

A note on the root subspaces of real semisimple Lie algebras

HRVOJE KRALJEVIĆ*

Abstract. *In this note we prove that for any two restricted roots α, β of a real semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , such that $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$, the corresponding root subspaces satisfy $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$.*

Key words: *real semisimple Lie algebra, root systems, reduced roots, root subspaces*

AMS subject classifications: 17B20

Received February 26, 2004

Accepted March 31, 2004

Let \mathfrak{g} be a real semisimple Lie algebra, \mathfrak{a} a Cartan subspace of \mathfrak{g} and R the (restricted) root system of the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ in the dual space \mathfrak{a}^* of \mathfrak{a} . For $\alpha \in R$ denote by \mathfrak{g}_α the corresponding root subspace of \mathfrak{g} :

$$\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}; [h, x] = \alpha(h)x \ \forall h \in \mathfrak{a}\}.$$

The aim of this note is to prove the following theorem:

Theorem. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in R$ be such that $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$. Then either $[x, \mathfrak{g}_\alpha] = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta} \ \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta \setminus \{0\}$ or $[x, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta} \ \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \setminus \{0\}$.*

Although the proof is very simple and elementary, the assertion does not seem to appear anywhere in the literature. The argument for the proof is from [2], where it is used to prove $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\alpha] = \mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha}$ (a fact which is also proved in [3], 8.10.12), as well as that the nilpotent constituent in an Iwasawa decomposition is generated by the root subspaces corresponding to simple roots.

Let B be the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} :

$$B(x, y) = \text{tr}(\text{ad } x \text{ ad } y), \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Choose a Cartan involution ϑ of \mathfrak{g} in accordance with \mathfrak{a} , i.e. such that $\vartheta(h) = -h$, $\forall h \in \mathfrak{a}$. Denote by $(\cdot | \cdot)$ the inner product on \mathfrak{g} defined by

$$(x|y) = -B(x, \vartheta(y)), \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

*Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb, Bijenička 30, HR-10 000 Zagreb, Croatia, e-mail: hrk@math.hr

We shall use the same notation $(\cdot|\cdot)$ for the induced inner product on the dual space \mathfrak{a}^* of \mathfrak{a} . Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the corresponding norms on \mathfrak{g} and on \mathfrak{a}^* . For $\alpha \in R$ let h_α be the unique element of \mathfrak{a} such that

$$B(h, h_\alpha) = \alpha(h) \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{a}.$$

Lemma. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in R$ be such that $(\alpha|\alpha + \beta) > 0$. Then*

$$[x, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta} \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \setminus \{0\}.$$

Proof. Take $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $x \neq 0$. We can suppose that $\|x\|^2\|\alpha\|^2 = 2$. Put

$$h = \frac{2}{\|\alpha\|^2} h_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad y = -\vartheta(x).$$

Then

$$[h, x] = 2x, \quad [h, y] = -2y, \quad [x, y] = h$$

([3], 8.10.12). Therefore, the subspace \mathfrak{s} of \mathfrak{g} spanned by $\{x, y, h\}$ is a simple Lie algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$. From the representation theory of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ ([1], 1.8) we know that if π is any representation of \mathfrak{s} on a real finite dimensional vector space V , then $\pi(h)$ is diagonalizable, all eigenvalues of the operator $\pi(h)$ are integers, and if for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ V_n denotes the n -eigenspace of $\pi(h)$, then

$$n \geq -1 \quad \implies \quad \pi(x)V_n = V_{n+2}.$$

Put

$$V = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_{\beta+j\alpha}.$$

Then V is an \mathfrak{s} -module for the adjoint action and

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\beta+j\alpha} = V_{n+2j} \quad \text{where} \quad n = 2 \frac{(\beta|\alpha)}{\|\alpha\|^2} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Especially,

$$V_n = \mathfrak{g}_\beta, \quad V_{n+2} = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}.$$

Now

$$n + 2 = 2 \frac{(\alpha|\alpha + \beta)}{\|\alpha\|^2} > 0 \quad \implies \quad n \geq -1 \quad \implies \quad (\text{ad } x)V_n = V_{n+2}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem. It is enough to notice that if $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$ then

$$0 < (\alpha + \beta|\alpha + \beta) = (\alpha|\alpha + \beta) + (\beta|\alpha + \beta),$$

hence, either $(\alpha|\alpha + \beta) > 0$ or $(\beta|\alpha + \beta) > 0$. □

Let m_α denote the multiplicity of $\alpha \in R$ ($m_\alpha = \dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$). An immediate consequence of the Theorem is:

Corollary. *If $\alpha, \beta \in R$, $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$, then $m_{\alpha+\beta} \leq \max(m_\alpha, m_\beta)$.*

References

- [1] J. DIXMIER, *Algebres enveloppantes*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1974.
- [2] J. LEPOWSKY, *Conical vectors in induced modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **208**(1975), 219-272.
- [3] N. WALLACH, *Harmonic Analysis on Homogeneous Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973.