumption

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F(x)\| \leq M \quad(x \in \bar{D}) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for all $x \in \bar{D}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{(x)}^{\prime}(b-a)\right\| \leq 2 M+\frac{1}{2}(H(a-x)+H(b-x)) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $x \in \bar{D}$ and $h \in X$ are such that $x+t h \in D$ for every $t$, $0<t<1$, then the Taylor's formula holds true:

$$
F(x+h)=F(x)+F_{(x)}^{\prime}(h)+w(x, h)
$$

where $w(x, h)=\frac{1}{2} F_{(x+t h)}(h, h)$ for some $t, 0<t<1$. Combining the two formulas for $h=a-x$ and $h=b-x$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{(x)}^{\prime}(b-a)-F(b)+F(a)=w(x, a-x)-w(b-x) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, (2.5) together with (2.1) implies (2.2). Similarly, (2.5) together with (2.1) and (2.3) implies (2.4).

Remark 2.2. If the function $H$ in Theorem 2.1 is even $(H(-h)=H(h))$ then for $a=x-h$ and $b=x+h$ we obtain from (2.4):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{(x)}^{\prime}(2 h)\right\| \leq 2 M+H(h) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.3. The inequalities (2.4) and (2.6) hold true if instead of (2.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F(b)-F(a)\| \leq 2 M . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.4. For $\left(H(h)=N\|h\|^{2}\right.$ we obtain Theorems C and D.

## 3. Some applications

Corollary 3.1. Let $f:[a, b+h] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a differentiable function ( $a<$ b, $h>0$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta_{h} f^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq N \quad(x \in(a, b)), \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{h} g(x)=\frac{1}{h}(g(x+h)-g(x))$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(b-a) \delta_{h} f(x)-f(b)+f(a)\right| \leq \frac{N}{2}\left[(x-a)^{2}+(x-b)^{2}\right] . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \leq f(x) \leq M \quad(a \leq x \leq b+h) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(b-a)\left|\delta_{h} f(x)\right| \leq M-m+\frac{N}{2}\left[(x-a)^{2}+(x-b)^{2}\right] . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.3 for $X=Y=\mathbf{R}$, $\|x\|=|x|, F(x)=\frac{1}{h} \int_{x}^{x+h} f(t) d t(a \leq x \leq b), D=(a, b), H(h)=N h^{2}$.

Corollary 3.2. Let the conditions of Corollary 3.1 be fulfilled. Then

$$
\left|\delta_{n} f(x)\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{M-m}{b-a}+\frac{b-a}{2} N, & \text { if } b-a \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(M-m)}{N}}  \tag{3.12}\\ \sqrt{2(M-m) N}, & \text { if } b-a \geq \sqrt{\frac{2(M-m)}{N}} .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. From (3.11) we get

$$
\left|\delta_{h} f(x)\right| \leq \frac{M-m}{b-a}+\frac{b-a}{2} N
$$

and if $b-a \geq \sqrt{2(M-m) / N}$ we obtain

$$
\left|\delta_{h} f(x)\right| \leq \sqrt{2(M-m) N}
$$

since the function $g(y)=\frac{M-m}{y}+\frac{N}{2} y$ has the minimum $\sqrt{2(M-m) N}$ for $y=\sqrt{2(M-m) / N}$.

Corollary 3.3. Let $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a differentiable function such that

$$
m \leq f(x) \leq M, \quad\left|\delta_{h} f^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq N \quad(x \in \mathbf{R}, h>0) .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta_{h} f(x)\right| \leq \sqrt{(M-m) N} \quad(x \in \mathbf{R}, h>0) . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using (2.6) (i. e. (3.11) for $a=x-y, b=x+y$ ), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta_{h} f(x)\right| \leq \frac{M-m}{2 y}+\frac{y N}{2} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $g(y)=\frac{M-m}{2 y}+\frac{y N}{2}$ has the minimum $\sqrt{(M-m) N}$ for $y=$ $\sqrt{(M-m) / N}$, hence for $y \geq \sqrt{(M-m) / N}$ we get (3.13) from (3.14).

Corollary 3.4. Let $f: \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be twice differentiable on $\bar{D}$, where $D=\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n} ; a_{i}<x_{i}<b_{i}\right\}$. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right| \leq N_{i j} \quad \text { on } \quad D . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}-f(a)+f(b)\right|  \tag{3.16}\\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j} N_{i j}\left[\left(x_{i}-a_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}-a_{j}\right)+\left(b_{i}-x_{i}\right)\left(b_{j}-x_{j}\right)\right] \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j} N_{i j}\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right)\left(b_{j}-a_{j}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

If, furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \leq f(x) \leq M \quad(x \in \bar{D}), \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then
$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}\right| \leq M-m$ $+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j} N_{i j}\left[\left(x_{i}-a_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}-a_{j}\right)+\left(b_{i}-x_{i}\right)\left(b_{j}-x_{j}\right)\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq M-m+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j} N_{i j}\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right)\left(b_{j}-a_{j}\right) . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We use Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.3 with $X=\mathbf{R}^{n}, Y=\mathbf{R}$, $F=f,\|x\|=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}\right|\left(x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}\right),\|y\|=|y|(y \in \mathbf{R})$. In this case (3.15) implies

$$
\left\|F_{(x)}^{\prime \prime}(h, h)\right\|=\left|\sum_{i, j} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} h_{i} h_{j}\right| \leq \sum_{i, j} N_{i j}\left|h_{i}\right|\left|h_{j}\right| .
$$

So, Theorem 2.1 implies the first inequalities in (3.16) and (3.18) (note that $\|F(b)-F(a)\| \leq M-m)$. The second inequalities follow from the obvious inequality: $a b+c d \leq(a+c)(b+d)(a, b, c, d \geq 0)$.

Corollary 3.5. Let the conditions of Corollary 3.4 be fulfilled and let $h=\min \left\{b_{i}-a_{i} ; 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$. Then

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{M-m}{h}+\frac{h}{2} N, & \text { if } h \leq \sqrt{2(M-m) / N}  \tag{3.19}\\ \sqrt{2(M-m) N}, & \text { if } h \geq \sqrt{2(M-m) / N}\end{cases}
$$

where $N=\sum_{i, j} N_{i j}$.
Proof. We can suppose $b_{i}-a_{i}=h$ for every $i$. Then we get from (3.18)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}\right| \leq \frac{M-m}{h}+\frac{h}{2} N . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, as in the proof of Corollary 3.2, (3.20) implies (3.19).
Corollary 3.6. Let $f: \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a differentiable function such that

$$
m \leq f(x) \leq M \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right| \leq N_{i j} \quad \text { on } \mathbf{R}^{n} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{M-m}{N}}, \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N=\sum_{i, j} N_{i j}$.
Proof. For $b_{i}=x_{i}+h_{i}, a_{i}=x_{i}-h_{i}\left(h_{i}>0\right)(3.18)$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}\right| \leq \frac{M-m}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j} N_{i j} h_{i} h_{j}, \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $h_{1}=\cdots=h_{n}=h$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}\right| \leq \frac{M-m}{2 h}+\frac{h N}{2} . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the minimum over $h>0$ of the right-hand side of (3.23) we obtain (3.21).

A simple consequence of (3.19) is the following generalization of a result from [4].

Corollary 3.7. Let $D=\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n} ; 0<x_{i}<1\right\}$ and let $f: \bar{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a twice differentiable function. Suppose that $|f(x)| \leq 1(x \in \bar{D})$ and that (3.15) is fulfilled. Then

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{N+4}{2}, & \text { if } 0<N \leq 4  \tag{3.24}\\ 2 \sqrt{N}, & \text { if } N>4\end{cases}
$$

where $N=\sum_{i, j} N_{i j}$.
Corollary 3.8. Under the assumptions of Corollary 3.6 with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{i, j} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right| \leq A \quad(x \in D) \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

instead of (3.15), the following inequality holds true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{i}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}\right| \leq \sqrt{(M-m) A} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In the case $h_{1}=\cdots=h_{n}=h$ we have

$$
\left\|F_{(x)}^{\prime \prime}(h, h)\right\|=h^{2}\left|\sum_{i, j} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right| \leq h^{2} A .
$$

Thus, instead of (3.22) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{i}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}\right| \leq \frac{M-m}{2 h}+\frac{h A}{2} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

wherefrom (3.26) follows.
Remark 3.9. Corollary 3.8 is a generalization of a result from [17] where the case $n=2$ is given.

By using (3.26) and (3.27) we easily obtain the following generalization of a result from [15]:

Corollary 3.10. Let $f:[0,1]^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a twice differentiable function such that $|f(x)| \leq 1\left(x \in[0,1]^{n}\right)$ and

$$
\left|\sum_{i, j} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right| \leq A \quad\left(x \in(0,1)^{n}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\left|\sum_{i}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right| \leq \begin{cases}2+\frac{A}{4}, & \text { if } 0<A \leq 8  \tag{3.28}\\ \sqrt{2 A}, & \text { if } A \geq 8\end{cases}
$$

If $f$ is positive then

$$
\left|\sum_{i}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right| \leq \begin{cases}1+\frac{A}{4}, & \text { if } 0<A \leq 4  \tag{3.29}\\ \sqrt{A}, & \text { if } A \geq 4\end{cases}
$$

REMARK 3.11. Analogous improvements of Landau's theorems were given by V. M. Olovyanisnikov (see e. g. [16] where some similar results are given).

Additional remark. Let us note that results from this paper are given in monograph [13, pp. 45-50]. Some further related results are given in [2, 3, $5,6,7,10,14,8]$.
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