Mathematical Communications 5(2000), 157-160

A generalization of the butterfly theorem

VLADIMIR VOLENEC*

Abstract. In this paper a new generalization of the well-known butterfly theorem is given using the complex coordinates.

Key words: butterfly theorem

AMS subject classifications: 51M04

Received May 25, 2000 Accepted October 20, 2000

Let us prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let A, B, C, D be four points on a circle \mathcal{K} with the centre O and let M be the orthogonal projection of the point O onto the given straight line \mathcal{M} . If M is the midpoint of two points $E = \mathcal{M} \cap AB$ and $F = \mathcal{M} \cap CD$, then M is the midpoint of the points $G = \mathcal{M} \cap AC$ and $H = \mathcal{M} \cap BD$ and the midpoint of the points $K = \mathcal{M} \cap AD$ and $L = \mathcal{M} \cap BC$.



Figure 1

If G = H = M and \mathcal{M} is a chord of \mathcal{K} , then we obtain a well-known butterfly theorem (cf. [1] and [2]).

157

^{*}Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb, Bijenička c. 30, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia, e-mail: volenec@cromath.math.hr

If \mathcal{M} is a chord of \mathcal{K} , then we have Klamkin's generalization of the butterfly theorem (cf. [3]).

If G = H = M, then we obtain a Sledge's generalization of the butterfly theorem (cf. [4]).

We shall prove the Theorem using the complex coordinates of the points in a Gauss plane of complex numbers. If a point Z has a complex coordinate z, then we write Z = (z). Let \bar{z} be the conjugated complex number of z. We shall need a lemma.

Lemma 1. Any straight line \mathcal{M} has an equation of the form

$$z + t\bar{z} = s,\tag{1}$$

where Z = (z) is any point of this line, S = (s) is the point symmetric to the origin O with respect to the line \mathcal{M} , and t is a unimodular number, i.e. |t| = 1 or $t\overline{t} = 1$ holds.



Figure 2

Proof. If $O \notin \mathcal{M}$ (*Figure 2*), then we have the equality |z - s| = |z|, i.e. the number $\frac{z-s}{z} = \tau$ is unimodular. Therefore, we have $\frac{\bar{z}-\bar{s}}{\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{\tau}$. Multiplying these two equalities we obtain $(z - s)(\bar{z} - \bar{s}) = z\bar{z}$, i.e. $\bar{s}z + s\bar{z} = s\bar{s}$. But, this is equation (1) if we put

$$t = \frac{s}{\bar{s}}.$$
 (2)

Obviously $t\bar{t} = 1$. If $O \in \mathcal{M}$, then let P = (p) and Q = (-p) be two points symmetrical with respect to the origin O and with respect to the straight line M(*Figure 3*). Then we have the equality |z - p| = |z + p|, i.e. the number $\frac{z-p}{z+p} = \tau$ is unimodular. Therefore, $\frac{\bar{z}-\bar{p}}{\bar{z}+\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\tau}$. Multiplying these two equalities we obtain $(z + p)(\bar{z} + \bar{p}) = (z - p)(\bar{z} - \bar{p})$, i.e. $\bar{p}z + p\bar{z} = 0$. If we put $t = \frac{p}{\bar{p}}$, then we have equation (1) again, but now s = 0 holds.

158



Figure 3

Proof of Theorem. Let \mathcal{K} be the unit circle with the centre in the origin O and let a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, k, l be the complex coordinates of the points A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, K, L. The equation

$$z + ab\bar{z} = a + b \tag{3}$$

is the equation of a straight line \mathcal{L} because $|ab| = |a| \cdot |b| = 1$. According to $a\bar{a} = 1$ and $b\bar{b} = 1$, we obtain $a + ab\bar{a} = a + b$ and $b + ab\bar{b} = a + b$, i.e. $A, B \in \mathcal{L}$. Therefore, (3) is the equation of the straight line AB. Now, let \mathcal{M} have equation (1). Substracting equations (1) and (2) we obtain $(t - ab)\bar{z} = s - a - b$. Therefore, for the point $E = \mathcal{M} \cap AB$ the first of two equalities

$$\bar{e} = \frac{s-a-b}{t-ab}, \quad \bar{f} = \frac{s-c-d}{t-cd} \tag{4}$$

holds, and analogously the second equality (4) holds for the point $F = \mathcal{M} \cap CD$. The point S = (s) is symmetrical to the point O = (0) with respect to the line \mathcal{M} . Therefore, the points E and F have the midpoint M if and only if e + f = s, i.e. $\bar{e} + \bar{f} = \bar{s}$. This condition can be written in the form

$$(t - cd)(s - a - b) + (t - ab)(s - c - d) = \bar{s}(t - ab)(t - cd)$$
(5)

because of (4). According to (2), i.e. the equality $\bar{s}t = s$ (which is satisfied in the case s = 0, too), equality (5) can be transformed in the form

$$st - (a+b+c+d)t + abc + abd + acd + bcd - abcd\bar{s} = 0,$$

which is symmetrical with respect to the coordinates a, b, c, d. Therefore, we obtain the same condition for $\bar{g} + \bar{h} = \bar{s}$ and for $\bar{k} + \bar{l} = \bar{s}$. Q.E.D.

References

- [1] H. EVES, A survey of geometry, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1963., p. 171.
- [2] H. S. M. COXETER, *Projective geometry*, Blaisdell, New York, 1964., p. 78.

V. VOLENEC

- [3] M. S. KLAMKIN, An extension of the butterfly theorem, Math. Mag. 38(1965), 206–208.
- [4] J. SLEDGE, A generalization of the butterfly theorem, J. of Undergraduate Math. 5(1973), 3–4.

160