On the number of solutions of the Diophantine equation of Frobenius – General case^{*}

Takao Komatsu[†]

Abstract. We determine the number of solutions of the equation $a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \cdots + a_mx_m = b$ in non-negative integers x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n . If m = 2, then the largest b for which no solution exists is $a_1a_2 - a_1 - a_2$, and an explicit formula for the number of solutions is known. In this paper we give the method for computing the desired number. The method is illustrated with several examples.

Key words: Diophantine problem of Frobenius, number of solutions

AMS subject classifications: 11D04, 05A15, 05A17, 11D85

Received June 3, 2003 Accepted September 24, 2003

1. Introduction

Let a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m be positive integers with $gcd(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m) = 1$. Furthermore, let $N(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m; b)$ denote the number of solutions of the equation

$$a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \ldots + a_m x_m = b \tag{1}$$

in non-negative integers x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m . It is well known that $N(\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}; b) =$

 $\binom{b+m-1}{m-1}$ for any non-negative integer *b* (see e.g. Theorem 13.1 in [11]). It is also well-known that equation (1) has a solution in non-negative integers if *b* is sufficiently large. Then, what is the generating function $\sum_{b=0}^{\infty} N(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m; b) x^b$? How can one determine the constant *c* as a function of a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m such that $N(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m; b) \sim cb^{m-1}$ (Problem 15C, [11])? If m = 2, the generating function can be expressed and $N(a_1, a_2; b)$ can be given in an explicit formula (see e.g. [14], [16], [18]). But, the problem seems to be fairly difficulty if $m \geq 3$.

Several authors determined the greatest integer, say, $G(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m)$, such that equation (1) has no such solution in non-negative integers. For m = 2 a bound $G(a_1, a_2) = (a_1 - 1)(a_2 - 1) - 1$ was given by Sylvester and this is the best

^{*}This work was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 15540021), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

[†]Department of Mathematical System Science, Faculty of Science and Technology, Hirosaki University, Hirosaki, 036-8561, Japan, e-mail: komatsu@cc.hirosaki-u.ac.jp

possible. For m > 2 the problem has not been solved. Several bounds are given by many authors (see e.g. [3], [8], [15], [17]) and the good algorithm to calculate it is known if m = 3 ([6], [13]). There is, however, no good algorithm for its calculation if $m \ge 4$. – The general solution of an equation (1), where each x_j can take a negative integer too, was obtained by Bond [2]. An algorithm by Djawadi and Hofmeister [7] can calculate some bound under the condition $a_1 = 1$. In fact, if $m \ge 3$, $G(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m)$ cannot be given by closed formulas of a certain type ([5]) and the problem to determine G is NP-hard ([12]).

In this paper we are interested in determining the number of solutions in (1), when $gcd(a_h, a_l) = 1$ $(h \neq l)$. Sertöz [14] and Tripathi [16] independently obtained an explicit formula in the case m = 2. Israilov [10] found one in the general m, but it was too long and complicated. We shall give a general form which is well computable practically to find the real values of $N(a_1, \ldots, a_m; b)$ even if $m \geq 3$.

2. Preliminaries

By the counting theorem one has

$$\mathcal{N}(x) := \sum_{b=0}^{\infty} N(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m; b) x^b = \frac{1}{(1 - x^{a_1})(1 - x^{a_2}) \cdots (1 - x^{a_m})} = \frac{c}{(1 - x)^m} + O((1 - x)^{-m+1}).$$

By Schur's theorem one has

$$N(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m; b) \sim \frac{b^{m-1}}{(m-1)! a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m} \quad (b \to \infty)$$

In particular, there exists an integer N such that every $b \ge N$ is so representable in at least one way ([18, pp.93–99]).

Assume that $gcd(a_h, a_l) = 1$ $(h \neq l)$. Then we can write

$$\mathcal{N}(x) = \sum_{b=0}^{\infty} N(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m; b) x^b = \frac{1}{(1 - x^{a_1})(1 - x^{a_2}) \cdots (1 - x^{a_m})}$$
(2)
$$= \frac{c_1}{1 - x} + \dots + \frac{c_m}{(1 - x)^m} + \sum_{k=1}^{a_1 - 1} \frac{A_{a_1}(k)}{1 - \zeta_{a_1}^{-k} x} + \dots + \sum_{k=1}^{a_m - 1} \frac{A_{a_m}(k)}{1 - \zeta_{a_m}^{-k} x},$$

where $\zeta_{a_l} = e^{2\pi i/a_l}$ (l = 1, 2, ..., m). We have two decompositions. The first decomposition into ordinary partial fractions is called the *first type*; the second one including the periodic sequences is called the *second type* or *Herschellian type* [4, p.109].

Multiplying both sides of (2) by $1 - \zeta_{a_1}^{-k} x$ and taking limits as $x \to \zeta_{a_1}^k$ entails that

$$A_{a_1}(k) = \lim_{x \to \zeta_{a_1}^k} \frac{1 - \zeta_{a_1}^{-k} x}{(1 - x^{a_1})(1 - x^{a_2}) \cdots (1 - x^{a_m})}$$

=
$$\lim_{x \to \zeta_{a_1}^k} \frac{-\zeta_{a_1}^{-k}}{-a_1 x^{a_1 - 1}(1 - x^{a_2}) \cdots (1 - x^{a_m})} = \frac{1}{a_1} \frac{1}{(1 - \zeta_{a_1}^{a_2 k}) \cdots (1 - \zeta_{a_1}^{a_m k})}.$$

In a similar manner we can obtain for $l = 1, 2, \ldots, m$

$$A_{a_l}(k) = \frac{1}{a_l} \frac{1}{(1 - \zeta_{a_l}^{a_1 k}) \cdots (1 - \zeta_{a_l}^{a_{l-1} k})(1 - \zeta_{a_l}^{a_{l+1} k}) \cdots (1 - \zeta_{a_l}^{a_m k})}.$$

Multiplying both sides of (2) by $(1-x)^m$ and letting $x \to 1$ entails that $c_m = 1/(a_1 \cdots a_m)$. To calculate c_l $(l = m - 1, m - 2, \ldots, 1)$, we multiply both sides of (2) by $(1-x)^m$, differentiate m-l times and take limits as $x \to 1$. Namely, we have

$$(-1)^{m-l}(m-l)!c_l = \frac{\partial^{m-l}}{\partial x^{m-l}} \left(\frac{(1-x)^m}{(1-x^{a_1})\cdots(1-x^{a_m})} \right) \Big|_{x=1} \\ = \frac{\partial^{m-l}}{\partial x^{m-l}} \left(\frac{1}{(1+x+\cdots+x^{a_1-1})\cdots(1+x+\cdots+x^{a_m-1})} \right) \Big|_{x=1}.$$

Then one can obtain $c_{m-1} = (a_1 + \cdots + a_m - m)/(2a_1 \cdots a_m)$. We should be able to obtain c_{m-2}, c_{m-3}, \ldots in a similar manner, but it seems that it becomes extremely difficult to calculate them practically. The details are given in the next section.

Notice that for $l = 1, 2, \ldots, m$

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)^l} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+l-1}{n} x^n \,.$$

Hence,

$$N(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m; b) = \sum_{l=1}^m \left(c_l \binom{b+l-1}{b} + \sum_{k=1}^{a_l-1} A_{a_l}(k) \zeta_{a_l}^{-bk} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} d_j b^j + \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{a_l-1} A_{a_l}(k) \zeta_{a_l}^{-bk} .$$

This form has been already known (see e.g. [4], [14], [18]).

,

3. The calculation of d_i

We consider the terms derived from the first type of two decompositions. First of all, notice that

$$\binom{b+l-1}{b} = \frac{1}{(l-1)!} \left(b^{l-1} + b^{l-2} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} j + b^{l-3} \sum_{1 \le j_1 < j_2 < l} j_1 j_2 + \cdots \right.$$

$$+ b \sum_{1 \le j_1 < \dots < j_{l-2} < l} j_1 \cdots j_{l-2} + (l-1)! \right) .$$

Denote $P = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m$ and $S_j = a_1^j + a_2^j + \cdots + a_m^j$ $(j = 1, 2, \ldots)$. By obtaining

$$c_m = \frac{1}{P}, \quad c_{m-1} = \frac{S_1 - m}{2P},$$

T. Komatsu

$$c_{m-2} = \frac{3S_1^2 - S_2 - 6(m-1)(S_1 - m) - m(3m-1)}{24P} \text{ and}$$

$$c_{m-3} = \frac{1}{48P} \left(S_1^3 - S_1 S_2 - (m-2)(3S_1^2 - S_2) + (m-1)(3m-8)(S_1 - m) + 2m(m^2 - 3m + 1) \right),$$

one can find that (Cf. [4, p.113])

$$d_{m-1} = \frac{c_m}{(m-1)!} = \frac{1}{(m-1)!P},$$

$$d_{m-2} = \frac{c_m}{(m-1)!} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} j + \frac{c_{m-1}}{(m-2)!}$$

$$= \frac{c_m}{(m-1)!} \frac{m(m-1)}{2} + \frac{c_{m-1}}{(m-2)!} = \frac{S_1}{2(m-2)!P},$$

$$d_{m-3} = \frac{c_m}{(m-1)!} \sum_{1 \le j_1 < j_2 \le m-1} j_1 j_2 + \frac{c_{m-1}}{(m-2)!} \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} j + \frac{c_{m-2}}{(m-3)!}$$
$$= \frac{c_m}{(m-1)!} \frac{(m-2)(m-1)m(3m-1)}{24}$$
$$+ \frac{c_{m-1}}{(m-2)!} \frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{2} + \frac{c_{m-2}}{(m-3)!}$$
$$= \frac{3S_1^2 - S_2}{24(m-3)!P},$$

$$\begin{split} d_{m-4} &= \frac{c_m}{(m-1)!} \sum_{1 \le j_1 < j_2 < j_3 \le m-1} j_1 j_2 j_3 + \frac{c_{m-1}}{(m-2)!} \sum_{1 \le j_1 < j_2 \le m-2} j_1 j_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{c_{m-2}}{(m-3)!} \sum_{j=1}^{m-3} j + \frac{c_{m-3}}{(m-4)!} \\ &= \frac{c_m}{(m-1)!} \frac{m^2 (m-1)^2 (m-2) (m-3)}{48} \\ &\quad + \frac{c_{m-1}}{(m-2)!} \frac{(m-3) (m-2) (m-1) (3m-4)}{24} \\ &\quad + \frac{c_{m-2}}{(m-3)!} \frac{(m-2) (m-3)}{2} + \frac{c_{m-3}}{(m-4)!} \\ &= \frac{S_1 (S_1^2 - S_2)}{48 (m-4)! P}. \end{split}$$

In a similar manner, one can find

$$d_{m-5} = \frac{2S_4 + 5S_2^2 - 30S_1^2S_2 + 15S_1^4}{240 \cdot 4!(m-5)!P},$$

$$d_{m-6} = \frac{S_1(2S_4 + 5S_2^2 - 10S_1^2S_2 + 3S_1^4)}{96 \cdot 5!(m-6)!P},$$

$$d_{m-7} = \frac{-16S_6 - 42S_2S_4 + 126S_1^2S_4 - 35S_2^3 + 315S_1^2S_2^2 - 315S_1^4S_2 + 63S_1^6}{4032 \cdot 6!(m-7)!P},$$

$$d_{m-8} = \frac{S_1(-16S_6 - 42S_2S_4 + 42S_1^2S_4 - 35S_2^3 + 105S_1^2S_2^2 - 63S_1^4S_2 + 9S_1^6)}{1152 \cdot 7!(m-8)!P},$$

After obtaining $c_m, c_{m-1}, \ldots, c_{m-l+1}$, one can find d_{m-l} as

$$d_{m-l} = \frac{c_m}{(m-1)!} \sum_{1 \le j_1 < \dots < j_{l-1} \le m-1} j_1 \cdots j_{l-1} + \frac{c_{m-1}}{(m-2)!} \sum_{1 \le j_1 < \dots < j_{l-2} \le m-2} j_1 \cdots j_{l-2} + \cdots + \frac{c_{m-l+2}}{(m-l+1)!} \sum_{j=1}^{m-l+1} j_j + \frac{c_{m-l+1}}{(m-l)!}.$$

Finally, $d_0 = c_m + c_{m-1} + \dots + c_1$.

But it was very hard to find an explicit form of the general d_j . One nicelooking form can be derived from the main result in [1]. Define Bell polynomials $\mathbf{Y}_n(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)$ by

$$\exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k \frac{x^k}{k!}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{Y}_n(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

where $\mathbf{Y}_0 = 1$ and

$$\mathbf{Y}_{n}(y_{1}, y_{2}, \dots, y_{n}) = \sum_{\substack{k_{1}+2k_{2}+\dots+nk_{n}=n\\k_{1},k_{2},\dots,k_{n}\geq 0}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n! y_{i}^{k_{i}}}{k_{i}!(i!)^{k_{i}}}.$$

We have the following identity.

Proposition 1. For $l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ we have

$$d_{m-l-1} = \frac{(-1)^l}{(m-l-1)!l!P} \mathbf{Y}_l(B_1S_1, -\frac{B_2S_2}{2}, \dots, -\frac{B_lS_l}{l}),$$

where $P = \prod_{j=1}^{m} a_j$, $S_n = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j^n$ and B_n is the n-th Bernoulli number (n = 1, 2, ...).

Proposition 2. For $l = 1, 2, \ldots$ we have

$$d_{m-l} = \frac{2}{m-l} \frac{\partial}{\partial S_1} d_{m-l-1} \,.$$

T. Komatsu

4. The calculation of $\sum A_{a_l}(k)\zeta_{a_l}^{-bk}$

We consider the terms derived from the Herschellian type of two decompositions. We assume that $gcd(a_h, a_l) = 1$ $(h \neq l)$. Put $A_{a_l} = \sum_{k=1}^{a_l-1} A_{a_l}(k)\zeta_{a_l}^{-bk}$ (l = 1, 2, ..., m) for convenience. Without loss of generality, set $a = a_1$. When a = 1, this term does not exist. When a = 2, by the assumption all of $a_2, a_3, ..., a_m$ are odd. From $\zeta_2 = -1$ we have

$$A_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{1} A_2(k)\zeta_2^{-bk} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\zeta_2^{-b}}{(1-\zeta_2^{a_2})(1-\zeta_2^{a_3})\cdots(1-\zeta_2^{a_m})} = \frac{(-1)^b}{2^m}.$$

Let a_1 be odd with $a_1 \ge 3$. Denote s_l (l = 1, 2, ..., a - 1) by

$$s_l := \#\{a_j | 2 \le j \le m, a_j \equiv l \pmod{a}\},\$$

satisfying $\sum_{l=1}^{a-1} s_l = m - 1$. By the assumption, $a_j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{a}$ for any j with $2 \leq j \leq m$. Put $\zeta = \zeta_a$ for simplicity.

With these notations we can write

$$A_a = \frac{1}{a} \sum_{k=1}^{a-1} \frac{\zeta^{-bk}}{(1-\zeta^k)^{s_1} (1-\zeta^{2k})^{s_2} \cdots (1-\zeta^{(a-1)k})^{s_{a-1}}}.$$

Lemma 1. For any integer k we have

$$1 - \zeta_a^k = 2\sin\frac{k}{a}\pi \cdot e^{-\frac{a-2k}{2a}i\pi}.$$

Proof. Put $1 - \zeta_a^k = re^{i\theta}$. Then

$$r = \sqrt{\left(1 - \cos\frac{2k}{a}\pi\right)^2 + \left(\sin\frac{2k}{a}\pi\right)^2} = 2\sin\frac{k}{a}\pi.$$

By

$$\sin(-\theta) = \frac{1}{r} \sin \frac{2k}{a} \pi = \cos \frac{k}{a} \pi \,,$$

we have

 $-\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{k}{a}\pi = \frac{a-2k}{2a}\pi.$

By this lemma together with the facts

$$\sin\frac{l(a-k)}{a}\pi = (-1)^l \sin\frac{lk}{a}\pi$$

and

$$e^{(a-2l(a-k))(s_l-s_{a-l})i\pi/(2a)} = (-1)^{l-1}e^{-(a-2lk)(s_l-s_{a-l})i\pi/(2a)},$$

we obtain

$$(1-\zeta^{lk})^{s_l}(1-\zeta^{(a-l)k})^{s_{a-l}} = \left(2\sin\frac{lk}{a}\pi\right)^{s_a+s_{a-l}} e^{-(a-2lk)(s_l-s_{a-l})i\pi/(2a)}.$$

From $\zeta^{-b(a-k)} = \zeta^{bk}$, if a is odd, then

$$A_{a} = \frac{1}{a} \sum_{k=1}^{a-1} \frac{\zeta^{-bk} \prod_{l=1}^{(a-1)/2} e^{(a-2lk)(s_{l}-s_{a-l})i\pi/(2a)}}{\prod_{l=1}^{(a-1)/2} \left(2\sin\frac{lk}{a}\pi\right)^{s_{l}+s_{a-l}}}$$
$$= \frac{2}{a} \sum_{k=1}^{(a-1)/2} \frac{\cos\left(\frac{\sum_{l=1}^{(a-1)/2} (a-2lk)(s_{l}-s_{a-l})-4bk}{2a}\pi\right)}{\prod_{l=1}^{(a-1)/2} \left(2\sin\frac{lk}{a}\pi\right)^{s_{l}+s_{a-l}}}.$$

We can interchange a_1 and any a_h $(2 \le h \le m)$ without loss of generality. Therefore, we obtain the following.

Theorem 1. If $a = a_h$ is odd with $a \ge 3$ and $gcd(a_j, a) = 1$ $(1 \le j \le m, j \ne h)$, then

$$A_{a} = \frac{2}{a} \sum_{k=1}^{(a-1)/2} \frac{\cos\left(\frac{4bk + \sum_{l=1}^{(a-1)/2} (2lk-a)(s_{l}-s_{a-l})}{2a}\pi\right)}{\prod_{l=1}^{(a-1)/2} \left(2\sin\frac{lk}{a}\pi\right)^{s_{l}+s_{a-l}}}.$$

This form seems still very complicated, but we can calculate A_a very easily when a is small even if the number m is very big.

Corollary 1. When a = 3, we have

$$A_{3} = \sum_{k=1}^{2} A_{k}^{(1)} \zeta_{3}^{-bk} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \frac{\zeta_{3}^{-bk}}{(1 - \zeta_{3}^{a_{2}k})(1 - \zeta_{3}^{a_{3}k}) \cdots (1 - \zeta_{3}^{a_{m}k})}$$
$$= \frac{2}{3^{(m+1)/2}} \cos\left(\frac{2}{3}b - \frac{s_{1} - s_{2}}{6}\right) \pi.$$

Proof. When a = 3, we have l = k = 1, and $2\sin(lk/a)\pi = \sqrt{3}$. Corollary 2. When a = 5, we have

$$A_5 = \frac{2}{5} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \frac{\cos \frac{4bk + (2k-5)(s_1 - s_4) + (4k-5)(s_2 - s_3)}{10} \pi}{\left(2\sin \frac{k}{5}\pi\right)^{s_1 + s_4} \left(2\sin \frac{2k}{5}\pi\right)^{s_2 + s_3}} \,.$$

Remark 1. Notice that

$$\left(2\sin\frac{\pi}{5}\right)\left(2\sin\frac{2\pi}{5}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{5-\sqrt{5}}{2}}\sqrt{\frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{2}} = \sqrt{5}$$

for further calculations.

Corollary 3. When a = 7, we have

$$A_7 = \frac{2}{7} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\cos \frac{4bk + (2k-7)(s_1 - s_6) + (4k-7)(s_2 - s_5) + (6k-7)(s_3 - s_4)}{14} \pi}{\left(2\sin \frac{k}{7}\pi\right)^{s_1 + s_6} \left(2\sin \frac{2k}{7}\pi\right)^{s_2 + s_5} \left(2\sin \frac{3k}{7}\pi\right)^{s_3 + s_4}} \,.$$

Remark 2. It is convenient to use relations

$$2\sin\frac{\pi}{7} \cdot 2\sin\frac{2\pi}{7} \cdot 2\sin\frac{3\pi}{7} = \sqrt{7} \quad and \quad \sin\frac{2\pi}{7} + \sin\frac{3\pi}{7} - \sin\frac{\pi}{7} = \frac{\sqrt{7}}{2}.$$

for further calculations.

Let a be even with $a \ge 4$. By the assumption, $s_l = 0$ if l is even or l = a/2. In a similar manner we obtain

$$A_{a} = \frac{1}{a} \sum_{k=1}^{a-1} \frac{\zeta^{-bk}}{(1-\zeta^{k})^{s_{1}}(1-\zeta^{3k})^{s_{3}}\cdots(1-\zeta^{(a-1)k})^{s_{a-1}}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{a} \sum_{k=1}^{a-1} \frac{\zeta^{-bk} \prod_{l=1}^{2\lfloor a/4 \rfloor - 1} e^{(a-2(2l-1)k)(s_{2l-1} - s_{a-2l+1})i\pi/(2a)}}{\prod_{l=1}^{2\lfloor a/4 \rfloor - 1} \left(2\sin\frac{(2l-1)k}{a}\pi\right)^{s_{2l-1} + s_{a-2l+1}}}$$

$$= \frac{2}{a} \sum_{k=1}^{a/2-1} \frac{\cos\left(\frac{\sum_{l=1}^{2\lfloor a/4 \rfloor - 1} (a-2(2l-1)k)(s_{2l-1} - s_{a-2l+1}) - 4bk}{2a}\pi\right)}{\prod_{l=1}^{2\lfloor a/4 \rfloor - 1} \left(2\sin\frac{(2l-1)k}{a}\pi\right)^{s_{2l-1} + s_{a-2l+1}}} + \frac{(-1)^{b}}{a \cdot 2^{m-1}}.$$

Notice that the last term arises for k = a/2.

Theorem 2. If $a = a_h$ is even with $a \ge 4$ and $gcd(a_j, a) = 1$ ($1 \le j \le m$, $j \ne h$), then

$$A_{a} = \frac{2}{a} \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{a}{2}-1} \frac{\cos\left(\frac{4bk + \sum_{l=1}^{2\lfloor a/4 \rfloor - 1} (2(2l-1)k-a)(s_{2l-1} - s_{a-2l+1})}{2a}\pi\right)}{\prod_{l=1}^{2\lfloor a/4 \rfloor - 1} \left(2\sin\frac{(2l-1)k}{a}\pi\right)^{s_{2l-1} + s_{a-2l+1}}} + \frac{(-1)^{b}}{a \cdot 2^{m-1}}.$$

5. Examples

Suppose that m = 3. Then

$$N(a_1, a_2, a_3; b) = \frac{a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 + 3(a_1a_2 + a_2a_3 + a_3a_1)}{12a_1a_2a_3} + \frac{a_1 + a_2 + a_3}{2a_1a_2a_3}b$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2a_1a_2a_3}b^2 + \frac{1}{a_1}\sum_{k=1}^{a_1-1}\frac{\zeta_{a_1}^{-bk}}{(1 - \zeta_{a_1}^{a_2k})(1 - \zeta_{a_1}^{a_3k})}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{a_2}\sum_{k=1}^{a_2-1}\frac{\zeta_{a_2}^{-bk}}{(1 - \zeta_{a_2}^{a_3k})(1 - \zeta_{a_2}^{a_1k})} + \frac{1}{a_3}\sum_{k=1}^{a_3-1}\frac{\zeta_{a_3}^{-bk}}{(1 - \zeta_{a_3}^{a_1k})(1 - \zeta_{a_3}^{a_2k})}$$

•

Let $a_1 = 3$, $a_2 = 5$ and $a_3 = 7$. For $a_1 = 3$, by Corollary 1 with $s_1 = s_2 = 1$ we have

$$A_3 = \frac{2}{9}\cos\frac{2}{3}b\pi \,.$$

For $a_2 = 5$, by Corollary 2 with $s_1 = s_4 = 0$ and $s_2 = s_3 = 1$ we have

$$A_5 = \frac{2}{5} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \frac{\cos\frac{4bk}{10}\pi}{(2\sin\frac{2k}{5}\pi)^2} = \frac{2}{25} \left((2\sin\frac{\pi}{5})^2 \cos\frac{2b}{5}\pi + (2\sin\frac{2\pi}{5})^2 \cos\frac{4b}{5}\pi \right) \,.$$

For $a_3 = 7$, by Corollary 3 with $s_1 = s_2 = s_4 = s_6 = 0$ and $s_3 = s_5 = 1$ we have

$$A_7 = \frac{2}{7} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\cos \frac{2b+1}{7}\pi}{(2\sin \frac{2k}{7}\pi)(2\sin \frac{3k}{7}\pi)}$$

= $\frac{2}{7\sqrt{7}} \left(2\sin \frac{\pi}{7} \cos \frac{2b+1}{7}\pi + 2\sin \frac{2\pi}{7} \cos \frac{2(2b+1)}{7}\pi - 2\sin \frac{3\pi}{7} \cos \frac{3(2b+1)}{7}\pi \right).$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{split} N(3,5,7;b) &= \frac{1}{210}b^2 + \frac{1}{14}b + \frac{74}{315} + \frac{2}{9}\cos\frac{2}{3}b\pi \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{25}\left((2\sin\frac{\pi}{5})^2\cos\frac{2b}{5}\pi + (2\sin\frac{2\pi}{5})^2\cos\frac{4b}{5}\pi\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{7\sqrt{7}}\left(2\sin\frac{\pi}{7}\cos\frac{2b+1}{7}\pi + 2\sin\frac{2\pi}{7}\cos\frac{2(2b+1)}{7}\pi \\ &\quad - 2\sin\frac{3\pi}{7}\cos\frac{3(2b+1)}{7}\pi\right). \end{split}$$

With the notation due to Cayley (*Cf.* [9]), $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1})$ pcr $k_b = x_i$ if $b \equiv i \pmod{k}$, this result matches the Comtet's one [4, pp.114–115],

$$N(3,5,7;b) = \frac{1}{210}b^2 + \frac{1}{14}b + \frac{74}{315} + \frac{1}{9}(2,-1,-1)\text{pcr}3_b + \frac{1}{5}(2,-1,0,0,-1)\text{pcr}5_b + \frac{1}{7}(1,0,-2,2,-2,0,1)\text{pcr}7_b.$$

It is quite easy to find

$$N(1,2,3;b) = \frac{1}{12}b^2 + \frac{1}{2}b + \frac{47}{72} + \frac{(-1)^b}{8} + \frac{2}{9}\cos\frac{2}{3}b\pi$$

for $a_1 = 1$, $a_2 = 2$ and $a_3 = 3$ (*Cf.* [4, p.110]).

If each a_j is small, it is not difficult to obtain the exact form of $N(a_1, \ldots, a_m; b)$, even though the number m becomes large. For example, let $a_1 = 2$, $a_2 = 3$, $a_3 = 5$,

 $a_4 = 7, a_5 = 11, a_6 = 13, a_7 = 17$ and $a_8 = 19$. Then one can get

$$\begin{split} N(2,3,5,7,11,13,17,19;b) &= \frac{1}{48886437600}b^7 + \frac{1}{181396800}b^6 + \frac{419}{698377680}b^5 \\ &+ \frac{43}{1272960}b^4 + \frac{21901069}{20951330400}b^3 + \frac{174869}{10077600}b^2 + \frac{134507}{978120}b + \frac{81072961}{2176761600} \\ &+ \frac{(-1)^b}{256} + \frac{2}{81\sqrt{3}}\cos\left(\frac{2}{3}b + \frac{1}{6}\right)\pi \\ &+ \frac{2}{25\sqrt{5}}\left(\left(2\sin\frac{\pi}{7}\right)^2\cos\frac{4b + 7}{14}\pi + \left(2\sin\frac{2\pi}{7}\right)^2\cos\frac{8b + 3}{10}\pi\right) \\ &+ \frac{2}{49\sqrt{7}}\left(\left(2\sin\frac{\pi}{7}\right)^2\cos\frac{4b + 7}{14}\pi + \left(2\sin\frac{2\pi}{7}\right)^2\cos\frac{8b + 7}{14}\pi \\ &- \left(2\sin\frac{3\pi}{7}\right)^2\cos\frac{12b + 7}{14}\pi\right) \\ &+ \frac{2}{121}\sum_{k=1}^5\left(2\sin\frac{k\pi}{11}\right)^2\left(2\sin\frac{4k\pi}{11}\right)\cos\frac{4kk - 11}{22}\pi \\ &+ \frac{2}{169}\sum_{k=1}^6\left(2\sin\frac{k\pi}{13}\right)^2\left(2\sin\frac{3k\pi}{13}\right)\left(2\sin\frac{4k\pi}{13}\right)\left(2\sin\frac{5k\pi}{13}\right)\cos\frac{(4b + 24)k - 39}{26}\pi \\ &+ \frac{2}{289}\sum_{k=1}^8\left(2\sin\frac{k\pi}{13}\right)^2\left(2\sin\frac{3k\pi}{13}\right)\left(2\sin\frac{4k\pi}{17}\right)\left(2\sin\frac{5k\pi}{17}\right)\left(2\sin\frac{6k\pi}{17}\right) \\ &\cdot \left(2\sin\frac{7k\pi}{17}\right)\left(2\sin\frac{8k\pi}{17}\right)^2\cos\frac{(4b + 18)k - 51}{34}\pi \\ &+ \frac{2}{361}\sum_{k=1}^9\left(2\sin\frac{k\pi}{19}\right)^2\left(2\sin\frac{3k\pi}{19}\right)\left(2\sin\frac{4k\pi}{19}\right)^2\left(2\sin\frac{5k\pi}{19}\right)\left(2\sin\frac{6k\pi}{19}\right) \\ &\cdot \left(2\sin\frac{7k\pi}{19}\right)\left(2\sin\frac{8k\pi}{19}\right)^2\cos\frac{(4b + 2)k - 19}{38}\pi \\ &= \frac{1}{48886437600}b^7 + \frac{1}{181396800}b^6 + \frac{419}{698377680}b^5 + \frac{43}{1272906}b^4 \\ &+ \frac{21901069}{20951330400}b^3 + \frac{1774869}{10077600}b^2 + \frac{134507}{978120}b + \frac{810672961}{81072961}b^4 \\ &+ \frac{1}{49}(0, -1, -2, 4, -4, 2, 1)\text{pcr}b_1 + \frac{1}{11}(0, -1, 2, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, -2, 1)\text{pcr}1b_1 \\ &+ \frac{1}{17}(4, -4, 2, -2, 0, 2, -2, 4, -4, 3, -1, 3, -3, 3, -3, 1, -3)\text{pcr}7b_1 \\ &+ \frac{1}{19}(2, 2, -2, 5, -5, 3, -1, 2, 0, 0, 0, -2, 1, -3, 5, -5, 2, -2, -2)\text{pcr}1b_1. \end{split}$$

We omit the detail calculations above. For example, use the relation

$$\prod_{k=1}^{(a-1)/2} \left(2\sin\frac{k\pi}{a}\right) = \sqrt{a}.$$

Let $a_1 = 137$, $a_2 = 251$ and $a_3 = 256$, which triple is an example much used in the literature (see e.g. [13]). By *Theorems 1* and 2 one gets

$$\begin{split} N(137, 251, 256; b) &= \frac{1}{17606144} b^2 + \frac{161}{4401536} b + \frac{182817}{35212288} \\ &+ \frac{2}{137} \sum_{k=1}^{68} \frac{\cos(\frac{2b-41}{137}k - 1)\pi}{(2\sin\frac{18k}{137}\pi)(2\sin\frac{23k}{137}\pi)} + \frac{2}{251} \sum_{k=1}^{125} \frac{\cos\frac{2b-109}{251}k\pi}{(2\sin\frac{5k}{251}\pi)(2\sin\frac{114k}{251}\pi)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{128} \sum_{k=1}^{127} \frac{\cos(\frac{b-62}{128}k - 1)\pi}{(2\sin\frac{5k}{256}\pi)(2\sin\frac{119k}{256}\pi)} + \frac{(-1)^b}{1024}. \end{split}$$

It seems nearly impossible to continue this calculation by hand only. For example, Mathematica or Maple calculations can show immediately

$$N(137, 251, 256; 4948) = 0, \quad N(137, 251, 256; 4949) = 2$$

and so on. In fact, G(137, 251, 256) = 4948.

References

- M. BECK, I. M. GESSEL, T. KOMATSU, The polynomial part of a restricted partition function related to the Frobenius problem, Electr. J. Comb. 8(2001), # N7.
- J. BOND, Calculating the general solution of a linear diophantine equation, Amer. Math. Monthly 74(1967), 955–957.
- [3] A. BRAUER, On a problem of partitions, Amer. J. Math. **64**(1942), 299–312.
- [4] L. COMTET, Advanced Combinatorics, Dordrecht, D. Reidel, 1974, pp. 108– 126.
- [5] F. CURTIS, On formulas for the Frobenius number of a numerical semigroup, Math. Scand. 67(1990), 190–192.
- [6] J. L. DAVISON, On the linear Diophantine problem of Frobenius, J. Number Theory 48(1994), 353–363.
- [7] M. DJAWADI, G. HOFMEISTER, *Linear diophantine problems*, Arch. Math. 66(1996), 19–29.
- [8] P. ERDŐS, R. GRAHAM, On a diophantine problem of Frobenius, Acta Arith. 21(1972), 399–408.

T. Komatsu

- J. W. L. GLAISHER, Formulae for partitions into given elements, derived from Sylvester's theorem, Quart. J. Math. 40(1909), 275–348.
- [10] M. Z. ISRAILOV, Number of solutions of linear diophantine equations and their applications in the theory of invariant cubature formulas (Russian), Sibirskii Mat. Z. 22(1981), 121–136; English transl. in Siberian Math. J. 22(1981), 260–273.
- [11] J. H. LINT, R. M. WILSON, A Course in Combinatorics, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1992.
- [12] J. L. RAMÍREZ-ALFONSÍN, Complexity of the Frobenius problem, Combinatorica 16(1996), 143–147.
- [13] Ö. J. RÖDSETH, On a linear Diophantine problem of Frobenius, J. reine angew. Math. 302(1978), 171–178.
- S. SERTÖZ, On the number of solutions of the diophantine equation of Frobenius (Russian), Diskret. Mat. 10(1998), 62–71; English transl. in Discrete Math. Appl. 8(1998), 153–162.
- [15] J. SHEN, Some estimated formulas for the Frobenius numbers, Linear Algebra Appl. 244(1996), 13–20.
- [16] A. TRIPATHI, The number of solutions to ax + by = n, The Fibonacci Quart. **38**(2000), 290–293.
- [17] Y. VITEK, Bounds for a linear diophantine problem of Frobenius, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 10(1975), 79–85.
- [18] H. S. WILF, Generating functionology, Second ed., Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1994.